

JOURNAL OF SOCIAL AND POLICY ISSUES

Volume 8, Number 3, 2011



INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

JOURNAL OF SOCIAL AND POLICY ISSUES

ISSN 1117 – 1669

Volume 8, Number 3, 2011

Published by the International Research and Development Institute in Association with Kan Educational Books, No. 63 Itiam Street, P. O. Box 790, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

First Published 2005

Copyright © 2011 International Research and Development Institute.
www.irdionline.com

All right reserved. No part of this Journal may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of the International Research and Development Institute.

ISSN 1117 – 1669

Printed and Bound in Nigeria.

JOURNAL OF SOCIAL AND POLICY ISSUES

ISSN 1117 - 1669

Volume 8, Number 3, 2011

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor

DR I. S. OGUNDIYA

Department of Political Science
Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

Associate Editors

Dr. O. A. Alo

Department of Sociology
Adekunle Ajasin University
Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Dr. M. A. O. Aluko

Department of Sociology and Anthropology
Obafemi Awolowo University
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

Dr. B. O. Omolayo

Department of Psychology
University of Ado-Ekiti
Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria

Dr. S. E. Aduwa-Ogiegbaen

Department of Educ. Psychology and Curriculum Studies
University of Benin
Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

Dr. T. O. Akinbobola

Department of Economics
Obafemi Awolowo University
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

Dr. P. Siyan

Department of Economics
University of Abuja
Abuja, FCT, Nigeria.

Dr. A. Banwo

Department of Agricultural Economics
Olabisi Onabanjo University
Ayetoro Campus, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Dr. G. T. Ijaiya

Department of Economics
University of Ilorin
Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

Dr. M. A. Anagbogu

University of Guidance Economics
Nnamdi Azikiwe University
Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria

Dr. A. C. Iheanacho

Department of Agricultural Economics
University of Maiduguri
Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Dr. B. D. Ugbomeh

Department of Geography and Regional Planning
Delta State University
Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria.

Dr. S. O. Adebayo

Department of Psychology
University of Ado-Ekiti
Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

Dr. O. O. Ejemeyovwi

Department of Geography and Regional Planning
Delta State University
Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria.

Dr. E. E. Oyibo

Department of Political Science
Delta State University
Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria.

Articles for publication should be Send to: *The Managing Editor, International Research and Development Journals, Kan Educational Books, No. 63 Itiam Street, P. O. Box 790, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.*

