This is an author generated postprint of the article:

von Soest, T., & Wichstrøm, L. (2014). Secular trends in eating problems among Norwegian adolescents from 1992 to 2010. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 47, 448-457. doi:10.1002/eat.22271

Copyright 2014 Wiley.

The final publication is available on <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.22271">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.22271</a>.

Tilmann von Soest, PhD

University of Oslo, Department of Psychology, Oslo, Norway

and

Norwegian Social Research, Oslo, Norway

Lars Wichstrøm, PhD

Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Psychology, Trondheim,

Norway

SECULAR TRENDS IN EATING PROBLEMS

#### Abstract

**Objective:** This study examines secular trends in eating problems among adolescents between 1992 and 2010. The study aims further to investigate whether such trends can be accounted for by secular changes in putative risk factors. Method: Three nationwide surveys of Norwegian senior high-school students were conducted in 1992, 2002, and 2010 (response rates 97.0, 91.0, and 83.2 %). At each time point, approximately 3,000 adolescents participated. Eating problems were assessed by means of the Dieting and the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation subscales of the Eating Attitude Test-12. Moreover, a variety of potential risk factors that might account for time trends in such problems were measured. **Results:** Dieting scores increased almost linearly for both genders during the research period. No differences over time in Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores were seen among boys, whereas these symptoms peaked in 2002 for girls with considerably lower levels in both 1992 and 2010. The increase in Dieting from 1992 to 2010 for both genders could be attributed in part to increasing body mass index levels and, to a lesser degree, to depressive symptoms among females. The girls' time trend in Bulimia and Food Preoccupation was to some extent related to changes in appearance satisfaction, alcohol intoxication, and global self-worth. **Discussion:** This study is one of few to statistically examine how secular trends in eating problems are related to changes in putative risk factors. The study does not however provide conclusive information on the causal direction between putative risk factors and eating problems.

Secular trends in eating problems among Norwegian adolescents from 1992 to 2010

Knowledge about secular trends in eating disorders and sub-clinical forms of eating problems is necessary in order to recognize any consequent need for change in health care services. Moreover, insight into potential forces behind such changes is important for implementation of preventive efforts. The present study was designed to provide information on time trends in eating problems and secular trends of putative risk factors by using survey data measuring aspects of excessive dieting and bulimic symptoms among Norwegian adolescents collected in 1992, 2002 and 2010.

Most studies on eating disorder time trends have been conducted using data based on diagnoses in primary care settings.<sup>1</sup> Such studies have shown an increased incidence in anorexia nervosa among women from the 1950s to the 1980s in several Western countries,<sup>2, 3</sup> with more stable figures reported during the 1980s and 1990s in the UK,<sup>4</sup> the Netherlands,<sup>2, 5</sup> and Switzerland.<sup>3</sup> Research on bulimia nervosa based on data from general practitioners and medical records have yielded more mixed findings. In one study from the Netherlands, no significant change in the incidence of bulimia nervosa was observed between the 1980s and 1990s,<sup>5</sup> whereas a UK study showed an increase in primary care incidence of bulimia nervosa in/among women from 1988 to 1996, with a subsequent decrease toward the year 2000.<sup>4</sup>

However, examining time trends by means of primary care data is a vulnerable undertaking due to shifting tendencies in help-seeking, changes in symptom recognition, differing diagnostic practices among clinicians, as well as shifting availability of mental health services.<sup>1, 6</sup> Repeated population-based studies offer an alternative approach less susceptible to such biases, though relatively few such studies have been conducted to date. One exception is a US survey showing that the point prevalence of bulimic symptoms decreased from 1982 to 1992 among both

male and female university students, while less change in symptoms was seen between 1992 and 2002.<sup>6</sup> The results were supported by another US study where the prevalence of probable cases of bulimia nervosa remained fairly stable from 1990 to 2004 among undergraduate college women.<sup>7</sup> The generalizability of these results is nonetheless somewhat limited as both studies examined only college students. A study among junior and senior high school students from Germany and Greece, meanwhile, indicated a decrease in bulimic behavior from 1980 to 1998.<sup>8</sup> Finally, a population-based survey study of Australian adults reported an increased prevalence of binge-eating and purging from 1995 to 2005<sup>9</sup> with similar findings obtained in a replication study examining time trends between 1998 and 2008.<sup>10</sup> As such, repeated questionnaire studies provide a somewhat mixed picture of time trends in bulimic symptoms, with stable figures from the 1980s onwards in some studies and increased estimated frequencies in others. Such varied results may be related to differences in study design, measures used, and populations assessed.

Even less information is available on time trends in excessive dieting. One of few studies providing such information reported an increasing prevalence of such dieting among a population-based sample of Australian adults between 1995 and 2008.<sup>9, 10</sup> Since few population-based studies have thus far been conducted, more research using just such a design is warranted to provide information on time trends in bulimic symptoms as well as other types of eating problems such as excessive dieting.

