



MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

Screening and brief alcohol intervention in routine primary care in the UK: 12-month outcomes

Eileen Kaner^{1*}, Colin Drummond², Paolo Deluca³, Dorothy Newbury-Birch¹, Simon Coulton⁴

From International Network on Brief Interventions for Alcohol Problems (INEBRIA) Meeting 2011
Boston, MA, USA. 21-23 September 2011

Numerous brief intervention (BI) trials have reported positive effects in primary care. However, it is unclear if structured advice or counseling is the more effective form of BI. The Screening and Intervention Program for Sensible Drinking (SIPS) trial aimed to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of different intensities of BI at reducing risky drinking in primary care. Practices were randomly allocated to one of three conditions: a leaflet-only control; five minutes of brief structured advice; or 20 minutes of brief counseling. Practices were asked to recruit at least 31 risk drinkers who received a short assessment followed by BI. Patients were followed up at six and 12 months post-intervention. The primary outcome was the proportion of risky drinkers as measured by the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT). Overall, 3562 patients were assessed for eligibility in 29 practices: 2991 (84%) were eligible; 900 (30%) screened positive for risky drinking; and 752 (83.6%) consented to participate in the trial. At 12 months, 79% patients ($n = 598$) were available for follow-up. No significant differences in follow-up rates were observed by condition. There was an overall reduction in risky drinking of 16.5% between baseline and 12 months. By condition, the reductions were 17.3% for controls, 12.7% for brief advice, and 19.6% for brief counseling. An adjusted logistic regression model identified baseline AUDIT score and gender as significant predictors of risky drinking at 12 months. Patients with lower baseline scores and women were more likely to be negative for risky drinking at follow-up based on AUDIT score. Brief advice and brief counseling did not produce significantly greater effects in reducing risky drinking than leaflet-only. We discuss these findings in light of the current BI literature.

Author details

¹Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ²National Addiction Center, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK. ³Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK. ⁴Center for Health Service Studies, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.

Published: 9 October 2012

doi:10.1186/1940-0640-7-S1-A81

Cite this article as: Kaner et al: Screening and brief alcohol intervention in routine primary care in the UK: 12-month outcomes. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice* 2012 7(Suppl 1):A81.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article