#### Instrumentation and Sensors for CSP Performance Testing

Marc Röger, Bijan Nouri, Christoph Hilgert, Nicole Janotte, Eckhard Lüpfert

SolarPACES Task I Meeting Cape Town, 12.10.2015



# Wissen für Morgen

#### Overview

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Measurement Approaches in a Parabolic Trough Plant
- 3. Description of Clamp-On Systems
  - I. Temperature
  - II. Mass Flow Rate
- 4. Application of Dynamic Performance Model (PDPM) in Andasol Loop
  - I. Parameterization
  - II. Validation
- 5. PDPM approach for solar field or subfields





#### **1. Motivation** Quantities to Measure for Thermal Performance

$$\eta_{th} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{th}}{\dot{Q}_{Solar}} = \frac{\dot{m} \cdot c_{p} (T_{out} - T_{in})}{A_{net} \cdot E_{b} \cdot \cos(\theta) \cdot \chi^{3/2}}$$







#### 2. Measurement approaches

#### Measurement Approaches:

- (i) Standard plant instrumentation
- (ii) Embedded calibrated instrumentation
- (iii) Mobile heat unit with instrumentation and BOP
- (iv) Bypass with calibrated instrumentation
- (v) Mobile field laboratory ("Clamp On")





#### 2. Measurement approaches (iv) Bypass (recommended)



Data independence +

Mounting effort / Leakage risk (if loop not prepared for bypass use)



# **2. Measurement approaches (v)** Mobile field laboratory (recommended if no bypass flanges)



Contra

Calibration effort

Mounting effort (Time-consuming)





Pro

+

+

+

Flexibility

operation

Measurement accuracy

Data independence

No interference with plant

weather

protection

#### 3. Clamp-On: Temperature







- Class-A Pt100 with 4 wire connection
- Good thermal coupling realized through brass block, thermal conductive paste and hose clamps (torque 15 Nm)
- Homogenized temperature in the direct environment of the sensor via brass block
- Reduction of environmental influences through copper shield and insulation











# 3. Clamp-On: Temperature

Remaining Uncertainty of ClampOn Temperature Measurem. After Correction

Inline Reference <i>T<sub>ref</sub></i> 2xPT100 redundant measurement of T_fluid	Uncertainty ( <i>T</i> <sub>ref</sub> )	ClampOn <i>T<sub>co,w/</sub></i> with correction	Uncertainty ( <i>T<sub>co,w</sub></i> ) incl. systematic uncertainty of ClampOn method
100.67 °C	±0.16°K	100.72 °C	±0.34°K
150.83 °C	±0.18°K	150.61 °C	±0.43°K
200.45 °C	±0.21°K	200.19 °C	±0.49°K
250.52 °C	±0.26°K	250.22 °C	±0.50°K
300.58 °C	±0.28°K	300.81 °C	±0.54°K
350.78 °C	±0.31°K	350.55 °C	±0.60°K
390.95 °C	±0.33°K	390.78 °C	±0.62°K

- Uncertainty of ClampOn measurement is only doubled compared to inline PT100
- Uncertainty of ClampOn-measurement technique remain below 0.6 K.





# **3. Clamp-On: Temperature** Temperature Correction ClampOn



- Correction reduces uncertainty significantly
- Dimensionless approach is being developed to correct clampOn temperature also for other fluids and ambient conditions Correction  $\Delta \Theta_{P-f}$

$$\Delta \Theta_{P-f} = a_1 \cdot (Re + dm)^m \cdot (Pr + dn)^n \cdot \left(\Delta \Theta_{f-amb}\right)^p \cdot (Bi + dq)^q \cdot \left(\lambda_{Iso}/\lambda_f\right)^s \\ \cdot (\delta_P/d_i)^u \cdot (\delta_{Iso}/d_i + dv)^v$$





#### 3. Clamp-On: Volume Flow



- Fluid flow measured via travel time differences of ultrasonic signals
- Ultrasonic signal is acoustically coupled to the pipe
- For T>200° C: Sensor heads thermally decoupled via wave injector from pipe
- Pipe geometry and material properties (pipe and HTF) included in calculation







#### 3. Clamp-On: Volume Flow/ Mass Flow

Uncertainty of ultrasonic mass flow measurement remain 1.4% of mass flow rate





# **4. Parameterized Dynamic Performance Model (PDPM)** applied in Andasol Loop



Modelling approach for parameter identification from test data for field performance prediction for given field parameters and ambient conditions.

100

$$\dot{Q}_{th} = \chi^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot A_{net} \cdot E_{b} \cdot \cos(\theta) [\eta_{opt,0}] \cdot \kappa(\theta) \cdot f_{endloss} \cdot f_{shade} \cdot f_{focus} - C_{1} \cdot (T_{m} - T_{amb}) - C_{2} \cdot (T_{m} - T_{amb})^{2} - C_{3} \frac{dT_{m}}{dt}$$

with  $\kappa(\theta) = 1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta} - \frac{b_2}{\theta}$ 

Residence time effects are considered through a CSTR
model (continuous stirred tank reactor)
Perfect mixing of fluid in each tank is assumed

coefficients	definition
η <sub>opt,0</sub>	optical efficiency
b <sub>1</sub> , b <sub>2</sub>	IAM coefficients
c <sub>1</sub> , c <sub>2</sub>	thermal loss coefficients
с <sub>3</sub>	specific heat capacity coefficient





#### **4. Parameterized Dynamic Performance Model (PDPM)** Validation Data Set (Andasol Loop), forward approach



- Independant validation data set which was not used to identify parameters
- Good agreement: Deviation in integrated enthapy flow over plotted period: ~0.4%





#### 5. PDPM approach for solar field or subfields

- Condensing all parallel loops into one average loop
- Only overall performance characteristics, no individual loop characteristics
- Target quantity: Thermal power of solar subfield, not of indivdual loops





#### THANK YOU for your attention.

#### THANK YOU

to the team.

Bijan Nouribijan.nouriChristoph Hilgertchristoph.hNicole Janottenicole.jano

bijan.nouri@dlr.de christoph.hilgert@dlr.de nicole.janotte@dlr.de Supported by:



on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag



#### THANK YOU

for the support.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy and DIN/DKE for the projects:

- STAMEP 0325472A.
- INS 1284.

marc.roeger@dlr.de DLR Qualification Marc Röger

# Knowledge for Tomorrow