## ON THE LENGTH OF FAITHFUL MODULES OVER ARTINIAN LOCAL RINGS.

by

## Tor H. Gulliksen

#### Introduction.

Let R be an Artinian local ring with residue field k = R/m. Let M be any faithful R-module, i.e. rM = 0 implies r = 0 for all  $r \in R$ . Then for a large class of rings R one has the inequality

# (\*) $\ell(M) > \ell(R)$

 $\ell$  denoting classical length. It is easily seen that the inequality is valid whenever R is self injective, that is when  $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_R(k,R) = 1$ ; see (2.8) in [1]. The purpose of the present note is to generalize this fact by showing that (\*) is valid for all faithful R-modules M whenever  $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_R(k,R) \leq 3$ . This result is in a way the best possible, in fact for each integer  $s \geq 4$  we can give an example of a local ring R and a faithful R-module M such that

 $\ell(M) < \ell(R)$  and  $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_R(k,R) = s$ .

Ī

### Notations and definitions.

R will always be an Artinian local ring with maximal ideal *M.* R-modules are assumed to be unitary and finitely generated. If M is an R-module we define the annihilator

$$an(M) = \{r \in R | rM = 0\}$$

and the socle

$$s(M) = \{x \in M | \mathcal{M} | x = 0\}.$$

Observe that  $s(M) \approx Hom_R(R/\mathcal{M}, M)$ .

l(M) denotes the length of M. If  $an(M) = \mathcal{H}$  then dimM will denote the dimension of M as a vectorspace over R/ $\mathcal{H}$ . E denotes the injective hull of the R-module R/ $\mathcal{H}$ . We let M<sup>\*</sup> denote the dual of M, that is

$$M^* = Hom_R(M,E).$$

Recall that the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-,E)$  defines a duality on the category of finitely generated R-modules, cf. [2]. Note that

an(M) = an(M<sup>\*</sup>), 
$$s(M^*) \approx M/\mathcal{M} M$$
.

M will be called a faithful R-module if an(M) = 0. Observe that E is, up to isomorphism, the only faithful R-module with one-dimensional socle.

Lemma 1. Let M be a faithful R-module. Suppose that M/N is not faithful for any submodule N  $\neq$  0. Then s(M) = s(R)M.

Proof. Let N be a submodule of M such that

 $s(M) = s(R)M \oplus N.$ 

We are going to show that N = 0. Suppose  $N \neq 0$ . Then by the minimality of M there exists an element  $r \neq 0$  in R such that  $rM \subset N$ . We may as well assume that  $r \in s(R)$ . It follows that  $rM \subset s(R)M \cap N = 0$ . Hence r = 0, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2. Let M be a faithful R-module. Assume that neither N nor M/N is faithful for any submodule N such that  $0 \neq N \neq M$ . Then we have

(i) dim  $M/_{M} M \leq \dim s(R)$ 

(ii) dim  $s(M) < \dim s(R)$ .

Moreover, if  $M \neq R$  then at least one of the inequalities is strict.

<u>Proof.</u> We will first prove (i). Let  $m = \dim M/_{H_{I}} M$  and let  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  be a minimal set of generators for M. Since (i) is obvious if m = 1, we may assume that m > 2.

For  $1 \le i \le m$  let  $M_i$  be the submodule generated by all  $g_1, \cdots, g_m$  except  $g_i$ . Put  $C_i = an(M_i)$ . By the minimality of M we have  $C_i \ne 0$  hence  $C_i \cap s(R) \ne 0$  for all i. Choose one non-zero element  $u_i$  in  $C_i \cap s(R)$  for each i. Since M is faithful, the elements  $u_i$  are clearly linearly independent over the field R/M. It follows that  $m \le \dim s(R)$ .

- 3 -

To prove (ii) we just have to apply (i) to the dual M<sup>\*</sup>, observing that M<sup>\*</sup> satisfies the same minimality conditions as M. We get

dim s(M) = dim 
$$\frac{M^*}{m_M^*} \leq \dim s(R)$$
.

We will now assume that we have equality in both (i) and (ii), and we assume that M is not isomorphic to R. We are going to show that this is impossible.

Since M is faithful, but not isomorphic to R, we have  $\dim \frac{M}{M} \le 2$ . Let  $g_1, \cdots, g_m$  and  $u_1, \cdots, u_m$  be as above. The equality in (i) gives that  $u_1, \cdots, u_m$  is a basis for s(R). Hence by lemma 1 we obtain

$$s(M) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)(g_1, \dots, g_m) = (u_1g_1, u_2g_2, \dots, u_mg_m).$$

Let **C** be the annihilator of the element  $g_1 + \cdots + g_m$ . By mininality of M we have  $C \neq 0$  and hence  $C \cap s(R) \neq 0$ . Let u be a non-zero element in  $C \cap s(R)$ . Let  $r_1, \cdots, r_m$  be elements in R such that  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i u_i$ . We have

$$0 = u(g_1 + \cdots + g_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i u_i g_i \cdot \frac{1}{i}$$

Since not all  $r_i$  are in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the equation above shows that dim s(M) < m contradicting the equality in (ii).

<u>Corollary.</u> Let M be as in lemma 2 and suppose that dim s(R) < 2. Then M  $\approx$  R or M  $\approx$  E.

<u>Proof.</u> If  $M \neq R$  then by lemma 2 we have dim s(M) = 1, hence  $M \approx E$ . <u>Theorem 1.</u> Let R be an Artinian local ring with  $\dim_{R/M} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}({}^{R/M},R) \leq 3$ . Let M be a faithful R-module. Then we have  $\ell(M) > \ell(R)$ .

