We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



122,000





Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Dynamic Model of a 6-dof Parallel Manipulator Using the Generalized Momentum Approach

António M. Lopes and Fernando Almeida UISPA – Unidade de Integração de Sistemas e Processos Automatizados, Universidade do Porto, Faculdade de Engenharia Portugal

1. Introduction

The dynamic model of a mechanical system relates the time evolution of its configuration (position, velocity and acceleration) with the forces and torques acting upon it. The inverse dynamic model is important for system control while the direct model is used for system simulation.

Serial structure manipulator dynamic modelling is a well established subject. So, recent developments have been oriented towards the improvement of numerical efficiency enabling their use in real-time control algorithms (Lilly, 1993; Naudet, 2003; Mata, 2002; Lee, 2005; Featherstone, 2000). Parallel structure manipulators present a more complex problem, and, usually, the model algorithms cannot be generalized. When used in a real-time control framework the resulting models must be simplified as they usually demand a very high computational effort.

The dynamic model of a parallel manipulator when operated in free space can be mathematically represented, in the Cartesian space, by a system of nonlinear differential

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{J}^T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{2}$$

The dynamic model of a parallel manipulator when operated in fact operate can be mathematically represented, in the Cartesian space, by a system of nonlinear differential equations that may be written in matrix form as $I(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}} + V(\mathbf{x}\dot{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f} \qquad (1)$ I(x) being the inertia matrix, $V(\mathbf{x}\dot{\mathbf{x}})$ the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix, $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})$ a vector of gravitational generalized forces, x the generalized position of the mobile platform or endeffector and \mathbf{f} the controlled generalized force applied on the end-effector: $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{J}^{T}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \qquad (2)$ where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the generalized force developed by the actuators and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ is a jacobian matrix. The dynamic model of a parallel manipulator is usually developed following one of two approaches (Callegari, 2006): the Newton-Euler or the Lagrange methods. The Newton-Euler approaches (Callegari, 2006): the Newton-Euler or the Lagrange methods. The Newton-Euler approaches (Callegari, 2006): the Newton-Euler or the Lagrange methods. The Newton-Euler approaches (Callegari, 2006): the Newton-Euler or the Lagrange methods. The Newton-Euler equation is applied to each single body and all forces and torques acting on it are obtained. Do and Yaang, and Reboulet and Berthomieu use this method on the dynamic modelling of a Stewart platform (Do & Yaag, 1988; Reboulet & Berthomieu, 1991). They achieve their result introducing some simplifications, Book edited by: Huapeng Wu, ISBN 978-3-902613-40-0, pp. 506, April 2008, I-Tech Education and Publishing, Vienna, Austria Source: Parallel Manipulators, Towards New Applications, Book edited by: Huapeng Wu, ISBN 978-3-902613-40-0, pp. 506, April 2008,

I-Tech Education and Publishing, Vienna, Austria

influence of leg inertia on the dynamic model of a Stewart platform. Mouly (Mouly, 1993) presents a simplified model for a variation of the Stewart platform, only taking into account the mobile platform. Dasgupta and Mruthyunjaya used the Newton-Euler approach to develop a closed-form dynamic model of the Stewart platform (Dasgupta & Mruthyunjaya, 1998). This method was also used by other researchers (Dasgupta & Choudhury, 1999; Khalil & Ibrahim, 2007; Riebe & Ulbrich, 2003; Khalil & Guegan, 2004; Guo & Li, 2006; Carvalho & Ceccarelli, 2001).

The Lagrange method describes the dynamics of a mechanical system from the concepts of work and energy. This method enables a systematic approach to the motion equations of any mechanical system. Nguyen and Pooran use this method to model a Stewart platform, modelling the legs as point masses (Nguyen & Pooran, 1989). Other researchers follow an approach similar to the one used by Nguyen and Pooran, but trying to increase the physical meaning of the obtained mathematical expressions (Liu et al., 1993; Lebret et al., 1993). Geng and co-authors (Geng et al., 1992) used the Lagrange's method to develop the equations of motion for a class of Stewart platforms. Some simplifying assumptions regarding the manipulator geometry and inertia distribution were considered. Lagrange's method was also used by others (Bhattacharya et al., 1998; Gregório & Parenti-Castelli, 2004; Caccavale et al., 2003).

Unfortunately the dynamic models obtained from these classical approaches usually present high computational loads. Therefore, alternative methods have been searched, namely the ones based on the principle of virtual work (Wang & Gosselin, 1998; Tsai, 2000; Li & Xu, 2005; Staicu et al., 2007), and screw theory (Gallardo et al., 2003).

In this paper the authors present a new approach to the problem of obtaining the dynamic model of a six degrees-of-freedom (dof) parallel manipulator: the use of the generalized momentum concept.

The manipulator under study may be seen as a variation of the Stewart platform, with the uniqueness of having all its actuators fixed to the base platform and only moving in a direction perpendicular to that base (Merlet & Gosselin, 1991). A prototype of this manipulator, the Robotic Controlled Impedance Device (RCID), was developed aiming a broad set of force-impedance control tasks. The obtained dynamic model requires a considerably lower computational effort than the one resulting from the use of classical Lagrange method.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the RCID parallel manipulator. Section 3 presents the manipulator dynamic model using the generalized momentum approach. In section 4 the computational effort of the RCID dynamic model is evaluated. Conclusions are drawn in section 5.

2. Parallel manipulator structure

The RCID is a 6-dof parallel mini-manipulator (Figure 1). Parallel manipulators are well known because of their high dynamic performances and low positioning errors (Chablat et al., 2004; Merlet, 2006). In the last few years parallel manipulators have attracted great attention from researchers involved with robot manipulators (Bruzzone, 2005), robotic end effectors (Vischer & Clavel, 2000), robotic devices for high-precision robotic tasks (Pernette, et al., 2000), machine-tools (Zhang & Gosselin, 2002), simulators (Kim et al., 2002), and haptic devices (Constantinescu et al., 2005).



