

## CP violation in charm decays at CDF

A. DI CANTO on behalf of the CDF COLLABORATION

*Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Germany and  
INFN, Sezione di Pisa - Pisa, Italy*

ricevuto il 31 Agosto 2012

**Summary.** — Exploiting the full Run II data sample collected by the CDF trigger on displaced vertices, we present a search for  $CP$  violation in neutral  $D$  mesons decays to hadronic final states. We use the strong  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$  (and c.c.) decay to identify the flavor of the charmed meson at production time and exploit  $CP$ -conserving strong  $c\bar{c}$  pair-production in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions. The results are the world's most precise measurements to date and confirm the presence of sizable  $CP$ -violating effects in the charm sector as recently observed by the LHCb collaboration.

PACS 11.30.Er – Charge conjugation, parity, time reversal, and other discrete symmetries.

PACS 13.25.Ft – Decays of charmed mesons.

While  $CP$  violation is well established for  $B$  and  $K$  mesons, this is not yet the case for charm mesons. First evidence for  $CP$  violation in two-body singly Cabibbo-suppressed  $D^0$  decays has been recently reported by the LHCb Collaboration [1]. Whether this is a hint of possible new physics contributions to the decay amplitude or not is not yet clear. It is important to broaden our search for  $CP$  violation in further charmed meson decays.

Owing to the large production cross-section available at the Tevatron collider and to the flexibility of a trigger for fully hadronic final states [2], the CDF experiment, in a decade of successful Run II operations, collected millions of  $D$  mesons decays, which allow high-precision  $CP$  violation searches. Here we present two new measurements of  $CP$  violation in neutral  $D$  mesons decays which are among the world's most sensitive to date. In all cases, the production flavor of the neutral  $D$  meson is tagged by the charge of the pion from the  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$  decay (charge conjugated states are implied, unless otherwise stated).

### 1. – Time-integrated asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays

In a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $6\text{ fb}^{-1}$ , CDF searches for time-integrated  $CP$  asymmetries in the resonant substructure of the three-body  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$  decay. As the Standard Model expectation of these  $CP$  asymmetries

TABLE I. – Measured fit fraction asymmetries,  $\mathcal{A}_{FF}$ , for the considered intermediate resonances of the  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second systematic.

Resonance	$\mathcal{A}_{FF}$ (%)	Resonance	$\mathcal{A}_{FF}$ (%)
$K^*(892)^-$	$0.36 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.40$	$K^*(892)^+$	$1.0 \pm 5.7 \pm 2.1$
$K_0^*(1430)^-$	$4.0 \pm 2.4 \pm 3.8$	$K_0^*(1430)^+$	$12 \pm 11 \pm 10$
$K_2^*(1430)^-$	$2.9 \pm 4.0 \pm 4.1$	$K_2^*(1430)^+$	$-10 \pm 14 \pm 29$
$K^*(1410)^-$	$-2.3 \pm 5.7 \pm 6.4$	$K^*(1680)^-$	(not found)
$\rho(770)$	$-0.05 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.08$	$\rho(1450)$	$-4.1 \pm 5.2 \pm 8.1$
$\omega(782)$	$-12.6 \pm 6.0 \pm 2.6$	$\sigma_2$	$-6.8 \pm 7.6 \pm 3.8$
$f_0(980)$	$-0.4 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.6$	$f_0(1370)$	$-0.5 \pm 4.6 \pm 7.7$
$f_2(1270)$	$-4.0 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.0$	$f_0(600)$	$-2.7 \pm 2.7 \pm 3.6$

is  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$  [3], well below the experimental sensitivity, an observation of  $CP$  violation would be a clear hint of new physics.

We reconstruct approximately 350000  $D^*$ -tagged  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0(\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^+ \pi^-$  candidates. Two complementary approaches are used: a full Dalitz fit and a model-independent bin-by-bin comparison of the  $D^0$  and  $\bar{D}^0$  Dalitz plots. We briefly present here only the result of the first approach, a more comprehensive description of the analysis can be found in ref. [4].

