

On Banach space valued extensions  
from split faces.

Tage Bai Andersen

This work was in part supported by the Danish Science  
Council, J. No. 511-630.

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem:  
Suppose  $a$  is a continuous affine map from a closed split face  $F$  of a compact convex set  $K$  with values in a Banach space  $B$  enjoying the approximation property. Suppose also that  $p$  is a strictly positive lower semi-continuous concave function on  $K$  such that  $\|a(k)\| \leq p(k)$  for all  $k$  in  $F$ . Then  $a$  admits a continuous affine extension  $\tilde{a}$  to  $K$  into  $B$  such that  $\|\tilde{a}(k)\| \leq p(k)$  for all  $k$  in  $K$ .

We shall use the methods of tensor products of compact convex sets as developed by Semadeni [12], Lazar [9], Namioka and Phelps [10] and Behrends and Wittstock [6] to reduce the problem to the case  $B = \mathbb{R}$ , and in this case the result follows from the work of Alfsen and Hirsberg [3] and the present author [4].

The author wants to thank E. Alfsen for helpful comments.

We shall be concerned with compact convex sets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in locally convex spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. By  $A(K_i)$  we shall denote the continuous real affine functions on  $K_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We let  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$  be the Banach space of continuous biaffine functions on  $K_1 \times K_2$ . We observe that  $\mathbb{1} \in BA(K_1 \times K_2)$  and that  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$  separates points of  $K_1 \times K_2$ . As usual we define the projective tensor product of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ ,  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ , to be the state space of  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$  equipped with the  $w^*$ -topology. Then  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is a compact convex set, and we have a homeomorphic embedding  $\omega_{K_1 \times K_2}$  (called  $\omega$ , when no confusion can arise) from  $K_1 \times K_2$  into  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  defined by the following

rule: For all  $a$  in  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$  and all  $(x_1, x_2)$  in  $K_1 \times K_2$

$$\omega(x_1, x_2)(a) = a(x_1, x_2)$$

We notice that  $\omega$  is a biaffine map. It was proved in [10; Prop. 1.3, Th. 2.3] and [6; Satz 1.1.3] that  $\partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2) = \omega(\partial_e K_1 \times \partial_e K_2)$ , where in general we denote the extreme points of a convex set  $K$  by  $\partial_e K$ .

For  $a$  in  $A(K_1)$  and  $b$  in  $A(K_2)$  we define the continuous biaffine function  $a \otimes b$  by

$$a \otimes b(x_1, x_2) = a(x_1)b(x_2), \quad \text{all } (x_1, x_2) \in K_1 \times K_2$$

We let  $A(K_1) \otimes A(K_2)$  be the real vector space

$$A(K_1) \otimes A(K_2) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \mid a_i \in A(K_1), b_i \in A(K_2) \right\}$$

which is a copy of the algebraic tensor product of  $A(K_1)$  and  $A(K_2)$ . We denote by  $A(K_1) \otimes_e A(K_2)$  the uniform closure of  $A(K_1) \otimes A(K_2)$  in  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$ .

We recall that a Banach space  $B$  is said to have the approximation property if for each compact convex subset  $C$  of  $B$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a continuous linear map  $T: B \rightarrow B$  such that  $T(B)$  is finite dimensional and such that  $\|Tx - x\| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in C$ . It is proved in [10; Lem. 2.5] that if  $A(K_1)$  (or  $A(K_2)$ ) has the approximation property then  $BA(K_1 \times K_2) = A(K_1) \otimes_e A(K_2)$ .

Following Lazar [9] we define  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  as the natural embeddings of  $A(K_1)$  and  $A(K_2)$  into  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)$ , i.e.

$$T_1 a = a \otimes \mathbb{1}, \quad \text{all } a \in A(K_1)$$

$$T_2 b = \mathbb{1} \otimes b, \quad \text{all } b \in A(K_2).$$

Let  $P_i$  be the adjoint map of  $T_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Then  $P_i$  is an affine and continuous map of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  onto  $K_i$  (= state space of  $A(K_i)$ ), and

$$P_i \omega(k_1, k_2) = k_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The first part of the following proposition was proved by Lazar in the case where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are simplexes, but the proof holds in general. The last part was proved by Lazar in the simplex case by means of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for simplexes.

