

1 **MICROBIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION OF REHYDRATION**
2 **INSTRUCTIONS ON POWDERED INFANT FORMULAS, FOLLOW-UP**
3 **FORMULAS AND INFANT FOODS IN MALAYSIA**

4
5 **N. Abdullah Sani,^{*1} S.H.P. Hartantyo,^{*} and S.J. Forsythe[†]**

6 ^{*}Food Science Programme, School of Chemical Sciences and Food Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology,
7 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.

8 [†]School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Lane, Nottingham, NG11 8NS, UK
9

10 **ABSTRACT**

11
12 A total of 90 samples comprised of powdered infant formulas (51), follow-up formulas (21)
13 and infant foods (18) from 15 domestic and imported brands were purchased from various
14 retailers in Klang Valley, Malaysia and evaluated in terms of microbiological quality and the
15 similarity of rehydration instructions on the product label to guidelines set by the World Health
16 Organization. Microbiological analysis included the determination of aerobic plate count (APC)
17 and the presence of Enterobacteriaceae and *Cronobacter* spp. Isolates of interest were identified
18 using ID 32E (bioMérieux[®]). In this study 87% of powdered infant formulas, follow-up
19 formulas and infant foods analyzed had aerobic plate counts below the permitted level of $< 10^4$
20 cfu/g. These acceptable APCs ranged between $< 10^2$ to 7.2×10^3 cfu/g. The most frequently
21 isolated Enterobacteriaceae was *Enterobacter cloacae* which was present in three infant formulas
22 and one infant food tested. Other Enterobacteriaceae detected from powdered infant and follow-
23 up formulas were *Citrobacter* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and other *Enterobacter* spp. No *Cronobacter*
24 species were found in any samples. Rehydration instructions from the product labels were
25 collated and it was observed that none directed the use of water with a temperature $>70^\circ\text{C}$ for
26 formula preparation as specified by the 2008 revised World Health Organization guidelines. Six
27 brands instructed the use of water at 40-55°C, a temperature range which would support the
28 survival and even growth of Enterobacteriaceae.
29

30 **Keywords:** Powdered infant formula, follow-up formula, infant foods, rehydration instructions
31

32 **INTRODUCTION**

33
34 In terms of food safety, infants and children are considered to be a part of the high-risk group
35 of individuals as their immune systems may not yet be fully developed. Infants and young
36 children are especially vulnerable to diarrheal illnesses when introduced to fluids and foods as
37 they are weaned from breastfeeding to a mixed diet (Marino, 2007). In food, pathogens can
38 grow at room temperature. Further, elevated temperatures that are typical in tropical countries
39 can hasten pathogen multiplication (Tirado et al., 2010).

40 One of the pathogens of concern is the opportunistic *Cronobacter* (formerly *Enterobacter*
41 *sakazakii*), which has gained attention in the past decade by its association with infant infections
42 through contaminated infant formula (Joseph and Forsythe, 2011; Kucerova et al., 2011). These
43 organisms have been observed to persist in dry environments such as powdered foods and grow
44 rapidly in reconstitution (Iversen and Fanning, 2009). An early survey on the presence of

¹ Corresponding author: norra@ukm.my

45 Enterobacteriaceae in powdered infant formula (PIF) by Muytjens et al. (1988) reported that
46 52.2% of the samples contained the organisms. The following year, a case of infant formula
47 milk believed to be contaminated with Enterobacteriaceae (*Cronobacter*) during the
48 manufacturing process (Simmons et al., 1989) and three cases of neonatal meningitis caused by
49 *Cronobacter* found in dried infant formula in Iceland (Biering et al., 1989) were reported.
50 Isolation of *Cronobacter* in 16.6% of PIF samples was reported in 2004 (Iversen and Forsythe,
51 2004).

52 In 2008 the Food and Agriculture Organization/ World Health Organization (FAO/WHO)
53 issued a call for data on *Cronobacter* occurrence in PIF (intended target age < 6 months) and
54 follow-up formula (intended target age > 6 months). In response, an international survey
55 involving eight laboratories in seven different countries (including Malaysia) was coordinated in
56 order to determine the presence of *Cronobacter sakazakii* and other *Cronobacter* spp. in follow-
57 up formulas and other infant foods. Initial investigations in this study were done in line with the
58 FAO/WHO request and were subsequently published (Chap et al., 2009). However, given the
59 lack of published information in Malaysia with regards to the presence of *Cronobacter*, the
60 survey was extended to a wider range of PIF, follow-up formula (FOF) and infant or weaning
61 foods (IF) available in the country.