Figure 1. Photography of the RCID

The mechanical structure of the RCID comprises a fixed (base) platform and a moving (payload) platform, linked together by six independent, identical, open kinematic chains (Figure 2). Each chain comprises two links: the first link (linear actuator) is always normal to the base and has a variable length, l_i , with one of its ends fixed to the base and the other one attached, by a universal joint, to the second link; the second link (fixed-length link) has a fixed length, L_i , and is attached to the payload platform by a spherical joint. Points B_i and P_i are the connecting points to the base and payload platforms. They are located at the vertices of two semi-regular hexagons, inscribed in circumferences of radius r_B and r_P , that are coplanar with the base and payload platforms (Figure 3).



Figure 2. A schematic view of the RCID mechanical structure

For kinematic modelling purposes a right-handed reference frame {B} is attached to the base. Its origin is located at point *B*, the centroid of the base. Axis \mathbf{x}_B is normal to the line connecting points B_1 and B_6 and axis \mathbf{z}_B is normal to the base, pointing towards the payload platform. The angles between points B_1 and B_3 and points B_3 and B_5 are set to 120°. The

separation angles between points B_1 and B_6 , B_2 and B_3 , and B_4 and B_5 are denoted by $2\phi_B$ (Figure 3). In a similar way, a right-handed frame {P} is assigned to the payload platform. Its origin is located at point *P*, the centroid of the payload platform. Axis \mathbf{x}_P is normal to the line connecting points P_1 and P_6 and axis \mathbf{z}_P is normal to the payload platform, pointing in a direction opposite to the base. The angles between points P_1 and P_3 and points P_3 and P_5 are set to 120°. The separation angles between points P_1 and P_2 , P_3 and P_4 , and P_5 and P_6 are denoted by $2\phi_P$ (Figure 3). The main kinematic RCID parameters have been adjusted in order to maximize the manipulator dexterity (Lopes & Almeida, 1996) within a prescribed workspace: the payload platform may be positioned anywhere inside a sphere of radius 10 mm (centred at a point of the line witch contains axis \mathbf{z}_B) and rotate $\pm 15^\circ$ around any axis containing the payload platform centre. This requires the actuators displacement of $\Delta l_i = 70$ mm approximately. The main kinematic parameters values are shown in Table 1.



Figure 3. Position of the connecting points to the base and payload platforms

	Parameter	Value		
	r_B	80 mm		
	rp	40 mm		
	ϕ_B	15°		
	ϕ_P	0º		
	L	97.98 mm		
	Δl_i	70 mm		

Table 1. RCID main kinematic parameters

The RCID prototype is powered by six DC rotary motors (28D11-222E.2, from PORTESCAP). A ball-screw based transmission converts motor rotation to actuator vertical translation. Linear position and acceleration of each actuator are measured, as well as Cartesian forces and moments applied to the payload platform. Actuators acceleration relative to the base platform is given by the difference between the signals of each actuator accelerometer and the base accelerometer. Potentiometric displacement transducers (RC13-

100 Bauform M, from MEGATRON), accelerometers (FA-208-15, Range \pm 5g, from EUROSENSOR) and a six-axis force/torque transducer (67M25A-I40, 200N, from JR3) are used.

The RCID mechanical structure has been produced using, whenever possible, standard mechanical components. Nevertheless, several small parts have been purposely designed and manufactured. Physically, the RCID mechanical structure comprises two fixed identical parallel platforms, which have been carefully aligned both angular and axially. The bottom platform supports the six DC electric motors. It also supports a flange to connect the RCID to an industrial robot. The ball-screw transmissions (from STAR), the linear actuators, and the potentiometric displacement transducers are located between the two fixed platforms. The universal joints are steel standard parts (from HUCO) using needle roller bearings. The fixed-length links and the double spherical joints have been purposely designed and manufactured. The payload platform supports the force/torque transducer, which has an ISO interface that may be used to attach a tool. The RCID maximal height is approximately 530 mm (when the payload platform is at its farthest position). Maximum diameter is approximately 265 mm (corresponding to the fixed platforms diameter), and total mass is about 9.7 kg. Maximum payload platform velocity along vertical direction is 220 mm/s and maximum payload capability is 5 kg.

For kinematic modelling purposes, and attaching frames {P} and {B} to the payload and base platforms, respectively, the generalized position of frame {P} relative to frame {B} may be represented by the vector:

$${}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P|_{B|E}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{P} & y_{P} & z_{P} & \psi_{P} & \theta_{P} & \varphi_{P} \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)}^{T} & {}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(o)}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(3)

where ${}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)}{}_{|B} = [x_{P} \quad y_{P} \quad z_{P}]^{T}$ is the position of the origin of frame {P} relative to frame {B}, and ${}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(o)}{}_{|E} = [\psi_{P} \quad \theta_{P} \quad \varphi_{P}]^{T}$ defines an Euler angle system representing orientation of frame {P} relative to {B}. The Euler angles constitute a minimal representation of a rigid body orientation (only three parameters). There exist twelve different Euler angle systems, according to the sequence of the performed elemental rotations (Sciavicco & Siciliano, 1996). The used Euler angle system corresponds to the basic rotations (Vukobratovic & Kircanski, 1986): ψ_{P} about \mathbf{z}_{P} ; θ_{P} about the rotated axis $\mathbf{y}_{P'}$; and φ_{P} about the rotated axis $\mathbf{x}_{P''}$. This is equivalent to a rotation of φ_{P} about \mathbf{x}_{B} , followed by a rotation of θ_{P} about \mathbf{y}_{B} , and a rotation of ψ_{P} about \mathbf{z}_{B} . The rotation matrix is given by:

$${}^{B}\mathbf{R}_{P} = \begin{bmatrix} C\psi_{P}C\theta_{P} & C\psi_{P}S\theta_{P}S\varphi_{P} - S\psi_{P}C\varphi_{P} & C\psi_{P}S\theta_{P}C\varphi_{P} + S\psi_{P}S\varphi_{P} \\ S\psi_{P}C\theta_{P} & S\psi_{P}S\theta_{P}S\varphi_{P} + C\psi_{P}C\varphi_{P} & S\psi_{P}S\theta_{P}C\varphi_{P} - C\psi_{P}S\varphi_{P} \\ -S\theta_{P} & C\theta_{P}S\varphi_{P} & C\theta_{P}C\varphi_{P} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

 $S(\cdot)$ and $C(\cdot)$ correspond to the sine and cosine functions, respectively. The chosen Euler angle system introduces a representation singularity at $\theta_P = 90^\circ$, that is, outside the allowed RCID workspace.

