

RESEARCH

Open Access



Convergence and summable almost T -stability of the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process

Godwin Amechi Okeke¹ and Jong Kyu Kim^{2*}

*Correspondence:

jongkyuk@kyungnam.ac.kr

²Department of Mathematics
Education, Kyungnam University,
Changwon, Gyeongnam 631-701,
KoreaFull list of author information is
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. We establish the strong convergence theorems and summable almost T -stability of the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process and the random Mann-type iterative process generated by a generalized class of random operators in separable Banach spaces. Our results are generalizations and improvements of several well-known deterministic stability results in a stochastic version.

MSC: 47H09; 47H10; 49M05; 54H25**Keywords:** random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process; random Mann-type iterative process; separable Banach spaces; generalized random contractive type operator; summable almost T -stability

1 Introduction

In 2013, Khan [1] introduced the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. This new iterative process can be seen as a hybrid of Picard and Mann iterative processes. He proved that the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process converges faster than all of the Picard, Mann, and Ishikawa iterative processes in the sense of Berinde [2]. It is our purpose to introduce the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process, which can be seen as the stochastic version of the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. A finer concept of almost stability for fixed point iteration procedures was introduced by Berinde [3]. He proved that the Kirk, Mann, and Ishikawa iteration procedures, which are known to be almost stable and stable with respect to some classes of contractive operators, are also summably almost stable. Also, we study the summable almost T -stability and strong convergence of the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process and the random Mann-type iterative process of a generalized class of random operators in separable Banach spaces. Our results are generalizations and improvements of several well-known deterministic stability results in a stochastic version.

Real world problems come with uncertainties and ambiguities. To deal with probabilistic models, probabilistic functional analysis has emerged as one of the momentous mathematical discipline and attracted the attention of several mathematicians over the years in view of its applications in diverse areas from pure mathematics to applied sciences. Random nonlinear analysis, which is an important branch of probabilistic functional analysis,

deals with the solution of various classes of random operator equations and the related problems. Of course, the development of random methods have revolutionized the financial markets. Random fixed point theorems are stochastic generalizations of classical or deterministic fixed point theorems and are required for the theory of random equations, random matrices, random partial differential equations and various classes of random operators arising in physical systems (see [4, 5]). Random fixed point theory was initiated in the 1950s by the Prague school of probabilists. Spacek [6] and Hans [7] established a stochastic analog of the Banach fixed point theorem in a separable complete metric space. Itoh [8] in 1979 generalized and extended Spacek and Hans's theorem to a multi-valued contraction random operator. The survey article by Bharucha-Reid [9] in 1976, where he studied sufficient conditions for a stochastic analog of Schauder's fixed point theorem for random operators, gave wings to random fixed point theory. Now this area has become a full fledged research area and many interesting techniques to obtain the solution of non-linear random system have appeared in the literature (see [4–6, 8, 10–19]).

Papageorgiou [16] established the existence of a random fixed point of measurable closed and nonclosed valued multi-functions satisfying general continuity conditions and hence improved the results in [8, 20] and [21]. Xu [18] extended the results of Itoh to a nonself random operator T , where T satisfies the weakly inward or the Leray-Schauder condition. Shahzad and Latif [17] proved a general random fixed point theorem for continuous random operators. As applications, they derived a number of random fixed point theorems for various classes of 1-set and 1-ball contractive random operators. Arunchai and Plubtieng [10] obtained some random fixed point results for the sum of a weakly-strongly continuous random operator and a nonexpansive random operator in Banach spaces.

Mann [22] introduced an iterative scheme and employed it to approximate the solution of a fixed point problem defined by nonexpansive mapping where Picard iterative scheme fails to converge. Later in 1974, Ishikawa [23] introduced an iterative scheme to obtain the convergence of a Lipschitzian pseudocontractive operator when Mann iterative scheme is not applicable. Many authors studied the convergence theorems and stability problems in Banach spaces and metric spaces (see [24–28]).

