

Diversity of O Antigens within the Genus Cronobacter: from Disorder to Order

Martina Blažková,^a Barbora Javůrková,^a Jiří Vlach,^a Sandra Göselová,^a Ludmila Karamonová,^a Pauline Ogrodzki,^b Stephen Forsythe,^b Ladislav Fukal^a

Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Prague, Czech Republic^a; Pathogen Research Group, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, United Kingdom^b

Cronobacter species are Gram-negative opportunistic pathogens that can cause serious infections in neonates. The lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) that form part of the outer membrane of such bacteria are possibly related to the virulence of particular bacterial strains. However, currently there is no clear overview of O-antigen diversity within the various *Cronobacter* strains and links with virulence. In this study, we tested a total of 82 strains, covering each of the *Cronobacter* species. The nucleotide variability of the O-antigen gene cluster was determined by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis. As a result, the 82 strains were distributed into 11 previously published serotypes and 6 new serotypes, each defined by its characteristic restriction profile. These new serotypes were confirmed using genomic analysis of strains available in public databases: GenBank and Pub-MLST *Cronobacter*. Laboratory strains were then tested using the current serotype-specific PCR probes. The results show that the current PCR probes did not always correspond to genomic O-antigen gene cluster variation. In addition, we analyzed the LPS phenotype of the reference strains of all distinguishable serotypes. The identified serotypes were compared with data from the literature and the MLST database (www.pubmlst.org/cronobacter/). Based on the findings, we systematically classified a total of 24 serotypes for the *Cronobacter* genus. Moreover, we evaluated the clinical history of these strains and show that *Cronobacter sakazakii* O2, O1, and O4, *C. turicensis* O1, and *C. malonaticus* O2 serotypes are particularly predominant in clinical cases.

The bacterial genus *Cronobacter* has received considerable interest because of its association with rare but severe cases of neonatal infections. The genus was first defined in 2007 and currently contains seven species (1, 2). Not all species have been linked to human infections, and only *Cronobacter sakazakii, C. turicensis*, and *C. malonaticus* have been associated with fatal neonatal infections (3, 4). The severity of illness varies among strains, which suggested the existence of different types of pathogenicity. Although numerous virulence factors have already been identified within *Cronobacter* strains (5), we are still far from understanding the pathogenesis of the organism. Better knowledge of the infection process would contribute to more-effective control and neonatal risk reduction.

As with other Gram-negative bacteria, lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) are probably among the most important virulence factors of Cronobacter. Lipopolysaccharides are the major components of the outer surface of Gram-negative bacteria and typically comprise three parts: lipid A, an oligosaccharide core, and an O antigen (6). The O antigen is a polysaccharide (O-PS) that extends from the cell surface and consists of repetitive oligosaccharide units (O units) generally composed of 3 to 6 sugars. It interacts with environmental factors and helps in the effective colonization of host tissues and resistance to a host immune response. These effects have played a significant role in the diversification of outer LPS structures. In the majority of studied species, the O antigen has been found to be highly polymorphic. Even closely related species have few or no O-antigen types in common (7). The differences in O-antigen structures have given rise to serotyping classification methods (8). Serotyping is a useful strategy for the characterization and risk assessment of strains and is widely used to monitor outbreaks (9). Although traditional antiserum-based serotyping technology is still routinely used, this approach is both laborious and time-intensive. Therefore, molecular methods

based on PCR probes and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) have been developed (10). These methods are directed to an O-antigen gene cluster located between *galF* and *gnd*: the coding sequence containing genes for sugar biosynthesis, sugar transfer, and O-antigen processing. There have been several molecular serotyping studies associated with most Cronobacter species (10–15). However, because most researchers have focused on particular strains, the findings are inconsistent and incomplete. In addition, a number of key strains were classified into the wrong species by the use of certain defined serotypes (11, 12), a portion of strains do not give PCR amplicons with current primer sets (12), and C. condimenti has not been included in any studies. This study therefore focused on identifying further serotypes across the entire Cronobacter genus. We combined molecular methods with phenotype observations and whole-genome analysis to confirm the currently known serotypes. These experiments resulted in the correction of the misclassified serotypes as well as the detection of new serotypes, in each case linking the serotype to the correct

Received 27 January 2015 Accepted 1 June 2015 Accepted manuscript posted online 12 June 2015 Citation Blažková M, Javůrková B, Vlach J, Göselová S, Karamonová L, Ogrodzki P, Forsythe S, Fukal L. 2015. Diversity of O antigens within the genus *Cronobacter*: from disorder to order. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:5574–5582. doi:10.1128/AEM.00277-15. Editor: P. D. Schloss Address correspondence to Martina Blažková, martina.blazkova@vscht.cz. Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AEM.00277-15. Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AEM.00277-15

Bacterial species	No. of strains	<i>fusA</i> allele(s)	No. of clinical isolates
C. condimenti	1	86	0
C. dublinensis	12	20, 21, 30, 46, 48	1
C. malonaticus	9	7,13	4
C. muytjensii	7	24, 35, 64	1
C. sakazakii	42	1, 3, 8, 9, 15, 17, 18	15
C. turicensis	8	22, 28	2
C. universalis	3	19, 32, 34	1
E. cloacae	1		
E. aerogenes	1		

species. As a conclusion, we propose a revised systematic classification of the *Cronobacter* serotypes. We believe that our results also unify current *Cronobacter* lipopolysaccharide and O-PS chemical structure research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. The bacterial cultures used in this study were provided by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA) and The Czech Collection of Microorganisms (CCM; Brno, Czech Republic). Some isolates of *Cronobacter* spp. were kindly donated by Carol Iversen (University of Dundee), Hana Drahovská (Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia), and Igor Hochel (University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Czech Republic). Details of the strains are summarized in Table 1, and the strains are characterized in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

The provisional *Cronobacter* species identity was based on the speciesspecific PCR system targeted to the *rpoB* gene (16) and confirmed using partial sequence analysis of the elongation factor G gene (*fusA*) (17, 18). DNA was extracted using a GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich), via the Gram-negative bacterial protocol. In addition, the biochemical characterization (data not shown) was performed by the use of an ID 32E test system (bioMérieux), and more-precise biochemical testing was accomplished using conventional tube methods as described previously (1, 19).

Genomes. In silico analyses were carried out using (n = 30) Cronobacter genomes accessible at GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome /?term=cronobacter) (20) and the PubMLST Cronobacter database (www .pubmlst.org/cronobacter/) (21). The latter hosts newer assemblies of the GenBank entries. The Cronobacter genomes included in the study were C. sakazakii 680, 696, 701, 2151, 8399, HPB 5174, NCIMB 8272, SP291, NBRC 102416, ATCC BAA-894, ES15, ES713, ES35, E764, and E899; C. malonaticus 507, 681, LMG 23826, and CMCC 45402; C. turicensis z3032, 564, and z610; C. condimenti 1330; C. dublinensis 582 and 1210; C. dublinensis subsp. dublinensis LMG 23823; C. dublinensis subsp. lactaridi LMG 23825; C. dublinensis subsp. lausannensis LMG 23824; C. muytjensii ATCC 51329; and C. universalis NCTC 9529 (Table 2).

Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of O-antigen gene cluster amplicons by use of MboII (OAg-RFLP). Three pairs of primers (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) for amplification of the O-antigen gene cluster (10, 13, 15) were evaluated *in silico* using Fast PCR software (PrimerDigital Ltd.). Their complementarity was tested on a set of available genomes (Table 2).

RFLP analysis of the O-antigen gene cluster was performed with all 82 strains (10). Primers were targeted to the JUMPStart site and *gnd* gene. The PCR products were digested with MboII. The resulting mixtures were separated using a 1.5% agarose gel and analyzed by BioNumerics 6.4 (Applied Maths) (Table 3).

Serotyping PCRs. Eleven PCR protocols for *Cronobacter* serotyping were applied. These methods were targeted to different serotypes and performed according to previously published protocols as follows: (i) *C. sakazakii* serotypes O1 to O7 (heptaplex, S_HO1 to S_HO7) (12), (ii) *C. sakazakii* O4 (SO4) (14), (iii) *C. malonaticus* O2 (MaO2) (13), (iv) *C.*

muytjensii O2 (MuO2) (14), (v) *C. turicensis* O2 (TO2) (11), (vi) *C. turicensis* O3 (TO3) (14), (vii) *C. dublinensis* O1 (DO1) (14), (viii) *C. dublinensis* O2 (DO2) (14), (ix) *C. universalis* O1 (UO1) (14), (x) *C. turicensis* O1 and *C. malonaticus* O1 combined (TO1/MaO1) (13), and (xi) *C. sakazakii* O3 and *C. muytjensii* O1 combined (SO3/MuO1) (13) (see Table S2 in the supplemental material).

