1 Title Page

- 2 Title: The association between displacement of sedentary time and chronic musculoskeletal
- 3 pain: An isotemporal substitution analysis.
- 4 Authors: Cormac G Ryan CG PhD¹, Shaun Wellburn MSc¹, Suzanne McDonough PhD², Denis
- 5 J Martin PhD¹, Alan M Batterham PhD¹.

6 Affiliations:

- ⁷ ¹Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK
- 8 ²Institute of Nursing and Health Research, School of Health Sciences, Ulster University,
- 9 Jordanstown, UK.

10 Corresponding Author

- 11 Dr. Cormac G Ryan,
- 12 Health and Social Care Institute
- 13 Teesside University,
- 14 TS1 3BA, UK.
- 15 Email: c.ryan@tees.ac.uk
- 16 Tel: 0044 (0)1642 384125
- **Word Count**: 2,990
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 20
- 24

26	Objectives: Physical activity is an effective intervention for the prevention and management of
27	chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMP). However, there is a lack of research to inform the intensity
28	of physical activity that should be recommended. The aim of this study was to investigate the
29	association between substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with either 10 minutes of light
30	physical activity (LPA) or moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and the CMP
31	prevalence ratio.
32	Design: Secondary Analysis (November 2015) of data from the Health Survey for England
33	(2008).
34	Setting: n/a
35	Participants: 2313 adults (\geq 16 years).
36	Interventions: n/a
37	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured using
37 38	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured using accelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP of
37 38 39	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured using accelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP of replacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.
37 38 39 40	 Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured using accelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP of replacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA. Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was
37 38 39 40 41	 Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured using accelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP of replacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA. Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjusted
37 38 39 40 41 42	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured usingaccelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP ofreplacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjustedprevalence ratio was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.99 to 1.02) for LPA and 0.89 (0.82 to 0.96) for MVPA.
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured usingaccelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP ofreplacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjustedprevalence ratio was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.99 to 1.02) for LPA and 0.89 (0.82 to 0.96) for MVPA.Conclusions: Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with an equivalent period of LPA was
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured usingaccelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP ofreplacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjustedprevalence ratio was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.99 to 1.02) for LPA and 0.89 (0.82 to 0.96) for MVPA.Conclusions: Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with an equivalent period of LPA wasnot associated with a reduction in the prevalence ratio for CMP, whereas the equivalent
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured usingaccelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP ofreplacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjustedprevalence ratio was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.99 to 1.02) for LPA and 0.89 (0.82 to 0.96) for MVPA.Conclusions: Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with an equivalent period of LPA wasnot associated with a reduction in the prevalence ratio for CMP, whereas the equivalentreplacement with MVPA showed a small protective relationship. Regarding CMP prevalence,
 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 	Main Outcome Measures: Sedentary time, LPA and MVPA were measured usingaccelerometry. We used isotemporal models to quantify the prevalence ratio for CMP ofreplacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA.Results: The prevalence of CMP in this sample was 17%. The unadjusted prevalence ratio was0.99 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.01) for LPA and 0.76 (0.70 to 0.84) for MVPA. The fully adjustedprevalence ratio was 1.01 (95% CI: 0.99 to 1.02) for LPA and 0.89 (0.82 to 0.96) for MVPA.Conclusions: Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with an equivalent period of LPA wasnot associated with a reduction in the prevalence ratio for CMP, whereas the equivalentreplacement with MVPA showed a small protective relationship. Regarding CMP prevalence,physical activity intensity appears to be important, with MVPA rather than LPA showing a

49 Key words: Isotemporal substitution; chronic pain; prevalence ratio

50

51	Contribution of the paper
52	• The replacement of 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of light physical
53	activity was not substantially associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain
54	prevalence.
55	• Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with 10 minutes of moderate-to-
56	vigorous physical activity resulted in an 11% relative reduction in chronic
57	musculoskeletal pain prevalence.
58	• Substituting 30 minutes of sedentary time with 30 minutes of moderate-to-
59	vigorous physical activity resulted in a 29% relative reduction in chronic
60	musculoskeletal pain prevalence.
61	

62 INTRODUCTION

Chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMP) affects 13 – 47% of the general population and is
associated with a range of health conditions, disability, and work loss [1,2]. The relationship
between physical activity and CMP is complex and only partially understood. However, a
number of systematic reviews have consistently identified a small but positive protective effect
of physical activity for the prevention and management of CMP [3-11].
Currently there is little evidence regarding what specific type of physical activity should be

70 undertaken with respect to the principles of frequency, intensity, time and type (FITT) [4-10].

