



LJMU Research Online

Duffet, D, D' Amico, M, Mulero Pazmany, MC and González-Suárez, M

Species' traits as predictors of avoidance towards roads and traffic

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/12841/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Duffet, D, D' Amico, M, Mulero Pazmany, MC and González-Suárez, M (2020) Species' traits as predictors of avoidance towards roads and traffic. Ecological Indicators, 115. ISSN 1470-160X

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Title:** Species' traits as predictors of avoidance towards roads and traffic

2
3 **Authors:** Daniela Duffett^{a,†}, Marcello D'Amico^{b,c,†}, Margarita Mulero-Pázmány^d, Manuela
4 González-Suárez^{a,*}

5
6 **Affiliations:**

7 ^a Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading,
8 Reading, RG6 6AS, UK

9 ^b THEOECO, CIBIO-InBIO, University of Porto, Vairão, 4485-661, Portugal

10 ^c School of Agriculture, CEABN-InBIO, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, 1349-017, Portugal

11 ^d School of Biological and Environmental Sciences. Liverpool John Moores University, UK

12 * Corresponding author: manuela.gonzalez@reading.ac.uk

13 † These authors contributed equally

14
15 **Declarations of interest:** none.

16
17 **Abstract**

18 Road-networks and their associated motorized traffic pose a threat to biodiversity and
19 ecosystems, with different groups of species exhibiting different avoidance responses. The
20 often species-specific nature of these behavioural responses to roads and traffic suggest that
21 morphological, ecological, life-history and behavioural traits could be useful in explaining
22 and predicting these responses. Trait-based predictive models have been used to assess
23 extinction risk, land use impacts, and road mortality. Here we present the first, to our
24 knowledge, test of their potential to address animal road avoidance. We studied the fleeing
25 responses and spatial distribution in relation to roads of diverse ungulate species across three
26 South African protected areas. Our results show that smaller, solitary species with non-
27 grazing food habits are more likely to flee in response to presence of a vehicle. None of the
28 tested traits showed a clear relationship based on biological hypotheses with initial distance to
29 roads and tolerance distance to vehicles (used to describe behavioural avoidance towards
30 roads and vehicles, respectively). However, we found significant effects that supported
31 proposed methodological hypotheses. Our results show the potential to use traits as indicators
32 of vehicle and traffic avoidance. Obtaining behavioural avoidance data in the field for many
33 species and areas can be time consuming, but here we show it may be possible to use

34 available trait data to generally predict species responses. This could be useful for initial
35 species risk assessments.

36

37 **Keywords:** African ungulates, ecotourism, flight response, road avoidance, road ecology,
38 traits-based model.

39 **1. Introduction**

40 The rapid and global expansion of road infrastructures and associated motorized traffic
41 pose a great threat to biodiversity and ecosystems worldwide (Laurance *et al.*, 2014; Ibisch *et al.*,
42 2016), even within protected areas (Garriga *et al.*, 2012; Monz *et al.*, 2016). Roads and
43 traffic affect wildlife by causing mortality due to collisions with vehicles (Coelho *et al.*, 2008;
44 D'Amico *et al.*, 2015; González-Suárez *et al.*, 2018) and fragmenting and destroying habitat
45 (Forman, 2000; Eigenbrod *et al.*, 2008). The latter include direct loss due to road
46 construction, as well as indirect losses via traffic emissions (e.g. noise, light, chemical
47 pollution) deteriorating habitat quality within road-effect zones (Forman and Deblinger, 2000;
48 Laurance *et al.*, 2014). The combined action of increased mortality by roadkill and avoidance
49 behaviours towards road-effect zones can produce barrier effects, which can lead to
50 population fragmentation, genetic isolation and even local extinction (Grilo *et al.*, 2012; Ceia-
51 Hasse *et al.*, 2018).


52 Distinct groups of species often exhibit different avoidance responses within road-effect
53 zones, including: road avoidance (which includes road-surface avoidance and habitat-gap
54 avoidance), traffic-emissions avoidance, and vehicle avoidance (Jaeger *et al.*, 2005; D'Amico
55 *et al.*, 2016). Road-surface avoidance is common among small-sized species, such as rodents,
56 that avoid the hostile conditions of the road surface (e.g. materials, temperature; McGregor *et al.*,
57 2008; Brehme *et al.*, 2013). Canopy birds and arboreal mammals usually exhibit habitat-
58 gap avoidance caused by both natural and artificial gaps in the vegetation (Laurance *et al.*,
59 2004; Chen and Koprowski, 2016). Traffic-emissions avoidance is probably the most
60 common behavioural response to roads and traffic, with different species responding to
61 different types of emissions. For example, nocturnal mammals tend to avoid street lights
62 (Francis *et al.*, 2015), many songbirds avoid traffic-related noise (including experimental
63 phantom roads; McClure *et al.*, 2013) and some ungulates preferentially graze away from
64 linear infrastructures due to road dust (Ndibalema *et al.*, 2008). Finally, vehicle avoidance
65 mostly affects species that approach roads but still react to oncoming vehicles, a reaction
66 observed in several scavenger species (Rytwinski and Fahrig, 2012).

67 The species-specific nature of behavioural responses to roads and traffic suggests that
68 some morphological, ecological, life-history and behavioural traits could be useful to explain
69 and predict these responses. Although previous studies have postulated that species' traits
70 should produce, in turn, species-specific variations in animal abundance related to roads and
71 traffic (Fahrig and Rytwinski, 2009; Rytwinski and Fahrig, 2011, 2012, 2013; Francis, 2015),
72 no previous research, to our knowledge, has directly investigated the relationship between

73 species' traits and behavioural responses to roads and traffic, and the potential of applying
74 trait-based model to assess avoidance responses to roads and traffic/vehicles. Past studies
75 have considered how species' traits influence roadkill probability (Ford and Fahrig, 2007;
76 Barthelmess and Brooks, 2010; Cook and Blumstein, 2013; D'Amico *et al.*, 2015; Santos *et*
77 *al.*, 2016), but only recently the full potential for using trait-based models in Road Ecology
78 has started to be considered, and for now has only been explored for estimates of road-
79 associated mortality (González-Suárez *et al.*, 2018).

