

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article.

How to cite: Carvalho JKF, Siegle CBH, Utiyama DMO, Matheus D, Alfieri FM, Ayres DVM, et al. Combined effects of physiotherapy and robotic therapy on gait balance and speed in patients with incomplete spinal cord injury. *Acta Fisiatr.* 2019;26(3). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2317-0190.v26i3a166998>

Article in Press



1 OJS 166998 | Original Article

2

3 **Combined effects of physiotherapy and robotic therapy on gait balance and speed in**
4 **patients with incomplete spinal cord injury**

5 ***Efeitos combinados da fisioterapia e terapia robótica no equilíbrio e velocidade da***
6 ***marcha em pacientes com lesão medular incompleta***

7

8 Joyce Karoline Friosi de Carvalho¹, Cristhina Bonilha Huster Siegle¹, Daniela Mitiyo Odagiri
9 Utiyama¹, Denise Matheus¹,  Fabio Marcon Alfieri¹, Denise Vianna Machado Ayres¹, Pedro
10 Claudio Gonsales de Castro¹,  Linamara Rizzo Battistella²

11

12 1 Instituto de Medicina Física e Reabilitação, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina da
13 Universidade de São Paulo

14 2 Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo

15

16 **Correspondência**

17 Joyce Karoline Friosi de Carvalho

18 E-mail: joycekcarvalho@gmail.com

19

20 Submetido: 28 Janeiro 2020.

21 Aceito: 27 Fevereiro 2020.

22

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 Restoring the ability to walk, especially independently, is one of the goals in the rehabilitation of
25 patients with incomplete spinal cord injury (ISCI). Thus, task-oriented gait training takes into
26 account the fundamental principles of motor learning, involving mechanisms of central
27 neuroplasticity and consequently cortical reorganization. The G-EO System (GS) robotic gait
28 training acts as a reinforcer of the repetitive and specific practice of the gait phases. **Objective:**
29 To investigate the combined effects of physiotherapy and robotic therapy on gait functionality in
30 relation to balance and gait speed in patients with ISCI. **Methods:** Retrospective cohort study
31 with 14 patients in the chronic phase of the disease, using the GS as a robotic intervention for
32 gait and stairs, consisting of a 20-session protocol associated with conventional physical
33 therapy. We used the 10-meter Walk Test (10WT) and the Berg Balance Scale (BBS). P values
34 <0.05 were considered statistically significant using the Wilcoxon test at the beginning of
35 conventional physical therapy and before and after intervention. **Results:** Significant differences
36 between the scales were observed. At the 10WT, the mean initial velocity ranged from 2.60 m/s
37 \pm 1.72 at the beginning of conventional physical therapy to 1.57 m/s \pm 0.80 at the end of the 20
38 GS sessions with $p = 0.0424$. For BBS at the beginning of conventional physical therapy, the
39 average was 31.85 points \pm 12.50, and 42.35 \pm 14.25 at the end of the 20 GS sessions, with p
40 $= 0.0096$. **Conclusions:** Robotic gait therapy associated with conventional physiotherapy has
41 been shown to be effective in promoting balance and gait speed improvement in individuals in
42 the chronic phase after involvement of incomplete spinal cord injury.

43

44 **Keywords:** Spinal Cord Injury, Gait, Balance, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation,
45 Neurological Rehabilitation, Robotic