- 71 Often, reporting of the FITT components within exercise studies for CMP is incomplete,
- respecially with respect to intensity [12,11]. To date, only one study has specifically investigated

73 the association between different intensities of physical activity and CMP [13]. Heneweer et al. 74 [13] quantified the relationship between chronic low back pain (CLBP) prevalence and the 75 intensity of self-reported daily physical activity. Activity intensity was quantified using Ainsworth's Compendium [14]. There was no substantial relationship between physical activity 76 77 intensity and CLBP. However, those with a sedentary lifestyle, and those reporting the highest 78 strenuous activity levels (defined as a high frequency of high intensity activity), had a greater 79 risk of CLBP than those undertaking moderate levels of activity, thus implying a potential U-80 shaped relationship between physical activity and CMP. A key limitation of this work was the 81 use of subjectively measured physical activity, which is open to bias and should be supplemented 82 with objective methods [15].

83

The effects of reducing a potentially negative behavior, like sedentary behavior, may be 84 85 dependent on the behavior replacing it [16,17]. *Isotemporal substitution* is an important 86 advancement in this field [17]. With this method, the relative health effects of displacing a period 87 of sedentary behavior by an equivalent period of light physical activity (LPA) or moderate-to-88 vigorous physical activity (MVPA) can be identified [17]. This method is becoming increasingly 89 used in public health with conditions such as cardiovascular disease, mental health, diabetes and 90 obesity [17-25]. Early findings indicate that varying intensities of activity have different health 91 effects, with MVPA substitution producing greater benefits than LPA for cardiovascular risk 92 factors such as waist circumference, lipid profile and insulin sensitivity [20]. However, LPA 93 might be more beneficial with regards to psychosocial wellbeing [19]. Thus, the benefits of replacing sedentary behavior with physical activity, with respect to CMP prevalence, may be 94 95 dependent upon the intensity of the substituted activity.

97 Within the CMP literature, isotemporal substitution has not previously been used to investigate

98 the association between replacing sedentary behavior with different intensities of physical

activity. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between substituting 10 minutes

- 100 of sedentary behavior with either 10 minutes of LPA or MVPA and the CMP prevalence ratio.
- 101

102 METHODS

103 Sample and design

104 This study is a secondary analysis [undertaken in November 2015] of data from the 2008 Health 105 Survey for England (HSE) [26]. In the HSE, 16,056 addresses were selected using multistage 106 stratified random sampling with postcode sector the primary sampling unit. Interviews were held 107 with 15,102 adults. A subset of adults (n=4,507) was randomly selected to have their physical 108 activity measured using accelerometery. The specific details of the collection procedures have 109 been described previously [26]. Participants who were confined to a bed/wheelchair, had a latex 110 allergy, were pregnant, had recent abdominal surgery or had a health problem that would make 111 wearing the accelerometer uncomfortable were excluded from selection for the accelerometer-112 wearing subset. Furthermore, for the purpose of our analysis, individuals were excluded if they 113 were <16 years of age or if their level of mobility [categorized as either: I have no problems in 114 walking about; I have some problems in walking about; or I am confined to bed] was categorized 115 as either confined to bed or not recorded.

116

117 Measurements

118 In the HSE 2008, there was no specific question asking individuals if they had CMP. Thus, for

the purposes of our analysis we created a new, dichotomous CMP variable – *Presence of CMP*

120 [Yes/No]. We created the new variable from three existing questions within the original HSE. In

121 the first question, participants were asked if they had a long-standing illness. If they answered 122 yes, then in the second question they were asked to select, from a preordained list of conditions, 123 up to six that they considered applicable to them. One of the options was a *musculoskeletal* 124 system condition, which was aligned with the definition of the International Classification of 125 Diseases (ICD) for diseases of the musculoskeletal system. It included the following sub-126 conditions: arthropathies, systemic connective tissue disorders, dorsopathies, soft tissue 127 disorders, osteopathies and chondropathies, and other disorders of the musculoskeletal system 128 and connective tissue [27]. In the third question, participants were asked if, on the day of 129 completing the questionnaire, they were experiencing no pain, moderate pain or severe pain. If 130 the individual had a long-standing illness, and one of the selected conditions was 131 musculoskeletal, and if they had either moderate or severe pain that day, we categorized the 132 individual as having CMP. Those who did not meet each of these criteria were categorized as not 133 having CMP.

134

Physical activity was measured using the ActigraphTM (model GT1M), which is a valid and reliability measurement tool [28,29]. The ActigraphTM is a waist worn accelerometer, which converts body movement into counts, with higher counts indicating more vigorous activity. In this study Sedentary behavior was classified as 0-199 counts-per-minute (cpm), LPA as 200-2019 cpm, and MVPA as \geq 2020 cpm [26]. Within the HSE 2008, data were only processed for participants who wore the monitor for \geq 10 hours in the day (accelerometers were not worn while the participant was asleep) for a minimum of four days.