80 Our study addresses this knowledge gap by exploring the value of diverse species' traits
81 to understand ungulate behavioural responses to roads and traffic. We considered several
82 species' traits reflecting different biological hypotheses (Table 1) based on the general
83 assumption that roads and vehicles can be perceived as a danger, similar to predators, by
84 ungulates (Frid and Dill, 2002; Lima *et al.*, 2015). We hypothesize an effect of morphology
85 on avoidance responses, because smaller species have been found to be more cautious
86 towards potential predators than larger species (Berger and Cunningham, 1988). Research has
87 shown that ungulates such as pronghorns *Antilocapra americana* in North America (Gavin
88 and Komers, 2006) and Tibetan antelopes *Pantholops hodgsonii* in Asia (Lian *et al.*, 2011)
89 can respond to vehicles as if these were predators. Species with relatively larger brains have
90 greater learning and cognitive capacity (Shultz and Dunbar, 2006), thus, we propose they may
91 be able to realize that vehicles are not actual predators (within protected areas) and show no
92 or limited avoidance responses. Foraging habits have been shown to affect anti-predatory
93 responses; the spatial distribution of browsing ungulates, but not of grazing species, has been
94 shown to change in response to predators (Valeix *et al.*, 2009), thus, we predict browsing
95 species will avoid roads and vehicles more often. Longevity may also be important because
96 long-lived species have greater learning capacities (Street *et al.*, 2017) and their longer
97 lifespan can allow them to learn by experience that vehicles are not predators. The trade-off
98 between investment in reproduction and survival (Promislow and Harvey, 1990) proposes that
99 slow-breeding species will invest more in self-maintenance and thus, should be more cautious
100 towards potential predators (or roads and vehicles) than fast-breeding species. Finally, solitary
101 species have been shown to be more wary of potential predators than gregarious species
102 (Hunter and Skinner, 1998), thus we predict solitary animals will show higher avoidance. As
103 alternative hypotheses, we considered methodological effects, mostly associated to
104 detectability, that could also result in association between species' traits and behavioural
105 responses (all biological and methodological hypotheses are summarized in Table 1).

106 To test these hypotheses, we analysed data from multiple ungulate species collected
107 along the heterogeneous road-networks of three African protected areas (Fig. 1). We selected
108 this system because African ungulates are relatively easy to detect from the road (Mulero-
109 Pázmány *et al.*, 2016; González-Suárez *et al.*, 2017), and are important components of
110 African ecosystems due to their roles as prey, ecosystem engineers and, in some cases,
111 keystone species (e.g., Waldram *et al.*, 2008; Pringle *et al.*, 2007; Coverdale *et al.*, 2016).
112 Using this study system as a case study, we test the value of predictive trait-based models for
113 avoidance behaviours towards roads and traffic. This approach can contribute to identify
114 susceptible species and potentially generate risk assessments.



115
116 **Figure 1.** Map of the three studied protected areas in South Africa. Insets show the park
117 boundaries and sampled transects.

118 119 **2. Methods**

120 **2.1. Study area**

121 Behavioural data were collected in three South African protected areas: Hluhluwe-
122 iMfolozi Park (from here on, HiP; 28.2198° S, 31.9519° E), Pilanesberg National Park
123 (25.2449° S, 27.0891° E), and uMkhuze Game Reserve (27.6519° S, 32.2435° E. Fig. 1). All
124 these protected areas allow regulated human activities based on wildlife-watching tourism and
125 have road-networks that include paved and unpaved road surfaces and varying traffic volumes
126 (from virtually no vehicles to high-traffic intensity). The areas host diverse ungulate
127 communities including abundant impala *Aepyceros melampus*, small steenbok *Raphicerus*
128 *campestris* and large and iconic species such as African bush elephant *Loxodonta africana*
129 and white rhinoceros *Ceratotherium simum* (Table 2 includes all observed species).

130

131 2.2. *Data collection*

132 Data were collected on African ungulate species in October 2016 along 66 transects
133 consisting of both unpaved and paved roads, totalling 722 km surveyed (HiP: 36 transects,
134 401 km of road sampled; Pilanesberg: 26 transects, 274 km; uMkhuze: 4 transects, 47 km;
135 Fig. 1). Each transect was sampled twice (except in uMkhuze where transects were surveyed
136 once), driving in opposite directions and at different times of the day: once at either sunrise
137 (06:00-07:00 h, local time) or sunset (17:00-18:00 h, local time) and another time at central
138 hours of the day, to capture variability in daily activity patterns. Observations were gathered
139 from a 4x4 vehicle driving at <30 km/h.

140 For each transect, two observers searched for individuals of any ungulate species
141 located <320 m from each side of the road (estimated range of detection; Mulero-Pázmány *et*
142 *al.*, 2016; González-Suárez *et al.*, 2017), whilst a third observer noted the number of vehicles
143 circulating in the opposite direction. The number of incoming vehicles over the duration of
144 the transect sampling was used to estimate *traffic intensity*. When an individual was sighted,
145 the vehicle was stopped in the closest possible location while remaining on the road. The
146 position of the vehicle was recorded using a GPS (Garmin GSPMAP 62, KS, USA) and the
147 side of the road where the individual was located noted. If more than one individual of the
148 same species was sighted together, the one closest to the road was selected as the focal
149 individual and the minimum group size was estimated (the group size is a minimum because
150 some individuals could be out of sight). When possible, sex of the focal individual and group
151 composition (mixed sex and presence of young) were recorded.

152 For each sighting we recorded the distance from the road to the focal individual using
153 an Opticron Tracker 670 rangefinder and noted if the individual exhibited a *flight response*,
154 escape motion in reaction to a stimulus possibly indicative of danger (Stankowich, 2008). We
155 recorded two distances: 1) *initial distance* - minimum perpendicular distance from the road to
156 the initial location of the focal individual, 2) *tolerance distance* - minimum perpendicular
157 distance to the road at which the focal individual was stationary in the presence of a stopped
158 vehicle (following Mulero-Pázmány *et al.*, 2016). Both distances were measured directly
159 when possible or using reference points if the individual had moved. *Initial* and *tolerance*
160 *distances* were identical if the individual did not move between the time it was first observed
161 and the time our vehicle stopped. *Tolerance distance* could not be estimated sometimes
162 because the focal individual did not stop moving while being observed or moved out of sight.
163 It is important to note that recorded *tolerance distances* may not correspond to the minimum

164 at which individuals can tolerate vehicles or to the commonly used flight-initiation distance
165 FID (Frid and Dill, 2002). In these study areas it was not possible to leave the road to
166 approach individuals until they fled as required to estimate FID.

167 Georeferenced locations were matched to *habitat ecozones* obtained from Park
168 authorities. Original habitat types were reclassified into simplified categories for analyses
169 (Supplementary materials, Table A1). Spatial analyses were done in ArcGIS 10.4 with *Cape*
170 *Zone 36S Deprecated* projection (EPSG: 22236). Trait data for all species observed during the
171 field study were obtained from published sources (Table 1).