Article in Press

46 RESUMO

47 Restaurar a capacidade de andar é um dos objetivos da reabilitação na lesão medular
48 incompleta (LMI). O treino orientado a tarefa abrange os princípios do aprendizado motor,
49 envolvendo mecanismos de neuroplasticidade central e, conseqüentemente, reorganização
50 cortical. O treinamento da marcha robótica G-EO System (GS) atua como um reforço da prática
51 repetitiva e específica das fases da marcha. **Objetivo:** Investigar os efeitos combinados da
52 fisioterapia e da terapia robótica na funcionalidade da marcha em relação ao equilíbrio e
53 velocidade da marcha em pacientes com LMI. **Métodos:** Estudo de coorte retrospectivo com
54 14 pacientes na fase crônica da doença, que realizaram 20 sessões de GS associado à
55 fisioterapia convencional (FC). Utilizamos o Teste de Caminhada de 10 Metros (TC10) e a
56 Escala de Equilíbrio de Berg (EEB). Valores de $p < 0,05$ foram considerados estatisticamente
57 significativos pelo teste de Wilcoxon ao início da fisioterapia convencional e pré e pós
58 intervenção. **Resultados:** Observou-se que no TC10, a velocidade inicial média variou de 2,60
59 m/s \pm 1,72 no início da FC a 1,57 m/s \pm 0,80 no final das 20 sessões de GS com $p = 0,0424$.
60 Para a EEB no início da FC, a média foi de 31,85 pontos \pm 12,50 e 42,35 \pm 14,25 ao final da
61 intervenção, com $p = 0,0096$. **Conclusão:** A terapia robótica da marcha associada à FC
62 mostrou-se eficaz na promoção do equilíbrio e da melhora da velocidade da marcha em
63 indivíduos na fase crônica da LMI.

64
65 **Palavras-chave:** Traumatismos da Medula Espinal, Marcha, Equilíbrio, Medicina Física e
66 Reabilitação, Reabilitação Neurológica, Robótica

67 68 INTRODUCTION

69
70 Restoring and regaining the ability to walk, especially independently, is one of the goals in the
71 rehabilitation of patients with ISCI, due to its great impact on the individual's quality of life,
72 independence and participation in society. Thus, task-oriented gait training¹ takes into account
73 the fundamental principles of motor learning,² involving mechanisms of central neuroplasticity³
74 and consequently cortical reorganization.^{4,5} From this, robotic gait training allows for repetitive
75 practice and specific to the gait phases,^{6,7} knowing that the practice of all phases is necessary
76 for the complete accomplishment of the gait cycle,⁸ we use the GS as reinforcer for the
77 occurrence of this process.

78
79 It is worth remembering that robotic therapy saves the physiotherapist's effort to assist the
80 individual during training, also offering greater security regarding the risk of falls due to gait
81 impairment,⁹ because the GS is seen as a robotic gait rehabilitation device, aimed at for
82 individuals who have a change in lower extremity motor function.¹⁰

83
84 Thus, the GS is regarded as a modern robotic gait rehabilitation device¹⁰ based on the modular
85 platform concept from the offer of different modules and therapeutic options,¹¹ such as motion
86 segmentation, gait and stair simulation and switching between modes. passive, active-assisted
87 and active.¹⁰ Among the adjustable and controllable parameters that allow treatment
88 effectiveness are: step length and width, gait cadence and speed, ankle angle, step height,
89 dynamic weight support body mass movement, center of body mass movement and horizontal
90 activation of the hips, as well as the detection of the individual's weight loss through the
91 platforms,¹⁰ providing detailed reports that allow the assessment of the individual's progress and
92 the progression of the behaviors with the individual.¹¹

93
94 GS-powered robotic therapy offers gait training through electrically driven movement
95 mechanisms through two footrest platforms, a bodyweight support structure, an operation panel,

Article in Press

96 and a computer-controlled operation and control unit.¹⁰ Thus, GS moves the lower limbs of
97 individuals according to a pattern previously determined by the physiotherapist, from the
98 possibilities provided by the software,¹¹ where the mechanics distributed to the feet through the
99 platforms transmit to the lower limbs the stimulus of the lower limbs ground or up and down
100 steps,¹⁰ making the necessary adjustments to bring the walking pattern closer to the functional.⁹

101

102 OBJECTIVE

103

104 Thus, The aim of the present study is to investigate the combined effects of physiotherapy and
105 GS robotic therapy on gait functionality in relation to balance and gait speed. The findings may
106 contribute to confirm the efficiency of the protocol used or improve it, as well as increase clinical
107 knowledge in the area and assist in the clinical practice of professionals working with robotic
108 therapy.

109

110 METHODS

111

112 A retrospective observational study was carried out through the analysis of medical records of
113 institutionalized patients at the IMREA HC FMUSP, São Paulo – Brazil, being approved by the
114 Research Ethics Committee of the University of São Paulo, under opinion CAAE:
115 96949118.0.0000.0068.

