Effect of exercise on fluoride metabolism in adult humans: a pilot study

Fatemeh Vida Zohoori^a, Alison Innerd^b, Liane B Azevedo^a, Gary M Whitford^c, Anne Maguire^d

^a Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

^b School of Social Sciences, Business & Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

° Department of Oral Biology, College of Dental Medicine, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, US

^{d.} Centre for Oral Health Research, School of Dental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastleupon-Tyne, UK

Running title: Effect of exercise on fluoride metabolism

Keywords: fluoride, renal excretion, exercise intensity

* Corresponding author

Professor FV Zohoori

Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University

Middlesbrough, TS1 3BA, UK

Tel: +44 (0) 1642 342973

Fax: +44 (0) 1642 342770

Email: v.zohoori@tees.ac.uk

Abstract:

An understanding of all aspects of fluoride metabolism is critical to identify its biological effects and avoid fluoride toxicity in humans. Fluoride metabolism and subsequently its body retention may be affected by physiological responses to acute exercise. This pilot study investigated the effect of exercise on plasma fluoride concentration, urinary fluoride excretion and fluoride renal clearance following no exercise and three exercise intensity conditions in nine healthy adults after taking a 1-mg Fluoride tablet. After no, light, moderate and vigorous exercise, respectively, the mean (SD) baseline-adjusted i) plasma fluoride concentration was 9.6(6.3), 11.4(6.3), 15.6(7.7) and 14.9(10.0) ng/ml; ii) rate of urinary fluoride excretion over 0-8h was 46(15), 44(22), 34(17) and $36(17) \mu$ g/h; and iii) rate of fluoride renal clearance was 26.5(9.0), 27.2(30.4), 13.1(20.4) and 18.3(34.9) ml/min. The observed trend of a rise in plasma fluoride concentration and decline in rate of fluoride renal clearance with increasing exercise intensity needs to be investigated in a larger trial. This study, which provides the first data on the effect of exercise with different intensities on fluoride metabolism in humans, informs sample size planning for any subsequent definitive trial, by providing a robust estimate of the variability of the effect.

Introduction:

Fluoride (F) is a trace element which is naturally present in drinking water and all foods and drinks, especially tea and seafoods, at varying concentrations. Following absorption from the gastrointestinal tract, F is rapidly integrated into calcified tissues which contain 99% of body F. F status is of nutritional and public health significance and the American Dietetic Association¹ has confirmed F as an important element for achieving and maintaining oral and bone health.

A major decline in the prevalence and severity of dental caries has been seen in many countries worldwide as a result of appropriate exposure to F in different forms added to drinking water, salt or milk. In the UK, more than 10% of the population receives fluoridated water at a concentration of 1mg/l and almost 40,000 school children, mainly in deprived areas where the water is not fluoridated, receive fluoridated milk at a dose of 0.5mg/189ml milk in order to reduce the prevalence of dental caries.

Although low levels of F have an important role in prevention of dental caries, disturbances of enamel development (dental/enamel fluorosis) and bone homeostasis (skeletal fluorosis) can result from excessive retention of F in the body during tooth and bone development. Several factors are known to impact F metabolism and subsequently its retention in the body. An understanding of all aspects of F metabolism is critical to identify the biological effects of F and avoid F toxicity in humans.

Almost one-quarter of ingested F is rapidly absorbed from the stomach as hydrogen-fluoride and most of the remainder is absorbed more slowly from the proximal small intestine². Plasma F concentration reaches its peak 30-60min after F ingestion and returns to pre-ingestion levels during the next few hours depending on the F dose³. Approximately half of the absorbed F, in healthy adults and under normal conditions, binds to calcified tissues as flurohydroxyapatite while the remainder is excreted in urine. However, there is a considerable variation in the renal clearance of F among individuals which is dependent on a number of physiological and environmental factors. It has been suggested that, after entering the renal tubules, 10-90% of ionic F might be reabsorbed and returned to systemic circulation². Since the mechanism of renal tubular reabsorption of F is pH-dependent, factors influencing acid-base status and urinary pH including certain drugs, high altitude, some respiratory diseases, metabolic diseases and physical activity can affect urinary F excretion and therefore F retention^{2,3}.

