Paper

# Predictive Modeling in a VoIP System

Ana-Maria Simionovici<sup>a</sup>, Alexandru-Adrian Tantar<sup>a</sup>, Pascal Bouvry<sup>a</sup>, and Loic Didelot<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Computer Science and Communications University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg <sup>b</sup> MIXvoip S.a, Luxembourg

Abstract—An important problem one needs to deal with in a Voice over IP system is server overload. One way for preventing such problems is to rely on prediction techniques for the incoming traffic, namely as to proactively scale the available resources. Anticipating the computational load induced on processors by incoming requests can be used to optimize load distribution and resource allocation. In this study, the authors look at how the user profiles, peak hours or call patterns are shaped for a real system and, in a second step, at constructing a model that is capable of predicting trends.

Keywords—particle algorithms, prediction, user-profiles, VoIP.

# 1. Introduction

In the past few years, many researchers focused on deploying and improving the Voice over IP (VoIP) technology. VoIP platforms are subject to extremely fast context changes due to the dynamic pricing and automatic negotiation, availability and competition for resources in shared environments. One thus needs to provide powerful prediction models that are able to automatically evolve and adapt in order to consistently deal with the varying nature of a VoIP system. Furthermore, as such systems generally do not allow having a centralized management, mainly due to scale concerns, one would also like to have a modular approach for, e.g., resource allocation or load balancing [1].

This study is built on a collaboration between the University of Luxembourg and MixVoIP, a company that hosts and delivers commercial VoIP services, with an important market share in the Luxembourg area and, at this time, a significant number of subscribed clients [2]. The clients of MixVoIP are small businesses, libraries, small companies. VoIP is any type of technology that can transmit, in real-time, converted voice signals into digital data packets over an IP network. A VoIP service, e.g., as implemented and provided by a public or private company, allows placing calls over the Internet via an ordinary phone or computer. A VoIP phone only needs to connect to a home computer network using a special adapter. One of the main advantages of using VoIP in enterprises for example is the reduced cost of sharing a certain number of external phone lines, furthermore avoiding the allocation of a line per user. The most well known telephone system capable of switching calls and of providing a powerful control

over call activity (through the use of channel event logging) is Asterisk [3]. It is a framework for building multiprotocol, real-time communications solutions. Asterisk is in charge of establishing and managing the connection between two end devices by sending the voice portion of the call and everything that is not voice, also known as overhead. The protocols in charge of controlling multimedia communication sessions, respectively of delivering information and transferring data are Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), and Real Time Protocol (RTP). SIP is a signaling communications protocol, widely used for controlling multimedia communication sessions such as voice and video calls over Internet Protocol (IP) networks. SIP is one of the most known protocols in charge of signaling, establishing presence, locating users, setting, modifying or tearing down sessions between end-devices. After the connection is established, the media transportation is done via RTP. Codecs are used for converting the voice portion of a call in audio packets and the conversation is transmitted over RTP streams. The calls are stored by the VoIP providers in a Call Detail Record (CDR) database for billing.

An example of a telephone system solution for VoIP is given in Fig. 1. The users connect via Internet to a server that runs either on a physical machine or in a data center or in the cloud. All users must be registered to a SIP Registrar Server in order to indicate the current IP address and the URLs for which they would like to receive calls. The security layer can include SSH, FTP and access control or sessions for password protected phone login. The voice nodes control call features such as voice mail, call transfer, conference functions. The solutions are mainly deployed on Linux distribution. After authorizing the user to place calls, two links are made. First, the user calls Asterisk that, in turn, connects to the database server in order to check the credentials of the user and if the call can be made, e.g., credit on a pre-pay account. Second, Asterisk tries to reach the other end-device. After the call is finished, when the user hangs-up, call detailes are stored in the CDR (e.g. client id, destination, prefix, call duration, billing). The situation presented above stands for outbound calls placed by the users of the VoIP service provider. Depending on the codecs of each device on the call path, the decoding and re-coding operations increases the CPU usage. The VoIP provider is in charge of forwarding the call to different telecom operators. For incoming calls, the car-




Fig. 1. Basic example of VoIP telephone system.

rier connects to the VoIP provider which, in turn, looks up for the number and returns the number and location where the user can be reached.