116

117 Thus, 51 records were initially selected for analysis, referring to individuals with ISCI, who
118 performed at least one robotic training session in the GS from July 2013 to December 2018. Of
119 these, 10 were excluded for not completing the 20 sessions. therapy in robotic equipment, 3 due
120 to clinical complications during the sessions, 3 for not presenting pre- and post-protocol
121 evaluation data, 2 for being in therapy sessions during the data collection period, 2 for not
122 meeting the eligibility criteria, 2 for having performed GS robotic therapy after the end of physical
123 therapy and 1 for participating in an experimental protocol involving the use of biofeedback with
124 the GS.

125

126 Thus, 28 records remained of individuals who completed the 20 robotic therapy sessions, where
127 14 were later excluded due to failure to perform assessments at the beginning of physiotherapy
128 and before or after the 20 sessions of GS. Thus, the analysis of the results was based on a
129 sample of 14 individuals in the chronic phase of ISCI, with a mean age of 42.35 ± 14.49 , 10 men
130 and 4 women.

131

132 The GS robotic gait¹⁰ associated with conventional physical therapy was used as a therapeutic
133 intervention, so that the participating individuals performed two sessions in the week of
134 conventional physical therapy, lasting 50 minutes each, consisting of stretching, strengthening
135 and global mobilization exercises body awareness exercises, independence and safety training
136 for daily living activities, functional, cardiorespiratory and task-oriented training, including the
137 use of functional electrical stimulation, lower limb cycle ergometer.

138

139 For robotic GS therapy, 20 sessions of 20 minutes each, twice a week, were performed, which
140 may include gait, up and down steps, being at the discretion of each physiotherapist about the
141 modality used according to each patient's assessed need. In addition, because this study was
142 retrospective, it was not possible to control the length of time individuals spent performing each
143 GS modality. In addition, the body weight support provided by the suspension present in the
144 equipment was used only as a safety device, through a vest attached to the individual during
145 training.

Article in Press

146 As an analysis of the effect before and after robotic gait therapy associated with conventional
147 physiotherapy, we used the 10WT¹² and the BBS.¹³ The medical record evaluations were
148 performed by different researchers who performed the application of the scales during the
149 participation period of the rehabilitation protocol. Data analysis was performed using the
150 SigmaStat program, where the normality of the distribution of variables was tested by the
151 Kolgomorov-Smirnov method. However, due to the non-normal distribution of variables, to
152 compare pre and post intervention effects, the Wilcoxon test was used, where p values <0.05
153 were considered statistically significant.

154 RESULTS

155
156 The sample consisted of 14 individuals, with an average of 33.14 ± 22.01 months of injury, from
157 the episode of the injury and the beginning of robotic therapy, where all had incomplete
158 paraplegia and were classified according to the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA)¹⁴ as
159 ASIA C and D, that is 3 individuals were identified with an incomplete sensory and motor injury,
160 ASIA C; and 11 as ASIA D due to an incomplete injury with preserved motor function below the
161 injury level.

162
163 From this, Table 1 indicates the initial results of conventional physical therapy, and pre and post
164 20 sessions of GS robotic therapy associated with it.

165
166 It was observed that the individuals showed significant differences in the tests performed. The
167 10WT presented a mean velocity at the beginning of conventional physiotherapy of 2.60 m / s
168 (± 1.72) and at the end of 20 GS sessions of 1.57 m / s (± 0.80), with $p = 0,0424$. At the beginning
169 of GS robotic therapy, it presented a mean velocity of 2.04 (± 1.37), with $p = 0.0152$ when
170 compared to the beginning of conventional physiotherapy and $p = 101$, which was not significant,
171 comparing at the end of the 20 sessions. GS Regarding BBS, the mean value at the beginning
172 of conventional physical therapy was 31.85 points (± 12.50) and 42.35 (± 14.25) at the end of
173 the 20 GS sessions, with $p = 0.0096$.

