



# University of HUDDERSFIELD

## University of Huddersfield Repository

Pathirage, C. P., Amaratunga, Dilanthi and Haigh, Richard

Knowledge management research within the built environment: Research methodological perspectives

### Original Citation

Pathirage, C. P., Amaratunga, Dilanthi and Haigh, Richard (2005) Knowledge management research within the built environment: Research methodological perspectives. In: 5th International Postgraduate Conference in the Built and Human Environment, 2005, The Lowry, Salford Quays, UK.. (Unpublished)

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/22699/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk](mailto:E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk).

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

# KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT RESEARCH WITHIN THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT: RESEARCH METHODOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES

**C.P. Pathirage, R.D.G. Amaratunga and R. Haigh**

The Research Institute for the Built and Human Environment,  
University of Salford

Salford M7 1NU

E-mail: [C.P.Pathirage@salford.ac.uk](mailto:C.P.Pathirage@salford.ac.uk)

**ABSTRACT:** As built environment is of major importance to all societies and economies, it is essential that the discipline advances as rapidly and rigorously as possible. Only by use of appropriate methodologies and methods of research, the body of knowledge for construction can be established and advanced with confidence. Research is always executed in context and it is vital to give careful consideration to the research methodology at the outset of the research. This paper discusses available research philosophies and methodologies, while highlighting the main facets of the arguments on their relative characteristics. Issues that need to be considered when selecting the most appropriate approach and research methods when undertaking research in built environment context are outlined. In highlighting above, the paper explains and justifies the selected research strategy for a performance oriented knowledge management research in built environment context. Social constructionism stance in terms of epistemological undertakings and idealistic approach under the ontological assumptions with value laden purposes are suggested together with the deployment of multiple exploratory case studies approach and triangulation techniques.

**Keywords** – Built Environment, Knowledge Management, Research Methodology

## 1. BACKGROUND

Almost all disciplines and professions seek out new knowledge and answers to critical issues through research. Yet, there is hardly any agreement in literature in defining the term research, despite its importance, due to the fact research means different things to different people from different disciplines. Most commonly research refers to, a systematic careful search; a contribution to knowledge; or as a learning process. As the Oxford English Dictionary (1995) provides, more extensive definition of research is “a systematic investigation and study of materials, sources etc. in order to establish facts and reach new conclusions, hence new knowledge”. Nevertheless, research is always executed in a context and it is vital to give careful consideration to the research methodology at the outset of the research in order to adopt most appropriate approaches and research methods.

As Built Environment (BE) is of major importance to all societies and economies, co-operating as an active partner with various scientific disciplines such as management, social science, natural science and psychology, it is essential that the discipline advances as rapidly and rigorously as possible. A discipline or a profession is established by developing a body of knowledge, which is unique, through research. Only by use of appropriate methodologies and methods of research, applied with rigour, can the body of knowledge for any discipline be established and advanced with confidence (Fellows and Liu, 2003). In the context of built environment, this highlights the importance of careful selection of appropriate research methodologies, which have often been criticised for their anecdotal approach (Amaratunga et al, 2002) when interpreting real world phenomena.

In this context, this paper aims to outline the research strategy relating to the study of managing tacit knowledge and its impact towards the performance of construction companies, while explaining and justifying the decisions made during the development of research design. Accordingly, the paper is organised into three sections: the first section

portrays existing research philosophies and methodologies, while highlighting the main facets of the arguments on their relative characteristics. The second section describes the development of research objectives from the identified gaps in the literature through a brief review of related concepts, whereas in the final section, issues that need to be considered when selecting the most appropriate approach and research methods are outlined, while justifying the selected research strategy for a knowledge management research in BE context.

## **2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY- PHILOSOPHIES AND APPROACHES**

Research methodology, the methods by which research can be carried out, lies at the heart of any research. It is vital to give careful consideration to the research methodology at the outset of the research in order to adopt most appropriate approaches and research methods. Thereby, it is unwise to conduct research without an awareness of the philosophical, methodological and political issues that lie in the background of any research. Yet, many research methodology texts fail to explain the distinction between research method and methodology (Travers, 2001). Methods are the techniques used in collecting data, whereas methodology refers to the assumptions a researcher possess, which can be epistemological or political in character. By highlighting the same issue Tuchman (1994) asserts, methodology means not only the application of a specific data collection method such as survey or interviews but also, the study and understanding of the epistemological and ontological assumptions implicit in specific methods. In this context, following sections outlines major aspects of research methodology, which will examine the existing philosophical paradigms and approaches of research. Research techniques are not discussed under this section due to high dependency on the research approach selected.