Together with MixVoIP the authors work on a next generation cloud-based product range, a model that adapts to and copes with the highly dynamic evolution of requests, load or other stochastic factors. At the same time, in order to deal with a model based on an extremely large number of parameters, all in a time-dependent framework we look at dynamic factors and stochastic processes while taking into account repeating activity patterns, failures, service level agreements. Thus, one needs to know how to define time dependency in order to bridge, in a coherent manner, online (real life) processes, the concepts employed in modeling those aspects, and the (classically) static representations used in optimization. As an example, a specific aspect one can exploit is that activity patterns inside such systems tend, over specific periods of time, to express regular or localized characteristics, e.g., the evolution of energy price when relying on renewable sources, cyclic load over a 24 hours span.

The final goal of the project developed by the University of Luxembourg and MixVoIP company is to implement such an approach within MixVoIP's environment, i.e., capable of providing a prediction system for cloud-based platform. The project can be split into two main research areas: prediction and load balancing. Currently, we focus on building the prediction model (in charge of anticipating the number of the calls in the system) and be used as an input for the dynamic load balancing. The transition to a direct implementation for the cloud environment, to develop intelligent load balancing mechanisms that optimally spread the traffic inside the cloud, is therefore needed. To this end, with the support of MixVoIP, the paradigms designed inside the project will also be put into practice, hence resulting into a fully functional predictive optimization system for real cloud based VoIP platforms. The mechanism should be robust, flexible and scalable. Moreover, the load balancing mechanisms should address several requirements like increased scalability, high performance, high availability or failure recovery.

The need for resource capabilities arises as the cost-saving benefit of dynamic scaling is brought by the cloud phenomenon and given that cloud computing uses virtual resources with a non eligible setup time. Prediction is therefore necessary. Statistical models that describe resource requirements in cloud computing already make the object of pay-as-you-go, e.g., providers of environments that scale transparently in order to maximize performance while minimizing the cost of resources being used [4]. By predicting the load, allocating tasks to processors and dynamically turning off reserve computational resources, the high power required by cloud computing system can be reduced drastically [5].

Cloud computing can be seen as an overlay where seamless virtualization is implemented while dealing with privacy constraints. It relies on sharing computing resources rather than on having local servers or personal devices to handle applications. Cloud computing is used to increase capacity or add capabilities on the fly without investing in new infrastructure, training new personnel, or licensing new software. As a specific aspect, one may consider a computational demand and offer scenarios, where individuals or enterprizes negotiate and pay for access to resources through virtualization solutions (administered by a different entity that acts as provider) [6]. Cloud computing encompasses any subscription-based or pay-per-use service that, in real time over the Internet, extends IT's existing capabilities [7]. Demanding parties may however have diverging requirements or preferences, specified by contractual terms, e.g., stipulating data security, privacy or the service quality level [8]. Moreover, dynamic and risk-aware pricing policies may apply where predictive models are used either in place or through intermediary brokers to assess the financial and computational impact of decisions taken at different time moments. Legal enforcements may also restrict access to resources or data flow, e.g., data crossing borders or transfers to different resource providers. As common examples, one can refer to Amazon Web Services [9] or Google Apps Cloud Services [10].

The impact of task scheduling and resource allocation in dynamic heterogenous grid environments, given indepen-

dent jobs has been studied in [11]. The authors developed a hierarchic genetic schedule algorithm, capable of delivering high quality solutions in reasonable time; makespan and flowtime are the two objectives considered for the optimization problem (minimization). The algorithm improves the task execution time across the domain boundaries and the results are compared with mono-population and hybrid genetic-based schedulers. The allocation of tasks to processors in new distributed computing platform is challenging when interconnecting a large number of processing elements and when handling data uncertaintie. In [12] a scheduler in charge of stabilizing this process is presented. The authors discuss an algorithm where the application graph is decomposed into convex sets of vertices and it reduces the effects of disturbances in input data at runtime.