Proposition 1. Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be closed faces of compact convex sets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  resp. Let  $F = P_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap P_2^{-1}(F_2)$

(i) Then  $F$  is a closed face in  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  and

$$F = \overline{\text{co}}(\omega(F_1 \times F_2))$$

(ii) If  $A(F_1)$  or  $A(F_2)$  has the approximation property then  $F_1 \otimes F_2$  is affinely homeomorphic to  $F$ .

Proof: Since  $P_i$  is continuous and affine it is immediate that  $P_i^{-1}(F_i)$  is a closed face of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ , and hence  $F$  is a closed face.

Now let  $p = \omega(k_1, k_2) \in \omega(F_1 \times F_2)$ . Then  $P_i p = k_i \in F_i$ , and hence  $p \in P_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap P_2^{-1}(F_2) = F$ . By the Krein Milman Theorem:  $\overline{\text{co}}(\omega(F_1 \times F_2)) \subseteq F$ .

Conversely, let  $p \in \partial_e F$ . Since  $F$  is a closed face we get

$$p \in \partial_e F = F \cap \partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2) = F \cap \omega(\partial_e K_1 \times \partial_e K_2)$$

Hence  $p = \omega(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $x_i \in \partial_e K_i$ . Then  $P_i p = x_i$  belongs to

$F_i$  by the definition of  $F$ . Hence  $p \in \omega(F_1 \times F_2)$ , and again by the Krein Milman Theorem  $F \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(\omega(F_1 \times F_2))$ , and (i) is proved.

Now we shall prove (ii) under the assumption that  $A(F_1)$  has the approximation property. We shall define a continuous affine map  $T: F_1 \otimes F_2 \rightarrow K_1 \otimes K_2$  by

$$(T\varphi)(b) = \varphi(b|_{F_1 \times F_2}), \quad \varphi \in F_1 \otimes F_2, \quad b \in \text{BA}(K_1 \times K_2)$$

Then  $T(F_1 \otimes F_2)$  is compact and convex in  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ . If  $\varphi \in \partial_e(F_1 \otimes F_2)$  then  $\varphi = \omega_{F_1 \times F_2}(x_1, x_2)$ , where  $x_i \in \partial_e F_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . But then

$$(T\varphi)(b) = b(x_1, x_2) = \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(x_1, x_2)(b), \quad \text{all } b \in \text{BA}(K_1 \times K_2).$$

Hence  $T\varphi = \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(x_1, x_2) \in \overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(F_1 \times F_2)) = F$ . By the Krein Milman Theorem we conclude that  $T(F_1 \otimes F_2) \subseteq F$ .

Conversely, if  $\psi \in \partial_e F$  then as  $F$  is a closed face, we get by Milman's theorem

$$\psi \in \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(F_1 \times F_2) \cap \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(\partial_e K_1 \times \partial_e K_2) = \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(\partial_e F_1 \times \partial_e F_2).$$

If  $\psi = \omega_{K_1 \times K_2}(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $x_i \in \partial_e F_i$ , then  $\omega_{F_1 \times F_2}(x_1, x_2) \in \partial_e(F_1 \otimes F_2)$ , and as above  $\psi = T(\omega_{F_1 \times F_2}(x_1, x_2))$ . By the Krein Milman Theorem we get  $F \subseteq T(F_1 \otimes F_2)$ , and so  $T$  is surjective.

We proceed to show that  $T$  is injective. This is the case if  $\text{BA}(K_1 \times K_2)|_{F_1 \times F_2}$  is dense in  $\text{BA}(F_1 \times F_2)$ . We show that  $A(K_1) \otimes A(K_2)|_{F_1 \times F_2}$  is dense in  $\text{BA}(F_1 \times F_2)$ . Hence let  $c \in \text{BA}(F_1 \times F_2)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $A(F_1)$  has the approximation property, we have that  $A(F_1) \otimes_\epsilon A(F_2) = \text{BA}(F_1 \otimes F_2)$ , so there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A(F_1)$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A(F_2)$  such that

$$\|c - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i\|_{F_1 \times F_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now  $A(K_i)|_{F_i}$  is dense in  $A(F_i)$ , so we can choose  $a'_i \in A(K_1)$ ,  $b'_i \in A(K_2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \otimes b'_i \right\|_{F_1 \times F_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} .$$

Then  $\|c - \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \otimes b'_i\|_{F_1 \times F_2} < \epsilon$ , and the claim follows.