The position and velocity kinematic models of the RCID are well known (Merlet & Gosselin, 1991), being obtainable from the geometrical analysis of the kinematics chains. The velocity kinematics is represented by the Euler angles jacobian matrix, J_E , or the kinematics jacobian,

(6)

 J_C . These jacobians relate the velocities of the active joints, the actuators, with the generalized velocity of the mobile platform:

 $\dot{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{J}_{C} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P \mid B} = \mathbf{J}_{C} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B} \mathbf{x}_{P(pos) \mid B} \\ {}^{B} \mathbf{\omega}_{P \mid B} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\dot{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}} = \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(pos)|_{B}} \\ B \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(o)|_{E}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

with

$$\dot{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{i}_1 & \dot{i}_2 & \cdots & \dot{i}_6 \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{7}$$

$${}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|_{B}} = \mathbf{J}_{A} \cdot {}^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(o)|_{F}}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

and (Vukobratovic & Kircanski, 1986)

$$\mathbf{J}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -S\psi_{P} & C\theta_{P}C\psi_{P} \\ 0 & C\psi_{P} & C\theta_{P}S\psi_{P} \\ 1 & 0 & -S\theta_{P} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

Vectors ${}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(pos)}|_{B} \equiv {}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P}|_{B}$ and ${}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P}|_{B}$ represent, by that order, the linear and angular velocity of the mobile platform relative to {B}, and ${}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(o)}|_{E}$ represents the Euler angles time derivative.

3. Dynamic modelling using the generalized momentum approach

The generalized momentum of a rigid body, \mathbf{q}_c , may be obtained from the following general expression:

$$\mathbf{q}_c = \mathbf{I}_c \cdot \mathbf{u}_c \tag{10}$$

Vector \mathbf{u}_c represents the generalized velocity (linear and angular) of the body and \mathbf{I}_c is its inertia matrix. Vectors \mathbf{q}_c and \mathbf{u}_c and inertia matrix \mathbf{I}_c must be expressed in the same frame of reference.

Equation (10) may also be written as:

$$\mathbf{q}_{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{c} \\ \mathbf{H}_{c} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{c(tra)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{c(rot)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{c} \\ \mathbf{\omega}_{c} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

where \mathbf{Q}_c is the linear momentum vector due to rigid body translation and \mathbf{H}_c is the angular momentum vector due to body rotation. $I_{c(tra)}$ is the translational inertia matrix and $\mathbf{I}_{c(rot)}$ the rotational inertia matrix. \mathbf{v}_c and $\mathbf{\omega}_c$ are the body linear and angular velocities.

The inertial component of the generalized force acting on the body can be obtained from the time derivative of equation (10):

$$\mathbf{f}_{c(ine)} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{c} = \dot{\mathbf{I}}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{c} + \mathbf{I}_{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{c}$$
(12)

with force and momentum expressed in the same frame.

3.1 Mobile platform modeling

The linear momentum of the mobile platform, written in frame {B}, $\mathbf{Q}_{P|_{B}}$, may be obtained from the following expression:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{P|_{B}} = m_{P} \cdot^{B} \mathbf{v}_{P|_{B}} = \mathbf{I}_{P(tra)} \cdot^{B} \mathbf{v}_{P|_{B}}$$
(13)
s the translational inertia matrix of the mobile platform.

 $\mathbf{I}_{P(tra)}$ is the translational inertia matrix of the mobile platform,

$$\mathbf{I}_{P(tra)} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{P} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_{P} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_{P} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

 m_P being its mass.

The angular momentum, $\mathbf{H}_{P \mid B}$, also written in frame {B}, is:

$$\mathbf{H}_{P|R} = \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|R} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|R}$$
(15)

 $\mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|_{B}}$ represents the rotational inertia matrix of the mobile platform, expressed in the base frame {B}.

The inertia matrix of a rigid body is constant when expressed in a frame that is fixed relative to that body. Furthermore if the frame axes coincide with the principal directions of inertia of the body, then all inertia products are zero and the inertia matrix is diagonal. Therefore, the rotational inertia matrix of the mobile platform when expressed in frame {P} may be written as:

$$\mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|_{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{P_{xx}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{P_{yy}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{P_{zz}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

This inertia matrix can be written in frame {B} using the following transformation (Torby, 1984):

$$\mathbf{I}_{P(rot)}|_{B} = {}^{B}\mathbf{R}_{P} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)}|_{P} \cdot {}^{B}\mathbf{R}_{P}^{T}$$
(17)

The generalized momentum of the mobile platform, expressed in frame {B}, can be obtained from the simultaneous use of equations (13) and (15):

$$\mathbf{q}_{P|B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{P(tra)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P|B} \\ {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where

$$\mathbf{I}_{P|B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{P(tra)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

is the mobile platform inertia matrix written in the base frame {B}. The combination of equations (8) and (15) results into:

$$\mathbf{H}_{P|B} = \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{A} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(o)|E}$$
(20)
Accordingly, equation (18) may be rewritten as:
$$\mathbf{q}_{P|B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{P(ra)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{P(rot)|B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{J}_{A} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B} \mathbf{v}_{P|B} \\ {}^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(o)|E} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

$$\mathbf{q}_{P|B} = \mathbf{I}_{P|B} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|B|E}$$
(22)

T being a matrix transformation defined by:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \Im & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{J}_A \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

The time derivative of equation (22) results into:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)|_{B}} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{P|_{B}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbf{I}_{P|_{B}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \right)^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}} + \mathbf{I}_{P|_{B}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}}$$
(24)