For the first time at a hadron collider, a Dalitz amplitude analysis is applied for the description of the dynamics of the decay. We employ the isobar model and determine the asymmetries between the different  $D^0$  and  $\bar{D}^0$  sub-resonance fit fractions (table I) in order to be insensitive to any global instrumental asymmetry in the reconstruction and identification of the candidates of interest. The results represent a significant improvement in terms of precision with respect to previous determinations of these quantities [5], and no hints of any  $CP$ -violating effects are found. The measured value for the overall integrated  $CP$  asymmetry is

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) = (-0.05 \pm 0.57 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.54 \text{ (syst)})\%.$$

Following the procedure described in ref. [6] and assuming no direct  $CP$  violation in the  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay ( $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}} = 0$ ), we can derive a measurement of time-integrated  $CP$  violation in  $D^0$  mixing ( $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{ind}}$ ) since the measured time-integrated asymmetry can be approximately expressed as

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow f) \approx \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}}(D^0 \rightarrow f) + \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\tau} \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{ind}},$$

where  $f$  indicates a generic final state and  $\langle t \rangle / \tau \approx 2.28$  is the observed average  $D^0$  decay time of the sample in units of  $D^0$  lifetimes. We then find

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{ind}} = (-0.02 \pm 0.25 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.24 \text{ (syst)})\%.$$

## 2. – Time-integrated asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays

Building upon the techniques developed for the previous measurement of individual asymmetries in  $D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-$  ( $h = \pi$  or  $K$ ) decays [6], CDF updated and optimized the analysis toward the measurement of the difference of asymmetries,  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP} = \mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) - \mathcal{A}_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-)$ . The difference of asymmetries is here used as a tool to cancel, to an excellent level of accuracy, the few percents detector-induced asymmetry in the efficiencies for reconstructing the tagging-pion from the  $D^*$  decay. The offline selection has been loosened with respect to the measurement of individual asymmetries, since their difference is much less sensitive to instrumental effects allowing for a more inclusive selection, and we now use the full CDF Run II data sample, which corresponds to  $9.7\text{fb}^{-1}$  of integrated luminosity. Requirements on the minimum number of hits for reconstructing tracks are loosened, the  $p_T$  threshold for  $D$  decay products is lowered from 2.2 to 2.0 GeV/ $c$  and  $\sim 12\%$  fraction of charmed mesons produced in  $B$  decays, whose presence does not bias the difference of asymmetries, is now used in the analysis. As a result of the improved selection, the  $D^0$  yield nearly doubles and the expected resolution on  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  becomes competitive with LHCb's [1]. In the following we briefly present the result, more details can be found in ref. [7].

Using the approximately 550 000  $D^*$ -tagged  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $1.21 \cdot 10^6$   $D^*$ -tagged  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$  decays, we measure

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP} = (-0.62 \pm 0.21 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.10 \text{ (syst)})\%,$$

which is  $2.7\sigma$  different from zero and consistent with the LHCb result [1], suggesting that CDF data support  $CP$  violation in charm. By means of eq. (1) and using the observed values of  $\langle t(K^+K^-) \rangle - \langle t(\pi^+\pi^-) \rangle = (0.27 \pm 0.01)\tau$ , the observed asymmetry is combined with all other available measurements of  $CP$  violation in  $D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-$  decays to extract the values of  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{ind}}$  and  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}} = \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) - \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ . The combination yields  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{dir}} = (-0.67 \pm 0.16)\%$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{ind}} = (-0.02 \pm 0.22)\%$ , which deviates by approximately  $3.8\sigma$  from the no- $CP$  violation point.

Finally, the measured value of  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_{CP}$  from the subsample of additional events selected by the new criteria is combined with the statistically independent results of ref. [6], to obtain a more precise determination of the individual asymmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) &= (+0.31 \pm 0.22 \text{ (stat. + syst.)})\%, \\ \mathcal{A}_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) &= (-0.32 \pm 0.21 \text{ (stat. + syst.)})\%. \end{aligned}$$

These results are the world's most precise to date; they improve and supersede the previous corresponding results of ref. [6].

## REFERENCES

- [1] AAIJ R. *et al.* (LHCb COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108** (2012) 111602.
- [2] RISTORI L. and PUNZI G., *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, **60** (2010) 595; ASHMANSKAS W. *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A*, **518** (2004) 532.
- [3] BIGI I. I. and YAMAMOTO H., *Phys. Lett. B*, **349** (1995) 363; XING Z.-Z., *Phys. Lett. B*, **353** (1995) 313.
- [4] AALTONEN T. *et al.* (CDF COLLABORATION), arXiv:1207.0825 [hep-ex].
- [5] ASNER D. M. *et al.* (CLEO COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. D*, **70** (2004) 091101(R).
- [6] AALTONEN T. *et al.* (CDF COLLABORATION), *Phys. Rev. D*, **85** (2012) 012009.
- [7] AALTONEN T. *et al.* (CDF COLLABORATION), arXiv:1207.2158 [hep-ex] and CDF Public Note 10784.