The next step is to prove that  $\overline{\text{co}}(w(F_1 \times F_2))$  is a closed split face of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  provided  $F_i$  is a closed split face of  $K_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and f.ex.  $A(F_1)$  has the approximation property.

We shall remind the reader of the following definitions and facts: If  $F$  is a closed face of a compact convex  $K$ , then the complementary  $\sigma$ -face  $F'$  is the union of all faces disjoint from  $F$ . It is always true that  $K = \text{co}(F \cup F')$ .  $F$  is called a split face if  $F'$  is a face and each point in  $K \setminus (F \cup F')$  can be decomposed uniquely as convex combination of a point in  $F$  and a point in  $F'$ . It follows from a slight modification of the proof of [2; Th. 3.5] that a closed face is a split face if and only if each non-negative u.s.c. affine function on  $F$  admits an u.s.c. affine extension to  $K$ , which is equal to 0 on  $F'$ . This characterization is sometimes inconvenient because of the "non-symmetric" properties of the affine functions involved. Using the above characterization we shall give a new one involving the space  $A_S(K)$  which is the smallest uniformly closed subspace of the bounded functions on  $K$  containing the bounded <sup>affine</sup> u.s.c./functions. This space has been used f.ex. by Krause [8] and Behrends and Wittstock [6] in simplex theory and by Combes [7] in  $C^*$ -algebra theory. We shall state some of the known properties of  $A_S(K)$ .

Lemma 2.

- (i) If  $a \in A_S(K)$  and  $a \geq 0$  on  $\partial_e K$  then  $a \geq 0$  on  $K$ .
- (ii) If  $a \in A_S(K)$  then  $\|a\|_K = \|a\|_{\partial_e K}$ .
- (iii) If  $a \in A_S(K)$  then  $a$  satisfies the barycentric calculus.

Sketch of proof: If  $s$  and  $t$  are u.s.c. affine functions on  $K$  and  $s \leq t$  on  $\partial_e K$  it follows by [5; Lem. 1] that  $s \leq t$  on  $K$ . Hence (i) follows by a limit argument. Now (ii) follows by (i), since on  $\partial_e K$ :  $-\|a\|_{\partial_e K} \leq a \leq \|a\|_{\partial_e K}$ . Hence the same inequality holds on  $K$ , and so  $\|a\|_K \leq \|a\|_{\partial_e K}$ . The converse inequality is trivial. Finally (iii) follows from Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, since the barycentric calculus holds for (differences of) u.s.c. bounded affine functions, cf. [1; Cor. I.1.4].

Proposition 3. Let  $F$  be a closed face of a compact convex set  $K$ . Then  $F$  is a split face if and only if each  $a \in A_S(F)$  (or  $A_S(F)^+$ ,  $A(F)$ ,  $A(F)^+$ ,  $A(F;K)$ ,  $A(F;K)^+$ ) has an extension  $\tilde{a} \in A_S(K)$  such that  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ . If such an extension exists then it is unique.

Proof: The uniqueness statement follows from Lemma 2 (ii), since  $\partial_e K \subseteq F \cup F'$ .

Assume  $F$  is a split face and let  $a \in A_S(F)$ . If  $a$  is u.s.c. affine and non-negative  $a$  has as noted above an u.s.c. affine extension  $\tilde{a}$  with  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ . Hence the result follows if  $a$  is the difference of two non-negative u.s.c. affine functions on  $K$ . In general there are  $b_n, c_n$  u.s.c. affine and non-negative,  $a_n = b_n - c_n$ , such that  $\|a_n - a\|_F \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . We

use Lemma 2 (ii) and the fact that  $\partial_e K \subseteq F \cup F'$  to conclude that

$$\|\tilde{a}_n - \tilde{a}_m\| = \|\tilde{a}_n - \tilde{a}_m\|_{\partial_e K} = \|a_n - a_m\|_{\partial_e F} = \|a_n - a_m\|_F$$

Hence  $\{\tilde{a}_n\}_1^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $A_S(K)$ . Then  $\tilde{a} = \lim \tilde{a}_n \in A_S(K)$  will be an extension of  $a$  with  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ .

Conversely, assume that each  $a \in A(F;K)^+$  has an extension  $\tilde{a} \in A_S(K)$  such that  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ . Let  $x \in K \setminus (F \cup F')$ ,  $x = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$ , where  $y \in F$ ,  $z \in F'$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then  $\lambda = \tilde{a}(x)$ , and since  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined,  $\tilde{\chi}_F$  is affine, and hence  $F' = \hat{\chi}_F^{-1}(0)$  is a face, cf. [2; Prop. 1.1, Cor.1.2]. Now the uniqueness of  $F, F'$  components is easy, since  $A(F;K)^+$  separates points of  $F$ .

The following lemma can be derived from [6; Formula (1), p.263, Satz 2.1.3]. For the readers convenience we shall give a proof.

Lemma 4. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be compact convex sets and  $a \in A_S(K_1)$ ,  $b \in A_S(K_2)$ . Then there is a function  $c \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$ , denoted by  $a \otimes b$ , such that

$$c(w(x_1, x_2)) = a(x_1)b(x_2), \quad \text{all } (x_1, x_2) \in K_1 \times K_2.$$

Proof: First we shall consider the case where  $a$  and  $b$  are non-negative u.s.c. and affine. Then there exist nets  $\{a_\alpha\} \subseteq A(K_1)^+$ ,  $\{b_\beta\} \subseteq A(K_2)^+$  such that  $a_\alpha \searrow a$ ,  $b_\beta \searrow b$ , pointwise. Then  $\{a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta\}$  is a decreasing net in  $BA(K_1 \times K_2)^+$ , and therefore there is an u.s.c. affine function  $c$  on  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  such that

$$c(\varphi) = \inf_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta), \quad \text{all } \varphi \in K_1 \otimes K_2.$$



Especially, for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in K_1 \times K_2$

$$c(\omega(x_1, x_2)) = \inf a_\alpha(x_1) b_\beta(x_2) = a(x_1) b(x_2) .$$

If

$$(*) \quad a = a_1 - a_2 , \quad b = b_1 - b_2$$

where  $a_i$  is u.s.c. non-negative and affine on  $K_1$  ,  $b_i$  is u.s.c. non-negative and affine on  $K_2$  , then  $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow a(x_1) b(x_2)$  is linear combination of four terms of the kind considered in the first part of the proof, and we can choose  $c$  as the corresponding linear combination of elements from  $A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$ .

If  $a \in A_S(K_1)$  ,  $b \in A_S(K_2)$  are arbitrary then we can find  $a'_n$  ,  $b'_n$  of the type  $(*)$  , such that  $\|b - b'_n\|_{K_2} < \frac{1}{n}$  ,  $\|a - a'_n\|_{K_1} < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $c_n \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  such that

$$(**) \quad c_n(\omega(x_1, x_2)) = a'_n(x_1) b'_n(x_2) , \quad \text{all } (x_1, x_2) \in K_1 \times K_2 .$$

Then for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \partial_e K_2$

$$|a(x_1) b(x_2) - c_n(\omega(x_1, x_2))| < \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n} (\|a\|_{K_1} + \|b\|_{K_2}) .$$

From this it follows that  $\{c_n|_{\partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2)}\}$  is Cauchy, and hence  $\{c_n\}$  is Cauchy on  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  by Lemma 2 (ii). Let  $c = \lim c_n \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  . Then it is obvious from  $(**)$  that  $c$  satisfies the requirement.

Theorem 5. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be compact convex sets, and  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  closed faces of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively. Let  $F$  be the face  $\overline{c\omega}(F_1 \times F_2)$  in  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  . Then the following holds

- (i) If  $F$  is a split face of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  then  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are split faces of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  .
- (ii) If either  $A(F_1)$  or  $A(F_2)$  has the approximation property,

and  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are split faces of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , then  $F$  is a split face of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ .

Proof: To prove (i) we assume that  $F$  is a split face. As noted before  $\partial_e F = \omega(\partial_e F_1 \times \partial_e F_2)$ . Let  $a \in A(K_1)$  such that  $a \geq 0$  on  $F_1$ , i.e.  $a|_{F_1} \in A(F_1; K_1)^+$ . By Proposition 3 it will suffice to show that  $(a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$  is affine on  $K_1$ . We know that  $((a \otimes 1) \cdot \chi_F)^\wedge$  is u.s.c. and affine on  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ , since  $a \otimes 1$  is non-negative on  $\omega(F_1 \times F_2)$  and hence on  $F$ . Now we fix  $x_2 \in \partial_e F_2$ . Then the function  $g(x_2): x \rightarrow ((a \otimes 1) \cdot \chi_F)^\wedge(\omega(x, x_2))$  is u.s.c. and affine on  $K_1$ . On  $F_1$   $g(x_2)$  agrees with  $a$ , and since  $\omega(\partial_e F_1' \times \partial_e F_2) \subseteq F'$ , we have that  $g(x_2) = 0$  on  $\partial_e F_1'$ .

Since  $g(x_2)$  and  $(a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$  agree on  $\partial_e K_1$ , and  $g(x_2)$  is u.s.c. affine, while  $(a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$  is u.s.c. concave it follows from Bauers principle [5; Lem.1] that  $g(x_2) \leq (a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$ . Moreover  $g(x_2) \geq a \cdot \chi_{F_1}$ , and since  $(a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$  is the smallest u.s.c. concave majorant of  $a \cdot \chi_{F_1}$ , we have  $g(x_2) \geq (a \cdot \chi_{F_1})^\wedge$ , and (i) follows.

To prove (ii) we shall assume that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are split faces, and that  $A(F_1)$  has the approximation property. By Proposition 3 we have to show that if  $a \in A(F)^+$  then  $a$  admits an extension  $\tilde{a} \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  such that  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ . Now  $a \circ (\omega_{K_1 \times K_2} |_{F_1 \times F_2})$  belongs to  $BA(F_1 \times F_2) = A(F_1) \otimes_\epsilon A(F_2)$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we can choose  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A(F_1)$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A(F_2)$  such that

$$\|a \circ \omega_{K_1 \times K_2} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i\|_{F_1 \times F_2} < \epsilon.$$

By Proposition 3 we can choose  $\tilde{a}_i \in A_S(K_1)$ ,  $\tilde{b}_i \in A_S(K_2)$  such that  $\tilde{a}_i = a_i$  on  $F_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_i = 0$  on  $F_1'$ , while  $\tilde{b}_i = b_i$

on  $F_2$  and  $\tilde{b}_i = 0$  on  $F'_2$ .

By Lemma 4  $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{a}_i \otimes \tilde{b}_i \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  and on  $\omega(F_1 \times F_2)$  it equals  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$ , while  $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{a}_i \otimes \tilde{b}_i = 0$  on  $\partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2) \setminus \partial_e F$ .

As  $A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  is complete in  $\|\cdot\|_{\partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2)}$  and the norm of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{a}_i \otimes \tilde{b}_i$  is obtained at  $\omega(F_1 \times F_2)$ , this argument leads to the existence of  $\tilde{a} \in A_S(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  such that  $\tilde{a} = a$  on  $\omega(F_1 \times F_2)$ , and  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $\partial_e F' = \partial_e(K_1 \otimes K_2) \setminus F$ . It remains to show that  $\tilde{a} = a$  on  $F$  and  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ .

Now let  $x \in F$  and represent  $x$  by a probability measure on  $\omega(F_1 \times F_2)$ . Since  $\tilde{a}$  satisfies the barycentric calculus we get

$$\tilde{a}(x) = \int_{K_1 \otimes K_2} \tilde{a} d\mu = \int_{\omega(F_1 \times F_2)} \tilde{a} d\mu = \int_F a d\mu = a(x)$$

and so  $\tilde{a} = a$  on  $F$ .