 ${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)|_{B}}$ is the inertial component of the generalized force acting on {P} due to the mobile platform motion, expressed in frame {B}. The corresponding actuating forces, $\mathbf{\tau}_{P(ine)}$, may be computed from the following relation:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{P(ine)} = \mathbf{J}_{C}^{-T} \cdot^{P} \mathbf{f}_{P(ine)|_{P}}$$
(25)

The same inertial component of the generalized force acting on $\{P\}$ due to the mobile platform motion, but now expressed using the Euler angles system, can be found by premultiplying equation (24) by T^T :

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)}|_{B|E} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)}|_{B} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{I}_{P|B} \cdot \mathbf{T})^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|B|E} + \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{P|B} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot {}^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|B|E}$$
(26)

and, in a similar way, the corresponding actuating forces, $\tau_{P(ine)}$, may be computed from the relation:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{P(ine)} = \mathbf{J}_{E}^{-T} \cdot^{P} \mathbf{f}_{P(ine)}|_{BE}$$
(27)

This implies that:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)}|_{B|E} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{P}\mathbf{F}_{P(ine)}^{T} & {}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(28)

76

Vector ${}^{P}\mathbf{F}_{P(ine)}|_{B}$ represents the force acting on the centre of mass of the mobile platform, expressed in the base frame, {B}, and vector ${}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)}|_{E}$ represents the moment acting on the mobile platform, expressed using the Euler angles system. Thus, this representation does not allow a clear physical interpretation of ${}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)}|_{E}$.

On the other hand, it can be said that

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(ine)|_{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{P}\mathbf{F}_{P(ine)|_{B}}^{T} & {}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)|_{B}}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(29)

where ${}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)|_{B}} = \mathbf{J}_{A}^{-T} \cdot {}^{P}\mathbf{M}_{P(ine)|_{E}}$ represents the moment acting on the mobile platform expressed, this time, in the base frame.

From equation (24) it can be concluded that two matrices playing the roles of the inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix are:

$$\mathbf{I}_{P|_{R}} \cdot \mathbf{T}$$
(30)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbf{I}_{P \mid_{B}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \right) \tag{31}$$

It must be emphasized that these matrices do not have the properties of inertia or Coriolis and centripetal terms matrices and therefore should not, strictly, be named as such. Nevertheless, throughout the paper the names "inertia matrix" and "Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix" may be used if there is no risk of misunderstanding.

On the other hand, from equation (26), the inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix, expressed in the Euler angles system, are:

$$\mathbf{I}_{P|F} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{P|F} \cdot \mathbf{T}$$
(32)

$$\mathbf{V}_{P|E} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbf{I}_{P|B} \cdot \mathbf{T} \right)$$
(33)

3.2 Actuators modeling

As the RCID actuators can only move perpendicularly to the base plane, their angular velocity relative to frame {B} is always zero. So, each actuator can be modelled as a point mass located at its centre of mass.

The linear momentum of each actuator along direction \mathbf{z}_{B} , q_{A} , is obtainable from:

$$q_{A_i} = m_A \cdot \dot{l}_i \tag{34}$$

where m_A is the mass and l_i the velocity of actuator *i*. Simultaneously considering the six actuators results into:

$$\mathbf{q}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{A_{1}} \\ q_{A_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ q_{A_{6}} \end{bmatrix} = m_{A} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{l}_{1} \\ \dot{l}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{l}_{6} \end{bmatrix} = m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{i}$$
(35)

The use of velocity inverse kinematics and transformation T in equation (35) leads to:

$$\mathbf{q}_{A} = \boldsymbol{m}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{C} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{BEF}}$$
(36)

The inertial component of the actuating forces, $\tau_{A(ine)}$, due to actuators translation may be obtained from the time derivative of equation (36):

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{A(ine)} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{A} = m_{A} \cdot \left(\dot{\mathbf{J}}_{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P \mid B|E} + \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P \mid B|E} \right)$$
(37)

Multiplying equation (37) by \mathbf{J}_{C}^{T} the inertial component of the generalized force acting on {P} due to actuators translation, expressed in frame {B}, is obtained as:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{A(ine)|_{B}} = m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{C}^{T} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{J}}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}} + m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{C}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}}$$
(38)

The inertial component of the generalized force acting on {P} due to actuators translation, expressed using the Euler angles system, is:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{A(ine)}|_{B|E} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{A(ine)}|_{B} = m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{C}^{T} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{J}}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{C}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$

$$= m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E}^{T} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{J}}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E} \cdot {}^{B}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$

$$(39)$$

The inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix, expressed in the Euler angles system, may be extracted from equation (39) as:

$$\mathbf{I}_{A|_{E(eq)}} = m_A \cdot \mathbf{J}_E^T \cdot \mathbf{J}_E$$
(40)

$$\mathbf{V}_{A\mid_{E(eq)}} = m_{A} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{E}^{T} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{J}}_{E}$$
(41)

These matrices represent the inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix of a virtual mobile platform that is equivalent to the six actuators.

3.3 Fixed-length links modeling

If the centre of mass of each fixed-length link, cm_L , is considered to be located at a constant distance b_{cm} from the fixed-length link to mobile platform connecting point (Figure 4) then its position relative to frame {B} is:

$${}^{B}\mathbf{p}_{L_{i}}{}_{|_{B}} = {}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)}{}_{|_{B}} + {}^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i}{}_{|_{B}} - \frac{b_{cm}}{L} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i}$$

$$(42)$$

Equation (42) may be successively rewritten as:

$${}^{B}\mathbf{p}_{L_{i}|_{B}} = {}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)|_{B}} + {}^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{B}} - \frac{b_{cm}}{L} \cdot \left({}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)|_{B}} - \mathbf{b}_{i} + {}^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{B}} - \mathbf{d}_{i}\right)$$
(43)

$${}^{\scriptscriptstyle B}\mathbf{p}_{L_i|_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} = \left(1 - \frac{b_{\scriptscriptstyle cm}}{L}\right) \cdot {}^{\scriptscriptstyle B}\mathbf{x}_{P(pos)|_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} + \left(1 - \frac{b_{\scriptscriptstyle cm}}{L}\right) \cdot {}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{\scriptscriptstyle B}} + \frac{b_{\scriptscriptstyle cm}}{L} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \frac{b_{\scriptscriptstyle cm}}{L} \cdot \mathbf{d}_i$$
(44)

 ${}^{B}\mathbf{p}_{L_{i}}|_{B}$ being a vector expressed in frame {B}.