172

173 2.3. *Data analysis*

174 We used generalized linear mixed effects models (GLMM) to evaluate if traits predicted
175 *initial distance*, *tolerance distance* and *flight response*. We fitted separate models for each of
176 the trait groups we considered (Table 1). All models included control predictors related to
177 each observation: *road surface* (unpaved or paved), *traffic intensity*, and *standardized group*
178 *size*. When modelling *flight responses*, *initial distance* was also included as a predictor.
179 Observed minimum group size was standardized within species to facilitate comparison. For
180 each observation, we subtracted the mean observed for the species and divided by the
181 standard deviation. Therefore, positive *standardized group sizes* represent groups larger than
182 the average observed group size for that species.

183 To avoid confounding allometric effects of *body mass* when testing *brain mass*,
184 *longevity*, *sexual maturity age*, *gestation length*, and *litters per year* we used standardized
185 residuals from log-log phylogenetic least squares models in which each of these traits was
186 predicted by body mass (all variables were \log_{10} transformed). We used the function `pgls`
187 from the `caper` package (Orme *et al.*, 2013), using the updated mammalian supertree (Fritz *et*
188 *al.*, 2009) to describe phylogenetic relationships.

189 Finally, to account for confounding factors associated to particular habitats, transects
190 and the non-independence of trait data from related species, all GLMMs included *habitat*
191 *ecozones*, a nested effect of *park*, *observation date*, and *transect ID*, and a taxonomic
192 correction with *genus* nested within *family*. Distances were first transformed ($\log_{10}[x+1]$) and
193 then modelled using the function `lmer` in the package `lme4` (Bates *et al.*, 2015) with a
194 Gaussian family. *Flight response* was modelled using the function `glmer` from the package
195 `lme4` using a binomial family (logit link). We evaluated predictor importance estimating 95%
196 confidence intervals using bootstrapped sampling (using the function `confint.merMod`, with
197 2000 simulations and `method="boot"` from the package `lme4`). We calculated Variance


198 Inflation Factors (VIF) for all models to detect collinearity issues. All analyses were
199 completed in R version 3.3.2 (R Core Team, 2016).

200 All data and R scripts of completed analyses are available in the public repository
201 Figshare (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12117564.v1>).

202

203 3. Results

204 We recorded 513 sightings representing 18 species of African ungulates (Table 2). *Tolerance*
205 *distance* was estimated for 448 of those sightings representing 17 species (no *tolerance*
206 *distance* estimates were available for black rhino *Diceros bicornis*). In 20.7% (N=106) of
207 those sightings we detected a *flight response*. Across all three parks we had a mean of 7.14
208 observations/10 km (SD = 4.36) with more observations in unpaved roads (mean \pm SE = 7.53
209 \pm 4.72 observations/10 km) than in paved roads (6.35 \pm 3.49). The overall mean *traffic*
210 *intensity* was 0.14 vehicles per minute (SD = 0.18), with more traffic in paved (0.26 \pm 0.24
211 vehicles per minute) than in unpaved roads (0.08 \pm 0.08). *Traffic intensity* varied by park,
212 with higher levels in Pilanesberg (0.19 \pm 0.23) and HiP (0.12 \pm 0.13) than in uMkhuze (0.03 \pm
213 0.04).




214

215 **Figure 2.** Observed (boxplots and dotplots) and predicted (line and error bars) *initial* (panels
216 A and B) and *tolerance distances* (C and D) for ungulate species with different *body mass*
217 values and different *browsing* foraging habits. In panels A and C boxplots represents
218 distances observed for individual species with colours indicating the taxonomic family.

219 Predicted values were obtained using bootstrapped predictions from fitted models (Table 3)
 220 for a dataset representing the entire range of observed *body mass* values (for A and C), and a
 221 dataset representing each category of *browsing* behaviour (for B and D). In both datasets all
 222 other predictors set to observed mean value (predictions were made for the average
 223 population, without considering random factor values). The shaded areas (in A and C) and
 224 error bars (B and D) represent the 95% confidence interval of the bootstrapped predictions.
 225

226 The traits *body mass* and *foraging habits* were identified as predictors of both *initial* and
 227 *tolerance distances*. In particular, small-sized, browsing ungulates were generally found
 228 closer to roads (both for *initial* and *tolerance distances*; Fig. 2, Table 3). These results align
 229 with our methodological hypotheses, which also predict no effect for a narrower observation
 230 area within which we hypothesize detectability would be unaffected by these traits (Table 1).
 231 When we fitted models considering only observations in the first 100 m from each side of the
 232 road for *initial distance* (data for 18 species and 392 records) and for *tolerance distance* (17
 233 species and 337 records) we found no effect of *body mass* on either distance variable (while
 234 the effects of *traffic intensity* and *standardised group size* remained the same; Supplementary
 235 materials, Table A2). Browsing species still had shorter *initial* and *tolerance distances* within
 236 100 m of roads, which may reflect a different biological mechanism affecting browsing
 237 behaviour near roads. *Longevity* and reproductive traits (i.e. *sexual maturity age*, *gestation*
 238 *length*, and *litters per year*) were not identified as relevant predictors of *initial* or *tolerance*
 239 *distance*.
 240



241
 242 **Figure 3.** Observed (circles) and predicted (lines and error bars) *flight responses* for ungulate
 243 species with different *body mass* (A), *grazing* food habits (B), and *social structure* (C).
 244 Observed values for panel A are represented grouping responses for each species, with circle
 245 size proportional to the number of observations. Colours indicate the taxonomic family.
 246 Predicted values were obtained for each plot using bootstrapped predictions for datasets

247 representing the entire range of *body mass* values for A, all *grazing* categories for B, and all
248 *social structure* categories for C. All other predictors set to observed mean value (predictions
249 were made for the average population, without considering random factor values). The shaded
250 area (A) and error bars (B and C) represent the 95% confidence interval of the bootstrapped
251 predictions.

252

253 *Body mass, social aggregation and foraging habits* were identified as predictors of
254 *flight responses* (Fig. 3). Species with smaller *body mass*, solitary behaviour and non-grazing
255 habits were more likely to flee (controlling for the significant effect of their *initial distance* to
256 the road; Table 3). *Longevity* and reproductive traits (i.e. *sexual maturity age, gestation*
257 *length, and litters per year*) were not identified as relevant predictors of *flight responses*.