62 Aside from the intrinsic presence of pathogens, improper handling of infant-related food, such
63 as inadequate cleaning of bottles, multiple reheating or inappropriate rehydration procedures may
64 also favor the proliferation of harmful bacteria. For this reason, the WHO in 2007 released both
65 printed and online materials to guide the general public about safe milk handling (WHO, 2007a;
66 WHO, 2007b). It is uncertain how widely these guidelines have been distributed and adopted for
67 product instructions for the preparation of infant feed. It is worthwhile therefore to check
68 whether the instructions provided on different products are in line with these WHO
69 recommendations.

70 It is worth noting that the basic principles of food poisoning and food hygiene in developed
71 and developing countries are the same. However, food safety in developing countries such as
72 Malaysia is more challenging due to the tropical climate. Further, though the basic factors
73 preceding foodborne illness in the tropics are the same as in other places, conditions such as high
74 ambient temperature and humidity, general lack of refrigeration, local habits, impure water, poor
75 sanitary facilities and profusion of intestinal pathogens and parasites can enhance the dangers
76 (Adams, 2007). This study aims to determine the microbiological quality of PIF and related
77 products commercially available in Malaysia in terms of their aerobic plate count and the
78 presence of Enterobacteriaceae especially *Cronobacter*. This study will provide microbiological
79 surveillance data that may be used to evaluate the suitability of internationally-prescribed infant
80 formula handling and management standards to conditions in tropical developing countries.

81

82

83 MATERIALS AND METHODS

84

85 *Milk Samples*

86

87 A total of 90 samples were analysed. They were comprised of PIF (51), FOF (21) and IF (18)
88 from 15 domestic and imported brands purchased from various retailers in Klang Valley,
89 Malaysia. By definition, PIF is a formula intended for use by infants from 0-6 months; FOF is a
90 formula for use by infants from 6 months onward, and infant food can be any food other than
breast milk or infant formula that is made specifically for infants. Whenever available, five

91 samples from identical production batches were obtained. Only one sample was analyzed from
92 some PIF brands as they were provided by local distributors. Product ingredients, reconstitution
93 instructions and products containing special components such as probiotic cultures were
94 recorded.

95

96 *Microbiological Analysis*

97

98 Microbiological analysis conducted in this study included the determination of aerobic plate
99 count (APC), the presence of Enterobacteriaceae and *Cronobacter* spp. Following the surface
100 spread plate method (Roberts and Greenwood, 2003) the APC in milk and infant food samples
101 were determined. Twenty five grams of each sample was added into 225ml portions of
102 Maximun Recovery Diluent (MRD, Oxoid Thermofisher, UK) and allowed to rehydrate at room
103 temperature for 10 minutes. After rehydration, the rehydrated milk was serial diluted in MRD
104 until a 10^{-5} dilution was obtained. From each MRD dilution, 0.1ml portions were spread onto
105 Plate Count Agar (PCA, Oxoid Thermofisher). The PCA was then allowed to dry, incubated
106 overnight at 37°C and discrete colonies thereafter counted. All samples were analyzed in
107 duplicate.

108 In order to determine the presence of *Cronobacter* and other Enterobacteriaceae in the milk
109 and infant food, samples were analysed as previously described by Chap et al. (2009). Samples
110 were pre-enriched by suspending 25 g in 225 ml Buffered Peptone Water (BPW, Oxoid
111 Thermofisher) and incubated at 37°C for 18-24h. After incubation, 10 ml portions were
112 transferred into 90 ml Enterobacteriaceae Enrichment (EE, Oxoid Thermo Fisher) broth and
113 incubated overnight at 37°C as an enrichment step.

114 To detect Enterobacteriaceae, 1 ml portions of EE broth were pipetted onto separate Petri
115 dishes and mixed with 10-15ml of molten, cooled Violet Red Bile Glucose agar (VRBGA, Oxoid
116 Thermo Fisher) and allowed to set. The solidified medium was then overlaid with an
117 additional 10ml of molten, cooled VRBGA and allowed to set. For *Cronobacter* detection, a
118 loopful of EE broth was streaked on Brilliance *Enterobacter sakazakii* chromogenic DFI agar
119 (Oxoid Thermo Fisher). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. All samples
120 were analyzed in duplicate. Isolates of interest were identified using phenotyping (ID 32E,
121 BioMérieux® France).