When time trends are indeed identified, providing information about potential reasons for such trends becomes the next important step. One possible approach is to examine whether secular changes in previously identified or suggested risk factors show concomitant changes with trends found in eating problems. One such study was conducted by Johnson and colleagues<sup>11</sup> among students from a US high school, showing that a reduction in bulimic and dieting behavior between 1981 and 1986 coincided with a decrease in drive for thinness and increasingly positive

attitudes toward own weight, whereas a measure of appearance satisfaction remained stable. Changes in drive for thinness and attitudes toward own weight may thus be related to secular trends in bulimic symptoms and dieting behaviors. However, there are to date few other studies attempting to provide potential explanations for secular trends.<sup>12, 13</sup>

In the present study we will focus on a variety of psychological and social factors that have been supported as risk factors for eating disorders and sub-threshold eating problems, and which may function as potential explanatory time trend variables. Factors related to evaluation of own appearance and self-worth are considered, as research has indicated that appearance dissatisfaction<sup>12, 14</sup> and poor self-esteem and negative self-evaluation<sup>13, 15</sup> may influence disordered eating. Moreover, depressive symptoms is included as a potential explanatory variable, as some longitudinal research has shown such problems to predict disordered eating,<sup>16</sup>, <sup>17</sup> in particular, bulimic symptoms.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, while body mass may not appear to be a risk factor for eating pathology, research has shown that it can be considered a risk factor for dieting behavior.<sup>12, 17</sup> Factors related to drug use are as well examined as a potential time trend explanation, since substance abuse has also been suggested to affect disordered eating.<sup>14</sup> Parental relationship variables are addressed, as some studies have shown that parental attitudes toward the child may be related to disordered eating.<sup>19, 20</sup> Finally, changes in family structure, such as parental divorce and single parenthood may constitute a risk factor for disordered eating and weight control behaviors.<sup>21</sup> However, the risk factor status of most variables is far from conclusive; for instance, several studies show disordered eating to prospectively predict depressive symptoms, rather than vice versa<sup>22, 23</sup>. Likewise, research on how family factors and substance use are causally related to eating problems remains inconclusive.<sup>12, 24</sup>

# Aims of the Study

In the present inquiry we examine secular trends in aspects of excessive dieting and bulimic symptoms among Norwegian adolescents using data from three population-based samples collected in 1992, 2002, and 2010. Should changes be seen during the 18 years investigated, we will subsequently examine whether putative risk factors change concomitantly, providing if so some indication that they could account for time trends in eating problems. Since few studies examining the issue have been conducted, the present study is of an exploratory nature, aiming to investigate a variety of psychological and social factors possibly related to secular changes in eating problems. All analyses are conducted separately for boys and girls, since disordered eating is more prevalent among females and predictors of disordered eating may differ in strength between genders.<sup>25</sup>

### **METHODS**

### Participants and Procedure

Students from 28 senior high-schools comprised the study sample in 1992. Only those students attending the first two years of senior high school, aged 16 and 17, were included in the study. Cluster-sampling was applied with the school as the unit. Every school in the country was included in the register from which schools that participated were selected. The sample was stratified according to geographic region and school size, which in Norway is closely related to degree of urbanization. Each school's sampling probability was proportional to the number of students enrolled at the school, thereby ensuring that the probability of being selected to participate in the study was equal for all students in Norway. In 2002, the study was replicated and new senior high-schools were selected according to the same procedures as in 1992. Because of a general tendency toward declining response rates, the third data collection in 2010 was conducted at the same schools as in 2002, on the assumption that teachers would be more willing to participate due to our prior contact with their school in the previous data collection round. Four schools declined to participate; one in

1992, one in 2002, and two in 2010. These were replaced by back-up schools with similar geographic location and size.

The students completed the questionnaires in class and those not present at the time of data collection were asked to complete the survey at a later occasion. In 1992, 2,994 students participated, whereas 3,438 and 2,813 students partook in 2002 and 2010, respectively. The response rate was 97.0% in 1992, 91.0% in 2002, and 83.2% in 2010.

With 48.8 % of respondents being female, no significant gender differences between the three samples were seen ( $\chi 2[2] = 1.46$ , p = .48). Of all participants, 13.3 % reported that at least one parent was unemployed or receiving occupational disability pension, with no differences detected between time points ( $\chi 2[2]=5.51$ , p = 0.06). However, small albeit significant age differences between the three samples were found (mean age 1992 = 16.52, SD = .50; mean age 2002 = 16.59, SD = .49; mean age 2010 = 16.55, SD = .50; F = 16.14, p < .01). Likewise, the percentage of adolescents who reported having been born in another country than Norway differed significantly from time point to time point (1992: 3.8 %; 2002: 5.1 %; 2010: 6.4 %;  $\chi 2[2]=21.57$  p <0.01). As a result, age and country of birth (Norway versus another country) were included as covariates in all analyses.

Every student gave informed consent according to the standards prescribed by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics endorsed the surveys. <u>Measures</u>

Eating problems. Eating problems were measured by two factors of the 12-item version of the Eating Attitude Test (EAT) developed by Lavik and colleagues.<sup>26</sup> The Dieting factor is comprised of four items, indicating an exaggerated concern with weight combined with dieting behavior and physical exercise to burn calories (i.e., "Preoccupied with the desire to be thinner", "Engage in dieting behaviour", "Feel uncomfortable after eating sweets", "Exercise to burn up calories").