258 Among the tested control predictors, we found a consistent effect of *traffic intensity* for
259 both *initial* and *tolerance distance*, with ungulates located further from roads with more traffic,
260 but no effect of *road surface* (Table 3). The *standardised group size* was also always important
261 to explain *initial distance*, with relatively larger groups for each species often found closer to
262 roads initially. This could reflect increased detectability when more individuals are together
263 (larger relative group sizes). *Initial distance* was a strong predictor of *flight responses*, with
264 ungulates located closer to roads being more likely to flee (Table 3). Collinearity among
265 predictors was not a concern in our analyses with VIF values <2 in all fitted models.

266

267 **4. Discussion**

268 Our study is the first, to our knowledge, to test the value of species' trait models to
269 explain and predict avoidance responses towards roads and traffic, offering a new approach to
270 understand the mechanisms underlying the effects of infrastructures on wildlife. In particular,
271 our results show the potential to use species traits as (biological) predictors of *flight*
272 *responses*. We found that larger, gregarious species with grazing foraging habits are less
273 likely to flee from vehicles.

274 Smaller species, such as duikers, are more susceptible to predation risk than larger
275 species, such as rhinoceros; and thus, are expected to use anti-predatory responses, like flight
276 responses, more often than larger species (Berger and Cunningham, 1988; Périquet *et al.*,
277 2012). Indeed, our analyses show *flight responses* can be explained by species' *body mass*.
278 Differences in risk perception may explain why we found *grazing* habits were associated with
279 fewer *flight responses* (Valeix *et al.* 2009). Grazing often occurs in open habitats (Pérez-
280 Barbería *et al.*, 2001), where anti-predator vigilance is easier to perform compared to

281 environments with dense vegetation within which browsing is more common and predation
282 alertness may be higher (Caro et al., 2004). Anecdotally, we found limited *flight responses* (7
283 out of 65) in white rhinoceros *Ceratotherium simum*, which predominantly graze, while both
284 observed black rhinoceros *Diceros bicornis*, which are browsers, flew in response to the
285 vehicle. Finally, our results support the biological prediction that solitary species would
286 display more frequent *flight responses* than gregarious species or those in family groups.
287 Species living in groups can perform cooperative vigilance, that generally reduces individual
288 vigilance time, but could increase the cost of false responses as the whole group, not just one
289 individual, moves. This may lead to more accurate anti-predatory behaviours in gregarious
290 species as they have adapted or learned to react only to real threats. *Flight responses* may also
291 be rarer in groups due to a dilution effect: the individual risk of attack is lower in larger
292 groups, but the costs of fleeing do not change (individuals still need to stop their activity, e.g.,
293 foraging, to flee); therefore, unique individual may be less likely to flee when in a larger
294 group (Hunter and Skinner, 1998; Creel et al., 2014; Moll et al., 2016).

295 While our findings support biologically relevant links between species' traits and
296 flight responses, analyses of *initial* and *tolerance distance* only supported methodological
297 hypotheses associated with detectability. Results suggest smaller animals were closer to
298 roads, but this relationship likely reflected lower detectability of smaller individuals at greater
299 distances from roads (Pollock and Kendall, 1987) as no difference was detected within a 100-
300 meter range of the road. Previous studies have reported road avoidance in different ungulate
301 species, with animals preferring areas further from roads (Mulero-Pázmány et al 2016;
302 D'Amico et al 2016). An intriguing hypothesis derived from our results is that the road-
303 avoidance zone is similar among species, reflecting a common boundary that is not affected
304 by anti-predatory responses but instead may be driven by other factors (food availability,
305 pollution effects, etc). In addition, we found browsing species closer to roads even within a
306 100-meter range of the road for both *initial* and *tolerance distance*. Vegetation changes,
307 including greater local diversity, can occur near roads potentially attracting browsers to their
308 proximity (Rea, 2003). Road avoidance by competitors or predators could also increase
309 attractiveness of areas near roads for some species (Berger, 2007). Future studies are needed
310 to determine if vegetation changes and/or species interactions are indeed affecting the use of
311 areas near roads by browsing species.

312 While not the main focus of our study, our analyses also included some confounding
313 variables that offer interesting insights. We found a consistent role of traffic but not of
314 pavement surface in *initial* and *tolerance distances*. The impact of traffic on ungulate spatial

315 distribution has been shown in previous studies (Leblond *et al.*, 2013; D'Amico *et al.*, 2016;
316 Mulero-Pázmány *et al.*, 2016). However, because unpaved roads often have lower traffic than
317 paved roads (Jaeger *et al.*, 2005), previous studies could not disentangle the role of those
318 factors. Our study was conducted in different protected areas with varying numbers of visitors
319 which allowed us to consider both aspects jointly in our analyses without collinearity issues.
320 The results suggest road avoidance in the studied species is influenced by traffic but not by
321 the presence of pavement. To our knowledge this is the first time this distinction has been
322 made, with potential implications for the management of traffic and roads in protected areas.
323 Moreover, while flight responses were not directly affected by traffic intensity (or road
324 surface), they were affected by *initial distance*, which in turn was affected by traffic,
325 suggesting a potential indirect effect.

326 Overall, our study shows the potential to use trait-based models to assess road impacts,
327 in particular, vehicle avoidance leading to flight responses. We found that several species' traits
328 (i.e. body mass, social aggregation and foraging habits) that can potentially be used as
329 predictors for flight responses by African ungulates. Predictive trait-based models that
330 characterize wildlife responses to human impacts, such as roads and traffic, can be valuable
331 tools for conservation. These models can assess risks across species and/or areas, and identify
332 generalized risk factors that may offer insight into mitigation strategies of value for diverse
333 species (i.e., focused on those common risk factors). In addition, predictive models can also be
334 used to generate risk assessments for unstudied species which can be helpful for conservation
335 and road planning. For example, we can identify areas with many susceptible species and thus,
336 where development of new roads or additional traffic could be particularly detrimental
337 (González-Suárez *et al.* 2018). Future studies will be needed to establish the general value of
338 trait-based predictive models for other regions and species. To generate those models,
339 behavioural data from multiple sites would be very valuable. Efforts to compile larger datasets
340 of other road impacts, such as roadkill, have already started (see González-Suárez *et al.*, 2018).
341 We need to expand those efforts to start collecting and compiling information on behavioural
342 impacts to fully understand how the rapidly expanding global road-network affects wildlife,
343 and offer tools and assessment that can aid road planning and management within protected
344 areas and beyond.

345

346 **5. Acknowledgements**

347 Geoff Clinning, Wendy Collinson, Steve Dell, Dave Druce, Marcos Moleon, Francisco
348 Moreira, Mpho Ellen Sekgarametso, and Bob Smith provided logistic support and access to

349 GIS information on the study sites. The School of Biological Sciences at the University of
350 Reading provided start-up funds to MGS that supported the data collection for this study. MD
351 was funded by REN Biodiversity Chair (BPD-RENECOL), JdC-Formación (FJCI-2016-
352 29182) and FCT (CEECIND/03798/2017) postdocs.