142

The following factors were entered as covariates within our analysis: age [years]; sex [male,
female]; Body Mass Index (BMI); socioeconomic status [quintiles of the Index of Multiple

145 Deprivation: a measure of area deprivation based on income, employment, health deprivation & 146 disability, education, skills and training, barriers to housing and services, and crime and living 147 environment]; diet [<2 portions of fruit and vegetables per day, 2-4 portions of fruit and 148 vegetables per day, ≥ 5 portions of fruit and vegetables per day]; smoking history [never smoked, 149 used to smoke, current smoker]; alcohol intake [none, ≤ 4 (men)/ ≤ 3 (women) units/day, >4 and 150 <8 (men)/>3 and <6 (women) units/day, >8 (men)/>6 (women) units/day]; anxiety/depression [I 151 am not anxious or depressed, I am moderately anxious or depressed, I am extremely anxious or 152 depressed]; and presence of a non-musculoskeletal long-standing illness [CVD, endocrine and 153 metabolic conditions, respiratory conditions and neurological conditions (yes/no)].

154

155 Statistical analysis

156 To account for the complex survey design of the HSE, we used a design-based approach. In this 157 method survey weights, strata, and the primary sampling unit (postcode sector) were set prior to 158 the main analyses using the STATA software 'svyset' commands (v. 13.1; Stata Corp. College 159 Station, Texas, USA). We implemented an 'ultimate cluster' approach, negating the need to 160 specify the secondary sampling unit (household) [30]. The analyses were carried out using the 161 statistical software package Stata® (StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. 162 College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). In all analyses, our "presence of chronic musculoskeletal 163 pain [yes/no]" variable was entered as the binary dependent variable.

164

165 In keeping with work by Hamer et al. [22] we used 10-minute time units for sedentary and

166 physical activity time. Ten-minute periods were used as it is recommended that the 30 minutes of

167 MVPA, which individuals are encouraged to achieve everyday should be accumulated in bouts

168 of ten minutes or more [31,32]. We performed an isotemporal substitution analysis to examine

the association between replacing a 10-minute unit of sedentary behavior with an equivalent unit of LPA or MVPA and CMP prevalence. We analyzed three models: Model 1 was unadjusted, Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex, and Model 3 was adjusted for all covariates. Our analysis involved the inclusion of total wear time, LPA and MVPA in the model, with sedentary time excluded. The resulting coefficients for LPA and MVPA are estimates of the association between replacing 10 minutes of sedentary time with the equivalent amount of LPA or MVPA and the prevalence of CMP, expressed as a risk ratio.

176

177 In a secondary analysis, we substituted 30 minutes of sedentary behavior with MVPA to 178 determine the association with the prevalence of CMP. This is consistent with current activity 179 guidelines [31,32]. For all analyses, we report the prevalence ratios along with 95% confidence 180 intervals (CI). A generalized linear model with a binomial distribution and log-link failed to 181 converge; therefore, we derived the risk ratios using Cox regression with a constant time at risk 182 and robust variance estimator [33]. A priori, the threshold for the minimum clinically important 183 association was set at a prevalence ratio of 0.9 (a small association) and smaller risk ratios than 184 these were regarded as trivial. This threshold implies that for every 10 cases with CMP, one is 185 prevented due to the exposure in question (displacement of sedentary time with physical 186 activity).

187

Of the participants with complete data for the outcome and primary exposure (physical activity/ sedentary time), 232 had missing covariate data comprising n=16 for anxiety/ depression, 14 for alcohol intake, 5 for smoking status, and 202 for BMI (5 participants with missing data for multiple variables). For the primary analysis, we used multiple imputation (MI) as a principled method of dealing with these missing data [34]. Under a missing at random assumption, we

193 imputed the 237 missing values using chained equations via the Stata MI module [34]. We used 194 20 imputations, to ensure that the number of imputed data sets was greater than the frequency of 195 missing information to ensure reproducibility of results [35]. Missing values were predicted 196 using all variables in the analysis model, plus the chronic musculoskeletal pain outcome variable 197 [36]. We applied ordinal logistic regression models (ologit) to impute missing values for the 198 anxiety/depression, alcohol intake, and smoking status variables, and linear regression for the 199 BMI variable. We conducted subsequent analysis for the fully-adjusted model using all 20 200 imputed data sets with results combined using Rubin's rules [37]. As recommended [34], we also 201 conducted an analysis of complete cases only (n=2081). Figure 1 shows that there were 8 cases 202 with missing outcome data (CMP); these were removed from the analysis, as under a missing at 203 random assumption imputing missing outcome data provides no additional information.

204

205 RESULTS

Of the subset (n = 4,507) who were randomly chosen to have their physical activity monitored,
1,207 were removed from the sample as they had incomplete objective physical activity data.
Nine hundred and seventy nine participants were removed as they were confined to bed or
provided insufficient mobility data to determine their mobility status. A further 8 participants
were removed from the sample as they did not have outcome variable data. Thus, 2313 were
included in our analysis (See supplementary Figure S1).