### **2.1 Research Philosophy**

The research philosophy refers to epistemological, ontological and axiological assumptions and undertakings that guide an inquiry in a research study, implicitly or explicitly. Failure to think through philosophical issues, while not necessarily fatal, can seriously affect the quality of a research, which is central to the notion of research design (Easterby-Smith et al, 2003). In terms of epistemological undertakings, two fundamentally different and competing schools of thought are positivism and social constructionism which can be place in two extreme ends of a continuum. As Easterby-Smith et al (2003) asserts in their review of research philosophies, “in the red corner is constructionism; in the blue corner is positivism”, which stresses the two extreme ends of the epistemological undertakings. The contrasting key features of these two epistemological research paradigms are detailed in Table 1.

In summary, positivism believes that the social world exists externally and that its properties should be measured through objective measures, where observer must be independent from what is being observed, which originates from the thinking of Comte (1853). In contrast, social constructionism stem from the view that reality is not objective and exterior, but is socially constructed and given meaning by people (Easterby-Smith et al, 2003), who are conscious, purposive actors with ideas about their world and attach meaning to what is going on around them (Robson, 2002).

In addition to the epistemological positioning discussed above the ontological assumptions about the nature of the reality or world, constitutes the other important aspect of the research philosophy. Based on whether the external world is having a pre-determined nature and structure or not, two ontological assumptions known as realist (Johnson and Duberly, 2000) and idealist (Gummesson, 1991) are defined. Realists start with a stance of a commonly experienced external reality with predetermined nature and structure (Sexton,

2004) whereas, idealists assumes that different observers may have different viewpoints and that, “what counts for the truth can vary from place to place and from time to time” (Collins, 1983). Axiology, the third component of the research philosophy, is classified based on whether the reality is value free or value driven. In value neutral research, the choice of what to study and how to study, can be determined by objective criteria, whilst in value laden research choice is determined by human beliefs and experience (Easterby-Smith et al, 2003), which marks the two extreme ends of a continuum.


Table 1: Contrasting implications of positivism and social constructionism (Easterby-Smith et al, 2003)

	<i>Positivism</i>	<i>Social Constructionism</i>
The observer	Must be independent	Is part of what is being observed
Human Interest	Should be irrelevant	Are the main drivers of the science
Explanations	Must demonstrate causality	Aim to increase general understanding of the situation
Research progress through	Hypotheses and deduction	Gathering rich data from which ideas are induced
Concepts	Need to be operationalised so that they can be measured	Should incorporate stake holder perspectives
Units of analysis	Should be reduced to the simplest terms	May include the complexity of ‘whole’ situation
Generalisation through	Statistical probability	Theoretical abstraction
Sampling requires	Large numbers selected randomly	Small numbers of cases chosen for specific reasons
Methods used	Experiments, Surveys, Case study, Simulation, Modelling	Case study, Ethnography, Action research

The epistemological undertakings, ontological assumptions and axiological purposes about the nature of the world complement the formulation of research philosophy, thereby influencing the selection of appropriate research approach. The following section describes the available research approaches reflecting different epistemological, ontological and axiological stances.

## 2.1. Research Approach

Research approach is being guided by the epistemological undertakings, ontological assumptions and by axiological purposes. *Figure 1* (adopted from Sexton, 2004) illustrates research approaches governed by different research philosophies. Experiments and survey approaches have been positioned towards the positivist epistemological undertakings and an ontological assumption titled towards realism with value neutral research. In contrast, ethnography, action research and often case studies are governed by social constructionist epistemological undertakings and idealist ontological positioning with value laden research.