353

354 **6. References**

355 Animaldiversity.org (2018). *ADW: Home*. [online] Available at:
356 <https://animaldiversity.org/>.

357 Barthelmess, E. L. and Brooks, M. S. (2010). The influence of body-size and diet on
358 road-kill trends in mammals. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(6), 1611-1629.

359 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects
360 Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1-48. Available at: [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4)
361 [project.org/package=lme4](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4).

362 Berger, J. (2007). Fear, human shields and the redistribution of prey and predators in
363 protected areas. *Biology Letters*, 3, 620-623.

364 Berger, J. and Cunningham, C. (1988). Size-related effects on search times in North
365 American grassland female ungulates. *Ecology*, 69, 177-183.

366 Brehme, C., Tracey, J., Mcclenaghan, L. and Fisher, R. (2013). Permeability of Roads
367 to Movement of Scrubland Lizards and Small Mammals. *Conservation Biology*, 27(4), 710-
368 720.

369 Caro, T. M., C. M. Graham, C. J. Stoner & J. K. Vargas (2004) Adaptive significance
370 of antipredator behaviour in artiodactyls. *Animal Behaviour*, 67, 205-228.

371 Ceia-Hasse, A., Navarro, L. M., Borda-de-Água, L. and Pereira, H. M. (2018).
372 Population persistence in landscapes fragmented by roads: disentangling isolation, mortality,
373 and the effect of dispersal. *Ecological Modelling*, 375, 45-53.

374 Chen, H. L. and Koprowski, J. L. (2016). Barrier effects of roads on an endangered
375 forest obligate: influences of traffic, road edges, and gaps. *Biological Conservation*, 199, 33-
376 40.

377 Coelho, I. P., Kindel, A. and Coelho, A. V. P. (2008). Roadkills of vertebrate species
378 on two highways through the Atlantic Forest Biosphere Reserve, southern Brazil. *European*
379 *Journal of Wildlife Research*, 54(4), 689-699.

380 Cook, T. C. and Blumstein, D. T. (2013). The omnivore's dilemma: diet explains
381 variation in vulnerability to vehicle collision mortality. *Biological Conservation*, 167, 310-315.

382 Coverdale, T. C., Kartzinel, T. R., Grabowski, K. L., Shriver, R. K., Hassan, A. A.,

383 Goheen, J. R., Palmer, T. M. and Pringle, R. M. (2016). Elephants in the understory: opposing
384 direct and indirect effects of consumption and ecosystem engineering by megaherbivores.
385 *Ecology*, 97, 3219-3230.

386 Creel, S., Schuette, P. and Christianson, D. (2014). Effects of predation risk on group
387 size, vigilance, and foraging behavior in an African ungulate community. *Behavioral Ecology*,
388 25, 773-784.

389 D'Amico, M., Román, J., de los Reyes, L. and Revilla, E. (2015). Vertebrate road-kill
390 patterns in Mediterranean habitats: who, when and where. *Biological Conservation*, 191, 234-
391 242.

392 D'Amico, M., Périquet, S., Román, J. and Revilla, E. (2016). Road avoidance responses
393 determine the impact of heterogeneous road networks at a regional scale. *Journal of Applied*
394 *Ecology*, 53(1), 181-190.

395 Eigenbrod, F., Hecnar, S. J. and Fahrig, L. (2008). Accessible habitat: an improved
396 measure of the effects of habitat loss and roads on wildlife populations. *Landscape Ecology*,
397 23(2), 159-168.

398 Estes, R. (1991). *The Behavior Guide to African Mammals: Including Hoofed*
399 *Mammals, Carnivores, Primates*. University of California Press.

400 Fahrig, L. and Rytwinski, T. (2009). Effects of roads on animal abundance: an empirical
401 review and synthesis. *Ecology and Society*, 14(1), 21.

402 Ford, A. T. and Fahrig, L. (2007). Diet and body size of North American mammal road
403 mortalities. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 12(7), 498-505.

404 Forman, R. T. T. (2000). Estimate of the area affected ecologically by the road system
405 in the United States. *Conservation Biology*, 14(1), 31-35.

406 Forman, R. and Deblinger, R. (2000). The Ecological Road-Effect Zone of a
407 Massachusetts (U.S.A.) Suburban Highway. *Conservation Biology*, 14(1), 36-46.

408 Francis, C. D. (2015). Vocal traits and diet explain avian sensitivities to anthropogenic
409 noise. *Global Change Biology*, 21(5), 1809-1820.

410 Francis, M. J., Spooner, P. G. and Matthews, A. (2015). The influence of urban
411 encroachment on squirrel gliders (*Petaurus norfolcensis*): effects of road density, light and
412 noise pollution. *Wildlife Research*, 42(4), 324-333.

413 Frid, A. and Dill, L. (2002). Human-caused disturbance stimuli as a form of predation
414 risk. *Conservation Ecology*, 6, Fritz, S. A., Bininda-Emonds, O. R. P. and Purvis, A. (2009).
415 Geographical variation in predictors of mammalian extinction risk: big is bad, but only in the
416 tropics. *Ecology Letters*, 12, 538-549.

417 Garriga, N., Santos, X., Montori, A., Richter-Boix, A., Franch, M. and Llorente, G.
418 (2012). Are protected areas truly protected? The impact of road traffic on vertebrate fauna.
419 *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 21(11), 2761-2774.

420 Gavin, S. D. and Komers, P. E. (2006). Do pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) perceive
421 roads as a predation risk? *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 84, 1775-1780.

422 González-Suárez, M., D'Amico, M. and Mulero-Pázmány, M. (2017). Advancing road
423 ecology in Africa with robust analyses and cautious inferences: a response to Jackson *et al.*
424 (2017). *Journal of Zoology*, 302(4), 224-227.

425 González-Suárez, M., Zanchetta Ferreira, F. and Grilo, C. (2018). Spatial and species-
426 level predictions of road mortality risk using trait data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*,
427 27(9), 1093-1105.

428 Gonzalez-Voyer, A; González-Suárez, M; Vilà, C; Revilla, E (2016) Large brain size
429 indirectly increases vulnerability to extinction in mammals. *Evolution* 70: 1364–1375

430 Grilo, C., Sousa, J., Ascensão, F., Matos, H., Leitão, I., Pinheiro, P., Costa, M.,
431 Bernardo, J., Reto, D., Lourenço, R., Santos-Reis, M. and Revilla, E. (2012). Individual spatial
432 responses towards roads: implications for mortality risk. *PLoS ONE*, 7(9), p.e43811.