With respect to the previous considerations, the paper presents an algorithm capable of predicting the number of calls placed in a VoIP system during a given time frame. The data collected from MixVoIP is analyzed and user profiles are outlined. It has been observed that there are patterns in the number of calls placed during the different hours of a day, peak hours or in abnormal situations. During public holidays the number of calls heads to less than 10 per hour and, during a normal day, there are peak hours where the servers are overloaded or hours when the traffic is very low. While MixVoIP has chosen to have over capacity in order to avoid dropping the calls when there is a big traffic in the system, there are reasons to improve this approach and to implement a new model capable of adapting to the number of calls while optimally allocating resources. Statistics are used as an input for the prediction model which, based on a chosen time frame, can estimate the number of calls placed during the next time frame.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following manner. Section 2 discusses relevant background related to prediction models, and motivates the importance of predicting the load. In Section 3 the prediction model and detailed information is introduced. Section 4 presents experiments, results. Finally Section 5 concludes and gives remarks about future work.

# 2. Related Work

A series of different studies looked in the past few years on prediction models and VoIP. However, most existing approaches are based on predicting the speech quality of VoIP. The impact of packet loss and delay jitter on speech quality in VoIP has been studied for example by Lijing Ding in [13]. He proposes a formula used in Mean Opinion Score (MOS) prediction and network planning. A parametric network-planning model for quality prediction in VoIP networks, while conducting a research on the quality degradation characteristic of VoIP, was presented by Alexander Raake in [14]. The work addresses to the different technical characteristics of the VoIP networks linked with the features perceived by user. He gives a detailed description of VoIP quality and discusses how wideband speech transmission capability can improve telephone speech quality.

In [15] the authors present a solution for non-intrusively live-traffic monitoring and quality measuring. Their solution allows adapting to new network conditions by extending the E-Model proposed by the International Telecommunication Union-Telecommunication Standardisation Sector (ITU-T) to a less time-consuming and expensive model. Another model for objective, non-intrusive, prediction of voice quality for IP networks and to illustrate their application to voice quality monitoring and playout buffer control in VoIP networks is presented in [16]. They develop perceptually accurate models for nonintrusive prediction of voice quality capable of avoiding time consuming subjective tests and conversational prediction voice non-intrusive models quality for different codecs.

The problem of traffic anomaly detection in IP networks has been studied in [17]. The author presents an easy closed form for prediction of the mean bit-rate of one conversation generated by SID-capable speech codecs as a function of the codec and the number of frames per packet used. Reference [18] explores the cumulative traffic over relatively long intervals to detect anomalies in voice over IP traffic, to identify abnormal behavior when different thresholds are exceeded.

While extensive studies exist in each of the mentioned areas, only few sources consider such a holistic approach and analysis. Also, no conclusive agreement in the optimization domain exists on how to deal with highly dynamic timedependent systems, causality and impact in a predictive framework, information coherence or descriptive power of the models when facing a fast changing environment where different scenarios are possible. Last, to the best of our knowledge, the use of such highly integrative techniques in a real world setup has not been addressed to this extent ever before and would therefore represent a premiere for the cloud based VoIP commercial domain.

# 3. Algorithm

The final goal of the project developed by the University of Luxembourg and MixVoIP is to implement an approach that, first, improves the VoIP service and that, second, scales to a cloud-based-solution. In this section an algorithm based on interactive particle algorithms [19] is presented, adapted, e.g., for VoIP. It allows predicting the traffic in servers, the number of calls, based on previous observations. The model is namely based on interacting particle algorithms used for parameter estimation, given a Gaussian model [20]. Pierre Del Moral and Arnaud Doucet define interactive particle methods as extension of Monte Carlo methods, allowing to sample from complex high dimensional probability distributions. The algorithm can estimate normalizing constants, while approximating the target probability distributions by a large cloud of random samples termed particles. Each particle can evolve randomly in the space and, based on its potential, can surAlgorithm 1 Estimation of parameters pseudo-code

Generation of Particles,  $P(\mu, \Sigma)$ Fix some population size, NDraw  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ , for  $i = 1 \rightarrow N$  do { For each particle } Generate  $\mu$  from  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  e.g. Box Müller Determine the (lower) triangular matrix A via a Cholesky decomposition of  $\Gamma$  as  $AA^T$ Calculate  $X \leftarrow \mu + A \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ , d iid variable from  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  e.g. Box Müller Calculate  $\Sigma \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X^i^T$ Likelihood  $L = (2\pi)^{-N \times d/2} \times |\Sigma|^{-N/2} \times \exp^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{-(x-\mu)^T \times \Sigma^{-1} \times (x-\mu)}{2}}$ end for