www.irdionline.com

JOURNAL OF SOCIAL AND POLICY ISSUES

ISSN: 1117-1669

Volume 8, Number 3, 2011

S/No.	Contents	Authors	Pages
1.	Ghana's Local Government System: Analysis of some Constitutional and Legal Implications on Participatory Governance.	Michael Sakyi Boateng	1-9
2.	Nigeria Without Crude Oil: A Social Analysis.	Alo, Olubunmi Akinsanya, Odusina. E. K. & Gbadebo, B. M.	10-17
3.	Democracy and Governance: Gains, Challenges and Way Forward for Nigeria.	Ogbeide Frederick	18-23
4.	Alleviating the Menace of Poverty in Nigeria through Information and Communication Technologies (ICT).	Rose N. Nwankwo	24-31
5	Advancing in Electronic Technology for Sustainable Development in Nigeria.	Nkanmuo, Chinwe Juliana; Ezeilo, Chiegboka Joseph; Nwosu, Frederick Chukwuebuka	32-39
6..	Towards the Development of Mineral Resources Development-The Way Forward (A Case Study of Nigeria).	A. O. J. Adebowale	40-48
7.	The Importance of Language and Media in the Development of the Nigerian Nation in the 21 st Century.	Adewumi, S. I., Ayodele, V. O. and Egbuwalo, L. O.	49-53
8	The Information and Communication Technology (ICT) as an Indispensable Tool for National Development.	Omar B. Adamu and Adamu Babale	54-57
9.	Implications of Office and Information Management Department at the University of Science and Technology Port Harcourt, Nigeria.	Bestman Anthonia Enefaa	58-63
10.	The Humanistic Approach and the Need for a Paradigm Shift for Sustainable Rural Development Strategy in Nigeria.	Okon Sunday Udoh	64-72
11.	The Impact of Leadership Style and Gender on Employee Commitment in Nigerian Industrial Organization.	Chris Uzundu	73-78
12.	Participation of Women in Politics in Nigeria: Factors and Constraints.	Jones M. Jaja	79-84
13.	Human Rights, Political Development and Democratic Sustenance in Africa: The Nigerian Experience.	Luka R. C.	85-95
14.	Popularizing Democratic Tenets in Nigerian through the Theatre for Development Model.	Ubong S. Nda	96-103
15.	Potentials of Cooperatives in Rural Development for Sustainable National Growth and Development.	Adedayo, R. Olumuyima	104-107
16.	Mobile Phone and Poverty Alleviation in Nigeria.	Amina Gogo Tafida	108-115
17.	A Critique of Disciplinary Laws and Practices Affecting the Right of Women in Nigeria.	Law Egbomuche-Okeke Jnr.	116-119
18.	African Intellectuals and New Strategies for Economic Development of Africa.	N. E. Okorie	120-128
19.	Hiv/Aids Control Policy and the Attainment of Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in Nigeria.	Nwyanwu Onyinyechi Josphine	129-135
20.	Decision Making Models and Alternative Neo-Contingency Model Management Decision Making.	Salihu Abubakar	136-143
21.	Globalization and the Challenges for Ngeria's Development in the 21 st Century.	Ibrahim Samson	144-151
22.	Impact of Economic Recession on Mono-Cultural Economy: A Case of Nigeria.	Abdullahi, Dalhatu Ibrahim	152-157
23.	The Nature of Stigmatization and Discrimination against Women Living with HIV/AIDs in Lagos State.	Akanbi, Moses Ayokunle	158-167
24.	The Consequences of Workers Stress on Productivity in Maiduguri Flour Mills Limited Borno State, Nigeria.	'Alimi Baba Gana, Bukar Jamri, Moh, D Alh. Maiduguri	168-175

THE NATURE OF STIGMATIZATION AND DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS IN LAGOS STATE

Akanbi, Moses Ayokunle

Department of Economics and Development Studies
Covenant University, Canaan -Land, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The paper tries to identify the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in Lagos State. The nature and extent of the HIV/AIDS epidemic varies by country and region. This study is significant since discrimination and stigmatization is a major challenge all over the world that has not received adequate attention up till date. Total sample sizes of two hundred and thirty females who are living with HIV/AIDS were interviewed through questionnaires administration in Lagos State, Nigeria. Frequency tables and regression model were used to analyse the data collected from the field. The study reflected one hypothesis. However, the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in Lagos state that were identified in this study include: gossips, verbal harassments and neglect respectively. In addition, the result from Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) clarified that those women who disclose their HIV/AIDS status are gossiped about, verbally harassed and neglected by the Nigerian society. The hypothesis tested show that there is a strong relationship between community attitudes and HIV/AIDS stigmatized and discriminated women. The paper buttressed that any form of women's disclosure of their HIV/AIDS status is automatically stigmatized and discriminated by the Nigerian society in this 21st century. The paper majorly recommends that any form of gossip, verbal harassment and neglect should be stopped against women, who disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to the Nigerian populace. Lastly, women with HIV/AIDS positive should be fully embraced by every Nigerian as normal citizens with bubbling lives in them and that our community attitudes towards them should be cordial.

Key Words: *Nature of Stigmatization and Discrimination, HIV/AIDS Status, Women, ANOVA, and Verbal-Harassment*