Lipopolysaccharide structures. In this work, lipopolysaccharides are compared with their assigned serogroups. Structures of lipopolysaccharides described in the literature were discussed. The structures of the available serotypes were as follows: *C. sakazakii* O1 (22), O2 (23–25), O3 (26), O4 (27, 28), O6 (28), and O7 (29); *C. malonaticus* O1 (27), O2 (30), and O4 (11); *C. turicensis* O4 (31); *C. dublinensis* O1 (32) and O3 (33); *C. muytjensii* O1 (34); *C. universalis* O1 (35); and *E. asburiae* (36) (see Table S3 in the supplemental material).

Isolation of lipopolysaccharides. LPS was prepared following the method described by Jaradat et al. (37) with minor modifications. Briefly, cells (250 ml) were harvested in the exponential phase of growth by centrifugation (5,000 \times g, 10 min), washed 3 times, and resuspended in 5 ml of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The cells were sonicated for 30-s intervals for 5 min at 9 to 12 W. The suspension was incubated first with 20 µg/ml of proteinase K in 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) at 60°C for 1 h and second with pancreatic RNase and DNase (0.1 µg ml⁻¹) in 20 mM MgCl₂ at 37°C for 10 min. Inactivation of enzymes was performed at 60°C for 10 min, and the obtained suspension was mixed with an equal volume of preheated phenol. After incubation (70°C for 15 min) with occasional mixing was performed, the mixture was centrifuged $(18,000 \times g, 1 \text{ h})$ and the resulting aqueous layer was collected and dialyzed using dialysis tubing with molecular weight (MW) cutoff values of 6,000 to 8,000 at room temperature against distilled water until no detectable phenol odor remained. The samples were then lyophilized and stored at -20° C until used. The extracted LPSs from Cronobacter were separated using both sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and sodium deoxycholate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE and DOC-PAGE, respectively).

Software. For the *in silico* analyses, FastPCR 6.1 (27) and Genetyx 5.2 (Genetyx Corporation) were used. RFLP profiles were analyzed using the TotalLab TL100 software (Nonlinear USA Inc.).

RESULTS

Restriction fragment length polymorphism of O-antigen gene cluster. The primers (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) previously described for the amplification of complete O-antigen clusters (sequencing primers) (10, 13, 15) of *Cronobacter* strains were tested *in silico*. The emphasis was put on the sensitivity of sequencing primers. The primers were compared to the full-genome sequences of 30 *Cronobacter* strains representing the whole genus (Tables 2 and 4).

From three pairs of the sequencing primers (10, 13, 15) (see Table S2 in the supplemental material), the primer pair described by Sun et al. (10) was predicted to amplify the targeted gene cluster from most genomes (73%) (Table 2). However, seven strains of *C. sakazakii* and one of *C. condimenti* were predicted to produce no amplicon with this primer pair.

Subsequently, this set of primers was chosen for the restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of 82 *Cronobacter* strains. Amplified O-antigen gene products, with a size range of from 7 to 12.5 kbp, were detected in all strains (Table 3; see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Amplicons were digested with MboII and separated by gel electrophoresis. The restriction digest profiles produced from the tested strains were clear and reproducible, giving from 8 to 18 restriction DNA fragments with sizes ranging from 150 to 2,800 bp (Table 3; see also Fig. S1). The obtained profiles were grouped into 17 distinguishable genotypes desig-

