Six Pillars of Utility Engineering will begin shortly but while you wait...

WE NEED YOUR HELP!

UESI, an institute of ASCE, is conducting a survey on what utility coordination looks like nationally. Please use the QR code to participate in the survey. Thanks!



Six Pillars of Utility Engineering

- Cesar Quiroga, Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
- Natalie Parks, USI Consultants, Inc.



Six Pillars of Utility Engineering – National Perspective

Cesar Quiroga, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE

106th Purdue Road School, 03/10/2020



Reality Check...

- Frequently cited reasons for project delays (DOT perspective):
 - Short timeframe for developing projects
 - Project design changes
 - Environmental process delays
 - Utility-related inefficiencies
 - Inaccurate location and marking of existing utility facilities
 - Identifying utility conflicts late in the design phase
 - Disagreements on recommended utility-related solutions
 - Utility relocation costs not handled properly



Reality Check... (2)

- Frequently cited reasons for project delays (utility owner perspective):
 - Limited resources (financial and personnel)
 - Internal demands (maintenance, service upgrades)
 - Utility owner's project development process protocols
 - Coordination with other stakeholders during design
 - Coordination with other stakeholders during construction
 - Changes in DOT design and schedules

ation

- Unrealistic schedule by DOT for utility relocations

Impacts of Inefficiencies in Utility Process

- Construction site disruptions
- Damage to utility installations
- Risks to public health and safety
- Unnecessary utility relocations
- Project delays and higher project costs





Risk Factors Related to Utilities



To Bridge the Gap...

- Must understand BOTH agency and utility needs
- Be knowledgeable of BOTH agency and utility owner policies, procedures, and requirements
- Have "cross discipline" design experience in BOTH transportation and utilities
- Experienced in identifying, prioritizing, and mitigating risks for BOTH transportation and utilities
- Have a design understanding of highways, drainage, structures, traffic, right of way, etc.



To Bridge the Gap... (2)

- Experience in resolving utility field construction issues
- Ability to perform constructability reviews involving BOTH transportation and utilities
- Understand construction staging and sequencing constraints for BOTH transportation and utilities
- Ability to establish relationships, based on mutual understanding and trust
- Ability to recognize time and cost innovative utility solutions











Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (2)

Institute



Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (3)



Texas A&M ransportatio Institute

Utility Investigation Methods



• Quality levels:

– QLD

- QLC
- QLB
- QLA
- ASCE Standard Guideline for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data (ASCE/CI 38-02)





Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (4)



Techniques, protocols, and systems that use the avoid, minimize, and *accommodate* principle to identify and resolve conflicts systematically between infrastructure project features or phases and existing or proposed utility facilities









— W1 (D





Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (5)



Techniques and procedures that lead to more effective practices to design utility relocations and protectin-place measures for existing facilities that remain in place (including preparation of plans, specifications, schedule, and cost estimate)









Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (6)

Techniques and procedures for monitoring, inspecting, and surveying utility installations at the job site, as well as mapping and production of quality, standards-based utility as-builts

Texas A&M

Transportatio Institute



- Coordination of utility construction
 - Pre and post letting
- Inspection and verification
- Compliance with policies

 (e.g., utility accommodation
 rules, traffic control, SW3P, OSHA, etc.)
- Payment request reviews
- Gathering or preparing as-built plans





Six Pillars of Utility Engineering (7)

Techniques and procedures for accommodating, permitting, managing, documenting, and assessing conditions of utility facilities within the right of way over their entire lifecycle

Texas A&M

Transportatio Institute







Utility Data Management in 3D (BIM)





Challenges for Developing Reliable 3D Models











Six Pillars of Utility Engineering



UESI Activities and Initiatives

- Conferences
 - Pipelines Conference, San Antonio, Texas, Aug 8-12, 2020
 - Survey and Geomatics: Conference Cincinnati State U, June 1-3
 - SUE for Municipalities: Prequalifications and scopes
 - Utility Investigation School:
 - CSM (Dec 2019), LTU (March), UTA (Spring), Purdue (Summer), CSM (Winter)
 - Accreditations:
 - Subsurface utility professional/designator
 - Utility process manager
 - Utility project coordinator



For Additional Information

- Cesar Quiroga
 - Senior Research Engineer
 - Texas A&M Transportation Institute
 - Email: <u>c-quiroga@tti.tamu.edu</u>
 - Phone: (210) 321-1229



Six Pillars of Utility Engineering Indiana Perspective

Natalie Parks, P.E. Lead Utility Coordinator USI Consultants, Inc.



