SETS OF UNIVERSAL SEQUENCES FOR THE SYMMETRIC GROUP AND ANALOGOUS SEMIGROUPS

J. HYDE, J. JONUŠAS, J. D. MITCHELL, AND Y. H. PÉRESSE

ABSTRACT. A universal sequence for a group or semigroup S is a sequence of words w_1, w_2, \ldots such that for any sequence $s_1, s_2, \ldots \in S$, the equations $w_n = s_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, can be solved simultaneously in S. For example, Galvin showed that the sequence $(a^{-1}(a^nba^{-n})b^{-1}(a^nb^{-1}a^{-n})ba)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for the symmetric group Sym(X) when X is infinite, and Sierpiński showed that $(a^2b^3(abab^3)^{n+1}ab^2ab^3)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for the monoid X^X of functions from the infinite set

In this paper, we show that under some conditions, the set of universal sequences for the symmetric group on an infinite set X is independent of the cardinality of X. More precisely, we show that if Y is any set such that $|Y| \geq |X|$, then every universal sequence for Sym(X)is also universal for Sym(Y). If $|X| > 2^{\aleph_0}$, then the converse also holds. It is shown that an analogue of this theorem holds in the context of inverse semigroups, where the role of the symmetric group is played by the symmetric inverse monoid. In the general context of semigroups, the full transformation monoid X^X is the natural analogue of the symmetric group and the symmetric inverse monoid. If X and Y are arbitrary infinite sets, then it is an open question as to whether or not every sequence that is universal for X^X is also universal for Y^{Y} . However, we obtain a sufficient condition for a sequence to be universal for X^X which does not depend on the cardinality of X. A large class of sequences satisfy this condition, and hence are universal for X^X for every infinite set X.

1. Introduction

Let F be a free group, let $w \in F$, and let G be a group. We say that the word w is group universal for G if for all $g \in G$ there exists a group homomorphism $\phi: F \longrightarrow G$ such that $(w)\phi = g$. For example, Oré [20] showed that every element of the symmetric group Sym(X)on an infinite set X is a commutator, that is, $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ is a universal word for Sym(X)when X is infinite. More generally, every element is a commutator in any Polish group with a comeagre conjugacy class [14]. There are many such groups in addition to the symmetric group; for example, the automorphism group of the countable random graph; see [14] for further examples.

Something much stronger than Ore's Theorem holds for the symmetric group: any word w, which is not a proper power of another word, in any free group F is group universal for Sym(X). Silberger [23], Droste [6], and Mycielski [19] proved some special cases of this theorem, the proof of which was completed by Lyndon [15] and Dougherty and Mycielski [4]. Droste and Truss [5] proved that certain classes of words are group universal for the automorphism group of the countably infinite random graph.

Roughly speaking, if w is a group universal word for G, then the equation w = g can be solved for all $g \in G$. It is natural to extend this to solving simultaneous equations. If F is a free group and $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in F$, then given any sequence $g_1, g_2, \ldots \in G$, is it possible to find a homomorphism $\phi: F \longrightarrow G$ such that $(w_i)\phi = g_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$? The sequence $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in F$ is group universal for G if such a homomorphism exists for all $g_1, g_2, \ldots \in G$.

In [11], Galvin showed that $(a^{-1}(a^nba^{-n})b^{-1}(a^nb^{-1}a^{-n})ba)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for the symmetric group on an infinite set. Truss [25] showed that Galvin's proof works essentially unchanged for the groups of homeomorphisms of the Cantor space, the rationals \mathbb{Q} , and the irrationals $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. In [13], the present authors showed that there is an 2-letter universal sequence for the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ of order-automorphisms of the rationals \mathbb{Q} . In [7], Droste and Shelah consider a more general notion of universality than that defined here. As a special case, it follows from the result in [7] that if X and Y are sets such that $|X|, |Y| > 2^{\aleph_0}$, then a finite sequence is universal, in our sense, for $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$ if and only if it is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(Y)$. In Corollary 2.3, we extend this result to infinite universal sequences.

Let A be a finite set, called an *alphabet*, and let A^+ denote the *free semigroup* consisting of all of the non-empty words over A with multiplication being simply the concatenation of words.

Definition 1.1. Let S be a semigroup and let A be any alphabet. Then an infinite sequence of words $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in A^+$ is semigroup universal for S if for any sequence $s_1, s_2, \ldots \in S$ there exists a homomorphism $\phi: A^+ \longrightarrow S$ such that $(w_n)\phi = s_n$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Suppose that G is a group. Since the free semigroup on a finite alphabet A is a subsemigroup of the free group on A, it follows that every semigroup universal sequence for G is also a group universal sequence for G. On the other hand, every group universal sequence over A for G is a semigroup universal sequence for G over $A \cup A^{-1}$. So, broadly speaking, the notion of semigroup universal sequences includes the corresponding notion for groups, and as such we will restrict ourselves to considering only semigroup universal sequences.

The existence of a universal sequence over a finite alphabet for a semigroup S implies that S has several further properties. For instance, if S is such a semigroup and X is any generating set for S, then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every element of S can be given as a product over X of length at most n. This is known as the Bergman property after Bergman's seminal paper [2]; see also [16, 18]. A group G with the Bergman property automatically satisfies Serré's properties (FA) and (FH); see [14]. There are, of course, many groups which have no universal sequences. For example, since every group with a universal sequence has property (FA), any group with \mathbb{Z} as a homomorphic image has no universal sequences.

The question of whether a universal sequence exists for a given semigroup has a long history, which predates Óre's Theorem [20]. In 1934, Sierpiński [21] showed that $(ab^{n-1}cd^{n-1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a universal sequence for the semigroup of continuous functions on the closed unit interval [0,1] in \mathbb{R} , and in 1935, [22] showed that $(a^2b^3(abab^3)^{n+1}ab^2ab^3)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for the semigroup X^X of functions from the infinite set X to itself where the operation is composition of functions. Several further universal sequences are known for X^X when X is infinite, such as $(aba^{n+1}b^2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$; see Banach [1]. It can be shown that universal sequences are preserved by homomorphisms of semigroups, and a, more or less straightforward, counting argument shows that every semigroup with a universal sequence is of cardinality at least continuum. It follows that a semigroup with a countable homomorphic image has no universal sequences. Some more recent results about universal sequences of semigroups include [8, Theorem 31], [9, Theorem 37], and [10, Theorem 6.1]. See [18] and the references therein for further background on universal sequences for semigroups.