Bacterial species Isolate GenBank accession of type (STCO) Hullane et al.* Sun et al.* Jarvis et al.* Heptaple Duples* Duples* Individual Individual C. sokzaski S. Sokzaski A. S. OL Solate Solate S. OL Solate				MLST	Size (bp) antigen cl	of amplifi uster acco	ed O- ording to:	Serotyping F	CR result(s)	
$ \begin{array}{c} C. \ sakazakii \\ C. \ sakazakii \\ C. \ sakazakii \\ exp (1) \\ exp (2) \\ exp (2)$	Bacterial species	Isolate	GenBank accession no.	sequence type (ST/CC)	Mullane et al. ^a	Sun et al. ^b	Jarvis et al. ^c	Heptaplex ^d	Duplex ^e	Individual ^f
680 NZ_CALGO1000025 8	C. sakazakii	ES15	NC_017933	125	12,660	10,684	11,418	S _H O1		
NBRC 102416 NZ_BNU0000000 8 12,370 10.395 11,418 S _H O1 ATCC BAA-894 NC_009778 1 12,371 10.395 11,771 S _H O1 ES35 NZ_AILC0000000 8 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _H O1 NCIMB 8272 NZ_AILC0000000 4 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _H O2 701 NZ_CALED100616 4 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _H O2 2151 NZ_AIST0000000 4 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _{HO4} SO4 ES713 AILB0000000 4 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _{HO4} SO4 ES713 AILB0000000 4 12,660 10.684 11,708 S _{HO4} SO4 ES713 AILB00000000 73 22,276 S _{HO4} SO4 SHO5 ES99 NZ_CALE000000007 7 9,608 7,614 8,656 MaO2 C. unicensis 23032 NZ_AIKV00000000		680	NZ_CALG01000025	8				S _H O1		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		NBRC 102416	NZ_BAWU00000000	8	12,370	10,395	11,418	S _H O1		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ATCC BAA-894	NC_009778	1	12,371	10,395	11,771	S _H O1		
NCIMB 8272 NZ_AWFW0000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr02 SP291 NC_C02260 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr02 701 NZ_CALE0100616 4 12,723 10,716 Sr102 8399 NZ_AWSP0000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr102 ES713 ALIB0000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr102 ES713 ALIB0000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr102 ES713 ALIB0000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr102 ES713 ALIB00000000 4 12,660 10,684 11,708 Sr102 ES713 ALIB00000000 12 22,276 Sr104 S04 S04 E899 NZ_CALF00000000 7 9,608 7,614 8,656 Sr105 Ma02 C. malonaticus 507 NZ_CALF00000000 7 9,396 7,386 8,444 S1,066 Ma02 C. turicensis 23032 NZ_CALF000000000		ES35	NZ_AJLC00000000	8				S _H O1		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		NCIMB 8272	NZ_AWFW0000000	4	12,660	10,684	11,708	S _H O2		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		SP291	NC_020260	4	12,660	10,684	11,708	S _H O2		
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		701	NZ_CALE01000616	4	12,723	10,716	11,771	S _H O2		
$ \begin{array}{c} 2151 \\ ES713 \\ ES713 \\ ALLB0000000 \\ 4 \\ E764 \\ B764 \\ B7$		8399	NZ_AWSP0000000	4	12,660	10,684	11,708	S _H O2		
ES713 E764AJLB0000000 AZ4 F764AJLB0000000 AZ4 AZAJLB0000000 F7 SHO4SO4 SO4C. malonaticus507 681 CMCC 45402gNZ_ALD0000000 NZ_ALC0000000011 7 714,356 9,608 7,51412,378 8,656 8,444 5,40613,404 5,406Std <so4 </so4 SO4C. malonaticus507 681 CMCC 45402gNZ_CALD00000000 NZ_AIKV000000011 7 7 9,39614,356 9,396 7,38713,404 8,444 8,066Std <mao2 </mao2 MAO2 MAO2 MAO2 MAO2C. turicensis3032 564NC_013282 NZ_CALB000000002414,484 10,79213,532 8,814TO1/MaO1C. condimenti1330NZ_CALM0000000 NZ_CAK2000000098TO1/MaO1DO2 DO1C. dublinensisS82 1210NZ_CALA0000000010613,3577,641 11,38312,410DO1C. dublinensisLMG 23823NZ_AJKX00000007912,37410,39611,423D01C. dublinensis subsp. LatcaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKX00000007912,37411,423LM2D02		2151	NZ_AJKT0000000	4	12,660	10,684	11,708	S _H O2		
E764 696 HPB5174 RS2_INBN0000000 E899NZ_AIF0000000 12 NZ_AFM00000000 45Z2,276 S_HO4 S_HO4 SO4 SO4 SO4C. malonaticus 507 681 CMCC 45402s LMG 23826NZ_CALL00000000 NZ_CAILC00000000 NZ_AIKV00000000 NZ_AIKV0000000011 14,356 9,396 $12,378$ 9,396 $13,404$ S_{144} S_{1656} $S_{14}O6$ $SO4$ SO4C. malonaticus 507 681 CMCC 45402s LMG 23826NZ_CALL000000000 NZ_AJKV00000000 NZ_AJKV0000000011 7 $14,356$ $9,39613,4047,387S_{14}O58,656MaO2S_{14}O6C. turicensis23032S64NZ_CALB00000000NZ_CALB000000002414,48410,79212,46310,79213,5329,840TO1/MaO1C. condimenti1330NZ_CALA00000000NZ_CAKZ000000098TO1/MaO1DO2DO1C. dublinensisS821210NZ_AJKZ0000000NZ_CAKZ000000010613,3577,64111,3831,2,410DO1C. dublinensisLMG 23823NZ_AJKZ0000000NZ_CAKZ000000010613,36211,3831,423DO1C. dublinensis subsp.LactaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKX0000000NZ_AJKX00000007912,37410,3961,423DO1C. dublinensislactaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKY00000000807,4231,423DO2$		ES713	AJLB0000000	4						
696 HPB5174 E899NZ_CALF0000000 NZ_AFMO000000012 4522,276 5, $HO4$ SHO4 SHO4 SHO4SO4 SO4C. malonaticus507 681 CMCC 454028NZ_CALD0000000 NZ_CALC0000000 NZ_CALC000000011 714,356 9,608 7,38612,378 8,64413,404 SHO5 SHO6SHO5 SHO6MaO2 MaO2 MaO2C. turicensis23032 564NZ_CALB0000000 NZ_CALB00000002414,484 7,38712,463 8,84413,532 SHO6TO1/MaO1C. condimenti1330NZ_CALB0000000 NZ_CALB000000098TO1/MaO1DO2 DO1C. dublinensis582 1210NZ_CALK20000000 NZ_CAKZ0000000106 10613,357 11,38312,410DO2 DO1C. dublinensisLMG 23823NZ_AJKZ00000000 NZ_CAKZ000000010613,36211,383 12,41012,410DO1C. dublinensis subsp. lactaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKX00000007912,37410,39611,423DO1C. dublinensis subsp. lactaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKY0000000807,42311,423DO1		E764	NZ_AJLA0000000	73				S _H O4		SO4
HPB5174 E899NZ_NBN0000000 NZ_AFM0000000045 $S_{ij}O4$ SO4C. malonaticus507 681 CMCC 45402sNZ_CALD0000000 NZ_CALC0000000011 714,356 9,60812,378 7,61413,404 8,656 5,i06 $S_{ii}O5$ MaO2 MaO2MaO2 MaO2C. turicensis23032 564NC_013282 NZ_CALB000000002414,484 10,79213,532TO1/MaO1C. condimenti1330NZ_CAKW0000000 NZ_CAKW000000098TO1/MaO1DO2 DO1C. dublinensisS82 1210NZ_CALA00000000 NZ_CAKZ000000010613,3577,641 11,380TO1/MaO1C. dublinensisLMG 23823NZ_AJKX0000000 NZ_CAKZ000000010613,3571,423DO1C. dublinensis subsp. lucannensisLMG 23824NZ_AJKX00000007912,37410,3961,423DO2C. dublinensis subsp. lucannensisLMG 23824NZ_AJKY0000000807,42311,423DO2		696	NZ_CALF00000000	12			22,276	S _H O4		SO4
E899 NZ_AFMO0000000 4 C. malonaticus 507 NZ_CALD0000000 11 14,356 12,378 13,404 S _H O5 MaO2 C. malonaticus 507 NZ_CALC00000000 7 9,608 7,614 8,656 S _H O6 MaO2 C. malonaticus 507 NZ_CALC00000000 7 9,996 7,386 8,444 S _H O6 MaO2 C. turicensis z3032 NC_013282 24 14,484 12,463 13,532 TO1/MaO1 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CALB00000000 98 TO1/MaO1 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CALA00000000 98 DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis S82 NZ_CALX00000000 106 13,357 7,641 1,383 12,410 DO2 C. dublinensis Subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKX0000000 106 13,357 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX00000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 </td <td></td> <td>HPB5174</td> <td>NZ_JNBN0000000</td> <td>45</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S_HO4</td> <td></td> <td>SO4</td>		HPB5174	NZ_JNBN0000000	45				S _H O4		SO4
C. malonaticus 507 NZ_CALD0000000 11 14,356 12,378 13,404 S _H O5 MaO2 C. malonaticus CMCC 45402 ^g NZ_CALC0000000 7 7 9,608 7,614 8,656 S _H O6 MaO2 C. turicensis 23032 NC_013282 24 14,484 12,463 13,532 TO1/MaO1 C. turicensis 23032 NZ_CALB0000000 5 10,792 8,814 9,840 TO1/MaO1 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CALM0000000 98 TO1/MaO1 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CALX00000000 80 7,661 1,380 12,410 DO2 C. dublinensis LMG 23823 NZ_CALX00000000 80 13,357 11,483 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKX00000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX00000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY00000000 80 7,423 11,423 </td <td></td> <td>E899</td> <td>NZ_AFMO00000000</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		E899	NZ_AFMO00000000	4						
681 CMCC 454028 LMG 23826NZ_CALC0000000 NC_023032 NZ_AJKV000000007 79,608 9,3967,614 7,3878,656 8,444 8,444 9,840MaO2 MaO2 MaO2C. turicensisz3032 564NC_013282 NZ_CALB000000024 514,484 10,79212,463 8,81413,532 9,840TO1/MaO1C. condimenti1330NZ_CALB0000000 NZ_CAKW000000098TO1/MaO1DO2 DO1C. dublinensis582 1210NZ_CALA00000000 NZ_CAKZ000000080 1067,641 13,357TO1/MaO1DO2 DO1C. dublinensisLMG 23823NZ_AJKZ0000000 NZ_CAKZ000000010613,36211,383 12,41012,410DO1 DO1C. dublinensis subsp. LuctaridiLMG 23824NZ_AJKX00000007912,37410,396 14,2311,423DO1 LO2C. dublinensis subsp. LausannensisLMG 23824NZ_AJKY00000000807,42311,423DO2	C. malonaticus	507	NZ_CALD00000000	11	14,356	12,378	13,404	S _H O5		
CMCC 45402 ^s NC_023032 7 9,396 7,386 8,444 S _H O6 MaO2 C. turicensis z3032 NC_013282 24 14,484 12,463 13,532 TO1/MaO1 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CALB0000000 98 TO1/MaO1 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CALA00000000 98 DO2 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CALZO000000 80 13,357 7,641 DO2 C. dublinensis LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,357 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2		681	NZ_CALC00000000	7	9,608	7,614	8,656	S _H O6		MaO2
LMG 23826 NZ_AJKV0000000 7 7,387 S _H O6 MaO2 C. turicensis 23032 564 NC_013282 NZ_CALB0000000 24 14,484 10,792 12,463 8,814 13,532 9,840 TO1/MaO1 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CALW0000000 98 V V DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis 582 1210 NZ_CALX0000000 80 7,641 11,380 DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. dublinensis LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,357 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. lausannensis LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2		CMCC 45402 ^g	NC_023032	7	9,396	7,386	8,444	S _H O6		MaO2
C. turicensis z3032 564 NC_013282 NZ_CALB0000000 24 5 14,484 10,792 12,463 8,814 13,532 9,840 TO1/MaO1 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CAKW0000000 98 DO2 DO1 DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis 582 1210 NZ_CAKZ0000000 80		LMG 23826	NZ_AJKV00000000	7		7,387		$S_{\rm H}O6$		MaO2
564 NZ_CALB0000000 5 10,792 8,814 9,840 C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CAKW0000000 98 98 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CALA0000000 80 13,357 7,641 DO2 C. dublinensis 582 NZ_CAKZ0000000 106 13,357 7,641 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2	C. turicensis	z3032	NC_013282	24	14,484	12,463	13,532		TO1/MaO1	
C. condimenti 1330 NZ_CAKW0000000 98 C. dublinensis 582 1210 NZ_CALA00000000 NZ_CAKZ0000000 80 106 7,641 11,380 DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2		564	NZ_CALB00000000	5	10,792	8,814	9,840			
C. dublinensis 582 1210 NZ_CALA0000000 NZ_CAKZ0000000 80 106 7,641 11,380 DO2 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2	C. condimenti	1330	NZ_CAKW00000000	98						
1210 NZ_CAKZ0000000 106 13,357 11,380 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dulinensis Subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis Subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2	C. dublinensis	582	NZ_CALA00000000	80		7,641				DO2
C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23823 NZ_AJKZ0000000 106 13,362 11,383 12,410 DO1 C. dulinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2		1210	NZ_CAKZ00000000	106	13,357	11,380				DO1
C. dulinensis subsp. LMG 23825 NZ_AJKX0000000 79 12,374 10,396 11,423 DO1 C. dublinensis subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2 lausannensis NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2	C. dublinensis subsp. dublinensis	LMG 23823	NZ_AJKZ00000000	106	13,362	11,383	12,410			DO1
<i>C. dublinensis</i> subsp. LMG 23824 NZ_AJKY0000000 80 7,423 11,423 DO2 <i>lausannensis</i>	C. dulinensis subsp. lactaridi	LMG 23825	NZ_AJKX00000000	79	12,374	10,396	11,423			DO1
	C. dublinensis subsp. lausannensis	LMG 23824	NZ_AJKY00000000	80		7,423	11,423			DO2
C. muytjensii ATCC 51329 NZ_AJKU0000000 81 12,305 13,328 MuO2	C. muytjensii	ATCC 51329	NZ_AJKU00000000	81		12,305	13,328			MuO2
C. universalis NCTC 9529 NZ CAKX0000000 54 11.781 9.828 10.829 UO1	C. universalis	NCTC 9529	NZ CAKX00000000	54	11,781	9,828	10,829			UO1
NCTC 9529/2 NZ AIKW00000000 54 11.782 9.829 10.830 UO1		NCTC 9529/2	NZ_AIKW00000000	54	11,782	9.829	10.830			UO1