**Perturbation of Particles** 

for  $k = 1 \rightarrow steps$  do Perturb the encoded vector  $W \equiv \{X_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma)\}$ Draw samples  $\{Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma)\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ Construct a new vector  $\{X_i \leftarrow \sqrt{a} \times X_i + \sqrt{1-a} \times Y_i\},\$  $1 \leq i \leq n$ Perturb  $\mu$ ,  $\mu \leftarrow \mu + val$ , val generated from  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ Calculate new sigma,  $\Sigma \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i^T$ Calculate *Lnew*, likelihood with new  $\Sigma$  and  $\mu$ if Lnew > L then Set the new values of  $\Sigma, \mu$  in the particle end if end for

#### Algorithm 2 Prediction Step

#### Choose the prediction method

Select the particle with maximum likelihood and extract  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  that best describe the observed data Let the distribution be  $\mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ 

For  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  we consider the following partition:

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_d^{\alpha} \\ \mu_d^{\beta} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma_d = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_d^{\alpha_1} & \Sigma_d^{\alpha_2} \\ \Sigma_d^{\beta_1} & \Sigma_d^{\beta_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z^{\alpha} | Z^{\beta} = z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu^c, \Sigma^c)$$

$$\mu^c = \mu_d^{\alpha} + \Sigma_d^{\alpha_2} \times (\Sigma_d^{\beta_2})^{-1} \times (z - \mu_d^{\beta})$$

 $\Sigma^{c} = \Sigma^{\alpha_{1}}_{d} - \Sigma^{\alpha_{2}}_{d} \times (\Sigma^{\beta_{2}}_{d})^{-1} \times \Sigma^{\beta_{1}}_{d}$ 

Prediction based on the likelihood of each particle, weighted likelihood and the training test

Let  $Ltotal = \sum_{i=1}^{N} L$ for  $i = 1 \rightarrow size(samples)$  do  $Z^{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} Z^{\alpha} \times L_{i}}{Ltotal}$ end for

 $Z^{c}$ 

vive or not. If a particle does not survive it will not be brought back. Many applications took benefit of this intuitive genetic mutation-selection type mechanism in areas as nonlinear filtering, Bayesian statistics, rare event simulations or genetic algorithms. The sampling algorithm applies mutation transitions and includes an acceptance rejection selection type transition phase. To this end, the approach is closely related to evolutionary-life algorithm, though while providing theoretical error bounds and performance analysis results. For a full description, please refer to the work of Pierre del Moral [19]. The algorithm starts with N particles, denoted by  $\xi_0^i$ ,  $1 \le i \le N$ , that evolve according to a transition  $\xi_0^i \to \xi_1^i$ , given a fix set A. If  $\xi_1^i \in A$ , it will be added to the new population of N individuals  $((\hat{\xi}_1^i)_{1 \le i \le N})$ , else being replaced by an individual randomly from A. The sequence of genetic type populations is defined by  $\xi_n := (\xi_n^i)_{1 \le i \le N}$  <u>selection</u>  $\hat{\xi}_n := (\hat{\xi}_n^i)_{1 \le i \le N}$  <u>mutation</u>  $\xi_{n+1}$ .  $\hat{\xi}_{n-1}^i \to \xi_n^i$ , can be seen as a parent. An overview of convergence results including variance and mean error estimates, fluctuations and concentration properties is also given in [19].

For the estimation of parameters, a population of particles is generated as in the following. Each particle encodes a mean vector  $\mu$  of size d, a matrix,  $W \equiv \{X_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma)\}$ sampled from a Wishart distribution  $W(\Sigma, d, n)$ . It is used to model random covariance matrices and describes the probability density function of random nonnegative-definite  $d \times d$  matrices [21]. The parameter *n* refers to the degrees of freedom while  $\Gamma$  in Algorithm 1 and denotes a scale matrix. After generating the initial population of particles, a perturbation step is repeated for a given number of times with a pre- specified constant value, a. The perturbation step consists in modifying the parameters of each particle subject to distribution invariance constraints. We generate a new value val from  $\mathcal{N}_d(0,\Sigma)$  and  $\mu \leftarrow \mu + val$  is calculated, and draw new samples  $\{Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma)\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . The encoded vector is recalculated based on vector Y and value a, as described in the algorithm. After building the new  $\Sigma$ , the likelihood of the perturbed particle is determined. If the value of the likelihood is improved, then the perturbed particle is accepted and the old one is deleted from the population. This step is repeated for a number of times, in order to determine the values of the parameters that maximize the likelihood. The perturbation can be seen as a transition or mutation of each particle.