174
175 At the beginning of GS robotic therapy, it presented a mean value of 37.57 (± 13.05), with $p =$
176 0.0148 when compared to the beginning of conventional physiotherapy and $p = 0.1278$, when
177 compared to the end of the 20 GS sessions.

178
179 **Table 1.** Comparison of results at the beginning of conventional physiotherapy, before and after
180 GS robotic therapy

Scales Used	Variable	N = 14 Mean and Standard Deviation	≠ Start, Pre and Post p Value
10WT (m/s)	Start FC	2,607 \pm 1,72	0,56; $p = 0,0152^*$
	Pre 20 sessions GS	2,047 \pm 1,37	0,473; $p = 101^*$
	Post 20 sessions GS	1,574 \pm 0,80	1,033; $p = 0,0424^*$
BBS	Start FC	31,857 \pm 12,50	5,714; $p = 0,0148^*$
	Pre 20 sessions GS	37,571 \pm 13,05	4,786; $p = 0,1278^*$
	Post 20 sessions GS	42,357 \pm 14,25	10,5; $p = 0,0096^*$

183 10WT: 10 meter walk test; m/s: meters per seconds; FC: conventional physiotherapy; GS: G-EO System; *: Wilcoxon

184 DISCUSSION

185
186 As mentioned earlier, gait recovery and related functions, such as balance and mobility, are
187 priorities for people with ISCI.¹⁵ Thus, there is a paradigm in the rehabilitation process, where
188

Article in Press

189 specific task training is expected the recovery of an identified and / or desired function.¹⁶ The
190 use of conventional physiotherapy together with the GS robotic therapy has brought the
191 possibility of increased gait speed, as well as improvement of static and dynamic balance in
192 different postures in individuals in chronic phase of incomplete paraplegic spinal cord injury. Gait
193 training, climbing and descending steps allows task-oriented training and reinforcement of motor
194 memory in the performance of these activities.¹⁷ In addition, the practice of these exercises
195 helps in strengthening the core and lower limb muscles,¹⁷ So that these muscles are essential
196 for maintaining balance and consequently influence gait.

197
198 In addition, the use of gait training through robotic therapy is an additional treatment opportunity
199 for individuals with axial disorders, increasing the challenge of training by imposing specific
200 kinematic parameters, and providing intensive and somatosensory cues.¹⁸ Along with this, a
201 good balance is essential for individuals with spinal cord injury (SCI) to regain their mobility and
202 independence.¹⁹

203
204 The GS is considered as a robot that has the *end-effector* feature that is through modular
205 platforms attached to the feet of individuals, it modulates the gait in relation to speed and stride
206 length. This equipment allows the person to remain freer to perform the activity when compared
207 to exoskeleton robots. Thus, the GS allows greater trunk oscillations and voluntary activation of
208 the core and lower limb muscles, so that the individual can perform the task with maintaining
209 balance and voluntary control of the adjacent structures.²⁰

210
211 With the motor limitations installed after SCI, individuals who remain with the ability to move,
212 move with decreased gait speed and resistance, directly impacting their social participation and
213 functional independence.²¹ Therefore, these are indicative important for, since the goal of
214 treatment is to enable them to safely and easily walk with a good functional speed as far as
215 possible.²² Thus, the association of conventional physiotherapy with robotic therapy GS, proved
216 to be effective in improving these aspects in the sample, because the robotic device generates
217 a precise, repetitive and intense cycle of gait phases, also helps in motor relearning through
218 neuroplastic promotion of the pathways involved in this process, and functional improvement of
219 this skill.²⁰

220
221 Along with gait training, the main differential of the GS is the step up and down training, which
222 can in turn increase the muscle strength, coordination, balance and cardiorespiratory
223 conditioning of individuals practicing this mode.¹⁹ concomitantly Therefore, ground gait training
224 performed through conventional physiotherapy has been suggested as a positive reinforcer of
225 the tasks performed during robotic therapy, bringing benefits to gait balance and functionality in
226 individuals with incomplete chronic SCI,²² based on In principle, they will not be coupled to a
227 body weight adjuster during their daily gait and associated tasks, where independent weight
228 bearing assists in obtaining physiological adaptations through progressive overload, improving
229 the maintenance of postural orientation and balance during functional walking.²²

