

Specific patterns of neuronal loss in the pulvinar nucleus in dementia with Lewy bodies

Daniel Erskine (1, 2); Ahmad A. Khundakar (1); Alan J. Thomas (3); John-Paul Taylor (3); Ian G. McKeith (3); Johannes Attems (1); Christopher M. Morris (1, 2)

(1) Institute of Neuroscience, Ageing Research Laboratories, Edwardson Building, Newcastle University, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5PL, UK

(2) Medical Toxicology Centre, Wolfson Unit of Clinical Pharmacology, Newcastle University, Claremont Place, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4AA, UK

(3) Institute of Neuroscience, Biomedical Research Building, Newcastle University, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5PL, UK

Correspondence to:

Ahmad Khundakar

Institute of Neuroscience

Ageing Research Laboratories

Edwardson Building

Campus for Ageing and Vitality

Newcastle upon Tyne

NE4 5PL

United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0) 191 208 1219, Fax: +44 (0) 191 208 1101

Email: ahmad.khundakar@newcastle.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Complex visual hallucinations occur in 70-80% of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) subjects and significantly affect wellbeing. Whilst the pathobiology of visual hallucinations in DLB remains poorly understood, several hypothetical models have suggested that visual attentional mechanisms may be altered, leading to a potential vulnerability to visual hallucinations. The present study investigated whether neuropathological changes occur in the pulvinar nucleus, a thalamic structure with a fundamental role in visual attention. *Post-mortem* pulvinar tissue was acquired from eight DLB, eight Alzheimer's disease (AD) and eight control cases and analyzed using stereological and quantitative neuropathological techniques. Lewy body pathology was found in all pulvinar sub-regions in DLB cases. However, neuronal loss was specifically found in the lateral pulvinar of DLB cases compared to control cases. Although significant reductions in lateral neuron number were also found in AD cases compared to controls, these changes were not as marked as those observed in DLB cases. Previous studies have shown alterations to lateral areas of the pulvinar on neuroimaging, where they were found to be related to the frequency and severity of visual hallucinations. The lateral pulvinar is thought to modulate visual cortical activity based on attentional demands, thus contributing to visual attentional functioning. As alterations to visual attentional function and visual cortical activity have been postulated to contribute to visual hallucinations, the present results suggest neuropathological changes in visual components of the pulvinar that may contribute to attentional deficits and promote the manifestation of visual hallucinations in DLB.

Keywords: Lewy, hallucination, stereology, pulvinar, thalamus, dementia

INTRODUCTION

Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) is the second most common form of primary neurodegenerative dementia after Alzheimer's disease (AD) (1), accounting for approximately 4.2% of all dementia cases (2). Clinically, DLB is characterized by three core symptoms of fluctuating cognition, parkinsonism and visual hallucinations, in the presence of global cognitive decline (3).

Visual hallucinations occur in 60-80% of DLB cases (4) and have been found to reduce patient quality of life (5, 6) and add to caregiver burden (7). Visual hallucinations in DLB are usually complex and recurrent, often involving animals, insects and/or disembodied faces (8). Visuo-perceptual deficits, including impairments in eye movements and complex visual functions, are also common (9).

As perceptual abnormalities most frequently affect the visual domain, several studies have examined the visual system in DLB patients with the aim of assessing potential structural and physiological changes that give rise to these phenomena. Although the causative factors are unknown, several hypotheses share the idea that the specificity and distribution of pathological alterations may be critical for the elicitation of visual hallucinations in DLB (10-12). Therefore, the manifestation of visual hallucinations in DLB may be related to the degeneration of some regions, but also the relative preservation of others.

Neuropathological studies of the retina in DLB have demonstrated abnormal proteinaceous inclusions (13) which may (14), or may not (15), be Lewy body-type pathology. Additionally, retinal nerve thinning (16) and electroretinogram abnormalities (17) have demonstrated potential functional changes in the retina in DLB. However, the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), the primary afferent visual relay structure between the retina and the primary visual cortex, is relatively spared in DLB compared to AD (18), suggesting that visual hallucinations in DLB may be facilitated by pathological changes in other parts of the visual system.

In DLB patients with visual hallucinations, focusing attention upon the object of hallucination has been demonstrated to promote its cessation (19), implying that visual attention may play a role in this phenomenon. Perceptual changes resulting from altered visual attentional processes have been postulated to contribute a

vulnerability to hallucination in DLB (12, 20). The pulvinar nucleus of the thalamus plays a central role in visual attentional mechanisms (21, 22), and lesions to the pulvinar can cause deficits in filtering distracting stimuli (23) and feature binding of visual objects (24). The pulvinar has widespread cortical connections and is thought to play a general role in modulating cortico-cortical activity based on attentional demands (25). The pulvinar nucleus is traditionally parcellated into four anatomical sub-regions: anterior, medial, lateral and inferior (26). However, these histological sub-regions do not map perfectly onto sub-regions that have been segregated based on functionality or connectivity (27).

