# Genome-wide association study of adipocyte lipolysis in the GENetics of adipocyte lipolysis (GENiAL) cohort





MMMOLECULAR

**METABOLISM** 

brought to you by D CORE

provided by Ap

Agné Kulyté<sup>1,10</sup>, Veroniga Lundbäck<sup>1,10</sup>, Cecilia M. Lindgren<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Jian'an Luan<sup>6</sup>, Luca A. Lotta<sup>6</sup>, Claudia Langenberg<sup>6</sup>, Peter Arner<sup>1</sup>, Rona J. Strawbridge<sup>7,8,9,10</sup>, Ingrid Dahlman<sup>1,\*,10</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Objectives: Lipolysis, hydrolysis of triglycerides to fatty acids in adipocytes, is tightly regulated, poorly understood, and, if perturbed, can lead to metabolic diseases including obesity and type 2 diabetes. The goal of this study was to identify the genetic regulators of lipolysis and elucidate their molecular mechanisms.

Methods: Adipocytes from abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsies were isolated and were incubated without (spontaneous lipolysis) or with a catecholamine (stimulated lipolysis) to analyze lipolysis. DNA was extracted and genome-wide genotyping and imputation conducted. After guality control, 939 samples with genetic and lipolysis data were available. Genome-wide association studies of spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis were conducted. Subsequent in vitro gene expression analyses were used to identify candidate genes and explore their regulation of adipose tissue biology.

Results: One locus on chromosome 19 demonstrated genome-wide significance with spontaneous lipolysis. 60 loci showed suggestive associations with spontaneous or stimulated lipolysis, of which many influenced both traits. In the chromosome 19 locus, only HIF3A was expressed in the adipocytes and displayed genotype-dependent gene expression. HIF3A knockdown in vitro increased lipolysis and the expression of key lipolysis-regulating genes.

Conclusions: In conclusion, we identified a genetic regulator of spontaneous lipolysis and provided evidence of HIF3A as a novel key regulator of lipolysis in subcutaneous adipocytes as the mechanism through which the locus influences adipose tissue biology.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Keywords Genetic variants; Lipolysis; Subcutaneous; Adipocytes; Gene expression

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Release of fatty acids through hydrolysis (lipolysis) of triglycerides in fat cells plays a major role in energy homeostasis. Lipolysis is highly regulated in a species-specific fashion. In humans, only catecholamines and natriuretic peptides have a strong lipolytic action among hormones as reviewed [1-3]. Human fat cells also have spontaneous lipolytic activity [1]. Inter-individual variations in lipolysis have important clinical impact. Large cross-sectional studies show significant relationships between high spontaneous or low catecholaminestimulated lipolysis and a variety of metabolic conditions such as obesity and insulin resistance [4-6]. A recent prospective study demonstrated that subjects with high spontaneous lipolysis activity and

low catecholamine-stimulated lipolysis had a markedly increased risk of future development of excess body fat, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes/glucose intolerance [7].

There is a strong genetic component to clinical traits of relevance to lipolysis, including body fat (measured by body mass index, BMI) [8], the location of stored lipids (as measured by waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) adjusted for BMI, WHRadjBMI) [9], and circulating triglyceride levels [10]. It is therefore likely that lipolysis is also regulated, at least in part, by genetic variations. Indeed, studies of monozygotic twins demonstrated a strong within-pair resemblance of spontaneous and catecholamine-stimulated lipolysis [11,12] and we reported that family history of type 2 diabetes is associated with increased spontaneous adipocyte lipolysis [13]. A recent large study on expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) in

<sup>1</sup>Lipid laboratory, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden <sup>2</sup>Big Data Institute at the Li Ka Shing Center for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK <sup>3</sup>Wellcome Center for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK <sup>4</sup>National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Center, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK <sup>5</sup>Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA <sup>6</sup>MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK <sup>7</sup>Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK <sup>8</sup>Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden <sup>9</sup>Health Data Research UK, UK

### <sup>10</sup> Equal contributions.

\*Corresponding author. Department of Medicine, Huddinge, Lipid laboratory, 7th floor, Neo, Karolinska Institute, Hälsovägen 9, 141 57, Huddinge, Sweden. E-mail: ingrid. dahlman@ki.se (I. Dahlman).

Received July 18, 2019 • Revision received December 25, 2019 • Accepted January 15, 2020 • Available online 25 January 2020

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2020.01.009

85

subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), the largest fat depot in the body, strongly suggests a genetic regulation of fat cell function [14].

To shed new light on the genetic influence on lipolysis, we conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on 939 subjects from the GENIAL (GENetics of Adipocyte Lipolysis) cohort with phenotyping of spontaneous and catecholamine-stimulated lipolysis in SAT.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Participants

The GENiAL cohort includes 273 men and 718 women whose characteristics are presented in Table 1. The participants were recruited between 1986 and 2016 by local advertisements for studies to examine the regulation of fat cell function with a focus on lipolysis. The recruitment period was extensive due to the labor-intensive methodology used for adipocyte analysis (only a few samples a week are possible); however, a single laboratory and consistent selection and analysis protocols were employed throughout.

The GENiAL cohort was used to analyze multiple adipose phenotypes, but all of the participants in this study had undergone baseline examination in our laboratory and their lipolysis and DNA measurements were available (Supplementary Fig. 1). A total of 939 study subjects with adipocyte lipolysis measurements were included. Descriptions of the study subjects are presented in Table 2. Overall, 57% were obese (defined as BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). All lived in Stockholm, Sweden. A total of 194 of the participants had type 2 diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidemia alone or in different combinations. None were treated with insulin, glitazones, or glucagon-like peptide analogues. Data on clinical variables and rates of fat cell lipolysis were published previously [5,6]. The current study was approved by the local committee on ethics and explained in detail to each subject. Informed consent was obtained from all of the participants; this was in written form since 1996.

SAT gene expression was studied in 114 women, a subset of the cohort. This cohort was previously described [15] and contained the same type of lipolysis data as presented herein. Gene expression of

*HIF3A* was measured in 75 subjects from the GENiAL cohort with stored frozen abdominal subcutaneous adipocytes isolated as described below.

### 2.2. Clinical examination

The participants came to the Karolinska University Hospital's clinical research center in the morning after an overnight fast. Height, weight, and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) were measured. Body fat content was measured via bioimpedance. A venous blood sample was obtained for extraction of DNA and clinical chemistry, which was performed by the hospital's accredited routine clinical chemistry laboratory. HOMA-IR as measure of systemic insulin resistance was calculated from the fasting levels of glucose and insulin as previously described [16]. SAT was obtained via needle aspiration biopsy lateral to the umbilicus as previously described [17]. The estimated abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue (ESAT) area was calculated using a formula based on WHR, sex, age, waist circumference, and body fat as previously described and validated [18].

### 2.3. Adipose tissue phenotyping

The SAT samples were rapidly rinsed in sodium chloride (9 mg/ml) before removal of visual blood vessels and cell debris and subsequently subjected to collagenase treatment to obtain isolated adipocytes as previously described [19]. Fat cells were incubated as previously described [19]. In brief, cell suspensions (diluted to 2% volume/volume) were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C with air as the gas phase in Krebs—Ringer phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with glucose (8.6 mmol/l), ascorbic acid (0.1 mg/ml), and bovine serum albumin (20 mg/ml) either without (spontaneous lipolysis) or with supplementation with synthetic non-selective  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor agonist isoprenaline (Hässle, Mölndal, Sweden) at increasing concentrations (10<sup>-9</sup>-10<sup>-5</sup> mol/l; stimulated lipolysis). The amount of glycerol, as a measure of lipolysis, was evaluated in an aliquot of medium at the end of the incubation [20]. This end product of lipolysis, unlike the other final fatty acid metabolites, is not re-utilized by fat