Given that a universal sequence for a given semigroup S exists, it is natural to attempt to classify all of the universal sequences for S. For instance, given that universal words for the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$ on any infinite set X are completely classified, we might ask for a classification of universal sequences for $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$. We do not provide such a classification, but

in Section 2, we show that if X is any infinite set and Y is any set containing X, then every sequence that is universal for the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$ on X is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(Y)$. The converse holds when |X| is greater than 2^{\aleph_0} . It is, however, not known whether it remains true if $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$, see Question 2.5. We also show that the analogous results hold for the symmetric inverse monoids.

In the context of clones of polymorphisms, the natural equivalent of words are *terms*. In [17], McNulty gave a sufficient condition for such a sequence of terms to be universal. A special case of our main result in Section 3 and of McNulty's result, is Corollary 3.4. Taylor [24] showed that the question of whether or not a term is universal for the clone of polymorphisms is undecidable.

The question of describing universal words for X^X , and whether or not such words depend on the cardinality of X, is Problem 27 in [3]. As a partial result in the direction of solving this problem in Section 3, we give a natural sufficient condition under which a sequence over a 2-letter alphabet is universal for X^X . A special case of this condition is any sequence of distinct words w_1, w_2, \ldots where no w_i is a subword of any w_j , $i \neq j$, and no proper prefix of any w_i is a suffix of any w_j . We will show in the next proposition that the apparent restriction to 2-letter alphabets is, in fact, not a restriction at all.

Throughout the paper we use the convention that a countable set can be finite or infinite.

Proposition 1.2 (cf. Problem 27 in [3]). Let S be a semigroup and let A be an alphabet such that there is a universal sequence for S over A. Then for every countable alphabet B there exists a function $\phi: (B^+)^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow (A^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(w_1, w_2, \ldots) \in (B^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for S if and only if $(w_1, w_2, \ldots) \phi \in (A^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is universal for S.

Proof. By assumption, there exists a universal sequence $(w_1, w_2, ...) \in (A^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ for S. If $(u_1, u_2 ...)$ is a sequence over $B = \{b_1, b_2, ...\}$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $v_m \in (A^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ to be the word obtained by replacing every occurrence of every letter b_j in $u_m \in B^+$ by the word $w_j \in A^+$. We define ϕ by $(u_1, u_2, ...)\phi = (v_1, v_2, ...)$.

If $(u_1, u_2, ...)$ is universal for S over B, then for any choice of $s_1, s_2, ... \in S$ there is a homomorphism $\Phi: B^+ \longrightarrow S$ such that $(u_i)\Phi = s_i$ for all i. Since $(w_1, w_2, ...)$ is universal there is a homomorphism $\Psi: A^+ \longrightarrow S$ such that $(w_j)\Psi = (b_j)\Phi$ for all $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Then $(v_i)\Psi = (u_i)\Phi = s_i$ for all i, and so $(v_1, v_2, ...)$ is universal also.

On the other hand, if $(v_1, v_2, ...)$ is universal, then for every choice of $s_1, s_2, ... \in S$ there is a homomorphism $\Phi: A^+ \longrightarrow S$ such that $(v_i)\Phi = s_i$ for all i. If $\Psi: B^+ \longrightarrow S$ is the natural homomorphism extending $(b_j)\Psi = (w_j)\Phi$ for all j, then $(u_i)\Psi = (v_i)\Phi = s_i$ for all i, and thus $(u_1, u_2, ...)$ is universal.

We conclude this section with some standard definitions and notation. A monoid is a semigroup M with an identity, that is an element $1_M \in M$ such that $1_M m = m 1_M = m$ for all $m \in M$. A submonoid of a monoid M is a subsemigroup containing the identity 1_M of M. Any semigroup can be made into a monoid by adjoining an identity as follows. If S is a semigroup and $1_S \notin S$, define an operation on $S^1 = S \cup \{1_S\}$ which extends the operation of S by $s1_S = 1_S s = s$ for all $s \in S^1$. The set S^1 with this operation is a monoid. An element 0_S of a semigroup S is called a zero if $0_S s = s0_S = 0_S$ for all $s \in S$. A zero can be adjoined to a semigroup S in much the same way as an identity; we denote this by S^0 . The free monoid A^* is obtained from A^+ by adjoining an identity ε , usually referred to as the empty word. If $w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in A^*$ and $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ are such that $i \leq j$, then $a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$ is a prefix of w,

 $a_{j+1}\cdots a_n$ is a *suffix* of w, and $a_i\cdots a_j$ is a *subword* of w. The empty word ε is a prefix and a suffix of every word.

The analogue of the symmetric group in the context of semigroups is the *full transformation* monoid X^X consisting of all functions from the set X to X under composition of functions. Every semigroup is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of some full transformation monoid; see [12, Theorem 1.1.2].

2. The role of |X| for universal sequences in Sym(X) and I(X)

In this section, we consider a class of semigroups which includes the symmetric groups and symmetric inverse monoids on arbitrary infinite sets. In particular, let α be either an arbitrary infinite cardinal or 0, and let X be any set. Then we denote by $I(X,\alpha)$ the inverse subsemigroup of I(X) consisting of all the partial permutations f of X such that $|X \setminus \text{dom}(f)|, |X \setminus \text{ran}(f)| \leq \alpha$. Note that I(X,0) = Sym(X), the symmetric group on X, and that $I(X,\alpha)$ is the whole of I(X) for any $\alpha \geq |X|$. Recall that an infinite cardinal λ is regular if it cannot be expressed as the union of strictly less than λ many sets each of cardinality strictly less than λ .

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let X and Y be sets, and let α be any infinite cardinal number or 0. Then the following hold:

- (i) if $\aleph_0 \leq |X| < |Y|$ and $\alpha \in \{0, |Y|\}$, then every sequence that is universal for $I(X, \alpha)$ is also universal for $I(Y, \alpha)$;
- (ii) if $2^{\aleph_0} < |X| < |Y|$, $\alpha < |X|$ or $\alpha \ge |Y|$, and |X| is a regular cardinal, then every sequence that is universal for $I(Y,\alpha)$ is also universal for $I(X,\alpha)$.

Proof. (i). Let w_1, w_2, \ldots be a universal sequence for $I(X, \alpha)$ over some countable alphabet A, and let $s_1, s_2, \ldots \in I(Y, \alpha)$ be arbitrary. It follows from [13, Proposition 2.1(ii)], w_1, w_2, \ldots is also universal for $I(X, \alpha)^{|Y|}$.

We define S to be the inverse semigroup generated by $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots\}$. Then S is countable and the sets $\{(z)s : s \in S^1\}$, where $z \in Y$, partition Y into |Y| many countable sets. We refer to these sets as the *blocks* of S on Y. Define a partition $\{X_y : y \in Y\}$ of Y such that each X_y is a union of blocks and $|X_y| = |X|$, this is possible since the blocks are countable

and X is infinite. For every $y \in Y$, let $\mu_y : X_y \longrightarrow X$ be any bijection. It follows that $f: S \longrightarrow I(X, \alpha)^{|Y|}$ defined by $(s)f = (\mu_y^{-1}s\mu_y)_{y \in Y}$ is an injective homomorphism.