Recent evidence has suggested that the pulvinar undergoes degeneration in DLB (28) and that DLB cases have reduced metabolism in the pulvinar (29). The degree of degeneration in sub-regions of the pulvinar, as assessed by mean diffusivity on fMRI, has been demonstrated to predict clinical markers of visual hallucination frequency and severity (28). Despite these findings, no previous neuropathological study has examined the sub-regions of the pulvinar in DLB in the context of visual hallucinations. However, one study did report Lewy body pathology in the pulvinar as a whole, as part of a wider study of the visual system (30). The present study therefore aimed to investigate the sub-regions of the pulvinar in post-mortem tissue taken from DLB cases that had experienced visual hallucinations during life to assess potential degenerative morphometric and/or neuropathological changes using unbiased stereological methods.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Tissue preparation

Human *post-mortem* tissue was obtained from the Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource (NBTR) and ethical approval was granted by Newcastle University ethics board and the Joint Ethics Committee of Newcastle and North Tyneside Health Authority (ref: 08/H0906/136). DLB and AD subjects had been part of several prospective clinical studies, and had received detailed clinical assessments and case note review after death. Neuropathological assessment was performed according to standardized neuropathological diagnostic procedures (31-35). Clinical and pathological data was collated to establish a clinico-pathological consensus diagnosis.

Three groups of cases were included in the present study: DLB cases that had experienced complex visual hallucinations during life, AD cases that had not experienced visual hallucinations during life and clinically confirmed aged control cases that showed none, or only low, age-associated neurodegenerative pathology at *post-mortem* examination.

At autopsy, the right hemisphere was fixed in 10% formalin and cut into 7 mm coronal slices, prior to further dissection into blocks for neuropathological assessment. The pulvinar nucleus was identified by its location at the posterior portion of the thalamus, ending in the lateral ventricle (36). Only cases containing the entire pulvinar were included for histological analyses, giving a group of eight control, eight DLB and eight AD cases. The pulvinar were exhaustively serially sectioned, with 30 μm and 10 μm sections obtained at each 1 mm interval. 30 μm sections were stained with cresyl fast violet for stereological analyses. 10 μm sections were stained with antibodies against a range of protein targets (Table 1) using Menarini Menapath Polymer detection kits (Menarini, Berkshire, UK), as per manufacturer's instructions.

Stereology

The border of the anterior and medial pulvinar could not be reliably differentiated through coronal examination so these regions were thus grouped together for

stereological analyses and will subsequently be referred to as the 'anteromedial pulvinar'. The inferior pulvinar was incomplete in almost every case due to its location at a point where the midbrain is dissected from the diencephalon, thus precluding stereological analysis of this sub-region. The lateral pulvinar was differentiated from other structures based on its striated appearance (37).

Stereological analysis was conducted using a Zeiss AxioVision Z.1 microscope equipped with a motorized stage (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), coupled to a computer with Stereologer software (Bethesda, MA, USA).

Stereological estimates were established in the anteromedial and lateral pulvinar nuclei based on (37) as shown in figure 1. Volumes were determined in the anteromedial and lateral pulvinar nuclei using Cavalieri's principle and mean cell densities within each nucleus estimated using the optical disector method (38).

Cavalieri's principle was calculated by the following equation:

$$V := T \cdot a \cdot \Sigma p$$

Cavalieri's principle allows estimation of the total volume of each region of interest per case based on the intersection distance (T), the area per point (a) and the sum of the number of counted points (p). For estimation of volume, frames were placed in a uniform random manner, with disector frames spaced at 975 μm for anteromedial pulvinar, and 800 μm for lateral pulvinar, based on the relative size and distribution of the structures examined.

The rater (D.E.) traced an outline around the region of interest (i.e. anteromedial or lateral pulvinar) using a 2.5x objective. Disector frames were placed in a uniform, random arrangement to calculate the density of cells within a defined region, using the following equation:

$$Nv = \frac{\Sigma p^- Q^-}{P \cdot V}$$

Where Nv = numerical density, p^- = disector samples, Q^- = Q-weighted number of objects counted, P = total number of disectors, and V = disector volume.