The Bulimia and Food Preoccupation factor consisted of another four items, which address binge-eating, losing control of food intake, and compensatory behavior (i.e., "Vomit after meals", "Have gone on eating binges, unable to stop", "Give too much time and thought to food", "Food controls my life"). A 4-point response scale was employed, with the response alternatives never, seldom, often, and always. As in the original scale, response alternatives were coded 0, 0, 1, and 2, respectively, to ensure a certain severity to reported symptoms. Sum scores for both factors were computed, ranging from 0 to 8. In 1992, the third and final factor of the EAT, namely Oral Control – Anorexia, was included, but not assessed in 2002 or 2010 due to its comparatively low internal consistency.<sup>27</sup> In the present study, internal consistency ( $\alpha$  values) of the four ordinal Dieting items<sup>28</sup> was .87 in 1992, .90 in 2002 and .88 in 2010 for girls. Boys' values were .83, .92, and .88, respectively. For the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation factor, internal consistency was .76, .84, and .83 for girls and .69, .88, and .90 for boys. Studies of the EAT-12 have suggested the reliability and factorial validity to be adequate<sup>26, 29</sup>, and the construct validity was supported by its correlation with other eating problem measures.<sup>27</sup>

Potential explanatory variables. A revised version of the Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents (SPPA),<sup>30</sup> with the subscales Physical Appearance and Global Self-Worth, was included. Both subscales showed adequate internal consistency in the present study across gender and time points (physical appearance: .87, .90, .90 for girls and .85, .87, .87 for boys; global selfworth: .77, .86, .87 for girls and .75, .82, .83 for boys in 1992, 2002, and 2010, respectively). Previous studies support the construct validity and factorial validity of the revised version of the SPPA.<sup>30</sup> Kandel and Davies' Depressive Mood Inventory<sup>31</sup> was used as a measure of depressive symptoms, with internal consistency values of .79, .82, and .87 for girls and .77, .82, and .85 for boys in 1992, 2002, and 2010, respectively. A recent publication using the same data set showed the factor structure of the instrument to be similar across genders and all three time points.<sup>32</sup> The instrument has shown to correlate highly with other measures of depressive symptoms and negative affect.<sup>32</sup> Body Mass Index (BMI; kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was based on self-reports of weight and height, which have previously been found to correlate highly among adolescents with measured values.<sup>33</sup>

Alcohol intoxication was measured by asking how often during the preceding 12 months the respondents had "drunk so much that [they] felt clearly intoxicated" on a 6-point scale, ranging from "1 – never" to "6 – more than 50 times". The students were also asked if they had ever used cannabis, and whether or not they currently smoked cigarettes on a daily basis. Self-report measures of adolescent substance use have been shown to be reliable and recommended for use in most epidemiologic research settings.<sup>34</sup>

Relationship to parents was measured by items from the Parental Bonding Instrument.<sup>35</sup> Due to space limitations, only the six items with the most favorable psychometric properties of the original 25 were selected for inclusion in the study. Three items were taken from the Care subscale, while another three where taken from the Overprotection subscale. High scores on the Care subscale indicate a parent–child relationship based on emotional warmth, closeness, and empathy, whereas high scores on the Overprotection subscale indicate prevention of independent behavior, as well as control and parental intrusion.<sup>35</sup> Internal consistency of the Care subscale was .73, .71, and .71 for girls and .58, .63, and .57 for boys in 1992, 2002, and 2010, respectively. The corresponding statistics for the Overprotection subscale were .65, .63, and .64 for girls and .53, .60, and .56 for boys. Whether participants were living with both biological parents was as well determined.

<u>Covariates.</u> Information about respondents' age was obtained at all time points. Country of birth was assessed by asking the respondents whether they were born in Norway or not (yes/no). Finally, as a proxy of low socio-economic status, a dummy variable (yes/no) was constructed

indicating whether at least one of the respondent's parents was unemployed or receiving occupational disability benefits.

## **Statistics**

In order to identify evidence of time trends, the extent to which Dieting scores and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores differed significantly between time points was examined by means of linear regression analyses. In these analyses, time period was dummy-coded and included as independent variable, with age and country of birth entered as covariate. The "repeated" contrast coding schedule<sup>36</sup> was employed, providing information about changes in mean level from one time point to the next (1992 to 2002 and 2002 to 2010). As in all linear regression analyses, the effect size of the period effect was measured by Cohen's d, indicating changes in the mean level of Dieting and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores between time points, measured in standard deviation.

In order to serve as a factor that can account for identified time trends, explanatory variables need to fulfil three criteria: 1) evidence of time trends corresponding to those trends identified in Dieting/Bulimia and Food Preoccupation, 2) a correlation with Dieting/Bulimia and Food Preoccupation, and 3) a reduction in or disappearance of time trends for Dieting/Bulimia and Food Preoccupation when adjusted for the explanatory variable (see<sup>32, 37</sup> for more information about the rationale for such analyses). A series of linear regression analyses was therefore run first, adjusted for age and country of birth, to examine time trends of the continuous potential risk factors. Logistic regression analyses were used to investigate time trends in dichotomous risk factors. For both types of analyses, the "repeated" contrast scheme was again employed. Second, all potential explanatory factors were correlated with the Dieting and the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation subscales. Third, potential explanatory factors were entered one by one into multiple linear regression analyses along with dummy variables representing the time

points to examine whether their inclusion contributed to a time trend reduction in Dieting/Bulimia and Food Preoccupation.

Analyses showed the Dieting and the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores to be nonnormally distributed (Dieting: skewness=1.66, kurtosis=2.22; Bulimia and Food Preoccupation: skewness=2.95, kurtosis=10.74, all p<.01). As a result, standard errors and confidence intervals for all linear regression analyses were estimated by bootstrap procedures based on 5,000 bootstrap samples, thereby not assuming an underlying normal distribution.<sup>38</sup> All analyses were conducted for boys and girls separately, with age and country of birth included as covariates.

