

Long-term changes of euphausiids in shelf and oceanic habitats southwest, south and southeast of Iceland

Teresa Silva^{1,2,*}, Astthor Gislason¹, Priscilla Licandro³, Gudrún Marteinsdóttir², Ana Sofia A. Ferreira⁴, Kristinn Gudmundsson 1 and Olafur S. Astthorsson 1

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Marine Research Institute, Skulagata 4, Reykjavik 121, Iceland

 2 Institute of Biology, University of Iceland, Sturlugata 7, Reykjavik 101, Iceland

³ Sahfos, Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science, The Laboratory, Citadel Hill, Plymouth PL1 2PB, UK ⁴ National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Jaegersborg Allé 1, Charlottenlund 2920, Denmark

*: Corresponding author : Teresa Silva, email address : teresa@hafro.is

Abstract:

Generalized additive models (GAMs) were used to test the hypothesis that changes in physical and biological environmental conditions affected by current climatic warming would negatively impact the euphausiid populations in the North Atlantic. Two zooplankton time series were used, one collected by the Marine Research Institute (MRI) on a transect south of Iceland during spring (1990–2011) and the other by the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey (1958–2007) in the oceanic waters south of Iceland covering all months. Due to limitations of the sampling gears used, the results mainly reflect the variations of the early stages of euphausiids. On a spatial scale, results reveal a general decline of euphausiid abundance from the east coast of Greenland to the Faroe Islands. On a temporal scale, euphausiid numbers decreased in most CPR areas from 1958 to 2007. Conversely, an increase was observed in numbers of larvae during spring 1990–2011 for the shelf south of Iceland. Single variablebased GAMs indicated that phytoplankton biomass was generally the main environmental factor regulating euphausiid abundance. Multiple variable-based GAMs showed that phytoplankton biomass was the strongest predictor of euphausiid abundance in the west, whereas in the east temperature appears to be most important. In addition, the onset of the spring bloom also affected the long-term changes in euphausiid abundance. For the oceanic areas, it is concluded that a weakened temporal synchrony between the development of young euphausiids and the phytoplankton bloom influenced by recent climate warming may have led to the observed decrease in euphausiid populations.

Keywords: euphausiids ; long-term changes ; Icelandic waters ; Northeastern Atlantic Ocean ; Continuous Plankton Recorder ;

-
- **Keywords:** Euphausiids, long-term changes, Icelandic waters, Northeastern Atlantic Ocean,
- $38²$

INTRODUCTION

 Euphausiids are an important zooplankton group in the Icelandic marine ecosystem as they constitute the second largest component of the zooplankton biomass after copepods (Astthorsson and Gislason, 1995; Astthorsson *et al.*, 2007), and at least in some regions (e.g. north of Iceland) dominate the zooplankton community in winter months (Astthorsson and Gislason, 1992). Euphausiids prey on both phytoplankton and small zooplankton, while being an important food for top predators such as cod, saithe, capelin, herring, blue whiting, whales and sea birds (Pálsson, 1983; Astthorsson and Pálsson, 1987; Vilhjálmsson, 1994; Astthorsson and Gislason, 1997b; Sigurjónsson and Víkingsson, 1997; Jaworski and Ragnarsson, 2006; Pálsson and Bjӧrnsson, 2011). Einarsson (Einarsson, 1945) reviewed the distribution pattern and the general biology of euphausiids in the Northeastern Atlantic and around Iceland. The later studies used material from the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey of the Sir Alistair Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science (SAHFOS) further to describe the distribution and population dynamics of euphausiids in the North Atlantic Ocean (Lindley, 1977, 1978, 1980, 1982a, 1982b; Lindley and Williams, 1980; Williams and Lindley, 1982). More recent studies in Icelandic waters have focused on the distribution and life cycles of euphausiids in a fjord on the north-western peninsula (Astthorsson, 1990; Astthorsson and Gislason, 1992), over the shelf north of Iceland (Astthorsson and Gislason, 1997a), and in the subarctic waters of the Iceland Sea, to the north of Iceland (Gislason and Silva, 2012). The most recent studies investigated the distribution and population patterns of euphausiids in the Irmirger Sea and over the northern Mid-Atlantic ridge (Saunders *et al.,* 2007; Letessier *et al.,* 2009; Letessier *et al.,* 2011). These studies showed that *Thysanoessa raschii*, *T. inermis*, *T. longicaudata* and *Meganyctiphanes norvegica* were the most common euphausiid species in these regions.