Neuronal counts were conducted at 63x oil-immersion objective using the optical disector probe. Glial cell counts were calculated in both pulvinar sub-regions in disector frames of 3500 μm^2 , with neuron counts calculated in disector frames of 1900 μm^2 . Section thickness did not vary across disease groups in anteromedial or lateral pulvinar. The mean coefficients of error (CE) for neuronal and glial cell estimates was calculated using the Gundersen-Jensen method (39), as illustrated by the following equation:

$$CE^2 = \left(\frac{\Sigma (I^2)}{(\Sigma I)^2} + \frac{\Sigma (Volume^2)}{\Sigma (Volume)^2} - \frac{2\Sigma(I \cdot Volume)}{(\Sigma I \cdot \Sigma Volume)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)$$

Where I = neurons counted, $Volume$ = reference area x (sampling frame density)² x section depth, and n = number of fields.

Using the Gundersen-Jensen method (39), mean coefficient of error (CE) values for all stereologically-obtained data showed acceptable levels of accuracy (<0.10), with error values contributing less than 50% of the total observed coefficient of variance (CV). These values are considered to have acceptable levels of accuracy for stereological estimates (40).

Neuropathology

The anterior, medial and lateral nuclei of the pulvinar were analyzed using quantitative neuropathological techniques. Although the anterior and medial pulvinar border could not be discerned reliably for stereological analysis, where the entire structure along its antero-posterior extent is required, it was possible to identify the individual structures for analysis of neuropathology using one section per structure. For analysis of the anterior pulvinar, the section which contained the emergence of the anterior pole of the pulvinar was used. The medial and lateral pulvinar nuclei were defined as the region at which both structures were at their maximal area on coronal section, as in (41).

To quantify neuropathological lesions, images of the sub-nuclei of the pulvinar were taken on a Zeiss AxioVision Z.1 microscope using a DsFi1 camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Stereologer software was used to delineate a region of interest with a 2.5x

objective, prior to placement of disector frames in a uniform, random arrangement. This method prevented the introduction of bias by giving every area of the region of interest an equal probability of being sampled for analysis. Disector frame sizes were determined based on the size of the measured particles and their distribution across the region of interest. In all cases, amyloid- β and tau were measured using 10x objective and α -synuclein, CD68 and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) were measured using 20x objective. Images were taken within the disector frames and analyzed using ImagePro Plus v.4.1 image analysis system (Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MA, USA). Using previously published techniques (18, 42), the mean percentage area of immunopositivity was determined by standardizing red-green-blue (RGB) thresholds per antibody and applying to all sections per case. Each case thus had a mean value generated per antibody across all sections analyzed.

RESULTS

Demographics

No significant difference was found between groups in terms of age at death ($p=0.63$) or *post-mortem* delay ($p=0.43$; Table 2).

Final MMSE scores were available for 14/24 cases (five control, five DLB, four AD) and there was no significant difference between groups in the interval from last assessment to death ($p=0.36$). MMSE scores were significantly reduced in DLB ($p<0.01$) and AD ($p<0.01$) cases compared to controls, but there was no significant difference between AD and DLB (Table 2).

Stereology

In the anteromedial pulvinar, no significant main effect of diagnosis on neuronal ($F=3.02$, $p=0.07$) or glial number ($F=1.00$, $p=0.39$) was found, and the volume of the structure was not significantly different across groups ($F=1.27$, $p=0.30$; figure 2).

In the lateral pulvinar, a significant main effect of diagnosis on neuronal number was found ($F=14.219$, $p<0.01$). Post-hoc tests using Tukey's HSD showed a significant mean 30.7% decrease in neuronal number in DLB cases compared to controls ($p<0.01$) and a significant (16.7%) decrease in AD cases compared to controls ($p=0.02$). Despite DLB cases showing a greater degree of neuronal loss than AD cases, the differences were not statistically significant ($p=0.06$). No significant differences in glial cell number ($F=0.125$, $p=0.88$) or lateral pulvinar volume ($F=2.45$, $p=0.11$) were found across groups (figure 2).

Neuropathology

α -synuclein was significantly higher in DLB cases in all three regions analyzed compared to control and AD cases (fig. 3). In DLB cases, the medial pulvinar was, invariably, more severely affected by α -synuclein pathology than the lateral ($p=0.01$) or anterior nuclei ($p=0.05$), and no significant difference in expression was found between anterior and lateral nuclei (fig. 4). Again as expected, amyloid- β and tau

pathology were significantly higher in AD cases when compared to control cases (fig. 3).