433 Hunter, L. T. B. and Skinner, J. D. (1998). Vigilance behaviour in African ungulates:
434 the role of predation pressure. *Behaviour*, 135, 195-211.

435 Ibisch, P. L., Hoffmann, M. T., Kreft, S., Pe'er, G., Kati, V., Biber-Freudenberger, L.,
436 DellaSala, D. A., Vale, M. M., Hobson, P. R. and Selva, N. (2016). A global map of roadless
437 areas and their conservation status. *Science*, 354(6318), 1423-1427.

438 Jachowski, D. S., Slotow, R. and Millspaugh, J. J. (2012). Physiological stress and
439 refuge behavior by African elephants. *PLoS ONE*, 7(2), e31818.

440 Jaeger, J., Bowman, J., Brennan, J., Fahrig, L., Bert, D., Bouchard, J., Charbonneau, N.,
441 Frank, K., Gruber, B. and von Toschanowitz, K. (2005). Predicting when animal populations
442 are at risk from roads: an interactive model of road avoidance behavior. *Ecological Modelling*,
443 185(2-4), 329-348.

444 Jones, K., Bielby, J., Cardillo, M., Fritz, S., O'Dell, J., Orme, C., Safi, K., Sechrest, W.,
445 Boakes, E., Carbone, C., Connolly, C., Cutts, M., Foster, J., Grenyer, R., Habib, M., Plaster,
446 C., Price, S., Rigby, E., Rist, J., Teacher, A., Bininda-Emonds, O., Gittleman, J., Mace, G. and
447 Purvis, A. (2009). PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography
448 of extant and recently extinct mammals. *Ecology*, 90(9), 2648-2648.

449 Laurance, S. G. W., Stouffer, P. C. and Laurance, W. F. (2004). Effects of road clearings
450 on movement patterns of understory rainforest birds in central Amazonia. *Conservation*

451 *Biology*, 18(4), 1099-1109.

452 Laurance, W., Clements, G., Sloan, S., O'Connell, C., Mueller, N., Goosem, M., Venter,
453 O., Edwards, D., Phalan, B., Balmford, A., van Der Ree, R. and Arrea, I. (2014). A global
454 strategy for road building. *Nature*, 513(7517), 229–232.

455 Leblond, M., Dussault, C. and Ouellet, J. P (2013). Avoidance of roads by large
456 herbivores and its relation to disturbance intensity. *Journal of Zoology*, 289, 32-40.

457 Lian, X., Zhang, T., Cao, Y., Su, J. and Thirgood, S. (2011). Road proximity and traffic
458 flow perceived as potential predation risks: evidence from the Tibetan antelope in the Kekexili
459 National Nature Reserve, China. *Wildlife Research*, 38, 141-146.

460 Lima, S. L., B. F. Blackwell, T. L. DeVault & E. Fernández-Juricic (2015). Animal
461 reactions to oncoming vehicles: a conceptual review. *Biological Reviews*, 90, 60-76.

462 McClure, C., Ware, H., Carlisle, J., Kaltenecker, G. and Barber, J. (2013). An
463 experimental investigation into the effects of traffic noise on distributions of birds: avoiding the
464 phantom road. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1773), 2290-2290.

465 McGregor, R., Bender, D. and Fahrig, L. (2008). Do small mammals avoid roads
466 because of the traffic? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45(1), 117-123.

467 Moll, R. J., Killion, A. K., Montgomery, R. A., Tambling, C. J. and Hayward, M. W.
468 (2016). Spatial patterns of African ungulate aggregation reveal complex but limited risk effects
469 from reintroduced carnivores. *Ecology*, 97, 1123-1134.

470 Monz, C., D'Antonio, A., Lawson, S., Barber, J. and Newman, P. (2016). The ecological
471 implications of visitor transportation in parks and protected areas: examples from research in
472 US National Parks. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 51, 27-35.

473 Mulero-Pázmány, M., D'Amico, M. and González-Suárez, M. (2016). Ungulate
474 behavioral responses to the heterogeneous road-network of a touristic protected area in Africa.
475 *Journal of Zoology*, 298(4), 233-240.

476 Ndibalema, V. G., Mduma, S., Stokke, S. and Røskoft, E. (2008). Relationship between
477 road dust and ungulate density in Serengeti National Park, Tanzania. *African Journal of*
478 *Ecology*, 46(4), 547-555.

479 Orme, D., Freckleton, R., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N. and Pearse, W.
480 (2013). caper: Comparative Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. R package version
481 0.5.2. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caper>.

482 Pérez-Barbería, F. J., I. J. Gordon & C. Nores (2001) Evolutionary transitions among
483 feeding styles and habitats in ungulates. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 3, 221-230.

484 Périquet, S., Todd-Jones, L., Valeix, M., Stapelkamp, B., Elliot, N., Wijers, M., Pays,

485 O., Fortin, D., Madzikanda, H. and Fritz, H. (2012). Influence of immediate predation risk by
486 lions on the vigilance of prey of different body size. *Behavioral Ecology*, 23, 970-976.

487 Pollock, K. H. and Kendall, W. L. (1987). Visibility bias in aerial surveys: a review of
488 estimation procedures. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 51, 502-510.

489 Pringle, R. M., Young, T. P., Rubenstein, D. I. and McCauley, D. J. (2007). Herbivore-
490 initiated interaction cascades and their modulation by productivity in an African savanna.
491 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 193-197.

492 Promislow, D.E. and Harvey, P.H. (1990). Living fast and dying young: A comparative
493 analysis of life-history variation among mammals. *Journal of Zoology*, 220(3), 417-437.

494 R Core Team (2016). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.
495 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: [https://www.R-](https://www.R-project.org)
496 [project.org](https://www.R-project.org).

497 Rea, R. V. (2003). Modifying roadside vegetation management practices to reduce
498 vehicular collisions with moose *Alces alces*. *Wildlife Biology*, 9, 81-91.

499 Rytwinski, T. and Fahrig, L. (2011). Reproductive rate and body size predict road
500 impacts on mammal abundance. *Ecological Applications*, 21(2), 589-600.

501 Rytwinski, T. and Fahrig, L. (2012). Do species life history traits explain population
502 responses to roads? A meta-analysis. *Biological Conservation*, 147(1), 87-98.

503 Rytwinski, T. and Fahrig, L. (2013). Why are some animal populations unaffected or
504 positively affected by roads? *Oecologia*, 173(3), 1143-1156.