To show that  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$  we let  $b \in A(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  with  $b > 0$  on  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  and  $b > a$  on  $F$ . Then  $b \geq \tilde{a}$  on  $\partial_e(K \otimes K_2)$ , and by Lemma 2 (i),  $b \geq \tilde{a}$  on  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ . For  $\rho \in K_1 \otimes K_2$  we have

$$(a \cdot \chi_F)^\wedge(\rho) = \inf\{b(\rho) \mid b \in A(K_1 \otimes K_2), b > a \cdot \chi_F\} \geq \tilde{a}(\rho) \geq 0.$$

Since  $(a \cdot \chi_F)^\wedge = 0$  on  $F'$ , we get  $\tilde{a} = 0$  on  $F'$ , and the proof is complete.

Remark: It is easy to see from Lemma 4 that the embedding of the product of two parallel faces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in the sense of [11] gives rise to a parallel face  $F$  without the assumption of the presence of the approximation property in  $A(F_1)$ . In fact,  $\chi_F^\wedge = \chi_{F_1}^\wedge \otimes \chi_{F_2}^\wedge$  is affine.

Theorem 6. Let  $F$  be a closed split face of a compact convex set  $K$ . Let  $B$  be a real Banach space having the approximation property. Let  $p$  be a concave l.s.c. strictly positive real function on  $K$ . Let  $a: F \rightarrow B$  be an affine continuous map such that

$$\|a(k)\| \leq p(k), \quad \text{all } k \in F.$$

Then  $a$  has an extension to a continuous affine map  $\tilde{a}: K \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\|\tilde{a}(k)\| \leq p(k), \quad \text{all } k \in K.$$

Proof: Let  $C$  be the unit ball of  $B^*$  with  $w^*$ -topology.  $B \times \mathbb{R}$  is normed by  $\|(x,r)\| = \|x\| + |r|$ . It was observed in [10] that  $(x,r) \rightarrow (\cdot)(x) + r$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $B \times \mathbb{R}$  onto  $A(C)$ . Hence if  $B$  has the approximation property then  $A(C)$  has.

We define a biaffine continuous function  $b$  on  $F \times C$  by

$$b(x,x^*) = x^*(a(x)), \quad \text{all } x \in F, \quad x^* \in C$$

By Proposition 1 (ii) there is an affine homeomorphism between  $F \otimes C$  and  $\overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K \times C}(F \times C))$  defined by

$$T(\rho)(d) = \rho(d|_{F \times C}) \quad \text{for } d \in \text{BA}(K \times C).$$

Since  $b$  is naturally a continuous affine function on  $F \otimes C$  there is a continuous affine function  $b_1$  on  $\overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K \times C}(F \times C))$  such that

$$b_1(T \omega_{F \times C}(x,x^*)) = x^*(a(x)), \quad \text{all } (x,x^*) \in F \times C.$$

Moreover  $\rho \rightarrow p(P_1(\rho))$  is concave, strictly positive and l.s.c. on  $K \otimes C$ . For  $\rho \in \partial_e(\overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K \times C}(F \times C))) = \omega_{K \times C}(\partial_e F \times \partial_e C)$  we have  $\rho = \omega_{K \times C}(x,x^*)$  with  $(x,x^*) \in \partial_e F \times \partial_e C$  and hence

$$|b_1(\rho)| = |x^*(a(x))| \leq \|a(x)\| \leq p(x) = p(P_1(\rho)) .$$

Since  $\rho \rightarrow |b_1(\rho)|$  is convex and continuous and  $\rho \rightarrow p(P_1(\rho))$  is concave and l.s.c., it follows from Bauers principle [5; Lem.1] that  $|b_1| \leq p \circ P_1$  on  $\overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K \times C}(\mathbb{F} \times C))$  .

Now it follows from Theorem 5 that  $\overline{\text{co}}(\omega_{K \times C}(\mathbb{F} \times C))$  is a split face of  $K \otimes C$  . By [1; Th.II.6.12] and [3; Th.2.2 and Th.4.5] it follows that there is a function  $c \in A(K \otimes C)$  such that  $c$  extends  $b_1$  and

$$|c(\rho)| \leq p(P_1(\rho)) , \quad \text{all } \rho \in K \otimes C .$$

(Actually, it follows from [1; Cor.I.5.2] that a concave l.s.c. function on a compact convex set is  $A(K)$ -superharmonic in the sense of [3]. Moreover it should be remarked that the theorems 2.2 and 4.5 of [3] are stated for complex spaces, but the proofs hold almost unchanged for the real case.)