It has been suggested⁴ that the current UK milk fluoridation scheme does not provide adequate protection against dental caries in children. Since fluoridated milk is given to British children on school days at their mid-morning break before going to the playground, the intensity of any exercise undertaken in the post-ingestion period, a potentially important factor in nutrient metabolism, might

impact the ability of the body to retain F. No study on the effect of exercise on F pharmacokinetics has been undertaken in humans and there is very limited evidence on the effect of exercise on F metabolism in laboratory animals. Two studies with rats, which were exposed to an exercise regime of 0.3m/sec and 2.25m/min respectively for 1h on a treadmill, reported 58-76% higher F levels in plasma of non-exercised rats compared with exercised rats^{3,5,6}. The study by Lombarte *et al* (2013)⁵, in which rats exercised for 30 days for 60 min at low intensity found a significantly higher F content of bones in the exercised rats. Although the effect of exercise on F metabolism was not directly investigated in two other studies with rats exposed to high NaF (600ppm), through drinking water for one month^{7,8}, an improvement in antioxidant status of the animals by the combined effect of temperature (25°C and 30°C) and exercise (swimming; 45min/day for 10 days) was reported.

It is well known that exercise benefits bone health in children⁹ and adults¹⁰. Exercise is associated with reduced expression of osteocytes and increased expression of osteoblasts¹¹. F is also one of only a few known agents that can stimulate osteoblast proliferation¹. However, F demonstrates biphasic dose relationships being stimulatory to the precursors of osteoblasts at low doses and inhibitory to osteoclasts at high doses¹².

Given the multiple health benefits of exercise¹³, several policy and environmental interventions have been implemented worldwide to promote and increase physical activity in communities¹⁴. However, very limited evidence and only from animal studies, is available on the effect of exercise on F metabolism. Therefore, in order to understand its effects in humans, this pilot study aimed to evaluate urinary F excretion (UFE) and plasma F concentration in young adults undergoing acute exercise with different intensities following ingestion of a 1mgF tablet (2.2mg NaF).

Methods:

Ethical approval

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by Teesside University, School of Health and Social Care Research and Governance Ethics Committee (protocol number: 154/13). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the experiment.

Experimental Design

This pilot study was a human experiment with a randomised cross-over design, comparing observations within individuals. The sample size for this pilot study was considered based on the early animal studies by Whitford $(n=8)^3$ and the recent study by Lombarte *et al* $(n=10)^5$.

Participants

Ten healthy male and female adults, aged between 20-35y, volunteered to take part in the study. Inclusion criteria were: being resident in a non-fluoridated water area (<0.3ppmF) for more than a year, as well as being moderately active and fit enough to take part in the prescribed exercise. Physical activity level and contra-indications to participate in physical activity were assessed respectively using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire¹⁵ and a Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire¹⁶.

Pre-experimental Procedures

After obtaining written informed consent, participants were asked to attend a pre-experimental session, one week before the trial, when background nocturnal urine and fasted blood samples (Background/pre-washout samples) were collected. At this session, the power output of the cycle ergometer (PowerTap Cycleops400, USA) was determined based on each participant's Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE) according to the Category Ratio Scale of Perceived Exertion (CR-10 RPE scale) ¹⁷. The exercise intensities were set as: light intensity (RPE=3), moderate intensity (RPE=5), and vigorous intensity (RPE=7). Heart rate was monitored during all exercise sessions with a heart rate monitor (Polar FF5, Polar Electro Oy, Finland) and recorded every minute during exercise.

F-free toothpastes were given to participants and they were asked to avoid using any F products for a one week wash-out period before as well as during the experimental period. They were also asked to avoid drinking tea, beer and tap-water (if leaving their stated residential area) and eating seafood during the washout and experimental periods. The subjects were asked to refrain from performing exercise other than habitual walking 48h prior to and during experimental sessions.

Experimental procedure and sample collection

After the one-week washout period, each participant underwent four randomly allocated experimental sessions including one no-exercise session and three exercise sessions at different intensities (light, moderate and vigorous) with approximately a one week interval between sessions (Figure 1).

All sessions were conducted in an exercise laboratory at the same time of day. Exercise sessions consisted of a 5min, self-selected speed warm up followed by 20min of exercise on a stationary exercise cycle ergometer at the allocated intensity.

On each experimental session, a baseline venous blood sample (5ml) was collected from each participant after an overnight fast. Participants were then provided with a low-F breakfast (<10µgF) which comprised a cereal bar, a banana and 200ml orange squash prepared with non-fluoridated

bottled water (1:10v/v). The same food and drink items were consumed at the same time in each of the four sessions by all participants. After 30min, at approximately 09:00am, participants were given a 1mgF tablet (Fluor-a-day 2.2mg NaF, Dental Health Products Ltd, UK) to swallow. The exercise session took from 09:00 to 09:30am. A second venous blood sample was collected, 50min after ingestion of the F tablet, at about 09:50am.