230
231 Finally, this was the first study conducted and found in the literature using the GS as an adjuvant
232 therapy in the rehabilitation process of the population with chronic incomplete spinal cord injury.
233 In addition, the study has several limitations, including the individual delimitation by each
234 physiotherapist of the robotic therapy protocol performed. It is worth remembering that, by
235 performing a retrospective analysis on equipment routinely used in the institution, it was not
236 possible to control the speed used, length of stay in each type of equipment. It was not possible
237 to use a control group, thus making it impossible to describe and separate motor gains due to
238 robotic therapy and conventional therapy. Thus, the present study group is in the process of

Article in Press

239 conducting new research with advances in these aspects, so that in the future new studies are
240 produced with less limitations.

241
242 Therefore, gait training through robotic therapy and using body weight support has shown
243 promising results, but it is still unclear whether these results are superior to conventional
244 physiotherapy,²³ but what this study shows are the good ones results towards the improvement
245 of the functionality of the individual, from the combined therapies.

246 247 **CONCLUSION**

248
249 The use of GS robotic therapy in gait, climb and descent steps in addition to conventional
250 physiotherapy has been shown to be effective in promoting balance and gait speed in chronic
251 phase individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury, with significant increases in 10WT scores
252 and the BBS. Thus, robotic therapy as a form of intervention made evident the good use of the
253 resource as a complementary therapy to the conventional rehabilitation process, directly
254 implying the improvement of quality of life and functional independence of individuals.

255 256 257 **REFERENCES**

- 258
259 1. Taub E, Uswatte G, Elbert T. New treatments in neurorehabilitation founded on basic
260 research. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2002;3(3):228-36. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn754>
261
262 2. Car JH, Shepherd R. A motor relearning programme for stroke. London: Butterworth
263 Heinemann; 1987.
264
265 3. Dobkin BH, Firestone A, West M, Saremi K, Woods R. Ankle dorsiflexion as an fMRI
266 paradigm to assay motor control for walking during rehabilitation. *Neuroimage.*
267 2004;23(1):370-81. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.008>
268
269 4. Liepert J, Bauder H, Wolfgang HR, Miltner WH, Taub E, Weiller C. Treatment-induced
270 cortical reorganization after stroke in humans. *Stroke.* 2000;31(6):1210-6. DOI:
271 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.str.31.6.1210>
272
273 5. Luft AR, McCombe-Waller S, Whitall J, Forrester LW, Macko R, Sorkin JD, et al. Repetitive
274 bilateral arm training and motor cortex activation in chronic stroke: a randomized controlled
275 trial. *JAMA.* 2004;292(15):1853-61. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.15.1853>
276
277 6. Barbeau Barbeau H, Wainberg M, Finch L. Description and application of a system for
278 locomotor rehabilitation. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 1987;25(3):341-4. DOI:
279 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02447435>
280
281 7. Visintin M, Barbeau H, Korner-Bitensky N, Mayo NE. A new approach to retrain gait in
282 stroke patients through body weight support and treadmill stimulation. *Stroke.*
283 1998;29(6):1122-1128. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.str.29.6.1122>
284
285 8. Dobkin BH. Strategies for stroke rehabilitation. *Lancet Neurol.* 2004;3(9):528-36. DOI:
286 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(04\)00851-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(04)00851-8)
287