Define a map $g: I(X,\alpha)^{|Y|} \longrightarrow I(Y)$ by

$$((b_y)_{y\in Y})g=\bigcup_{y\in Y}\mu_yb_y\mu_y^{-1}.$$

Since the sets X_y partition Y, $((b_y)_{y\in Y})g$ is a well-defined partial permutation of Y. We will show that if α is either |Y| or 0, then, in fact, g is contained in $I(Y,\alpha)$. If α is |Y|, then $I(Y,\alpha) = I(Y)$, as required. Suppose that $\alpha = 0$. Then for every $(b_y)_{y\in Y} \in I(X,\alpha)^{|Y|}$ and every $y \in Y$

$$|X_y \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\mu_y b_y \mu_y^{-1})| = |X \setminus \operatorname{dom}(b_y)| = 0$$

and similarly

$$|X_y \setminus \operatorname{ran}(\mu_y b_y \mu_y^{-1})| = |X \setminus \operatorname{ran}(b_y)| = 0.$$

Hence the domain and range of $((b_y)_{y\in Y})g$ are both Y, and so $((b_y)_{y\in Y})g\in I(Y,\alpha)$. Hence $g:I(X,\alpha)^{|Y|}\longrightarrow I(Y,\alpha)$ is a homomorphism, and (s)fg=s for all $s\in S$.

Since $w_1, w_2, ...$ is a universal sequence for $I(X, \alpha)^{|Y|}$, there exists a homomorphism $\phi: A^+ \longrightarrow I(X, \alpha)^{|Y|}$ such that $(w_n)\phi = (s_n)f$ for all n, and so $\phi \circ g: A^+ \longrightarrow I(Y, \alpha)$ is a homomorphism and $(w_n)\phi \circ g = (s_n)fg = s_n$, as required.

(ii). Let w_1, w_2, \ldots be a universal sequence for $I(Y, \alpha)$ over some countable alphabet A, and let $s_1, s_2, \ldots \in I(X, \alpha)$ be arbitrary.

As in part (i) we denote the inverse subsemigroup of $I(X, \alpha)$ generated by $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots\}$ by S, and let Ω be the set of blocks of S on X. We define an equivalence relation \sim on Ω as follows: for $U, V \in \Omega$ we write $U \sim V$ if there is a bijection $\phi: U \longrightarrow V$ such that $s_n \circ \phi = \phi \circ s_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, $U \sim V$ if and only if the inverse semigroup S has the same action on U and V, up to relabelling the points.

If $U \in \Omega$, then $|U| \leq \aleph_0$ and since $|X| > \aleph_0$, it follows that $|\Omega| = |X|$. Since a countable semigroup has at most $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ distinct (partial) actions on a given countable set, it follows that there are at most 2^{\aleph_0} equivalence classes of \sim . Since $|\Omega| = |X| > 2^{\aleph_0}$ and |X| is a regular cardinal, Ω cannot be written as a union of 2^{\aleph_0} sets of cardinality strictly less than |X|. Hence there exists an equivalence class E of \sim such that |E| = |X|.

For a fixed $U \in E$, we define Y' to be the disjoint union of $Y \times U$ and X and also for each n we define $t_n: Y' \longrightarrow Y'$ by

$$(x)t_n = \begin{cases} (x)s_n & x \in X \\ (y,(z)s_n) & x = (y,z) \in Y \times U. \end{cases}$$

Obviously t_n is a partial permutation, and we will show that $t_n \in I(Y', \alpha)$. There are two cases to consider, when $\alpha = |Y|$ and when $\alpha < |X|$. If $\alpha = |Y|$, then $I(Y', \alpha)$ consists of all partial permutations on Y', and so $t_n \in I(Y', \alpha)$. The other case is significantly more complicated.

Claim 2.2. If $\alpha < |X|$, then $t_n \in I(Y', \alpha)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We define

$$Z = \bigcup_{m \ge 1} (X \setminus \text{dom}(s_m)) \cup (X \setminus \text{ran}(s_m)).$$

Since Z is a countable union of sets with cardinality at most α , $|Z| \leq \alpha$.

If $V, W \in E$ and $V \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, then we will show that $W \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ also. Since $V, W \in E$, there exists a bijection $\phi : V \longrightarrow W$ such that $s_n \phi = \phi s_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $x \in V \cap Z$. Then by the definition of Z there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \notin \text{dom}(s_m)$ or $x \notin \text{ran}(s_m)$. If $x \notin \text{dom}(s_m)$, then $x \notin \text{dom}(s_m \phi) = \text{dom}(\phi s_m)$. But $x \in \text{dom}(\phi) = V$, and so $(x)\phi \notin \text{dom}(s_m)$. In other words, $(x)\phi \in W \cap Z$, which is consequently non-empty. The case that $x \notin \text{ran}(s_m)$ is dual.

So, if $V \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for some $V \in E$, then $W \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for all $W \in E$. Hence since elements of E are pairwise disjoint it follows that

$$\alpha < |X| = |E| \le |\bigcup_{V \in E} V \cap Z| \le |Z| \le \alpha$$

a contradiction. Hence $V \cap Z = \emptyset$, or equivalently,

$$V \subseteq \bigcap_{m \ge 1} \operatorname{dom}(s_m) \cap \operatorname{ran}(s_m),$$

for all $V \in E$. Thus if $m \geq 1$ then $s_m|_U : U \longrightarrow U$ is surjective, and since every element of $I(X, \alpha)$ is injective, s_m is a permutation on U. Hence it follows that $Y' \setminus \text{dom}(t_n) = X \setminus \text{dom}(s_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $t_n \in I(Y', \alpha)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as required.

Since $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in A^+$ is universal for $I(Y, \alpha)$ and |Y| = |Y'|, it follows that w_1, w_2, \ldots is universal for $I(Y', \alpha)$ also. Thus there is a homomorphism $\Phi : A^+ \longrightarrow I(Y', \alpha)$ such that $(w_n)\Phi = t_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $X' = \{(x)f : x \in X, f \in (A^+)\Phi\} \cup X \subseteq Y'$. Since $(A^+)\Phi$ is countable and $|X| > \aleph_0$, it follows that |X'| = |X|.