| Table 1 — Demographics of GENIAL cohort.        |            |            |          |            |             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |            | Men        |          | Women      |             |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | nonobese   | obese      | Р        | nonobese   | obese       | Р        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lean/obese (n)                                  | 177        | 96         |          | 269        | 449         |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age (years)                                     | 46(15)     | 43(12)     | 0.049    | 40(13)     | 41(10       | 0.4      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| height (cm)                                     | 178(10)    | 181(7)     | 0.0089   | 167(6)     | 166(6)      | 0.0038   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| body weight (kg)                                | 81(10)     | 125(18)    | < 0.0001 | 67(9)      | 108(17)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                        | 25(2)      | 38(5)      | < 0.0001 | 24(3)      | 39(5)       | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| waist (cm)                                      | 92(9)      | 126(13)    | < 0.0001 | 84(10)     | 119(13)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHR   | 0.95(0.06) | 1.05(0.05) | < 0.0001 | 0.86(0.07) | 0.96(0.07)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                 | 129(15)    | 140(18)    | < 0.0001 | 120(16)    | 130(16)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                | 78(10)     | 85(12)     | < 0.0001 | 74(9)      | 79(10)      | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plasma total cholesterol (mmol/l)               | 5.1(1.3)   | 5.3(1.4)   | 0.33     | 4.9(1.1)   | 5.0(1.0)    | 0.15     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plasma HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)                 | 1.2(0.4)   | 1.0(0.2)   | < 0.0001 | 1.6(0.4)   | 1.2(0.3)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plasma triacylglycerides (mmol/l)               | 1.70(1.98) | 2.33(2.49) | 0.038    | 1.06(0.64) | 1.52(0.86)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plasma nonesterified fatty acids (mmol/l)       | 0.49(0.17) | 0.62(0.21) | < 0.0001 | 0.62(0.21) | 0.72(0.24)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plasma glycerol (µmol/l)                        | 59.9(27.2) | 78.4(29.9) | < 0.0001 | 81.1(42.6) | 116.9(54.1) | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)                 | 5.4(1.2)   | 6.3(2.3)   | 0.0005   | 5.0(0.7)   | 5.6(1.4)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fasting serum insulin (mU/I)                    | 7.8(5.2)   | 20.5(11.8) | < 0.0001 | 6.2(3.3)   | 14.7(7.9)   | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HOMA-IR   | 2.01(1.95) | 6.02(4.31) | < 0.0001 | 1.40(0.87) | 3.82(2.88)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cell volume (pl)                                | 483(157)   | 821(193)   | < 0.0001 | 473(177)   | 860(180)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spontaneous lipolysis (mmol glycerol/2hrs/ESAT) | 0.8(0.7)   | 3.8(2.8)   | < 0.0001 | 1.0(0.9)   | 3.3(2.9)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stimulated lipolysis (mmol glycerol/2hrs/ESAT)  | 6.6(5.2)   | 3.6(1.6)   | < 0.0001 | 9.1(6.4)   | 5.8(7.0)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Where: obese is defined as BMI>30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Spontaneous lipolysis rate was calculated as glycerol release divided by the lipid weight of the incubated fat cells; Stimulated lipolysis was calculated as the quotient of glycerol release at the maximum effective isoprenaline concentration divided by the spontaneous rate (no hormone present) of glycerol release from the isolated fat cells. Continuous variables are presented as mean (sd), groups were compared with Student's t-test (unpaired). ESAT is estimated amount of abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue corresponding to the region for needle biopsy.



# Table 2 - Descriptions of the GENiAL participants included in this study.

|   |            | Men        |          | Women      |             |          |  |  |  |
|---|------------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|
|   | nonobese   | obese      | Р        | nonobese   | obese       | Р        |  |  |  |
| lean/obese (n)                                  | 89         | 163        |          | 245        | 442         |          |  |  |  |
| age (years)                                     | 47(15)     | 43(13)     | 0.044    | 40(13)     | 41(10)      | 0.7      |  |  |  |
| height (cm)                                     | 178(6)     | 181(7)     | 0.0049   | 167(6)     | 166(6)      | 0.0076   |  |  |  |
| body weight (kg)                                | 80(10)     | 125(18)    | < 0.0001 | 67(9)      | 108(17)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                        | 25(2)      | 38(5)      | < 0.0001 | 24(3)      | 39(5)       | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| waist (cm)                                      | 92(9)      | 126(13)    | < 0.0001 | 84(10)     | 119(13)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| WHR   | 0.95(0.06) | 1.05(0.05) | <0.0001  | 0.86(0.07) | 0.96(0.07)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                 | 129(15)    | 140(19)    | <0.0001  | 120(16)    | 130(16)     | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                | 79(10)     | 85(12)     | <0.0001  | 74(10)     | 80(10)      | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| plasma total cholesterol (mmol/l)               | 5.1(1.3)   | 5.3(1.4)   | 0.43     | 4.9(1.1)   | 5.0(1.0)    | 0.29     |  |  |  |
| plasma HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)                 | 1.2(0.4)   | 1.0(0.2)   | <0.0001  | 1.6(0.4)   | 1.2(0.3)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| plasma triacylglycerides (mmol/l)               | 1.70(2.03) | 2.32(2.53) | 0.047    | 1.07(0.64) | 1.52(0.87)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| plasma nonesterified fatty acids (mmol/l)       | 0.49(0.17) | 0.61(0.21) | 0.0002   | 0.63(0.21) | 0.72(0.24)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| plasma glycerol (µmol/l)                        | 60.0(27.0) | 76.8(27.3) | <0.0001  | 81.9(43.2) | 116.8(53.8) | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)                 | 5.4(1.2)   | 6.4(2.3)   | 0.0006   | 5.0(0.7)   | 5.6(1.4)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| fasting serum insulin (mIE/I)                   | 7.8(5.3)   | 20.5(12.0) | < 0.0001 | 6.2(3.4)   | 14.7(7.9)   | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| HOMA-IR   | 2.01(1.98) | 6.05(4.41) | < 0.0001 | 1.40(0.88) | 3.80(2.88)  | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| cell volume (pl)                                | 495(154)   | 812(193)   | < 0.0001 | 475(177)   | 861(179)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Spontaneous lipolysis (mmol glycerol/2hrs/ESAT) | 0.8(0.7)   | 3.9(2.8)   | < 0.0001 | 1.0(0.9)   | 3.4(2.9)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Stimulated lipolysis (mmol glycerol/2hrs/ESAT)  | 6.6(5.2)   | 3.6(1.6)   | <0.0001  | 9.1(6.4)   | 5.8(7.0)    | < 0.0001 |  |  |  |

Where: obese is defined as BMI>30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Spontaneous lipolysis rate was calculated as glycerol release divided by the lipid weight of the incubated fat cells; Stimulated lipolysis was calculated as the quotient of glycerol release at the maximum effective isoprenaline concentration divided by the spontaneous rate (no hormone present) of glycerol release from the isolated fat cellscontinuous variables are presented as mean (sd), groups were compared with Student's t-test (unpaired).

cells. The spontaneous lipolysis rate was calculated as the glycerol release to the incubation medium divided by the lipid weight of the incubated fat cells. There was no consensus how to express the lipolysis rates (absolute terms, relative terms, per cell number, or per lipid weight). We expressed isoprenaline-stimulated lipolysis as the quotient of glycerol release at the maximum effective isoprenaline concentration divided by the spontaneous rate (no hormones present) of glycerol release from the isolated fat cells. Spontaneous lipolysis was expressed as glycerol release/cell weight multiplied by the weight of ESAT, that is, an estimate of the total release of glycerol from the ESAT area. The values were log<sub>10</sub> transformed to improve normality (required for linear regression analysis). These modes of expression were preferred as they in linear regression showed better correlations with clinical parameters in the cohort than other ways of expressing lipolysis (results not shown). Previous in vitro studies demonstrated that spontaneous and isoprenaline-stimulated lipolysis were linear for at least 4 h in human subcutaneous fat cells [21].

### 2.4. Genetic analysis

DNA was extracted from whole blood using standard protocols. The samples were genotyped using the UK Biobank Axiom Array r3 platform and called using the Axiom analysis suite (https://assets. thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/axiom\_genotyping\_

solution\_analysis\_guide.pdf). Samples were excluded for cryptic relatedness, ambiguous sex, or low call rates (<95%). Multidimensional scaling, which essentially measures how genetically similar any two individuals are, was conducted in PLINK (http://zzz. bwh.harvard.edu/plink/download.shtml) [22] and the principal components (PCs) calculated for all individuals. PCs 1–4 were plotted and population outliers were excluded by visual inspection. SNPs were excluded for low call rates (<95%), failing Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 5 × 10<sup>-6</sup>), or low minor allele frequency (MAF < 1%). After quality control, imputation was performed using the haplotype reference consortium panel and, when variants were not available, the 1000G phase 3 reference panel [23]. Post-imputation quality control excluded SNPs with minor allele counts <3 and INFO <0.4 as well as related individuals (one of each pair of first- or second-degree relatives). After quality control, 885 samples and 9,260,588 SNPs were available for phenotypic analysis.

As previously noted, the spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis measurements were log<sub>10</sub> transformed prior to statistical analysis. A GWAS was conducted in PLINK [22], using linear regression assuming an additive genetic model and adjusting for population structure (PCs1-3), age, sex, and BMI. BMI was adjusted for as the sample size precluded separate analysis in obese and non-obese individuals. Genome-wide significance was set at P <  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  and suggestive significance was set at P <  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ . Only SNPs with MAF >1% were included in the results. SNPs were assigned to loci using the independent-pairwise function in PLINK [22] with default settings. Heritability was calculated using LD score regression (LDSR) [24].

## 2.5. Data mining

To identify SNPs with potentially functional effects on nearby genes, SNPs meeting the threshold for suggestive significance were assessed using the variant effect predictor (VEP) [25] and ANNOVAR [26] software. To identify genotype-specific gene expression patterns or eQTLs, SNPs reaching GWAS significance or those predicted by VEP or ANNOVAR to have functional effects were explored using GTEx [27].