No significant differences were found between groups in the microglial marker CD68 in any pulvinar sub-region (data not shown). GFAP, a marker of astrocytes, was significantly increased in DLB and AD cases in all pulvinar sub-regions compared to control cases. However, there was no significant difference in GFAP expression between DLB and AD cases in any sub-region. There was a 47.3% increase ($p=0.01$) in DLB cases and a 57.2% increase ($p<0.01$) in AD cases compared to control in GFAP expression in the anterior pulvinar. There was a 46.9% increase ($p=0.04$) in DLB cases and a 56.6% increase ($p<0.01$) in AD cases compared to control in GFAP expression in the medial pulvinar. There was a 37.6% increase ($p=0.05$) in DLB cases and a 46.9% increase ($p=0.01$) in AD cases compared to control in GFAP expression in the lateral pulvinar.

DISCUSSION

The present study found a significant loss in the number of neurons in the lateral, but not anteromedial, pulvinar of DLB cases with visual hallucinations compared to controls. The lateral pulvinar of AD cases also showed a significant reduction in neuron number when compared to control cases and, whilst this reduction was less than that found between DLB and control cases, there was no significant difference between DLB and AD. Lewy body pathology and increased astrocyte immunoreactivity was also found in the pulvinar in DLB against control cases, but no significant difference in astrocyte expression was found in DLB compared to AD cases.

A previous study has shown the pulvinar is vulnerable to Lewy body pathology, in comparison to other visual regions of the thalamus, such as the LGN (30). This is in broad agreement with the findings outlined in this study, as well as our previous study in the LGN (18). Here, we extend these findings by demonstrating a specific pattern of neuronal loss in the lateral pulvinar, with the findings of no change in neuronal number in the anteromedial pulvinar mirroring our previous findings in the LGN. In contrast, AD cases had significant neuronal loss in the LGN (18) and less marked neuronal losses in the lateral pulvinar when compared to DLB. Taken together, these findings suggest that neuronal loss in the visual thalamus in DLB is specific to the lateral pulvinar, where neuronal loss is more severe than that observed in AD. This is an interesting finding as stereological studies conducted in regions outside of the mid-brain do not usually find neuronal reductions in DLB that exceed those in AD (for a review see (43)). Our findings may therefore indicate that the severe and specific neuronal loss in the lateral pulvinar in DLB may contribute to differences in the clinical phenotypes between DLB and AD.

The lateral pulvinar is known to receive predominant innervation from visual cortical areas (44) and to be functionally involved in regulating cortical activity in vision-related pathways (45). As the lateral pulvinar has been shown to have a strong regulatory influence on the functioning of the primary visual cortex (46), its degeneration in DLB may lead to altered functioning of the visual cortex, which, in turn, may contribute to hallucinogenesis. In primate visual area V4, deactivation of the lateral pulvinar leads to reduced frequency of cortical oscillations similar to those

observed during inattention or sleep (21). Additional lesion studies of the lateral pulvinar in non-human primates have demonstrated behavioral changes indicative of perceptual neglect, such as reluctance to grasp target stimuli with the contralateral limb (47), indicating a role in visual attention. As impaired visual attentional function is thought to contribute to the manifestation of visual hallucinations in DLB (12, 20), the neuronal loss observed in the lateral pulvinar may relate to the occurrence of visual hallucinations through visual attentional impairment.

The medial pulvinar, which possessed the greatest α -synuclein pathology among the pulvinar nuclei examined in DLB cases (fig. 4), has been shown in non-human primates to have substantial reciprocal connectivity with regions known to be vulnerable to α -synuclein pathology, including the amygdala and cingulate gyrus (48). This is in contrast to the lateral pulvinar, which has greater connectivity with early visual cortical areas (22), which are often unaffected by Lewy body pathology in DLB (49). Similarly, the anterior pulvinar is substantially connected with the somatosensory cortex (50), which is only affected at late stages of Lewy body pathology (51). Considering the emerging view suggesting α -synuclein pathology may spread in a manner reminiscent of prion protein (52, 53), it is perhaps unsurprising that greater Lewy body pathology is observed in regions that are connected to sites severely affected and at early stages in the progression of DLB. However, it is possibly more surprising that the medial pulvinar did not exhibit neuronal loss in DLB, considering its higher burden of α -synuclein pathology. One possible explanation is that neuronal loss is the result of reduced input from regions that project to the lateral pulvinar, with reductions occurring over time as a result of diminished input.