TABLE 2. In silico analysis of sec	mencing and ser	rotyping primers	carried out using p	ublically available	<i>Cronobacter</i> genome sequences
1110111 2 111 50000 analysis of sec	jucificiting and set	oryphile primers	carried out using p	ublically available	. Cronobucici genome sequences

^{*a*} 2008 (15).

^b 2011 (10).

^c 2011 (**13**).

^d Probe targeted to SHO1 to SHO7 (heptaplex), Sun et al., 2011 and 2012 (10, 12).

e Probe targeted to C. turicensis and C. malonaticus (TO1/MaO1) (duplex) and C. sakazakii and C. muytjensii (SO3/MuO1) (duplex), Jarvis et al., 2011 (13).

^f Probe targeted to *C. malonaticus* (MaO2), Jarvis et al., 2011 (13), and to *C. dublinensis* (DO1 and DO2), *C. sakazakii* (SO4), *C. turicensis* (TO3), *C. muytjensii* (MuO2), and *C. universalis* (UO1), Jarvis et al., 2013 (14), and Sun et al., 2012 (11).

^g Originally identified as *C. sakazakii*.

nated A to Q and Y (Tables 3 and 4; see also Table S1). Some of the characteristic profiles (A, B, C, D, E, G, H, I, J, K, and M) were identical to those previously reported (10, 13–15) (Table 4). Four previously described profiles (*C. sakazakii* O5 and O7, *C. malonaticus* O1, and *C. muytjensii* O1) were not detected within our strain collection. In contrast, we detected six new profiles, five of which were as follows: *C. turicensis* (F), *C. dublinensis* (L and Q), *C. universalis* (P), and *C. condimenti* (N). The sixth profile, that of

strain Cb43 (CDC9369-75), is very similar to that of *C. sakazakii* O2 (B), with few significant differences (lacking 1,665-bp and 975-bp bands and possessing 1,545-bp and 870-bp bands). This was probably caused by a mutation within the O-antigen gene cluster and was therefore given a different profile designation (Y).

Serotype-specific PCRs. The primers (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) previously described for the amplification of the genes from the O-antigen cluster (10–14) of *Cronobacter* were

TABLE 2 Deputts of constrains DCD and share staristic Mholl.	restriction profiles of emplified O entires gaps dustant
TABLE 5 Results of serotyping FCR and characteristic wiborr	restriction promes of amplined O-antigen gene clusters

Profile ^a	Species/strain		PC	R ser	otypir	ng ^b	Fragments (bp)
		01	02	O3	04	Heptaplex	2800 17000 17000 17000 11000 900 800 800 600 600 600 600 300 300 300
D	C. sakazakii					01	
В	C. sakazakii					O2	1 1 1 11 1 11 11 11
Y	C. sakazakii CB 43					$O2^c$	
I	C. sakazakii			S		O3	
С	C. sakazakii				S	04	
Μ	C. malonaticus		Ma			O 6	
K	C. muytjensii		Mu			-	
J	C. dublinensis subsp. lactaridi	D				-	
L	C. dublinensis subsp. dublinensis	D				-	
Е	C. dublinensis subsp. lausannensis		D			-	
Q	C. dublinensis CB 13						
Α	C. turicensis			Т		+	
G	C. turicensis	Т				-	
F	C. turicensis					-	
н	C. universalis	U				-	
Р	C. universalis					-	
Ν	C. condimenti					-	
z	C. malonaticus G2706 ^d					O5	

^a Serotypes in bold represent newly identified RFLP profiles.

^b PCR protocols were based on the literature as follows: *C. sakazakii* serotypes 1 to 7 (heptaplex, O1-SHO7) (12), *C. sakazakii* O4 (14), *C. malonaticus* O2 (13), *C. muytjensii* O2 (14), *C. turicensis* O3 (14), *C. dublinensis* O1 (14), *C. dublinensis* O2 (14), *C. universalis* O1 (UO1) (14), *C. turicensis* O3 and *C. malonaticus* O1 together (13), and *C. sakazakii* O3 and *C. muytjensii* O1 together (13). S, sakazakii; Ma, malonaticus; Mu, muytjensii; D, dublinensis, T, turicensis, U, universalis.

^c Unexpected size of the PCR product (890 bp).

^d The restriction profile was created by Genetyx software using the sequence of C. malonaticus strain G2706.

tested *in silico* using the full sequences of 30 *Cronobacter* genomes (Tables 2 and 4). Twenty-six genomes gave predicted positive results. However, primers specific for *C. sakazakii* O3 and O7, *C. turicensis* O2, and *C. sakazakii* O3/*C. muytjensii* O1 were predicted to give negative results for all tested genomes. The published serogroup-specific assays (11–15) (see Table S2) were then applied to 82 *Cronobacter* strains (see Table S1). The specificity of each of the primer pairs was cross-tested with strains in the corresponding serotype (Table 3 and 4). Briefly, the majority (78/82) of strains gave positive results, with only primers specific for *C. sakazakii* O5 and O7 and *C. turicensis* O2 giving negative results. The data from the *in silico* analysis and experimental results were collated and are discussed below.

Analysis of LPS patterns. O-antigen variations are responsible

for the well-known ladder-like patterns of LPS molecules on polyacrylamide gels. The LPS patterns were determined for 37 selected strains, including representatives of all serogroups that were distinguishable with respect to RFLP profiles (Fig. 1). Each group of strains defined by RFLP profiles demonstrated one characteristic LPS pattern. All strains showed typical smooth LPS patterns containing lipid A and core (located toward the end of each gel) and O-antigen sugar repeats, with the exception of one: strain Cb 43 produced two bands only in the lower part of the gel that were characteristic of the lipid A-oligosaccharide core (lipid A-core) and of the lipid A-core with only a short O-antigen polysaccharide or single O-unit molecule (lipid A-core plus one O unit) without any repetitive subunits in the upper part of the gel (Fig. 1).