#### RESULTS

## Secular Changes in Excessive Dieting and Bulimic Symptoms

Table 1 displays mean scores of the Dieting and the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation subscales at all three time points, adjusted for age and country of birth. The regression analyses showed that Dieting increased significantly from 1992 to 2002, and again from 2002 to 2010 for both girls and boys. Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores peaked in 2002 for girls, with levels in turn significantly lower in both 1992 and 2010. In contrast, no significant differences were found between time points for boys. The effect size of the significant changes in Dieting and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation ranged from a Cohen's d of .10 to .19, indicating small time effects from one time point to the next.

#### TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

## Identification of Potential Risk Factors Associated with Secular Trends

Time trends for some of the putative risk factors have already been published in a previous paper using the same data set.<sup>32</sup> As depicted in Table 1, satisfaction with own physical appearance remained stable between 1992 and 2002 and increased in 2010 among boys, whereas girls had similar scores in 1992 and 2010, but lower physical appearance evaluation levels in

2002. BMI increased for both boys and girls from 1992 to 2010, although the increase for girls from 2002 to 2010 was not significant. Global self-worth remained stable for girls between 1992 and 2002 and improved thereafter, while it improved gradually during the 18-year period for boys. As displayed in Table 2, depressive symptoms increased between 1992 and 2002 for both boys and girls, whereas no significant change was observed between 2002 and 2010. Moreover, alcohol intoxication showed a marked increase between 1992 and 2002 with an equally strong decrease from 2002 to 2010 for both genders (Table 1). A similar trend was observed for cannabis use, as seen in Table 2. For both genders, daily smoking rates remained rather constant between 1992 and 2002, but were greatly reduced in 2010 (Table 2). Table 1 also shows that both perceived parental care and overprotection increased for girls and boys between 1992 and 2002, while no changes were found between 2002 and 2010. Finally, the frequency of adolescents not living with both of their biological parents increased gradually over the 18-year period (Table 2). In summary, by virtue of their increases, most notably BMI, depressive symptoms, and not living with both biological parents showed time trends in accordance with those seen in the Dieting subscale, while trends in physical appearance, alcohol intoxication and cannabis use among girls were similar to time trends in Bulimia and Food Preoccupation.

## TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

In step 2, all potential explanatory factors were correlated with the two measures of eating problems. The results displayed in Table 3 show physical appearance and global self-worth to be particularly strongly correlated to both types of eating problems among girls, with considerably weaker associations for boys. Depressive symptoms and alcohol intoxication showed as well a stronger relationship with eating problems in girls than boys. BMI showed a relatively high correlation with the Dieting subscale for both genders, while the correlation with Bulimia and

Food Preoccupation was lower yet still significant. The relationship to both symptom sets was rather weak for the other potential explanatory variables included in the study.

## TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

In a third step, variables were entered in multiple linear regression analyses to identify potential explanatory factors of Dieting and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation. For this purpose, each potential explanatory variable was included one by one with time point, age, and country of birth as independent variables. The results for the Dieting subscale among girls, as displayed in Table 4, show that changes in Dieting were reduced both from 1992 to 2002 and from 2002 to 2010 when BMI was adjusted for, as indicated by the reduced Cohen's d when compared to the Baseline Model. More specifically, the time period effect was reduced to insignificance from 1992 to 2002, whereas 20% of the time effect was accounted for between 2002 and 2010. Somewhat of a decrease in the effect of time was found for depressive symptoms during both timeframes, with each of the time intervals demonstrating a 10% reduction. The inclusion of other variables did not lead to a reduction of Cohen's d at either time interval.

Concerning girls' Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores, the inclusion of physical appearance, global self-worth, and alcohol intoxication was related to a reduction of the period effect for both timeframes, as indicated by reduced Cohen's d of between 5 and 27%. The inclusion of other variables did not lead to a reduction of the time effect at either time interval.

## TABLE 4 AND 5 ABOUT HERE

Table 5 depicts analyses of potential risk factors accounting for time trends in Dieting scores for boys. As with girls' dieting, the inclusion of BMI among boys showed a reduction of the period effect for both time intervals, whereas no other variables showed similar reductions.

#### DISCUSSION

Three nationwide representative surveys of Norwegian senior high-school students were conducted over an 18-year time span, showing that Dieting increased almost linearly between 1992 and 2010 for both genders. Girls' Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores peaked in 2002, with considerably lower levels in both 1992 and 2010. No differences in such scores over time were found for boys. To our knowledge, the present study is one of very few in its approach: examining whether time trends in eating problems can be statistically accounted for by time trends in previously identified and suggested risk factors. The increase in Dieting scores from 1992 to 2010 among both girls and boys could be statistically attributed in part to increasing levels of BMI during the same time span, and to a lesser degree to depressive symptoms among females. Time trends in alcohol intoxication, evaluation of own physical appearance, and global self-worth showed to be concomitant with secular trends in girls' Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores.

Identified time trends in Dieting scores are in accordance with results from Australian studies showing increases in excessive dieting from 1995 to 2008,<sup>9, 10</sup> whereas few publications from other countries have documented dieting behavior time trends. The importance of BMI in partially accounting for dieting trends concurs with previous research showing BMI to be a risk factor for dieting<sup>12, 17</sup> but not for other eating problems, such as bulimic symptoms.<sup>12</sup> The specific association between BMI and Dieting scores is also indicated in the present study insofar as the correlation between BMI and Dieting was shown to be substantially stronger than that between BMI and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation.