Figure 4. Position of the centre of mass of a fixed-length link *i*

The linear velocity of the fixed-length link centre of mass, ${}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{L_{i}|_{B}}$, relative to {B} and expressed in the same frame may be computed from the time derivative of equation (44):

$${}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{L_{i}|_{B}} = \left(1 - \frac{b_{cm}}{L}\right) \cdot \left({}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(pos)|_{B}} + {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|_{B}} \times^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{B}}\right) + \frac{b_{cm}}{L} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{d}}_{i}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{b_{cm}}{L}\right) \cdot \left({}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P(pos)|_{B}} + {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|_{B}} \times^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{B}}\right) + \frac{b_{cm}}{L} \cdot \dot{l}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{B}$$
(45)

Equation (45) can be rewritten as:

$${}^{B}\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{L_{i}}|_{B} = \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P}|_{B} \\ {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P}|_{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(46)

where the jacobian \mathbf{J}_{B_i} is given by:

$$\mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} = \left(1 - \frac{b_{cm}}{L}\right) \cdot \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci1} & \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci2} & \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci3} + 1 \\ 0 & P_{i \mid B_{z}} & -P_{i \mid B_{z}} \\ -P_{i \mid B_{z}} & 0 & P_{i \mid B_{x}} \\ P_{i \mid B_{y}} + \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci4} & -P_{i \mid B_{x}} + \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci5} & \frac{b_{cm}}{L - b_{cm}} J_{Ci6} \end{array}\right]$$
(47)

being \mathbf{J}_{Cij} the elements of line *i* column *j* of matrix \mathbf{J}_{C} . The linear momentum of each fixed-length link, $\mathbf{Q}_{L_i|_B}$, can be represented in frame {B} as:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{L_i|_B} = m_L \cdot^B \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{L_i|_B} \tag{48}$$

where m_L is fixed-length link mass.

Introducing jacobian J_{B_i} and transformation T in the previous equation results into:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{L_i|_B} = m_L \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_i} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}}$$
(49)

The inertial component of the force applied to the fixed-length link due to its translation and expressed in {B} can be obtained from the time derivative of equation (49):

$$^{L_{i}}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B} = \dot{\mathbf{Q}}_{L_{i}}|_{B} = m_{L} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T})^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + m_{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot {}^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$
(50)

When equation (50) is multiplied by $\mathbf{J}_{B_i}^{T}$ the inertial component of the force applied to {P} due to each fixed-length link translation is obtained in frame {B}:

$$\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B} = \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}}^{T} \cdot \overset{L_{i}}{\mathbf{f}}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B}$$

$$= m_{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T})^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + m_{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \overset{B}{\mathbf{x}} \overset{B}{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$

$$(51)$$

The inertial component of the generalized force applied to {P} due to each fixed-length link translation and expressed using the Euler angles system can be obtained pre-multiplying equation (51) by matrix T^{T} :

$$\mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P} \mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B} = m_{L} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T})^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}} + m_{L} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot {}^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P|_{B|E}}$$
(52)

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B|E} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(tra)}|_{B}$$
(53)

The inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix of the translating fixedlength link, expressed in the Euler angles system, may be extracted from equation (52) as:

$$\mathbf{I}_{L_i(tra)}|_{E(eq)} = m_L \cdot \mathbf{T}^T \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_i}^T \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_i} \cdot \mathbf{T}$$
(54)

$$\mathbf{V}_{L_i(tra)}|_{E(eq)} = m_L \cdot \mathbf{T}^T \cdot \mathbf{J}_{B_i}^T \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbf{J}_{B_i} \cdot \mathbf{T} \right)$$
(55)

These matrices represent the inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix of a virtual mobile platform that is equivalent to each translating fixed-length link. The angular momentum of each fixed-length link can be represented in frame {B} as:

$$\mathbf{H}_{L_i \mid B} = \mathbf{I}_{L_i(rot) \mid B} \cdot^B \boldsymbol{\omega}_{L_i \mid B}$$
(56)

It is convenient to express the inertia matrix of the rotating fixed-length link in a frame fixed to the fixed-length link itself, $\{L_i\} = \{ \mathbf{x}_{L_i}, \mathbf{y}_{L_i}, \mathbf{z}_{L_i} \}$. So,

80

$$\mathbf{I}_{L_i(rot)|_B} = {}^{B} \mathbf{R}_{L_i} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{L_i(rot)|_{L_i}} \cdot {}^{B} \mathbf{R}_{L_i}^{T}$$
(57)

where ${}^{B}\mathbf{R}_{L_{i}}$ is the orientation matrix of each fixed-length link frame, {L_i}, relative to the base frame, {B}.

Fixed-length links frames were chosen in the following way: axis \mathbf{x}_{L_i} coincides with the fixed-length link axis and points towards the fixed-length link to mobile platform connecting point, meaning that it is coincident with vector \mathbf{a}_i ; axis \mathbf{y}_{L_i} is perpendicular to \mathbf{x}_{L_i} and always parallel to the base plane, this condition being possible given the existence of a universal joint in the fixed-length link to actuator connecting point that negates any rotation along its own axis; axis \mathbf{z}_{L_i} completes the frame following the right hand rule and its projection along axis \mathbf{z}_B is always positive. With this choice matrix ${}^B\mathbf{R}_{L_i}$ becomes:

$${}^{B}\mathbf{R}_{L_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{L_{i}} & \mathbf{y}_{L_{i}} & \mathbf{z}_{L_{i}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(58)

where

$$\mathbf{x}_{L_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{ix}}{L} & \frac{a_{iy}}{L} & \frac{a_{iz}}{L} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(59)

$$\mathbf{y}_{L_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{a_{iy}}{\sqrt{a_{ix}^{2} + a_{iy}^{2}}} & \frac{a_{ix}}{\sqrt{a_{ix}^{2} + a_{iy}^{2}}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(60)

$$\mathbf{z}_{L_i} = \mathbf{x}_{L_i} \times \mathbf{y}_{L_i} \tag{61}$$

So, the inertia matrices of the fixed-length links can be written as

$$\mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{L_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{L_{xx}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{L_{yy}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{L_{zz}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(62)

where $I_{L_{xx}}$, $I_{L_{yy}}$ and $I_{L_{zz}}$ are the fixed-length link moments of inertia expressed in its own frame.