Urine samples were collected by spontaneous voiding over a 24h period during the following time periods:

- One nocturnal pooled urine sample from midnight before the experimental session until 09.00am (baseline, pre-F tablet/pre-exercise);
- 2. One pooled urine sample from 09.00am to 12.00pm during the experimental session (0-3h post-F tablet);
- 3. One pooled urine sample from 12.00pm to 17.00pm during the experimental session (3-8h post-F tablet); and
- 4. One pooled sample from 17.00pm through to just before bed-time (~23.00pm) on the experimental day (8-14h post-F tablet).

Analytical Procedure

Urinary F concentration (μ g/ml) was measured directly after adding total ionic strength adjustment buffer III (Orion Research) to standards and samples using a F-ion-selective electrode (F ISE). F concentrations in plasma (ng/ml) and breakfast items (μ g/g) were measured, in triplicate, using a Fion-selective electrode (Model 9609:Orion Research) coupled to a potentiometer (Model 720A) by a hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDS)-facilitated diffusion method ^{18,19}. This method, which was developed based on Taves method¹⁹ through an international collaborative project, has been previously reported in detail ¹⁸. In summary, 1 ml H₂SO₄ saturated with HMDS was added to 1 ml sample (and standards) in a petri-dish and left at room temperature to diffuse overnight. The released F was trapped in an alkaline solution (50 µl of NaOH (0.05N), which was placed as 5 drops on the inside of the dish lid). After a minimum of 16h diffusion, the lid was removed and 20 µl acetic acid (0.20N) was added and combined with the NaOH into a single drop of 75 µl. The F-ISE electrode was then placed in contact with the 75 µl solution and the mV reading recorded. A calibration curve was used to calculate F concentration of the sample.

Routinely, the standard operating procedure includes using a Standard Reference Material (SRM) to check the validity of the F analytical method. To the best of our knowledge, there is no SRM for plasma. However, the SRM 2668 (Toxic Elements in Frozen Human Urine), produced by the National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, US) has been used a quality

assurance measure. The SRM 2668 comprises human urine at two levels of F (Level I and Level II) with a mean (SD) F concentration of 12.25 (0.14) and 18.83 (0.92) ppmF, respectively. The results for both levels have always been within the range ("mean – SD" and "mean + SD") of F concentrations noted in the NIST certificate.

In addition, the reliability of the methods used was specifically confirmed by re-analysis of a minimum 10% of samples. In each session, 10% of samples was randomly selected for re-analysis, however, the re-analysis experiment was not performed on the same day as when the original samples were analysed in order to confirm between-day reproducibility. In total, 153 urine samples [(9 pre-washout samples @ one pre-washout sample/participant) + (144 post-washout/experimental samples @ 4 samples/session/participant x 4 sessions/participant x 9 participants)] were collected of which 16 were randomly selected for re-analysis. The total number of collected plasma samples was 81 [(9 pre-washout samples @ one pre-washout sample/participant) + (72 post-washout/experimental samples @ 2 samples/session/participant x 4 sessions/participant x 9 participants) of which 11 were randomly selected for reanalysis. All sample analysis and re-analysis was conducted in triplicate.

Data analysis

Age-predicted maximal heart rate (HR) was estimated using the Tanaka et al equation $(208 - 0.7 \times \text{age})^{20}$. Percentage of heart rate maximum (%HRmax) was estimated from the average heart rate of each participant at different exercise intensities.

For each participant, urinary F excretion (UFE) in each individual time-controlled urine sample (μ g) was quantified by multiplying the concentration of F (μ g/ml) in each sample by its corresponding volume (ml). The Baseline UFE was subtracted from the UFE of each sample to derive the 'Baseline-adjusted' UFE. Total post-F tablet (Periods 2-4 inclusive: 14h) UFE was calculated by summing the amount of F excreted in urine for the periods during and after each experimental session for each participant. Since urinary excretion of F returns to almost baseline values within the first 8h after consumption of a F supplement (Ekstrand et al. 1994), the 8h UFE was also calculated by summing the amount of F excreted in individual urine samples collected from 09.00am to 17.00pm (during periods 2 and 3). The UFE rate (μ g/h) for each individual time-controlled urine sample was calculated by dividing UFE (μ g) by the duration of the corresponding collection period (h).

The baseline plasma F concentration was subtracted from the F concentration in each plasma sample to derive the 'Baseline-adjusted' plasma F concentration.

The rate of F renal clearance (ml/min) for each individual at baseline (pre-) and 0-3h post-F tablet was calculated by dividing rate of UFE per minute by plasma F concentration. The 'Baseline-adjusted' F renal clearance was then determined by subtracting post-exercise F renal clearance from baseline F renal clearance.

Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 21). Paired t-tests were applied to compare Background (pre-experimental) and Baseline nocturnal urine volumes and UFEs as well as fasted plasma F concentrations. The mean difference and 95% confidence interval (CI) for fasting plasma F concentration, urine volume and UFE between Background (pre-washout) and Baseline (pre-exercise) are presented.