Article in Press

- 288 9. Hesse S, Waldner A, Tomelleri C. Innovative gait robot for the repetitive practice of floor
289 walking and stair climbing up and down in stroke patients. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2010;7:30.
290 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-7-30>
291
- 292 10. Reha Technology. G-EO System: training more, more effectively [text on the Internet]. Blue
293 Bell: Reha Technology [cited 2019 out 31]. Available from:
294 [https://www.rehatechnology.com/wp-content/uploads/products/GEOSystem/G-EO-](https://www.rehatechnology.com/wp-content/uploads/products/GEOSystem/G-EO-System-GS-PB_1806_EN_web.pdf)
295 [System-GS-PB_1806_EN_web.pdf](https://www.rehatechnology.com/wp-content/uploads/products/GEOSystem/G-EO-System-GS-PB_1806_EN_web.pdf)
296
- 297 11. Hesse S, Tomelleri C, Bardeleben A, Werner C, Waldner A. Robot-assisted practice of gait
298 and stair climbing in nonambulatory stroke patients. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2012;49(4):613-
299 22. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2011.08.0142>
300
- 301 12. Salbach NM, Mayo NE, Higgins J, Ahmed S, Finch LE, Richards CL. Responsiveness and
302 predictability of gait speed and other disability measures in acute stroke. *Arch Phys Med*
303 *Rehabil.* 2001;82(9):1204-12. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2001.24907>
304
- 305 13. Miyamoto ST, Lombardi Junior I, Berg KO, Ramos LR, Natour J. Brazilian version of the
306 Berg balance scale. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2004;37(9):1411-21. DOI:
307 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0100-879x2004000900017>
308
- 309 14. Silva GA, Schoeller SD, Gelbcke FL, Carvalho ZMF, Silva EMJP. Avaliação funcional de
310 pessoas com lesão medular: utilização da Escala de Independência Funcional – MIF.
311 *Texto Contexto Enferm.* 2012;21(4):929-36. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-07072012000400025)
312 [07072012000400025](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-07072012000400025)
313
- 314 15. Bach Baunsgaard C, Vig Nissen U, Katrin Brust A, Frotzler A, Ribeill C, Kalke YB, et al.
315 Gait training after spinal cord injury: safety, feasibility and gait function following 8 weeks
316 of training with the exoskeletons from Ekso Bionics. *Spinal Cord.* 2018;56(2):106-116. DOI:
317 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41393-017-0013-7>
318
- 319 16. Van Kammen K, Boonstra A, Reinders-Messelink H, den Otter R. The combined effects of
320 body weight support and gait speed on gait related muscle activity: a comparison between
321 walking in the Lokomat exoskeleton and regular treadmill walking. *PLoS One.*
322 2014;9(9):e107323. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107323>
323
- 324 17. Lee SK. The effects of abdominal drawing-in maneuver during stair climbing on muscle
325 activities of the trunk and legs. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2019;15(2):224-228. DOI:
326 <http://dx.doi.org/10.12965/jer.1938056.028>
327
- 328 18. Capecci M, Pournajaf S, Galafate D, Sale P, Le Pera D, Goffredo M, et al. Clinical effects
329 of robot-assisted gait training and treadmill training for Parkinson's disease. A randomized
330 controlled trial. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2019;62(5):303-12. DOI:
331 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2019.06.016>
332
- 333 19. Morone G, Matamala-Gomez M, Sanchez-Vives MV, Paolucci S, Iosa M. Watch your step!
334 Who can recover stair climbing independence after stroke? *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.*
335 2018;54(6):811-818. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.18.04809-8>
336

Article in Press

- 337 20. Esquenazi A, Packel A. Robotic-assisted gait training and restoration. *Am J Phys Med*
338 *Rehabil.* 2012;91(11 Suppl 3):S217-27. DOI:
339 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e31826bce18>
340
- 341 21. Wu M, Landry JM, Kim J, Schmit BD, Yen SC, Macdonald J. Robotic resistance/assistance
342 training improves locomotor function in individuals poststroke: a randomized controlled
343 study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014;95(5):799-806. DOI:
344 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2013.12.021>
345
- 346 22. Neville BT, Murray D, Rosen KB, Bryson CA, Collins JP, Guccione AA. Effects of
347 Performance-Based Training on Gait and Balance in Individuals With Incomplete Spinal
348 Cord Injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2019;100(10):1888-1893. DOI:
349 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2019.03.019>
350
- 351 23. Mehrholz J, Harvey LA, Thomas S, Elsner B. Is body-weight-supported treadmill training
352 or robotic-assisted gait training superior to overground gait training and other forms of
353 physiotherapy in people with spinal cord injury? A systematic review. *Spinal Cord.*
354 2017;55(8):722-729. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sc.2017.31>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
Acta Fisiatr. 2019