The angular velocity of each fixed-length link can be obtained from the linear velocities of two points belonging to it. If these two points are taken as the fixed-length link to actuator and the fixed-length link to mobile platform connecting points, the following expression results:

$${}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{L_{i}}|_{B}\times\mathbf{a}_{i}={}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P}|_{B}+{}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P}|_{B}\times{}^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i}|_{B}-\dot{l}_{i}\cdot\mathbf{z}_{B}$$
(63)

As the fixed-length link cannot rotate along its own axis the angular velocity along $\mathbf{x}_{L_i} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_i$ is always zero and so vectors \mathbf{a}_i and ${}^{B}\mathbf{\omega}_{L_i}|_{B}$ are always perpendicular. This property enables equation (63) to be rewritten as:

$${}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{L_{i}|_{B}} = \frac{1}{L^{2}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{a}_{i} \times \left({}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P|_{B}} + {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P|_{B}} \times {}^{P}\mathbf{p}_{i|_{B}} - \dot{l}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{B} \right) \right]$$
(64)

or,

$${}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{L_{i}|_{B}} = \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} {}^{B}\mathbf{v}_{P}|_{B} \\ {}^{B}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{P}|_{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(65)
where jacobian $\mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}$ is given by:
$$\mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} = \frac{1}{L^{2}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -a_{iy}J_{C11} & -a_{iy}J_{C12} - a_{iz} & a_{iy}(1 - J_{C13}) \\ a_{iz} + a_{ix}J_{C11} & a_{ix}J_{C12} & -a_{iz}(1 - J_{C13}) \\ -a_{iy} & a_{ix} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(66)
$$a_{iy} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ p_{i} \\ p_{i} \\ p_{j} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{J}_{Ci4} + a_{iz} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{ix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ p_{i} \\ p_{j} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{J}_{Ci4} \end{pmatrix} + a_{iz} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ a_{iz} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{iy} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{ix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ p_{i} \\ p_{j} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{J}_{Ci4} \end{pmatrix} = a_{iz} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ a_{iz} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{iy} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{iy} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{ix} \stackrel{P}{P_{i}} \\ -a_{iy} \stackrel{P}{P$$

Introducing jacobian J_{D_i} and transformation T in equation (56) results into:

$$\mathbf{H}_{L_{i}\mid_{B}} = \mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)\mid_{B}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P\mid_{B\mid E}}$$
(67)

The inertial component of the generalized force applied to the fixed-length link due to its rotation and expressed in {B} can be obtained from the time derivative of equation (67):

$${}^{L_{i}} \mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B} = \dot{\mathbf{H}}_{L_{i}}|_{B}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \Big)^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + \mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$
(68)

When equation (68) is pre-multiplied by $\mathbf{J}_{D_i}^T$ the inertial component of the generalized force applied to {P} due to each fixed-length link rotation is obtained in frame {B}:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B} = \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot {}^{L_{i}}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B}$$
$$= \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T})^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot {}^{B} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$
(69)

The inertial component of the generalized force applied to {P} due to each fixed-length link rotation, ${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{l}(ine)(rot)}|_{B|E}$, expressed using the Euler angles system, can be obtained premultiplying equation (70) by matrix \mathbf{T}^{T} :

$$\mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P} \mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \right)^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E} + \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot {}^{B} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{P}|_{B|E}$$

$$(71)$$

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B|E} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot {}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(ine)(rot)}|_{B}$$

$$(72)$$

The inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix of the rotating fixed-length link, expressed in the Euler angles system, may be extracted from equation (71) as:

$$\mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{E(eq)} = \mathbf{T}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{L_{i}(rot)}|_{B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_{i}} \cdot \mathbf{T}$$
(73)

$$\mathbf{V}_{L_i(rot)\mid_{E(eq)}} = \mathbf{T}^T \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_i}^T \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \Big(\mathbf{I}_{L_i(rot)\mid_B} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{D_i} \cdot \mathbf{T} \Big)$$
(74)

These matrices represent the inertia matrix and the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrix of a virtual mobile platform that is equivalent to each rotating fixed-length link.

It should be noticed that equations (24), (38), (51) and (69) by providing expressions for the inertial component of the generalized force applied to $\{P\}$ and expressed in $\{B\}$ enable a clear physical meaning to the moments applied to $\{P\}$.

3.4 Gravitational component of the RCID dynamic model

Given a general frame {**x**, **y**, **z**}, with $\mathbf{z} \equiv -\hat{\mathbf{g}}$, the potential energy of a rigid body is given by:

$$P_c = m_c \cdot g \cdot z_c \tag{75}$$

where m_c is the body mass, g is the modulus of the gravitational acceleration and \mathbf{z}_c the distance, along \mathbf{z} , from the frame origin to the body the centre of mass.