However, due to the study being a pilot experiment to inform any subsequent definitive trial, only descriptive comparisons between control (no-exercise) and treatment (3 exercise intensities) were undertaken and the data are presented as mean and standard deviations.

Results:

Nine participants (4 males and 5 females) completed all aspects of the study. The mean (SD) age, weight, height and body mass index (BMI) of all participants was 24.7 (3.5) y, 67.7 (13.5) kg, 168.6 (11.3) cm and 24 (3) kg/m², respectively (Table 1).

Accuracy of the analytical method

The accuracy of the analytical method was confirmed by comparing the analysis and re-analysis measurements. The results showed no statistically significant differences between the two measurements with a mean (SD) difference of 0.009 (0.033) mgF/l for urine samples (n=16) and 1.62 (2.56) ngF/ml for plasma samples (n=11). The correlation between the analysis and re-analysis measurements was r=0.997 (p<0.001) for urine and r=0.978 (p<0.001) for plasma samples.

Comparison of Background (pre-experimental) and Baseline data

The mean (SD) Background (pre-washout) and Baseline (pre-exercise) fasting plasma F concentration, nocturnal urine volume, urinary F concentration and UFE are presented in Table 2. The fasting plasma F concentration was significantly (p<0.001) higher at Background compared with Baseline. However, no significant between-week differences in Baseline fasting plasma F concentrations were observed. There were no significant differences in either nocturnal urine volume, or urinary F concentration or nocturnal UFE between Background and Baseline.

Comparison of control and different exercise intensities

Mean (SD) workload on the cycle ergometer for the different exercise intensities was 85.4 (8.7), 113.2 (16.2) and 129.6 (21.2) Watts for light, moderate and vigorous intensities, respectively. The mean (SD) % HRmax at light, moderate and vigorous intensities was 67 (10), 76 (11), 82 (9)% respectively.

The mean (SD) UFE at different time periods during the 24h experimental session for control and each type of exercise regime is presented in Table 3. No substantial differences in UFE were found between control and the three different exercise intensities for any individual- as well as pooled-time periods.

The mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rates across the time-controlled periods of collection are shown in Figure 2. The mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rate tended to be higher for light intensity exercise over the first 3h period after exercise, whereas it tended to be higher for the control conditions over the 3-8h time controlled period. In general, moderate intensity exercise had a tendency to result in the lowest Baseline-adjusted UFE rate after exercise for all time controlled periods (0-3h, 3-8h and 8-14h).

The overall mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rate over the 8h post F ingestion period and mean Baselineadjusted fasting plasma F concentration are shown in Figure 3. Moderate and vigorous intensity exercise resulted in lower mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rates over the 8h period (34 and 36 μ g/h, respectively) in comparison with the control (46 μ g/h), whereas a higher plasma F concentration was found for moderate (15.6ng/ml) and vigorous (14.9ng/ml) intensity exercise compared with the control (9.6ng/ml).

The mean (SD) Baseline-adjusted F renal clearance was 26.5 (9.0), 27.2 (30.4), 13.1 (20.4) and 18.3 (34.9) ml/min for no, light, moderate and vigorous exercise, respectively.

Discussion:

This study provides the first data on the effect of exercise with different intensities on plasma F concentration, renal F excretion and rate of F renal clearance in humans. The results suggests a rise in plasma F concentration and a decline in renal F excretion and clearance rates with increasing exercise intensity.

The study participants were young adults with a fairly narrow age range to minimise age-related variability in plasma F concentration between individuals (i.e. stage of skeletal development and rates of bone accretion and resorption). The present study showed a mean Background (pre-washout) fasting plasma F concentration of 62.0ng/ml which was considerably higher than the corresponding

figure of 19.3ng/ml reported for a group of adults of similar age in the UK²¹. The high fasting plasma F concentration in the present study was mainly due to brushing with a fluoridated-toothpaste (1400ppmF) by participants in the morning just before attending the pre-experimental session. However, there was a statistically significant drop in the mean fasting plasma F concentration (to 12.4ng/ml) at Baseline (pre-exercise) which was primarily a result of switching to a non-fluoridated toothpaste during the washout and experimental period. The mean Baseline fasting plasma F concentration in the present study was consistent with the corresponding value of 10.5ng/ml²²,12.7ng/ml³, 12.4ng/ml²³ and 13.3ng/ml²⁴ reported for adults living in non-fluoridated areas.