Let T be the inverse subsemigroup of $I(Y',\alpha)$ generated by $\{t_1,t_2,\ldots\}$ and let Ω' be the set of blocks of T acting on $X'\setminus X$. Since |E|=|X| and $|\Omega'|\leq |X|$, there exists a bijection $b:E\longrightarrow \Omega'\cup E$. We will show that for every $V\in E$ there exists a bijection $\phi_V:V\longrightarrow (V)b$ such that $t_n\phi_V=\phi_Vt_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. If $(V)b\in E$, then this follows immediately from the definition of E and since $t_n|_X=s_n$. Suppose that $(V)b\in \Omega'$. If $(x,y)\in (V)b\subseteq X'\setminus X\subseteq Y'\setminus X=Y\times U$, then

$$(V)b = \{(x, (y)s) : s \in S\} = \{x\} \times U$$

since U is a block of the action of S on X. Since $U, V \in E$, there exists bijection $\phi : V \longrightarrow U$ such that $\phi s_n = s_n \phi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\phi_V : V \longrightarrow \{x\} \times U$ so that $(a)\phi_V = (x, (a)\phi)$. Since ϕ is a bijection, so too is ϕ_V . If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in V$ are arbitrary, then

$$(a)\phi_V t_n = (x, (a)\phi)t_n = (x, (a)\phi s_n) = (x, (a)s_n\phi) = (a)s_n\phi_V = (a)t_n\phi_V.$$

We define $\psi: X \longrightarrow X'$ by

$$\psi = \bigcup_{V \in E} \phi_V \cup 1_{X \setminus \bigcup_{W \in E} W}.$$

Note that ψ is injective, $\operatorname{dom}(\psi) = X$, and $\operatorname{ran}(\psi) = \left(\bigcup_{W \in E} (W)b\right) \cup \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{W \in E} W\right) = X'$. The last equality holds since b is a bijection from E to $\Omega' \cup E$ and so by definition of Ω'

$$\bigcup_{W \in E} (W)b = \bigcup_{A \in \Omega' \cup E} A = (X' \setminus X) \cup B,$$

where $B = \bigcup_{A \in E} A \subseteq X$. Hence ψ is a bijection. We will show that $\psi t_n = s_n \psi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $x \in X$. Then either $x \notin V$ for all $V \in E$ or $x \in V$ for some $V \in E$. In the first case, $(x)\psi t_n = (x)t_n = (x)s_n$ and since $(x)s_n \notin V$ for all $V \in E$, it follows that $(x)\psi t_n = (x)t_n = (x)t_$

 $(x)s_n = (x)s_n\psi$, as required. In the second case, $(x)\psi t_n = (x)\phi_V t_n = (x)t_n\phi_V = (x)s_n\phi_V$, and since $(x)s_n \in V$, $(x)s_n\phi_V = (x)s_n\psi$.

Define $\Lambda: A^+ \longrightarrow I(X,\alpha)$ by $(w)\Lambda = \psi(w)\Phi|_{X'}\psi^{-1}$ for all $w \in A^+$. By the definition of X', the partial permutation $(w)\Phi$ maps X' to X', and so $(w)\Lambda$ is a partial permutation of X. Also

$$|X \setminus \operatorname{dom}((w)\Lambda)| = |X' \setminus \operatorname{dom}((w)\Phi)| \le |Y' \setminus \operatorname{dom}((w)\Phi)| \le \alpha$$

and similarly $|X \setminus \operatorname{ran}((w)\Lambda)| \leq \alpha$. Hence $(w)\Lambda \in I(X,\alpha)$. Finally, let $u,v \in A^+$. Then

$$(uv)\Lambda = \psi(u)\Phi|_{X'}1_{X'}(v)\Phi|_{X'}\psi^{-1} = \psi(u)\Phi|_{X'}\psi^{-1}\psi(v)\Phi|_{X'}\psi^{-1} = \Lambda(u)\Lambda(v),$$

and so Λ is a homomorphism. Furthermore,

$$(w_n)\Lambda = \psi (w_n)\Phi \psi^{-1} = \psi t_n \psi^{-1} = s_n$$

and hence w_n is universal for $I(X, \alpha)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let X and Y be infinite sets such that |X| < |Y|. Then the following hold:

- (i) every sequence that is universal for Sym(X) is universal for Sym(Y);
- (ii) if $2^{\aleph_0} < |X|$, then every sequence that is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(Y)$ is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$. In particular, if $2^{\aleph_0} < |X| \le |Y|$, then the universal sequences for $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$ coincide with those for $\operatorname{Sym}(Y)$.

Proof. Part (i) follows immediately from Theorem 2.1(i), when $\alpha = 0$.

For part (ii), it suffices to show that the regularity condition in part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 can be removed. Let w_1, w_2, \ldots be a universal sequence for $\operatorname{Sym}(Y)$, let λ denote the successor cardinal of 2^{\aleph_0} , and let Z be any set of cardinality λ . Then λ is a regular cardinal, and so Theorem 2.1(ii) implies that w_1, w_2, \ldots is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(Z)$. Therefore since $|X| \geq \lambda = |Z|$, it follows from part (i) that w_1, w_2, \ldots is universal for $\operatorname{Sym}(X)$.

The proof of the next corollary is analogous to that of Corollary 2.3, if $\alpha = |Y|$ and we observe that $I(X, \alpha) = I(X)$ and $I(Y, \alpha) = I(Y)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let X and Y be infinite sets such that |X| < |Y|. Then the following hold:

- (i) every sequence that is universal for I(X) is universal for I(Y);
- (ii) if $2^{\aleph_0} < |X|$, then every sequence that is universal for I(Y) is universal for I(X).

In particular, if $2^{\aleph_0} < |X| \le |Y|$, then the universal sequences for I(X) coincide with those for I(Y).

Question 2.5. Can the assumption that $|X| > 2^{\aleph_0}$ be removed from Theorem 2.1(ii) and the corollaries following it?

3. A sufficient condition for the universality of sequences for X^X

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for a sequence over a 2-letter alphabet to be universal for X^X for any infinite X. This might be seen as a small step towards obtaining a description of the set of all universal sequences for X^X , if such a description exists; and towards resolving the following open question, which was the original motivation behind the results in this section.

Question 3.1. Let X and Y be infinite sets. Is the set of universal sequences for X^X equal to the set of universal sequences for Y^Y ?

Throughout this section, we denote by A a fixed alphabet $\{a,b\}$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$ be a sequence of elements of A^+ , and let S be a submonoid of A^* such that:

- (1) if $w_n = svuvs'$ where $s, s' \in S$, and $u, v \in A^*$, then $v \in S$;
- (2) if $w_m = svt$ and $w_n = t'vs'$, $m \neq n$, where $s, s' \in S$ and $t, t', v \in A^*$, then $v \in S$;

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every sequence **w** of elements of A^+ there is at least one submonoid of A^* satisfying these conditions, namely A^* itself.