The gravitational components of the generalized forces acting on {P} can be easily obtained from the potential energy of the different bodies that compose the system:

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{P(gra)|_{B|E}} = \frac{\partial P_{P}\left({}^{B}\mathbf{X}_{P|_{B|E}}\right)}{\partial {}^{B}\mathbf{X}_{P|_{B|E}}}$$
(76)

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{A_{i}(gra)}|_{B|E} = \frac{\partial P_{A_{i}}\left({}^{B}\mathbf{X}_{P}|_{B|E}\right)}{\partial^{B}\mathbf{X}_{P}|_{B|E}}$$
(77)

$${}^{P}\mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(gra)}|_{B|E} = \frac{\partial P_{L_{i}}\left({}^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P}|_{B|E}\right)}{\partial^{B}\mathbf{x}_{P}|_{B|E}}$$
(78)

Vectors ${}^{P} \mathbf{f}_{P(gra)|_{B|E}}$, ${}^{P} \mathbf{f}_{A_{i}(gra)|_{B|E}}$ and ${}^{P} \mathbf{f}_{L_{i}(gra)|_{B|E}}$ represent the gravitational components of the generalized forces acting on {P}, expressed using the Euler angles system, due to, in that order, the mobile platform, each actuator and each fixed-length link. Therefore, to be added to the inertial force components, these vectors must be transformed, to be expressed in frame {B}. This may be done pre-multiplying the gravitational components force vectors by the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{J}_{A}^{-T} \end{bmatrix}$$
(79)

4. Computational effort of the RCID dynamic model

The computational effort of the RCID dynamic model obtained through the use of the generalized momentum approach is compared with the one resulting from applying the Lagrange method using the Koditschek representation (Lebret et al., 1993; Koditschek, 1984). As the largest difference between the two methods rests on how the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrices are calculated, the two models are evaluated by the number of arithmetic operations involved in the computation of these matrices. The results were obtained using the symbolic computational software Maple[®] and are presented in Table 2.

	Lagrange			Generalized Momentum		
	Add.	Mult.	Div.	Add.	Mult.	Div.
Mobile platform	310	590	0	94	226	0
Six actuators	3028	4403	30	724	940	18
Translating link	751	1579	6	131	279	4
Rotating link	2180	3711	7	355	664	7
Total operations	20924	36733	108	3734	6824	84

Table 2. Number of arithmetic operations involved in the computation of the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrices of the RCID dynamic model

The dynamic model obtained by the generalized momentum approach is computationally much more efficient and its superiority manifests precisely in the computation of the matrices requiring the largest relative computational effort: the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrices.

The proposed approach was used in the dynamic modelling of a 6-dof parallel manipulator similar to a Stewart platform. Nevertheless, it can be applied to any mechanism.

5. Conclusion

Dynamic modelling of parallel manipulators presents an inherent complexity. Despite the intensive study in this topic of robotics, mostly conducted in the last two decades, additional research still has to be done in this area.

In this paper an approach based on the manipulator generalized momentum is explored and applied to the dynamic modelling of parallel manipulators. The generalized momentum is used to compute the inertial component of the generalized force acting on the mobile platform. Each manipulator rigid body may be considered and analyzed independently. Analytic expressions for the rigid bodies' inertia and Coriolis and centripetal matrices are obtained, which can be added, as they are expressed in the same frame. Having these matrices, the inertial component of the generalized force acting on the mobile platform may be easily computed. This component can be added to the gravitational part of the generalized force, which is obtained through the manipulator potential energy.

The proposed approach is completely general and can be used as a dynamic modelling tool applicable to any mechanism.

The obtained dynamic model was found to be computationally much more efficient than the one resulting from applying the Lagrange method using the Koditschek representation. Its superiority manifesting precisely in the computation of the matrices requiring the largest relative computational effort: the Coriolis and centripetal terms matrices.

6. References

- Bhattacharya, S.; Nenchev, D. & Uchiyama, M. (1998). A recursive formula for the inverse of the inertia matrix of a parallel manipulator. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 33, (957–964)
- Bruzzone, L.; Molfino, R. & Zoppi, M. (2005) An impedance-controlled parallel robot for high-speed assembly of white goods. *Industrial Robot*, Vol. 32, (226-233)
- Caccavale, F.; Siciliano, B. & Villani, L. (2003). The Tricept Robot: Dynamics and Impedance Control. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, Vol. 8, (263-268)
- Callegari M.; Palpacelli, M. & Principi, M. (2006). Dynamics modelling and control of the 3-RCC translational platform. *Mechatronics*, Vol. 16, (589-605)
- Carvalho, J. & Ceccarelli, M. (2001). A Closed-Form Formulation for the Inverse Dynamics of a Cassino Parallel Manipulator. *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 5, (185–210)
- Chablat, D.; Wenger, P.; Majou, F. & Merlet, J. (2004). An Interval Analysis Based Study for the Design and the Comparison of Three-Degrees-of-Freedom Parallel Kinematic Machines. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 23, (615-624)
- Constantinescu, D.; Salcudean, S. & Croft, E. (2005). Haptic Rendering of Rigid Contacts Using Impulsive and Penalty Forces. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, Vol. 21, (309-323)
- Dasgupta, B. & Choudhury, P. (1999). A general strategy based on the Newton-Euler approach for the dynamic formulation of parallel manipulators. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 34, (801–824)
- Dasgupta, B. & Mruthyunjaya, T. (1998). A Newton-Euler formulation for the inverse dynamics of the Stewart platform manipulator. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 34, (711–725)
- Do, W. & Yang, D. (1988). Inverse Dynamic Analysis and Simulation of a Platform Type of Robot. *Journal of Robotic Systems*, Vol. 5, (209-227)
- Featherstone, R. & Orin, D. (2000). Robot dynamics: equations and algorithms, *Proceedings of* the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 826-834
- Gallardo, J.; Rico, J.; Frisoli, A.; Checcacci, D. & Bergamasco, M. (2003) Dynamics of parallel manipulators by means of screw theory. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 38, (1113–1131)
- Geng, Z.; Haynes, L.; Lee, J. & Carroll, R. (1992). On the dynamic model and kinematics analysis of a class of Stewart platforms. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, Vol. 9, (237–254)
- Gregório, R. & Parenti-Castelli, V. (2004). Dynamics of a Class of Parallel Wrists. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, Vol. 126, (436-441)
- Guo, H. & Li, H. (2006). Dynamic analysis and simulation of a six degree of freedom Stewart platform manipulator. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, Vol. 220, (61-72)
- Ji, Z. (1994). Dynamics Decomposition for Stewart Platforms. ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, Vol. 116, (67-69)
- Khalil, W. & Guegan, S. (2004). Inverse and Direct Dynamic Modeling of Gough–Stewart Robots. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, Vol. 20, (754-761)
- Khalil, W. & Ibrahim, O. (2007), General Solution for the Dynamic Modelling of Parallel Robots. *Journal of Intelligent and Robot Systems*, Vol. 49, (19–37)
- Kim, J.; Hwang, J.; Kim, J.; Iurascu, C.; Park, F. & Cho, Y. (2002). Eclipse II: A New Parallel Mechanism Enabling Continuous 360-Degree Spinning Plus Three-Axis Translational Motions. *IEEE Transactions on robotics and automation*, Vol. 18, (367-373)
- Koditschek, D. (1984) Natural Motion for Robot Arms, Proceedings of 23rd Conference on Decision and Control, pp. 733-735