*Figure 1 Dimensions of Research Philosophy (Sexton, 2004)*

By considering the underpinning paradigmatic distinctions between the aforementioned philosophical schools, another two broadly differing approaches to describe the collection and analysis of data are usually referred to as the qualitative and the quantitative approaches. As Gill and Johnson (1991) proposed, research approaches can be positioned by taking nomothetic (realist) and ideographic (idealist) ontologies into account. Nomothetic is defined as the research approach which utilises quantified methods for data analysis, whereas ideographic approaches deal with analysis of subjective accounts generated through inside situations and involving one self in the everyday flow of life (Gill and Johnson, 1991). This highlights the quantitative and qualitative approaches and according to Gill and Johnson (1991), experiments and survey approaches are associated with nomothetic type, whilst ethnography, action research and case study under ideographic type.

Experimental style of research is carried out, usually in laboratory setting, when an investigator can manipulate behaviour directly, precisely and systematically (Yin, 2003). In surveys, samples are examined through questionnaires or interviews, which can vary from highly structured questionnaires to unstructured interviews (Fellows and Liu, 2003). As Argyris and Schon (1989) state, action research builds descriptions and theories within the practice context itself and tests them there through intervention experiments. Ethnography is a research method well suited to providing researchers with rich insights into the beliefs and values of human, social and organisational aspects of a socio-cultural phenomenon (Harvey and Myers 1995). It requires long periods of field work study conducted in a reasonably unstructured manner (Van Maanen, 1982).

Case studies constitute a distinct style of research. Yin (2003) defines case studies as an empirical enquiry that investigates a contemporary occurrence within real life context,

especially when boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident. Generally, only a few cases are studied and due to this the case researcher will typically try to uncover more variables of interest. The research approach adopted should reflect and be appropriate to the research purpose and the type of research question being addressed (Yin, 2003). Accordingly, following section outlines the development of research objectives from the identified gaps through a thorough literature review by way of a brief review of related concepts.

### **3. THE NATURE OF THE RESEARCH: LITERATURE AND OBJECTIVES**

Importance of literature review can be of two sorts. Firstly it may assist the researcher to understand and identify a problematic area of research through gaining a sound knowledge in the field being studied. Later to unearth the relevant work in terms of theories and models developed by previous researchers in the field. This will ensure the researcher, to define the objectives and the research problems more clearly and precisely, to refrain from 'reinventing the wheel' and finally to make a contribution to the body of knowledge.

The research is aimed at establishing the importance and impact of managing tacit knowledge towards company performance in BE. Although the concept of Knowledge Management (KM) has emerged extensively during last few decades, link to the company performance is inadequately researched. The conceptual arguments from the literature centred around three themes: knowledge and its link to performance, performance evolution and KM and company performance.

#### **3.1. Knowledge and its link to Performance**

Knowledge is built from data, which is first processed into information. Definitions on knowledge seem to be hinting two different perspectives, i.e. on technological perspective, where as the other attempts to stress the human intervention in knowledge. According to Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995), knowledge could be defined as a dynamic human process of justifying personal belief towards the truth, which stresses the human involvement. Knowledge has become more relevant to sustaining business performance than capital, labour or land (Drucker, 1992) and considered as a very crucial factor, affecting an organisation's ability to remain competitive (Teece, 2000; Nonaka and Takeuch, 1995; Adams and Lamont, 2003; Bollinger and Smith, 2001; Zack, 1999) in today's fast changing and non-linear business environment. As such for many authors (Teece, 2000; Civi, 2000; Bollinger and Smith, 2001; Sharkie, 2003; Adams and Lamont, 2003) knowledge, which possesses all characteristics of a strategic asset (i.e. valuable, rare, inimitable and non-substitutable), is the best and the only resource for achieving sustainable competitive advantage through which organisations can gain superior performance. Yet, knowledge to be valuable, rare, inimitable and non-substitutable, it has to reside within humans. As such, it is argued that tacit knowledge as a strategic asset which leads to the sustainable superior performance of a company when managed properly. Tacit knowledge is the unarticulated knowledge that resides in human beings, which is obtained by internal individual processes like experience, reflection, internalisation or individual talents (Herrgard, 2000). Within BE context, high concentration of professional knowledge intensive service firms, labour intensive nature of the industry and increased emphasis on construction knowledge worker, stress the importance and the extensive use of tacit knowledge within the industry.