# $\ensuremath{\text{2.6.}}$ Adipocyte cell culture and transfection with small interfering RNA

Isolation, growth, and differentiation of SAT-derived human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) were previously described [28]. Marker gene expression (Cap analysis gene expression [CAGE] and qPCR data) and lipid accumulation images for these cells were previously published [29].

hMSCs were transfected using a Neon electroporator (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 1 million of hMSCs at day 1 of differentiation were mixed with 40 nM ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting

*HIF3A* or non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) and electroporated using a 100  $\mu$ l Neon electroporation tip. Electroporation conditions were 1600 V, 20 ms width, and 1 pulse. Electroporation was repeated until the required amount of cells were collected for the experimental design. Following electroporation, the cells were plated in antibiotic-free medium at a density of 120,000 cells/well in 24-well plates or 12,000 cells/well in 96-well plates and cultured until days 6–13 of differentiation. The medium was collected at days 10 and 13 of differentiation for glycerol measurements as previously described [30]. The amounts of glycerol were normalized to the number of nuclei in each well (see "Quantification of neutral lipids and cell numbers during adipogenesis").

For *HIF3A* protein analysis, hMSCs were reverse transfected 24 h before induction of adipogenesis using ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNAs targeting *HIF3A* or non-targeting siRNA control #1 (Dharmacon) as previously described [31]. In this experimental design, the RNA and medium were collected at days 2, 6, and 9 after the induction of differentiation. Lipid accumulation was evaluated at day 9. For proteins, cells were collected 72 h post-transfection.

# 2.7. Quantification of neutral lipids and cell numbers during adipogenesis

Lipid accumulation was quantified at differentiation days 10 and 13. hMSCs differentiated *in vitro* were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 10 min at room temperature. The fixed cells were subsequently washed with PBS and stained with BODIPY 493/503 (0.2  $\mu$ g/mL; Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Hoechst 33,342 (2  $\mu$ g/mL; Molecular Probes) for 20 min at room temperature. Accumulation of intracellular lipids (BODIPY) and cell numbers (Hoechst) were quantified with a CellInsight CX5 High Content Screening (HCS) Platform (Thermo Fischer Scientific) using integrated protocols. Total BODIPY fluorescence (lipid droplets) was normalized to the number of nuclei in each well.

## 2.8. Isolation of RNA and analysis of gene expression

The hMSCs were collected at days 6, 10, and 13 of differentiation for isolation of RNA. Extraction of total RNA, measurement of concentration and purity, and reverse transcription were performed as previously described [30]. Isolation of RNA from frozen abdominal subcutaneous adipocytes was conducted as previously described [15]. Quantitative RT-PCR of the coding genes was performed using commercial TaqMan probes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Gene expression was normalized to the internal reference gene 18s. Relative expression was calculated using the 2 ( $-\Delta\Delta$  threshold cycle) method [32].

# 2.9. Analysis of protein expression

The hMSCs were transfected with siRNA against HIF3A 24 h prior to the induction of differentiation as previously described. At 72 h posttransfection, the cells were collected to isolate cytosolic and nuclear fractions as previously described [33]. Nuclei obtained from approximately 500,000 cells were analyzed in 150 µL of radioassay buffer (Perce/Thermo immunoprecipitation Fisher) supplemented with 5 mM of NaF, 1 mM of Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, protease inhibitor cocktail set V (Calbiochem), and benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich) on ice for 30 min. Cytosolic proteins were clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 min. The nuclear and cytosolic proteins were separated by 10% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Precast Gels (Bio-Rad) allowing direct protein visualization in the gels and after transfer onto the membranes. Protein transfer to the PVDF membranes was performed using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad). The membranes were blocked in 3% ECL Advance Blocking Agent (GE

Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Antibodies against *HIF3A* were obtained from Proteintech (Rosemont, IL, USA) and Prosci (Poway, CA, USA), both used at 1:1000 dilution. Secondary rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase antibodies were obtained from Sigma—Aldrich. Antibody antigen complexes were detected by chemiluminescence using an ECL Select Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare) in Chemidoc MP (Bio-Rad) and quantified using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad). Total lysate from Hep2D cells (Novus Biologicals, Abingdon, UK) was used as a positive control for *HIF3A* antibodies.

#### 2.10. Statistical analysis of clinical and in vitro data

The values of clinical variables and results of the *in vitro* experiments are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Lipolysis, glycerol, and triglyceride values were normalized by log<sub>10</sub> transformation prior to analysis. Standard statistical tests were used including the t-test and single or multiple regression as indicated in the figure/table legends using StatView software (Abacus Concepts Inc, Berkley, CA, USA) or JMP 13 (SAS, Cary, NC, USA).

# 3. RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of all of the participants in the GENiAL cohort are presented in Table 1 and those analyzed in the GWAS are presented in Table 2. The GWAS cohort consisted predominantly of women who were younger and had a higher frequency of obesity than the men. Obesity was associated with higher systemic levels of fasting lipids, glucose, and insulin levels in both genders. Spontaneous lipolysis, measured as the rate of glycerol release from a defined segment of abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue, was higher in the obese subjects. The stimulated lipolysis, measured as catecholamine-induced divided by basal glycerol release in isolated fat cells, was lower among the obese subjects. As expected, spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis were inversely correlated to one another (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.49, standardized beta -0.70,  $p = 1 \times 10^{-134}$ ).

To assess *in vivo* relevance, spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis measures were assessed for association with systemic metabolic variables (Table 3). Spontaneous lipolysis was positively correlated with the fasting plasma levels of triglycerides, free fatty acids, insulin, and HOMA-IR as measures of systemic insulin resistance after adjusting for age and sex

# Table 3 — Associations between adipocyte spontaneous or stimulated lipolysis and systemic metabolic variables.

|   | Sponta<br>lipoly  | neous<br>/sis         | Stimulated<br>lipolysis |                       |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Standardized beta | Р                     | Standardized<br>beta    | Р                     |  |  |  |
| plasma triglycerides<br>(mmol/l)                | 0.30              | $9.0 \times 10^{-19}$ | -0.24                   | $3.0 \times 10^{-14}$ |  |  |  |
| plasma nonesterified<br>fatty acids<br>(mmol/l) | 0.22              | $5.3 	imes 10^{-9}$   | -0.07                   | 0.049                 |  |  |  |
| plasma glycerol<br>(µmol/l)                     | 0.22              | $2.0 \times 10^{-12}$ | -0.12                   | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  |  |  |  |
| fasting serum<br>insulin (mU/l)                 | 0.57              | $4.0 \times 10^{-71}$ | -0.38                   | $2\times10^{-31}$     |  |  |  |
| HOMAIR  | 0.56              | $3.0\times10^{-67}$   | -0.38                   | $1.0\times10^{-31}$   |  |  |  |

Spontaneous lipolysis rate was calculated as glycerol release divided by the lipid weight of the incubated fat cells; Stimulated lipolysis was calculated as the quotient of glycerol release at the maximum effective isoprenaline concentration divided by the spontaneous rate (no hormone present) of glycerol release from the isolated fat cells; Analysis was performed using multiple regression adjusting for age, and sex.





Figure 1: Results of the GWAS of spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis. QQ and Manhattan plots for A) spontaneous lipolysis and B) isoprenaline-stimulated lipolysis. In the QQ plot, the red dotted line indicates the null distribution. In the Manhattan plot, the horizontal red line represents the threshold for GWAS significance ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ).

(Table 3). For the triglycerides, insulin, and HOMA-IR, the results remained significant after adjusting to BMI (results not shown).

# 3.1. GWAS of spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis

The results are presented in Figure 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 A total of 112 SNPs in 25 loci demonstrated suggestive associations (P  $< 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) with spontaneous lipolysis (Figure 1A, Table 4, and Supplementary Table 1), including one that demonstrated GWAS-

significant (P  $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) associated SNPs on chromosome 19. Many genes were potentially impacted by the SNPs in this region of chromosome 19 (Figure 2A). For stimulated lipolysis (Figure 1B), 156 SNPs in 40 loci reached the threshold for suggestive association (Table 4 and Supplementary Table 2); however, no GWAS-significant signals were identified. The sample size precluded analysis stratifying by obesity status. However sensitivity analysis of the GWAS-significant signals demonstrated consistent directions, but weaker effects in the obese vs non-