- Lebret, G.; Liu, K. & Lewis, F. (1993). Dynamic Analysis and Control of a Stewart Platform Manipulator. *Journal of Robotic Systems*, Vol. 10, (629-655)
- Lee, K. & Chirikjian, G. (2005). A New Perspective on O(n) Mass-Matrix Inversion for Serial Revolute Manipulators, *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 4722-4726
- Li, Y. & Xu, Q. (2005). Kinematics and inverse dynamics analysis for a general 3-PRS spatial parallel mechanism. *Robotica*, Vol. 23, (219–229)
- Lilly, K. (1993). Efficient Dynamic Simulation of Robotic Mechanisms, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands
- Liu, K.; Lewis, F.; Lebret, G. & Taylor, D. (1993). The Singularities and Dynamics of a Stewart Platform Manipulator. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, Vol. 8, (287-308)
- Lopes, A. & Almeida, F. (1996). Manipulability Optimization of a Parallel Structure Robotic Manipulator, *Proc. of the 2nd Portuguese Conference on Automatic Control*, pp. 243-248
- Mata, V.; Provenzano, S.; Valero, F. & Cuadrado, J. (2002). Serial-robot dynamics algorithms for moderately large numbers of joints. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 37, (739–755)
- Merlet, J. & Gosselin, C. (1991). Nouvelle Architecture pour un Manipulateur Parallèle à Six Degrés de Liberté. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Vol. 26, (77-90)
- Merlet, J. (2006). Parallel robots, Springer, Dordrecht
- Mouly, N. (1993). Développement d'une Famille de Robots Parallèles à Motorisation Électrique. *Thèse de Doctorat*, École des Mines de Paris
- Naudet, J.; Lefeber, D.; Daerden, F. & Terze Z. (2003). Forward Dynamics of Open-Loop Multibody Mechanisms Using an Efficient Recursive Algorithm Based on Canonical Momenta. *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 10, (45–59)
- Nguyen, C. & Pooran, F. (1989). Dynamic Analysis of a 6 DOF CKCM Robot End-Effector for Dual-Arm Telerobot Systems. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, Vol. 5, (377-394)
- Pernette, E.; Henein, S.; Magnani, I. & Clavel, R. (2000). Design of parallel robots in microrobotics. *Robotica*, Vol. 15, (417-420)
- Reboulet, C. & Berthomieu, T. (1991). Dynamic Models of a Six Degree of Freedom Parallel Manipulators, *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 1153-1157
- Riebe, S. & Ulbrich, H. (2003). Modelling and online computation of the dynamics of a parallel kinematic with six degrees-of-freedom. *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 72, (817–829)
- Sciavicco, L. & Siciliano, B. (1996). *Modeling and Control of Robot Manipulators*, McGraw-Hill International Editions, New York
- Staicu, S.; Liu, X. & Wang, J. (2007). Inverse dynamics of the HALF parallel manipulator with revolute actuators. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, Vol. 50, (1–12)
- Torby, B. (1984). Advanced Dynamics for Engineers, CBS College Publishing, New York
- Tsai, L. (2000). Solving the Inverse Dynamics of a Stewart-Gough Manipulator by the Principle of Virtual Work. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, Vol. 122, (3-9)
- Vischer, P. & Clavel, R. (2000). Argos: A Novel 3-DoF Parallel Wrist Mechanism. The International Journal of Robotics Research, Vol. 19, (5-11)
- Vukobratovic, M. & Kircanski, M. (1986). *Kinematics and Trajectory Synthesis of Manipulation Robots*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Wang, J. & Gosselin, C. (1998). A New Approach for the Dynamic Analysis of Parallel Manipulators. *Multibody System Dynamics*, Vol. 2, (317-334)
- Zhang, D. & Gosselin, C. (2002). Kinetostatic Analysis and Design Optimization of the Tricept Machine Tool Family. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, Vol. 124, (725-733)



Parallel Manipulators, towards New Applications Edited by Huapeng Wu

ISBN 978-3-902613-40-0 Hard cover, 506 pages **Publisher** I-Tech Education and Publishing **Published online** 01, April, 2008 **Published in print edition** April, 2008

In recent years, parallel kinematics mechanisms have attracted a lot of attention from the academic and industrial communities due to potential applications not only as robot manipulators but also as machine tools. Generally, the criteria used to compare the performance of traditional serial robots and parallel robots are the workspace, the ratio between the payload and the robot mass, accuracy, and dynamic behaviour. In addition to the reduced coupling effect between joints, parallel robots bring the benefits of much higher payload-robot mass ratios, superior accuracy and greater stiffness; qualities which lead to better dynamic performance. The main drawback with parallel robots is the relatively small workspace. A great deal of research on parallel robots has been carried out worldwide, and a large number of parallel mechanism systems have been built for various applications, such as remote handling, machine tools, medical robots, simulators, micro-robots, and humanoid robots. This book opens a window to exceptional research and development work on parallel mechanisms contributed by authors from around the world. Through this window the reader can get a good view of current parallel robot research and applications.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Antonio M. Lopes and Fernando Almeida (2008). Dynamic Model of a 6-dof Parallel Manipulator Using the Generalized Momentum Approach, Parallel Manipulators, towards New Applications, Huapeng Wu (Ed.), ISBN: 978-3-902613-40-0, InTech, Available from:

http://www.intechopen.com/books/parallel_manipulators_towards_new_applications/dynamic_model_of_a_6-dof_parallel_manipulator_using_the_generalized_momentum_approach

INTECH

open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821 © 2008 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License</u>, which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.