Previous neuroimaging findings have shown that DLB cases have reduced grey matter density, as measured by mean diffusivity, in posterior thalamic regions that project to occipital and parietal regions (28). While the cytoarchitectonic parcellation of the pulvinar into anterior, medial, lateral and inferior sub-regions is not fully compatible with its segregation based on its physiology and connectivity (27), areas corresponding to the lateral pulvinar have been shown to project to occipital and parietal regions (44, 54). Hence our finding of neuronal loss in the lateral pulvinar corroborates neuroimaging studies by providing a neuropathological correlate for reduced grey matter density (28). Changes in mean diffusivity on neuroimaging have

also been demonstrated to relate to clinical markers of visual hallucination frequency and severity, suggesting a relationship between degeneration of pulvinar sub-nuclei that project to occipital regions and the occurrence of visual hallucinations (28).

The specific pattern of neuronal loss seen in the lateral pulvinar in DLB patients has also been demonstrated in stereological studies of schizophrenic patients (55). Although visual hallucinations are relatively uncommon in schizophrenia (56), schizophrenic and DLB patients have visual attentional deficits (9, 57) and impairments in smooth pursuit eye movements (9, 58), which can occur as a result of attentional dysfunction (59). Considering the putative role of the lateral pulvinar in modulating visual cortical activity based on attentional demands (21, 45, 46), these findings may highlight a common degenerative change that promotes visual attentional dysfunction in both disorders. In DLB, visual attentional deficits may act in concert with dysfunction or degeneration of other brain regions to elicit hallucinations.

In summary, we have shown specific patterns of degeneration in the pulvinar of DLB cases and that these degenerative changes are more severe in DLB compared to AD. The putative role of the lateral pulvinar in modifying the response properties of visual cortical neurons based on attentional demands might suggest that lateral pulvinar degeneration contributes to deficient visual attentional mechanisms and corresponding cortical activity changes, which have both previously been related to visual hallucinations in DLB (12, 60). The results of our current study support neuroimaging findings associating the degeneration of particular pulvinar sub-regions with visual hallucinations in DLB (28). However, it should be noted that the pulvinar is one component in a highly complex and incompletely understood system and is therefore likely to act in concert with other regions to contribute to the occurrence of visual hallucinations. Hence, continued study of the vulnerability of the visual system is warranted to further our understanding of the pathological changes that promote visual hallucinations in DLB.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the individuals who kindly donated their brain tissue to Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource. The technical assistance of Lynne Ramsay, Mary Johnson and Ros Hall is gratefully acknowledged, as is the assistance given by Lina Patterson. We also thank Professor Peter Mouton and Scott McElhiney for their ongoing analytical assistance.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

Tissue for this study was provided by Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource which is funded in part by a grant from the UK Medical Research Council (G0400074), by NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre and Unit awarded to the Newcastle upon Tyne NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University, and as part of the Brains for Dementia Research Programme jointly funded by Alzheimer's Research UK and Alzheimer's Society.

This study was funded by the NHS National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Unit for Lewy body dementia at Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University. D.E. is funded from the NHS National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Unit for Lewy body dementia, from the Yvonne Emily Mairy bequest.

