# EU-Projects on Automation of Road Transport and the iMobility Forum: Joint Systems Perspective

Johann Kelsch

Institute of Transportation Systems German Aerospace Center



Knowledge for Tomorrow

#### **Overview**

- Motivation for Joint Driver-Automation System Design
- Joint System components addressed in **EU-Projects and iMobility Forum**
- **Application examples** for highly automated road transport systems





picture source: http://office.microsoft.com



# **Motivation for Joint System Design**



- Raising number of different ADAS used in parallel
- Raising complexity of particular ADAS used in parallel





## **Toward Joint Human-Machine Systems Design**





Diagram concept: Denis Javaux





# **Joint Driver-Automation System: Elements**

- Simplified human perception-action model
- Machine as a cognitive agent\*
- Human and machine interacts with each other
- Human and machine **compete** for vehicle control (shared control)
- Arbitration using
  - self-organization
  - role, task, control allocation



(\*) Hollnagel & Woods, 1983





#### **Joint Driver-Automation System: Elements**





Human Factors Subgroup

- Supported by EU commission & ERTICO (Brussels)
- DLR, TRL, ITS LEEDS, ICCS, IFSTTAR, UNIROMA, VEDECOM, TU EINDHOVEN, UNI CHALMERS, TU DELFT, EUCAR, VALEO, HIT
- Human Factors in Highly Automated Road Transport
- Automation effects on driver & other traffic participants (e.g. VRUs)
- HF related Joint System design issues
  - System distribution vs. system integration (e.g. connectivity effects)
  - **Controllability** (e.g. automation level transitions)
  - **Observability** (e.g. joint HMI concepts)
  - Usability
- HF related roadmaps & recommendations for the EU commission





# Joint Driver-Automation System: Taxonomy

- Human Factors is about human related system problems
- Human Factors is about human related system solutions as well
- Interaction Design
  - uses Human Factors knowledge
  - technical requirements meet Human Factors

Generic **problem** + generic **solution** = **Design Pattern** 







## **Joint Driver-Automation System: Design Patterns**



(\*) Parasuraman et al. 2000, (\*\*) Hoc 2001, (\*\*\*) EU-Project D3CoS D3-03

Automation Levels\* and transitions

- Problem of correct humanmachine control distribution
- Quantitative solution
- How much automation is there?
- Cooperation Modes\*\*
  - Problem of correct humanmachine task allocation
  - Qualitative solution
  - Who does what and how?
  - Both perspectives are **compatible** to each other\*\*\*



# Joint Driver-Automation System in EU-Projects

- Joint System
- Automation Levels
- Transitions...



Highly automated vehicles for intelligent transport



D3-03

D3CoS

**Reference Designs and Design Patterns for Cooperation & DCoS State Inference and Adaptation** 

Inform/Warn/Intervene strategies

- Joint HMI Concepts
- Arbitration...



**Deliverable D61.1 Final Report** 

- Design patterns for cooperation
- Cooperation modes
- Methods & Tools... -



Accident avoidance by active intervention for Intelligent Vehicles

#### Deliverable D3.2 | IWI Strategies | Executive

Summary

#### **Joint Driver-Automation System Design Aspects**



# Join System Controllability: Decoupling Concept

- Joint System performance in conditions
  - no automation
  - steering intervention (coupled)
  - steering intervention (decoupled)
  - true vs. false decoupling
- **FASCar II** from the German Aerospace center (DLR)
- equipped with steer-by-wire system
- Possibility to **decouple** the driver from vehicle control
- Obstacle covering half the lane
- Unfolds in 0.8 sec.







# **Controllability: Steering intervention (decoupled)**















# **Controllability: Driver Decoupling Concept**



#### 'true' decoupling

- Lateral deviation (30 km/h) in 'false' decoupling was significantly higher than in other conditions  $\rightarrow$  BAD
- decoupling was significantly higher than in other conditions  $\rightarrow$  GOOD

Lateral deviation (50 km/h) in 'true'

- 'True' decoupling seemed to be well controllable for the driver
- 'False' decoupling seemed to be badly controllable for the driver Interactive







## Joint System Observability: HMI Concepts









# Joint System Observability: Cooperative Lane Change













### Joint System Usability: Cooperative Lane Change Assist



- Well accepted system design
- Easy to understand





#### Our research focus in...

- Designing a Joint System
- Ambient display
  - visual, haptic & acoustic stimuli
- Idea:
  - Transporting information by using peripheral vision
  - Supporting / inhibiting drivers' actions by using affective design
- Aim: Improving performance in
  - primary driving tasks
  - automation mode transitions
  - in normal & emergency situations



#### Automated Driving Applications and Technologies for Intelligent Vehicles

















#### Conclusion

- Developing ADAS and vehicle automation, systems become complex
- Closely integrated Joint System Design is needed
- EU-Projects are addressing Joint System components, methods & tools
- Exemplary solutions show the possible developments in the future
- DLR develops Joint Systems enriched with affective HMI





#### References

- EU-D3CoS Community (2012): Reference Designs and Design Patterns for Cooperation & DCoS State Inference and Adaptation. D3-03 Deliverable for EU-D3CoS
- EU-InteractIVe Community (2012): IWI Strategies. Deliverable D3.2 for EU-Project "InteractIVe", 31.07.2012
- Flemisch F.; Kelsch J.; Löper C.; Schieben A.; Schindler J. (2008): *Automation spectrum, inner/outer compatibility and other potentially useful human factors concepts for assistance and automation.* De Wart, D. (Ed). Human Factors for Assistance and Automation, Shaker Publishing
- Hoc, J. M. (2001): *Towards a cognitive approach to human-machine cooperation in dynamic situations*. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 54, S. 509-540
- Hollnagel, E.; Woods, D. D. (1982): *Cognitive Systems Engineering: New wine in new bottles.* International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, Volume 51, Nr. 2, Aug. 1999, S. 339-356
- Kelsch, J., Heesen M., Hesse T., Baumann M. (2012): Using human-compatible re-ference values in design of cooperative dynamic human-machine systems. EAM 2012, 11-12.09.2012, Braunschweig, Germany
- Kelsch, J.; Temme, G.; Schindler, J. (2013): Arbitration based framework for design of holistic multimodal human-machine interaction. Contributions to AAET 2013, 6.-7. Feb. 2013, Braunschweig, Germany, ISBN 9783937655291
- Schieben, A.; Flemisch, F.; (2008): Who is in control? Exploration of transitions of control between driver and an eLane vehicle automation. In: VDI-Berichte 2048. VDI Verlag. Wolfsburg, ISBN 9783180920481, S. 455-469
- Heesen, M.; Dziennus, M.; Hesse, T.; Schieben, A.; Brunken, C.; Löper, C.; Kelsch, J.; Baumann, M (2014): Interaction design of automatic steering for collision avoidance: challenges and potentials of driver decoupling. IET Intelligent Transport Systems. In press





# Thank You

johann.kelsch@dlr.de