505 Santos, S. M., Mira, A., Salgueiro, P. A., Costa, P., Medinas, D. and Beja, P. (2016).
506 Avian trait-mediated vulnerability to road traffic collisions. *Biological Conservation*, 200, 122-
507 130.

508 Shultz, S. and Dunbar, R. I. M. (2006). Both social and ecological factors predict
509 ungulate brain size. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273, 207-215.

510 Stankowich, T. (2008). Ungulate flight responses to human disturbance: a review and
511 meta-analysis. *Biological Conservation*, 141(9), 2159-2173.

512 Street, S.E., Navarrete, A.F., Reader, A.M. and Laland, K.N. (2017). Coevolution of
513 cultural intelligence, extended life history, sociality, and brain size in primates. *Proceedings of*
514 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 114, 7908-7914.

515 Stuart, C. and Stuart, M. (2015). *Stuarts' Field Guide to mammals of southern Africa*.
516 Cape Town: Penguin Random House South Africa.

517 Tacutu, R., Thornton, D., Johnson, E., Budovsky, A., Barardo, D., Craig, T., Diana, E.,
518 Lehmann, G., Toren, D., Wang, J., Fraifeld, V. E. and de Magalhaes, J. P. (2018). Human

519 Ageing Genomic Resources: new and updated databases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1),
520 D1083-D1090.

521 Valeix, M., Loveridge, A.J., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Davidson, Z., Murindagomo, F.,
522 Fritz, H. and Macdonald, D.W. (2009). Behavioral adjustments of African herbivores to
523 predation risk by lions: Spatiotemporal variations influence habitat use. *Ecology*, 90(1), 23-30.

524 Waldram, M. S., Bond, W. J. and Stock, W. D. (2008). Ecological engineering by a
525 mega-grazer: white rhino impacts on a South African savanna. *Ecosystems*, 11, 101-112.

526

527 **Table 1.** Definition, hypothesis, predictions, and data sources of the species' traits tested as predictors of ungulate responses to roads and traffic.

Group	Variable definition	Hypotheses and predictions	Source
Morphology	Body mass: Average adult body mass in kilograms.	<p>Biological: Smaller species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than larger species, because they have higher predation risk and thus, are more aware of potential predators.</p> <p>Methodological: Smaller species will be better detected close to roads/vehicles, due to detectability decreasing differently for different-sized individuals according to distance. Closer to roads (e.g., <100 m) detectability effects based on size should be minimized and distance to road should not vary with body mass.</p>	<p>Animaldiversity.org, 2018; Estes, 1991; Jones <i>et al.</i>, 2009; Stuart and Stuart, 2015; Gonzalez-Voyer <i>et al.</i>, 2016; Shultz and Dunbar, 2006</p>
	Brain mass: Average adult brain mass in grams.*	<p>Biological: Small-brain species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than large-brain species, because they lack the cognitive capacities to learn that road/vehicles are not as threatening as actual predators.</p> <p>Methodological: None.</p>	
Lifespan	Longevity: Average lifespan in years, when available in the wild, and when not in captivity.	<p>Biological: Short-lived species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than long-lived species, because they have a shorter experience with roads and vehicles and cannot learn they are not as threatening as</p>	<p>Animaldiversity.org, 2018; Jones <i>et al.</i>, 2009; Stuart and Stuart, 2015</p>

		actual predators.	
		Methodological: None.	
Reproduction	<p>Gestation length: Average length of gestation in years.</p> <p>Litters per year: Average number of litters per year.</p> <p>Sexual maturity age: Sex specific and combined average age of sexual maturity in years.</p>	<p>Biological: Slow-reproducing species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than fast-reproducing species, due to higher investment in self-maintenance.</p> <p>Methodological: None.</p>	<p>Animaldiversity.org, 2018; Estes, 1991; Jones <i>et al.</i>, 2009; Tacutu <i>et al.</i>, 2018.</p>
Foraging	<p>Foraging habits classified as grazing (binary descriptor of whether a species grazes to feed), browsing (binary descriptor of whether a species browses to feed), or other habits (binary descriptor of whether a species consumes items other than grass and leaves).</p>	<p>Biological: Browsing species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than grazing species, because they have higher predation risk and thus, are more aware of potential predators.</p> <p>Methodological: Browsing species will be more detected close to roads/vehicles than far from them, due to detectability decreasing in their preferred habitat (i.e. dense vegetation). Note: closer to roads (e.g., <100 m) detectability effects should be minimized and distance to road should not vary with foraging habits.</p>	<p>Estes, 1991; Stuart and Stuart, 2015</p>
Sociality	<p>Social aggregation classified as: solitary, gregarious, or</p>	<p>Biological: Solitary species will avoid roads/vehicles and exhibit more flight responses than gregarious species,</p>	<p>Animaldiversity.org, 2018; Estes, 1991; Stuart and Stuart,</p>

family groups.

because the lack of cooperative vigilance increases predation risk.

2015

Methodological: Solitary species will be more detected close to roads/vehicles than far from them, due to detectability decreasing according to distance. Note: closer to roads (e.g., <100 m) detectability effects should be minimized and distance to road should not vary with sociality aggregation.

528 * For *Brain Mass* of *Phacochoerus africanus* we use data from a closely related species *Phacochoerus aethiopicus*.

529 **Table 2.** Number of sightings of each detected species (total and within each protected area).

Species	HiP	uMkhuze	Pilanesberg	Total
Impala (<i>Aepyceros melampus</i>)	74	16	54	144
White Rhino (<i>Ceratotherium simum</i>)	55	0	10	65
Blue Wildebeest (<i>Connochaetes taurinus</i>)	9	1	47	57
Plains Zebra (<i>Equus quagga</i>)	26	0	30	56
Warthog (<i>Phacochoerus africanus</i>)	37	0	10	47
Giraffe (<i>Giraffa camelopardalis</i>)	17	2	16	35
Nyala (<i>Tragelaphus angasii</i>)	24	11	0	35
African Buffalo (<i>Syncerus caffer</i>)	14	0	0	14
African Bush Elephant (<i>Loxodonta africana</i>)	5	0	7	12
Greater Kudu (<i>Tragelaphus strepsiceros</i>)	4	1	7	12
Springbok (<i>Antidorcas marsupialis</i>)	0	0	7	7
Steenbok (<i>Raphicerus campestris</i>)	0	0	6	6
Waterbuck (<i>Kobus ellipsiprymnus</i>)	1	0	5	6
Common Hippo (<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>)	0	0	5	5
Grey Duiker (<i>Sylvicapra grimmia</i>)	3	2	0	5
Tsessebe (<i>Damaliscus lunatus</i>)	0	0	3	3
African Black Rhino (<i>Diceros bicornis</i>)	1	0	1	2
Hartebeest (<i>Alcelaphus buselaphus</i>)	0	0	2	2
Total	270	34	211	513