122

123

123 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

124

125 *Determination of Aerobic Plate Count (APC)*

126

127 The general microbial flora present in 90 samples of PIF, FOF and IF from 15 different
128 commercial brands were determined (Table 1). From the samples analyzed, 61 had aerobic plate
129 counts (APC) less than 10^2 cfu/g; 1 of the samples had an APC between 10^2 to $< 10^3$ cfu/g; 16
130 samples had APC between 10^3 to $< 10^4$ cfu/g; and 12 samples exceeded the maximum acceptable
131 APC level of 10^4 cfu/g. It was observed that 87% (78/90) of the samples had acceptable APC
132 limits of $< 10^4$ cfu/g, a guideline of safety against possible food poisoning (Gilbert et al., 2000;
133 HMSO, 1995).

134 Very high aerobic counts ($>10^4$) were observed for seven follow-up formulas and five infant
135 foods, in agreement with studies by Chap et. al (2009). The highest APC (4.2×10^6 cfu/g) was
136 recorded for an infant cereal intended for babies aged 8-24months. This product was labeled to

137 contain the probiotic *Bifidobacterium lactis* but because of the anaerobic nature of this organism,
138 it could not have contributed to the high APC levels. According to the Malaysian regulations
139 (Regulation 26A, Act 281, 1983), food containing bifidobacteria should contain at least 10^6
140 viable cells per gram (Food Act, 2006) and it has been established that long-term consumption of
141 infant formula milk supplemented with *B. lactis* is safe and well-tolerated by infants (Saavedra et
142 al. 2004). Milk supplemented with probiotics results in certain immunomodulatory effects such
143 as decreased allergic tendencies (Rautava, 2007; Viljanen et al., 2005). The level of
144 *Enterobacteriaceae* spp. for this product was not quantified but *Enterobacteriaceae*, specifically
145 *Enterobacter vulneris* was detected using the biochemical test ID 32E.

146 Further, an infant cereal which contained *B. lactis* cultures also yielded a relatively high APC
147 of 5.5×10^4 cfu/g. A follow-up formula containing *B. longum* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*
148 probiotics showed a high APC level of 5.3×10^5 . On the other hand, a probiotic-containing
149 follow-up formula yielded an APC $< 10^2$ cfu/g despite having *Bifidus* cultures in its composition.
150 In this case, the exact *Bifidus* species was not mentioned.

151 For PIF, APCs ranged between $< 10^2$ to 7.3×10^3 cfu/g. Approximately 78% of these samples
152 had APCs $< 10^2$ cfu/g which is reported as 'undetected' according to CODEX regulations. For
153 follow-up formulas, 43% of the samples had an APC $< 10^2$ while 14% (3/21) of the samples had
154 APCs $> 10^4$, and therefore not meeting standard regulations. Of the 18 IF tested, the APC range
155 was between $< 10^2$ to 4.6×10^6 cfu/g, the highest aerobic plate count for all samples tested.
156 Around 72% of the IF samples were compliant to CODEX regulations for aerobic plate count.

157

158 **Detection of Enterobacteriaceae spp.**

159

160 The Enterobacteriaceae level is one of the microbiological criteria (ISO 21528-1, 2004; EC
161 Regulations, 2005) prescribed for dried infant formula and dried dietary foods for special
162 medical purposes intended for infants below six months of age; and it should not be present in
163 10g of the mentioned food category. These regulations further state that if Enterobacteriaceae
164 are present, the presence of *Cronobacter* should be tested. In this study, Enterobacteriaceae was
165 detected in 13/90 samples but none were confirmed to contain *Cronobacter*, following the
166 prescribed method of detection using *Cronobacter* chromogenic medium (Iversen and Forsythe,
167 2004).

168 Further biochemical profiling was conducted using the bioMerieux ID 32E system, in place of
169 the prescribed API 20E system, as preliminary studies using well characterized *Cronobacter*
170 strains cultures found ID 32E to more accurately identify the organism. The most frequent
171 organism detected was *Enterobacter* spp. (5/90 samples); followed by *Citrobacter* spp. (5/90)
172 and *Klebsiella* spp. (3/90 samples) (Table 2). These results are similar to those obtained by
173 Iversen and Forsythe (2004) who isolated Enterobacteriaceae including *Enterobacter* spp.,
174 *Pantoea* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. from various infant milk and infant food
175 samples.