| Table 4 – GWAS-significant and suggestive loci for spontaneous lipolysis and suggestive loci for stimulated lipolysis. |           |                          |          |        |      |     |                       |       |        |        |          |                      |                 |       |        |        |                    |
|--|-----------|--------------------------|----------|--------|------|-----|-----------------------|-------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------------------|
|  |           |                          |          |        |      |     | Spontaneous lipolysis |       |        |        |          | Stimulated lipolysis |                 |       |        |        |                    |
| Chr  | Pos       | SNP                      | Ref      | Alt    | Freq | Ν   | Beta                  | Se    | L95    | U95    | Р        | Ν                    | Beta            | Se    | L95    | U95    | Р                  |
| 1  | 44208924  | 1:44208924_D_I           | 1        | D      | 0.85 | 856 | 0.144                 | 0.029 | 0.088  | 0.200  | 5.48E-07 | 874                  | -0.076          | 0.022 | -0.118 | -0.033 | 0.0006             |
| 1  | 161567916 | rs371656041              | С        | Т      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.449                 | 0.095 | 0.263  | 0.636  | 2.64E-06 | 874                  | -0.300          | 0.069 | -0.434 | -0.165 | 1.44E-05           |
| 1  | 229572764 | rs145251747              | D        | - I    | 0.98 | 856 | 0.429                 | 0.091 | 0.250  | 0.608  | 3.13E-06 | 874                  | -0.257          | 0.070 | -0.394 | -0.120 | 0.0003             |
| 2  | 87271929  | rs71226525               | Т        | G      | 0.54 | 856 | 0.097                 | 0.020 | 0.057  | 0.137  | 2.16E-06 | 874                  | -0.046          | 0.015 | -0.076 | -0.016 | 0.0027             |
| 2  | 170578879 | rs369684581              | Α        | G      | 0.83 | 856 | 0.108                 | 0.024 | 0.061  | 0.156  | 9.60E-06 | 874                  | -0.056          | 0.018 | -0.092 | -0.020 | 0.0026             |
| 2  | 172907753 | rs60231166               | Т        | С      | 0.74 | 856 | 0.121                 | 0.023 | 0.076  | 0.166  | 1.74E-07 | 874                  | -0.055          | 0.017 | -0.090 | -0.021 | 0.0016             |
| 3  | 67430871  | rs73098354               | G        | A      | 0.98 | 856 | -0.266                | 0.060 | -0.383 | -0.150 | 8.80E-06 | 874                  | 0.192           | 0.045 | 0.103  | 0.280  | 2.63E-05           |
| 4  | 94856317  | rs2918080                | G        | Т      | 0.78 | 856 | -0.096                | 0.021 | -0.138 | -0.054 | 8.80E-06 | 874                  | 0.039           | 0.016 | 0.007  | 0.071  | 0.0164             |
| 5  | 1463/2/5  | rs138114267              | A        | C      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.285                 | 0.063 | 0.162  | 0.408  | 6.27E-06 | 874                  | -0.204          | 0.047 | -0.297 | -0.111 | 1.92E-05           |
| 5  | 32955093  | rs114601412              | 1        | C      | 0.95 | 856 | 0.176                 | 0.039 | 0.100  | 0.253  | 7.70E-06 | 874                  | -0.148          | 0.030 | -0.206 | -0.090 | 0.04E-07           |
| 0  | 0320042   | 159302434<br>roEE4214620 | A        | ы<br>1 | 0.90 | 000 | 0.194                 | 0.043 | 0.110  | 0.270  | 0.03E-00 | 074                  | -0.129          | 0.033 | -0.192 | -0.000 | 0.72E-00           |
| 0  | 122240555 | re111201880              | т        | Ċ      | 0.05 | 856 | -0.111                | 0.024 | -0.150 | -0.003 | 0.30E-00 | 0/4<br>97/           | 0.000           | 0.016 | 0.020  | 0.101  | 0.0005<br>6.00E.06 |
| 12   | 53131676  | rs11170254               | T        | C      | 0.97 | 856 | _0.270                | 0.001 | -0.130 | -0.079 | 9.83E-06 | 874                  | -0.200<br>0 112 | 0.045 | -0.295 | -0.110 | 3.86E-06           |
| 13   | 71658551  | rs144654648              | Ť        | c      | 0.00 | 856 | 0.389                 | 0.002 | 0.200  | 0.556  | 6 58E-06 | 874                  | _0.214          | 0.024 | -0.342 | _0.087 |                    |
| 13   | 74083355  | rs117619390              | Ġ        | Ă      | 0.98 | 856 | -0.293                | 0.061 | -0.413 | -0.173 | 2.05E-06 | 874                  | 0.152           | 0.046 | 0.063  | 0.242  | 0.0009             |
| 13   | 90513818  | rs9588787                | Т        | С      | 0.42 | 856 | -0.086                | 0.018 | -0.121 | -0.051 | 1.55E-06 | 874                  | 0.051           | 0.014 | 0.024  | 0.077  | 0.0002             |
| 13   | 97727951  | rs149528824              | G        | С      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.417                 | 0.089 | 0.243  | 0.591  | 3.17E-06 | 874                  | -0.228          | 0.068 | -0.361 | -0.094 | 0.0008             |
| 14   | 19462974  | rs28701881               | Т        | С      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.478                 | 0.103 | 0.277  | 0.680  | 3.80E-06 | 874                  | -0.366          | 0.082 | -0.526 | -0.205 | 8.87E-06           |
| 15   | 68691171  | rs199600197              | 1        | D      | 0.11 | 856 | -0.171                | 0.035 | -0.240 | -0.102 | 1.34E-06 | 874                  | 0.102           | 0.027 | 0.050  | 0.154  | 0.0001             |
| 15   | 92595793  | rs28660218               | Т        | С      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.384                 | 0.082 | 0.223  | 0.544  | 3.19E-06 | 874                  | -0.305          | 0.062 | -0.426 | -0.183 | 1.15E-06           |
| 16   | 79960721  | rs143486974              | Α        | G      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.360                 | 0.073 | 0.217  | 0.503  | 9.35E-07 | 874                  | -0.166          | 0.056 | -0.276 | -0.057 | 0.0030             |
| 18   | 12923043  | rs16939974               | С        | Т      | 0.84 | 856 | 0.104                 | 0.023 | 0.059  | 0.149  | 6.08E-06 | 874                  | -0.075          | 0.017 | -0.109 | -0.041 | 1.40E-05           |
| 19   | 46633622  | rs73048030               | Α        | G      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.377                 | 0.066 | 0.249  | 0.506  | 1.20E-08 | 874                  | -0.197          | 0.049 | -0.293 | -0.101 | 5.90E-05           |
| 19   | 46635857  | rs73048031               | C        | T      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.372                 | 0.067 | 0.241  | 0.504  | 3.82E-08 | 874                  |                 | 0.050 | -0.294 |        | 8.74E-05           |
| 1  | 16291470  | rs3979178                | А        | G      | 0.73 | 856 | 0.065                 | 0.019 | 0.028  | 0.103  | 0.0007   | 874                  | -0.065          | 0.014 | -0.093 | -0.037 | 7.54E-06           |
| 1  | 72905881  | rs7524249                | C        | A      | 0.80 | 856 | 0.078                 | 0.022 | 0.035  | 0.120  | 0.0003   | 874                  | -0.076          | 0.016 | -0.107 | -0.044 | 3.39E-06           |
| 2  | 63587155  | rs148533396              | T        | C      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.245                 | 0.062 | 0.124  | 0.366  | 8.17E-05 | 874                  | -0.218          | 0.047 | -0.310 | -0.126 | 4.10E-06           |
| 2  | 8/414589  | rs540268296              | D        | I      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.287                 | 0.083 | 0.124  | 0.450  | 0.0006   | 874                  | -0.280          | 0.062 | -0.403 | -0.158 | 8.15E-06           |
| 2  | 13/300522 | IS110525497              | ы<br>т   | A      | 0.98 | 820 | 0.249                 | 0.060 | 0.131  | 0.307  | 4.08E-05 | 874<br>074           | -0.205          | 0.046 | -0.294 | -0.115 | 8.84E-06           |
| 2  | 210934339 | re560405754              | 1        | C<br>C | 0.99 | 856 | 0.440                 | 0.100 | 0.243  | 0.030  | 0.0028   | 0/4<br>97/           | -0.347          | 0.070 | -0.490 | -0.190 | 0.02E-00           |
| 2  | 2428151   | rs1032784                | Δ        | G      | 0.35 | 856 | -0.202                | 0.034 | -0.400 | -0.030 | 0.0020   | 874                  | 0.014           | 0.070 | 0.177  | 0.400  | 5.51E-06           |
| 3  | 28133397  | rs1506686                | Δ        | c      | 0.75 | 856 | 0.321                 | 0.020 | 0 150  | 0.000  | 0.0001   | 874                  | -0.314          | 0.010 | -0 443 | -0 184 | 2.32E-06           |
| 3  | 116686382 | rs590225                 | т        | č      | 0.82 | 856 | 0.078                 | 0.023 | 0.033  | 0.124  | 0.0008   | 874                  | -0.078          | 0.017 | -0.112 | -0.044 | 8.21E-06           |
| 4  | 177130984 | rs73007116               | G        | T      | 0.91 | 856 | 0.105                 | 0.033 | 0.040  | 0.171  | 0.0016   | 874                  | -0.118          | 0.025 | -0.166 | -0.070 | 1.75E-06           |
| 5  | 14799937  | rs114867704              | Т        | С      | 0.97 | 856 | 0.246                 | 0.060 | 0.128  | 0.363  | 4.69E-05 | 874                  | -0.222          | 0.044 | -0.309 | -0.135 | 6.91E-07           |
| 5  | 66547938  | 5:66547938_G_A           | Α        | G      | 0.96 | 856 | 0.157                 | 0.049 | 0.061  | 0.253  | 0.0015   | 874                  | -0.190          | 0.037 | -0.262 | -0.118 | 2.76E-07           |
| 5  | 88866702  | rs74574939               | G        | Т      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.250                 | 0.076 | 0.101  | 0.398  | 0.0010   | 874                  | -0.267          | 0.057 | -0.379 | -0.154 | 3.74E-06           |
| 5  | 134881956 | rs72787161               | Т        | G      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.328                 | 0.074 | 0.183  | 0.474  | 1.04E-05 | 874                  | -0.288          | 0.055 | -0.395 | -0.180 | 2.10E-07           |
| 6  | 105831370 | rs117269303              | Т        | С      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.270                 | 0.076 | 0.121  | 0.420  | 0.0004   | 874                  | -0.263          | 0.056 | -0.373 | -0.152 | 3.73E-06           |
| 6  | 123139459 | rs35141697               | D        | Т      | 0.75 | 856 | -0.067                | 0.020 | -0.107 | -0.028 | 0.0009   | 874                  | 0.070           | 0.015 | 0.040  | 0.100  | 4.94E-06           |
| 7  | 41024130  | rs200674393              | <u> </u> | D      | 0.98 | 856 | -0.329                | 0.077 | -0.481 | -0.177 | 2.45E-05 | 874                  | 0.305           | 0.059 | 0.191  | 0.420  | 2.29E-07           |
| 8  | 3902830   | rs79055631               | T        | G      | 0.95 | 856 | 0.138                 | 0.042 | 0.057  | 0.220  | 0.0009   | 874                  | -0.148          | 0.031 | -0.209 | -0.086 | 2.66E-06           |
| 8  | 10375973  | rs111818085              | 1        | D      | 0.89 | 856 | 0.064                 | 0.027 | 0.012  | 0.117  | 0.0163   | 874                  | -0.094          | 0.020 | -0.133 | -0.055 | 2.78E-06           |
| ð<br>0   | 13095207  | rs10067219               | 6        | A      | 0.87 | 820 | 0.094                 | 0.027 | 0.041  | 0.140  | 0.0005   | 874<br>074           | -0.094          | 0.020 | -0.133 | -0.054 | 3.45E-06           |
| 10   | 100/19090 | rc1002740                | A<br>T   | u<br>C | 0.99 | 856 | 0.011                 | 0.101 | 0.112  | 0.509  | 1 40E 05 | 0/4<br>97/           | -0.321          | 0.071 | -0.400 | -0.101 | 1.30E-00           |
| 11   | 94458034  | rs117762377              | Δ        | G      | 0.20 | 856 | -0.174                | 0.019 | -0.328 | -0.019 | 0.0277   | 874                  | 0.009           | 0.014 | 0.097  | 0.042  | 4 58E-06           |
| 12   | 14094162  | rs148192549              | C        | т      | 0.99 | 856 | 0.238                 | 0.075 | 0.020  | 0.013  | 0.0277   | 874                  | -0.304          | 0.064 | -0 429 | -0 179 | 2 11E-06           |
| 12   | 101524071 | 12:101524071 G A         | A        | G      | 0.98 | 856 | 0.158                 | 0.064 | 0.032  | 0.285  | 0.0143   | 874                  | -0.209          | 0.047 | -0.300 | -0.117 | 8.29F-06           |
| 12   | 133262001 | rs11147005               | Т        | C      | 0.32 | 856 | 0.045                 | 0.019 | 0.009  | 0.082  | 0.0148   | 874                  | -0.062          | 0.014 | -0.089 | -0.035 | 7.14E-06           |
| 13   | 30567601  | rs142268497              | С        | Т      | 0.99 | 856 | -0.246                | 0.085 | -0.413 | -0.080 | 0.0038   | 874                  | 0.301           | 0.064 | 0.176  | 0.427  | 3.00E-06           |
| 13   | 73025966  | rs9318075                | A        | G      | 0.64 | 856 | 0.051                 | 0.018 | 0.016  | 0.086  | 0.0041   | 874                  | -0.059          | 0.013 | -0.085 | -0.033 | 9.92E-06           |
| 16   | 1595600   | rs56342298               | Т        | С      | 0.95 | 856 | 0.108                 | 0.039 | 0.031  | 0.185  | 0.0064   | 874                  | -0.132          | 0.029 | -0.189 | -0.074 | 7.79E-06           |
| 17   | 73299631  | rs9892812                | G        | А      | 0.47 | 856 | -0.044                | 0.018 | -0.079 | -0.009 | 0.0146   | 874                  | 0.059           | 0.013 | 0.033  | 0.085  | 9.79E-06           |
| 18   | 1772503   | rs541861443              | А        | Т      | 0.42 | 856 | -0.078                | 0.019 | -0.116 | -0.041 | 4.75E-05 | 874                  | 0.065           | 0.014 | 0.037  | 0.094  | 6.62E-06           |
| 18   | 48657387  | 18:48657387_C_T          | Т        | С      | 0.73 | 856 | 0.084                 | 0.020 | 0.045  | 0.124  | 3.66E-05 | 874                  | -0.074          | 0.015 | -0.104 | -0.045 | 1.21E-06           |
| 20   | 4213175   | rs79601367               | А        | G      | 0.96 | 856 | 0.160                 | 0.045 | 0.071  | 0.249  | 0.0005   | 874                  | -0.167          | 0.034 | -0.234 | -0.099 | 1.44E-06           |
| 22   | 32335786  | rs138027893              | Α        | С      | 0.95 | 856 | 0.131                 | 0.040 | 0.052  | 0.210  | 0.0012   | 874                  | -0.135          | 0.030 | -0.194 | -0.076 | 8.31E-06           |