The study of convergence of different random iterative processes constructed for various random operators is a recent development (see [11–14], and the references mentioned therein). Recently, Zhang *et al.* [19] studied the almost sure T -stability and convergence of Ishikawa-type and Mann-type random algorithms for certain ϕ -weakly contractive type random operators in a separable Banach space.

They also established the Bochner integrability of random fixed point for such random operators. Beg *et al.* [29] recently studied the almost sure T -stability and strong convergence of the random Halpern iteration scheme and random Xu-Mann iteration scheme for a general class of random operators in a separable Banach space. Their results generalize well-known deterministic stability results in a stochastic version (see [30, 31]).

2 Preliminaries

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability measure space and $(E, B(E))$ be a measurable space, where E is a separable Banach space, $B(E)$ is a Borel sigma algebra of E , (Ω, Σ) is a measurable space (Σ -sigma algebra) and μ is a probability measure on Σ , that is, a measure with total measure one.

A mapping $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is called an *E-valued random variable* if ξ is $(\Sigma, B(E))$ -measurable. A mapping $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is called *strongly μ -measurable* if there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of μ -simple functions converging to ξ , μ -almost everywhere. Due to the separability of a Banach space E , the sum of two E -valued random variables is an E -valued random variable.

A mapping $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ is called a *random operator* if for each fixed e in E , the mapping $T(\cdot, e) : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is measurable.

Throughout this paper, we assume that (Ω, ξ, μ) is a complete probability measure space and E is a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X .

Definition 2.1 [19] Let (Ω, ξ, μ) be a complete probability measure space and E be a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X . Let $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a random operator. Denote by

$$F(T) = \{x^*(\omega) \in E : T(\omega, x^*(\omega)) = x^*(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$$

the set of random fixed points of T . For any given random variable $x_0(\omega) \in E$, define the iterative scheme $\{x_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset E$ by

$$x_{n+1}(\omega) = f(T, x_n(\omega)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.1}$$

where f is a measurable function in the second variable.

Let $x^*(\omega)$ be a random fixed point of T . Let $\{y_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset E$ be an arbitrary sequence of a random variable. Denote

$$\varepsilon_n(\omega) = \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - f(T, y_n(\omega))\|. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the iterative scheme (2.1) is said to be *T-stable almost surely* (a.s.) or *stable with respect to T almost surely*, if and only if $\omega \in \Omega$, $\varepsilon_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $y_n(\omega) \rightarrow x^*(\omega) \in E$ almost surely.

Definition 2.2 [3] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-map and $x_0 \in X$. Assume that the iteration procedure

$$x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.3}$$

converges to a fixed point p of T . Let $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be an arbitrary sequence in X and

$$\varepsilon_n = d(y_{n+1}, f(T, y_n)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The iteration procedure (2.3) is said to be *summably almost T-stable* or *summably almost stable with respect to T* if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \varepsilon_n < \infty \quad \text{implies that} \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty d(y_n, p) < \infty.$$

The following remarks were made by Berinde [3]

Remark 2.1

- (1) It is obvious that every almost stable iteration procedure is also summably almost stable, since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(y_n, p) < \infty \quad \text{implies that} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p.$$

But the converse is not true. There exist fixed point iteration procedures which are not summably almost stable (see Example 1 [3]).

- (2) The summable almost stability of a fixed point iteration procedure actually expresses a very important property regarding the rate of convergence of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, converging to the fixed point p , *i.e.*, the fact that the ‘displacements’ $d(y_n, p)$ converge fast enough to 0 to ensure the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(y_n, p)$.

Example 2.1 [3] Let $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $Tx = x$, for each $x \in [0, 1]$, where $[0, 1]$ has the usual metric. Then T is continuous, nonexpansive and $F(T) = [0, 1]$. It is well known that the Picard iteration is not T -stable (and hence not almost T -stable). We shall show that the Picard iteration is not summably almost T -stable, too. Indeed, let $p = 0$. Take $y_n = \frac{1}{n}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0$,

$$\varepsilon_n = |y_{n+1} - Ty_n| = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \quad \text{and hence} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty,$$

but

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|y_n - p\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty.$$

Therefore, the Picard iteration is not summably almost T -stable.