<u>Proof</u>.Clearly we may assume that M is a faithful module of minimal length, so that M as well as  $M^*$  satisfies the assumption in lemma 2. If dim  $s(R) \leq 2$  then the theorem follows from the above corollary. We may therefore assume that dim s(R) = 3. Moreover we may assume that M is not isomorphic to R. Hence using lemma 2 and the relation

 $\dim \frac{M}{M} M = \dim s(M^*)$ 

we have either

dim  $s(M^*) \leq 2$  or dim  $s(M) \leq 2$ .

There is no loss of generality in assuming that dim  $s(M) \le 2$ . If dim s(M) = 1 then  $M \approx E$ , and if dim  $M'_{M}M = 1$  then  $M \approx R$ . Hence in the rest of the proof we may work under the following assumptions:

dim s(R) = 3, dim s(M) = 2 and dim  $\frac{M}{M} M > 2$ .

By the second of these assumptions we can find non-zero irreducible submodules  $M_1, M_2$  in M such that  $0 = M_1 \land M_2$ ; see § 2 in [1]. Put  $\alpha_1 = an(M/M_1)$  for i = 1, 2. We will first show that

(1) 
$$\ell(M/M_i) = \ell(R/\mathcal{O}_i)$$
 for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Since  $M_i$  is irreducible we have dim  $s(M/M_i) = 1$ . It follows that  $(M/M_i)^*$  is a homomorphic image of R. Moreover we have

$$\operatorname{an}((M/M_{i})^{*}) = \operatorname{an}(M/M_{i}) = \mathcal{O}_{i}$$

hence

$$(M/M_1)^* \approx R/\sigma_1$$

so (1) follows.

Since M is faithful we have  $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = 0$ . Since dim s(R) = 3, at least one of the two vectorspaces  $s(\mathcal{O}_1)$  and  $s(\mathcal{O}_2)$  is one-dimensional.

We will assume that dim  $s(O_{1}) = 1$ .

In view of (1) it now suffices to show that  $\ell(M_1) \ge \ell(\mathcal{O}_1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_1, M \subseteq M_1$ , it will be sufficient to prove the following:

(2) 
$$\ell(\mathcal{O}_1 \mathbb{M}) \geq \ell(\mathcal{O}_1).$$

Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  be a minimal set of generators for M. Put  $\wp_i = \operatorname{an}(g_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \wp_i = 0$ . Hence one of i=1 the  $\wp_i$ , say  $\wp_1$ , does not contain  $\operatorname{s}(\mathscr{O}_1)$ . Since dim  $\operatorname{s}(\mathscr{O}_1) = 1$ we conclude that  $\mathscr{O}_1 \cap \wp_1 = 0$ . We obtain  $\mathscr{O}_1 \mathbb{M} \supset \mathscr{O}_1 g_1 \approx \mathscr{O}_1(\mathbb{R}/\wp_1)$  $\approx {}^{\mathscr{O}_1} \wp_1 \cap \wp_1 = \mathscr{O}_1$  which yields (2).

<u>Theorem 2.</u> Let  $s \ge 4$  be an integer. Then there exists a local Artinian ring R and a faithful R-module M such that

(i) 
$$\dim_{R/M} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/M,R) = s$$

(ii) 
$$\ell(M) < \ell(R)$$
.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $m \ge 2$  be an integer and let k be an arbitrary field. Let  $R_m$  be the k-algebra of  $(m+2)\times(m+2)$ -matrices of the form

(3) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_{m,m} & O_{m,2} \\ a_1 \cdots a_m \\ b_1 \cdots b_m & \lambda I_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\lambda$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $a_m$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $b_m$  run through k and  $I_{p,q}$  and  $O_{p,q}$  denotes the identity matrix and the zero-matrix of size  $p \times q$ . Clearly  $R_m$  is a commutative local Artinian ring of length  $\ell(R_m) = 2m+1$ . In fact the socle of  $R_m$  coincides with the maximal ideal which consists of all matrices of the form (3) in which  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence dim  $s(R_m) = 2m$ .

Now let M be the k-vectorspace  $k^{m+2}$ . Clearly M becomes a faithful  $R_m$ -module in the obvious way. We have

$$\ell(M) = \dim_{k} M = m+2 < 2m+1 = \ell(R_{m}).$$

This proves the theorem in the case where s is even.

Let us now assume that s i odd. Write s = 2m-1 where  $m \ge 3$ . Consider  $R_m$  and M as before. Let  $R_m^i$  be the subring consisting of all matrices of the form (3) in which  $a_m = 0$ . Clearly  $R_m^i$  is a local ring of length 2m and dim  $s(R_m^i) = 2m-1 = s$ . Moreover M is a faithful  $R_m^i$ -module with

$$\ell(M) = \dim_k M = m+2 < 2m = \ell(R_m^{\prime})$$
.

The proof is now complete.

<u>Remark.</u> Let  $R = C[X,Y]/(X,Y)^4$ . It can be shown that  $l(M) \ge l(R)$  for any faithful R-module, inspite of the fact that dim s(R) = 4.

- 7 -

# REFERENCES

[1]	Bass, H.:	On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings. Math. Zeithschr.82, 8-28 (1963).
[2]	Matlis, E.:	Injective modules over noetherian rings. Pacific J. Math. 8, 511-528 (1958).