 Research has shown that bottom-up rather than top-down effects regulate the marine ecosystem north and east of Iceland (Astthorsson and Vilhjálmsson, 2002; Astthorsson *et al.*, 2007). Changes in hydrographic conditions, mainly due to variable inflow of Atlantic water, influence the stratification of the water column and consequently the magnitude and timing of the phytoplankton spring bloom, which in turn affects zooplankton abundance and composition (Astthorsson and Gislason, 1995; Astthorsson and Gislason, 1998; Gislason *et al.,* 2009). These variations have further been shown to affect growth of fish in the area (Astthorsson and Vilhjálmsson, 2002;

 Astthorsson *et al.*, 2007). In the more biologically complex southern and western areas causal events are harder to identify (Astthorsson *et al.*, 2007).

 The rise in seawater temperature driven by climate change appears to have altered the phenology, abundance and diversity of plankton in the Northeastern Atlantic Ocean (Reid, 2001; Beaugrand and Reid, 2003; Beaugrand *et al.*, 2003; Edwards and Richardson, 2004; Reid and Valdés, 2011). Thus, climate-induced changes in the plankton community have affected higher trophic levels by asynchrony between production at the base of the food web and at higher levels (Beaugrand and Reid, 2003; Edwards and Richardson, 2004). Several studies have related a decline in the stocks of key Antarctic euphausiid species (*Euphausia superba)* in the Southern Ocean to climate warming and its implications on the abiotic and biotic environment (e.g. Atkinson *et al.,* 2004; Flores *et al.,* 2012).

 We hypothesize that changes in physical and biological environmental conditions affected by the rise in sea water temperatures would negatively impact the euphausiid populations. Thus, it is the main purpose of this study is to describe the long-term and seasonal changes of euphausiids in Icelandic waters and adjacent sea areas. In particular, we aim to evaluate how environmental variables and the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom and biomass affect the multidecadal variability of the euphausiids.

METHODS

 For this study, two time series of euphausiid abundance were used, one collected by the Marine Research Institute (MRI) at a transect south of Iceland in May-June 1990 to 2011, (the Icelandic spring survey) and the other by the CPR survey covering a wider area of the Northeastern Atlantic 92 Ocean and the whole year (1958–2007) (Fig.1).

The Icelandic spring survey

95 From 1990 to 1991 zooplankton samples were collected with Hensen nets (0.42 m² mouth area, 200 96 μ m mesh size) whereas from 1992 to 2011 with WP2 nets (0.25 m² mouth area, 200 μ m mesh size). 97 The plankton nets were towed from 50 m depth (or from \sim 2 m above the bottom where depth was 98 \leq 50 m) to the surface at a velocity of \sim 0.75 m s⁻¹. HydroBios flowmeters were fitted in the net mouth to measure the volume of water filtered.

 The samples were preserved in 4% buffered formaldehyde until analysed in the laboratory ashore. The procedure consisted in counting and identifying under a stereomicroscope the larger zooplankters such as adult euphausiids in the whole sample, and smaller ones in sub-samples obtained by a Motoda splitter containing at least 400 zooplankters (Motoda, 1959). Adult euphausiids were identified to the species level and the earlier developmental stages were separated

into the following categories: eggs, and nauplius, metanauplius, calytopsis and furcilia stages. For

the present analysis the larval stages were treated as one group (nauplii, metanauplii, calyptope and

- furciliae).
-

The Continuous Plankton Recorder survey

110 The CPR (0.013 m² mouth area, 270 μ m mesh size) collects plankton at approximately monthly intervals sampling in continuous along standard routes crossing the North Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1). 112 The instrument is towed by ships of opportunity at an estimated mean depth of \sim 7 m and at an 113 average velocity of ~6.6 m s⁻¹ (Batten *et al.*, 2003). The distance corresponding to one CPR sample 114 is equivalent to filtering \sim 3 m³ of seawater (i.e. 10 nautical miles). At SAHFOS, the samples were processed and the plankton taxa identified and counted using standard procedures (Batten *et al.*, 2003; Richardson *et al.*, 2004, 2006). Monthly data from 1958 to 2007, collected in the CPR standard areas southwest, south and southeast of Iceland were used (58−66⁰N, 43⁰W−3⁰E, Fig. 1). In the analysis, euphausiid furcilia, juveniles and adult counts (Lindley, 1977; Richardson *et al.*,

119 $\,$ 2006) were combined and converted to total numbers per m³.

Explanatory variables

 Concurrent with the zooplankton sampling in the Icelandic spring survey, temperature and salinity were measured on each station with a CTD (Sea Bird Electronics SBE-9) and water samples collected from five depths (0, 10, 20, 30 and 50 m) for chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) analysis. The water was filtered through GF/C glass fibre filters, that were homogenized in 90% aqueous acetone and the Chl *a* extracted and measured by a spectrophotometer. Temperature, salinity and Chl *a* values were averaged from the surface down to 50 m depth.