212

213 The descriptive characteristics of the included and excluded participants are shown in Table 1.

214 The summary data for those participants with complete data, along with those with missing data,

are shown in supplementary Table S1. Of the individuals eligible for this study, 17% were

216 classified as having CMP. There were no substantial differences between those with complete

data and those with missing covariate data, apart from a higher prevalence in the missing data
group of the CMP outcome variable and the original variable of presence of a long-standing
illness.

220

221 Insert table 1 here (supplementary table S1 and supplementary figure S1 are online)

222

223 In all models, replacing 10 minutes of sedentary behavior with 10 minutes of LPA was not 224 associated with a substantial reduction in the risk ratio for CMP (Table 2). Replacing 10 minutes 225 of sedentary behavior with 10 minutes of MVPA resulted in a small reduction in the prevalence 226 ratio for CMP (11% relative risk reduction for the fully-adjusted model), achieving the 227 minimally clinical important threshold set a priori. In a secondary analysis, we estimated that 228 replacing 30 minutes of sedentary behavior with 30 minutes of MVPA time would result in a 229 fully-adjusted relative risk reduction of 29% (prevalence ratio for CMP of 0.71). 230 Table 3 shows the risk ratios from the analysis of complete cases. Point estimates and confidence 231 intervals are not materially different from those derived from the multiple imputation analysis. 232 233 Insert table 2 and 3 here 234 235 DISCUSSION 236 Substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with an equivalent amount of MVPA resulted in a 237 small reduction in the prevalence ratio for CMP. The replacement of 10 minutes of sedentary

time with 10 minutes of LPA was not substantially associated with CMP prevalence. These

results show that the beneficial associations of reducing sedentary behavior with regards to CMP

240 prevalence are largely dependent on the intensity of physical activity that displaces it.

Furthermore, the magnitude of the reduction in prevalence ratio (PR = 0.89) for substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with the equivalent amount of time in MVPA was a small but clinically important association. The risk reduced further (PR = 0.71) when 30 minutes of sedentary time was replaced with current guideline recommendations of 30 minutes of MVPA [31].

246

247 Only one previous study has specifically investigated the relationship between physical activity 248 intensity and CMP [13]. The authors reported that whilst intensity was not related to CLBP 249 prevalence, those who were most inactive and those who were most active had a higher 250 prevalence of CLBP than those who were moderately active, indicating a U-shaped curve. In 251 contrast, we observed that intensity of physical activity was associated with CMP prevalence. We found that substituting LPA for sedentary time was not substantially associated with CMP 252 253 prevalence but substituting MVPA had a small protective effect. The study methods used likely 254 explain the differences in findings. A key difference was that our study used objectively 255 measured physical activity while Heneweer et al. [13] used subjectively measured physical 256 activity, which can be inaccurate/imprecise and should be supplemented with objective methods 257 [15].

258

Given the cross-sectional nature of this study, it is not possible to investigate causality, and longitudinal studies are needed to explore this further. However, the presence of the association has important clinical implications independent of the direction of the relationship. If undertaking greater amounts of MVPA rather than LPA can lead to a reduction in CMP prevalence, then guidelines should encourage individuals to undertake more MVPA, both for prevention and management of pain. Alternatively, if the association seen in this study reflects

the case that those individuals with CMP simply do less MVPA than those without CMP then this has serious implications for the cardiovascular and metabolic health of individuals with CMP. This latter interpretation would still reinforce the need for MVPA to be emphasized in guidelines for management of CMP but the rationale would then be the prevention of secondary co-morbidities.

270

271 There are a number of reasons why MVPA may be more beneficial than LPA with respect to 272 CMP prevalence. First, MVPA may result in better conditioning of the musculoskeletal system. 273 Second, the effects may be related to psychological wellbeing. There is a strong link between 274 psychological factors, such as depression, and pain [38] and MVPA may have greater effects on 275 mild-to-moderate depression than LPA [39]. Third, in animal models the natural analgesic 276 system is enhanced by regular physical activity and this has been shown to attenuate the 277 development of CMP [40]. In addition, in humans the body's natural analgesic system is more 278 strongly activated by MVPA rather than LPA [41,42]. Finally, based upon the fear avoidance 279 model [43] we can speculate that enhancing exposure to more physically stressful activity by 280 way of undertaking MVPA may help to reduce pain-related fear and reduce fear avoidant 281 behavior in comparison to LPA.