Beta, effect of Alt allele; Freq, frequency of Alt allele; Spontaneous lipolysis rate was calculated as glycerol release divided by the lipid weight of the incubated fat cells multiplied by the weight of ESAT; Stimulated lipolysis was calculated as the quotient of glycerol release at the maximum effective isoprenaline concentration divided by the spontaneous rate (no hormone present) of glycerol release from the isolated fat cells. Where SNPs were suggestive for both traits, they are listed under spontaneous lipolysis. The most significant SNP in each locus i listed in the table.





Figure 2: Regional plot of the GWAS-significant spontaneous lipolysis-associated locus on chromosome 19. A) Regional plot of the GWAS-significant locus, B) linkage disequilibrium (LD) of SNPs meeting the threshold for suggestive significance, and C) regional plot after conditional analyses of the GWAS-significant rs73048030 or D) rs73048031. LD colors and values given in R2.



**Figure 3:** Functional validation of *HIF3A* function in human adipocytes. A) HIF3A gene expression during *hMSC* differentiation *in vitro*. Data retrieved from FANTOM5 dataset (http:// fantom.gsc.riken.jp/5/). B) and D-E) Expression of *HIF3A* was knocked down using siRNA in hMSCs 24 h after induction of differentiation and followed until differentiation days 6, 10, and 13, upon which B) the expression of *HIF3A*, *LIPE*, *PLIN1*, and *PNPLA2* was monitored, D) glycerol amount in medium was measured, E) accumulation of neutral lipids was evaluated, and F) the expression of *AIIP3A* was measured. C) The expression of *HIF3A* was knocked down using siRNA in hMSCs 24 h before induction of adipogenic differentiation of hMSCs; 72 h post-transfection, the cells were collected, fractionated to the cytosolic and nuclear fraction, and the proteins were analyzed by Western blotting. The expression of *HIF3A* was normalized to the total protein amount. Results in B-E are based on four biological/independent experiments. The expression of genes was normalized to the reference gene 18s. The results were analyzed using t-tests and presented as fold change  $\pm$  SD relative to negative control of a corresponding time point (Neg C). Results in C) were analyzed using one-sided t-tests and presented as fold change  $\pm$  SD relative to negative control (Neg C). \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*P < 0.05.

obese individuals (rs73048030: beta =  $-0.26 \text{ Se} 0.08 \text{ P} = 2.40 \times 10^{-7}$  vs beta =  $-0.55 \text{ Se} = 0.10 \text{ P} = 7.46 \times 10^{-4}$ , respectively). There was a strong overlap between SNPs associated with spon-

There was a strong overlap between SNPs associated with spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis; all of the SNPs displaying suggestive associations with spontaneous lipolysis were nominally associated with stimulated lipolysis (Supplementary Table 1), whereas 149 of the 156 SNPs displaying suggestive associations with stimulated lipolysis were nominally associated with spontaneous



Figure 4: A) Genotype-specific gene expression for *HIF3A*, with higher levels observed with 2 copies of rs73048031-C. Gene expression expressed relative to 18 S. Genotype groups were compared with Student's t-test. P = 0.076 (two-sided), P = 0.038 (one-sided). B) Relationship between abdominal SAT *HIF3A* expression and spontaneous lipolysis.

lipolysis (Supplementary Table 2). This was expected as spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis are correlated (inversely) and share several regulatory steps in signaling to the lipases that ultimately hydrolyze triglycerides [1-3].