Motivated by the above facts, we now give the stochastic version of the concept of summable almost T -stability.

Definition 2.3 Let (Ω, ξ, μ) be a complete probability measure space and E be a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X . Let $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a random operator. Denote by $F(T) = \{x^*(\omega) \in E : T(\omega, x^*(\omega)) = x^*(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$, the set of random fixed points of T . For any given random variable $x_0(\omega) \in E$, define an iterative scheme $\{x_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset E$ by

$$x_{n+1}(\omega) = f(T, x_n(\omega)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.4}$$

where f is a measurable function in the second variable.

Let $x^*(\omega)$ be a random fixed point of T . Let $\{y_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset E$ be an arbitrary sequence of a random variable. Set

$$\varepsilon_n(\omega) = \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - f(T, y_n(\omega))\|. \tag{2.5}$$

Then the iterative scheme (2.4) is said to be *summably almost T -stable almost surely* (a.s.) or *summably almost stable with respect to T almost surely* if and only if

$$\omega \in \Omega, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n(\omega) < \infty \quad \text{implies that} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| < \infty \tag{2.6}$$

almost surely.

The concept of summable almost T -stability was introduced by Berinde [3]. Clearly, every almost stable iteration process is also summably almost stable, since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| < \infty \quad \text{implies that} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n(\omega) = x^*(\omega),$$

but the converse is not true (see Example 1 of Berinde [3]).

It is well known that the summable almost stability of a fixed point iteration process actually expresses a very important property regarding the rate of convergence of the sequence $\{y_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, converging to a fixed point $x^*(\omega)$, *i.e.*, the fact that the displacements $\|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|$ converge fast enough to 0 to ensure the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|$ (see Berinde [3]).

Osilike [32] introduced a contractive condition and established some interesting deterministic stability results considering the contractive operator T satisfying

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, Tx) + ad(x, y) \tag{2.7}$$

for all x and y , where $L \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a < 1$. He proved some T -stability results for maps satisfying (2.7) with respect to Picard, Kirk, Mann, and Ishikawa iterative processes. Imoru and Olatinwo [33] generalized the results of Osilike [32] by proving some deterministic stability results for maps satisfying the following contractive conditions:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, Tx)) + ad(x, y), \tag{2.8}$$

where $0 \leq a < 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is monotone increasing with $\varphi(0) = 0$. Bosede and Rhoades [34] considered the map T having a fixed point p and satisfying the contractive condition:

$$d(p, Ty) \leq ad(p, y), \tag{2.9}$$

for some $0 \leq a < 1$ and for each $y \in X$, where X is a complete metric space.

Recently, Beg *et al.* [29] considered a general class of random mappings which generalize the contractive operators due to Osilike [32], Imoru and Olatinwo [33], and Bosede and Rhoades [34] in a stochastic version. This random operator is defined as follows:

$$\|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y)\| \leq a(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\|, \tag{2.10}$$

where $0 \leq a(\omega) < 1$ and for each $y(\omega) \in E$.

From the above results, we now introduce the following generalized class of random operators.

Definition 2.4 Let (Ω, ξ, μ) be a complete probability measure space and E be a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X . A random operator $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ is said to be *generalized random φ -contractive type operator* if there exists a continuous and nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\varphi(t) > 0, \forall t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that for each $x^*(\omega) \in F(T), y \in E, \omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y)\| \leq \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\|), \tag{2.11}$$

where $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$.

We define the following iterative schemes for our main theorems due to the well-known Picard, Mann, Ishikawa iteration processes.