For a polyphasic analysis of Cronobacter serotype groups, the



FIG 1 Electrophoretic patterns of LPS from *Cronobacter* strains. (Left) Silver-stained DOC-PAGE of LPS patterns of the strains of genus *Cronobacter*. Lane 1, marker (Page Ruler Plus prestained protein ladder); lane 2, Cb28 (*C. sakazakii* O1, D); lane 3, Cb24 (*C. sakazakii* O2, B); lane 4, Cb05 (*C. sakazakii* O2, B); lane 5, Cb43 (Y); lane 6, Cb08 (*C. sakazakii* O3, I); lane 7, Cb26 (*C. sakazakii* O4, C); lane 8, Cb01 (*C. malonaticus* O2, M); lane 9, Cb55 (*C. muytjensii* O2, K); lane 10, Cb79 (*C. muytjensii* O2, K); lane 11, Cb78 (*C. condimenti* O1, N); lane 12, Cb53 (*C. turicensis* O1, G); lane 13, Cb73 (*C. turicensis* O5, F); lane 14, Cb75 (*C. turicensis* O5, F); lane 15, Cb71 (*C. turicensis* O3, A); lane 16, Cb59 (*C. universalis* O1, H); lane 17, Cb70 (*C. universalis* O2, P); lane 18, Cb69 (*C. dublinensis* O2, E); lane 19, Cb62 (*C. dublinensis* O1b, L); lane 20, Cb56 (*C. dublinensis* O1a, J); lane 21, Cb13 (*C. dublinensis* O4, Q). Corresponding serotypes and restriction profiles are presented in the brackets. (Right) Silver-stained SDS-PAGE of LPS patterns of the strains of genus *Cronobacter*. Lane 1, marker (Page Ruler Plus prestained protein ladder); lane 2, Cb43 (rough type of *C. sakazakii* O2); lane 3, empty; lane 4, standard of smooth type of LPS (*E. coli* E 0111); lane 5, standard of rough type of LPS (*E. coli* EH 100).

TABLE 4 Sumn	nary of the g	enus Crono	bacter ser-	otyping													
	Result(s) of PC	CR positivity an	ıalysis ^a		No. of stra	uins with th	ne indicated	serotype	Proposed classifi	cation		Characteristic(s) repo	orted in the literature ⁸				
		Detected inte	straction(s)	Profile(: detected	s)		This study					Strain(s) subjected	Strain(s) subjected	Structure			
Species and serotype	Expected interaction(s)	In silico ^b	Collection strains ^c	by OAg. RFLP	- MLST database ^d	$\underset{studies^{\ell}}{\text{Other}}$	Known serotype	Unknown serotype	Serotype ^f	Abbreviation	Referen <i>c</i> e strain isolate	to whole-genome sequencing	to <i>rfb</i> cluster gene mapping	Strain	qtys ^h Ty	pe ^p Bo	nd qty _B ⁱ
C. sakazakii 01	S _H O1	S _H O1	S _H O1	D	48 (6)	158	18 (8)		01	S 01	ATCC 29544	ES15, 680, NBRC 102416, ATCC	ATCC 29544, NCTC 11467	ATCC 29544	B	<u> </u>	*4 2
02	S _H O2	S _H O2	S _H O2	$B, (Y)^l$	116 (55)	152	17 (7)		02	S 02	NCTC 8155	BAA-894, E535 NCIMB 8272, sp291, 701, 8399, 2151	NCTC 8155	ATCC 29004 (B	-	*2 2
														767	B B B	111	*2 2 *4 1 *2 2
03	S _H O3 SO3/MuO1	S _H O3 SO3/MuO1	S _H O3 SO3/MuO1	I	10(0)	26	4(0)		03	S 03	G2726			G2726	а <u>та</u>	. 1	*4 1
04	S _H O4 SO4	S _H O4 SO4	S _H O4 SO4	C	17 (6)	24	4(1)		04	S 04	G2594	E764, 696, HPB5174	G2594	G2594	7 B	11	*6 1 *4 1
05 06 07	S _H O5 S _H O6 S _H O7				0 (0) 3 (0) 6 (0)	ю V 8	$(0) \\ (0) $		X ^m S O6 O7	S 06 S 07	G2706" G2704 G2592		G2704 G2592	G2704 G2592	n n		*2 1 *2 1
C. malonaticus 01	TO1/MaO1				0 (0)	12	0 (0)		01	Ma 01	B615		E615	G3864 (B	1	*3 1
02	S _H O6 MaO2	S _H O6 MaO2	S _H O6 MaO2	Μ	31 (18)	35	9 (4)		02	Ma 02	LMG 23826	681, CMCC 45402, LMG 23826		HPB-3267	B	1	*2 1
On ^j On ^j	T02	S _H O5		°Z	$\begin{array}{c}1 \ (1)\\0 \ (0)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & (0) \\ 1 & \end{array}$	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)		$\begin{array}{c} S05 \rightarrow \text{Ma} 03 \\ T02 \rightarrow \text{Ma} 04 \end{array}$	Ma O3 Ma O4	G2706 G3882	507	G2706 G3882	G2706 G3882	L L		
C. turicensis 01 02	TO1/MaO1 TO2	T01/Ma01	TO1/MaO1	IJ	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (1) \\ 0 \ (0) \end{array}$	e 1	3 (2) 0		\mathbf{X}^m	T 01	LMG 23827	z3032/LMG 23827	z3032/LMG 23827 G3882	G3882 [¢]	4 L		
03	TO3		TO3 SuO5	V	0 (0)	9	3 (0)		03	T 03	E609		E609				
On ⁷ On ⁷			1	н				2	04 05	T 04 T 05	564 E676	564		57, 564, 566	4 B	1	*2 1
C. dublinensis 01	DOI	DOI	D01 D01		5(0) 0(0)	9	r 7		Ola Olb	D 01a D 01b	LMG 23823 LMG 23825	LMG 23825 1210, LMG 23823	LMG 23825, 23823, G3983,	G3983 5 G3977 0	a a	<u> </u>	*2 1 *2 1
02 0n ^j 0?*	D02	D02	D02	ы Q	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (0) \\ 0 \ (0) \end{array}$	4 0	6(1)	1	02 04	D 02 D 03 D 04? [*]	LMG 23824 HPB 3169 CB 13	LMG 23824, 582	LMG 23824	HPB 3169	L L	<u> </u>	74 T
C. muytjensii 01 02	MuO1/SO3 MuO2	MuO2	MuO2	Ж	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ (0) \\ 1 \ (0) \end{array}$	6 4	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ (0) \\ 7 \ (1) \end{array}$		01 02	Mu 01 Mu 02	7692 ATCC 51329	ATCC 51329	7692 ATCC 51329	HPB 3270	L L		
C. universalis 01 0n ^j	UOI	IOU	101	Н	1 (0)	1	2 (1)	1	01	U 01 U 02	NCTC 9529 E680	NCTC 9529	NCTC 9529	NCTC 9529	t L		
C. condimenti On ^j				z				1	10	C01	1330	1330					
Previously misidentified strains <i>C. turicensis</i>									E. asburiae		HPB 3287			HPB 3287			

^c Analysis was performed on all 82 strains of *Cronobacter*.

^d The numbers of the strains of clinical origin are shown in parentheses.

^{*e*} Characteristics from the literature (10–15).

 f RFLP profiles and serotypes in bold are newly identified.

Data represent characteristics reported in the literature (10-14, 22–31, 34–36). The structures highlighted in gray belong to C. dublinensis serotype O1 without recognition between D O1a and D O1b. qty_s, quantity of sugars in O-antigen unit.

¹ qty_B, quantity of sugars in the branching part of the O-antigen unit

¹ On, previously unknown serotype.

^k O?, previously unknown serotype, proposed serotype.

¹ Of; previously unknown serotype, proposed serotype.
¹ RFLP profile of the strain with rough type of lipopolysaccharides.

^{*m*} X, cancelled serotype.

" Incorrectly identified strain; the MLST database identified it as C. malonaticus

° RFLP profile created by the use of Genetyx software.

L, linear, B, branched.