 Data on monthly Sea Surface Temperature (SST) from 1958 to 2011 were obtained from the UK Met Office Hadley Centre (HadISST v 1.1 available at [http://badc.nerc.ac.uk\)](http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/view/badc.nerc.ac.uk__ATOM__dataent_hadisst) and averaged for 130 each CPR area (Fig. 1). Monthly sea surface salinity data at ~5 m depth were obtained from the Global Ocean Data Assimilation System (GODAS available at [http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/\)](http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/), and averaged for each CPR area from 1980 to 2011. Monthly means of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index from 1958 to 2011 were obtained from the National Weather Service − Climate Prediction Center (available at [http://www.nws.noaa.gov/\)](http://www.nws.noaa.gov/). The index is a measure of the pressure difference between the Icelandic low and the Azores high. Daily NAO index is constructed by projecting the daily (00Z) 500mb height anomalies over the Northern Hemisphere onto the loading pattern of the NAO. For the present analysis, the NAO winter index was used (average from December to April) as suggested by Fromentin and Planque (Fromentin and Planque, 1996).

 Data on surface Chl *a* concentrations were obtained from the European Space Agency's GlobColour project (http://www.globcolour.info). Weekly Chl *a* concentrations from 1998 to 2011, on a 25 km grid, were averaged for the same geographic locations as the Selvogsbanki stations (an area 25x25 km with the station in center) and for the CPR areas (Fig. 1).

 For all regions (Selvogsbanki transect and CPR areas), the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom was estimated from the surface Chl *a* concentrations data of the GlobColour project. To avoid errors in the estimation due to missing data around the date of interest, a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) fit was first applied to the surface Chl *a* concentrations to estimate its seasonality. The start of the spring bloom was defined as the first week of the year in which the Chl *a* concentration increased by 5% above the annual median value (Siegel *et al.*, 2002; Henson *et al.*, 2009).

Data analysis

 All the sampling gears used in the present study will inevitably under sample the euphausiids (Brinton and Townsend, 1981; Sameoto *et al.,* 1993, 2000; Nicol, 2003; Wiebe *et al.,* 2004). In order to assess the efficiency of the different gears of catching euphausiids, we calculated the catching efficiency (CE) of the different plankton samplers. The calculations were done based on 156 the methodology of Clutter and Anraku (Clutter and Anraku, 1968), $CE=(R-K/S_i)/R^2$, in which CE is the catching efficiency (the percentage of euphausiids that are in the path of the sampler that are captured), R is the net radius (in m), K is the avoidance parameter (detection distance (m) * 159 mean swimming speed $(m s⁻¹)$ and S_i is the towing speed $(m s⁻¹)$. The calculations were based on the different towing speeds and entrance radii of the samplers. We are not aware of any previous estimates of detection distance of euphausiids, but assume here that the euphausiids would be able to detect the sampling gear within a distance of 0.25 m. Studies on swimming speeds of euphausiids indicate that they range from 1 to 3 body lengths per second (BL s^{-1}) with a mean of~1.7 BL s^{-1} (Price, 1989; Klevjer and Kaartvedt, 2003, 2006, 2011; Gaten *et al.,* 2010). We used the mean value 165 in relative swimming speed $(BL s⁻¹)$ to calculate swimming speeds in units of m s⁻¹ of euphausiids ranging in size from 1 to 5 cm. The outcome was in turn used to calculate catching efficiency for different size classes of euphausiids (1-5 cm). Using this approach, we found that the efficiency of the WP2 net of catching euphausiids ranged from 0.96 for 1 cm long euphausiids to 0.82 for 5 cm long euphausiids. Calculations for the Hensen net gave very similar values (0.97-0.85). The CPR showed much lower catching efficiencies ranging from 0.87 (1 cm long euphausiids) to 0.43 (5 cm). These simple calculations demonstrate that all the sampling gears of the present study (Hensen, WP2, CPR) catch reasonably well (CE>0.70) euphausiids up to 2 cm in size, thus including the larval and juvenile stages of the three most common euphausiid species in the North Atlantic (*T.*