176 The dose-response relationship of Enterobacteriaceae in milk powders has not been
177 established but its absence in the product provides extra protection to newborns, especially to
178 premature, immuno-compromised, low (< 2500 g) and very low (< 1500 g) birth weight babies in
179 case multiplication of the organism occurs during preparation, storage or administration of the
180 infant feed (FAO/WHO, 2004; Muytjens et al., 1988). The impact of infection largely depends
181 on the disease contracted by the neonates (Reij et al., 2009) but Bowen and Braden (2008) have
182 reported that of infants suffering from meningitis, a considerable percentage do not survive while

183 those who do survive suffer severe sequelae. More recently, Joseph and Forsythe (2011)
184 reported the association of *C. sakazakii* ST4 with the majority of neonatal meningitis cases over
185 the past 30 years. Despite the presence of Enterobacteriaceae in the samples, no outbreak or
186 documented reports have been made in Malaysia pertaining to neonatal infection following
187 consumption of any of the Enterobacteriaceae-positive products mentioned herein, or of any
188 powdered infant formula for that matter.

189 *Cronobacter* was not detected in any of the samples analyzed in this study. Other studies
190 have reported the presence of *Cronobacter* from various food products, including infant food and
191 milk but usually, the organism was found in a very low percentage of the total samples tested.
192 Reports by the FAO/WHO (2006) indicate a 2-22% incidence of *Cronobacter* spp. in PIF from
193 various studies. Tudela et al. (2008) reported the absence of pathogenic bacteria in 156
194 rehydrated milk formulas examined in a hospital.

195 It is standard procedure that 10g of sample be used for analysis. However, given the low
196 frequency of *Cronobacter* incidences, Hoque et al. (2010) states that the organism may be better
197 traced if larger volumes of sample are used. In addition to using larger volumes of sample,
198 Iversen and Forsythe (2004) suggested the use of *Cronobacter* chromogenic medium to better
199 detect the organism. This suggestion was made after chromogenic medium was observed to more
200 effectively isolate *Cronobacter* (67/485 positive samples), as compared to the conventional
201 VRBGA method (Muytjens et al., 1988) then adopted by the FDA which yielded only 19/485
202 positive samples.

203

204 ***Evaluation of Rehydration Instructions on Product Packaging***

205 The ease of application, clarity and consistency of rehydration instructions provided on infant
206 milk products are an important consideration when addressing guidance needs for the general
207 public during preparation and management of infant food. By definition of the Codex
208 Alimentarius Commission (CAC 1981, 2007), infant formula is ‘a breast-milk substitute
209 specially manufactured to satisfy, by itself, the nutritional requirements of infants during the first
210 months of life up to the introduction of appropriate complementary feeding’. The CAC
211 describes FOF as ‘food intended for use as a liquid part of the weaning diet for the infant from
212 the 6th month on and for young children’. On the other hand, IF is described as ‘food processed
213 and manufactured for the nutritional health of children in their first year of life’ (Anon, 2010).

214 The WHO has issued a set of guidelines on the safe preparation, storage and handling of
215 powdered infant formula; which includes two sets of guidelines: preparation of PIF in care
216 settings (WHO 2007a) and preparation in the home (WHO 2007b). The latter guideline has been
217 tabulated and how each powdered infant formula (PIF) and FOF product conforms to it was
218 evaluated (Table 3). While the WHO publication is a guideline and not a standard by which
219 manufacturers must comply with, it is important to note that some rehydration instructions on
220 product labels may either be insufficient, ambiguous or difficult to follow and may cause the
221 improper handling of infant formula milk.

222 The 90 samples evaluated in this study consisted of 24 different PIF and FOF products. From
223 these, 21/24 rehydration instructions specified that bottles and utensils should be sterilized by
224 boiling (Step A.4). All sample labels directed that the proper amount of boiled water be
225 transferred to a clean sterilized bottle (Step B.4); all but one specified that water should be
226 brought to boil for use in formula preparation (Step B.3) and that the exact amount of formula
227 should be added to it (Step B.5).