The *random Picard-type iterative scheme* is a sequence of functions $\{\xi_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1(\omega) \in E, \\ \xi_{n+1}(\omega) = T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)). \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

The *random Mann-type iterative scheme* is a sequence of functions $\{\xi_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \xi_0(\omega) \in E, \\ \xi_{n+1}(\omega) = (1 - a_n)\xi_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

where $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$ and $\xi_0 : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is an arbitrary measurable mapping.

The *random Ishikwa-type iterative scheme* is a sequence of functions $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \xi_0(\omega) \in E, \\ \xi_{n+1}(\omega) = (1 - a_n)\xi_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, \eta_n(\omega)), \\ \eta_n(\omega) = (1 - c_n)\xi_n(\omega) + c_n T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

where $0 \leq a_n, c_n \leq 1$ and $\xi_0 : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is an arbitrary measurable mapping.

In 2013, Khan [1] introduced the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. This iterative process can be seen as a hybrid of Picard and Mann iterative processes. He proved that the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process converges faster than all of the Picard, Mann, and Ishikawa iterative processes in the sense of Berinde [2].

Now, we introduce the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process as follows:

The *random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process* is a sequence of functions $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_1(\omega) \in E, \\ x_{n+1}(\omega) = T(\omega, y_n(\omega)), \\ y_n(\omega) = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n(\omega) + \alpha_n T(\omega, x_n(\omega)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$ and $x_1 : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is an arbitrary measurable mapping.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process (2.15) is summably almost T -stable a.s., where T is the generalized random φ -contractive type operator defined in (2.11). We also establish some convergence results for the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process (2.15) and the random Mann iterative process (2.13). Our results are the improvements and generalizations of several well-known results in literature.

The following definitions are needed in this study and can be found in Beg *et al.* [29].

Definition 2.5 A mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is said to be a *finitely valued random variable* if it is constant on each finite number of disjoint sets $A_i \in \Sigma$ and is equal to 0 on $\Omega - (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)$. A mapping x is called a *simple random variable* if it is finitely valued and

$$\mu(\{\omega : \|x(\omega)\| > 0\}) < \infty.$$

Definition 2.6 A mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is said to be an *E-valued random variable* if the inverse image under the mapping x of every Borel subset β of E belongs to Σ , that is, $x^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma$ for all $\beta \in B(E)$.

Definition 2.7 A mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is said to be a *strong random variable* if there exists a sequence $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ of simple random variables which converges to $x(\omega)$ almost surely, *i.e.*, there exists a set $A_0 \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A_0) = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega - A_0.$$

Definition 2.8 A mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is said to be a *weak random variable* if the function $x^*(x(\omega))$ is a real valued random variable for each $x^* \in E^*$, where E^* is the dual space of E .

In a separable Banach space X , the notions of strong and weak random variables $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ coincide and in respect of such a space X , x is called a random variable (see Joshi and Bose [4], Corollary 1).

Let Y be an another Banach space. Then Joshi and Bose [4] introduced the following definitions which will be needed in this study.

Definition 2.9 A mapping $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *continuous random mapping* if the set of all $\omega \in \Omega$ for which $F(\omega, x)$ is a continuous function of x , has measure one.

Definition 2.10 A mapping $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *random mapping* if $F(\omega, x) = y(\omega)$ is a Y -valued random variable, for every $x \in X$.

Definition 2.11 A random mapping $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *demi-continuous* at $x \in X$ if

$$\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(\omega, x_n) \rightarrow F(\omega, x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Definition 2.12 An equation of the type $F(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ is called a *random fixed point equation*, where $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ is a random mapping.

Definition 2.13 A mapping $x : \Omega \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the random fixed point equation $F(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$ almost surely is said to be a *wide sense solution* of the fixed point equation.

Definition 2.14 A X -valued random variable $x(\omega)$ is said to be a *random solution* of the fixed point equation or a random fixed point of F , if

$$\mu(\{\omega : F(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)\}) = 1.$$

Remark 2.2 It is well known that a random solution is a wide sense solution of the fixed point equation. The converse is not true. This was demonstrated in the following example given by Joshi and Bose [4].