### 3.2. Performance Evolution

For many years, frameworks have been used by companies to assess their performance in order to communicate it to the wider market which can be placed on four distinct generations. The first generation performance frameworks mainly relied on financial measures. The subsequent revolution in performance measurement promoted companies to implement non-financial measures that appropriately reflect their objectives. Thereby, second generation measurement systems were based on the assumption that financially biased measurement systems should be supplemented with non-financial indicators, including intangibles. Third generation measurement approaches made a significant step forward by using strategy and/or success maps (Neely et al, 2003) incorporating the dynamic nature of performance. Presently the concern is heading towards the fourth generation of performance measurement, which requires companies to seek greater clarity about the linkages between the non-financial and intangible dimensions of company performance.

Despite the importance of business performance measurement in BE, it has received “scant attention” (Love & Holt, 2000) within mainstream construction management literature, particularly concerning its role in “offering real-aid” (*ibid*, p409) to improved construction performance. The issue of the critical role that employees play in fostering an effective construction business has often been overlooked (Nesan & Holt, 1999). Hence it is argued (Love and Holt, 2000; Dainty et al, 2003) that there is a necessity in BE to define more appropriate performance criteria for both project and company level by redefining traditional success parameters to consider the knowledge, skills and behaviour inputs which contribute to superior performance.

### 3.3. Knowledge Management and Company Performance

Review of current literature reveals numerous definitions and techniques of KM due to wide range of interest, perspectives and issues represented by different authors. In general, KM is used to refer to all efforts, to enhance and increase the value of the generation, sharing and application of knowledge. Many companies are embracing KM but few of them are able to implement it successfully to see the benefits (Arora, 2002). Even if companies become successful, the determination and the establishment of the impact on the company performance is important for a business to justify the implementation of KM initiatives. Yet, authors such as Kalling (2003), Steele et al (2003), Ahn and Chang (2002) and Marr and Spender (2004) who have researched on combining KM and performance measurement, have expressly admitted the difficulty of measuring the contribution of knowledge on business performance, which has resulted in paucity of KM literature (Marr et al, 2003; Ahn and Chang, 2002; Kululanga and McCaffer, 2001) that make explicit connection between KM and company performance. The paucity of literature in this area is more pronounced in the construction industry, which marked the gap in literature.

Despite difficulties, some studies have investigated the link between KM and performance measurements from different perspectives. Yet all these frameworks seem to be concentrating on the second generation measures, as already discussed. Further, within BE context, it is evident with inadequate empirical studies on KM and even the limited number of studies that have been conducted focused heavily or solely on explicit knowledge (Egbu et al, 2003) and on the role of information technologies (Carrillo et al, 2000). Tacit knowledge is either ignored or ‘converted’ to explicit knowledge. This highlights the inadequacy of empirical studies done within BE context. On the other hand, the importance of tacit knowledge within BE was stressed as a labour intensive industry. Aim and objectives of this


research was developed to address this prevailing gap in construction industry, which is detailed in succeeding section.

### 3.4. Research Objectives

Drawing from the conclusions of the literature review together with the identified gap in built environment literature, research aim and objectives are devised around the themes of tacit knowledge management and company performance. An appropriate research strategy is developed by taking the tacit knowledge intensive nature of BE and inductive theory building process into account. Research aimed at establishing the impact of managing tacit knowledge on business performance in companies operating within BE. In the process of determining the link between these two constructs, emphasis is placed on the importance of tacit knowledge, human capital involvement and on fourth generation measurement frameworks. Thereby, the following section outlines the research methodology applied for this research in order to fulfil the aforementioned aim of the study.

## 4. Research Methodology Applied

This study uses the ‘nested approach’ (Kagioglou et al, 1998) which nests the philosophy, approach and techniques of the research. *Figure 2* depicts the intended deployment of each of these elements in this study and succeeding sections will explore the application of these philosophical paradigms, approaches and techniques for this research.