 longicaudata, T. inermis, M. norvegica) as well as the adult stages of the smallest species (*T. longicaudata*), which also is the one most common in terms of numbers in the North Atlantic (Lindley, 1982). While we recognize that the calculations presented above can only be considered crude estimates as both detection distance and swimming speed are estimated with great uncertainty, we can still conclude that the catching efficiency of all gears decreases with the size of the euphausiids. Probably, more than 70% of the larvae and juveniles are captured by all samplers. It should, however, be noted that the calculations do not take into account the effects of bow wave pressure on the avoidance behavior of the euphausiids which is likely to be more pronounced for the CPR, being towed behind large container ships at relatively shallow depth, than for the vertically towed Hensen and WP2 nets. Due to the low efficiency of samplers of catching adult euphausiids, for the Icelandic spring survey we only used the data on eggs and larvae for the analysis of temporal and spatial variability. As to the CPR data, the euphausiids are only recorded as total euphausiids when processing the samples at SAHFOS (Batten *et al.,* 2003) and therefore the adults could not be separated from the CPR data. Lindley and co-workers have used the CPR data in a number of studies on abundance and distribution of euphausiids in the North Atlantic (e.g. Lindley, 1977, 1978, 1980, 1982a, 1982b; Lindley and Williams, 1980; Williams and Lindley, 1982), while realizing that the CPR samplers do not catch equally all developmental stages (A. Lindley, UK, personal communication). In spite of the limitations posed by the relatively low catching efficiency of the devises used for sampling the euphausiids in the present study, we nevertheless feel confident in using the data as indices of temporal and spatial variability (thus assuming that the catching efficiency does not change with time or region). We realize, however, that they cannot be used in order to compare absolute abundances.

 Although the basic design of the CPR has remained relatively unchanged since the late 1940's, there has been a steady increase in the speed at which it is towed (Batten *et al.,* 2003). This has lead to significant decreases in sampled volume due to higher incidence of net clogging, which is not accounted for by the standardized plankton analysis at SAHFOS. It is conceivable that the significant decrease in sampling volume would result in decreases in calculated densities of 201 plankton. The issue is clearly relevant for the consistency and interpretation of the CPR data and it has therefore received considerable attention in research. John *et al.,* (John *et al.,* 2002) quantified the relationship between the volume of water filtered per sample and the extent of clogging using flow metered tows and concluded that the effect of clogging on filtering rates was not great. Similarly, Batten *et al.,* (Batten *et al.,* 2003) reported that although there was some evidence that flow would be reduced with time, estimates of plankton abundance for large areas remained 207 unaffected. The most recent study on the long-term changes of volume filtered by the CPR and their relevance to CPR data interpretation (Jonas *et al.,* 2004), similarly found no significant correlation

 between the long-term changes in ship speed and two commonly used indicators of plankton variability, phytoplankton colour and the total copepods indices. Based on these studies, we feel confident that the effect of long-term changes in filtered volume on euphausiid abundance is small compared to influences of other factors.

 For both data sets (Icelandic spring survey and CPR), the distribution of the euphausiid abundance data was strongly skewed to the right and with several zero observations, therefore a 215 logarithmic transformation $(ln(x+1))$ was applied. To avoid estimation errors because of missing values in the CPR data, an interpolation of the data was made using data interpolating empirical orthogonal functions (Beckers and Rixen, 2003).

 For both data sets (Icelandic spring survey and CPR), two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test statistically if the long-term variability was similar during day and night. Day samples were defined as samples taken between sunrise and sunset and night samples 221 between sunset and sunrise. In a test like this, a significant interaction term (year vs. daytime (day or night)) means that the long-term variability is different during day and night. The interaction term (year vs. day and night) was, however, only significant for one area (B7, ANOVA, p<0.05). As it may be assumed that euphausiids stay shallower in the water column during night than during day (e.g. Eriksen and Dalpadado, 2011), and also as euphausiids probably better avoid the sampling gear during day time (Wiebe *et al.,* 2004), night samples were considered to better represent their abundance. Therefore for area B7, the night data were used to infer the long-term changes. For the remaining regions day and night samples were combined.

 To visually compare euphausiid abundance values collected by the CPR survey and the Icelandic spring survey, the data were standardized to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one.

 Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) were used to analyse long-term changes of euphausiid abundance as function of hydrographic and biological variables (Wood, 2006). The data were characterized by a large number of zero observations that would make the use of standard error distribution for GAM analysis (Gaussian) inappropriate. Therefore, an approach combing two models – presence/absence and abundance larger than zero – was used (Stefánsson and Pálsson, 1997; Barry and Welsh, 2002). The first model uses the presence/absence of the euphausiids as the response variable assuming a binomial distribution (logit link function). In the second model, euphausiid numbers larger than zero are used as the response variable which is assumed to be Gaussian distributed. In all models, thin plate regression splines were used with a maximum of three effective degrees of freedom as smoothing function for each predictor. In the GAMs, temperature, salinity, Chl *a* (surface values or average from 0−50 m)*,* onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom and NAO winter index were used as predictors.

 Both single and multiple variable based GAMs were used to study the influence of the 244 predictors on euphausiid abundance. However, as the different explanatory variables did not have the same temporal coverage, the GAMs were limited to the period 1998 to 2007 for the CPR data and 1998 to 2011 for the Icelandic spring survey data, when records for all the environmental predictors were available.