Example 2.2 Let X be the set of all real numbers and let E be a non-measurable subset of X . Let $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a random mapping defined as $F(\omega, x) = x^2 + x - 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In this case, the real valued function $x(\omega)$, defined as $x(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ is a random fixed point of F . However, the real valued function $y(\omega)$ defined as

$$y(\omega) = \begin{cases} -1, & \omega \notin E, \\ 1, & \omega \in E, \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

is a wide sense solution of the fixed point equation $F(\omega, x(\omega)) = x(\omega)$, without being a random fixed point of F .

The following lemmas are important roles for the proofs of the main theorems.

Lemma 2.1 [35] *Let $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be two sequences of nonnegative real numbers and $\{\sigma_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the inequality:*

$$\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n - \sigma_n \varphi(\lambda_n) + \gamma_n,$$

for each $n \geq 1$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous and strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0) = 0$. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{\sigma_n} = 0,$$

then $\{\lambda_n\}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.2 [2] *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers and $0 \leq q < 1$ such that, for all $n \geq 0$,*

$$a_{n+1} \leq qa_n + b_n.$$

Then we have the following statements:

- (i) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.
- (ii) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$.

3 Convergence theorems

Now we are in a position to prove the convergence theorems of the iterative schemes. First, we give the convergence theorem for the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space and $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous generalized random φ -contractive type operator with a random fixed point $x^*(\omega) \in F(T)$ satisfying (2.11). If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$, then the sequence $\{x_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions defined by the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process (2.15) converges strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely.*

Proof Let $A = \{\omega \in \Omega : 0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1\}$ and

$$C_{x^*,y} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y)\| \leq \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\|)\}.$$

Let S be a countable subset of E and let $s \in S$. We have to show that

$$\bigcap_{x^*,y \in E} (C_{x^*,y} \cap A) = \bigcap_{x^*,s \in S} (C_{x^*,s} \cap A). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\omega \in \bigcap_{x^*,s \in S} (C_{x^*,s} \cap A)$. Then, by using (2.11) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y)\| &\leq \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, s)\| + \|T(\omega, s) - T(\omega, y)\| \\ &\leq \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - s(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - s(\omega)\|) \\ &\quad + \|T(\omega, s) - T(\omega, y)\| \\ &\leq \theta(\omega) [\|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\| + \|y(\omega) - s(\omega)\|] \\ &\quad - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - s(\omega)\|) + \|T(\omega, s) - T(\omega, y)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a $\delta(y) > 0$ such that

$$\|T(\omega, s) - T(\omega, y)\| < \epsilon,$$

whenever $\|s(\omega) - y(\omega)\| < \delta$. But

$$\|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y)\| \leq \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y(\omega)\|). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, we have $\omega \in \bigcap_{x^*,y \in E} (C_{x^*,y} \cap A)$. So we see that

$$\bigcap_{x^*,s \in S} (C_{x^*,s} \cap A) \subset \bigcap_{x^*,y \in E} (C_{x^*,y} \cap A). \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, we see that

$$\bigcap_{x^*,y \in E} (C_{x^*,y} \cap A) \subset \bigcap_{x^*,s \in S} (C_{x^*,s} \cap A). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, from (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$\bigcap_{x^*, y \in E} (C_{x^*, y} \cap A) = \bigcap_{x^*, s \in S} (C_{x^*, s} \cap A). \tag{3.6}$$

Let $N = \bigcap_{x^*, y \in E} (C_{x^*, y} \cap A)$. Then $\mu(N) = 1$. Take $\omega \in N$ and $n \geq 1$. Using (2.15), (3.2), and the fact that $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1}(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| &= \|T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\ &= \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y_n(\omega))\| \\ &\leq \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y_n(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y_n(\omega)\|) \\ &= \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - [(1 - \alpha_n)x_n(\omega) + \alpha_n T(\omega, x_n(\omega))]\| \\ &\quad - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y_n(\omega)\|) \\ &\leq \theta(\omega)(1 - \alpha_n) \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| \\ &\quad + \theta(\omega)\alpha_n \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, x_n(\omega))\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| \\ &\quad - \alpha_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| + \alpha_n \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| \\ &\quad - \alpha_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\|) \\ &= \|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\| - \alpha_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - x_n(\omega)\|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| = 0.$$