*Figure 2 Nested Approach (Kagioglou et al, 1998)*


### 4.1. Research Philosophy

Justification will be done in this section in terms of epistemological undertakings, ontological assumptions and axiological purposes. By considering the key features of epistemological extremes, the social constructionism approach deemed to be more appropriate to this research than the traditional positivism philosophy due to several reasons. As set out in the aim of this



study, research requires an in-depth context specific investigation of real life, complex, human cognitive and behavioural aspects in view of theory building. It renders the descriptive channel of research, focusing on a small sample by relying on inductive research approach than deductive, which is mainly deployed for theory testing through examining a large sample through extensive cases. As such, this disqualifies itself from embracing an entire positivist approach, which begins with a theoretical position and moves towards concrete empirical evidence through rigorous process of testing hypotheses with value free facts to identify universal laws.

In particular, as this study attempts to explore the unarticulated knowledge mainly grounded in the intellectuals, which gains its orientation from the management research paradigm, it again disproves the likeliness of comfortably fitting to the positivist paradigm. Hence, based on the capability of a socially constructed reality in building up the understanding of the phenomena, social constructionism stance is preferred as the underpinning epistemological undertaking in this research. In addition, as the nature of the problem being investigated in this study is of explorative type and due to the unstructured character of the subject being examined, this research closely resembles with the idealist assumption in terms of ontological positioning which perceives external world as not having a pre-determined nature and a structure. As contended by Collins (1983), truth may vary from place to place and from time to time, determined by human beliefs and experience. As such, from this kind of research, it is expected that different observers to come up different view points, due to the subjective, value laden nature of the researcher, which highlights the axiological purposes.



*Figure 3 Epistemological, Ontological and Axiological stances Applied*

By taking the aforementioned characteristics of the study into account, as given in **Figure 3**, it can be concluded that this research is mainly driven towards social constructionism stance in terms of epistemological undertakings whilst taking an idealistic stance in positioning under the ontological assumptions with value laden purposes in terms of axiological endeavours. In this context, the succeeding section outlines the available research approaches for these philosophical stances.

#### **4.2. Research Approaches**

Ethnography, action research and case studies are the research approaches governed by social constructionist epistemological undertakings and idealist ontological positioning with value laden research. Thereby, this disqualifies itself adhering experiments or surveys as a research approach for this study, since they are governed by positivism and realism in terms of research philosophy. Gill and Johnson (1991) further justifies usage of ethnography, action research and case studies from ideographic (idealist) ontological stance with qualitative approach. Yet, applying an appropriate research method(s) for a particular research is governed by the problem being solved or the questions being answered. What method to use also depends on the nature of the investigation and the type of information that is available or required (Naoum, 1998).

As the aim of this research is more of exploratory with ‘What’, ‘How’ questions and descriptive in nature, an approach suited to exploration and description is required. Yet all ethnography, action research and case studies facilitate opportunity for exploration as well as for descriptive analyses. Therefore no distinction can be done within these research approaches in terms of exploration and description. In addition, since this study attempts to explore the role of managing tacit knowledge for better performance in BE, it requires to examine contextual, contemporary and complex human interactions both psychological and sociological levels without controlling variables i.e. *intervention*. Although, all these approaches offer examination of context specific and contemporary set of events, choices of both action research and ethnography were rejected as they disqualify the intervention criteria which require the researcher to be a participant observer and to interact with the problem environment. Accordingly, the case study approach appears to be the most suitable method for this study, which cater ‘What’ and ‘How’ type research questions about contemporary set of events, without differentiating between phenomena and context, where the researcher tends not to interfere with what is being studied. Hence, the necessity for a descriptive, context specific research without the researcher’s intervention together with the exploratory type research questions defined, justifies the case study approach for this research. Thereby, following section further examines into case study approach by considering its design to the current study.

### **4.3. Case Study Design**

Case study approach governs by the social constructionist epistemological undertakings and an ontological position titled towards idealist with value laden purposes. The nature of the research preferred intensive research methods, where case studies being selected as the main research strategy. This facilitates the researcher to investigate the link between management of tacit knowledge and performance in a ‘real life’ BE context. In this sense, case studies have an important function in building theory in BE research.