INTRODUCTION

The nature and extent of the HIV/AIDS epidemic varies by country and region. For instance, HIV prevalence rates ranges from less than 1 percent, as in East Asia, to over 25 percent in some Sub-Saharan Africa countries (Wellings, Collumbien, Slaymaker, Singh, Hodges and Patel, 2006). In some parts of the World, HIV/AIDS afflicts the general population thereby spreading through unprotected heterosexual contact with multiple partners. However, in other parts of the globe, HIV/AIDS is generally concentrated among the groups at highest risk which include: injecting drug users, men who have sex with men, commercial sex workers and their regular sex partners (UNAIDS, 2006). In this 21st century, HIV/AIDS trends vary by region, country, and population. Some regions, including East Asia and Oceania, are experiencing an increase in new infections whereas the number of new infections has declined in Sub-Saharan Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and Eastern Europe. Prevalence is stabilizing or declining in most regions, though there are places or groups experiencing an increase. For example, prevalence has declined among the general population in Cambodia and has nearly doubled among pregnant women in Viet Nam (WHO, UNAIDS, UNICEF, 2006). The Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) was not known until the early 1980's but since that time, it has infected millions of persons in a worldwide pandemic. At the end of the 20th century, over 21 million persons worldwide had died from AIDS; another 34 million were living with HIV infection whilst the majority 95% of HIV infected persons were residents of developing nations (UNAIDS, 2006). The HIV/AIDS pandemic has presented the world with a condition that combines these characteristics – and it has frequently been met with stigma and discrimination, a reaction dubbed “the second epidemic” (Somerville and Orkin, 1989). Despite the high prevalence of HIV/AIDS that exists in many Sub-Sahara African countries, very little is known of the prevalence and context of HIV-related stigma in these settings. In nearly 20 Sub-Sahara African countries, an estimated 5% or more of young women 15–24 years are HIV positive, and more than one half of those newly infected with HIV today are between 15 and 24 years old, such that an estimated 11.8 million young people are now living with HIV/AIDS (USAID,

2008). There are few definitions of HIV/AIDS related 'stigma' and 'discrimination' according to some of the following prominent scholars: Firstly, Alonzo and Reynolds (1995) defined stigma as a 'powerful and discrediting social label that radically changes the way individuals view themselves and are viewed as persons'. Secondly, 'Stigma' is a Greek term denoting a mark that, in ancient times, was burned or cut into the flesh of an unsavoury character — a traitor, criminal, or slave (Harvey, 2001). Goffman (1963) defined stigma as 'an attribute that is deeply discrediting within a particular social interaction', as a 'spoiled social identity' and 'a deviation from the attributes considered normal and acceptable by society' (Harvey et al; 2001). Thirdly, Inside-out Research (2003) describes a large collaborative study on stigma in South Africa and reports that stigma 'can be felt (internal stigma), leading to an unwillingness to seek help and access resources, or enacted (external stigma), leading to discrimination on the basis of HIV status or association with someone who is living with HIV/AIDS'. Fourthly, UNAIDS(2007) defines HIV-related stigma and discrimination as: "... a 'process of devaluation' of people either living with or associated with HIV and AIDS ... Discrimination follows stigma and is the unfair and unjust treatment of an individual based on his or her real or perceived HIV status."(Nyblade,2009). Lastly, according to Alonzo and Reynolds (1995), stigma is 'a construction of deviation from some ideal or expectation'. Stigmatised groups include women; minorities, such as people of colour, homosexuals, and substance abusers, and people who are physically and/or mentally disabled (Harvey et al, 2001). It is pertinent to note that in this present day, Goffman's definitional concept of stigma is the one most widely acceptable one (Harvey et al, 2001). People with HIV/AIDS may not have any visible signs of the disease, yet they are more likely to be stigmatised because others may view them 'as contributors to their own problems and unworthy of the care directed to more legitimate victims of illness' (Jillings & Alexis, 1991). Heterosexual intercourse remains a major route of HIV/AIDS transmission in three-quarters of HIV cases in developing countries (D'Cruz-Grote, 1996) and this is also applicable in Nigeria. With the prevalence rates being highest among young adults aged 20 -24 years in Nigeria, it implies that a significant proportion of adults and young people in Nigeria engage in unprotected and risky sexual acts and also exists, exploitative sexual relationships particularly between adult males and younger females who may not be able to negotiate for safer sexual encounters (Orubuloye, Caldwell; and Caldwell; 2001). Stigmatized individuals may suffer discrimination that can lead to loss of employment and housing, estrangement from family and society, and even increased risk of violence. HIV/AIDS-related stigma also fuels new HIV infections because it can deter people from getting tested for the disease, make them less likely to acknowledge their risk of infection, and discourage those who are HIV-positive from discussing their HIV status with their sexual and needle-sharing partners. Stigma still remains one of the most significant challenges in developing countries for all HIV and AIDS programs, which involve the prevention and care continuum. Stigma increases vulnerability to HIV and worsens the impact of infection. Fear of being identified with HIV keeps people from learning about their status, changing behaviour to prevent infecting others, caring for people living with HIV and AIDS, and accessing HIV and AIDS services (UNAIDS/WHO; 2006). Additionally, stigma intensifies the emotional pain and suffering of people living with HIV and AIDS, their families and caregivers (Castro, 1998a; Castro et al; 1998b). AIDS stigma and discrimination have been seen all over the world, although they manifest themselves differently between countries, communities, religious groups and individuals. They are often seen alongside other forms of stigma and discrimination, such as racism, homophobia or misogyny and can be associated with behaviours often considered socially unacceptable such as prostitution or drug use. An understanding of the factors associated with attitudes toward HIV/AIDS is essential for the creation of community-based interventions HIV/AIDS-related stigma compromises the well-being of people living with the disease. However, those who experience stigma view it differently than those who stigmatise (Herek, Capitanio & Widaman, 2002). In health care, stigma is often described in the literature in relation to chronic illness and visible physical disability. One description of stigma in this context is 'a factor influencing the response of others to the chronically ill' (Jillings & Alexis, 1991). However, AIDS-related stigma is not static, because it changes over time as infection levels, knowledge of the disease and treatment availability vary. Extensive work has been done on the stigma and discrimination that surrounds HIV/AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa, and the barriers this creates in the effective delivery of HIV testing and prevention efforts (Link and Phelan, 2001). Although, there has been little consideration of the role of the wider community in shaping the attitudes of young people (Oyediran, Oladipo and Anyanti, 2005), however, it is estimated that as many as one-third of the HIV-positive population do not know their HIV status (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2004). Even in countries where studies have documented high rates of HIV infection, many individuals are reluctant to get tested and often slow to access care (Mbonu, Van Den Borne and De Vries, 2009). Globally,