Utility Engineering is a branch of engineering that focuses on the planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and asset management of any and all utility systems, as well as the interaction between utility infrastructure and other infrastructure.



Is there more to "utility coordination" than the 81 steps we follow in the Design Manual?

How do we apply the 6 pillars and the above definition to our work as Utility Coordinators?



Utility Process Management

- Techniques and procedures that enable a more effective management of the utility process during <u>all</u> <u>phases of project delivery</u>, as well as a more effective coordination and contractual relationship between project owners and utility stakeholders.
- This is UTILITY COORDINATION as we currently know it.
- 105 IAC 13
- IDM Chapter 104 defines this process for INDOT/LPA projects





Utility Process Management



Source: INDOT UTA Template Library – "Utility Coordination Timeline.pdf"



Utility Process Management



Source: INDOT UTA Template Library – "Utility Coordination Timeline.pdf"



IDM Chapter 104

- Utility coordination steps linked with PDP Tasks for Major and Minor projects
- Utility Research PDP Task 6.01
- Initial Notice
 - Survey and Depiction of Findings on Plans PDP Task 6.01.08
 - Determination for the use of SUE
- Verification PDP Task 6.15
- Conflict Analysis PDP Task 7.06
- Work Plan





512512020

J





Why Start at Planning?

- Purpose of Engineering Assessment/Engineer's Report
 - Determine project need
 - Determine project scope
 - Determine risk factors
 - Establish approximate costs

 How does an assessment/engineer's report include Utility Risk and Costs if no coordination has been completed?



Why Start at Planning?

- Solution Initial Notice & Utility Assessment
 - Project Type Engineering Assessment
 - Establishes eligibility for reimbursement
 - Establishes potential risks

WITHOUT ADEQUATE UTILITY INFORMATION, RISK OF UTILITY CONFLICTS INCREASES...AS DOES PROJECT COST



After Planning...

- Survey
- Existing Profile
- Decisions are made...
 - Project Alignment
 - Hydraulics
 - Bridge Structure, Size, and Type
 - Preliminary Right-of-Way limits
 - Project budgets are established



- Technologies to detect, identify, and map existing utilities effectively and the integration of quality standardsbased utility information, including 3D modeling and building information modeling (BMI), in all phases of project delivery
- Typically call this SUE and refers to potholing (QL-A)
- Typically start this at conflict analysis, after PFC





- ASCE 38-02
 - QL-D = existing maps, as-builts, etc.
 - QL-C = topographic survey
 - QL-B = Precise horizontal information = "designating"
 - QL-A = Precise horizontal & vertical information = "locating"
- New publication Subsurface Utility Engineering for Municipalities





- Isn't survey enough?
 - Accuracy in location
 - All underground facilities depicted
 - All above ground facilities depicted
- QL-B gives more precise horizontal information
- For some projects this makes sense
 - Urban with a lot of underground facilities
 - Critical schedule
 - For others this does not make sense
 - Rural
 - Project scope/size
 - Fewer/no underground facilities



- For others this does not make sense
 - Rural
 - Project scope/size
 - Fewer/no underground facilities
- Why start earlier?
 - More accurate information for survey
 - Design assumptions made based on more accurate information
 - Can compare QL-D with QL-B and make necessary corrections



- Techniques, protocols, and systems that use the avoid, minimize, mitigate principle to identify and resolve conflicts systematically between infrastructure project features or phases and existing or proposed utility facilities.
- Conflict Analysis PDP Task 7.06
 - Typically occurs coincident with PFC
 - Conflict Matrix
 - Determination for need of QL-A SUE