Case study approach may potentially fall into at least four basic types of studies, with regard to the differences in design within each type (Yin, 2003). It can be single or multiple studies and further can be holistic or embedded design. In addition, case studies can also be classified as exploratory, descriptive or explanatory (*ibid*, p3). In this research, exploratory, multiple, embedded case study design was preferred over others due to several justifiable reasons. As the aim of this research is more of exploratory with ‘What’, ‘How’ questions, explorative case studies were favoured. Since, the phenomenon in study is not a critical, unique, typical or a rare case (Yin, 2003), multiple cases were preferred. The use of multiple cases in this research underlines the complexity of the topic under study and develops the empirical evidence to support the theory building. Yet, due to the nature of phenomenon being studied, which requires a longitudinal examination: studying the same single case extensively at two or more different points in time, serves the rationale for a single case

study. But, due to the inherent risk involved and lack of replication and confidence in the robustness of the theory development in single case study, more than one case study was preferred. Due to the project based nature of the BE research, the recognition was given for the existence of construction projects as a subunit within the main unit of analysis of construction firm. Thereby in summary these justify selection of exploratory, multiple, embedded case study design. Although case study is a distinct form of empirical inquiry, there are also criticisms offered to this approach due to lack of rigour and biased views of the investigator, which can render constructs invalid. Therefore, next section describes the tactics used within case study design to overcome such criticisms.

#### 4.3.1. Case Study Design Acceptability

According to Yin (2003), the quality and validity of any case study design can be judged by four design tests, which can overcome much criticism. Those are construct validity, internal validity, external validity and reliability. The intended deployment of these principles to this research is illustrated in the Table 2.

Table 2 Validity and Reliability of Case Study Research (Yin, 2003)

<i>Test</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Case Study Tactic</i>	<i>Phase of Research</i>
Construct Validity	Establishing correct operational measures	Use of multiple sources of evidence Establish chain of evidence	Data collection Data collection
Internal Validity	Establishing causal relationships as distinguished from spurious relationships	Do cognitive mapping Do explanation building	Data analysis Data analysis
External Validity	Establishing the domain to which findings can be generalised	Use replication logic in multiple case study	Research design
Reliability	Demonstrating that the operations of study can be repeated with same results	Use case study protocol Develop case study database	Data collection Data collection

The other common concern about the case studies is that they provide little basis for generalisation. It is true that case study approach provides a limited basis for the traditional scientific generalisation, but case studies, like experiments, can be generalised to theoretical propositions and not to population or to universe (Yin, 2003). In the context of this research, the goal would be to expand and generalise theories (analytic generalisation) by comparing conceptual arguments developed from literature with empirical results of case study relating to tacit knowledge and performance in BE and not to enumerate frequencies (statistical generalisation).

However, generalisation is not automatic. In this sense, multiple case studies with replication logic considered to be more compelling and being more robust (Herriot & Firestone, 1983), analogous to that used in multiple experiments. In this research it is expected to achieve both literal replication; selecting a case to predict the similar results and theoretical replication; selecting a case to predict contrasting results but for predictable

reasons, by selecting less and more tacit knowledge intensive cases within BE. According to Eisenhardt (1989), multiple cases, apart from permitting replication logic, also permit extension among individual cases to develop more elaborated theory, whereas Yin (2003) further argues that the development of “rich theoretical framework” as an important step in replication procedures. By developing such a rich theoretical framework it is intended to use it as a vehicle for generalisation in BE context. In addition, purposive or theoretical sampling, in which the cases are selected to serve the real purpose and objectives of the study to gain insight and understanding into the chosen phenomenon, is preferred in this research over statistical sampling. In this context, the following section outlines the proposed usage of research techniques that will be utilised within this research.

#### **4.4. Research Techniques**

Methods of data collection and the analysis are considered under the research techniques. In this regard, case study approach presents a “full-fledged” method for conducting research. One important aspect of case study data collection is the ability to use multiple sources of evidence, converging on the same set of issues, which can be of quantitative or qualitative nature (Yin, 2003). As asserted by Eisenhardt (1989), theory building researchers typically combine multiple data collection methods. Thereby being parallel to Eisenhardt’s assertion, this research intends to deploy triangulation technique combining more than one source of data collection and collecting techniques, yet with a high emphasis on qualitative analyses. In view of addressing aim and objectives of this research, the study will seek to collect data from companies within BE covering entire supply chain of the construction process, with the view of exploring the role of tacit knowledge towards company performance. Data will be mainly gathered via interviews, direct observations and through document reviews. Interviews will be carried out at three different levels of staff in construction companies’ i.e. senior manager, middle manager and worker level. Open ended key informant interviews will be carried out with senior managers, which are often critical for case studies (Yin, 2003). As Yin (2003) asserts, key informants not only provide insights into matter, but can also suggest sources corroboratory or contrary. Semi-structured focused interviews are preferred with middle managers, whereas structured survey will be carried out with construction workers. The survey results produce quantitative data for the case study, which can be used for corroborating with the rest of the evidence collected. This can be considered as part of triangulating the sources of data collection. As this study deals with the tacit knowledge of the intellectuals, analysis of documentation will be of very limited use. Yet, direct observations and review of documentation will be done in view of gaining a clear understanding of the context and the phenomenon of the case being studied.