 The first step in the GAM analysis was to use single variable based GAMs to identify the relationships between individual predictors and the euphausiid abundance in the different regions. The fitted models were evaluated based on 1) the percentage of deviance explained, 2) the Un- Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalized Cross Validation (GCV) scores, and 3) the smooth confidence region (Planque *et al.*, 2007).

 The second step was to use multiple variable based GAMs to estimate the combined effect of more than one predictor on euphausiid abundance. High collinearity between predictors was assessed by pairwise scatterplots, Pearson's correlation coefficients and variance inflation factors with the cut-off value of 5 were used to remove collinear variables as recommended by Zuur *et al.* (Zuur *et al.,* 2009). In the multiple variable based GAMs, the predictors were chosen by a 258 backward-elimination process for the least significant predictor based on χ^2 -statistic for the presence/absence models and the F-statistic for the abundance larger than zero models (Stefánsson and Pálsson, 1997). The multiple variable GAMs with the lowest UBRE or GCV scores were selected as the best fitted models (Wood, 2006). The data analysis was conducted with the mgcv package version 1.7−22 in the statistical software R (Wood, 2011).

RESULTS

1. Sea surface temperature

 Interannual variations in sea surface temperatures for all regions from 1958 to 2011 are shown in Fig. 2. All regions showed a general cooling trend during the 1960s. From the early 1970s until mid 1990s temperatures fluctuated while being generally low. Thereafter a general warming trend was apparent in all regions with maxima in 2003 and 2008. After 2008 surface temperatures dropped dramatically in all areas (Fig. 2).

- 271 From 1958 to 2011, the overall mean sea surface temperatures varied from \sim 7.0 to 8.5 \degree C. 272 Area B7 was on average the coldest region (long-term average \sim 5.7 $^{\circ}$ C) and B5 the warmest (long-273 term average \sim 9.5 \degree C).
-
- *2. Chlorophyll a*

In the areas south of Iceland, the phytoplankton spring bloom began between late April and mid

May (i.e. in weeks ~16−20) from 1998 to 2009, whereas after 2009 it started between mid May and

278 early June (i.e. in weeks \sim 19−22) (Fig. 3A).

 In most areas there was a more or less gradual increase in the yearly average Chl *a* concentrations from the beginning of the time series (Fig. 3B). In areas B7 and B6, the Chl *a* concentrations peaked around 2003 and 2004, respectively, while for the other areas concentrations were more stable (Fig. 3B). After ~2007 a general increase in Chl *a* concentrations was apparent in all areas.

 In the CPR areas, the long-term average of Chl *a* concentrations for the whole series 285 (1998−2011) fluctuated between 1.62 mg Chl *a* m⁻³ in area B5 to 2.01 mg Chl *a* m⁻³ in area A6. On 286 average, in spring 2.12 mg Chl a m⁻³ were measured along the Selvogsbanki transect.

 Due to cloud cover, no data on the surface Chl *a* concentrations could be obtained for area B4 and, therefore, neither the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom nor the long-term variability of Chl *a* can be illustrated.

3. Euphausiids

3.1. Seasonal changes

 The seasonal variations in abundance of euphausiids were generally characterized by low abundance during winter and a high abundance during summer months (Fig. 4, right panels). In area A6, numbers started to increase in May−June with the highest values being observed in June and August. Numbers remained high until September when they started to decrease and had reached low winter values by October. In areas B7 and B6 a significant increase had taken place already in March. In these regions, the highest values were observed in June and July. The main decrease in numbers occurred after August and by November abundance had returned to low winter values. In area B5 the main increase took place from April−June. Numbers peaked in June and remained high until August−September, when they started to decrease and had reached low winter values again in November−December. In area B4, the main increase in numbers occurred in May and June. Maximum was reached in June. Numbers remained relatively high until September, but by November they had returned to low winter values (Fig. 4, right panel).

 The description above is based on the long-term averages (Fig. 4, right panels). However, there is considerable variability in the seasonal pattern among years (Fig 4, left panels). Thus, in several years, there is clearly only one maximum in numbers during the summer (e.g. in the mid 1990s in area B7), whereas in other years two maxima are evident (e.g. in the early 1980s in area B7).

 The mean annual number of euphausiids was highest in CPR areas B7, B6, and B5 (~4−6 311 euphausiids m⁻³) and lowest in area B4 (\sim 3 euphausiids m⁻³). A gradual decrease in mean annual numbers is evident from west to east, i.e. from east of Greenland (B7) to the west of the Faroe Islands (B4) (Figs. 1 and 4).