282

283 Limitations

284

A key strength of this study was the use of a large nationally representative sample, adjusted for a range of known covariates, and an objective measure of physical activity. A number of limitations should also be considered. First, cross-sectional studies are prone to bias including temporal/ reverse causation bias, restricting inferences to association only. Second, the

289 reallocation of time in our analysis is not true isotemporal substitution (an experimental design 290 would be required for this). Third, whilst physical activity was measured objectively using the 291 ActigraphTM, such count-based accelerometers can have difficulty distinguishing between the 292 postures of lying/sitting and quiet standing [44] and thus it may not be ideal for distinguishing 293 between sedentary behavior and LPA. In addition, the Actigraph[™] is unable to measure certain 294 activities such as swimming or cycling [45]. Finally, our classification of CMP is a combination 295 of three separate questions in the HSE rather than a single direct measure of CMP. We have used 296 this variable previously [46]. The limitation is that we cannot be sure that the pain indicated by 297 respondents is related to their musculoskeletal condition. While this misclassification of pain is 298 possible, the logic underpinning our CMP variable is sound - that for the vast 299 majority reporting a musculoskeletal condition, their moderate/severe pain is linked with that 300 condition; and that the sample size is sufficiently large to minimize any confounding effects of 301 the minority of respondents whose pain would be unrelated.

302

303 It is worth highlighting that the HSE used a cut-off of 0-199 cpm to classify sedentary behavior, 304 though evidence suggests 150 cpm is optimal [47]. This study was constrained to the HSE cut-305 off points. It is possible that more activity was classified as sedentary, compared to if the 306 empirically based lower cut-off point had been used. Future work assessing sedentary behavior 307 using both cut-off points may be warranted to investigate the potential impact of this data-308 processing decision. Additionally, it could also be argued that due to the physiological decline 309 associated with ageing, a lower cpm threshold for MVPA would have been more appropriate to 310 categorize relative LPA and MVPA intensity in older adults with cut-offs as low as 1040 cpm 311 proposed to equate to the threshold for MVPA in older adults [48,49]. Thus, the amount of 312 MVPA undertaken in this study by older adults may have been underestimated.

314	Current physical activity guidelines recommend 30 minutes of moderate intensity activity on five
315	or more days per week to be accumulated in bouts of 10 minutes or more [31, 32]. Our findings
316	highlight the potential clinical benefit of current guidelines for patients with CMP, reinforcing
317	the case for recommending these guidelines to patients. Our findings have two main implications
318	for future research. First, given the current limited evidence base, more randomized controlled
319	trials of interventions specifically aimed at investigating the effectiveness of different intensities
320	of physical activity in the management of CMP are warranted. Such trials should consider the
321	specific needs of certain sub-groups such as those with high levels of pain-related fear. Second,
322	more research is required to corroborate the findings of this study, using prospective study
323	designs (observational and randomized controlled trials) to evaluate causal pathways.
324	
325	Conclusions
326	In conclusion, substituting 10 minutes of sedentary time with the equivalent amount of MVPA,
327	but not LPA, has a small but clinically relevant protective association with CMP prevalence
328	ratio. Prospective studies are needed to further investigate these findings.
329	
330	Acknowledgements
331	The authors would like to thank the Economic and Social Data Service (ESDS) for providing
332	access to the Health Survey for England (2008). The authors would like to acknowledge the
333	original Health Survey for England data creators, depositors or copyright holders, the funders of
334	the Data Collection and the UK Data Archive, and to acknowledge Crown Copyright. The
335	original Health Survey for England data creators, depositors or copyright holders, the funders of
336	the Data Collection and the UK Data Archive bear no responsibility for the analysis and

337	interpretation of the analysis presented in this study. All authors contributed substantially to the
338	work in keeping with ICJME authorship guidelines.
339	
340	Ethical Approval
341	The study was approved by Teesside University's School of Health and Social Care Research
342	Governance and Ethics Board.
343	
344	Funding
345	This study was unfunded.
346	
347	Conflict of Interest
348	The authors have no conflicts of interest and no financial disclosures were reported by the
349	authors of this paper.
350	
351	REFERENCES
352	[1] Cimmino MA, Ferrone C, Cutolo M. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best
353	practice and research Clinical rheumatology. 2011;25:173-183.
354	[2] McDonald M, daCosta M, DiBonaventura M, Ullman, S. Musculoskeletal pain in the
355	workforce: the effects of back, arthritis, and fibromyalgia pain on quality of life and work
356	productivity. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2011:53;765-770.
357	[3] Hayden JA, Van Tulder MW, Tomlinson G. Systematic review: Strategies for using
358	exercise therapy to improve outcomes in chronic low back pain. Ann Intern Med.
359	2005;142:776-785.