Now we can define a continuous affine map  $c_1 : K \rightarrow A(C)$  by

$$c_1(k)(\cdot) = c(\omega(k, \cdot))$$

Then for  $k \in K$

$$\|c_1(k)\| = \sup_{x^* \in C} \|c(\omega(k, x^*))\| \leq \sup p(P_1(\omega(k, x^*))) = p(k)$$

By composing the isometry  $S$  between  $A(C)$  and  $B \times \mathbb{R}$  with the canonical projection  $Q$  from  $B \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $B$  , which has norm 1, we get an affine continuous map  $\tilde{a}(= Q \circ S \circ c_1)$  of  $K$  into  $B$  such that

$$\|\tilde{a}(k)\| = \|(Q \circ S \circ c_1)(k)\| \leq \|c_1(k)\| \leq p(k)$$

for all  $k \in K$  . Moreover, for  $k \in F$  ,  $x^* \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(\tilde{a}(k)) &= x^*((Q \circ S \circ c_1)(k)) = c_1(k)(x^*) \\ &= c(\omega(k, x^*)) = b_1(\omega(k, x^*)) = x^*(a(k)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $k \in F$ :  $\tilde{a}(k) = a(k)$  .

Q.E.D.

Corollary. Let  $F$  be a closed split face of a compact convex set  $K$  . Let  $B$  be a real Banach space having the approximation property. Let  $a : F \rightarrow B$  be a continuous affine map. Then  $a$  admits an extension to a continuous affine function  $\tilde{a} : K \rightarrow B$  such that  $\max_{k \in F} \|a(k)\| = \max_{k \in K} \|\tilde{a}(k)\|$  .

Remark: Conclusions similar to those of Theorem 6 and the Corollary hold with no assumptions on  $B$  , if instead we know that  $A(F)$  has the approximation property. This is f.ex. the case, if  $K$  is a simplex.

References

- [1] E.M. Alfsen, Compact convex sets and boundary integrals,  
Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Springer Verlag, Germany, 1971.
- [2] E.M. Alfsen and T.B. Andersen, Split faces of compact convex  
sets, Proc. London Math. Soc. 21 (1970), 415-442.
- [3] E.M. Alfsen and B. Hirsberg, On dominated extensions in linear  
subspaces of  $C_0(X)$ , (to appear in Pacific Journal of Math.)
- [4] T.B. Andersen, On dominated extension of continuous affine  
functions on split faces, (to appear in Math. Scand.)
- [5] H. Bauer, Kennzeichnung kompakter Simplexe mit abgeschloss-  
ener Extrempunktmenge, Archiv der Mathematik 14 (1963),  
415-421.
- [6] E. Behrends and G. Wittstock, Tensorprodukte kompakter kon-  
vexer Mengen, Inventiones Math. 10 (1970), 251-266.
- [7] F. Combes, Quelques propriétés des  $C^*$ -algèbres, Bull.Sc.Math.  
94 (1970), 165-192.
- [8] U. Krause, Der Satz von Choquet als ein abstrakter Spektral-  
satz und vice versa, Math. Ann. 184 (1970), 275-296.
- [9] A. Lazar, Affine products of simplexes, Math.Scand. 22 (1968),  
165-175.
- [10] I. Namioka and R.R. Phelps, Tensor products of compact convex  
sets, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 469-480.
- [11] M. Rogalski, Topologies faciales dans les convexes compacts,  
calcul fonctionnel et decomposition spectrale dans le  
centre d'un espace  $A(X)$ , Seminaire Choquet 1969-70, No.4.
- [12] Z. Semadeni, Categorical methods in convexity, Proc. Colloq.  
on Convexity, Copenhagen 1965, 281-307.

University of Oslo  
Oslo, Norway

and

University of Århus  
Århus, Denmark