Using LDSR, SNP heritability (observed scale) was estimated as 14.2% ( $h^2_{SNP} = 0.1426$ ; SE = 0.468) for spontaneous lipolysis and 26.4% ( $h^2_{SNP} = 0.264$ ; SE = 0.454) for stimulated lipolysis. Of note, the summary statistics meta-data for both spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis suggested that the files were not suitable for genetic

correlation analyses (the mean chi-square was too low). Given that the sample size was <1,000 individuals, this was unsurprising.

# 3.2. Chromosome 19 locus was significantly associated with spontaneous lipolysis

The genome-wide significant spontaneous lipolysis-associated chromosome 19 locus (Figure 2A) encompasses a region of  $\sim$  130 Kb that includes two GWAS-significant SNPs and 68 suggestive SNPs (Supplementary Table 3). The linkage disequilibrium (LD) between



SNPs meeting the threshold for suggestive evidence of association (Figure 2B) and the non-significant association of these SNPs with spontaneous lipolysis analyses when conditioning on the GWASsignificant SNPs, rs73048030 (Figure 2C), or rs73048031 (Figure 2D) demonstrated that this locus contained only one association signal (Supplementary Table 3). All of the SNPs in the chromosome 19 locus demonstrating GWAS-significant evidence of association with spontaneous lipolysis were assessed for potential functional effects using VEP and ANNOVAR. None of the SNPs were predicted to have significant or deleterious effects on nearby genes. The most severe consequence for each SNP is reported in Supplemental Table 4. The chromosome 19 locus was previously associated with inflammatory and hematological traits (Supplementary Table 5) [34-40]. This locus was also previously associated with lipoprotein disorders in UK Biobank (albeit in a case-control analysis with only 51 cases, http:// pheweb.sph.umich.edu/pheno/277.51).

# 3.3. Expression analysis for chromosome 19 locus candidate gene identification

All of the transcripts in the chromosome 19 region bordered by a recombination rate of 25% from the lead SNPs were examined for expression in publicly available transcriptome data of our human adipocyte cultures and in isolated mature abdominal adipocytes from our clinical samples available in the FANTOM5 dataset (http://fantom. gsc.riken.jp/5/) and [29]. Only *HIF3A* was expressed in the isolated mature adipocytes (Supplementary Table 6) and precursor cells, that is the hMSCs undergoing differentiation to adipocytes (Figure 3A). *PPP5C* was expressed in the mature adipocytes but not precursors, so was not included in further analysis.

We also examined the genotype-dependent gene expression in the chromosome 19 locus. According to GTEx [27], the genome-wide significant SNPs on chromosome 19, rs73048030, and rs73048031 were eQTLs for IGL3 in the skin, but not for HIF3A in any investigated organ including the white adipose tissue (Supplementary Table 4). Adipose tissue comprises different cell types that can mask an eQTL effect on a single cell type. To test an adipocyte-specific effect of rs73048030 and rs73048031, we measured HIF3A in the isolated frozen adipocytes from GENIAL (N = 75). The common allele of rs73048031-C was marginally associated with higher gene expression (P = 0.076 two-sided; P = 0.038one-sided) (Figure 4A). Due to the low MAF of rs73048031, no homozygous subject for the rare allele was included in the eQTL analysis. The results did not remain significant if adjusted for age, sex, and BMI, which was not surprising given the limited size of our cohort. The results for rs73048030 were similar although non-significant due to the lower genotype call rate (results not shown). No genotype-specific expression patterns were identified for PPP5C.

In addition, *HIF3A* in abdominal SAT in relation to lipolysis was assessed in a subset of the study cohort with lipolysis and gene expression measurements (114 women with a wide variation in BMI). *HIF3A* expression was inversely correlated with spontaneous lipolysis (Figure 4B). Based on these findings, *HIF3A* was further functionally evaluated.

# 3.4. Effect of candidate gene manipulation on adipose tissue biology

The expression of *HIF3A* during differentiation of hMSCs increased at the very beginning of differentiation, reaching peak expression at day 2 of differentiation and decreasing thereafter to almost the same level as in the beginning of differentiation (Figure 3A). Based on the high expression early in differentiation, we knocked down *HIF3A* using

siRNA in the hMSCs at day 1 and followed the cells to differentiation days 10 and 13. This resulted in 45-50% decreased HIF3A expression at days 5, 9, and 12 post-transfection (that is, days 6, 10, and 13 of differentiation, Figure 3B); thus, approximately 50% of knockdown was left by the end of differentiation. In contrast, the expression levels of the genes central to lipolysis (hormone-sensitive lipase (LIPE), perilipin 1 (PLIN1), and adipose triglyceride lipase (PNPLA2)) increased by HIF3A knockdown. The expression of LIPE increased by at least 60-40% at days 6 and 10. The expression of PNPLA2 increased by 40, 30, and 15%, respectively, at days 6, 10, and 13. The expression of *PLIN1* increased by  $\sim 20\%$  at day 10 only (Figure 3B). The effect of gene silencing naturally gradually diminished due to the long posttransfection time of almost two weeks. We analyzed the effects of siRNA on HIF3A protein at day 2 of differentiation due to its highest expression at the beginning of differentiation. We detected a small but significant downregulation of HIF3A protein in the nuclear fraction but not in the cytosolic fraction (Figure 3C).

We further evaluated the glycerol levels in the conditioned medium as a marker of lipolysis. The glycerol levels increased significantly by approximately 20-50% at days 10 and 13, respectively (Figure 3D). We also evaluated the effects on lipid accumulation of *HIF3A* knockdown. The amount of neutral lipids increased by 30% at day 13 but not day 10 of differentiation (Figure 3E). Finally, *HIF3A* knockdown had only minor effects on adipocyte-specific genes. The expression of *ADIPOQ* increased by 25% at day 6 while the expression of *FABP4* was not significantly affected (Figure 3F).

# 3.5. Analysis of previous clinically relevant associations for lipolysis-associated genetic loci

We next assessed whether lipolysis-associated SNPs in the present study were associated with obesesity-related clinical traits. None of the suggestive lipolysis-associated SNPs were previously reported to be associated with obesity (BMI, WHR, and % body fat) or related metabolic traits (type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance, and plasma lipids) according to the GWAS catalogue (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/, 20190410). However, a few SNPs were located in genes or within +/-100 Kbps of SNPs reported to be associated with these traits, for example, a locus on chromosome 2 harboring *METAP1D* was found to be associated with % body fat [41] and loci on chromosome 15 (*SLCO3A1*) and 18 with BMI [8,42] (Supplementary Table 7).

# 3.6. Data mining of spontaneous or stimulated lipolysis loci

The SNPs displaying suggestive associations with spontaneous or stimulated lipolysis were next investigated for genotype-specific gene expression (or eQTLs). Among the SNPs represented in GTEx, the SNPs associated with spontaneous lipolysis also demonstrated genotypespecific expression patterns on chromosome 2 for PLGLB1 in the SAT as well as on chromosome 19 for PPP5D1 in the brain and IGFL3 in the skin (Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Table 8), SNPs in six loci associated with stimulated lipolysis demonstrated eQTLs. The most interesting was rs9892812 on chromosome 17, which influenced gene expression in the SAT of NUP85, GGA3, and MRPS7 (Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Table 8). Other notable findings include rs11147005 on chromosome 12, the P2RX2 levels in the visceral adipose tissue, and many SNPs on chromosome 10 influencing ATE1 levels in the skeletal muscle (Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Table 8). Brief descriptions of genes highlighted by these analyses are presented in Supplementary Table 9. None of the eQTL-associated genes have established roles in lipolysis regulation.

There are many caveats to consider when interpreting eQTL data (for example, the sample size, data collection time and method, and tissue selection); however, these data are included to be as comprehensive as possible.

## 4. **DISCUSSION**

In this first GWAS of human fat cell lipolysis, we identified one genetic locus significantly associated with spontaneous lipolysis and multiple additional loci with suggestive associations with spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis. Furthermore, we provided evidence of *HIF3A* as a candidate gene with a key role in regulating the expression of genes central to lipolysis.

Regulation of SAT lipolysis is not well understood. Prior genetic studies implicated coding variants in or near genes encoding proteins with established and specific roles in lipolysis and glycerol release [43]. In contrast, in this unique cohort, we identified the SNPs associated with lipolysis that are not located in or near genes encoding proteins with established roles in lipolysis. This is in line with studies of other complex traits in which a GWAS of a well-defined phenotype can highlight novel biology. That prior studies were not validated herein suggests that they may represent spurious associations due to underpowered study designs.