360	[4] Henchoz Y, Kai-Lik So A: Exercise and nonspecific low back pain: A literature review.
361	Joint Bone Spine. 2008;75:533-539.
362	[5] Heneweer H, Staes F, Aufdenkampe G, van Rijn M, Vanhees L. Physical activity and low
363	back pain: a systematic review of recent literature. Eur Spine J. 2011;20:826-845.
364	[6] Liddle SD, Baxter GD, Gracey JH. Exercise and chronic low back pain: what works?
365	Pain. 2004;107:176-190.
366	[7] Lin CC, McAuley JH, Macedo L, et al. Relationships between physical activity and
367	disability in low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain. 2011;152:607-613.
368	[8] Rainville J, Hartigan C, Martinez E, et al. Exercise as a treatment for chronic low back
369	pain. Spine J. 2004;4:106-115.
370	[9] O'Riordan C, Clifford A, Van De Ven P, Nelson J. Chronic neck pain and exercise
371	interventions: frequency, intensity, time, and type principle. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.
372	2014;95:770-783.
373	[10] Bidonde J, Jean Busch A, Bath B, Milosavljevic S. Exercise for Adults with
374	Fibromyalgia: An Umbrella Systematic Review with Synthesis of Best Evidence. Current
375	Rheumatology Reviews. 2014;10:45-79.
376	[11] Busch A J, Schachter C L, Overend T J, Peloso P M, Barber K A. Exercise for
377	fibromyalgia: a systematic review. J Rheumatol. 2008;35:1130-1144.
378	[12] O'Connor SR, Tully MA, Ryan B, et al. Walking exercise for chronic musculoskeletal
379	pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96:724-734.
380	[13] Heneweer H, Vanhees L, Picavet HSJ. Physical activity and low back pain: a U-shaped
381	relation? Pain. 2009;143:21-25.

382	[14] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities:
383	classification of energy costs of human physical activities. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
384	1993;25:71-80.
385	[15] Shephard RJ: Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires.
386	Br J Sports Med. 2003;37:197-206.
387	[16] Gomersall SR, Norton K, Maher C, English C, Olds TS: In search of lost time: when
388	people undertake a new exercise program, where does the time come from? A randomized
389	controlled trial. J Sci Med Sport. 2014;18:43-48.
390	[17] Mekary RA, Willett WC, Hu FB, Ding EL: Isotemporal substitution paradigm for
391	physical activity epidemiology and weight change. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170:519-527.
392	[18] Aggio D, Smith L, Hamer M: Effects of reallocating time in different activity intensities
393	on health and fitness: a cross sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phy. 2015;12:83.
394	[19] Buman MP, Hekler EB, Haskell WL, et al. Objective light-intensity physical activity
395	associations with rated health in older adults. Am J Epidemiol. 2010;172:1155-1165.
396	[20] Buman MP, Winkler EAH, Kurka JM, et al. Reallocating time to sleep, sedentary
397	behaviors, or active behaviors: Associations with cardiovascular disease risk biomarkers,
398	NHANES 2005-2006. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;179:323-334.
399	[21] Falconer CL, Page AS, Andrews RC, Cooper AR: The potential impact of displacing
400	sedentary time in adults with type 2 diabetes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47:2070-2075.
401	[22] Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Steptoe A: Effects of substituting sedentary time with physical
402	activity on metabolic risk. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46:1946-1950.
403	[23] Stamatakis E, Rogers K, Ding D, et al. All-cause mortality effects of replacing sedentary
404	time with physical activity and sleeping using an isotemporal substitution model: a
405	prospective study of 201,129 mid-aged and older adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phy. 2015;12:1-10.

406	[24] Wellburn S, Ryan CG, Azevedo LB, et al. Displacing Sedentary Time: Association with
407	Cardiovascular Disease Prevalence. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2016;48:641-647.
408	[25] Mekary RA, Lucas M, Pan A, et al. Isotemporal substitution analysis for physical
409	activity, television watching, and risk of depression. Am J Epidemiol. 2013:178;474-483.
410	[26] Craig R, Mindell J, Hirani V, editors. Health Survey for England 2008. Volume 1
411	physical activity and fitness. The Health and Social Care Information Centre; 2009.
412	[27] World Health Organisation (WHO): International Statistical Classification of Diseases
413	and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10) Version for 2008.
414	http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2008/en. Accessed June 4th, 2015.
415	[28] Rowlands a, Stone MR, Eston RG. Influence of speed and step frequency during
416	walking and running on motion sensor output. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39:716.
417	[29] Abel MG, Hannon JC, Sell K, et al. Validation of the Kenz Lifecorder EX and
418	ActiGraph GT1M accelerometers for walking and running in adults. Applied Physiology,
419	Nutrition, and Metabolism. 2008;33:155-1164.
420	[30] Wolter KM, editor. Introduction to Variance Estimation. 2 nd ed. New York, NY:
421	Springer-Verlag; 2007.
422	[31] Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, et al. Physical activity and public health: updated
423	recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American
424	Heart Association. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39:1423-1434.
425	[32] UK Department of Health: Start Active, Stay Active: A report on physical activity from
426	the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers.
427	https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_12
428	8210.pdf. Published July 2011. [Accessed February 12th, 2016]