228 The FAO/WHO (2006) and WHO (2007a; 2007b) recommended the use of water >70°C for
229 reconstitution of powdered infant formula but none of the collated rehydration instructions
230 indicated the use of water at this temperature. When milk is prepared at the recommended 70°C,
231 milk handlers should be aware of the importance of rapid cooling in order to avoid the
232 multiplication of bacteria. From the different PIF and FOF product types, nine mentioned
233 specific temperatures ranging from 40-55°C. These temperatures, at which feed may be given to
234 infants, may have been recommended so that no further cooling would be required, as per Step
235 B.6 of the WHO guidelines. However, it is important to note that at these temperatures,
236 *Cronobacter* and other Enterobacteriaceae can grow (Chap et al., 2009). All other brands only
237 mentioned for previously boiled water to be 'cool' or 'lukewarm' prior to addition of formula.
238 These subjective temperature descriptions may contribute to the mishandling of infant formula
239 and if subsequent growth and multiplication of microorganisms occur due to these temperature
240 errors, it would be difficult to trace and take corrective action.

241 The potential growth of *Cronobacter* in bottled reconstituted infant formula milk depends on
242 several factors such as initial water temperature, temperatures of the rooms wherein the milk was
243 prepared and stored, reheating temperature and time (Rosset et al., 2007). Because of the small
244 volumes of IFM distributed to infants (roughly 30ml), Rosset et al. (2007) further stressed the
245 importance of temperature control as smaller volumes are more sensitive to temperature changes.

246 Six of the product types tested contained probiotic cultures, three were follow-up formula and
247 the others were infant cereals. For a probiotic culture to maintain its beneficial characteristics in
248 a food product, its viability should be maintained. Generally speaking, lower temperatures
249 account for better stability and the higher the temperature, the shorter time required for the
250 number of probiotic bacteria to decrease, ranging from several hours to minutes at 40-55°C and
251 seconds at higher temperatures (Lee and Salminen, 2009). In cases where the infant product
252 contains probiotic bacteria, special consideration must thus be given in terms of rehydration
253 procedure as well as the handling of the rehydrated product. All the infant cereals with
254 probiotics specified that water were to be heated and cooled to 40°C, while two of the FOF
255 (Samples 5 and 14) product labels instructed the use of boiled water cooled to 45°C. The other
256 probiotic-containing FOF (Sample J) label did not specify any temperature nor was any special
257 instructions provided.

258 Furthermore, 16/24 of the product labels did not provide specific keeping and disposal
259 instructions for unused formula. The WHO recommends that formula that has not been
260 consumed within 2 hours should be discarded. Only five products gave specific instructions for
261 handling unconsumed formula while an additional two (Samples 8 and 9) specified that a fresh
262 batch of formula should be prepared for each feeding.

263 In Malaysia in the late nineties, it was reported that 9.6% of infants were born with a low
264 weight (< 2,500g) and represents the group that is at risk of consuming contaminated feed
265 (Estuningsih and Abdullah Sani, 2008). Given this situation, possible *Cronobacter*
266 contamination in developing countries such as this should all the more be given attention because
267 hygienic conditions and facilities (such as clean running water) may not be at par with those in
268 exporting countries, or may not always be available; thus increasing the risk of contamination
269 implicating high-risk groups.

270 Contaminated water and contaminants on bottles and nipples are significant health concerns
271 for formula-fed infants (Morais et al., 1998; Morais et al., 2005). A study of over 2,000 infants
272 less than 6 months of age in the Philippines showed that consumption of even small amounts of
273 contaminated liquids nearly doubles their risk of diarrhea as compared to fully breastfed infants

274 (VanDerslice et al., 1994). Thus, when breastfeeding is not possible, it is suggested to minimize
275 possible contamination of formula by constantly monitoring both raw materials and the
276 production environment. Rehydration instructions for all infant-related products should be
277 simple and easy to apply. For multiracial and multiethnic nations such as Malaysia, it is also
278 ideal that rehydration illustrations be included on product packaging to assist those who do not
279 understand the language on the product label and those who are not literate.

280

281

CONCLUSIONS

282

283 Results of this study showed that around 13% of PIF, follow-up formula and infant food
284 samples (n=90) commercially available in Malaysia had viable counts greater than the permitted
285 10^4 cfu/g level. Enterobacteriaceae was detected in 14% (13/90) of the infant products analyzed.
286 Rehydration instructions provided on product labels are generally comprehensive but could be
287 further improved to foster consistency with guidelines prescribed by the WHO and to cater to
288 special consumer groups such as the less-educated.