We will show that for every sequence \mathbf{w} in A^+ there exists a least submonoid of A^* with respect to containment satisfying (1) and (2). It can be shown that an arbitrary intersection of submonoids satisfying these three conditions, also satisfies the conditions. However, we opt instead to give a construction of this least submonoid, which we will make use of later.

We define $S_0 = \{\varepsilon\}$ where ε denotes the empty word, which is the identity element of A^* . For some $n \geq 0$, suppose that we have defined a submonoid S_n of A^* . Define

```
X_n = \{v \in A^* : w_i = svuvs' \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}, s, s' \in S_n \text{ and } u \in A^*\};
```

 $Y_n = \{v \in A^* : w_i = svt, w_j = t'vs' \text{ for some distinct } i, j \in \mathbb{N}, s, s' \in S_n \text{ and } t, t' \in A^*\}.$

and set $S_{n+1} = \langle S_n, X_n, Y_n \rangle$. We define $S_{\mathbf{w}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$. Since $S_0 \leq S_1 \leq S_2 \leq \ldots$ by definition, $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a submonoid of A^* .

The next proposition is a straightforward consequence of the construction of $S_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of A^+ . Then $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the least submonoid of A^* satisfying conditions (1) and (2).

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$ be a sequence of words in A^+ such that there are no $s, t, v \in A^*$ such that $w_n = stv$ with $st, tv \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p_n, s_n, u_n \in A^*$ be such that $w_n = p_n u_n s_n$, and p_n and s_n are respectively the longest prefix and the longest suffix of w_n so that $p_n, s_n \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Suppose that u_n is a subword of w_m if and only if n = m and that u_n is not a subword of p_n for all n. Then (w_1, w_2, \ldots) is a universal sequence for X^X , where X is any infinite set.

We note that the assumption on the sequence **w** in the above theorem implies that $w_n \notin S_{\mathbf{w}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As a corollary to Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let X be an infinite set and let $w_1, w_2, ... \in A^+$ be such that no proper prefix of w_n is a suffix of any w_m , and w_n is not a subword of w_m , $m \neq n$. Then $(w_1, w_2, ...)$ is a universal sequence for X^X .

Proof. It follows from the construction of $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$, that $X_0 = Y_0 = \{\varepsilon\}$. Hence $S_{\mathbf{w}} = \{\varepsilon\}$, and so we are done by Theorem 3.3.

Two examples of sequences satisfying the hypothesis of Corollary 3.4 are $(aba^{n+1}b^2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(a^2b^3(abab^3)^{n+1}ab^2ab^3)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Banach and Sierpiński mentioned in the introduction. There are further sequences satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 but not that of Corollary 3.4. For example, it can be shown that if $w_n = aba(ab)^{n+1}bab \in A^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then (w_1, w_2, \ldots) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, even though ab is both a prefix and a suffix. In fact, $X_0 = Y_0 = \{\varepsilon, ab\}$ as each word contains a^2 exactly once, thus no prefix with more than 4 letters can be a suffix. Then $S_1 = \langle ab \rangle$ and again for the same reason as above $X_1 = Y_1 = \{\varepsilon, ab\}$. Hence $S_{\mathbf{w}} = \langle ab \rangle$ and the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 can be easily verified.

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 3.3 we prove a technical result about $S_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of A^+ such that $a, b \notin S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Then either $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in aA^*b$ and $S_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq aA^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$; or $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in bA^*a$ and $S_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq bA^*a \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

Proof. We begin by showing that $w_n \in aA^*b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $w_n \in bA^*a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $w_m \in aA^*$ and $w_n \in A^*a$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by conditions (1) and (2), $a \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, which contradicts the assumption of the lemma. Hence if there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_m \in aA^*$, then $w_n \in A^*b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, if $w_m \in bA^*$, then $w_n \in A^*a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence together these imply that $w_n \in aA^*b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $w_n \in bA^*a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as required. Assume without loss of generality that $w_n \in aA^*b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $S_0 = \{\varepsilon\}$, it suffices to show that $X_n \cup Y_n \subseteq aA^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose that $n \geq 0$ is arbitrary.

If $x \in X_n$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_m = sxuxs'$ for some $s, s' \in S_n$ and $u \in A^*$. If $x \in A^*a$, then since $w_m \in aA^*b$ there exists $q \in A^*$ such that $w_m = aqas'$. Hence $a \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (1), a contradiction. Hence $x \in A^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, and, by symmetry, $x \in aA^* \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, as required. Suppose that $y \in Y_n$. Then there exist distinct $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_m = syt = aq$ and $w_k = t'ys'$ where $s, s' \in S_n$ and $q, t, t' \in A^*$. If $y \in A^*a$, then $w_k = q'as'$ for some $q' \in A^*$ and so $a \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (2), a contradiction. Hence $y \in A^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ and by symmetry $y \in aA^* \cup \{\varepsilon\}$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots)$ be a sequence of words in A^+ such that there are no $s, t, v \in A^*$ such that $w_n = stv$ with $st, tv \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exsits $u_n \in A^+$ such that $w_n = p_n u_n s_n$ where p_n and s_n are the longest prefix and suffix, respectively, of w_n belonging to $S_{\mathbf{w}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If sum of the lengths of s_n and p_n is bigger or equal to the length of w_n , then there exists $s, t, v \in A^*$ such that $w_n = stv$, $p_n = st$, and $s_n = tv$, which is a contradiction. Otherwise there is $u_n \in A^+$ as required.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. First suppose that $a \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. We consider three cases: there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that b does not appear in w_n ; b appears at least twice in at least one w_n ; and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the letter b appears exactly once in w_n . In the first case, $w_n = a^i \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ for some $i \geq 1$, a contradiction. In the second case, $w_n = a^i b u b a^j$ for some $i, j \geq 0$ and some $u \in A^*$. Then $b \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (1), and so $S_{\mathbf{w}} = A^*$, a contradiction. In the final case, $w_n = a^{i_n} b a^{j_n}$ for some $i_n, j_n \geq 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $b \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (2), again a contradiction. Therefore $a \notin S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and the symmetric argument shows that $b \notin S_{\mathbf{w}}$. For the rest of the proof we assume that $a, b \notin S_{\mathbf{w}}$. By Lemma 3.5 we may assume that $w_1, w_2, \ldots \in aA^*b$ and $S_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq aA^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

Denote by F(A) the free group with A being the set of generators. Let Y be any set such that |Y| = |X|. Since F(A) is countable and Y is infinite, we may assume that X is the set of eventually constant sequences over $F(A) \cup Y$ such that the first element is in F(A). For convenience write the sequences from right to left, namely

$$X = \{(\dots, x_1, x_0) : x_0 \in F(A), x_i \in F(A) \cup Y \text{ for } i \geq 1, \text{ and there is } K \in \mathbb{N}$$
 such that $x_K = x_k \text{ for all } k \geq K \}.$

We proceed by proving a series of claims.