The peak of Bulimia and Food Preoccupation, for its part, seen among girls in 2002 has not been identified in other studies. However, few studies to date have actually examined time trends in bulimic symptoms after the year 2000, with mixed results at best.<sup>6-10</sup> The finding that

appearance evaluation accounted for some of the time trends in Bulimia and Food Preoccupation is in accordance with research showing appearance evaluation to be a risk factor of disordered eating.<sup>12, 14</sup> Alcohol intoxication showed time trends in accordance with trends in bulimic symptoms in girls; the parallel trends in these phenomena support research showing comorbidity between alcohol use and bulimic behaviors.<sup>24</sup>

It is further noteworthy that several potential explanatory variables showed time trends not in accordance with those for Dieting. Satisfaction with physical appearance and global self-worth in particular increased from 2002 to 2010, whereas a reverse trend for these variables would have been expected were they explanatory of the Dieting time trend. Adjustments for these factors were as such accompanied by increasing estimates of the Dieting period effects, particularly for girls. These results can be interpreted as a suppressor effect, indicating that if there had not been certain positive time trends in adolescents' psychological well-being between 2002 and 2010, such as increased satisfaction with physical appearance and increased global self-worth, the increase in the Dieting score between 2002 and 2010 would have been even greater.

Several of the putative risk factors showed considerable change in prevalence over time. The strong decline from 2002 to 2010 in the percentage of adolescents who smoke may be most probably accounted for by the implementation of new tobacco legislation in Norway in 2004 prohibiting smoking in bars and restaurants, at the same time as a number of anti-smoking campaigns were launched (see<sup>32</sup>). Moreover, in accordance with the present study's findings, researchers in several European countries including Norway revealed a strong increase in alcohol and illicit drug consumption among young people in the 1990s, a development that was coined "a new culture of intoxication".<sup>39</sup> A gradual decline in drug use after about the year 2000 has as well been observed in several European countries, Norway included.<sup>39</sup>

#### **Limitations**

The results at hand must be evaluated in the context of several study limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the data does not provide information on the causal order between putative risk factors and Dieting and Bulimia and Food Preoccupation. Secular changes in putative risk factors may well, then, be a result of changes in eating behavior rather than the cause. Though several studies have shown BMI, for its part, to be a dieting risk factor,<sup>12, 17</sup> others indicate that weight reduction strategies can also lead to increased body weight;<sup>40</sup> the causal direction of the association between dieting and BMI is as such not yet clearly delineated. Likewise, even if one recent review article notes that research has consistently supported substance use as a risk factor for eating problems,<sup>14</sup> reverse causal directions and underlying confounding factors may also at least partially explain the correlation between substance use and bulimic symptoms.<sup>24</sup> Even less insight has been provided into the causal status of other potential explanatory factors, such as family context.<sup>12</sup>

Second, the study is of an exploratory nature, and it would be well advised for further research to examine more specific hypotheses about potential explanations of time trends. Moreover, although a wide range of potential explanations for the period effect were included in the study, several important potential explanatory factors were not, as the data collections in 1992 and 2002 were not originally designed to provide information for the present research question. Thus, when overall changes in eating problems were not fully accounted for, the inclusion of additional risk factors might have altered this. In particular, important risk factors such as perfectionism, drive for thinness, and thin-ideal internalization<sup>12, 14</sup> were not included in this study.

Third, dieting is a prevalent phenomenon among 16 to 17 year-old adolescents, though the onset of bulimia nervosa is typically some years later. It remains therefore unclear whether the

study results for the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation subscale can be generalized to other age groups.

Fourth, data collection procedures differed at the three time points; in 2010, the same schools were asked to participate as in 2002, whereas the schools in 1992 and 2002 were selected independently from each other. However, all three samples differed only slightly in socio-demographics, indicating that they are similarly representative of the Norwegian adolescent population. The exception is that an increasing percentage of adolescents were born in other countries than Norway, which may be expected due to the country's rising immigration rates over the past decades.

Finally, limitations have to be noted concerning the eating problem measures employed. Not all aspects of disordered eating were covered; symptoms of anorexia, for instance, were not assessed. Moreover, although the validity of the EAT and its factors has been examined in several studies (see for instance<sup>26, 27, 29</sup>), the use of other more comprehensive instruments assessing in more detail specific symptoms of eating disorders might have proven advantageous. Additionally, several of the included putative risk factor measures were assessed by only one question. The instrument measuring parental care and overprotection bore low internal consistency and was based on self-report only, which is a serious limitation of the present study as regards interpretability of the parental relationship results. The reliability and validity of this measure could conceivably have been improved upon by using more comprehensive instruments and obtaining data based on other sources than self-report.