After the last perturbation step we get the final population. There are two prediction methods presented in Algorithm 2. First, the particle with the maximum likelihood is chosen, and then the parameters with the first component  $(Z^{\alpha}, \text{ input for testing})$  are obtained, the second component  $(Z^{\beta})$  is extracted. Second, we take in consideration the likelihood of all the particles. The component  $Z^{\beta}$  is calculated via a weighted sum of the likelihoods multiplied by the first component and divided by the sum of likelihoods. The result consists in an estimation of the traffic during the second time frame, for every working day of the chosen year.






Fig. 2. Data collection, data analysis, prediction, decision.

### 4. Experiments and Results

The prediction model has been trained and tested on real data from MixVoIP. In Fig. 2 is given a description of the path leading from data collection to data analysis,



*Fig. 3.* The total number of calls placed in the third week of April 2012.




*Fig. 4.* The total number of calls placed in the second week of November 2012.


prediction and then modification of the system. The first step collects and analyzes the information from the detailed records of placed calls, e.g., the account code and profile id. One profile id can have multiple account codes. We can categorize the users based on the number of calls placed and treat the main customers with a higher priority. The destination of the call is also recorded and, after analyzing the data we are able to see that, based on the location of the specific services, the calls are more likely to be placed in that area. The duration of the calls is an useful information along with the date of the call.

Figures 3 and 4 are two examples of the total calls placed during two different weeks from 2012. Throughout a day, the highest traffic is during working hours (8–11 and 13–18). Due the profile of the clients at MixVoIP, we can see in Figs. 5 and 6 that, over a week, the traffic is high from Monday till Friday, during working hours. Abnormal situations can be revealed if the load of the server becomes high outside the detected normal intervals and days as we can see in Fig. 7. This information is used as input to predict the load of the servers during working days and peak hours. As training set, the calls placed in 2012 from hour 10 to hour 11 is chosen. We compared the accuracy of the presented prediction methods with a feed-forward neural network approach [22].


Neural networks are widely used for prediction problems, classification or control, in areas as diverse as finance, medicine, engineering, geology or physics. An artificial neural network is a computational model inspired from the structure and function of biological neural networks. It is considered to be a strong nonlinear statistical data modeling tool where the complex relationships between inputs and outputs are modeled or patterns are found. Pattern classification, function approximation, object recognition, data decomposition are only a few examples of problems where artificial neural networks were applied. An artificial neuron receives a number of inputs corresponding to synaptic stimulus strength in a manner that mimes a biological neuron, are fed via weighted connections, and has a threshold value. Each neuron can receive  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  inputs



*Fig. 5.* Distribution of calls per weekday from the first week of February 2012.



*Fig. 6.* Distribution of calls per weekday from the first week of August 2012.



*Fig.* 7. Abnormal situation, possible attack in the first week of September 2011.

that have  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m$  weights. Using the weighted sum of the inputs and the threshold, the activation of a neuron is composed. To produce the output of the neuron, the activation signal is passed through, the neuron acting like the biological neuron. A feed-forward [23] neural network is a collection of neurons that connect in a network

4/2013 JOURNAL OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY that can be represented by a direct graph with nodes (neurons) and edges (the links between them). The feed-forward neural network receives as input values that are associated with the input nodes while the output nodes are associated to output variables. Hidden layers may also appear in the structure of the network [24]. Various types of data can be predicted using neural networks, e.g., future value or trends of a variable (value increase or decrease).

In our model, each day is defined by two parameters: number of calls at hour 10, respectively 11, D(X, Y). Depending on the number of segments chosen, e.g. nrSeg = 300, we can calculate, for each time frame, the size of the intervals as being the maximum number of calls for hour 10, respective by 11, divided by the number of segments (*size*<sub>1</sub>,*size*<sub>2</sub>) (Algorithm 3). Each day will be classified as belonging to an interval for *X*, respectively *Y*. For, e.g., during a public holiday *X* and *Y* of the respective day will equal zero. We count how many days belong to each pair (*X*,*Y*) and the classes will be used as an input for training the model (Fig. 8). After training, the calls from hour 10 have been used to test the prediction model, to anticipate the calls at hour 11.