Claim 3.7. $u_n \in aA^*b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be distinct. Suppose that $u_n \in bA^*$. Then $u_n = bu$ for some $u \in A^*$, thus $w_n = p_n bu s_n$. Since $w_m \in aA^*b$ there is some $v \in A^*$ such that $w_m = av b$, and so condition (2) implies that $b \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, a contradiction. Hence $u_n \in aA^*$ and by symmetry $u_n \in A^*b$.

By construction $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ is generated by $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X_n \cup Y_n$, a set of subwords of words in \mathbf{w} . Let G_n be the set of all words in G of length at most n. Recall that we say that a generating set T is irredundant if v is not an element of the monoid generated by $T \setminus \{v\}$ for every $v \in T$. Let $T_0 = T_1 = G_1 = \{\varepsilon\}$. Then T_1 is irredundant and $T_0 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq G_1$. For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that we defined T_n such that T_n is an irredundant generating set for the monoid generated by G_n and $T_{n-1} \subseteq T_n \subseteq G_n$. Since $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a submonoid of A^* , it follows that xy cannot be a shorter word than any of x or y for all $x, y \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. If $x \in G_{n+1} \setminus G_n$ and $x \notin \langle T_n \rangle$ then $T_n \cup \{x\}$ is still irredundant. In fact, by above x cannot be used to generate any word in T_n as x is of length n+1 and every word in T_n is of length at most n. Since $G_{n+1} \setminus G_n$ is finite we can repeat this until an irredundant generating set T_{n+1} for the monoid generated by G_{n+1} is obtained. By the construction $T_n \subseteq T_{n+1} \subseteq G_{n+1}$. Therefore T_n satisfying the conditions above exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$. Then it is routine to verify that T is an irredundant generating set for $S_{\mathbf{w}}$. We note that T only needs to be a monoid generating set, and so we may assume that $\varepsilon \notin T$.

Claim 3.8. For each $v \in T$, there are $t, t' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that tv is a prefix of p_n , and vt' is a suffix of s_m .

Proof. Note that by construction, $T \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \cup Y_n$. Suppose $v \in T \cap X_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $w_n = tvuvt'$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t, t' \in S_k$, and $u \in A^*$. Hence $tv, vt' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, and so it then follows from the maximality of p_n and s_n that tv is a prefix of p_n , and vt' is a suffix of s_n . If $v \in T \cap Y_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w_n = tvq$ and $w_m = q'vt'$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q, q' \in A^*$, and $t, t' \in S_k$. Hence $tv, vt' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, and so tv is a prefix of p_n , and vt' is a suffix of s_m .

Claim 3.9. For all $v \in T$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a prefix of v is not a suffix of u_n , and a suffix of v is not a prefix of u_n .

Proof. Let $v \in T$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. By Claim 3.8 there are $t, t' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that tv is a prefix of p_m and vt' is a suffix of s_k for some $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is $r \in A^*$ so that $w_m = tvru_m s_m$. Suppose that q is a non-trivial prefix of v which is also a suffix of u_n . First, consider the case where m = n. Then $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (1) as $w_m = tqhqs_m$ for some $h \in A^*$. If $m \neq n$, then, since $w_m = tvru_m s_m$ and $w_n = p_n u_n s_n$ where $t, s_n \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, it follows from (2) that $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Hence in both cases $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, which contradicts the maximality of s_n .

The case where q is non-trivial suffix of v which is a prefix of u_n follows in an almost identical way, using $w_k = p_k u_k r' v t'$ for some $r' \in A^*$.

Claim 3.10. For every $v, v' \in T$, if a non-trivial prefix q of v is a suffix of v', then q = v = v'.

Proof. Let $v, v' \in T$ be arbitrary. Suppose that v = qr and v' = r'q for some $r, r' \in A^*$ and $q \in A^+$. By Claim 3.8 there are $t, t' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that tv is a prefix of p_n , and v't' is a suffix of s_m . If n = m then there is $x \in A^*$ such that $w_n = tvxv't' = tqrxr'qt'$, and so $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (1) since $t, t' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. If $n \neq m$, then $w_n = tvx = tqrx$ and $w_m = x'v't' = x'r'qt'$ for some $x, x' \in A^*$. Since $t, t' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, (2) implies that $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Hence $q \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in both cases.

Since $v \in T$, by Claim 3.8 there are $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $l, l' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ so that lv is a prefix of p_n and vl' is a suffix of s_m . As in the previous paragraph, if n = m then there is $x \in A^*$ such that $w_n = lvxvl' = lqrxqrl'$, and so $r \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ by (1) since $lq, l' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. If $n \neq m$, then $w_n = lvx = lqrx$ and $w_m = x'vl' = x'qrl'$ for some $x, x' \in A^*$. Since $lq, l' \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, (2) implies that $r \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Hence $r \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in both cases. Since T is irredundant, $q, r \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, and $qr \in T$, it follows that $r = \varepsilon$. The same argument for v' implies that $r' = \varepsilon$, and so q = v = v'.

Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in X^X$. We will construct a homomorphism $\Phi : A^+ \to X^X$ such that $(w_n)\Phi = f_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to do that we will require the following auxiliary functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in X^X$ defined as follows:

$$(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\alpha = (\ldots, x_0, a)$$
 and $(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\beta = (\ldots, x_0, b)$.

If $x_{i-1} \dots x_0 = v \in T$ for some $i \geq 1$, $x_j \in A^+$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$, and $x_i \in F(A)$, we define

$$(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\gamma = (\ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i v)$$

and otherwise define $(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\gamma = (\ldots, x_1, x_0)$.

Suppose there are $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $i \geq i'$, $x_{i-1} \dots x_0 = v$, and $x_{i'-1} \dots x_0 = v'$ for some $v, v' \in T$, and so that $x_j \in A^+$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$. Then v' is a suffix of v. By Claim 3.10 this is only possible if v = v'. Hence γ is well-defined. Let $\Psi : A^+ \longrightarrow X^X$ be the canonical homomorphism induced by $(a)\Psi = \alpha$ and $(b)\Psi = \beta \circ \gamma$. We will later use Ψ to define the required Φ .

Claim 3.11. For $v \in aA^*$ such that no prefix of v is a suffix of a word in T, there are $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in A^+$ such that $z_1 \ldots z_k = v$ and $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((v)\Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ for every $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$.

Proof. Let $v \in aA^*$ be such that no prefix of v is a suffix of a word in T, and let $v = y_1 \dots y_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in A$. Then $y_1 = a$, and so $(\dots, x_1, x_0)\alpha = (\dots, x_1, x_0, y_1)$ for all $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$. Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ there are $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $(\dots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \dots y_i)\Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \dots, z_j)$ for every $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $y_1 \dots y_i = z_1 \dots z_j$. We proceed with an induction on i.