Diversity of O Antigen within Genus Cronobacter

laboratory results and additional information from the literature have been collated. The RFLP restriction digestion profiles were compared with LPS patterns and PCR profiling results. These laboratory results were then evaluated with existing metadata about the strains: the incidence in the *Cronobacter* PubMLST database, source, O-antigen chemical structures, genome sequences, and O-antigen gene cluster description. On the basis of this polyphasic investigation, we proposed a systematic classification of the currently known serotypes within the genus *Cronobacter* (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Serotyping is a useful method for characterization of Gram-negative bacteria. Several studies focused on the O-antigen classification within the *Cronobacter* genus have been reported, including molecular methods (12–15) as well as structural studies (12, 22, 25, 34). Until now, there have been 17 recognized serotypes among the *Cronobacter* species. However, some serotypes were defined using culture collections with strains identified by 16S rRNA and biochemical profiling, neither of which can accurate identify *Cronobacter* species (10, 17). In contrast, the multilocus sequence type (MLST) analysis of *Cronobacter* strains is supported by whole-genome phylogeny (39–41).

In this study, different serotyping techniques were used to analyze 82 different *Cronobacter* strains representing all currently known species which have been identified based on DNA sequencing and reference to the open-access PubMLST *Cronobacter* database (http://pubmlst.org/cronobacter/ [accessed 31 December 2014]) with over 1,000 described strains and metadata (39). The genotypes of the O-antigen gene clusters of the strains were evaluated by restriction analysis and PCRs using the O-antigen processing genes, and LPS patterns were determined in chosen strains (Fig. 1). The obtained results were compared with published data, including the open-access *Cronobacter* PubMLST database (Table 4).

Serotyping of *C. sakazakii***.** Within the *C. sakazakii* species, *C. sakazakii* O1 (SO1) and SO2 were the predominant serotypes; SO3 and SO4 were seen less often. Furthermore, the percentage of clinical isolates within particular serotypes was considered by reference to the *Cronobacter* PubMLST database. Almost 50% of *C. sakazakii* O2 strains from the database originated in clinical samples, and 90% are sequence type (ST) 4. This particular sequence type is of importance as it is the predominant *C. sakazakii* neonatal meningitis pathovar (42). This implies the association of this serotype with neonatal health risk. *C. sakazakii* O4 strains had a higher incidence of clinical samples (35%) than *C. sakazakii* O1 strains (12%) and *C. sakazakii* O3 strains (0%).

SO5, SO6, and SO7 were not detected in the identified *C. saka-zakii* strains in the DNA sequence base.

The structures of O antigen have been determined for *C. sakazakii* serotypes O1, O2, O3, O4, and O7 (Table 4). These contain between five and seven saccharides in each O-antigen unit (12, 22, 24–26, 28, 29). They all produce a branched form of O antigen; the most frequent serotypes, *C. sakazakii* O1 and O2, have two saccharides in the branching fragment of the O antigen, whereas the rest have only one.

Serotyping of *C. malonaticus.* Within the *C. malonaticus* species, two serotypes (MaO1 and MaO2) were previously described and we propose two new ones: *C. malonaticus* O3 (MaO3) and O4 (MaO4). *C. malonaticus* O1 shares sequence similarities with *C. turicensis* O1, *Shigella dysenteriae* D11, and *Escherichia coli* O29,

suggesting related ancestries (31). The branched type of O-antigen structure has been previously reported for the *C. malonaticus* O2 serotype (27). Approximately half of the *C. malonaticus* O2 strains are of clinical origin (n = 58) (39).

Discrepant results were observed between the species designation and serotype *C. sakazakii* O6. Only three strains in the PubMLST database are categorized as *C. sakazakii* O6. All three are *C. sakazakii* isolates from deli meat in China. *In silico* analysis showed positive results with two genomes from the species *C. malonaticus* (LMG 23826 and 681), which was consistent with obtained experimental data. All of our *C. sakazakii* strains gave negative results with *C. sakazakii* O6-specific primers; moreover, all of our *C. malonaticus* strains (identified reliably as *C. malonaticus* O2) showed the same O-antigen gene cluster profile (M) and gave positive results with this serotyping PCR. Hence, *C. sakazakii* serotype O6 should be regarded as identical to *C. malonaticus* O2.

The reassignment of *C. sakazakii* O5 and O6 to *C. malonaticus* is due to the apparent initial incorrect species identification by Sun et al. (10). The original G2706 and G2708 strains used to define the *C. sakazakii* O5 serotype were originally identified to the species level using 16S rRNA sequencing and biochemical profiling; both procedures are now recognized as being prone to errors (17). According to MLST analysis, both strains are *C. malonaticus*. With respect to these findings, we propose to reclassify these *C. sakazakii* O5 strains as *C. malonaticus* O3 based on the *C. malonaticus* 507 reference strain (restriction profile Z generated *in silico; Cronobacter* PubMLST genome sequenced strain ID74).

Furthermore, we propose the new serotype *C. malonaticus* O4 (MaO4), as specified by the G3882 reference strain. This strain was previously assigned as *C. turicensis* O2 (11); however, according to the *Cronobacter* PubMLST database, strain G3882 is *C. malonaticus* (ID949, sequence type 371). The O-antigen gene sequence, specific PCR results, and even the structure of the O-polysaccharide for this strain have already been described (11) (http: //pubmlst.org/cronobacter/). Both *C. malonaticus* O3 and O4 have the linear type of O antigen (11, 12).

Serotyping of *C. turicensis.* The species *C. turicensis* contains at least three serotypes. It is noteworthy that the LMG 23827^{T} reference strain for *C. turicensis* O1 (TO1) was isolated from blood from the fatal infant meningitis case and also that two of our three *C. turicensis* O1 strains were clinical isolates. *C. turicensis* O3 (TO3) was detected in three strains, which were also positive with *C. sakazakii* S_HO5. This can be explained by the reclassification of strain G4105 (identified by Sun et al. [10] as *C. sakazakii* O5) as a *C. turicensis* strain as given on the MLST database (http://pubmlst.org/cronobacter/). Though the described O-antigen gene clusters of *C. malonaticus* O3 and *C. turicensis* O3 share high sequence similarity (12, 14), they have different restriction profiles (Z and A, respectively) (10). To make this clear, the chemical structures of the corresponding O-antigens would be supportive, but unfortunately they have not been reported as yet.

Recently, Czerwicka et al. (31) published the only O-antigen structure of three strains of *C. turicensis*. This is a branched type of O-antigen with only four saccharides. Based on their results, the new serotype *C. turicensis* O4 (TO4) should be recognized. The new serotype is specified by the reference strain *C. turicensis* 564 (genome sequenced; *Cronobacter* PubMLST strain ID82) and has the structure published by Czerwicka et al. (31). A further *C. turicensis* serotype (O5) is proposed for reference strain E676, with a

defined RFLP profile (profile F) and LPS pattern (Table 3 and Fig. 1).

In the literature, one more O-PS structure was reported for *C. turicensis* HPB 3287 (36). It was, however, later identified as *Enterobacter asburiae*, as given in the *Cronobacter* PubMLST database (http://pubmlst.org/cronobacter/).

Serotyping of *C. dublinensis.* For *C. dublinensis*, two serotypes, *C. dublinensis* O1 (DO1) and DO2, have already been reported (14). Both serotypes were identified among our *C. dublinensis* strains. The *C. dublinensis* O1 serotyping PCR recognized strains with two types of O-antigen gene profiles (profiles J and L). Since those strains produced different lipopolysaccharide profiles (Fig. 1, lanes 20 and 19), this serotype should be subdivided as DO1a and DO1b, respectively. Two similar O-PS structures have been published for *C. dublinensis* O1 (32). Interestingly, the *C. dublinensis* serotypes mentioned above corresponded to the previous distinction of *C. dublinensis* subspecies: *C. dublinensis* O1a correlates with *C. dublinensis* subsp. *lactaridi, C. dublinensis* O1b with *C. dublinensis* subsp. *dublinensis*, and *C. dublinensis* O2 with *C. dublinensis* subsp. *lausannensis* (1).

MacLean et al. characterized the O-antigen structure of *C. dublinensis* strain HPB 3169 (33). This O antigen is structurally similar to that recently reported for *Cronobacter malonaticus* O3 strain G2706 (28). Due to the significant differences in the O-antigen gene sequence, it should be a new serotype, *C. dublinensis* O3 (DO3), specified by its O-antigen structure (33).

One of our *C. dublinensis* strains (Cb13) did not give a positive result in any serotyping PCR and had its own restriction profile (Q) and LPS pattern. This suggests that it could be a member of another *C. dublinensis* serotype, provisionally designated DO4 here; however, we do not have enough information to further classify this serotype.