### **Conclusion**

The current study is one of the first to examine how secular trends in eating problems among adolescents covary on an individual level with time trends in a variety of putative risk factors for such symptoms. Many questions remain however unanswered; in particular, causal relationships between putative risk factors and eating problems are not delineated. Likewise, information is needed on the potential influence of several unmeasured factors, such as drive for thinness and thin-ideal internalization, as well as the impact of broader societal and cultural changes on secular trends in eating problems. Although these issues remain to be addressed, the current study does indicate that secular trends in eating problems coincided with time trends in several potential risk factors. Adiposity, alcohol intoxication, self-worth, and appearance satisfaction are all potentially malleable factors. Should future research provide additional support for their status as true risk factors for eating problems in adolescents, the development and evaluation of population-based efforts to reduce these risks at the population level will be warranted.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writing of this article was supported by a grant (# 196226V50) from the Research Council of Norway. The first two data collections were as well funded by the Research Council of Norway. The last data collection was funded by the Norwegian Gaming and Foundation Authority. Conflict of Interest: None

#### REFERENCES

1. Smink FRE, van Hoeken D, Hoek HW. Epidemiology of eating disorders: Incidence, prevalence and mortality rates. Curr Psychiatr Rep 2012;14:406-414.

2. Hoek HW, von Hoeken D. Review of the prevalence and incidence of eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord 2003;34:383-396.

3. Milos G, Spindler A, Schnyder U, Martz J, Hoek HW, Willi J. Incidence of severe anorexia nervosa in Switzerland: 40 years of development. Int J Eat Disord 2004;35:250-258.

4. Currin L, Schmidt U, Treasure J, Jick H. Time trends in eating disorder incidence. Br J Psychiatry 2005;186:132-135.

5. van Son GE, van Hoeken D, Bartelds AIM, van Furth EF, Hoek HW. Time trends in the incidence of eating disorders: A primary care study in the Netherlands. Int J Eat Disord 2006;39:565-569.

6. Keel PK, Heatherton TF, Dorer DJ, Joiner TE, Zalta AK. Point prevalence of bulimia nervosa in 1982, 1992, and 2002. Psychol Med 2006;36:119-127.

7. Crowther JH, Armey M, Luce KH, Dalton GR, Leahey T. The point prevalence of bulimic disorders from 1990 to 2004. Int J Eat Disord 2008;41:491-497.

8. Fichter MM, Quadflieg N, Georgopoulou E, Xepapadakos F, Fthenakis EW. Time trends in eating disturbances in young Greek migrants. Int J Eat Disord 2005;38:310-322.

9. Hay PJ, Mond J, Buttner P, Darby A. Eating disorder behaviors are increasing: Findings from two sequential community surveys in South Australia. Plos One 2008;3:e1541,

doi:1510.1371/journal.pone.0001541.

10. Mitchison D, Hay P, Slewa-Younan S, Mond J. Time trends in population prevalence of eating disorder behaviors and their relationship to quality of life. Plos One 2012;7:e48450, doi:48410.41371/journal.pone.0048450.

11. Johnson C, Tobin DL, Lipkin J. Epidemiologic changes in bulimic behavior among female adolescents over a five-year period. Int J Eat Disord 1989;8:647-655.

12. Stice E. Risk and maintenance factors for eating pathology: A meta-analytic review. Psychol Bull 2002;128:825-848.

13. Jacobi C, Hayward C, de Zwaan M, Kraemer HC, Agras WS. Coming to terms with risk factors for eating disorders: Application of risk terminology and suggestions for a general taxonomy. Psychol Bull 2004;130:19-65.

14. Stice E, Ng J, Shaw H. Risk factors and prodromal eating pathology. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2010;51:518-525.

15. Calam R, Waller G. Are eating and psychosocial characteristics in early teenage years useful predictors of eating characteristics in early adulthood? A 7-year longitudinal study. Int J Eat Disord 1998;24:351-362.

16. Measelle JR, Stice E, Hogansen JM. Developmental trajectories of co-occurring depressive, eating, antisocial and substance abuse problems in female adolescents. J Abnorm Psychol 2006;115:524-538.

17. Liechty JM, Lee MJ. Longitudinal predictors of dieting and disordered eating among young adults in the US. Int J Eat Disord 2013;46:790-800.

18. Stice E. A prospective test of the dual-pathway model of bulimic pathology: Mediating effects of dieting and negative affect. J Abnorm Psychol 2001;110:124-135.

19. Beato-Fernandez L, Rodriguez-Cano T, Belmonte-Llario A, Martinez-Delgado C. Risk factors for eating disorders in adolescents - A Spanish community-based longitudinal study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatr 2004;13:287-294. 20. Johnson JG, Cohen P, Kasen S, Brook JS. Childhood adversities associated with risk for eating disorders or weight problems during adolescence or early adulthood. Am J Psychiatr 2002;159:394-400.

21. Sisslak CM, Crago M, McKnight KM, Estes LS, Gray N, Parnaby OG. Potential risk factors associated with weight control behaviors in elementary and middle school girls. J Psychosom Res 1998;44:301-313.

22. Marmorstein NR, von Ranson KM, Iacono WG, Malone SM. Prospective associations between depressive symptoms and eating disorder symptoms among adolescent girls. Int J Eat Disord 2008;41:118-123.

23. Stice E, Bearman SK. Body image and eating disturbances prospectively predict increases in depressive symptoms in adolescent girls: A growth curve analysis. Dev Psychol 2001;37:597-607.

24. Wolfe WL, Maisto SA. The relationship between eating disorders and substance use: Moving beyond co-prevalence research. Clin Psychol Rev 2000;20:617-631.

25. Ferreiro F, Seoane G, Senra C. Gender-related risk and protective factors for depressive symptoms and disordered eating in adolescence: A 4-year longitudinal study. J Youth Adolesc 2012;41:607-622.

26. Lavik NJ, Clausen SE, Pedersen W. Eating behaviour, drug use, psychopathology and parental bonding in adolescents in Norway. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1991;84:387-390.