429	[33] Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies:
430	an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC Med Res
431	Methodol. 2003;3:21.
432	[34] Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in
433	epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ. 2009;339:157-160.
434	[35] White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues
435	and guidance for practice. Stat Med. 2011;30:377-399.
436	[36] Moons KGM, Donders RART, Stijnen T, Harrell Jr. FE. Using the outcome for
437	imputation of missing predictor values was preferred. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59:1092-1101.
438	[37] Rubin DB, editor. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York, NY:
439	Wiley: 1987.
440	[38] Polatin PB, Kinnedy RK, Gatchel RJ, Lillo E, Mayer TG. Psychiatric illness and chronic
441	low-back pain: the mind and the spine – which goes first? Spine. 1993;18:66-71.
442	[39] Dunn AL, Trivedi MH, Kampert JB, Clark CG, Chamblis HO. Exercise treatment for
443	depression efficacy and dose response. Am J Prev Med. 2005;28:1-8.
444	[40] Sluka KA, O'Donnell JM, Danielson J, Rasmussen LA. Regular physical activity
445	prevents development of chronic pain and activation of central neurons. J Appl Physiol.
446	2013;114:725-733.
447	[41] Koltyn KF. Exercise-induced hypoalgesia and intensity of exercise. Sports Med.
448	2002;32:477-487.
449	[42] Naugle K M, RILEY 3rd J L. Self-reported physical activity predicts pain inhibitory and
450	facilitatory function. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46:622-629.
451	[43] Leeuw M, Goossens MEJB, Linton SJ, et al. The fear-avoidance model of
452	musculoskeletal pain: current state of scientific evidence. J Behav Med. 2007;30:77-94.

- 453 [44] Atkin AJ, Gorely T, Clemes SA, et al. Methods of measurement in epidemiology:
- 454 sedentary behavior. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2012;41:1460-1471.
- 455 [45] Hansen HB, Bortnes I, Hildebrand M, et al. Validity of the ActiGraph GT1M during
- 456 walking and cycling. J Sports Sci. 2014;32:510-516.
- 457 [46] Ryan CG, McDonough S, Kirwan JP, Leveille S, Martin DJ. An investigation of
- 458 association between chronic musculoskeletal pain and cardiovascular disease in the Health
- 459 Survey for England (2008). *Eur J Pain*. 2014;18:740-750.
- 460 [47] Kozey-Keadle S, Libertine A, Lyden K, Staudenmayer J, Freedson PS. Validation of
- 461 wearable monitors for assessing sedentary behavior. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43:1561-
- 462 1567.
- 463 [48] Copeland JL, Esliger DW. Accelerometer assessment of physical activity in active,
- 464 healthy older adults. *J Aging Phys Act.* 2009;17:17-30.
- 465 [49] Jefferis BJ, Sartini C, Lee IM, et al. Adherence to physical activity guidelines in older
- 466 adults, using objectively measured physical activity in a population-based study. *BMC Public*
- 467 *Health.* 2014;14:382.
- 468
- 469
- 470
- 471
-
- 472
- 473

Table 1. Key Characteristics for Included and excluded cases.

	Included	Excluded.
	$n = 2313^{\$}$	n = 2194 ⁴ 77
Age (years)	52 (18)	52 (20) 478
Sex	52 (10)	479
Men	45%	_{44%} 480
Women	55%	57% 481
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	28 (5)	27 (5) 482
Socio-economic status	20 (0)	483
1 (least deprived)	24%	22% 484
2	21%	20% 485
3	19%	19% 486
4	19%	19% 487
5 (most deprived)	17%	20% 488
Diet		489
<2 portions of fruit and vegetables	20%	24% 490
2-4 portions of fruit and vegetables	50%	48% 401
>5 portions of fruit and vegetables	29%	28% 402
Anxiety/Depression		492
Not anxious/ depressed	81%	79% 404
Moderately anxious/ depressed	18%	19% 494
Extremely anxious/ depressed	1%	2% 495
Alcohol intake		400
No units/day	33%	40% 498
≤ 4 (men), ≤ 3 (women) units/day	30%	28% 499
≥ 4 and ≤ 8 (men), >3 and ≤ 6 (women)	1.90/	150/ 500
units/day	18%0	¹⁵ [%] 501
>8 (men), >6 (women) units/day	18%	17% 502
Smoking history		503
Never smoked	47%	46% 504
Used to smoke	33%	32% 505
Current smoker	20%	21% 506
Long standing illness	30%	32% 507
CMP condition present	17%	17% 500
Objective light activity (min)	227 (79)	²⁰⁵ (101 51 0
Objective MVPA/day (min)	29 (25)	^{22 (25)} 511
Objective sedentary time/day (min)	577 (94)	574 (103 512

514 515 516 517 BMI - body mass index, CMP - chronic musculoskeletal pain, MVPA - moderate-to-vigorous physical activity

^{\$}n=2313 for all variables except: BMI n=2111, Anxiety/depression n=2297, Alcohol intake n=2299, Smoking history n=2308.