Consequently, $\{x_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as defined by iterative process (2.15) converges strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we establish the convergence result for the random Mann iterative process (2.13). This result improves, generalizes, and unites the results of Beg *et al.* [29] and several other well-known results in the literature.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space and $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous generalized random φ -contractive type operator with a random fixed point $x^*(\omega) \in F(T)$ satisfying (2.11). If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = \infty$, then the sequence $\{\xi_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions defined by the random Mann iterative process (2.13) converges strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely.*

Proof Using (2.13), (3.1)-(3.6) in Theorem 3.1 and recalling the condition that $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{n+1}(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| &= \|(1 - a_n)\xi_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_n) \|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (1 - a_n) \|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n [\theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - \xi_n(\omega)\| \\
 &\quad - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - \xi_n(\omega)\|)] \\
 &\leq (1 - a_n) \|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n \|x^*(\omega) - \xi_n(\omega)\| \\
 &\quad - a_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - \xi_n(\omega)\|) \\
 &= \|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| - a_n \varphi(\|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|). \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| = 0.$$

Consequently, the sequence $\{\xi_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as defined by the iterative process (2.13) converges strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely. This completes the proof. \square

4 Summable almost stability

Next, we prove some stability results for the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process (2.15).

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space and $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous generalized random φ -contractive type operator with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x^*(\omega)$ be a random fixed point of T . Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of functions defined by the random Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process (2.15) converging strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely, where $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is summably almost stable with respect to T a.s.*

Proof Let $\{k_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be any sequence of random variables in E and

$$\varepsilon_n(\omega) = \|k_{n+1}(\omega) - f(T, k_n(\omega))\| = \|k_{n+1}(\omega) - T(\omega, k_n(\omega))\|. \tag{4.1}$$

Then we want to show that the implication (2.6) holds. Now, using the proof of Theorem 3.1, (2.11), and (2.15), and recalling the condition that $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|k_{n+1}(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| &= \|k_{n+1}(\omega) - T(\omega, k_n(\omega))\| + \|T(\omega, k_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &= \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, k_n(\omega))\| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\| - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\|) \\
 &\leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &= \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega) \|(1 - \alpha_n)k_n(\omega) + \alpha_n T(\omega, k_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega)(1 - \alpha_n) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &\quad + \theta(\omega)\alpha_n \|T(\omega, k_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega)(1 - \alpha_n) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\| - \alpha_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\|) \\
 &= \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega) [(1 - \alpha_n) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha_n \|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\|] - \alpha_n \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - k_n(\omega)\|) \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \theta(\omega) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.2}$$

Now, if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \varepsilon_n(\omega) < \infty$ and using the condition $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \theta(\omega) \|k_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| < \infty,$$

which means that the random Picard-Mann hybrid iteration process (2.15) is summably almost stable with respect to T almost surely. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we prove some summable almost T -stability results for the random Mann iterative process (2.13).

Theorem 4.2 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space, $T : \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous generalized random φ -contractive type operator with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $x^*(\omega)$ be a random fixed point of T . Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of functions defined by the random Mann iterative process (2.13) converging strongly to $x^*(\omega)$ almost surely, where $a_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = \infty$. Then $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is summably almost stable with respect to T a.s.*

Proof Let $\{y_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of random variables in E and

$$\varepsilon_n(\omega) = \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - f(T, y_n(\omega))\| = \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - (1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) - a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega))\|. \tag{4.3}$$