#### Algorithm 3 Extraction of samples pseudo-code


Store values from database in file, read file, set values *X* and *Y* for each day

$$size_1 = \frac{max(X)}{nrSeg}$$
,  $size_2 = \frac{max(Y)}{nrSeg}$ 


Count the days belonging to each interval where  $(i-1) \times size_1 \le X \le i \times size_1$  and  $(j-1) \times size_2 \le Y \le j \times size_2$ ,  $i, j \le nrSeg$ 

#### return samples


We generate the particles according to Algorithm 1. The number of components we use (to train the algorithm) is d = 2 which defines the dimension of the space. The number of degrees of freedom chosen is n = 5. The N = 1000 particles will encode the vector  $\mu$  and the matrix  $\Sigma$ . Based on the parameters of the particles and the samples used



*Fig. 8.* Before prediction – sample of calls placed in 2012 from 10:00-10:59 AM and 11:00-11:59 AM.




*Fig. 9.* After prediction – second component calculated based on the weighted likelihood of the particles.



*Fig. 10.* After prediction – second component calculated based on the particle with maximum likelihood.

as an input, the likelihood of each particle is calculated. This will be considered as the initial population. After the generation, for each particle the values of the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  will be perturbed according the algorithm. If the likelihood is improved, the new values will replace the old ones and move to the next step. The perturbation is applied for a number of steps, *steps* = 100. The final population of particles will be used for prediction that is done either by considering the particle with maximum likelihood (Fig. 9) or using the weighted likelihood of the of particles (Fig. 10). For comparison, we trained and tested a neural network, using the same training set and testing set



*Fig. 11.* Number of predictions below a given threshold. Mean absolute percentage deviation.

(the first component) as previously discussed. The neural network has nr = 10 neurons, one input, one output and two layers. We obtain the output of the neural network and we compare the prediction given by each method.

In Fig. 11, we can see a comparison of the mean absolute percentage error that was calculated using the expected outcome and the output given by the three different methods. For the *threshold*  $\leq$  0.3, the neural network method has better results while for higher values, the best results are given by the average maximum likelihood. This is what interests us: the predictor that works better for higher errors. The main reasons is that, for example, minus or plus 10 calls will not make a huge difference. Miss-estimating 150 calls can have a negative impact on the system that can end up dropping calls because of lack of resources. For each method, the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) is calculated. MAPE is used in statistics to measure the accuracy of a method for constructing fitted time series values. MAPE is defined by the formula:  $M = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{P(i) - Z(i)}{P(i)}$ , with N the size of the set, P(i) the actual value and Z(i)the forecast value. The smaller the value of MAPE is, the better is the model. In Table 1, based on the calculated error we can see that the weighted likelihood is the best solutions and we are considering it.

Table 1 Mean Absolute Percentage Error

MAPE	Value
Maximum likelihood	0.1359
Weighted likelihood	0.0998
Neural network	0.1159

# 5. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, a prediction model we have built for a real Voice over IP system is presented. The authors have namely discussed a method capable to predict the load of the traffic during a time frame. It was also shown that there are patterns regarding the behavior of the users when placing calls. During public holidays, Sundays and Saturdays, the number of calls is very low while during the weekdays, peaks are likely to appear. This information is helpful when taking decision regarding resource allocations. The prediction model proposed in this work combines different methodologies and will be used as an input for a future load balancing model. We also explored two different methods for predicting the load of the servers and compared the results. The first one takes in consideration the entity with the maximum likelihood while the second one is a weighted likelihood based prediction. The proposed methods were compared with the prediction given by a neural network that was trained and tested with the same data.