*n=2194 for all variables except: BMI n=1698, Diet n=2193, Anxiety/depression n=1992, Alcohol intake n=2170, smoking history n=2171, CMP condition n=1989, Objective light activity/MVPA/sedentary time n=43. Data presented in brackets are standard deviations.

Table 2. The prevalence ratio for CMP: substituting 10-minutes of sedentary time with LPA orMVPA.

	LPA	LPA		MVPA	
Model	Prevalence ratio	95% CI	Prevalence ratio	95% CI	
Unadjusted	0.99	0.97, 1.01	0.76	0.70, 0.84	
Age/sex	1.00	0.98, 1.02	0.86	0.79, 0.94	
All covariates	1.01	0.99, 1.02	0.89	0.82, 0.96	

All covariates model adjusted for: Age, sex, smoking status, socio-economic status, diet, alcohol intake, anxiety/depression,
 Body Mass Index, presence of a long-standing illness.

532 LPA = Light physical activity; MVPA = Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; CI = Confidence Interval.

Total sample included in the analysis = 2313. Of these, 388 cases reported chronic musculoskeletal pain. Mean (SD) duration of
 MVPA for these cases was 17.5 (19.5). Substitution of this amount of sedentary time with MVPA (all covariates model) gives a
 prevalence ratio of 0.82. The prevalence ratio associated replacing sedentary time with the recommended amount of MVPA (30
 min per day) is 0.71 (95%CI 0.55, 0.88).

Table 3. Isotemporal substitution of a 10-minute unit of sedentary time with LPA or MVPA:

543 Complete cases analysis.

	LPA	4	MVPA		
Model	Prevalence ratio	95% CI	Prevalence ratio	95% CI	
Unadjusted	0.99	0.98, 1.01	0.78	0.71, 0.86	
Age/sex	1.00	0.98, 1.02	0.87	0.80, 0.96	
All covariates	1.01	0.99, 1.02	0.90	0.82, 0.98	

All covariates model adjusted for: Age, sex, smoking status, socio-economic status, diet, alcohol intake, anxiety/depression, BMI,
 presence of a long-standing illness.

546 LPA = Light physical activity; MVPA = Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; CI = Confidence Interval.

547 Complete cases analysis. Total sample included = 2081.

Table S1. Key Characteristics for Complete Case and Missing Data Groups.

	Complete	Missing 563
	n = 2081	n = 232* 564
Age (years)	51 (18)	54 (21) 565
Sex		566
Men	46%	39% 567
Women	54%	61% 568
BMI (kg/m^2)	28 (5)	27 (6) 569
Socio-economic status		570
1 (least deprived)	25%	^{21%} 571
2	21%	^{21%} 572
3	19%	18% 573
4	19%	^{18%} 574
5 (most deprived)	16%	23% 575
Diet		576
<2 portions of fruit and vegetables	20%	23% 577
2-4 portions of fruit and vegetables	50%	50% 577
\geq 5 portions of fruit and vegetables	30%	26% 578
Anxiety/Depression		579
Not anxious/ depressed	82%	76% 580
Moderately anxious/ depressed	17%	22% 581
Extremely anxious/ depressed	1%	2% 582
Alcohol intake		583
No units/day	32%	38% 584
\leq 4 (men), \leq 3 (women) units/day	30%	36% 585
≥ 4 and ≤ 8 (men), >3 and ≤ 6 (women)	10%	000 16% 597
units/day	1970	588
>8 (men), >6 (women) units/day	19%	^{11%} 589
Smoking history		590
Never smoked	48%	^{45%} 591
Used to smoke	32%	^{35%} 592
Current smoker	20%	^{20%} 593
Long standing illness	29%	^{41%} 594
CMP condition present	16%	28% 595
Objective light activity (min)	227 (79)	201 (85) 596
Objective MVPA/day (min)	29 (25)	24 (26) 597
Objective sedentary time/day (min)	577 (94)	591 (95) 598
		599

BMI - body mass index, CMP - chronic musculoskeletal pain, MVPA - moderate to vigorous physical activity

601 602 603 *n=232 for all variables except: BMI n=30, Anxiety/depression n=216, Alcohol intake n=218, smoking history n=227. Data presented in brackets are standard deviations.

- 614
- 615 Figure legends:
- 616

617 Supplementary Figure S1. Sampling process - flow chart.