Serotyping of *C. muytjensii*. Two serotypes (13, 14) have been described within the *C. muytjensii* species: O1 (MuO1) and O2 (MuO2). All *C. muytjensii* strains in this study were MuO2 and were assigned RFLP profile K.

Serotyping of *C. universalis* and *C. condimenti.* There were two RFLP restriction profiles (profiles H and P) for *C. universalis*. The two strains had previously been reported as *C. universalis* O1 (UO1) (35). The new serotype was classified as *C. universalis* O2 (UO2). The only strain of *C. condimenti* has its specific O-antigen gene profile (N) and LPS pattern, supporting assignment of its own serotype, *C. condimenti* O1 (CO1).

Occurrence of complete and incomplete LPSs within *Cronobacter* strains. In the literature, there is limited information on the occurrence of strain variants in the genus *Cronobacter*. Mullane et al. (15) reported two rough-colony strains: strain CFS1001 of *C. sakazakii* O1 and strain E892 of *C. sakazakii* O2. These strains produced restriction fragments of the O-antigen gene cluster identical to those of other (smooth) strains, namely, *C. sakazakii* O1 and O2, respectively. The mutations responsible for the rough LPS phenotype are often localized outside the O-antigen gene cluster may not indicate whether the isolate exhibits smooth or rough LPS, as was the case with strains CFS1001 and E892 (both exhibiting rough LPS) reported by Mullane et al. (15).

In our study, all strains except one (CDC9369-75) had fulllength LPSs (Fig. 1). The LPS pattern indicated a defect in the O-antigen polymerization in this strain (43, 44). Correspondingly, its O-antigen RFLP profile (Y) was very similar but not identical (see Results and Table 3) to the profile characteristic of *C.* sakazakii O2 (B), suggesting the existence of a mutation in the O-antigen cluster. This finding was supported also by the results from serotyping heptaplex PCR. The Cb43 strain gave positive results with the primer pair specific to *C.* sakazakii heptaplex O2 (S_HO2); however, the size of the product was 900 bp instead of 152 bp (specific size for all other *C.* sakazakii O2 strains). Remarkably, this primer pair is targeted to the *wzy* gene for the O-antigen polymerase that mediates the transfer of nascent polymer to the new O unit. Strains with mutations in this gene are observed to produce semirough LPS (38). It is therefore probable that the Cb43 strain shows a semirough LPS phenotype. To confirm our observations, molecular studies of the genes involved in the LPS biosynthesis, especially studies of the O antigen and the core, would be appropriate.

Conclusion. To the best of our knowledge, this report provides the first polyphasic description of serotype diversity across the entire *Cronobacter* genus. Several genotyping and phenotyping techniques were successfully combined to separate *Cronobacter* strains into serotype clusters. We compared our results with those reported in the literature and with the data from the curated openaccess *Cronobacter* PubMLST database. From the information obtained, 24 *Cronobacter* serotypes are now described. This includes 7 new serotypes. These serotypes have been characterized by the RFLP profiles of the O-antigen gene clusters and LPS patterns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Czech Grant Agency (project no. P503/ 12/P704 and project no. 13-23509S).

We thank C. Iversen from University College Dublin, H. Drahovská from Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia, and I. Hochel from the University of Chemistry and Technology in Prague, Czech Republic, for providing some bacterial strains used in this study.

This publication made use of the *Cronobacter* MLST website (http: //pubmlst.org/cronobacter/) developed by Keith Jolley and sited at the University of Oxford (21). The MLST scheme was developed by Adam Baldwin (Warwick University, United Kingdom) in close collaboration with Stephen Forsythe (Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom). The development of this site has been funded by the Wellcome Trust.

REFERENCES

- Iversen C, Mullane N, McCardell B, Tall BD, Lehner A, Fanning S, Stephan R, Joosten H. 2008. Cronobacter gen. nov., a new genus to accommodate the biogroups of Enterobacter sakazakii, and proposal of Cronobacter sakazakii gen. nov., comb. nov., Cronobacter malonaticus sp. nov., Cronobacter turicensis sp. nov., Cronobacter muytjensii sp. nov., Cronobacter dublinensis sp. nov., Cronobacter genomospecies 1, and of three subspecies, Cronobacter dublinensis subsp. dublinensis subsp. nov., Cronobacter dublinensis subsp. lausannensis subsp. nov. and Cronobacter dublinensis subsp. lactaridi subsp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58(Pt 6):1442–1447. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65577-0.
- Joseph S, Cetinkaya E, Drahovska H, Levican A, Figueras MJ, Forsythe SJ. 2012. Cronobacter condimenti sp. nov., isolated from spiced meat, and Cronobacter universalis sp. nov., a species designation for Cronobacter sp. genomospecies 1, recovered from a leg infection, water and food ingredients. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:1277–1283. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs .0.032292-0.
- Kucerova E, Clifton SW, Xia XQ, Long F, Porwollik S, Fulton L, Fronick C, Minx P, Kyung K, Warren W, Fulton R, Feng D, Wollam A, Shah N, Bhonagiri V, Nash WE, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Wilson RK, McClelland M, Forsythe SJ. 2010. Genome sequence of *Cronobacter* sakazakii BAA-894 and comparative genomic hybridization analysis with other *Cronobacter* species. PLoS One 5:e9556. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371 /journal.pone.0009556.
- 4. Holý O, Forsythe S. 2014. Cronobacter spp. as emerging causes of health-

care-associated infection. J Hosp Infect 86:169–177. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.jhin.2013.09.011.

- Jaradat ZW, Al Mousa W, Elbetieha A, Al Nabulsi A, Tall BD. 2014. *Cronobacter* spp.— opportunistic food-borne pathogens. A review of their virulence and environmental-adaptive traits. J Med Microbiol 63(Pt 8): 1023–1037.
- 6. Knirel YA, Valvano MA. 2011. Bacterial lipopolysaccharides: structure, chemical synthesis, biogenesis and interaction with host cells. Springer, New York, NY.
- Reeves PR, Hobbs M, Valvano MA, Skurnik M, Whitfield C, Coplin D, Kido N, Klena J, Maskell D, Raetz CRH, Rick PD. 1996. Bacterial polysaccharide synthesis and gene nomenclature. Trends Microbiol 4:495–503. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)82912-5.
- 8. Bergan T. 1984. Methods in microbiology. Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 9. Herikstad H, Motarjemi Y, Tauxe RV. 2002. *Salmonella* surveillance: a global survey of public health serotyping. Epidemiol Infect **129**:1–8.
- Sun Y, Wang M, Liu H, Wang J, He X, Zeng J, Guo X, Li K, Cao B, Wang L. 2011. Development of an O-antigen serotyping scheme for *Cronobacter sakazakii*. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:2209–2214. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02229-10.
- 11. Sun Y, Arbatsky NP, Wang M, Shashkov AS, Liu B, Wang L, Knirel YA. 2012. Structure and genetics of the O-antigen of *Cronobacter turicensis* G3882 from a new serotype, *C turicensis* O2, and identification of a sero-type-specific gene. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 66:323–333. http://dx .doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2012.01013.x.
- 12. Sun Y, Wang M, Wang Q, Cao B, He X, Li K, Feng L, Wang L. 2012. Genetic analysis of the *Cronobacter sakazakii* O4 to O7 O-antigen gene clusters and development of a PCR assay for identification of all *C. sakazakii* O serotypes. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:3966–3974. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AEM.07825-11.
- Jarvis KG, Grim CJ, Franco AA, Gopinath G, Sathyamoorthy V, Hu L, Sadowski JA, Lee CS, Tall BD. 2011. Molecular characterization of *Cronobacter* lipopolysaccharide O-antigen gene clusters and development of serotype-specific PCR assays. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:4017–4026. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00162-11.
- 14. Jarvis KG, Yan QQ, Grim CJ, Power KA, Franco AA, Hu L, Gopinath G, Sathyamoorthy V, Kotewicz ML, Kothary MH, Lee C, Sadowski J, Fanning S, Tall BD. 2013. Identification and characterization of five new molecular serogroups of *Cronobacter* spp. Foodborne Pathog Dis 10:343–352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1344.
- Mullane N, O'Gaora P, Nally JE, Iversen C, Whyte P, Wall PG, Fanning S. 2008. Molecular analysis of the *Enterobacter sakazakii* O-antigen gene locus. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:3783–3794. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AEM.02302-07.
- Stoop B, Lehner A, Iversen C, Fanning S, Stephan R. 2009. Development and evaluation of *rpoB* based PCR systems to differentiate the six proposed species within the genus *Cronobacter*. Int J Food Microbiol 136: 165–168. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.04.023.
- 17. Baldwin A, Loughlin M, Caubilla-Barron J, Kucerova E, Manning G, Dowson C, Forsythe S. 2009. Multilocus sequence typing of *Cronobacter sakazakii* and *Cronobacter malonaticus* reveals stable clonal structures with clinical significance which do not correlate with biotypes. BMC Microbiol 9:223. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-223.
- Joseph S, Sonbol H, Hariri S, Desai P, McClelland M, Forsythe SJ. 2012. Diversity of the *Cronobacter* genus as revealed by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 50:3031–3039. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM .00905-12.
- Karamonová L, Junková P, Mihalová D, Javùrková B, Fukal L, Rauch P, Blažková M. 2013. The potential of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the identification of biogroups of *Cronobacter sakazakii*. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 27:409– 418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6464.
- 20. Tatusova TCS, Fedorov B, O'Neill K, Tolstoy I. 2014. RefSeq microbial genomes database: new representation and annotation strategy. Nucleic Acids Res 42(Database issue):D553–D559. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1274. Accessed 30 November 2014.
- Jolley KA, Maiden MC. 2010. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. BMC Bioinformatics 11:595. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-595.
- 22. Arbatsky NP, Wang M, Shashkov AS, Feng L, Knirel YA, Wang L. 2010. Structure of the O-polysaccharide of *Cronobacter sakazakii* O1 containing