40 million people were estimated to be living with HIV and AIDS at the end of 2001. Sub-Saharan Africa is clearly the worst-affected region. With 28.5 million People Living with HIV and AIDS (PLHA) in 2001, Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for more than 70 percent of all HIV and AIDS cases in the world. Over two million of the three million deaths due to AIDS in 2001 occurred in Sub-Saharan Africa. AIDS is now the leading cause of death in Sub-Saharan Africa and the cause of a 15-year drop in life expectancy in the region, from 62 to 47 years. Also, New HIV infections are highest among young people, and young women which have consistently been found to be higher (in some cases as much as six times as higher) prevalence rates of HIV than men of the same age (Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2004). Nigeria, being the largest and most populous country in Sub-Saharan Africa, is one of the countries highly affected by the HIV/AIDS scourge. HIV/AIDS have spread rapidly since the first case was diagnosed 1986 with the adult HIV prevalence which has been increasing from 0 percent in 1986 to 1.8 percent in 1991 to 4.5 percent in 1996 to 5.4 percent in 1999 to 5.8 percent in 2001 (Nnedum, 2006). Mann, (1987), in his studies identified three phases of the epidemic namely: the HIV epidemic, the AIDS epidemic and the epidemic of Stigma, Discrimination and Denial of which the later is least understood part of the epidemic. Nigeria appears to be in between the full AIDS epidemic phase and the Stigma and discrimination phase. The problematic situation of this study is that of the stigmatization and discrimination of those women with HIV/AIDS and the challenges they usually encounter in the Nigerian society. The discrimination and stigmatization are measured in the context of negative attitudes of the people of Nigeria towards People living with HIV/AIDS, that is, whether or not people are willing to care for a family member (male or female) with HIV/AIDS. This study is significant in many ways because it will greatly benefit the government, the academic society, social scientists and policy makers for the following reasons: Firstly, since discrimination and stigmatization is a major challenge all over the world that has not received adequate attention up till date. Secondly, there are not much work recently done by Scholars on the nature of stigmatization and discrimination against women especially in Lagos state. However, many studies were carried out in order to curb and to reduce discrimination and stigmatization as a whole among people living with HIV/AIDS that are not comfortable living in the Nigerian society as well as in the workplace. Despite the aforementioned background, this study tries to answer this bothering issue of concern: What are the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in Lagos State as well as in Nigerian society as a whole? Essentially, the main focus of this paper was to identify the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in the Nigerian society by using Lagos State as a case study.