REFERENCES

1. Heidebrink JL. Is dementia with Lewy bodies the second most common cause of dementia? *Journal of geriatric psychiatry and neurology*. 2002;15(4):182-7. Epub 2002/12/20.
2. Vann Jones SA, O'Brien JT. The prevalence and incidence of dementia with Lewy bodies: a systematic review of population and clinical studies. *Psychological medicine*. 2014;44(4):673-83. Epub 2013/03/26.
3. McKeith IG. Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): report of the Consortium on DLB International Workshop. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD*. 2006;9(3 Suppl):417-23. Epub 2006/08/18.
4. Burghaus L, Eggers C, Timmermann L, Fink GR, Diederich NJ. Hallucinations in neurodegenerative diseases. *CNS Neurosci Ther*. 2012;18(2):149-59. Epub 2011/05/20.
5. Bostrom F, Jonsson L, Minthon L, Londos E. Patients with dementia with lewy bodies have more impaired quality of life than patients with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer disease and associated disorders*. 2007;21(2):150-4. Epub 2007/06/05.
6. Weintraub D, Moberg PJ, Duda JE, Katz IR, Stern MB. Effect of psychiatric and other nonmotor symptoms on disability in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2004;52(5):784-8. Epub 2004/04/17.
7. Ricci M, Guidoni SV, Sepe-Monti M, Bomboi G, Antonini G, Blundo C, et al. Clinical findings, functional abilities and caregiver distress in the early stage of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Alzheimer's disease (AD). *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2009;49(2):e101-4. Epub 2008/12/17.
8. Mosimann UP, Rowan EN, Partington CE, Collerton D, Littlewood E, O'Brien JT, et al. Characteristics of visual hallucinations in Parkinson disease dementia and dementia with lewy bodies. *The American journal of geriatric psychiatry : official journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2006;14(2):153-60. Epub 2006/02/14.
9. Armstrong RA. Visual signs and symptoms of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Clinical & experimental optometry : journal of the Australian Optometrical Association*. 2012;95(6):621-30.
10. Carter R, Ffytche DH. On visual hallucinations and cortical networks: a trans-diagnostic review. *Journal of neurology*. 2015;262(7):1780-90. Epub 2015/03/13.
11. Diederich NJ, Stebbins G, Schiltz C, Goetz CG. Are patients with Parkinson's disease blind to blindsight? *Brain*. 2014;137(Pt 6):1838-49. Epub 2014/04/26.
12. Collerton D, Perry E, McKeith L. Why people see things that are not there: A novel Perception and Attention Deficit model for recurrent complex visual hallucinations. *Behav Brain Sci*. 2005;28(6):737-+.
13. Maurage CA, Ruchoux MM, de Vos R, Surguchov A, Destee A. Retinal involvement in dementia with Lewy bodies: a clue to hallucinations? *Annals of neurology*. 2003;54(4):542-7. Epub 2003/10/02.
14. Beach TG, Carew J, Serrano G, Adler CH, Shill HA, Sue LI, et al. Phosphorylated alpha-synuclein-immunoreactive retinal neuronal elements in Parkinson's disease subjects. *Neurosci Lett*. 2014;571:34-8. Epub 2014/05/03.
15. Ho CY, Troncoso JC, Knox D, Stark W, Eberhart CG. Beta-Amyloid, Phospho-Tau and Alpha-Synuclein Deposits Similar to Those in the Brain Are Not Identified in the Eyes of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Disease Patients. *Brain Pathol*. 2014;24(1):25-32.
16. Moreno-Ramos T, Benito-Leon J, Villarejo A, Bermejo-Pareja F. Retinal nerve fiber layer thinning in dementia associated with Parkinson's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies, and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD*. 2013;34(3):659-64. Epub 2012/12/29.
17. Devos D, Tir M, Maurage CA, Waucquier N, Defebvre L, Defoort-Dhellemmes S, et al. ERG and anatomical abnormalities suggesting retinopathy in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*. 2005;65(7):1107-10. Epub 2005/10/12.

18. Erskine D, Taylor JP, Firbank MJ, Patterson L, Onofrj M, O'Brien JT, et al. Changes to the lateral geniculate nucleus in Alzheimer's disease but not dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neuropathology and applied neurobiology*. 2015. Epub 2015/05/15.
19. Diederich NJ, Pieri V, Goetz CG. Coping strategies for visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disord*. 2003;18(7):831-2.
20. Diederich NJ, Goetz CG, Stebbins GT. Repeated visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease as disturbed external/internal perceptions: Focused review and a new integrative model. *Movement Disord*. 2005;20(2):130-40.
21. Zhou H, Schafer RJ, Desimone R. Pulvinar-Cortex Interactions in Vision and Attention. *Neuron*. 2016;89(1):209-20. Epub 2016/01/10.
22. Benarroch EE. Pulvinar: associative role in cortical function and clinical correlations. *Neurology*. 2015;84(7):738-47. Epub 2015/01/23.
23. Fischer J, Whitney D. Attention gates visual coding in the human pulvinar. *Nature communications*. 2012;3:1051. Epub 2012/09/13.
24. Ward R, Danziger S, Owen V, Rafal R. Deficits in spatial coding and feature binding following damage to spatiotopic maps in the human pulvinar. *Nature neuroscience*. 2002;5(2):99-100.
25. Saalman YB, Pinsk MA, Wang L, Li X, Kastner S. The Pulvinar Regulates Information Transmission Between Cortical Areas Based on Attention Demands. *Science (New York, NY)*. 2012;337(6095):753-6.
26. Munkle MC, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RL. The distribution of calbindin, calretinin and parvalbumin immunoreactivity in the human thalamus. *Journal of chemical neuroanatomy*. 2000;19(3):155-73. Epub 2000/09/16.
27. Shipp S. The functional logic of cortico-pulvinar connections. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences*. 2003;358(1438):1605-24.
28. Delli Pizzi S, Maruotti V, Taylor JP, Franciotti R, Caulo M, Tartaro A, et al. Relevance of subcortical visual pathways disruption to visual symptoms in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior*. 2014;59:12-21. Epub 2014/08/13.
29. Perneckzy R, Haussermann P, Diehl-Schmid J, Boecker H, Forstl H, Drzezga A, et al. Metabolic correlates of brain reserve in dementia with Lewy bodies: An FDG PET study. *Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders*. 2007;23(6):416-22.
30. Yamamoto R, Iseki E, Murayama N, Minegishi M, Marui W, Togo T, et al. Investigation of Lewy pathology in the visual pathway of brains of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of the neurological sciences*. 2006;246(1-2):95-101.
31. Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW, et al. National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2012;123(1):1-11. Epub 2011/11/22.
32. McKeith IG, Dickson DW, Lowe J, Emre M, O'Brien JT, Feldman H, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology*. 2005;65(12):1863-72. Epub 2005/10/21.
33. Thal DR, Rub U, Orantes M, Braak H. Phases of A beta-deposition in the human brain and its relevance for the development of AD. *Neurology*. 2002;58(12):1791-800.
34. Gearing M, Mirra SS, Hedreen JC, Sumi SM, Hansen LA, Heyman A. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part X. Neuropathology confirmation of the clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 1995;45(3 Pt 1):461-6. Epub 1995/03/01.
35. Braak H, Muller CM, Rub U, Ackermann H, Bratzke H, de Vos RA, et al. Pathology associated with sporadic Parkinson's disease--where does it end? *Journal of neural transmission Supplementum*. 2006(70):89-97. Epub 2006/10/05.
36. Jones EG. *The Thalamus*. Second ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
37. Jones EG. *The thalamus*: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
38. Mouton PR, Price DL, Walker LC. Empirical assessment of synapse numbers in primate neocortex. *Journal of neuroscience methods*. 1997;75(2):119-26. Epub 1997/08/22.