3.2. Long-term changes

 The abundance of euphausiids during 50 years of sampling with the CPR is shown in Fig. 5. The values shown are standardized annual averages. In all areas the numbers fluctuated considerably, while generally showing a decreasing trend in all the CPR areas but B6 (simple linear 319 regressions: B7 $r^2=0.11$, $p<0.05$; A6 $r^2=0.25$, $p<0.001$; B5 $r^2=0.22$, $p<0.001$ and B4 $r^2=0.22$, *p* < 0.001). It is noteworthy that in all areas numbers were relatively low at the end of the series and in three areas (B6, B5 and B4) the lowest values were in fact observed during the last year of the series (2007).

 On the Selvogsbanki transect, the long-term changes of larvae in late May were rather similar to those of the eggs (see smoothed curved in Fig. 6A). Numbers of both eggs and larvae was high at the start of the series (1990) and maxima of both eggs and larvae were observed around 1993 to 1994, 2000 and 2005. Around 2008 to 2009 the numbers of larvae peaked again, while the number of eggs was at a low.

 Linear regression analysis showed no long-term trend for the number of eggs between 1990 and 2011 (*p*>0.05) (Fig. 6A) while the number of larvae increased significantly during the same 330 period $(p<0.05)$. Thus, at least for the euphausiid larvae, the Icelandic time series (Fig. 5) does not reflect the same overall decreasing trend as the CPR series (Fig. 6), probably mainly because of its much shorter time span.

 On average, the number of eggs along the Selvogsbanki transect tended to increase from 334 inshore to offshore from 1990 to 2011 (ANOVA, $p<0.001$), with highest numbers observed on station 4 and 5 (Tukey's HSD, *p*<0.001; Fig. 6B). In contrast, the abundance of larvae was similar 336 along the transect (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$).

3.3 Single variable based GAMs

 For all the CPR areas combined, both the presence/absence and abundance larger than zero models showed similar results (Fig. 7, Table I).

 For the presence/absence models, Chl *a* was the strongest associated predictor, explaining overall 5.4% of the presence/absence recorded in recent years, followed by salinity (3.2%) and temperature (1.5%) (Table I). The probability of euphausiids being present generally increased with increasing Chl *a* concentrations, while the opposite was true for salinity (Figs. 7A, B). The

 relationship between euphausiid occurrence and temperature was more complex, the probability of 346 presence showing a decreasing trend from \sim 4 to \sim 8°C, and an increasing trend after that (Fig. 7C).

 It should be noted that the confidence limits were wide at the highest Chl *a* concentrations and lowest salinities due to limited data.

 For the abundance larger than zero models Chl *a* was the strongest associated explanatory variable (14.4%, Table I) with salinity (8.7%) and temperature (2.1%) being less important. As for the presence-absence models, the abundance of euphausiids where it exceeded zero was generally 352 positively related to Chl *a* up to ~1.5 mg Chl *a* m⁻³, and negatively related to salinity (Figs. 7D, E). For temperature, the model indicated a decreasing trend from ~4 to ~8°C, and an increasing trend after that (Fig. 7F).

 On the Selvogsbanki transect, the probability of finding euphausiid eggs in the samples was mainly explained by salinity (12.6%, Table II), with lowest occurrence of eggs being recorded at salinities ~34.6 (Fig. 8A). Confidence limits are, however, high at the lower salinity values due to limited data. The abundance of eggs when it was non-zero along the Selvogsbanki transect showed no significant relationship with any of the predictors (Table II).

 The probability of euphausiid larvae being present along the Selvogsbanki transect exhibited no significant relationship with any of the predictors (Table II). The abundance of larvae was best explained by temperature (14.8%, Table II) following a dome-shaped functional form, peaking at 363 temperatures between \sim 8.5 and 9 \degree C (Fig. 8B).

3.4 Multiple variable based GAMs

 GAMs based on multiple explanatory variables from the CPR areas were established. For the combined data set, the multiple variable based GAMs significantly improved the predictions of euphausiids abundance (Table III), as compared to the single variable based GAMs (Table I). All the environmental predictors considered, except the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom, significantly contributed to the overall models (Table III). The multiple variable based GAMs explained respectively ~17.5% and 27.6% of the interannual variability in euphausiid

presence/absence and abundance where it was non-zero (Table III).

 Multiple variable based GAMs were also set up for the CPR areas separately. For the presence/absence models, temperature came out as a significant explanatory variable in majority of the models (B7, A6, B5 and B4) (Table III). Depending on regions, presence of euphausiids was also related to Chl *a* (B7) onset of phytoplankton spring bloom (B5) or NAO (B4).