METHODOLOGY

A total sample size of 230 women with HIV/AIDS positive were randomly selected from the three public hospitals in Lagos state (80 in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba (LUTH), 80 in Ikeja General Hospital and 70 in EKO Hospital respectively). A multi-stage random sampling technique was used to select the female respondents with HIV/AIDS positive. Purposive sampling method was employed due to the fact that this research was a very sensitive one and in order to carry out the study effectively, female respondents were randomly selected from these three public hospitals. A randomly selected sample of female HIV/AIDS patients in the survey constituted the sample. Information about demographic and socio-economic characteristics of respondents, respondent's nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization, form of stigmatization and the extent of discrimination were collected from the respondents with the help of questionnaire instrument. Analysis of this recent study was based on 230 female HIV/AIDS patients aged 15-49 years. The data were analyzed with the aid of Statistical Packages for Social Scientists (SPSS version 15.0). After checking for incorrect responses, and missing values, descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. Regression analysis was performed on the stigmatization and discrimination and the results were interpreted accordingly. The data for the study was analysed by using the information obtained through questionnaires and personal interviews. The variables of consideration on the frequency tables for this study includes: age, marital status, educational attainment, employment status, religion and ethnicity respectively. The study was carried out in Lagos State due to the proximity or closeness to the researcher, highly populated, one of the major centres of business, commerce and industry as well as being former capital of Federal Republic of Nigeria.

The Nature of stigmatization and Discrimination against Women Living with HIV/AIDS in Lagos State

Tables and Interpretations

Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics of Females with HIV/AIDS Positive

Table 1: Age of females with HIV/AIDS positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 15-19	3	1.3	1.3	1.3
20-24	12	5.2	5.2	6.5
25-29	46	20.0	20.0	26.5
30-34	71	30.9	30.9	57.4
35-39	46	20.0	20.0	77.4
40-45	42	18.3	18.3	95.7
45 and above	10	4.3	4.3	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: field study, April 2010

The above reflected that the age group (30-34) has the highest number of respondents which accounts for 30.9% of the total respondents. This was followed by the age groups (25-29) and (35-39), (40-45), (20-24), (45+) and (15-19) which accounted for 20.0, 20.0, 18.3, 5.2, 4.3 and 1.2 percents respectively. It could be discovered that that the age group 30-34years are the major respondents of the study.

Table 2: Marital Status of Females with HIV/AIDS Positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Single	74	32.2	32.2	32.2
Married	114	49.6	49.6	81.7
Separated	36	15.7	15.7	97.4
Divorced	5	2.2	2.2	99.6
No Response	1	.4	.4	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: field study, April 2010

From table 2 above, 32.3% of the respondents are singles, 49.6% are married, 15.7% are separated, 2.2% are divorced and 0.4% reported as non-response (a widow). We can deduce that the HIV/AIDS was more amongst married women than others.

Table 3: Educational Attainment of the Females with HIV/AIDS Positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Primary	22	9.6	9.6	9.6
Secondary	124	53.9	53.9	63.5
Tertiary	69	30.0	30.0	93.5
others, specify	15	6.5	6.5	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Study, April 2010

Table 3 shows that 9.6% female respondents only had primary school education, while 53.9% acquired secondary school education. 30.0% of females with HIV/AIDS positive while 6.5% of respondents indicated other category e. g Masters, OND.