Several techniques can be employed to analyse data in case studies to improve the rigour in analysis. This highlights the triangulation techniques deployed for data analysis to enhance the internal validity as discussed. Open ended and semi-structured interviews will be analysed using cognitive mapping technique. Cognitive mapping is a technique that enables recording qualitative data in a structured pictorial manner, so that it promotes understanding and analysis of data (Ackermann et al, 1992). The results from the structured survey will be examined using factor analysis and correlation analysis to further validate the findings from cognitive analysis. Thereby outcome of data analysis will verify or falsify the hypothesised phenomenon of this research.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Clear definition and design of a research strategy is a fundamental and necessary requirement for a sound empirical study not only in BE but in any field. This paper has set out an appropriate research strategy for a performance oriented management of tacit knowledge research in BE. It is not the purpose of this paper to suggest aforementioned research methodology as the only suitable design for similar research in BE and as discussed within the paper, suitability will depend on the aim, objectives and questions of the research study, but to emphasize that, for the success of any research, understanding the fundamental issues relating to different philosophies, approaches and techniques are of immense importance to design the most suitable research strategy.

## 6. REFERENCES

- Ackermann, F., Eden, C. and Cropper, (1992), *Getting started with cognitive mapping, proceedings of 7th Young operational research conference*, University of Warwick, April 1992, pp. 65-82
- Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M and Newton, R., (2002), Quantitative and qualitative research in the built environment: application of mixed research approach, *Work study*, Vol 51(1), pp 17-31
- Adams, G.L. and Lamont, B.T., (2003), Knowledge management systems and developing sustainable competitive advantage, *Journal of knowledge management*, Vol 7(2), pp 142-154.
- Ahn, J.H. and Chang, S.G., (2002), *Performance oriented knowledge management methodology*, Invited paper for journal of management information systems.
- Argyris, C. and Schön, D., (1989), Participatory Action Research and Action Science Compared, *American Behavioural Scientist*, Vol 32 (5), pp.612–23
- Arora, R., (2002), Implementing KM- A Balance Scorecard approach, *The Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.6 (3), pp.240-249.
- Bollinger, S. and Smith, D., (2001), Managing organizational knowledge as a strategic asset, *The Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.5 (1), pp8-18
- Carrillo, P. M, Anumba, C.J. and Kamara, J. M. (2000) “*Knowledge management for construction: key IT and contextual issues*,” in Gudnason, G. (ed.), Proceedings of the Inter. Conf. on Construction IT, 28-30 June, Reykjavik, Iceland, Icelandic Building Research Institute, pp. 155-165
- Civi, E., (2000), Knowledge management as a competitive asset: a review, *Marketing intelligence and planning*, Vol 18(4), pp.166-174
- Collins, H.M., (1983), *An empirical relativist programme in the sociology of power and symbolism*, Routledge and Kegan Paul, London
- Comte, A., (1853), *The positive philosophy of Auguste Comte*, Trubner & Co, London