 For the abundance larger than zero models, the relative role of the different explanatory variables also varied by CPR areas. East of Greenland (B7), the abundance of euphausiids was related to Chl *a* concentration and the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom, while south and

- southwest of Iceland (B6, A6) also salinity and the NAO were relevant. Farther east, abundance was either related to temperature and Chl *a* (B5) or temperature alone (B4).
- For the more coastal areas south of Iceland (Selvogsbanki transect), the multiple variable based GAMs established a relationship between the presence/absence of eggs and salinity and Chl *a* (together explaining ~25% of interannual variability), while no relationship could predict

presence/absence of larvae (Table IV).

386 The multiple variable based GAMs explained \sim 36% of the abundance of larvae where they exceeded zero, while no relationship could predict the abundance of eggs. The number of larvae were mainly related to temperature, the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom and NAO (Table IV).

DISCUSSION

Seasonal cycle

 In the open waters of the Northeastern Atlantic euphausiids reached maximum abundance from May to August (Fig. 4). This confirms previous findings of Lindley (Lindley, 1978) for a wider area of the North Atlantic and for the shelf areas south (Gislason and Astthorsson, 1995) and north of Iceland (Astthorsson and Gislason, 1997a; Gislason and Astthorsson, 1998). Year-to-year changes in seasonal abundance of euphausiids may be due to different life history traits of different dominant species. Lindley (Lindley, 1978, 1982a) found that in the warm Atlantic waters, *T. longicaudata* has two annual generations, while only one generation in the colder waters. In the present material, species were not distinguished so it is impossible to evaluate if a particular species has more than one annual generation, e.g. in warm years. Nonetheless, our results show two annual peaks during the summer in some years (Fig. 4, left panels, B7 and A6).

 In agreement with Letessier *et al.* (Letessier *et al.,* 2009), we found that euphausiids in surface layers gradually decreased from the east of Greenland to the west of the Faroe Islands (Fig. 4). Presumably this pattern reflects the higher production of euphausiids in the western parts of the North Atlantic as opposed to the eastern parts. As suggested by Saunders *et al.* (Saunders *et al.,* 2007), the complex water mass dynamics in the Irminger Sea and over the Reykjanes ridge (Holliday *et al.*, 2006), characterised by relatively warm temperatures and high food availability, may allow euphausiids to grow larger and to have a longer life span in these regions compared to other regions. As larger females produce more eggs this would then contribute to a greater productivity of euphausiids in these regions (Cuzin-Roudy, 2000).

 Physical parameters, such as local topography and water mass dynamics, which in the area of study are complex and in some regions influenced by freshwater runoff from rivers/glaciers, may influence the euphausiid abundance and seasonal occurrence in different ways (Dalpadado *et al*.,

2008a, Buchholz *et al*., 2010, McGinty *et al*., 2011). In agreement with the study of Lindley

(Lindley, 1980), we found low number of euphausiids in the surface layer in the winter months

(Fig. 4). The relatively low abundance in the winter months may be related to high mortality during

a season when food is scarce and also the fact that euphausiids tend to stay relatively deep in the

water column during the winter season (Lindley, 1980; Mackas *et al.*, 2012).

Long-term changes

 Our findings show that in most of the open seas of the Northeastern Atlantic, euphausiid abundance decreased from 1958 to 2007 (Fig. 5). Similarly, Beaugrand and Reid (Beaugrand and Reid, 2003) and Beaugrand *et al.* (Beaugrand *et al.,* 2003) reported euphausiids to have declined during recent decades in the North Sea and adjacent seas. Our study demonstrates that this declining trend is widespread in the whole Northeastern Atlantic and still ongoing. Although the decrease in area B6 was not significant (Fig. 5), the lowest numbers were nevertheless observed during the last years of 428 the time series, thus indicating a decline there also.

 While the CPR data showed that total numbers of euphausiids generally declined from 1958 to 2007 (Fig. 5), the number of larvae along the Selvogsbanki transect showed an increasing trend from 1990 to 2011 (Fig. 6A). The apparent discrepancy between the two time series is most likely related to the much shorter time span of the Selvogsbanki series. However, such an opposite trend may also be related to differences in sampling techniques (WP2 nets vs. CPR) which makes a comparison difficult (Beare *et al.,* 2000), as well as to differences in the frequency and spatial extension of the sampling. Thus, the Icelandic time series refers to the spring period (May–June) only, whereas the CPR data cover the whole year. Additionally, the Selvogsbanki data were mostly collected on the south Icelandic shelf, while the CPR data were mainly collected off shelf (Fig. 1). The different long-term trends exhibited by the CPR data and the Icelandic spring survey data could thus reflect onshore-offshore differences in interannual variability and/or in species composition. Indeed *T. inermis* tends to be most abundant on the Icelandic shelves, *M. norvegica* mainly over the shelve edges, while *T. longicaudata* is mainly found in the oceanic areas (Einarsson, 1945; Mauchline and Fisher, 1969). Finally, euphausiid patchiness and the fact that they spawn in multiple batches (Cuzin-Roudy, 2000) may influence more the data from the relatively small and infrequently sampled Selvogsbanki area compared to the larger and more frequently sampled CPR areas.