The Nature of stigmatization and Discrimination against Women Living with HIV/Aids in Lagos State

The table 7 above shows that majority of the female respondents have disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to people. About 66.5% of the respondents have disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to the public while 30.0% of females with HIV/AIDS positive refused to disclose their status to people.

Table 8: knowledge on Whether Respondent finds it Difficult to Secure a Job

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	109	47.4	47.4	47.4
No	101	43.9	43.9	91.3
No Response	20	8.7	8.7	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Survey, April 2010

From table 8, it can be observed that 47.4% of the females with HIV/AIDS positive find it difficult to secure job opportunities due to their HIV/AIDS status while 43.9% of the female respondents did not find it difficult to secure job opportunities.

COMMUNITY ATTITUDES AND HIV/AIDS STIGMATIZATION AND DISCRIMINATION MODEL SUMMARY

Table 9: (a) Predictors: (Constant), Feels Neglected, Feels Verbally Harassed, People Gossip about the Respondent's HIV/AIDS Status, Avoid Close Contact.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.332(a)	.110	.095	17.07448

ANOVA

Table 10: (a) Predictors: (Constant), Feels Neglected, Feels Verbally Harassed, People Gossip about the respondent's HIV/AIDS status, people avoid close contact b) Dependent Variable: Responses on whether People know about Respondent's Status

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	8141.465	4	2035.366	6.981	.000(a)
	Residual	65596.018	225	291.538		
	Total	73737.483	229			

Source: Field Survey, April 2010

Table 4: Employment Status of the Females with HIV/AIDS Positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Student	30	13.0	13.0	13.0
Employed	118	51.3	51.3	64.3
Unemployed	82	35.7	35.7	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Study, April 2010

From table 5 above, 13.0% of the respondents are students, 51.3% are employed and 35.7% are unemployed. We can therefore say that most of the females with HIV/AIDS positive in this study are gainfully employed (51.3%).

Table 5: Religion of the Females with HIV/AIDS Positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Christian	154	67.0	67.0	67.0
Islamic	76	33.0	33.0	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Study, April 2010

The distribution of the female respondents by religion from the table 5 shows that 154 (67.0%) out of the total respondents are Christians and the remaining 33.0% are Muslims. There were no traditional worshippers among the respondents.

Table 6: Ethnicity of the females with HIV/AIDS positive

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yoruba	131	57.0	57.0	57.0
Igbo	76	33.0	33.0	90.0
Hausa	23	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: field study, April 2010

The distribution of the female respondents by ethnicity from the above table showed that majority of the respondents interviewed are Yoruba people with 131 (57.0%) out of a total of 230. The Igbo were 76 (33.0%) and 10% were Hausa people.

Respondents' Nature of HIV/AIDS Stigmatization

Table 7: Knowledge on Whether People know about Respondent's Status

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	153	66.5	66.5	66.5
No	69	30.0	30.0	96.5
No Response	8	3.5	3.5	100.0
Total	230	100.0	100.0	

Source: field survey, April 2010

COEFFICIENTS (A)

Table 11: (a) Dependent Variable: If People Know about Respondent's Status

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	B	Std. Error
1 (Constant)	3.084	1.187		2.598	.010
whether people avoid close contact with respondent	-.014	.124	-.017	-.112	.911
whether people gossip about the respondent's HIV/AIDS status	-.026	.124	-.030	-.209	.834
whether the respondent usually feels verbally harassed	-.013	.108	-.017	-.124	.901
whether the respondent usually feels neglected	.367	.173	.387	2.117	.035

Source: field survey, April 2010

HYPOTHESIS 1

 H_0

There is no strong relationship between community attitudes and HIV/AIDS stigmatized and discriminated women.