Since the VoIP implementations and the technology in itself demand a sustained computational and bandwidth support, the decrease of operation costs at infrastructure level is expected together with the improvement of the VoIP quality. As future work, we will compare our model with Support Vector Machines (SVM) [25], [26], an approach frequently used in machine learning community for classification problems and regression analysis. SVMs are for example used for learning and recognizing patterns for a given input. Moreover, we are investigating the use of a higher number of time frames for the input and testing. We believe that adding this feature could help to improve our results. The behavior of the proposed algorithms in cases like uniformly distributed load, requests focused on one specific set of resources or fast changing profiles that switch between low and high activity periods, will also be analyzed. The idea of building a model per user is also taken into account. Depending on the period of the year, the clients are more or less active. For example, knowing that a school will be closed for summer holidays gives us the idea that less resources will be needed for that specific client. Finding patterns for the normal behavior of the system and defining a confidence interval (in which the traffic can fluctuate normally) is considered. Two different situations will be distinguished: peaks in normal situations (adapt the system to scale and load balance the resources) and peaks in abnormal situations (detection and reaction against attacks). For the current experiments, the samples have been filtered and the abnormal traffic was removed from the training set.

The existing prediction model will also be extended and, based on the outcome, a highly efficient load-balancing algorithm will be developed, allowing to deal with constraints and performance measures not addressed to such an extent and in such an integrative manner before in the literature.

#### Acknowledgements

This study is conducted under the support from the CNRS, France, with the National Research Fund, Luxembourg project INTER/CNRS/11/03 Green@Cloud and Luxembourg ministry of economy, project DynamicMixVoIP. The aims and context of this research project are built on a collaboration between the Computer Science and Communications (CSC) Research Unit, University of Luxembourg, and MixVoIP, a Luxembourg based company specialized in VoIP services.



National Research Fund, Luxembourg, http://www.fnr.lu.

#### References

 T. C. Wilcox Jr., "Dynamic load balancing of virtual machines hosted on Xen", Master thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, Brigham Young University, USA, April 2009.

4/2013 JOURNAL OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

- [2] Mixvoip Home page [Online]. Available: http://www.mixvoip.com/
- [3] L. Madsen, R. Bryant, and J. V. Meggelen, Asterisk: The Definitive Guide, 3rd edition. O'Reilly Media, 2011.
- [4] A. Ganapathi, C. Yanpei, A. Fox, R. Katz, D. Patterson, "Statisticsdriven workload modeling for the Cloud", in *Proc. IEEE 26th Int. Conf. Data Engin. Worksh. ICDEW 2010*, Long Beach, CA, USA, 2010, pp. 87–92.
- [5] S. Kim, J.-I. Koh, Y. Kim, and C. Kim, "A science Cloud resource provisioning model using statistical analysis of job history", in *Proc.* 9th IEEE Int. Conf. Depend. Autonom. Sec. Comput. DASC 2011, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 2011, pp. 792–793.
- [6] M. Armbrust *et al.*, "Above the clouds: A Berkeley view of cloud computing", Tech. Report no. UCB/EECS-2009-28, Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences University of California, Berkeley, USA, 2009.
- [7] D. F. Parkhill, *The challenge of the computer utility*. Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [8] V. Stantchev and C. Schrpfer, "Negotiating and enforcing qos and slas in grid and cloud computing", in *Advances in Grid and Pervasive Computing*, N. Abdennadher and D. Petcu, Eds. LNCS, vol. 5529. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer, 2009, pp. 25–35.
- [9] Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (Amazon EC2) [Online]. Available: http://aws.amazon.com/ec2/
- [10] Google Cloud Platform [Online]. Available: https://cloud.google.com/
- [11] J. Kołodziej and S. U. Khan, "Multi-level hierarchical genetic-based scheduling of independent jobs in dynamic heterogeneous grid environment", *Information Sciences*, vol. 214, pp. 1–19, 2012.
- [12] A. Mahjoub, J. E. Pecero Sánchez, and D. Trystram, "Scheduling with uncertainties on new computing platforms", *J. Comp. Opt. and Appl.*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 369–398, 2011.
- [13] L. Ding, "Speech quality prediction in VoIP using the extended E-model", in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecom. Conf. GLOBECOM 2003*, San Francisko, USA, 2003, vol. 7, pp. 3974–3978.
- [14] A. Raake, *Speech Quality of VoIP: Assessment and Prediction*. Chichester: Wiley, 2006.
- [15] M. AL-Akhras, H. Zedan, R. John, and I. ALMomani, "Non-intrusive speech quality prediction in VoIP networks using a neural network approach", *Neurocomput.*, vol. 72, iss. 10–12, pp. 2595–2608, 2009.
- [16] L. Sun and E. C. Ifeachor, "Voice quality prediction models and their application in VoIP networks", *IEEE Trans. Multim.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 809–820, 2006.
- [17] R. Estepa, "Accurate prediction of VoIP traffic mean bit rate", *Elec. Lett.*, vol. 41, pp. 985–987, 2005.
- [18] M. R. H. Mandjes, I. Saniee, and A. Stolyar, "Load characterization, overload prediction, and anomaly detection for voice over IP traffic", in *Proc. ACM SIGMETRICS Int. Conf. Measur. Model. Comp. Sys.*, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2001, pp. 326–327.
- [19] P. Del Moral and A. Doucet, "Particle methods: An introduction with applications", LNCS/LNAI Tutorial book no. 6368. Springer, 2010–2011.
- [20] P. Del Moral, A.-A. Tantar, and E. Tantar, "On the foundations and the applications of evolutionary computing", in EVOLVE – A Bridge between Probability, Set Oriented Numerics and Evolutionary Computation, E. Tantar et al., Eds. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol. 447. Springer, 2013, pp. 3–89.
- [21] S. W. Nydick, "The Wishart and Inverse Wishart Distributions", 2012 [Online]. Available: http://www.math.wustl.edu/~sawyer/ hmhandouts/Wishart.pdf
- [22] I. Aleksander and H. Morton, An Introduction to Neural Computing. London: Chapman and Hall, 1990.
- [23] M. Budinich and E. Milotti, "Properties of feedforward neural networks", J. Phys. A: Mathem. Gen., vol. 25, no. 7, 1992.
- [24] D. Svozil, V. Kvasnicka, and J. Pospichal, "Introduction to multilayer feed-forward neural networks", *Chemometrics Intell. Lab. Sys.*, no. 39, pp. 43–62, 1997.
- [25] N. Cristianini and J. Shawe-Taylor, An Introduction to Support Vector Machines. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[26] T. Joachims, "Text categorization with Support Vector Machines: Learning with many relevant features", in *Proc. 10th European Conf. Machine Learn. ECML'98*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1398. Springer, 1998, pp. 137–142.