530

531

532 **Table 3.** Coefficient estimates for models testing the effect of different traits in observed distances and *flight responses*. We report the best
533 estimate (β) with their 95% confidence intervals, and the number of observations (N). All models included *road surface*, *traffic intensity*, and
534 *standardized group size* as control predictors; the model for *flight response* also included *initial distance* as a control predictor. For several traits
535 we used standardized residuals from a phylogenetic least squares models in which the \log_{10} -transformed trait was predicted by $\log_{10}(\textit{body mass})$
536 with taxonomic order as a covariate to avoid the potential confounding effect of size. Predictors with 95%CI (bootstrapped estimates) non-
537 overlapping with zero are marked in bold. We did not record tolerance distance for black rhino *Diceros bicornis*.
538

Models	<i>Initial distance</i> (N=513, 18 species)		<i>Tolerance distance</i> (N=448, 17 species)		<i>Flight response</i> (N=513, 18 species)	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
<i>Lifespan</i>						
Intercept	1.549	1.083 – 1.764	1.648	1.524 – 1.770	-1.998	-3.058 – -1.202
<i>Road surface</i> (unpaved)	-0.042	-0.166 – 0.140	0.034	-0.084 – 0.146	-0.198	-0.992 – 0.620
<i>Traffic intensity</i>	0.112	0.038 – 0.196	0.091	0.033 – 0.148	-0.338	-1.027 – 0.082
<i>Standardized group size</i>	-0.058	-0.109 – -0.009	-0.016	-0.054 – 0.020	-0.067	-0.372 – 0.211
<i>Initial distance</i> (\log_{10})	–	–	–	–	-1.344	-1.742 – -1.046
<i>Longevity</i> (residuals)	0.042	0.003 – 0.149	0.022	-0.044 – 0.085	0.246	-0.306 – 0.760
<i>Morphology</i>						
Intercept	1.397	1.050 – 1.748	1.615	1.507 – 1.726	-1.954	-2.728 – -1.430
<i>Road surface</i> (unpaved)	0.000	-0.169 – 0.161	0.048	-0.069 – 0.159	-0.060	-0.787 – 0.715
<i>Traffic intensity</i>	0.121	0.041 – 0.202	0.096	0.036 – 0.154	-0.304	-0.950 – 0.098

<i>Standardized group size</i>	-0.058	-0.109 – -0.004	-0.016	-0.055 – 0.021	-0.077	-0.405 – 0.193
<i>Initial distance (log₁₀)</i>	–	–	–	–	-1.283	-1.638 – -1.024
<i>Body mass (log₁₀)</i>	0.090	0.029 – 0.221	0.095	0.036 – 0.154	-0.600	-0.973 – -0.303
<i>Brain mass (residuals)</i>	0.025	-0.039 – 0.154	0.012	-0.042 – 0.063	-0.223	-0.611 – 0.132
<hr/> <i>Reproductive speed</i> <hr/>						
Intercept	1.437	1.076 – 1.805	1.650	1.526 – 1.776	-2.005	-3.099 – -1.197
<i>Road surface (unpaved)</i>	-0.011	-0.183 – 0.148	0.038	-0.074 – 0.149	-0.189	-0.947 – 0.599
<i>Traffic intensity</i>	0.118	0.040 – 0.198	0.092	0.035 – 0.147	-0.321	-1.070 – 0.086
<i>Standardized group size</i>	-0.057	-0.109 – -0.004	-0.016	-0.052 – 0.022	-0.066	-0.395 – 0.214
<i>Initial distance (log₁₀)</i>	–	–	–	–	-1.344	-1.732 – -1.043
<i>Sexual maturity age (residuals)</i>	-0.069	-0.101 – 0.094	-0.056	-0.119 – 0.011	0.317	-0.259 – 0.928
<i>Gestation length (residuals)</i>	-0.009	-0.178 – 0.098	0.011	-0.072 – 0.090	-0.219	-0.954 – 0.560
<i>Litters per year (residuals)</i>	-0.061	-0.121 – 0.096	-0.041	-0.111 – 0.031	-0.307	-0.947 – 0.396
<hr/> <i>Foraging</i> <hr/>						
Intercept	1.612	1.152 – 2.088	1.923	1.651 – 2.196	0.398	-2.228 – 3.082
<i>Road surface (unpaved)</i>	-0.021	-0.182 – 0.144	0.034	-0.084 – 0.146	-0.177	-1.035 – 0.692
<i>Traffic intensity</i>	0.116	0.034 – 0.198	0.092	0.034 – 0.148	-0.326	-1.116 – 0.143
<i>Standardized group size</i>	-0.057	-0.112 – -0.005	-0.017	-0.053 – 0.020	-0.079	-0.425 – 0.232
<i>Initial distance (log₁₀)</i>	–	–	–	–	-1.348	-1.762 – -1.057
<i>Grazing</i>	-0.006	-0.298 – 0.281	-0.139	-0.362 – 0.089	-2.317	-4.626 – -0.482
<i>Browsing</i>	-0.228	-0.445 – -0.004	-0.190	-0.355 – -0.028	-0.957	-2.840 – 1.090

<i>Other foods</i>	-0.064	-0.317 – 0.191	-0.090	-0.277 – 0.096	1.085	-0.786 – 2.945
<i>Social structure</i>						
Intercept	1.394	1.024 – 1.826	1.376	1.005 – 1.739	0.342	-1.429 – 2.591
<i>Road surface (unpaved)</i>	-0.037	-0.194 – 0.122	0.036	-0.073 – 0.150	-0.170	-0.977 – 0.705
<i>Traffic intensity</i>	0.109	0.033 – 0.185	0.089	0.036 – 0.146	-0.308	-1.093 – 0.128
<i>Standardized group size</i>	-0.058	-0.108 – -0.004	-0.016	-0.052 – 0.021	-0.071	-0.434 – 0.217
<i>Initial distance (log₁₀)</i>	–	–	–	–	-1.335	-1.733 – -1.049
<i>Social: gregarious</i>	0.177	-0.252 – 0.555	0.295	-0.070 – 0.656	-2.741	-5.154 – -0.873
<i>Social: family groups</i>	0.131	-0.327 – 0.546	0.250	-0.141 – 0.638	-2.443	-5.314 – -0.419

539