Then we want to show that the implication (2.6) holds. Now, using the proof of Theorem 3.1, (2.11), and (2.13), and recalling the condition $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| & = \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - (1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) - a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) \\
 & \quad + [(1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega))] - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & \leq \|y_{n+1}(\omega) - (1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) - a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega))\| \\
 & \quad + \|(1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & = \varepsilon_n(\omega) + \|(1 - a_n)y_n(\omega) + a_n T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + (1 - a_n) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n \|T(\omega, y_n(\omega)) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & = \varepsilon_n(\omega) + (1 - a_n) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n \|x^*(\omega) - T(\omega, y_n(\omega))\| \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + (1 - a_n) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n [\theta(\omega) \|x^*(\omega) - y_n(\omega)\| \\
 & \quad - \varphi(\|x^*(\omega) - y_n(\omega)\|)] \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_n(\omega) + (1 - a_n) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| + a_n \theta(\omega) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & = \varepsilon_n(\omega) + (1 - a_n + a_n \theta(\omega)) \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| \\
 & = \varepsilon_n(\omega) + [1 - (1 - \theta(\omega))a_n] \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\|.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.4}$$

Now, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n(\omega) < \infty$ and using the condition $0 \leq \theta(\omega) < 1$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - \theta(\omega))a_n] \|y_n(\omega) - x^*(\omega)\| < \infty,$$

which means that the random Mann iteration process (2.13) is summably almost stable with respect to T almost surely. This completes the proof. \square

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Canaanland, KM 10 Idiroko Road, Ota, Ogun State P.M.B. 1023, Nigeria. ²Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University, Changwon, Gyeongnam 631-701, Korea.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation (NRF) Grant funded by Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea (2014046293).

Received: 25 June 2015 Accepted: 3 September 2015 Published online: 17 September 2015

References

- Khan, SH: A Picard-Mann hybrid iterative process. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 69 (2013)
- Berinde, V: Iterative approximation of fixed points. *Efemeride, Baia Mare* (2002)
- Berinde, V: Summable almost stability of fixed point iteration procedures. *Carpath. J. Math.* **19**(2), 81-88 (2003)
- Joshi, MC, Bose, RK: *Some Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi (1985)
- Zhang, SS: *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Chongqing Publishing Press, Chongqing (1984) (in Chinese)
- Spacek, A: Zufällige gleichungen. *Czechoslov. Math. J.* **5**, 462-466 (1955)
- Hans, O: Random operator equations. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Vol. II, Part I*, pp. 185-202. University of California Press, California (1961)
- Itoh, S: Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67**, 261-273 (1979)
- Bharucha-Reid, AT: Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **82**, 641-657 (1976)
- Arunchai, A, Plubtieng, S: Random fixed point of Krasnoselskii type for the sum of two operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 142 (2013)
- Beg, I, Abbas, M: Equivalence and stability of random fixed point iterative procedures. *J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal.* **2006**, Article ID 23297 (2006). doi:10.1155/JAMSA/2006/23297
- Beg, I, Abbas, M: Random fixed point theorems for Caristi type random operators. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **25**, 425-434 (2007)
- Beg, I, Abbas, M, Azam, A: Periodic fixed points of random operators. *Ann. Math. Inform.* **37**, 39-49 (2010)
- Chang, SS, Cho, YJ, Kim, JK, Zhou, HY: Random Ishikawa iterative sequence with applications. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **23**, 69-77 (2005)
- Moore, C, Nnanwa, CP, Ugwu, BC: Approximation of common random fixed points of finite families of N -uniformly L_1 -Lipschitzian asymptotically hemiccontractive random maps in Banach spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **3**(2), 77-85 (2009)
- Papageorgiou, NS: Random fixed point theorems for measurable multifunctions in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **97**(3), 507-514 (1986)
- Shahzad, N, Latif, S: Random fixed points for several classes of 1-ball-contractive and 1-set-contractive random maps. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237**, 83-92 (1999)
- Xu, HK: Some random fixed point theorems for condensing and nonexpansive operators. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **110**(2), 395-400 (1990)
- Zhang, SS, Wang, XR, Liu, M: Almost sure T-stability and convergence for random iterative algorithms. *Appl. Math. Mech.* **32**(6), 805-810 (2011)
- Engl, H: Random fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **76**, 351-360 (1976)
- Reich, S: Approximate selections, best approximations, fixed points and invariant sets. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **62**, 104-112 (1978)
- Mann, WR: Mean value methods in iteration. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **4**, 506-510 (1953)
- Ishikawa, S: Fixed points by a new iteration method. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **44**, 147-150 (1974)
- Kim, JK, Kang, SM, Liu, Z: Convergence theorems and stability problems of Ishikawa iterative sequences for nonlinear operator equations of the accretive and strongly accretive operators. *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **10**(3), 85-98 (2003)