289

290

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

291

292 Authors would like to acknowledge the funding assistance from the Malaysian Ministry of
293 Science, Technology and Innovation Fund (02-01-02-SF0476) as well as the Fundamental
294 Research Grants in Science (UKM-ST-07-FRGS0034-2009) of the Universiti Kebangsaan
295 Malaysia (UKM) for this project.

296

297

REFERENCES

298

299 Adams, M. 2007. Food hygiene in developing countries. In McLauchlin, J., and Little, C.
300 (Eds.). Hobbs' Food Poisoning and Food Hygiene, 7th ed. pp. 326-335. London: Edward
301 Arnold.

302 Anon. 2010. The Encyclopedia of Medical Concepts.

303 <http://www.reference.md/files/D007/mD007225.html> [18 September 2010].

304 Biering, G., S. Karlsson, N. C. Clark, K. E. Jonsdottir, P. Ludvigsson, and O. Steingrimsson.
305 1989. Three cases of neonatal meningitis caused by *Enterobacter sakazakii* in powdered
306 milk. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27: 2054-2056.

307 Bowen, A.B., and C. R. Braden. 2008. *Enterobacter sakazakii* disease and epidemiology. In
308 Farber, J. M., and Forsythe, S. J. (Eds.), *Enterobacter sakazakii*. pp. 101-125. Washington
309 D.C.: ASM Press.

310 CAC, Codex Alimentarius Commission. 1981. Standard for infant formula and formulas for
311 special medical purposes intended for infants, Vol. CODEX STAN 72 1981. Formerly
312 CAC/RS 72-1972. Adopted as a world-wide Standard 1981. Amended 1983, 1985, 1987.
313 Revision 2007.

314 Chap, J., P. Jackson, R. Siqueira, N. Gaspar, C. Quintas, J. Park, T. Osaili, R. Shaker, Z. Jaradat,
315 S. H. P. Hartantyo, N. Abdullah Sani, S. Estuningsih, and S. J. Forsythe. 2009. International
316 survey of *Cronobacter sakazakii* and other *Cronobacter* spp. in follow-up formulas and infant
317 foods. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 136(2): 185-188.

318 EC, European Commission. 2005. Regulation no. 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on
319 microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. Off. J. Euro. Union. L338: 1-26.

320 Estuningsih, S., and N. Abdullah Sani. 2008. Powdered Infant Formula in Developing and
321 Other Countries – Issues and Prospects In Farber, J. M., and Forsythe, S. J. (Eds.).
322 *Enterobacter sakazakii*. pp. 221-234. Washington D.C.: ASM Press.

323 FAO/WHO, Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization. 2004.
324 *Enterobacter sakazakii* and other microorganisms in powdered infant formula: meeting report,
325 Microbiological risk assessment Series 6. Geneva: World Health Organization- Food and
326 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

327 FAO/WHO, Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization. 2006.
328 *Enterobacter sakazakii* and *Salmonella* in powdered infant formula: meeting report,
329 Microbiological risk assessment Series 10. Geneva: World Health Organization- Food and
330 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

331 Food Act. 2006. Food Act 1983 (Act 281) and Regulations. pp. 238. Kuala Lumpur:
332 International Law Book Services.

333 Gilbert, R.J., J. D. Louvois, T. Donovan, C. Little, K. Nye, C. D. Ribeiro, J. Richards, D.
334 Roberts, and F. J. Bolton. 2000. Guidelines for the microbiological quality of some ready-to-
335 eat foods sampled at the point of sale. *Comm. Dis. Pub. Health.* 3(3):163-167.

336 HMSO. 1995. Dairy products (hygiene) regulations, Statutory Instrument No. 1086. London:
337 HMSO.

338 Hoque, A., T. Ahmed, M. Shahidullah, A. Hossain, A. Mannan, K. Noor, K. Nahar, M. Ilias, and
339 D. Ahmed. 2010. Isolation and molecular identification of *Cronobacter* spp. from powdered
340 infant formula (PIF) in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 142(3):375-378.

341 ISO 21528-1. 2004. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs -- Horizontal methods for
342 the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae -- Part 1: detection and enumeration by
343 MPN technique with pre-enrichment.
344 http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=34565 [10
345 October 2010].

346 Iversen, C., and S. Fanning. 2009. Introductory note to the *Cronobacter* special issue. *Int. J.*
347 *Food Microbiol.* 136(2):151-151.