39. Gundersen HJ, Jensen EB. The efficiency of systematic sampling in stereology and its prediction. *Journal of microscopy*. 1987;147(Pt 3):229-63. Epub 1987/09/01.
40. Slomianka L, West MJ. Estimators of the precision of stereological estimates: an example based on the CA1 pyramidal cell layer of rats. *Neuroscience*. 2005;136(3):757-67. Epub 2005/12/14.
41. Mai JK, Majtanik M, Paxinos G. *Atlas of the human brain*: Academic Press; 2016.
42. Perry EK, Johnson M, Ekonomou A, Perry RH, Ballard C, Attems J. Neurogenic abnormalities in Alzheimer's disease differ between stages of neurogenesis and are partly related to cholinergic pathology. *Neurobiology of disease*. 2012;47(2):155-62. Epub 2012/04/17.
43. Erskine D, Khundakar AA. Stereological approaches to dementia research using human brain tissue. *Journal of chemical neuroanatomy*. 2016. Epub 2016/01/19.
44. Kaas JH, Lyon DC. Pulvinar contributions to the dorsal and ventral streams of visual processing in primates. *Brain research reviews*. 2007;55(2):285-96.
45. Bridge H, Leopold DA, Bourne JA. Adaptive Pulvinar Circuitry Supports Visual Cognition. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 2015. Epub 2015/11/11.
46. Purushothaman G, Marion R, Li K, Casagrande VA. Gating and control of primary visual cortex by pulvinar. *Nature neuroscience*. 2012;15(6):905-12. Epub 2012/05/09.
47. Wilke M, Turchi J, Smith K, Mishkin M, Leopold DA. Pulvinar Inactivation Disrupts Selection of Movement Plans. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2010;30(25):8650-9.
48. Romanski LM, Giguere M, Bates JF, Goldman-Rakic PS. Topographic organization of medial pulvinar connections with the prefrontal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *The Journal of comparative neurology*. 1997;379(3):313-32.
49. Perry RH, Irving D, Blessed G, Fairbairn A, Perry EK. Senile dementia of Lewy body type. A clinically and neuropathologically distinct form of Lewy body dementia in the elderly. *Journal of the neurological sciences*. 1990;95(2):119-39. Epub 1990/02/01.
50. Jones EG, Wise SP, Coulter JD. Differential thalamic relationships of sensory-motor and parietal cortical fields in monkeys. *The Journal of comparative neurology*. 1979;183(4):833-81.
51. Braak H, Ghebremedhin E, Rub U, Bratzke H, Del Tredici K. Stages in the development of Parkinson's disease-related pathology. *Cell and tissue research*. 2004;318(1):121-34. Epub 2004/09/01.
52. McCann H, Cartwright H, Halliday GM. Neuropathology of alpha-synuclein propagation and braak hypothesis. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society*. 2016;31(2):152-60.
53. Herva ME, Spillantini MG. Parkinson's disease as a member of Prion-like disorders. *Virus research*. 2015;207:38-46.
54. Soares JG, Gattass R, Souza AP, Rosa MG, Fiorani M, Jr., Brandao BL. Connectional and neurochemical subdivisions of the pulvinar in Cebus monkeys. *Visual neuroscience*. 2001;18(1):25-41.
55. Highley JR, Walker MA, Crow TJ, Esiri MM, Harrison PJ. Low medial and lateral right pulvinar volumes in schizophrenia: a postmortem study. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2003;160(6):1177-9. Epub 2003/06/05.
56. Mueser KT, Bellack AS, Brady EU. Hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 1990;82(1):26-9. Epub 1990/07/01.
57. Luck SJ, Gold JM. The construct of attention in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008;64(1):34-9. Epub 2008/04/01.
58. Gracitelli CP, Abe RY, Diniz-Filho A, Vaz-de-Lima FB, Paranhos A, Jr., Medeiros FA. Ophthalmology issues in schizophrenia. *Current psychiatry reports*. 2015;17(5):28. Epub 2015/03/17.
59. Yee RD. Eye movement recording as a clinical tool. *Ophthalmology*. 1983;90(3):211-22. Epub 1983/03/01.
60. Taylor JP, Firbank M, Barnett N, Pearce S, Livingstone A, Mosimann U, et al. Visual hallucinations in dementia with Lewy bodies: transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*. 2011;199(6):492-500. Epub 2011/10/22.