In order to prove the inductive step, there are two cases to consider, either $y_{i+1} = a$, or $y_{i+1} = b$. Suppose that $y_{i+1} = a$. Since Ψ is a homomorphism, $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}) \Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j, a)$ for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $z_1 \ldots z_j a = y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}$, as required.

Suppose that $y_{i+1} = b$. Then $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j, b)\gamma$ for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $z_1 \ldots z_j b = y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}$, as Ψ is a homomorphism. Since $y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}$ is a prefix of v, by the assumption it cannot be a suffix of any word in T. Thus $z_1 \ldots z_j b \notin T$ and if $x_0, \ldots, x_t \in A^+$ then $x_t \ldots x_0 z_1 \ldots z_j b \notin T$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence either γ acts as the identity on $(\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j, b)$, or there is k > 1 such that $z_k \ldots z_j b \in T$. In the later case

$$(\dots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \dots y_{i+1}) \Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \dots, z_j, b) \gamma$$

= $(\dots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-2}, z_{k-1} z_k \dots z_j b),$

and $z_1 ldots z_j b = y_1 ldots y_{i+1}$. In both cases there are $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, ldots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 ldots y_{i+1}) \Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j)$ for every $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $y_1 ldots y_{i+1} = z_1 ldots z_j$, which proves the inductive step. Hence the claim holds by induction

Claim 3.12. Let $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. Then $(\dots, x_1, x_0)((v)\Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0v)$ for all $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $(v)\Psi$ is a bijection.

Proof. Let $v \in T$. Then $v \in aA^*b$ as $S_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq aA^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, and so v = v'b for some $v' \in aA^*$. By Claim 3.10 any proper prefix of v, and hence any prefix of v', is not a suffix of any word in T. Hence by Claim 3.11 there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((v')\Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j)$ for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $z_1 \ldots z_j = v'$. Since $v = z_1 \ldots z_j b$, Ψ is a homomorphism, and $x_0 \in F(A)$, it follows that

$$(3.1) \qquad (\dots, x_1, x_0) ((v)\Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \dots, z_j, b) \gamma = (\dots, x_1, x_0 v).$$

Clearly, $(..., x_1, x_0) \mapsto (..., x_1, x_0v^{-1})$ is the inverse map of $(v)\Psi$. Therefore, we are done, as T is a generating set for $S_{\mathbf{w}}$.

In order to define the required Φ , we need a final auxiliary function $\delta \in X^X$, defined as follows. If there exist $n, i \geq 1$, such that $x_{i-1} \cdots x_0 = u_n, x_0, \dots, x_{i-1} \in A^+$, and $x_i \in F(A)$, then we define

$$(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\delta = (\ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i p_n^{-1})f_n \circ ((s_n)\Psi)^{-1}$$

and we define $(\ldots, x_1, x_0)\delta = (\ldots, x_1, x_0)$ otherwise. Note that $((s_n)\Psi)^{-1}$ is defined by Claim 3.12. Suppose there are $i, i', n, n' \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq i'$ such that $x_{i-1} \ldots x_0 = u_n$ and $x_{i'-1} \ldots x_0 = u_{n'}$ where $x_j \in A^+$ for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, i-1\}$ and $x_i, x_{i'} \in F(A)$. Then $u_{n'}$ is a suffix of u_n . On the other hand, if $n' \neq n$, then $u_{n'}$ is not a subword of w_n (by assumption in the statement of the theorem) and hence not of u_n either. Hence n = n', and so i = i', and δ is well-defined.

Let Φ be the canonical homomorphism induced by $(a)\Phi = \alpha$ and $(b)\Phi = \beta \circ \gamma \circ \delta$.

Claim 3.13. If $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, then $(v)\Phi = (v)\Psi$.

Proof. Suppose that $v = y_1 \dots y_m \in T$ where $y_i \in A$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $S_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq aA^*b \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, it follows that $y_1 = a$, and so $(y_1)\Phi = \alpha = (y_1)\Psi$. Suppose $(y_1 \dots y_i)\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_i)\Psi$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. We proceed by indution on i.

It follows from the inductive hypothesis that $(y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_i)\Psi \circ (y_{i+1})\Phi$. If $y_{i+1} = a$, then $(y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_{i+1})\Psi$, proving the first case of the inductive step. Suppose that $y_{i+1} = b$, then $(y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_{i+1})\Psi \circ \delta$, and so $(y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Psi \circ \delta$. If i+1 < m, then $y_1 \dots y_{i+1}$ is a proper prefix of v. By Claim 3.10 for any $j \in \{1, \dots, i+1\}$ the proper prefix $y_1 \dots y_j$ of v is a not a suffix of any word in T. Since $y_1 \dots y_{i+1} \in aA^*$, by Claim 3.11 there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $z_1 \dots z_j = y_1 \dots y_{i+1}$ and $(\dots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \dots, z_j)$ for all $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$. If i+1=m, then $y_1 \dots y_{i+1} = v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, and so $(\dots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0y_1 \dots y_{i+1})$ for all $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ by Claim 3.12. Hence in any case there are $j \geq 0$, $z_0 \in A^*$, and $z_1, \dots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $z_0 \dots z_j = y_1 \dots y_{i+1}$ and for all $(\dots, x_1, x_0) \in X$

$$(3.2) \qquad (\dots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \dots y_{i+1}) \Psi) = (\dots, x_1, x_0 z_0, z_1, \dots, z_j).$$

We will show that δ acts as the identity on (\ldots, x_1, x_0) $((y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Psi)$ for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$. Fix $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$, and let $z_0, \ldots, z_j \in A^+$ be as in (3.2). Suppose that there are $k, n \geq 1$ such that $x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1, x_0 z_0 \in A^+$, $x_k \in F(A)$, and $x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_0 z_0, \ldots, z_j = u_n$. Then $z_0 \ldots z_j = y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}$ is both a prefix of v and a suffix of u_n , contradicting Claim 3.9. If k > 0 and $z_k \ldots z_j = u_n$, then u_n is a subword of v for some $v \in \mathbb{N}$. By Claim 3.8 there are $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and so $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and so $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and so the inductive of $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and so the inductive step. It then follows by induction that $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ for all $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$. In particular, if $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in then $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in particular, if $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in the $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in the $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$ in a generating set for $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, it follows that $v \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Claim 3.14. $(u_n)\Phi = (u_n)\Psi \circ \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $u_n = y_1 \dots y_m$ where $y_1, \dots, y_m \in A$. We will now show that $(y_1 \dots y_{m-1})\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_{m-1})\Psi$. Since $y_1 = a$ by Claim 3.7, it follows that $(y_1)\Phi = \alpha = (y_1)\Psi$. Suppose $(y_1 \dots y_i)\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_i)\Psi$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$. Then $(y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_1 \dots y_i)\Psi \circ (y_{i+1})\Phi$. If $y_{i+1} = a$, then $(y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_{i+1})\Psi$, and so the inductive hypothesis is satisfied. Suppose $y_{i+1} = b$. Then $(y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_{i+1})\Psi \circ \delta$. Hence $(y_1 \dots y_{i+1})\Phi =$

 $(y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Psi \circ \delta$. By Claim 3.9, for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, i+1\}$ the proper prefix $y_1 \ldots y_j$ of u_n is not a suffix of any word in T. By Claim 3.7, $y_1 \ldots y_j \in aA^*$, and so by Claim 3.11 there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_j \in A^+$ such that $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) ((y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Psi) = (\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j)$ for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$ and $z_1 \ldots z_j = y_1 \ldots y_{i+1}$.