348 Iversen, C., and S. Forsythe. 2004. Isolation of *Enterobacter sakazakii* and other
349 Enterobacteriaceae from powdered infant formula milk and related products. *Food Microbiol.*
350 21(6):771-777.

351 Joseph, S., and S. Forsythe. 2011. Association of *Cronobacter sakazakii* ST4 with neonatal
352 infections. *Emerg. Infect. Disease.* 17 (9):1713-1715.

353 Kucerova, E., S. Joseph, and S. Forsythe. 2011. The *Cronobacter* genus: ubiquity and diversity.
354 *Qual. Ass. Safety Crops and Foods.* 3(3):104-122.

355 Lee, Y.K., and S. Salminen. 2009. Handbook of probiotics and prebiotics. (2nd ed.). pp. 64.
356 New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

357 Marino, D.D. 2007. Water and Food Safety in the Developing World: Global Implications for
358 Health and Nutrition of Infants and Young Children. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 107(11):1930-1934.

359 Morais, T.B., M. B. Morais, and D. M. Sigulem. 1998. Bacterial contamination of the lacteal
360 contents of feeding bottles in metropolitan Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Bull. World Health Org.*
361 76:173-181.

362 Morais, T.B., D. M. Sigulem, H. de Sousa Maranhao, and M. B. de Morais. 2005. Bacterial
363 contamination and nutrient content of home-prepared milk feeding bottles of infants attending
364 a public outpatient clinic. *J. Trop. Pediat.* 51:87-92.

365 Muytjens, H.L., W. H. Roelofs, and G. H. Jaspar, 1988. Quality of powdered substitutes for
366 breast milk with regard to members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. J. Clin. Microbiol.
367 26:743-746.

368 Rautava, S. 2007. Potential uses of probiotics in the neonate. Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal
369 Medicine 12(1): 45-53.

370 Reij, M.W., I. Jongenburger, E. Gkogka, L. G. M. Gorris, and M. H. Zwietering. 2009.
371 Perspective on the risk to infants in the Netherlands associated with *Cronobacter* spp.
372 occurring in powdered infant formula. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 136(2):232-237.

373 Roberts, D., and M. Greenwood. 2003. Practical Food Microbiology. (3rd Ed.). pp.65-75.
374 Washington: Blackwell Publishing.

375 Rosset, P., V. Noel, and E. Morelli. 2007. Time-temperature profiles of infant milk formula in
376 hospitals and analysis of *Enterobacter sakazakii* growth. Food Cont. 18(11):1412-1418.

377 Saavedra, J. M., A., Abi-Hanna, N., Moore, and R. H. Yolken. 2004. Long-term consumption
378 of infant formulas containing live probiotic bacteria: tolerance and safety. Am. J. Clin. Nutr.
379 79(26):1-7.

380 Simmons, B.P., M. S. Gelfand, M. Haas, L. Metts, and J. Ferguson. 1989. *Enterobacter*
381 *sakazakii* infections in neonates associated with intrinsic contamination of a powdered infant
382 formula. Infect. Cont. Hosp. Epidemiol. 10:389-401.

383 Tirado, M.C., R. Clarke, L. A. Jaykus, A. McQuatters-Gollop, and J. M. Frank. 2010. Climate
384 change and food safety: A review. Food Res. Int. 43(7):1745-1765.

385 Tudela, E., J. Croizé, A. Lagier, and M. R. Mallaret. 2008. Micobiological monitoring of milk
386 samples and surface samples in a hospital infant formula room (original article in French).
387 Pathol. Biol. (Paris). 56(5):272-278.

388 Viljanen, M., E. Savilahti, T. Haahtela, K. Juntunen-Backman, R. Korpela, T. Poussa, T. Tuure,
389 and M. Kuitunen. 2005. Probiotics in the treatment of atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome in
390 infants: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Allergy. 60(4):494-500.

391 WHO, World Health Organization. 2007a. How to prepare powdered infant formula in care
392 settings. Geneva: Department of Food Safety, Zoonoses and Foodborne Diseases, WHO and
393 the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

394 WHO, World Health Organization. 2007b. Safe preparation, storage and handling of infant
395 formula. Geneva: World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization of
396 the United Nations.