While several nominal loci were identified, for robustness, we focused on the genome-wide significant locus on chromosome 19. This is a gene-dense region with high LD. Among the genes in this locus, only HIF3A was expressed in mature and precursor adipocytes and constituted an eQTL and was thus the focus of further evaluation. We provided functional support for transcription factor HIF3A explaining the association between the chromosome 19 locus and lipolysis: knockdown of HIF3A in hMSCs increased glycerol release and, consistent with this, increased the expression of genes encoding the major lipolysis-regulating lipases, that is, LIPE and PLNPLA2. Furthermore, the major lipid droplet-coating protein in fat cells, PLIN1, which is also critical for lipolysis, was influenced by HIF3A knockdown. In agreement with an inhibitory effect of HIF3A on lipolysis, we observed an inverse correlation between HIF3A expression and SAT spontaneous lipolysis in women and borderline nominally significant (P < 0.05) association with the rs73048031 genotype, whereby the spontaneous lipolysis-decreasing allele (C) was associated with increased HIF3A gene expression levels. We were unable to exclude the possibility that genes encoding secreted proteins could control lipolysis through distant-acting systemic effects, for example, IGFL3, which is primarily expressed in the skin and comprises a cis-eQTL in this organ for the lipolysis-associated SNPs on chromosome 19. However, there was no evidence that IGFL3 was present in the general circulation, making this scenario less likely.

The lipolysis-associated SNPs on chromosome 19 were not previously reported to be associated with clinical traits involving altered lipolysis, for example, adiposity, insulin resistance, or dyslipidemia, according to the GWAS catalogue. Furthermore, mice with a targeted disruption of the *HIF3A* locus displayed cardiac and pulmonary remodeling [44], but to the best of our knowledge, no phenotypes related to altered lipid or glucose metabolism have been reported. However, the *HIF3A* locus more generally has previously been associated with lipoprotein subclasses in Finns [45], which might be related to dysfunctional lipolysis. Furthermore, the influence of *HIF3A* on lipolysis could go beyond the genetic effects. In addition to hypoxia, *HIF3A* expression has also been reported to be regulated by the anti-lipolytic hormone insulin [46]. Furthermore, SAT CpG methylation of *HIF3A* has been associated with gene expression and BMI [47]. Also, reported results supported the hypothesis that *HIF3A* methylation is secondary to, rather than causal of, obesity [48]. We cannot exclude the possibility that the SNPs in the lipolysis-associated locus influenced DNA methylation. *HIF3A* could possibly represent a mechanistic link between obesity and metabolic complications since SAT gene expression is inversely correlated with systemic insulin resistance [49].

Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) are heterodimeric transcription factors that regulate adaptive responses to low oxygen tension [50]. Ectopic expression of *HIF3A* has been shown to enhance the adipogenic potential in mouse fat cell line 3T3-L1 cells [51]. In this study, knockdown of *HIF3A* did not suppress markers of adipocytes (*FABP4* and *ADIPOQ*) and increased lipid accumulation, suggesting that the effects are species dependent. *HIF3A* binding motifs are not sufficiently well described to determine via bioinformatics analyses whether HIF3A directly represses *LIPE*, *PNPLA2*, and *PLIN1*. Experiments to address this were beyond the scope of the present study.

How regulation of lipolysis is related to traits such as obesity and insulin resistance is an area of obvious interest. However, the many loci influencing metabolic traits likely act through a variety of different mechanisms, with only a handful acting through lipolysis. Therefore, the gold standard methods (genetic correlation and polygenic risk scores) that consider the whole genome are inappropriate and could be misleading. Consideration of specific loci could provide more accurate estimates; however, defining the choice of loci is complex. For example, only the loci with evidence of genotype-specific gene expression patterns in adipose tissue could be considered, or with supportive experimental data, but these methods are fraught with issues. Expression data are limited in sample size, collection time points, and tissue selection, to name only a few concerns. Similarly, only a fraction of loci has been thoroughly studied experimentally. While this information provides additional evidence, there is a significant amount of bias that should be considered in their interpretation (while the observed associations could be true, lack of associations cannot be taken as true findings).

To date, lipolysis has not been extensively studied, and consensus in methodology is lacking. The lipolysis measurements used in this study were those most reflective of (best correlations with) clinical parameters. The limitations of this study include lack of replication, as we are unaware of any other datasets with comparable phenotypes of sufficiently large size to provide reasonable power for replication. The size of the cohort might be the reason for only finding one genome-wide significant locus for the lipolysis phenotypes. Nevertheless, the validity of our findings is supported by first, consistent effects of suggestive findings on the two phenotypes. That is, the effects on spontaneous lipolysis were consistently the inverse of the effects on stimulated lipolysis. Second, many SNPs showed effects on gene expression in adult adipose tissues. Finally, manipulation of the candidate gene expression levels in the GWAS-significant locus demonstrated clear effects on lipolysis. HIF3A has multiple splice variants, the expression of which are epigenetically regulated [52]. Whether there are also transcript-specific genetic effects on HIF3A expression remains to be determined. Finally, this study was underpowered for sex-specific analysis.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this first GWAS of adipocyte lipolysis identified a locus on chromosome 19 that was significantly associated with spontaneous lipolysis, demonstrated that genetic regulation of spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis overlaps, and provided evidence for *HIF3A* 



as a strong candidate gene with a novel and key regulatory function in SAT lipolysis in humans. We also highlighted multiple additional genetic loci with suggestive associations with lipolysis, including some that were previously linked to body fat storage and distribution.

# 6. DATA AVAILABILITY

Summary statistics for the spontaneous and stimulated lipolysis GWAS are available upon request.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank all of the participants and staff involved in the GENiAL study. RJS is supported by a UKRI Innovation at HDR-UK Fellowship (MR/S003061/1). CML is supported by the Li Ka Shing Foundation; the NIHR Biomedical Research Center, Oxford; Widenlife; the NIH (5P50HD028138-27); and has collaborated with Novo Nordisk and Bayer in research, and in accordance with the policy of the University of Oxford, did not accept any personal payment. This study was supported by the Strategic Research Program in Diabetes at Karolinska Institute, Swedish Research Council, Novo Nordisk Foundation, and the Swedish Diabetes Association.

## **APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molmet.2020.01.009.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

RJS designed the study, conducted the analysis, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. AK and VL conducted the experiments, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. JAL and CL oversaw imputation and edited the manuscript. CML edited the manuscript. ID and PA acquired the data, conceived and designed the study, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. All of the authors agreed to be accountable for their contributions and approved the final manuscript.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None declared.

# **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

None.

#### REFERENCES

- Arner, P., 2005. Human fat cell lipolysis: biochemistry, regulation and clinical role. Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 19:471– 482.
- [2] Fruhbeck, G., Mendez-Gimenez, L., Fernandez-Formoso, J.A., Fernandez, S., Rodriguez, A., 2014. Regulation of adipocyte lipolysis. Nutrition Research Reviews 27:63–93.
- [3] Lafontan, M., Langin, D., 2009. Lipolysis and lipid mobilization in human adipose tissue. Progress in Lipid Research 48:275–297.
- [4] Girousse, A., Tavernier, G., Valle, C., Moro, C., Mejhert, N., Dinel, A.L., et al., 2013. Partial inhibition of adipose tissue lipolysis improves glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity without alteration of fat mass. PLoS Biology 11: e1001485.