UTILITY CONFLICT MANAGEMENT

	UTILTIY 'Ç	SHEET NO		MATERIAL 🛫	SIZE		START STA		END STA	END OFFSET	SUE LEVEL REQ'D	TES
1	G	28	Gas	Steel		Pavement/Grading	78+41	15'RT	112+50	38' LT		
2	G	28-36	Gas	Steel		Pavement	78+41	16.8' LT	104+00	16.75' LT		
3	G	28	Gas	Steel	4"	STR 7- 42" Storm Pipe	78-+71	26' LT				
4	W	28	Water	Cast Iron		STR 7A-15" Storm Inv. 731.80'	78+89	37.75' LT	79+05	29.5'LT	Α	
5	W	28-30	Water	Cast Iron		Ditching/Grading & STR 7A	79+00	LT	86+35	31' LT		
6	G	28	Gas	Steel		Ditching/Riprap	79+43	14.5'RT	79+43	32.5'RT		
7	E	28	Electric	Pole		Ditching-pole bury depth-guys	80+78	34'RT				
8	E	28	Electric	Pole		Ditching-pole bury depth-guys	81+10	37'LT				
9	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	Ditching/Gas	81+68	23'RT	81+68	29'RT		
10	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	Ditching/Grading/Water	81+90	22.5' LT	81+92	30'LT		
11	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	STR 7 to STR 8, 36" Storm Pipe	81+82	5.8' LT				
12	G	28	Gas	Steel			81+93	13'RT	81+93	17.75'RT		
13	E	28	Electric	Pole		Ditching-pole bury depth-guys	81+95	34'RT				
14	W	28	Water	Cast Iron		STR. 8, 36" storm pipe	82+91	6'LT	82+91	6'LT		
15		28	Gas	Steel		STR. 8A Inlet						
16	W	28	Water	Cast Iron		Ditching/Grading/Riprap	82+91	23'RT	82+91	32'RT		
17	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	Riprap for STR 7D	82+92	31' LT	82+92	31'LT		
18	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	Riprap for STR 8A	83+09	30'LT	83+09	30'LT		
19	E	28	Electric	Pole		Ditching-pole bury depth-guys	83+58	35'LT				
20	SS	28	Sanitary	VCP	8"	Riprap for STR 8A	83+60	30'LT	83+60	30'LT		
21	G	28	Gas	Steel		Pavement	83+65	14.5'LT	83+65	27'LT		
22	G	28	Gas	Steel		Ditching/Grading	83+65	27'LT	83+56	32.5'LT		











Utility Design

- Techniques and procedures that lead to more effective practices to design utility relocations and protect-in-place measures for existing facilities that remain in place (including preparation of plans, specifications, and cost estimate)
- "Design" is typically interpreted to mean the actual design of infrastructure for utility facilities





Utility Design

- Review and approve work plans
 - Verify locations of proposed facilities
 - Verify conflicts remediated
 - Verify no conflicts with other utilities
- Know your plans
- Suggest design revisions or modifications
- Utility corridor design
- Know your options for protect-in-place
- Ask the right questions



 Techniques and procedures for monitoring, inspecting, and surveying utility installation at the site, as well as mapping and production of quality, standardsbased utility as-builts





- IDM 104.
 - Provide Notice of Work Plan Approval (Item 62)
 - Review modified utility work plans (Item 63)
 - Provide Notice to Proceed (Item 65)
 - Resolve utility-related issues during construction (Item 66)
 - Manage the schedule for utility relocation work, attend weekly construction meetings and report weekly progress of utility relocation work to the utility oversight agent, construction engineer, and project manager (Item 70)



- Current process does not include the inspection of utility installations
 - Can this be done as a separate inspection contract?
 - For utility relocations being completed during construction, can the inspection be done at that time?
- Currently do not require as-builts of utility relocations
 - Rely completely on relocation drawings and/or amendments
 - Develop overall relocation drawing (Item 57)



Utility Asset Management

- Techniques and procedures for accommodating, permitting, managing, documenting, and assessing conditions of utility facilities within the right-of-way over their entire life cycle
- Currently not included in what we consider the utility coordination process
- Assumes utility owner involvement
- Discuss with utilities obtaining as-builts
- Most commonly done through permitting





- Utility Coordination is more than just sending and receiving information, attending meetings, and reviewing work plans.
- Our process can assist utility owners with the planning of their facility infrastructure projects.
- Our process can assist in designing utility corridors for utility placement on design projects.
- Our process does enable us to understand basic operation and maintenance requirements of our utility partners which enables us to better design our projects.
- <u>Our process focuses on the interaction between utility</u> <u>infrastructure and other infrastructure</u>.



- We ENGINEER relationships:
 - Between existing utilities and proposed design
 - Between utility designs and proposed design
 - Between utility needs and right-of-way needs
 - Between utility relocations and construction
 - Between all project stakeholders:
 - INDOT and Local Public Agency
 - Design Team
 - Environmental
 - Right-of-Way
 - Utility Owners
 - Public





QUESTIONS?



Contact Information:

Natalie Parks, P.E. USI Consultants, Inc. 317.526.9045 nparks@usiconsultants.com