25. Kim, JK, Kim, KS, Nam, YM: Convergence and stability of iterative processes for a pair of simultaneously asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive type mappings in convex metric spaces. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **9**(2), 159-172 (2007) (SCI)
26. Kim, JK, Liu, Z, Nam, YM, Chun, SA: Strong convergence theorem and stability problems of Mann and Ishikawa iterative sequences for strictly hemi-contractive mappings. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **5**(2), 285-294 (2004) (SCI)
27. Liu, Z, Kim, JK, Hyun, HG: Convergence theorems and stability of the Ishikawa iteration procedures with errors for strong pseudocontractions and nonlinear equations involving accretive operators. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* **5**, 79-95 (2003)
28. Liu, Z, Kim, JK, Kim, KH: Convergence theorems and stability problems of the modified Ishikawa iterative sequences for strictly successively hemicontractive mappings. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **39**(3), 455-469 (2002)
29. Beg, I, Dey, D, Saha, M: Convergence and stability of two random iteration algorithms. *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* **2014**, 17 (2014)
30. Akewe, H, Okeke, GA: Stability results for multistep iteration satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type in a normed linear space. *J. Niger. Assoc. Math. Phys.* **20**, 5-12 (2012)
31. Akewe, H, Okeke, GA, Olayiwola, AF: Strong convergence and stability of Kirk-multistep-type iterative schemes for contractive-type operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 45 (2014)
32. Osilike, MO: Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. *J. Nigerian Math. Soc.* **14/15**, 17-28 (1995/1996)
33. Imoru, CO, Olatinwo, MO: On the stability of Picard and Mann iteration processes. *Carpath. J. Math.* **19**, 155-160 (2003)
34. Bosedede, AO, Rhoades, BE: Stability of Picard and Mann iteration for a general class of functions. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **3**, 23-25 (2010)
35. Alber, YI, Guerre-Delabriere, S: Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces. In: Gohberg, I, Lyubich, V (eds.) *New Results in Operator Theory and Its Applications*, pp. 7-22. Birkhäuser, Basel (1997)
36. Berinde, V: On the stability of some fixed point procedures. *Bul. Ştiinţ. - Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B Fasc. Mat.-Inform.* **18**, 7-14 (2002)
37. Berinde, V: On the convergence of the Ishikawa iteration in the class of quasi-contractive operators. *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* **73**, 119-126 (2004)
38. Edmunds, DE: Remarks on nonlinear functional equations. *Math. Ann.* **174**, 233-239 (1967)
39. Olatinwo, MO: Some stability results for two hybrid fixed point iterative algorithms of Kirk-Ishikawa and Kirk-Mann type. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **1**(1), 5-14 (2008)
40. O'Regan, D: Fixed point theory for the sum of two operators. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **9**, 1-8 (1996)
41. Rhoades, BE: Fixed point iteration using infinite matrices. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **196**, 161-176 (1974)
42. Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **21**(1), 1-9 (1990)
43. Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures II. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **24**(11), 691-703 (1993)
44. Rhoades, BE: Some theorems on weakly contractive maps. *Nonlinear Anal.* **47**, 2683-2693 (2001)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