27. Wichstrøm L. Social, psychological and physical correlates of eating problems. A study of the general adolescent population in Norway. Psychol Med 1995;25:567-579.

28. Zumbo BD, Gadermann AM, Zeisser C. Ordinal versions of coefficients alpha and theta for Likert rating scales. J Mod Appl Stat Meth 2007;6:21-29.

29. von Soest T, Wichstrøm L. Gender differences in the development of dieting from adolescence to early adulthood. A longitudinal study. J Res Adolesc 2009;19:509-529.
30. Wichstrøm L. Harter's Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents: Reliability, validity, and

evaluation of the question format. J Pers Assess 1995;65:100-116.

31. Kandel DB, Davies M. Epidemiology of depressive mood in adolescents. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1982;39:1205-1212.

32. von Soest T, Wichstrøm L. Secular trends in depressive symptoms among Norwegian adolescents from 1992 to 2010. J Abnorm Child Psychol in press.

33. Goodman E, Hinden BR, Khandelwal S. Accuracy of teen and parental reports of obesity and body mass index. Pediatrics 2000;106:52-58.

34. Barnea Z, Rahav G, Teichman M. The reliability and consistence of self-reports on substance use in a longitudinal study. Br J Addict 1987;82:891-898.

35. Parker G. The Parental Bonding Instrument - a decade of research. Soc Psychiatr Psychiatr Epidemiol 1990;25:281-282.

36. Wendorf CA. Primer on multiple regression coding: Common forms and the additional case of repeated contrasts. Understand Stat 2004;3:47-57.

37. Collishaw S, Goodman R, Pickles A, Maughan B. Modelling the contribution of changes in family life to time trends in adolescent conduct problems. Soc Sci Med 2007;65:2576-2587.

38. Efron B. The bootstrap and modern statistics. J Am Stat Assoc 2000;95:1293-1296.

39. Aldrige J, Measham F, Williams L. Illegal leisure revisited. Changing patterns of alcohol and drug use in adolescents and young adults. Hove: Routledge; 2011.

40. Stice E, Cameron RP, Killen JD, Hayward C, Taylor CB. Naturalistic weight-reduction efforts prospectively predict growth in relative weight and onset of obesity among female adolescents. J Consult Clin Psychol 1999;67:967-974.

Table 1. Mean Scores (Standard Deviations) of the Dieting Subscale, the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation Subscale, and Potential Explanatory Variables in 1992, 2002, and 2010 by Gender. All Means Adjusted for Age and Country of Birth.

				Girls		Boys						
	1992	2002	2010	Change from	Change from	Overall	1992	2002	2010	Change from	Change from	Overall
				1992 to 2002	2002 to 2010	change				1992 to 2002	2002 to 2010	change
	М	М	М	d	d	р	М	М	М	D	D	р
	(SD)	(SD)	(SD)				(SD)	(SD)	(SD)			
Dieting	1.69	1.89	2.10	.10**	.10**	<.01	.45	.57	.80	.11**	.16**	<.01
	(1.87)	(2.12)	(2.19)				(.99)	(1.27)	(1.56)			
Bulimia and Food	.66	.90	.69	.19**	15**	<.01	.35	.36	.40	.01	.05	.27
Preoccupation	(1.13)	(1.44)	(1.32)				(.73)	(0.97)	(1.18)			
Physical	2.40	2.31	2.45	13**	.19**	<.01	2.80	2.84	3.02	.06	.27**	<.01
appearance	(.65)	(.74)	(.73)				(.60)	(.68)	(.67)			
Body mass index	20.65	21.45	21.52	.27**	.02	<.01	21.44	22.27	22.73	.28**	.12**	<.01
	(2.43)	(3.52)	(3.51)				(2.47)	(3.33)	(4.31)			
Global self-worth	2.77	2.76	2.84	02	.12**	<.01	2.98	3.11	3.22	.24**	.19**	<.01
	(.53)	(.67)	(.68)				(.51)	(.59)	(.60)			
Alcohol intoxication	2.66	3.27	2.72	.37**	34**	<.01	2.79	3.44	2.69	.37**	43**	<.01
	(1.62)	(1.69)	(1.59)				(1.75)	(1.79)	(1.69)			
Parental care	3.14	3.29	3.31	.25**	.03	<.01	3.07	3.22	3.25	.27**	.05	<.01
	(.59)	(.63)	(.64)				(.52)	(.60)	(.60)			
Parental	1.94	2.08	2.13	.23**	.08	<.01	2.08	2.17	2.21	.16**	.07*	<.01
overprotection	(.58)	(.62)	(.60)				(.54)	(.60)	(.58)			

Notes: \* p <.05, \*\* p<.01; d= Cohen's d

Table 2. Prevalence of Explanator	Variables in 1992	, 2002, and 207	10 by Gender
-----------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	--------------

	Girls							Boys						
	1992	2002	2010	Change from	Change from	Overall	1992	2002	2010	Change from	Change from	Overall		
				1992 to 2002	2002 to 2010	change				1992 to 2002	2002 to 2010	change		
	%	%	%	OR	OR	р	%	%	%	OR	OR	р		
				(95% CI)	(95% CI)					(95% CI)	(95% CI)			
Depressive	8.8	11.4	13.4	1.30*	1.22	<.01	2.9	6.1	5.5	2.15**	.90	<.01		
symptoms				(1.02-1.65)	(.98-1.52)					(1.49-3.10)	(.66-1.22)			
Daily smoking	23.4	24.6	7.0	1.06	.23**	<.01	23.8	22.9	7.0	.93	.25**	<.01		
				(.90-1.25)	(.1830)					(.79-1.10)	(.2032)			
Cannabis use	4.8	12.5	5.6	2.78**	.42**	<.01	7.2	20.0	9.6	3.10**	.42**	<.01		
				(2.09-3.70)	(.3255)					(2.46-3.91)	(.3453)			
Not living with both	29.3	36.8	44.2	1.40**	1.37**	<.01	28.1	32.3	40.0	1.22*	1.40**	<.01		
biological parents				(1.21-1.63)	(1.18-1.58)					(1.05-1.41)	(1.21-1.62)			

Notes: \* p <.05, \*\* p<.01;

OR = odds ratio

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals of odds ratio

All OR adjusted for age and country of birth

Table 3. Correlations between the Dieting Subscale, the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation Subscale, and all Potential Explanatory Variables for Boys

	Die	ting	Bulimia and Food			
			Preoccupation			
	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys		
Bulimia and Food Preoccupation	.47**	.40**				
Physical appearance	39**	17**	27**	06**		
Body mass index	.26**	.30**	.12**	.10**		
Global self-worth	34**	13**	30**	07**		
Depressive symptoms	.15**	.08**	.19**	.09**		
Alcohol intoxication	.12**	.00	.10**	.02		
Daily smoking	.03	02	.05**	.03		
Cannabis use	.04**	.04**	.05**	.07**		
Parental care	06**	02	11**	07**		
Parental overprotection	.05**	.04*	.07**	.07**		
Not living with both biological parents	.02	.04**	.02	.04*		

and Girls Separately (Data from all Three Data Collections Combined)

Note. \* = p < .05; \*\* = p < .01

	Dieting scores							Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scores						
	Adjusted means			Changes in Dieting scores			A	djusted mear	IS	Changes in Bulimia and Food				
											Preoccupation scores			
	1992	2002	2010	1992 to	2002 to	Overall	1992	2002	2010	1992 to	2002 to	Overall		
				2002	2010					2002	2010			
	М	М	М	d	d	р	М	M	М	d	d	р		
	Baselii	ne Mode	·/				Baseline	Model						
Without predictors	1.69	1.89	2.10	.10**	.10**	<.01	.66	.90	.69	.19**	15**	<.01		
	Model	adjusted	d for cova	ariates one	by one		Model adjusted for covariates one by one							
Physical appearance	1.71	1.81	2.19	.05	.18**	<.01	.66	.87	.72	.16**	11**	<.01		
Body mass index	1.77	1.84	2.02	.04	.08*	<.01	.68	.89	.67	.17**	17**	<.01		
Global self-worth	1.67	1.86	2.16	.10**	.14**	<.01	.65	.88	.72	.18**	12**	<.01		
Depressive symptoms	1.70	1.88	2.08	.09*	.09*	<.01	.67	.90	.67	.18**	17**	<.01		
Alcohol intoxication	1.73	1.83	2.12	.05	.13**	<.01	.68	.87	.71	.15**	12**	<.01		
Daily smoking	1.67	1.88	2.12	.11**	.11**	<.01	.65	.89	.70	.19**	13**	<.01		
Cannabis use	1.70	1.87	2.11	.09*	.11**	<.01	.67	.89	.69	.17**	15**	<.01		
Parental care	1.66	1.90	2.11	.12**	.10**	<.01	.63	.91	.69	.22**	16**	<.01		
Parental overprotection	1.69	1.89	2.09	.10**	.09*	<.01	.66	.90	.68	.19**	16**	<.01		
Not living with both	1.69	1.89	2.10	.10**	.10**	<.01	.66	.90	.68	.19**	16**	<.01		
biological parents														

Table 4. Adjusted Means of the Dieting Subscale and the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation Subscale in 1992, 2002, and 2010 for Girls.

Notes: \* p <.05, \*\* p<.01; d = Cohen's d. All means adjusted for age and country of birth

Table 5. Adjusted Means of the Dieting Subscale in 1992, 2002, and 2010 for Boys.

		Adjusted me	eans	Cha	inges in Dieting	g scores
	1992	2002	2010	1992 to	2002 to	Overall
				2002	2010	
	М	М	М	d	d	р
	Baseline	Model				
Without predictors	.45	.57	.80	.11**	.16**	<.01
	(.99)	(1.27)	(1.56)			
	Model ad	djusted for co	variates one	by one		
Physical appearance	.41	.55	.86	.12**	.22**	<.01
Body mass index	.52	.55	.73	.03	.13**	<.01
Global self-worth	.40	.57	.85	.15**	.20**	<.01
Depressive symptoms	.45	.56	.80	.10*	.17**	<.01
Alcohol intoxication	.44	.57	.80	.11**	.16**	<.01
Daily smoking	.44	.57	.80	.11**	.16**	<.01
Cannabis use	.45	.56	.81	.10*	.18**	<.01
Parental care	.44	.57	.80	.11**	.16**	<.01
Parental overprotection	.45	.56	.80	.10**	.17**	<.01
Not living with both biological parents	.45	.57	.79	.11**	.16**	<.01

Notes: \* p <.05, \*\* p<.01; d = Cohen's d. All means adjusted for age and country of birth