Although daily UFE has been recognized as a biomarker for short-term F exposure, overnight fasting F concentration has been suggested as a useful indicator of chronic F exposure or potential bone F concentrations²⁵. In the present study, no significant difference in the mean nocturnal UFE between Background and Baseline was found which can be explained by the dynamic relationship and fixed ratio between F concentrations in the extra-cellular fluid pools and the exchangeable pool in calcified tissues. When F intakes are very low, plasma F concentration declines and as a consequence F ion mobilizes from calcified tissues to extra-cellular fluid to maintain the fixed ratio of the F concentrations. This in turn results in a UFE at least as great as when F intakes are at a rather higher level^{3,26}.

It has been suggested that plasma F concentration reaches its peak within 20-60min following F ingestion³. However, in the present study the preferred timing of the second blood collection (i.e. 50min after F ingestion) was based on more recent studies which have reported narrow ranges in peak plasma F concentration from 48 to 52min in English adults (21-35y)²¹ and from 44 to 56min in Brazilian adults (24-32y)²⁷. Since UFE returns to baseline values in less than 8h after consumption of a given F dose²⁶, in the present study UFE over the 8h following ingestion of the F tablet was determined and adjusted for baseline excretion to estimate the F excretion attributable to F dose.

In the present pilot study, the length of time for a 'wash-out' period was determined based on the previous studies in children and adults which have reported a rapid decrease in circulating F in the body of young children in the first 24 hours after discontinuation of F from water and toothpaste²⁸, in contrast to a relatively constant UFE in adults being reached in one week following fluoridation of water²⁹.

A trend in plasma F concentration, renal F excretion and rate of F renal clearance with an increase in exercise intensity was observed in this pilot study. The results showed a higher Baseline-adjusted plasma F concentrations following moderate (15.6ng/ml) and vigorous (14.9ng/ml) intensity exercise compared to light intensity (11.4ng/ml) and no (9.6ng/ml) exercise, implying a direct correlation

between exercise intensity and plasma F level. A lower Baseline-adjusted UFE over the 8h period as well as F renal clearance for the moderate and vigorous intensity exercise regimes compared with noand light exercise (Figure 3) suggest an increase in exercise intensity would decrease renal excretion of F.

In the present study, the exercise workloads and %HR max for the moderate and vigorous intensity groups were similar which could explain the similar results seen at these intensities. On the other hand the mean difference in exercise workload between the light and moderate exercise was quite noticeable (27.9 Watts), whereas the corresponding difference (16.4 Watts) between moderate and vigorous exercise was substantially lower. Similarly, the difference in exercise %HRmax between moderate and vigorous exercise was only 6%. It has been suggested heart rate should be 64 to 76% HRmax for moderate intensity exercise, while for vigorous intensity the range is 77 to 93% HRmax³⁰. The average values in the present study were 76% for moderate and 82% for vigorous exercise, indicating a marginal difference between the two intensities. Future studies with exercise of a more vigorous nature (RPE>7;~90%HR max) may provide a better understanding of the physiological adaptations which occur at higher intensities.

In general, the present study found a large SD (i.e. variation) for renal clearance of F (e.g. mean (SD) for vigorous exercise: 18.3 (34.9) ml/min, indicating a wide variability among individuals at this intensity, while the variation was slightly less for light and moderate exercise 27.2(30.4) and 13.1(20.4), respectively. The literature also shows a wide variation in renal clearance of F from 12.4 to 71.4 ml/min³¹⁻³³.

The pharmacokinetics of F can be influenced by physiological responses to exercise; however, the mechanisms by which exercise could affect F metabolism are not quite established. The renal clearance of F is directly associated with the pH in the renal tubules; a more alkaline urine is likely to increase F excretion. Therefore, the reported increase in urinary bicarbonate and pH with increasing exercise intensity³⁴ implies a higher urinary F excretion. On the other hand, exercise increases sympathetic nervous system activity which might result in a 50% reduction in gastrointestinal secretion and blood flow³⁵. This response might therefore reduce the rate and degree of gastrointestinal absorption of F, however some studies have reported no effect of exercise on gastric empting rate³⁵. Production of lactic acid might push diffusion of F from extracellular to intracellular fluids and consequently a rise in the rate of F uptake by bone and other tissues which would therefore reduce plasma F concentration. Conversely, plasma F concentration may increase during exercise as a result of decreasing renal F excretion due to; a) vasoconstriction within the kidneys with reduction of renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate due to increased sympathetic nervous system activity

during exercise and; b) increased reabsorption of F from the renal tubules as a result of acidification of tubular fluid due to the lactic acid production during exercise⁶.