- [5] Ryden, M., Arner, P., 2017. Subcutaneous adipocyte lipolysis contributes to circulating lipid levels. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 37: 1782–1787.
- [6] Ryden, M., Arner, P., 2017. Cardiovascular risk score is linked to subcutaneous adipocyte size and lipid metabolism. Journal of Internal Medicine 282: 220–228.
- [7] Arner, P., Andersson, D.P., Backdahl, J., Dahlman, I., Ryden, M., 2018. Weight gain and impaired glucose metabolism in women are predicted by inefficient subcutaneous fat cell lipolysis. Cell Metabolism 28:45–54 e3.
- [8] Locke, A.E., Kahali, B., Berndt, S.I., Justice, A.E., Pers, T.H., Day, F.R., et al., 2015. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature 518:197–206.
- [9] Shungin, D., Winkler, T.W., Croteau-Chonka, D.C., Ferreira, T., Locke, A.E., Mägi, R., et al., 2015. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. Nature 518:187–196.
- [10] Willer, C.J., Schmidt, E.M., Sengupta, S., Peloso, G.M., Gustafsson, S., Kanoni, S., et al., 2013. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. Nature Genetics 45:1274–1283.
- [11] Despres, J.P., Bouchard, C., 1984. Monozygotic twin resemblance in fatness and fat cell lipolysis. Acta Geneticae Medicae et Gemellologiae 33:475-480.
- [12] Stich, V., Harant, I., De Glisezinski, I., Crampes, F., Berlan, M., Kunesova, M., et al., 1997. Adipose tissue lipolysis and hormone-sensitive lipase expression during very-low-calorie diet in obese female identical twins. The Journal of Cinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 82:739–744.
- [13] Dahlman, I., Ryden, M., Arner, P., 2018. Family history of diabetes is associated with enhanced adipose lipolysis: evidence for the implication of epigenetic factors. Diabetes & Metabolism 44:155–159.
- [14] Civelek, M., Wu, Y., Pan, C., Raulerson, C.K., Ko, A., He, A., et al., 2017. Genetic regulation of adipose gene expression and cardio-metabolic traits. The American Journal of Human Genetics 100:428–443.
- [15] Dahlman, I., Ryden, M., Brodin, D., Grallert, H., Strawbridge, R.J., Arner, P., 2016. Numerous genes in loci associated with body fat distribution are linked to adipose function. Diabetes 65:433–437.
- [16] Matthews, D.R., Hosker, J.P., Rudenski, A.S., Naylor, B.A., Treacher, D.F., Turner, R.C., 1985. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 28:412–419.
- [17] Kolaczynski, J.W., Morales, L.M., Moore Jr., J.H., Considine, R.V., Pietrzkowski, Z., Noto, P.F., et al., 1994. A new technique for biopsy of human abdominal fat under local anaesthesia with Lidocaine. International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders 18:161–166.
- [18] Andersson, D.P., Arner, E., Hogling, D.E., Ryden, M., Arner, P., 2017. Abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue cellularity in men and women. International Journal of Obesity 41:1564–1569.
- [19] Lofgren, P., Hoffstedt, J., Naslund, E., Wiren, M., Arner, P., 2005. Prospective and controlled studies of the actions of insulin and catecholamine in fat cells of obese women following weight reduction. Diabetologia 48: 2334–2342.
- [20] Hellmer, J., Arner, P., Lundin, A., 1989. Automatic luminometric kinetic assay of glycerol for lipolysis studies. Analytical Biochemistry 177:132–137.
- [21] Arner, P., 1976. Relationship between intracellular cyclic AMP and lipolysis in human adipose tissue. Acta Medica Scandinavica 200:179–186.
- [22] Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., et al., 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and populationbased linkage analyses. The American Journal of Human Genetics 81:559– 575.
- [23] Loh, P.R., Danecek, P., Palamara, P.F., Fuchsberger, C., A Reshef, Y., K Finucane, H., et al., 2016. Reference-based phasing using the haplotype reference consortium panel. Nature Genetics 48:1443–1448.

- [24] Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P.R., et al., 2015. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nature Genetics 47:1236–1241.
- [25] McLaren, W., Gil, L., Hunt, S.E., Riat, H.S., Ritchie, G.R., Thormann, A., et al., 2016. The ensembl variant effect predictor. Genome Biology 17:122.
- [26] Wang, K., Li, M., Hakonarson, H., 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Research 38:e164.
- [27] Consortium, G.T., 2013. The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nature Genetics 45:580-585.
- [28] Pettersson, A.M., Stenson, B.M., Lorente-Cebrián, S., Andersson, D.P., Mejhert, N., Krätzel, J., et al., 2013. LXR is a negative regulator of glucose uptake in human adipocytes. Diabetologia 56:2044–2054.
- [29] Arner, E., Daub, C.O., Vitting-Seerup, K., Andersson, R., Lilje, B., Drabløs, F., et al., 2015. Transcribed enhancers lead waves of coordinated transcription in transitioning mammalian cells. Science 347:1010–1014.
- [30] Lundback, V., Kulyte, A., Strawbridge, R.J., Ryden, M., Arner, P., Marcus, C., et al., 2018. FAM13A and POM121C are candidate genes for fasting insulin: functional follow-up analysis of a genome-wide association study. Diabetologia 61:1112–1123.
- [31] Jiao, H., Kulyté, A., Näslund, E., Thorell, A., Gerdhem, P., Kere, J., et al., 2016. Whole-exome sequencing suggests LAMB3 as a susceptibility gene for morbid obesity. Diabetes 65:2980–2989.
- [32] Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25:402-408.
- [33] Lorente-Cebrián, S., Mejhert, N., Kulyté, A., Laurencikiene, J., Åström, G., Hedén, P., et al., 2014. MicroRNAs regulate human adipocyte lipolysis: effects of miR-145 are linked to TNF-alpha. PloS One 9:e86800.
- [34] Astle, W.J., Elding, H., Jiang, T., Allen, D., Ruklisa, D., Mann, A.L., et al., 2016. The allelic landscape of human blood cell trait variation and links to common complex disease. Cell 167:1415–1429 e19.
- [35] Christophersen, I.E., Magnani, J.W., Yin, X., Barnard, J., Weng, L.C., Arking, D.E., et al., 2017. Fifteen genetic loci associated with the electrocardiographic P wave 10. Circ Cardiovasc Genet.
- [36] Jostins, L., Ripke, S., Weersma, R.K., Duerr, R.H., McGovern, D.P., Hui, K.Y., et al., 2012. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 491:119–124.
- [37] Law, P.J., Berndt, S.I., Speedy, H.E., Camp, N.J., Sava, G.P., Skibola, C.F., et al., 2017. Genome-wide association analysis implicates dysregulation of immunity genes in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Nature Communications 8: 14175.
- [38] Liu, J.Z., van Sommeren, S., Huang, H., Ng, S.C., Alberts, R., Takahashi, A., et al., 2015. Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. Nature Genetics 47:979–986.

- [39] Mez, J., Chung, J., Jun, G., Kriegel, J., Bourlas, A.P., Sherva, R., et al., 2017. Two novel loci, COBL and SLC10A2, for Alzheimer's disease in African Americans. Alzheimers Dement 13:119–129.
- [40] Vishal, M., Sharma, A., Kaurani, L., Alfano, G., Mookherjee, S., Narta, K., et al., 2016. Genetic association and stress mediated down-regulation in trabecular meshwork implicates MPP7 as a novel candidate gene in primary open angle glaucoma. BMC Medical Genomics 9:15.
- [41] Hübel, C., Gaspar, H.A., Coleman, J.R.I., Finucane, H., Purves, K.L., Hanscombe, K.B., et al., 2018. Genomics of body fat percentage may contribute to sex bias in anorexia nervosa. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.
- [42] Kichaev, G., Bhatia, G., Loh, P.R., Gazal, S., Burch, K., Freund, M.K., et al., 2019. Leveraging polygenic functional enrichment to improve GWAS power. The American Journal of Human Genetics 104:65–75.
- [43] Dahlman, I., Arner, P., 2010. Genetics of adipose tissue biology. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 94:39-74.
- [44] Yamashita, T., Ohneda, O., Nagano, M., lemitsu, M., Makino, Y., Tanaka, H., et al., 2008. Abnormal heart development and lung remodeling in mice lacking the hypoxia-inducible factor-related basic helix-loop-helix PAS protein NEPAS. Molecular and Cellular Biology 28:1285–1297.
- [45] Davis, J.P., Huyghe, J.R., Locke, A.E., Jackson, A.U., Sim, X., Stringham, H.M., et al., 2017. Common, low-frequency, and rare genetic variants associated with lipoprotein subclasses and triglyceride measures in Finnish men from the METSIM study. PLoS Genetics 13:e1007079.
- [46] Heidbreder, M., Qadri, F., Jöhren, O., Dendorfer, A., Depping, R., Fröhlich, F., et al., 2007. Non-hypoxic induction of HIF-3alpha by 2-deoxy-D-glucose and insulin. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 352:437–443.
- [47] Dick, K.J., Nelson, C.P., Tsaprouni, L., Sandling, J.K., Aïssi, D., Wahl, S., et al., 2014. DNA methylation and body-mass index: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet 383:1990–1998.
- [48] Potabattula, R., Dittrich, M., Schorsch, M., Hahn, T., Haaf, T., El Hajj, N., 2019. Male obesity effects on sperm and next-generation cord blood DNA methylation. PloS One 14:e0218615.
- [49] Main, A.M., Gillberg, L., Jacobsen, A.L., Nilsson, E., Gjesing, A.P., Hansen, T., et al., 2016. DNA methylation and gene expression of HIF3A: cross-tissue validation and associations with BMI and insulin resistance. Clinical Epigenetics 8:89.
- [50] Greer, S.N., Metcalf, J.L., Wang, Y., Ohh, M., 2012. The updated biology of hypoxia-inducible factor. The EMBO Journal 31:2448–2460.
- [51] Hatanaka, M., Shimba, S., Sakaue, M., Kondo, Y., Kagechika, H., Kokame, K., et al., 2009. Hypoxia-inducible factor-3alpha functions as an accelerator of 3T3-L1 adipose differentiation. Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 32: 1166–1172.
- [52] Heikkila, M., Pasanen, A., Kivirikko, K.I., Myllyharju, J., 2011. Roles of the human hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-3alpha variants in the hypoxia response. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 68:3885–3901.