- Dainty, A.R.J., Cheng, M. and Moore, D.R., (2003), Redefining performance for construction project managers: an empirical evaluation, *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol 21, pp.209-218
- Drucker, P. (1992), *Managing for the Future: The 1990s and beyond*, Truman Talley Books, New York, NY
- Easterby-Smith, M., Thorpe, R. and Lowe, A., (2003), *Management Research: An Introduction*, 2<sup>nd</sup>, SAGE publications, London
- Egbu, C, Kurul, E, Quintas, P, Hutchinson, V., Anumba, C. and Ruikar, K., (2003), *Knowledge production, resources and capabilities in the construction industry*, Work package 1-final report, Knowledge management for sustainable construction competitiveness project, Available from: [www.knowledgemanagement.uk.net](http://www.knowledgemanagement.uk.net)
- Eisenhardt, K.M. (1989), Building theories from case study research, *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 14 (4), pp. 532-55
- Fellows, R and Liu, A., (2003), *Research methods for construction*, 2<sup>nd</sup>, Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK
- Gill, J. and Johnson, P., (1991), *Research Methods for Managers*, Paul Chapman Publishing, London
- Gummesson, E., (1991), *Qualitative Methods in Management Research*, revised edition Sage publications, London
- Harvey, L. and Myers, M. (1995), Scholarship and practice: the contribution of ethnographic research methods to bridging the gap, *Information Technology and People*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 13-27
- Herrgard, T.H., (2000), Difficulties in the diffusion of tacit knowledge in organizations, *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol 1(4), pp.357-365
- Herriott, R.E and Firestone, W.A., (1983), *Multisite qualitative policy research: Optimising description and generalisability*, *Education Researcher*, Vol 12, pp 14-19
- Johnson, P. and Duberly, J. (2000), *Understanding Management Research*, Sage publications, London
- Kagioglou, M., Cooper, R and Aouad, G., Hinks, J., Sexton, M.G. and Sheath, D.M., (1998), *A generic guide to the design and construction process protocol*, University of Salford, Salford
- Kalling, T., (2003), Knowledge management and the occasional links with performance, *The journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.7(3), pp.67-81
- Kululanga, G.K. and McCaffer, R., (2001), Measuring knowledge management for construction organisations, *Engineering, construction and architectural management*, Vol. 5(6), pp.346-354

- Love, P.E.D. and Holt, G.D., (2000), Construction business performance measurement: the SPM alternative, *Business process management journal*, Vol. 6 (5), pp. 408-416
- Marr, B. Carlucci, D and Schiuma, G., (2003), *Linking knowledge management initiatives & business performance: The knowledge asset value creation map*, Proceedings of 4th European conference on knowledge management, MCIL, 18-19 September 2003
- Marr, B. and Spender, J.C., (2004), Measuring knowledge assets- implications of the knowledge economy for performance, *Measuring business excellence*, Vol 8(1), pp 18-27.
- Naoum, S.G., (1998), *Dissertation research and writing – For construction students*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, U.K
- Neely, A., Marr, B., Roos, G., Pike, S and Gupta, O., (2003), Towards the third generation of performance measurement, *Controlling*, Heft 3/4, Marz/ April 2003, pp.129-135
- Nesan, L.J. and Holt, G.D., (1999), *Empowerment in Construction Organisations: The Way Forward for Performance Improvement*, Research Studies Press, Somerset
- Nonaka, I. and Takeuchi, H., (1995), *The knowledge creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*, Oxford university press, New York.
- Robson, C., (2002), *Real world research*, 2<sup>nd</sup>, Blackwell publications, Oxford, UK
- Sexton, M., (2004), *PhD Workshop: Axiological purposes, ontological cages and epistemological keys*, Postgraduate research workshop, November 2004, University of Salford, UK
- Sharkie, R., (2003), Knowledge creation and its place in the development of sustainable competitive advantage, *The Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.7 (1), pp20-31
- Steele, A et al., (2003), A framework to create key performance indicators for knowledge management solutions, *The journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol.7(2), pp.46-62
- Teece, D.J., (2000), *Managing intellectual capital*, Oxford University press, Oxford  
*The concise Oxford Dictionary of current English*, (1995), 9<sup>th</sup>, Clarendon press, Oxford
- Travers, M., (2001), *Qualitative research through case studies*, SAGE publications, London, UK
- Tuchman, G., (1994), *Historical Social Science: Methodologies, Methods and Meanings*, in N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln (eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, Thousand Oaks: Sage
- Van Maanen, M. (1982), Linking ways of knowing with ways of being practical, *Curriculum Inquiry*, Vol. 6 (3), pp. 205-228
- Yin, K. (2003), *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*, 3<sup>rd</sup>, SAGE Publications, London

Zack, M., (1999), Developing a knowledge strategy, *California management review*, Vol.41(3), pp.125-145