There are several reports on the effect of exercise at low intensity in rats but none in humans. In two similar but separate studies^{3,6}, plasma F concentration was measured following either gastric intubation or intravenous administration of a single F dose of 5mgF/kg in rats exposed to one week of light intensity (0.3m/s) exercise on a treadmill for one hour. These two studies showed a significantly lower plasma F concentration in exercised rats (n=8) compared with non-exercised rats (n=8) with intra-gastric F dosing but no differences with intravenous F dosing. This suggested that the main effect of light exercise on F metabolism in rats was on the rate of gastrointestinal absorption of F. A recent study in which rats received 15mgF/l in their drinking water for 30 days, also reported a significant reduction in plasma F concentration after running on a treadmill for 60min at a light intensity for 30 days (n=10) compared to a non-exercise group (n=10)⁵.

In contrast with the animal studies, the present study with humans showed no effect of light exercise on plasma F concentration which could be due to differences in F dose and/or species (rat vs human). Although studies with an animal model allows easier control of the environment and a more in-depth analysis of F metabolism in different organs/tissues, there are some limitations in the use of animal models to reach appropriate conclusions regarding human F metabolism³⁶. When rat animal models are used to study pharmacokinetics of F, it has been suggested that the F dose should be 4-5 times greater than that used in humans to achieve similar levels of F in plasma³⁷. The water F concentration (15mg/l) tested in the study by Lombarte *et al* (2013)⁵ therefore corresponds to a water F concentration of 3mg/l in humans. Studies looking at the effect of exercise might be better conducted in other animals which can perform moderate-to-heavy exercise rather than in rats which are reluctant runners. Among common laboratory animals, the canine model has been reported to provide more resemblance to the major features of human F pharmacokinetics³.

In conclusion, the observed rise in plasma F concentration and decline in renal clearance of F with increasing exercise intensity needs to be investigated in a larger trial. The findings of this pilot study could provide a robust estimate of the variability of the effect of exercise on F metabolism to inform sample size planning for any subsequent definitive trial.

References:

1 Palmer, C. & Wolfe, S. H. Position of the American Dietetic Association: the impact of fluoride on health. *JADA* **105**, 1620-1628 (2005).

2 Buzalaf, M. A. R. & Whitford, G. M. in *Fluoride and the oral environment* Vol. 22 *Monographs in Oral Science* (ed M. A.R. Buzalaf) (Karger, 2011).

3 Whitford, G. M. *Metabolism and Toxicity of Fluoride*. (Karger, 1996).

4 Ketley, C. E. & Lennon, M. A. Urinary fluoride excretion in children drinking fluoridated school milk. *Int J Paediatr Dent* **10**, 260-270 (2000).

5 Lombarte, M., Fina, B. L., Lupo, M., Buzalaf, M. A. & Rigalli, A. Physical exercise ameliorates the toxic effect of fluoride on the insulin-glucose system. *J. Endocrinol.* **218**, 99-103, doi:10.1530/JOE-13-0067 (2013).

6 Whitford, G. M., Pashley, D. H. & Stringer, G. I. Fluoride renal clearance: a pH-dependent event. *Am J Physiol* **230**, 527-532 (1976).

7 Basha, P. M. & Sujitha, N. S. Combined influence of intermittent exercise and temperature stress on the modulation of fluoride toxicity. *Biol Trace Elem Res* **148**, 69-75, doi:10.1007/s12011-012-9338-4 (2012).

8 Basha, P. M. & Sujitha, N. S. Combined impact of exercise and temperature in learning and memory performance of fluoride toxicated rats. *Biol Trace Elem Res* **150**, 306-313, doi:10.1007/s12011-012-9489-3 (2012).

9 Brooke-Wavell, K. & Stensel, D. J. Exercise and children's bone health. *JFHC* **18**, 205-208 (2008).

10 Bolam, K. A., van Uffelen, J. G. & Taaffe, D. R. The effect of physical exercise on bone density in middle-aged and older men: a systematic review. *Osteoporos. Int.* **24**, 2749-2762, doi:10.1007/s00198-013-2346-1 (2013).

11 Schwab, P. & Scalapino, K. Exercise for bone health: rationale and prescription. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* **23**, 137-141, doi:10.1097/BOR.0b013e3283434501 (2011).

12 Chachra, D., Turner, C., Dunipace, A. & Grynpas, M. The effect of fluoride treatment on bone mineral in rabbits. *. Calcif. Tissue Int.* **64**, 345-351 (1999).

Warburton, D. E., Nicol, C. W. & Bredin, S. S. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ* **174**, 801-809, doi:10.1503/cmaj.051351 (2006).

14 Hallal, P. C. *et al.* Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Lancet* **380**, 247-257, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60646-1 (2012).

15 Craig, C. L. *et al.* International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **35**, 1381-1395, doi:Doi 10.1249/01.Mss.0000078924.61453.Fb (2003).