 H_1

There is a strong relationship between community attitudes and HIV/AIDS stigmatized and discriminated women.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

From the above table 9, 10 and 11 respectively, the following deductions are evident: the 'b' coefficient shows that there are existence of positive and negative relationships among the identified nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in the study. Overall, these predictor variables above are not statistically significantly related to the outcome variable (HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination), i.e. there are other variables that are outside the nature identified that can determine the phenomenon. With reference to table 9, 10 and 11 above, the following are the findings derived from them: the 'b' coefficient of if the respondent usually feels neglected shows that a positive relationship exists in the above listed variable and HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination. The 'b' coefficients of if people avoid close contact with respondent, if people gossip about the respondent's HIV/AIDS status, if the respondent usually feels verbally harassed shows a negative relationship exists also in the above listed variables and HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination. Overall, only one predictor variable above is statistically significant to the outcome variable which is HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination and the variable is if the respondent usually feels neglected. The afore-mentioned shows that there are other variables outside the community attitude variables used that can help to determine the phenomenon. Although, the other variables are positively related to the determined outcome, they are not statistically significant to the phenomenon. From table 9, the R square (11%) shows a weak relationship between the variables and the phenomenon, it confirms that community attitudes is not a major factor influencing HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination. This implies that only 11% of the dependent variable is explained by the independent variable in the model, thus indicating that the model used is not a good fit for the study. In the analysis of the F ratio, if the F calculated is greater than the F tabulated ($F_{cal} > F_{tab}$), then we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis. In this case, since $F_{cal} > F_{tab}$ ($6.981 > 2.09$) at

0.05 level of significance, we will therefore reject our null hypothesis (H_0) and accept our alternative hypothesis (H_1). Therefore, we can conclude that there is a strong relationship between Nigerian community attitudes and HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women. However, the analysis of variance in table 10 reveals that the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination is highly significant ($p=.000a$) to the phenomenon. This simply means that the respondents are neglected, verbally harassed and gossiped about by the Nigerian community. Eventually, table 11 showed that the identified nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination are of low significant ($p=.010$, $p=.035$) to the variables. This implies that the objective of the study has been achieved.

CONCLUSION

The primary concern of this study is to identify the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in Lagos state of Nigeria. The frequency tables reflected the following: firstly, the age group (30-34) has the highest number of respondents which accounts for 30.9% of the total respondents. Secondly, 32.3% of the respondents are singles, 49.6% are married, 15.7% are separated, 2.2% are divorced and 0.4% reported as non-response (a widow). We can deduce that the HIV/AIDS was more amongst married women than others. Thirdly, 9.6% female respondents only had primary school education, while 53.9% acquired secondary school education. 30.0% of females with HIV/AIDS positive while 6.5% of respondents indicated other category e. g Masters, OND. Fourthly, 13.0% of the respondents are students, 51.3% are employed and 35.7% are unemployed. We can therefore say that most of the females with HIV/AIDS positive in this study are gainfully employed (51.3%).Fifthly, the distribution of the female respondents by religion from the table 5 shows that 154 (67.0%) out of the total respondents are Christians and the remaining 33.0% are Moslem. There were no traditional worshippers among the respondents. Sixthly, the distribution of the female respondents by ethnicity from the above table showed that majority of the respondents interviewed are Yoruba people with 131 (57.0%) out of a total of 230. The Igbo were 76 (33.0%) and 10% were Hausa people. The findings from the nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination are as follows: Initially, the majority of female respondents have disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to people that is, about 66.5% of them have disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to the public while 30.0% of females with HIV/AIDS positive refused to disclose their status to the public. Secondly, 47.4% of the females with HIV/AIDS positive find it difficult to secure job opportunities due to their HIV/AIDS status while 43.9% of the female respondents did not find it difficult to secure job opportunities. The study is hereby concluded with the following facts from findings: firstly, the result from Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) indicated that those women who disclosed their HIV/AIDS status are gossiped about, verbally harassed and neglected by the Nigerian society. The only hypothesis tested for this study indicate that there is a weak relationship which confirms that community attitude is another salient nature of HIV/AIDS stigmatization and discrimination against women in Lagos.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The paper majorly recommends that any form of gossip, verbal harassment and neglect should be stopped against women, who disclosed their HIV/AIDS status to the Nigerian populace. Lastly, women with HIV/AIDS positive should be fully embraced by every Nigerian as normal citizens with bubbling lives in them and that our community attitudes towards them should be cordial.