397

398

Table 1. Aerobic plate counts of different infant milk and feed samples

Product type	No. of samples	Aerobic plate count (cfu/g) ¹			
		< 10 ²	10 ² -< 10 ³	10 ³ -< 10 ⁴	>10 ⁴
Infant Formula	51	41	0	10	0
Follow-up Formula	21	9	0	5	7
Infant Food	18	11	1	1	5
Total	90	61	1	16	12

399 ¹Colony-forming units per gram of sample

400

401

402

Table 2. Types of Enterobacteriaceae detected in various milk samples

Product type/sample code	Enterobacteriaceae detected
Infant Formula E	<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> <i>Citrobacter amalonaticus</i>
Infant Formula F	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> <i>Klebsiella terrigena</i> <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> ¹
Infant Formula G	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> ¹
Infant Formula H	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>
Follow-up Formula J	<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>
Infant Food 2	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>
Infant Food 11	<i>Enterobacter vulneris</i>

403

404

405

¹Organism detected in two samples of the same product type

Table 3. Similarity of PIF and FOF rehydration instructions to WHO guidelines (2007) for preparation of infant formula

Recommended steps ^a	Sample compliance to WHO guidelines ^b																							
	4 ^c	5 [*]	6	7	8	9	10	14 [*]	20	21	22	30	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J [*]	K	L
A. Cleaning and sterilizing feeding and preparation equipment																								
1. Hands should always be washed thoroughly with soap and water before cleaning and sterilizing feeding and preparation equipment	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X
2. Wash feeding and preparation equipment (e.g. cups, bottles, teats and spoons) thoroughly in hot soapy water.	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
3. After washing the feeding and preparation equipment, rinse thoroughly in safe water.	p	p	X	✓	X	X	X	P	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	p	X	X	X	X	p	✓	X
4. Sterilizing: if using a commercial home sterilizer (e.g. electric or microwave steam sterilizer, or chemical sterilizer), follow manufacturer's instructions. Feeding and preparation equipment can also be sterilized by boiling.	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
5. Hands should be washed thoroughly with soap and water before removing feeding and preparation equipment from a sterilizer or pan. The use of sterilized kitchen tongs for handling sterilized feeding and preparation equipment is recommended.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6. Remove feeding and preparation equipment just before it is to be used. If equipment is removed from the sterilizer and not used immediately, it should be covered and stored in a clean place. Feeding bottles can be fully assembled.	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X

^a According to WHO Guidelines for safe preparation, storage and handling of powdered infant formula (2007b)

^b Key: ✓= Guideline specified on product label; X= Guideline not specified on product label; p= Guideline partially mentioned on product label

^c Numbers and letters in this row represent sample codes

^{*} Contains probiotic bacteria

Table 3. (Continued) Similarity of PIF and FOF rehydration instructions to WHO guidelines (2007) for preparation of infant formula

Recommended steps ^a (continued)	Sample compliance to WHO guidelines ^b																							
	4 ^c	5 [*]	6	7	8	9	10	14 [*]	20	21	22	30	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J [*]	K	L
B. Preparing a feed using powdered infant formula																								
1. Clean and disinfect a surface on which to prepare the feed.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X
2. Wash hands w/ soap, water; dry using a clean cloth or single-use napkin.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3. Boil a sufficient volume of safe water. If using an automatic kettle, wait until kettle switches off, make sure that the water comes to a rolling boil.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4. Taking care to avoid scalds, pour the appropriate amount of boiled water that has been allowed to cool to no less than 70 °C, into a cleaned and sterilized feeding cup or bottle.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5. To the water, add the exact amount of formula as instructed on the label.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6. Immediately after preparation, quickly cool feeds to feeding temperature by holding the bottle or feeding cup under running tap water, or by placing in a container of cold or iced water	X	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	X	P	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X
7. Dry the outside of the feeding cup or bottle with a clean or disposable cloth.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8. Because very hot water has been used to prepare the feed, it is essential that the feeding temperature is checked before feeding in order to avoid scalding the infant's mouth. If needed, continue cooling as outlined in step 6.	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X
9. Discard any feed that has not been consumed within two hours.	X	X	X	X	p	p	X	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	✓

^a According to WHO Guidelines for safe preparation, storage and handling of powdered infant formula (2007b)

^b Key: ✓= Guideline specified on product label; X= Guideline not specified on product label; p= Guideline partially mentioned on product label

^c Numbers and letters in this row represent sample codes

^d Contains probiotic bacteria