Ana-Maria Simionovici is a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Luxembourg. She is currently working on evolutionary computing, prediction, load balancing. She holds Master's degree in Computational Optimization from University of Al. Ioan Cuza, Iasi, Romania (2012).

E-mail: ana.simionovici@uni.lu Computer Science and Communications University of Luxembourg Campus Kirchberg, E 009 6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi L-1359 Luxembourg



Alexandru Tantar received his Ph.D. diploma in Computer Science in 2009 from the University of Lille. He is now a Research Associate at the University of Luxembourg, conducting research on parallel evolutionary computation, the modeling and optimization of large scale, energy-efficient dynamic systems and Monte Carlo based algorithms.

E-mail: alexandru.tantar@uni.lu Computer Science and Communications University of Luxembourg Campus Kirchberg, E 009 6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi L-1359 Luxembourg



**Pascal Bouvry** earned his Ph.D. degree ('94) in Computer Science with great distinction at the University of Grenoble (INPG), France. His research at the IMAG laboratory focused on mapping and scheduling task graphs onto Distributed Memory Parallel Computers. He is now Professor at the Faculty of Sciences, Technology and Com-

munication of the University of Luxembourg and heading the Computer Science and Communication research unit. Professor Bouvry is currently holding a full professor position at the University of Luxembourg in computer science. His current interests encompass optimization, parallel/cloud computing, ad hoc networks and bioinformatics.

E-mail: pascal.bouvry@uni.lu Computer Science and Communications University of Luxembourg Campus Kirchberg, E 009 6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi L-1359 Luxembourg



Loic Didelot is the current Founder at Pindo S.a., CEO at Corpoinvest holding, Co-Owner at Forschung-Direkt Company. Since February 2008 he is also the Founder and Co-owner of MIXvoip S.A. He has competences in building highly scalable and secure products based

on linux and open source solutions while considering the commercial alternatives. He has great know-how in web development (PHP, CSS, Javascript, AJAX), Linux and security, Asterisk. He is interested in database applications that need to scale out.

E-mail: ldidelot@mixvoip.com MIXvoip S.a Luxembourg Z.I. Rolach L-5280 Sandweiler, Luxembourg