61. Braak H, Alafuzoff I, Arzberger T, Kretschmar H, Del Tredici K. Staging of Alzheimer disease-associated neurofibrillary pathology using paraffin sections and immunocytochemistry. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2006;112(4):389-404. Epub 2006/08/15.

TABLES AND LEGENDS

Table 1: Antibody dilutions.

Antibody	Manufacturer	Dilution	Antigen retrieval
GAD65/67	Sigma	1:6000	Citrate pH 6
4G8 amyloid- β	Covance	1:15,000	Formic acid
AT8 phosphorylated- τ	Autogen	1:10,000	Citrate pH 6
5G4 α -synuclein	Analytik Jena	1:4500	Citrate pH 6 + formic acid

Table 2: Demographic characteristics of cohort. 'Age' refers to age at death, 'PM delay' refers to the delay between death and post-mortem examination, 'Braak NFT' stage is neurofibrillary pathology stage outlined in (61), 'Thal phase' is amyloid- β pathology stage as outlined in (33), 'McKeith Lewy body stage' is Lewy body pathology stage outlined in (32), 'MMSE' is mini-mental state examination score, 'NA' represents data not being available.

Case ID	Age	PM delay	Diagnosis	Braak NFT stage	Thal phase	McKeith Lewy body stage	Final MMSE
1	99	5	Control	2	0	None	27
2	77	83	Control	2	3	None	NA
3	85	57	Control	3	4	None	29
4	80	16	Control	2	0	Brainstem	29
5	65	47	Control	1	0	None	NA
6	73	25	Control	0	0	None	30
7	76	86	Control	2	1	Amygdala	30
8	78	23	Control	2	1	None	NA
9	73	99	DLB	3	4	Neocortical	13
10	81	81	DLB	3	4	Neocortical	NA
11	89	88	DLB	3	2	Neocortical	14
12	77	46	DLB	3	0	Neocortical	12
13	81	44	DLB	4	3	Neocortical	NA
14	78	96	DLB	3	3	Neocortical	9
15	91	10	DLB	3	4	Neocortical	NA
16	73	47	DLB	3	1	Neocortical	22
17	81	73	AD	5	5	None	NA
18	89	61	AD	6	5	None	18
19	68	24	AD	6	5	None	NA
20	85	32	AD	5	5	None	15
21	76	6	AD	6	5	None	6
22	86	123	AD	6	5	Brainstem	NA
23	95	23	AD	6	5	Amygdala	NA
24	85	39	AD	6	4	None	19

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1: The anatomy of the pulvinar. The anteromedial (dashes) and lateral (dots) pulvinar nuclei are shown. Scale bar = 3 mm.

*Figure 2: Stereological estimates of number and volume in the pulvinar nuclei. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.*

*Figure 3: Quantitative neuropathology in the pulvinar sub-nuclei. * $p < 0.05$ compared to control; ** $p < 0.05$ compared to control and DLB; *** $p < 0.05$ compared to control and AD.*

Figure 4: α -synuclein pathology in the pulvinar sub-nuclei. Representative 5G4 staining in the (A) anterior, (B) lateral and (C) medial pulvinar of a DLB case. Scale bar = 50 μm .