REFERENCES

- Alonzo, A.A. & Reynolds, N.R. (1995): Stigma, HIV and AIDS: an exploration and elaboration of a stigma trajectory. *Social Science and Medicine*, 41(3), 303-315.
- Castro, R. (1998a): "Family responses to HIV/AIDS in Mexico," *Social Science and Medicine* 47(10):1473-1484.
- Castro, R, et al. (1998b): "AIDS-related Illness Trajectories in Mexico: Findings from a Qualitative Study in Two Marginalized Communities." *AIDS Care* 10(5): 583- 598.
- D'Cruz-Grote, D. (1996): 'Prevention of HIV infection in developing countries', *LANCET*; 348(9034): 1071-4.October 19.

- Goldin, C. (1994): Stigmatization and AIDS: Critical issues in public health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 39(9), 1359-1366.
- Goffman E. (1963): *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*, Simon and Schuster, New York, NY, USA.
- Herek, G.M, Capitanio, J.P.& Widaman, K.F. (2002): HIV-related stigma and knowledge in the United States: prevalence and trends, 1991-1999. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92(3), 371-377.
- Inside-out Research (2003): *Siyam'kela: A report of the fieldwork leading to the development of HIV/AIDS stigma indicators and guidelines. A research report*, Retrieved November 10, 2004, from [www.policyproject.com/pubs/countryreports/ SA_Siyam_fieldwork.pdf](http://www.policyproject.com/pubs/countryreports/SA_Siyam_fieldwork.pdf)
- Jillings, C. & Alexis, L. (1991): The concept of stigma: timely, relevant, and possibly uncomfortable. *Critical Care Nurse*, 11(1), 62-64.
- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (2004): *AIDS epidemic update*: Retrieved February 3, 2005 from <http://www.unaids.org>
- Kaiser Family Foundation (2004): *HIV/AIDS Policy Fact Sheet: HIV Testing in the United States*. Retrieved November 10th from www.kff.org/hivaids/loader.cfm?url=/common-spot/security/getfile.cfm&PageID=37467.
- Mann, J.(1987): Statement at an informal briefing on AIDS to the 42nd Session of the United Nations General Assembly, 20th October, New York.
- Mbonu N, Van Den Borne B, and N K. De Vries (2009): "Stigma of People with HIV/AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Literature Review", *Journal of Tropical Medicine*; Vol.12, Article ID 145891.
- Nnedum, O.A.U; (2006):s Evaluation of HIV-AIDS Prevention – Reduction Related Services of Anambra State Action Committee on HIV-AIDS (ANSACA) in Nigeria. *Review of Behavioural Perspectives*, 2(3): 24-32.
- Nyblade, L; Stangl, A; Weiss, E; & Ashburn, K. (2009): "Combating HIV Stigma in the Health Care Settings: What Works?" *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, Vol. 12; No.15 August.
- Orubuloye, I.O; Caldwell, JC; Caldwell, P (1993): "African women's control over their sexuality in an era of AIDS, A study of the Yoruba of Nigeria", *Social Science and Medicine*. October; 37(7):859-72.
- Oyediran, K; Oladipo, O; and Anyanti, J. (2005): "HIV/AIDS Stigma and Discrimination in Nigeria". Retrieved from <http://iussp2005.princeton.edu/download.aspx?submissionId=51685>
- Somerville, M. and Orkin, A. (1989): "Human rights, discrimination and AIDS: Concepts and issues." *AIDS* 3(Supplement 1): S283-S287.
- UNAIDS (2006): Report on the global AIDS epidemic. Geneva.
- USAID (2008): "HIV/AIDS Health Profile" U S A I D September.
- Wellings K, Collumbien M, Slaymaker E, Singh S, Hodges Z, Patel D (2006): Sexual behaviour in context: a global perspective. *Lancet* 368:1706-28
- World Health Organization, UNAIDS, UNICEF (2006): Epidemiological fact sheets on HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted infections, Available from: www.who.int/globalatlas/predefinedReports/EFS2006/EFS/PDFs/EFS2006ZW.pdf

**JOURNAL OF
SOCIAL AND POLICY ISSUES**

A PUBLICATION OF THE

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE