1 2	ORIGINAL ARTICLE
3	
4	Bacterial diversity detected in osteoradionecrosis
5	J. A. Aas ¹ , L. Reime ³ , K. Pedersen ¹ , E. R. K. Eribe ¹ , E. Abesha-Belay ¹ , G. Støre ² ,
6	I. Olsen ¹
7	¹ Institute of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway;
8	² Section for Maxillo-Facial Surgery, ENT Department, Rikshospitalet University
9	Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ³ Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
10	
11	
12	
13	Keywords Osteoradionecrosis, bacteria, 16S rRNA genes
14	Original Submission:
15	Clin Microbiol Infect
16	Corresponding author and reprint request: Geir Støre. Section for Maxillo-Facial Surgery, ENT
17	Department, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, N-0027 Oslo, Norway. Tel: +47 23071546. Fax: +47
18	23071530. E-mail: Geir.Store@Rikshospitalet.no
19	
20	

21

Aas et al. 2008

22 ABSTRACT

23 Direct microscopy, culture based studies and DNA-DNA hybridization have previously 24 demonstrated an association between microorganisms and osteoradionecrosis. The 25 purpose of our study was to use culture independent molecular techniques to detect 26 bacteria in necrotic bone lesions of the mandible after radiotherapy. Bacterial DNA was 27 extracted from six deep medullary specimens from resected mandibles, including one 28 sample of a relapse. 16S rRNA genes were PCR amplified, cloned, transformed into 29 Escherichia coli and sequenced to determine species identity and closest relatives. From 30 the analysis of 438 clones, 59 predominant species were detected, of which 27% have not 31 been cultivated. The predominant species detected from radionecrotic mandibles were 32 Campylobacter gracilis, Streptococcus intermedius, Peptostreptocooccus sp. oral clone 33 FG014, Uncultured bacterium clone RL178, Fusobacterium nucleatum, and Prevotella 34 spp.. The analysis demonstrated intersubject variability of the bacteria present in 35 osteoradionecrosis. In contrast to the diverse bacterial profile detected in primary 36 infection, only a few members of the oral indigenous flora were identified from a case of 37 relapse. Detection of all members of the complex bacterial flora associated with 38 osteoradionecrosis seems to be necessary to better understand the pathogenesis and to 39 improve the therapeutic approach of the infection.

40

41

42

43

44 INTRODUCTION

45 Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is a complex late complication and calculated risk to 46 conventional radiation therapy used in treatment of cancer in the craniofacial skeleton. 47 Adverse effects of radiation therapy affect normal tissue cells and the vascular system of 48 bone, cartilage and soft tissue. Although, the complexity of the disease still leaves the 49 definition, the etiology, and the pathogenesis of ORN unclear. (Hansen et al. 2005, Nason 50 et al. 2007) ORN was earlier attributed to secondary infection in the traumatized 51 irradiated tissue, with following non-healing wounds and exposed bone (Meyer 1970, 52 Rankow 1971). Marx stated in 1983 that infection associated with ORN was only 53 superficial and secondary, and that the microorganisms found in resections would be 54 surface contaminants. The statement was based on a study that failed to describe 55 microorganisms in the medullary parts of the resections (6). Støre et al. demonstrated 56 presence of a diverse microbiota of the medullar parts of mandible both visualized by 57 transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (7) and detected by DNA-DNA hybridization in 58 a checkerboard assay (8). Specimens were obtained with sterile trepan burrs collecting 59 bicortical cylinders from areas covered by mucoperiost. A diverse microbiota of bacteria 60 and yeast was exposed. The detection of anaerobes indicates that infection might play an 61 important role in the pathogenesis of ORN (7, 8). Hansen et al. (2006, 2006, 2006, 2007) 62 has demonstrated an association between Actinomyces and infected osteoradionecrosis 63 (IORN) based on analysis of irradiated bone biopsies from a high number of patients with 64 Recently, Nason and Chole (2007) have described post operative complications. 65 formation of biofilm in association with ORN of the temporal bone after external beam Phylogenetic analysis of complex bacterial communities in biofilms relies on 66 radiation. 67 detection of housekeeping genes in bacteria, like 16S rRNA, and include both the 68 cultivable and not-yet cultivable segment of the bacterial flora. Molecular techniques have determined the breadth of microbial diversity of the whole gastrointestinal tract in health and disease, and it is discovered that as much as 50% of the oral- (Aas et al. 2005) and 80% of the intestinal (Eckburg et al. 2005) indigenous bacterial flora consist of not cultivated phylotypes. Detection of all members of the complex bacterial communities is necessary to better understand the role of infection in the pathogenesis of ORN. The aim of this study was to use culture independent molecular techniques to detect bacteria in necrotic bone lesions of the mandible after radiotherapy.

76

77 MATERIALS AND METHODS

78 Subjects

Seven specimens from six consecutive cases of mandibular ORN and one specimen of a subject with relapse were included in the study. All subjects recruited for the study required a full segmental resection larger than 5 cm during the course of treatment. The participants received a conservative treatment regime of local wound care and continuous treatment with tetracycline (doxycycline 100 mg daily). In cases of clinical exacerbation this dose was doubled, or a limited supplementary regime of clindamycin, 150 mg three times daily, was prescribed.

85

86 **Bone samples**

All resections were made from the mandibular body region. Deep medullary bone specimens were obtained using sterile trepan burrs (3 mm wide), collecting bicortical cylinders from areas previously covered by mucoperiost, and at a minimum distance of 3 cm from any surface exposed by oro-cutaneous fistulas. The cortical segments were removed from the specimens and the marrow part was placed in a dental transport medium (Anaerobe Systems, Morgan Hill, CA, USA) for further analysis.

93

94

95 **DNA extraction**

96 The marrow part of small bone cylinders was grinded on liquid nitrogen to fine powder in a 97 sterile mortar in a laminar airflow cabinet. The powder was suspended in 50 mM Tris HCl and 98 stored at -20°C. DNA was extracted from the bone tissue samples using the ChargeSwitch 99 Forensic DNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) according to the protocol of the 100 manufacturer.

101

102 Amplification of 16S rRNA genes

103 The 16S rRNA genes were amplified under standardized conditions using a universal forward 104 primer (5'-GAG AGT TTG ATY MTG GCT CAG-3') and a universal reverse primer (5'-GAA 105 GGA GGT GWT CCA RCC GCA -3') (Paster 2001). PCR was performed with the GeneAmp 106 PCR systems 9700 (ABI, Foster City, CA). One microliters of DNA template were added to a 107 reaction mixture (final volume, 50 µl) containing 20 pmol of each primer, 40 nmol of 108 deoxytriphosphates, and 1 U of Platinum *Tag* polymerase (Invitrogen). In a hot-start protocol, the 109 samples were preheated at 95°C for 4 min, followed by amplification under the following 110 conditions: 95°C for 45 s, 60°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1.5 min, with an additional 15 s for each 111 cycle. A total of 30 cycles were performed, which was followed by a final elongation step at 112 72° C for 15 min. The results of the PCR amplification were examined by electrophoresis in a 1% 113 agarose gel. DNA was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under short-wavelenght UV 114 light.

115

116 **Cloning procedures**

117 Cloning of PCR-amplified DNA was performed with the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) 118 according to instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, transformation was done with competent 119 *Escherichia coli* TOP10 cells. The transformed cells were plated onto Luria-Bertani agar plates 120 supplemented with kanamycin (50 μ g/ml), and the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. 121 Colonies were transferred to 70 µl of 10 mM TrisHCl. Correct sizes of the inserts were

122	determined in a PCR with an M13 forward primer and an M13 reverse primer (Invitrogen). Prior
123	to sequencing of the fragments, the PCR-amplified 16S rRNA fragments were purified and
124	concentrated with use of the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).
105	

125

126 **16S rRNA gene sequencing**

Purified DNA from the PCR was sequenced with an ABI Prism cycle sequencing kit (BigDye
Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit with AmpliTaq DNA Polymerase FS, GeneAmp PCR systems
2700 and 9700; ABI). The protocol and primers used for sequencing have been described
previously (Paster 2001). The sequencing reactions were run on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer
(ABI).

132

133 16S rRNA gene sequencing and data analysis of unrecognized inserts

134 The number of sequenced clones per sample ranged from 45 to 84, with an average of 62.6 135 clones. A sequence of approximately 500 bases was obtained first to determine identity or 136 approximate phylogenetic position. For identification of closest relatives, the sequences of the 137 inserts were compared to the 16S rRNA gene sequences of over 100,000 sequences in the 138 Ribosomal Database Project (Cole et al 2005), more than 188,000 sequences in the Greengenes 139 databases (http://greengenes.lbl.gov/) and NCBI GenBank databases 140 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The similarity matrices were corrected for multiple base changes 141 at single positions by the method of Jukes and Cantor (1969). Similarity matrices were 142 constructed from the aligned sequences by using only those sequence positions for which data 143 were available for 90% of the strains tested. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-144 joining method of Saitou and Nei (1987). TREECON, a software package for the Microsoft 145 Windows environment, was used for the construction and drawing of evolutionary trees (Van de 146 Peer 1994). We are aware of the potential creation of 16S rRNA chimera molecules assembled 147 during the PCR (Liesack 1991). Chimeric sequences were identified by using the Chimera Check 148 program in the Ribosomal Database Project, by treeing analysis, or by base signature analysis.

Species identification of chimeras was obtained, but the sequences were not examined for phylogenetic analysis. Published nucleotide sequences are available for electronic retrieval in the EMBL (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/embl/), GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/), and DDBJ (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/) nucleotide sequence databases under accession numbers included in Figure 1.

154

155 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

156 Scanning electron microscopy (Støre 2005) demonstrated presence of bacteria in marrow 157 spaces of the mandible and the following study based on DNA-DNA hybridization (Støre 158 2005) from our group detected the cultivable bacterial flora present in ORN specimens. 159 In this study, eight specimens from six subjects with ORN were selected for further 160 analysis with culture independent molecular techniques to detect both cultivated and not 161 cultivated bacteria. From a total of 438 clones, a diverse bacterial flora of 59 taxa was detected from eight specimens of ORN. Six phyla were represented in the diverse 162 163 bacterial flora, including Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, 164 Spirochaetes and Bacteroides, of which 27% of the bacteria present have not been 165 cultivated.

166 The introduction of molecular techniques and the opportunity of using housekeeping 167 genes, like 16S rRNA, to detect and identify microbes has manifested the human 168 microbiota as a biofilm of complex microbial communities. In the last decade, culture 169 independent techniques have underlined the need to include all members of the bacterial 170 flora to understand the role of bacteria in health and disease. Despite the known 171 challenges related to DNA extraction from bone tissue, Fenollar et al. (2006) 172 demonstrated bacterial diversity, including not cultivated phylotypes, from an impressing 173 large set of 525 samples of infected bones and joints. The bone and joint samples were

174	collected from the whole body (Fenollar et al. 2006). Their results confirmed findings of
175	previous studies (Støre et al. 2005, Lewis et al. 1978) suggesting that anaerobes are
176	underestimated and may play a central role in polymicrobial infections in bone. From the
177	data of the ORN specimens in the present study we also recognize a predominance of
178	anaerobes (Figure 1). In accordance to our data, Fenollar et al. (2006) also detected in
179	their study several human pathogens not previously reported or rare in bone and joint
180	infections, like Streptococcus anginosus, Peptostreptococcus micros, Peptoniphilus
181	lacrimalis, Porphyromonas asaccharolytica, and Prevotella buccalis (Figure 1).
182	
183	
184	
185	
186	
187	
188	REFERENCES
189	1. Epstein JB, Wong FLW, Stevenson-Moore P. Osteoradionecrosis: clinical experience
190	and a proposal for classification. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1987;45:104–116.
191	2. Morrish RB, Chan E, Silverman S, Meyer J, Fu KK, Greenspan D.
192	Osteoradionecrosis in patients irradiated for head and neck carcinoma. Cancer
193	1981; 47 :1980–1983.
194	3. Støre G. Mandibular Osteoradionecrosis. Thesis, University of Oslo, Norway. 2000.
195	4. Meyer I. Infectious diseases of the jaws. J Oral Surg 1970;28:17–33.
196	5. Rankow RM, Weissman B. Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. Ann Otol Rhinol
197	Laryngol 1971; 80 :603–611.
198	6. Marx RE. Osteoradionecrosis: a new concept of its pathophysiology. J Oral

- 199 *Maxillofac Surg* 1983;**48**:283–289.
- 200 7. Støre G, Olsen I. Scanning and transmission electron microscopy demonstrates
- 201 bacteria in osteoradionecrosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;**34**:777-781.
- 202 8. Støre G, Eribe ERK, Olsen I. DNA–DNA hybridization demonstrates multiple
- bacteria in osteoradionecrosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;**34**:193–196.
- 204 9. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN *et al.* Diversity of the human intestinal microbial
- 205 flora. *Science* 2005;**308**:1635-1638.
- 206 10. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing
- 207 phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987;**4**:406-425.
- 208 11. Van de Peer Y, De Wachter R. TREECON for Windows: a software package for the
- 209 construction and drawing of evolutionary trees for the Microsoft Windows
- environment. *Comput Appl Biosci* 1994;**10**:569-570.
- 211 12. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Sahasrabudhe
- A, Dewhirst FE. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. *J Bacteriol*
- 213 2001;**183**:3770-3783.
- 13. Liesack W, Weyland H, Stackebrandt E. Potential risk of gene amplification by PCR
- as determined by 16S rDNA analysis of a mixed-culture of strict barophilic bacteria.
- 216 *Microb Ecol* 1991;**21**:191-198.
- 217 14. Jukes TH, Cantor CR. Evolution of protein molecules, vol. 3:p. 21-132. *In* H. N.
- 218 Munro (ed.), Mammalian protein metabolism. 1969. Academic Press, Inc., New
- 219 York, N.Y.
- 220 15. Cole JR, Chai B, Farris RJ, Wang Q, Kulam SA, McGarrell DM, Garrity GM,
- Tiedje JM. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP-II): sequences and tools for highthroughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;33:294-296.
- 223 16. Teng MS, Futran ND. Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol*

Aas et al. 2008

- *Head Neck Surg* 2005;**13**:217-221.
- 17. Peleg M, Lopez EA. The treatment of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible: the case for
- 226 hyperbaric oxygen and bone graft reconstruction. J Oral Maxillofac Surg
- 227 2006;**64**:956-960.
- 18. Hansen T, Kunkel M, Kirkpatrick CJ, Weber A. *Actinomyces* in infected
- 229 osteoradionecrosis—underestimated? *Hum Pathol* 2006;**37**:61-67.
- 230 19. Jereczek-Fossa BA, Orecchia R. Radiotherapy-induced mandibular bone
- complications. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2002;**28**:65-74.
- 232 20. Fenollar F, Roux V, Stein A, Drancourt M, Raoult D. Analysis of 525 samples to
- determine the usefulness of PCR amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA
- gene for diagnosis of bone and joint infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;**44**:1018-1028.

235

- 236
- 237
- 238

Figure legends

239 Figure 1. Bacterial profiles of mandibular osteoradionecrosis. Subject #1 represented 240 with two separate specimens (1a and 1b) from one lesion and subject #2 with primary 241 infection (2p) and relapse (2r). Distribution and levels of bacterial species/phylotypes 242 among the six subjects is shown by the columns of boxes to the right of the tree as either 243 not detected (clear box), <10% of the total number of clones assayed (shaded box), or 244 >10% of the total number of clones assayed (darkened box). 10% was chosen arbitrarily. 245 GenBank accession numbers are provided. Marker bar represents a 5% difference in 246 nucleotide sequences.

Streptococcus oralis str. ATCC 35037; AF003932 Streptococcus mitis; EU200182 5% Streptococcus sp. oral strain 7A; AY005040 Streptococcus anginosus; AY691536 Not detected Streptococcus intermedius; DQ232531 <10% of total Streptococcus constellatus; AB355607 $\geq 10\%$ of total Enterococcus faecalis; DQ983196 Enterococcus avium; AF133535 Lactobacillus salivarius; AF420311 Lactobacillus ultunensis; AY253660 Pseudoramibacter alactolyticus; AB036760 Peptostreptococcus indolicus; D14147 Peptoniphilus lacrimalis; AF542230 Peptostreptocooccus sp. oral clone FG014; AF385543 Peptostreptocooccus micros; AY323523 Megasphaera sp. oral clone BB166; AF287783 Megasphaera micronuciformis; AF473834 Veillonella sp. clone BU083; AF366266 Dialister pneumosintes str. ATCC 33048; X82500 Uncultured bacterium clone RL178; DQ795386 Dialister sp. oral clone BS095; AF287787 Lachnospiraceae oral clone MCE9; AF481220 Catonella morbi: X87151 Actinomyces israelii; AF479270 Propionibacterium propionicum; AF285117 Bifidobacterium sp. oral clone CX010; AF287758 Olsenella uli; AF292373 Atopobium sp. oral clone C019; AF287760 Atopobium rimae; AF292371 Solobacterium moorei; AM238669 Methylobacterium organophilum; AB175637 Sphingomonas rhizogenes; AY962684 Campylobacter gracilis str. ATCC 33236 Terrahaemophilus aromaticivorans str. 127W; AB098612 Burkholderiaceae bacterium; DQ490288 Eikenella sp. clone 602F04; AM420235 Eikenella corrodens; M22515 Fusobacterium sp. oral clone EU021; AF385575 Fusobact. nuc. ss. vinc. ATCC 49256; AABF01000026 Fusobacterium nucleatum; AF543300 Treponema socranskii ss. socranskii; AB015887 Bacteroidetes-like sp. oral clone AU126; AY005072 Porphyromonas asaccharolytica; L16490 Tannerella forsythia; AB053943 – Porphyromonas gingivalis; AF414811 Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron; AE016933 Bacteroides fragilis; M11656 Prevotella tannerae; AF183405 Prevotella pleuritidis; AB278593 Prevotella buccae; L16478 Prevotella buccalis; L16476 Prevotella sp. oral clone AA020; AY005057 Prevotella sp. oral clone F045; AY005056 Prevotella oris ATCC 33573; L16474 Prevotella nigrescens; AY689229 Prevotella sp. oral clone AO036; AY005054 Prevotella denticola; AY323524

Subject #

5 6 2r

2p 3 4

la lb

Relapse

Prevotella sp. oral clone DO027; AF385511 *Prevotella* sp. oral clone GI030; AY349395



248 Figure 1.