16 American College of Sports Medicine. *ACSM's Health/Fitness Facility Standards and Guidelines, 4E. Human Kinetics.* (2007).

Noble, B. J., Borg, G. A. V., Jacobs, I., Ceci, R. & Kaiser, P. A Category-Ratio Perceived
Exertion Scale - Relationship to Blood and Muscle Lactates and Heart-Rate. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*15, 523-528 (1983).

18 Martínez-Mier, E. A. *et al.* Development of gold standard ion-selective electrode-based methods for fluoride analysis *Caries Res* **45**, 3-12 (2011).

19 Taves, D. Separation of fluoride by rapid diffusion using hexamethyldisiloxane. *Talanata* 15, 969-974 (1968).

20 Tanaka, H., Monahan, K. D. & Seals, D. R. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **37**, 153-156 (2001).

21 Maguire, A. *et al.* Bioavailability of Fluoride in Drinking Water: a Human Experimental Study. *J Dent Res* **84**, 989-993, doi:10.1177/154405910508401104 (2005).

22 Cardoso, V. E. S., Whitford, G. M. & Buzalaf, M. A. R. Relationship between daily fluoride intake from diet and the use of dentifrice and human plasma fluoride concentrations. *Arch Oral Biol* **51**, 552-557 (2006).

23 Oliveby, A., Lagerlof, F., Ekstrand, J. & Dawes, C. Studies on fluoride excretion in human whole saliva and its relation to flow rate and plasma fluoride levels. *Caries Res* **23**, 243-246 (1989).

Ekstrand, J., Boreus, L. O. & de Chateau, P. No evidence of transfer of fluoride from plasma to breast milk. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* **283**, 761-762 (1981).

Waterhouse, C., Taves, D. & Munzer, A. Serum inorganic fluoride: changes related to previous fluoride intake, renal function and bone resorption. *Clin Sci (Lond)* **58**, 145-152 (1980).

Ekstrand, J., Fomon, S. J., Ziegler, E. E. & Nelson, S. E. Fluoride pharmacokinetics in infancy. *Pediatr Res* **35**, 157-163 (1994).

27 Whitford, G. M. *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of ingested fluoride: Lack of effec of chemical compound. *Arch Oral Biol* **53**, 1037-1041 (2008).

Martins, C. C., Paiva, S. M. & Cury, J. A. Effect of discontinuation of fluoride intake from water and toothpaste on urinary excretion in young children. Int J Environ Res Public Health **8**, 2132-2141 (2011).

29 Zipkin, I., Linkins, R., McClure, F. & Steere, A. Urinary fluoride levels associated with the use of fluoridated drinking water. *Public Health Rep.* **71**, 762-772 (1956).

30 Canning, K. L. *et al.* Individuals underestimate moderate and vigorous intensity physical activity. *PloS one* **9**, e97927, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097927 (2014).

31 Cowell, D. C. & Taylor, W. H. Ionic fluoride: a study of its physiological variation in man. *Ann Clin Biochem* **18**, 76-83 (1981).

32 Waterhouse, C., Taves, D. & Munzer, A. Serum inorganic fluoride: changes related to previous fluoride intake, renal function and bone resorption. *Clin. Sci.* **58**, 145-152 (1980).

33 Schiffl, H. & Binswanger, U. Renal handling of fluoride in healthy man. *Ren Physiol* 5, 192-196 (1982).

Moriguchi, T. *et al.* Marked increase in urinary bicarbonate and pH caused by heavy muscular exercise with dynamic knee extension. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* **198**, 31-39 (2002).

35 Costill, D. L. & Saltin, B. Factors limiting gastric emptying during rest and exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **37**, 679-683 (1974).

Greek, R. & Menache, A. Systematic reviews of animal models: methodology versus epistemology. *Int J Med Sci* **10**, 206-221, doi:10.7150/ijms.5529 (2013).

37 Dunipace, A. J. *et al.* Effect of aging on animal response to chronic fluoride exposure. *J Dent Res* **74**, 358-368 (1995).

Competing interests:

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Author contributions:

FVZ, LA and AM conceived and designed the study; AI ran the experiment, FVZ, AI, LA, GMW and AM drafted the paper. All authors read, commented and approved the submitted paper.

Funding:

This study was supported by an internal grant from Teesside University.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Om Sah for laboratory analysis of samples as well as Georgios Tavoularis and Nicola Pace for their help in managing and running the exercise experiments.