Suppose that $z_k ldots z_j = u_t$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then u_t is a subword of u_n , and so of w_n . Hence t = n by the hypothesis of the theorem, and thus u_n is a proper subword of u_n , which is a contradiction. Suppose that $u_t = x_k \ldots x_0 z_1 \ldots z_j$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_0, \ldots, x_k \in A^+$. Then $z_1 \ldots z_j$ is a prefix of u_n and a suffix of u_t , and so $z_1 \ldots z_j \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, since $S_{\mathbf{w}}$ satisfies condition (2). But then $w_n = p_n u_n s_n = (p_n z_1 \ldots z_j)(y_{i+2} \ldots y_m) s_n$ with $p_n z_1 \ldots z_j \in S_{\mathbf{w}}$, and this contradicts the maximality of the length of p_n . So δ acts as the identity on $(\ldots, x_1, x_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j)$. Hence $(y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Phi = (y_1 \ldots y_{i+1})\Psi$. By induction $(y_1 \ldots y_{m-1})\Phi = (y_1 \ldots y_{m-1})\Psi$. Finally, $(u_n)\Phi = (u_n)\Psi \circ \delta$, as $y_m = b$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Claim 3.12, Claim 3.13, Claims 3.14, and the fact that Φ is a homomorphism, that for all $(\ldots, x_1, x_0) \in X$

$$(\dots, x_1, x_0)(w_n)\Phi = (\dots, x_1, x_0) ((p_n)\Psi \circ (u_n)\Psi \circ \delta \circ (s_n)\Psi)$$
$$= (\dots, x_1, x_0p_n) ((u_n)\Psi \circ \delta \circ (s_n)\Psi).$$

It follows from Claims 3.7, 3.9 and 3.11 that there are $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in A^+$ such that $z_1 \ldots z_k = u_n$ and

$$(\dots, x_1, x_0)(w_n)\Phi = (\dots, x_1, x_0p_n) ((u_n)\Psi \circ \delta \circ (s_n)\Psi)$$
$$= (\dots, x_1, x_0p_n, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)\delta \circ (s_n)\Psi.$$

Finally, by the definition of δ

$$(\dots, x_1, x_0)(w_n)\Phi = (\dots, x_1, x_0p_n, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)\delta \circ (s_n)\Psi$$

= $(\dots, x_1, x_0)f_n \circ ((s_n)\Psi)^{-1} \circ (s_n)\Psi$
= $(\dots, x_1, x_0)f_n$.

Therefore $(w_n)\Phi = f_n$, and since n was arbitrary, $(w_1, w_2, ...)$ is a universal sequence.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Manfred Droste for pointing out that the condition that |X| is a regular cardinal was not required in Corollaries 2.3. The authors also thank the anonymous referee for their helpful comments and corrections.

References

- [1] S. Banach. Sur un theorème de m. sierpiński. Fund. Math., 25:5-6, 1935.
- [2] G. M. Bergman. Generating infinite symmetric group. Bull. London Math. Soc., 38:429-440, 2006.
- [3] G. M. Bergman. Problem list from algebras, lattices and varieties: a conference in honor of Walter Taylor, University of Colorado, 15–18 August, 2004. Algebra Universalis, 55(4):509–526, 2006.
- [4] Randall Dougherty and Jan Mycielski. Representations of infinite permutations by words. II. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127(8):2233-2243, 1999.
- [5] M. Droste and J. K. Truss. On representing words in the automorphism group of the random graph. *J. Group Theory*, 9(6):815–836, 2006.
- [6] Manfred Droste. Classes of universal words for the infinite symmetric groups. Algebra Universalis, 20(2):205–216, 1985.
- [7] Manfred Droste and Saharon Shelah. On the universality of systems of words in permutation groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 127(2):321–328, 1987.
- [8] James East. Generation of infinite factorizable inverse monoids. Semigroup Forum, 84(2):267–283, 2012.

- [9] James East. Infinite partition monoids. Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 24(4):429-460, 2014.
- [10] James East. Infinite dual symmetric inverse monoids. Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, Jul 2017.
- [11] Fred Galvin. Generating countable sets of permutations. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 51(2):230-242, 1995.
- [12] John M. Howie. Fundamentals of semigroup theory, volume 12 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Oxford Science Publications.
- [13] J. Hyde, J. Jonušas, J. D. Mitchell, and Y. Péresse. Universal sequences for the order-automorphisms of the rationals. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), 94(1):21–37, 2016.
- [14] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal. Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 94(2):302–350, 2007.
- [15] Roger C. Lyndon. Words and infinite permutations. In Mots, Lang. Raison. Calc., pages 143–152. Hermès, Paris, 1990.
- [16] V. Maltcev, J. D. Mitchell, and N. Ruškuc. The Bergman property for semigroups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 80(1):212–232, 2009.
- [17] George F. McNulty. The decision problem for equational bases of algebras. Ann. Math. Logic, 10(3-4):193–259, 1976.
- [18] J. D. Mitchell and Y. Péresse. Generating countable sets of surjective functions. Fund. Math., 213(1):67–93, 2011.
- [19] Jan Mycielski. Representations of infinite permutations by words. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100(2):237–241, 1987.
- [20] Oystein Ore. Some remarks on commutators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2:307–314, 1951.
- [21] W. Sierpiński. Sur l'approximation des fonctions continues par les superpositions de quatre fonction. Fund. Math., 23:119–120, 1934.
- [22] W. Sierpiński. Sur les suites infinies de fonctions définies dans les ensembles quelconques. Fund. Math., 24:209-212, 1935.
- [23] D. M. Silberger. Are primitive words universal for infinite symmetric groups? Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 276(2):841–852, 1983.
- [24] Walter Taylor. Some universal sets of terms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 267(2):595-607, 1981.
- [25] J. K. Truss. private communication, 2009.