3-(*N*-acetyl-L-alanyl)amino-3,6-dideoxy-D-glucose. Carbohydr Res **345**: 2095–2098. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2010.07.013.

- 23. Maclean LL, Vinogradov E, Pagotto F, Farber JM, Perry MB. 2010. The structure of the O-antigen of *Cronobacter sakazakii* HPB 2855 isolate involved in a neonatal infection. Carbohydr Res 345:1932–1937. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2010.06.020.
- 24. Arbatsky NP, Wang M, Shashkov AS, Chizhov AO, Feng L, Knirel YA, Wang L. 2010. Structure of the O-polysaccharide of *Cronobacter sakazakii* O2 with a randomly O-acetylated L-rhamnose residue. Carbohydr Res 345:2090–2094. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2010.07.014.
- Czerwicka M, Forsythe SJ, Bychowska A, Dziadziuszko H, Kunikowska D, Stepnowski P, Kaczynski Z. 2010. Structure of the O-polysaccharide isolated from *Cronobacter sakazakii* 767. Carbohydr Res 345:908–913. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2010.01.020.
- Arbatsky NP, Sun Y, Shashkov AS, Wang M, Liu B, Daeva ED, Wang L, Knirel YA. 2012. Structure and genetics of the O-antigen of *Cronobac*ter sakazakii G2726 (serotype O3) closely related to the O-antigen of *C.* muytjensii 3270. Carbohydr Res 355:50–55.
- 27. Kalendar R, Lee D, Schulman AH. 2011. Java web tools for PCR, in silico PCR, and oligonucleotide assembly and analysis. Genomics 98:137–144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2011.04.009.
- Shashkov AS, Arbatsky NP, Knirel YA. 2011. Structures and genetics of Kdo-containing O-antigens of *Cronobacter sakazakii* G2706 and G2704, the reference strains of serotypes O5 and O6. Carbohydr Res 346:1924– 1929. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.05.014.
- 29. Arbatsky NP, Wang M, Daeva ED, Shashkov AS, Feng L, Knirel YA, Wang L. 2011. Elucidation of the structure and characterization of the gene cluster of the O-antigen of *Cronobacter sakazakii* G2592, the reference strain of *C. sakazakii* O7 serotype. Carbohydr Res 346:1169–1172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.03.022.
- Schnaitman CA, Klena JD. 1993. Genetics of lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in enteric bacteria. Microbiol Rev 57:655–682.
- 31. Czerwicka M, Marszewska K, Forsythe SJ, Bychowska A, Mazgajczyk A, Dziadziuszko H, Ossowska K, Stepnowski P, Kaczynski Z. 2013. Chemical structure of the O-polysaccharides isolated from *Cronobacter turicensis* sequence type 5 strains 57, 564, and 566. Carbohydr Res 373:89–92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2013.03.003.
- 32. Arbatsky NP, Wang M, Turdymuratov EM, Hu S, Shashkov AS, Wang L, Knirel YA. 2015. Related structures of the O-polysaccharides of *Cronobacter dublinensis* G3983 and G3977 containing 3-(*N*-acetyl-L-alanyl)amino-3,6-dideoxy-D-galactose. Carbohydr Res 404:132–137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2014.11.015.
- MacLean LL, Vinogradov E, Pagotto F, Perry MB. 2012. Structure of the O-antigen polysaccharide present in the lipopolysaccharide of *Cronobacter dublinensis* (subspecies *lactaridi* or *lausannensis*) HPB 3169. Can J Microbiol 58:540–546. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w2012-022.

- 34. MacLean LL, Pagotto F, Farber JM, Perry MB. 2009. The structure of the O-antigen in the endotoxin of the emerging food pathogen *Cronobacter* (*Enterobacter*) *muytjensii* strain 3270. Carbohydr Res 344:667–671. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2009.01.020.
- Marszewska K, Czerwicka M, Forsythe SJ, Saldak E, Szulta S, Dziadziuszko H, Ossowska K, Kaczynski Z. 2014. The structure of O-polysaccharide isolated from *Cronobacter universalis* NCTC 9529T. Carbohydr Res 398:77–79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2014.07.014.
- 36. MacLean LL, Vinogradov E, Pagotto F, Perry MB. 2011. Characterization of the lipopolysaccharide O-antigen of *Cronobacter turicensis* HPB3287 as a polysaccharide containing a 5,7-diacetamido-3,5,7,9tetradeoxy-D-glycero-D-galacto-non-2-ulosonic acid (legionaminic acid) residue. Carbohydr Res 346:2589–2594. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j .carres.2011.09.003.
- 37. Jaradat ZW, Rashdan AM, Ababneh QO, Jaradat SA, Bhunia AK. 2011. Characterization of surface proteins of *Cronobacter muytjensii* using monoclonal antibodies and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. BMC Microbiol 11:148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-148.
- Kenyon JJ, Reeves PR. 2013. The Wzy O-antigen polymerase of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis O:2a has a dependence on the Wzz chain-length determinant for efficient polymerization. FEMS Microbiol Lett 349:163–170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12311.
- Forsythe SJ, Dickins B, Jolley KA. 2014. Cronobacter, the emergent bacterial pathogen Enterobacter sakazakii comes of age; MLST and whole genome sequence analysis. BMC Genomics 15:1121. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2164-15-1121.
- Joseph S, Hariri S, Forsythe SJ. 2013. Lack of continuity between *Cronobacter* biotypes and species as determined using multilocus sequence typing. Mol Cell Probes 27:137–139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2013.02.002.
- 41. Joseph S, Desai P, Ji Y, Cummings CA, Shih R, Degoricija L, Rico A, Brzoska P, Hamby SE, Masood N, Hariri S, Sonbol H, Chuzhanova N, McClelland M, Furtado MR, Forsythe SJ. 2012. Comparative analysis of genome sequences covering the seven *Cronobacter* species. PLoS One 7:e49455. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049455.
- Joseph S, Forsythe SJ. 2011. Predominance of *Cronobacter sakazakii* sequence type 4 in neonatal infections. Emerg Infect Dis 17:1713–1715. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1709.110260.
- Daniels C, Vindurampulle C, Morona R. 1998. Overexpression and topology of the Shigella flexneri O-antigen polymerase (Rfc/Wzy). Mol Microbiol 28:1211–1222. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998 .00884.x.
- Morona R, Mavris M, Fallarino A, Manning PA. 1994. Characterization of the *rfc* region of *Shigella flexneri*. J Bacteriol 176:733–747.