Participant	Sex	Age	Height	Weight	BMI
			(cm)	(kg)	(kg/m^2)
1	Female	22	163.6	72.3	27
2	Female	26	149.8	52.1	23
3	Male	24	168.3	70.4	25
4	Male	32	184	92.4	27
5	Male	23	184.5	80.3	24
6	Female	24	170.6	68.1	23
7	Male	21	174.5	52.7	17
8	Female	28	159.8	53.5	21
9	Female	22	162	67.5	26
Mean		24.7	168.6	67.7	24
SD		3.5	11.3	13.5	3

Table 1. Age, height, weight and body mass index (BMI) of individuals who participated in the study (n=9).

Table 2. Mean (SD) Background (pre-washout) and Baseline (pre-exercise) fasting plasma F concentration (Fasting F-plasma: ng/ml), nocturnal urine volume(ml), urinary F concentration (mg/l) and urinary F excretion (UFE: mg); and mean (95% Confidence Interval) differences between pre- and post-washoutvalues.

Session	Fasting plasma-F	Urine volume	Urinary F concentration	UFE
	(ng/ml)	(ml)	(mg/l)	(mg)
Background (pre-washout)	62.0 (20.3)	358 (102)	0.625 (0.347)	0.207 (0.084)
Baseline (post-washout, pre-exercise):				
Week 1	11.6 (2.1)	434 (224)	0.317 (0.259)	0.134 (0.072)
Week 2	18.0 (6.9)	389 (248)	0.458 (0.345)	0.176 (0.136)
Week 3	11.8 (5.1)	391 (168)	0.337 (0.172)	0.114 (0.037)
Week 4	9.7 (1.8)	438 (259)	0.351 (0.276)	0.141 (0.113)
Mean	12.8 (5.5)	414 (221)	0.377 (0.262)	0.142 (0.097)
Differences between Background and mean Baseline:				
Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	+49.3 (+34.1, +64.5)	-81 (-224, +62)	+0.217 (-0.011, +0.445)	+0.048 (-0.028, +0.125)
P value	< 0.001	0.22	0.06	0.13

Session	Individual time period				Pooled time periods	
-	Baseline ¹	0-3 h ²	3-8 h ³	8-14 h ⁴	0-8 h ⁵	0-14 h ⁶
Control	0.123 (0.083)	0.294 (0.097)	0.229 (0.110)	0.157 (0.103)	0.502 (0.167)	0.703 (0.243)
Light exercise	0.154 (0.099)	0.330 (0.147)	0.198 (0.118)	0.184 (0.076)	0.529 (0.193)	0.808 (0.361)
Moderate exercise	0.145 (0.109)	0.267 (0.099)	0.178 (0.117)	0.154 (0.060)	0.424 (0.163)	0.697 (0.189)
Vigorous exercise	0.144 (0.108)	0.227 (0.103)	0.190 (0.094)	0.180 (0.099)	0.411 (0.170)	0.715 (0.279)

Table 3. Mean (SD) UFE (mg) for different time controlled periods during each 24h experimental session for control and the three exercise intensities.

¹ Nocturnal pooled urine sample from midnight before the experimental session until 9am on the experimental day before taking F tablet (baseline, pre-F tablet);

² Pooled urine sample from 09.00am to 12.00pm during the experimental session (0- 3h post-F tablet);

³ Pooled urine sample from 12.00pm to 17.00pm during the experimental session (3-8h post-F tablet);

⁴ Pooled urine sample from 17.00pm through to just before bed-time (~23.00pm) on the experimental day (8-14h post-F tablet).

⁵ Combined 0-3h and 3-8h values

⁶ Combined 0-3h, 3-8h and 8-14h values

Figure 1. Experimental procedure and sample collection

After the one-week washout period, each participant underwent four randomly allocated experimental sessions including one no-exercise session and three exercise sessions at different intensities (light, moderate and vigorous) with approximately a one week interval between sessions.

Figure 2. Mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rate (μ g/h) across the time-controlled periods of collection according to exercise intensity.

The mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rate tended to be higher for light intensity exercise over the first 3h period after exercise, whereas it tended to be higher for the control conditions over the 3-8h time controlled period. In general, moderate intensity exercise had a tendency to result in the lowest Baseline-adjusted UFE rate after exercise for all time controlled periods (0-3h, 3-8h and 8-14h).

Figure 3. Mean (SE) Baseline-adjusted plasma F concentration (ng/ml) and mean (SE) Baselineadjusted UFE rate (µg/h) over the 8h post F ingestion period.

Moderate and vigorous intensity exercise resulted in lower mean Baseline-adjusted UFE rates over the 8h period in comparison with the control, whereas a higher plasma F concentration was observed for moderate and vigorous intensity exercise compared with the control.





- Baseline adjusted plasma F concentration

- Baseline adjusted UFE rate