 The spatial distribution of euphausiid eggs along the Selvogsbanki transect showed a distinctive pattern of increasing abundance from onshore to offshore, while the larvae were more or less evenly distributed along the transect (Fig. 6B). This is probably reflecting that the distribution of the larvae was being affected by horizontal advection and mixing processes for a longer period of

- time than the eggs that stay much shorter time as plankton. Topographical dissimilarities and
- different water masses characterised the stations along the transect, stations 1 to 3 being influenced
- by freshwater run-off from land, as opposed to stations 4 and 5, that are more oceanic and mainly
- under the influence of Atlantic waters (Stefánsson and Ólafsson, 1991; Gislason and Astthorsson,
- 2004). Such cross-shelf environmental differences could partly explain the variability illustrated in
- Fig. 6. The increasing number of eggs from onshore to offshore probably reflects the distribution of
- spawning adults with spawning mainly taking place near the shelf edge
-

Drivers of euphausiid abundance

 The single variable based GAMs were designed to study the effects of individual explanatory variables on euphausiid abundance. For the combined CPR data set, these analyses showed that phytoplankton biomass was the single most important factor affecting the long-term changes of the euphausiids (Table I). The importance of phytoplankton for the growth and development of euphausiids has been demonstrated in several other studies (see Atkinson *et al.,* 2008 for a review). In our study, the highest abundance of euphausiids was associated with Chl *a* concentrations >1.5 mg m-3 (Fig. 7D). Letessier *et al.* (Letessier *et al.,* 2009) on the other hand, found temperature to be the single most important factor influencing euphausiid numerical abundance, with Chl *a* playing only a minor role. The reason for this is probably related to the fact that the study of Letessier *et al.* (Letessier *et al.,* 2009) spans the whole Atlantic Ocean from polar to tropical latitudes, so the temperature range is much larger than in the present study. As noted by Zarauz *et al.* (Zarauz *et al.,* 2007), GAMs are only statistical models and unable to identify causal relationships. The effects of temperature in our study may thus be masked by the effects of temperature on phytoplankton spring bloom development.

 The multiple variable based GAMs showed that euphausiid abundance is affected by different factors in different areas, as also observed by McGinty *et al.* (McGinty *et al.,* 2011) for calanoid copepods. In the west Chl *a* is the major predictor of euphausiid abundance, whereas in the east temperature appears most important (Table III). The difference could possibly be related to differences in species composition between west and east, with different species having different requirements/responses to changes in climate and food environment. The limited information that is available on the distribution of euphausiids in the North Atlantic indicates *T. longicaudata* as the numerically dominant species in all regions, while *M. norvegica* appears more abundant in the eastern areas (Einarsson, 1945; Lindley, 1982). Thus, it is possible that the different responses of the euphausiids to the environmental factors partly reflect a longitudinal gradient in euphausiid species composition.

- Earlier studies have shown that zooplankton composition on the Selvogsbanki transect is mainly governed by salinity and phytoplankton biomass (Gislason *et al.*, 2009). In this study, the 486 probability of occurrence of euphausiid eggs at Selvogsbanki was positively related to salinity >35 (Table II, Fig. 8A), indicating that euphausiids prefer spawning in areas of relatively high salinities.
- In fact, Einarsson (Einarsson, 1945) reported the main spawning of *M. norvegica* and *T.*
- *longicaudata* to occur in areas with pure Atlantic water.
- The present study also demonstrates that for the recent warm period (1998–2011), changes in temperature, NAO and in the timing of the phytoplankton bloom influenced the numbers of larvae on Selvogsbanki (Table IV). Lower wind stress during negative NAO may result in a stronger stratification, triggering the phytoplankton bloom (Henson *et al.*, 2009) and in turn an increase in euphausiid numbers. According to Henson *et al.* (Henson *et al.,* 2009), the timing of the phytoplankton spring bloom is linked to the NAO, with a relatively early bloom when the NAO is negative.
- According to Hátún *et al.* (Hátún *et al.,* 2009), a weak North Atlantic subpolar gyre may lead to an increase of saline and warm water south of Iceland, which in turn may positively affect the annual mean phytoplankton biomass and negatively the abundance of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the Irminger Sea. We observed high Chl *a* concentrations (Fig. 3B), a delay in the phytoplankton spring bloom (Fig. 3A), and low euphausiid numbers (Fig. 5) in years when the subpolar gyre was weak (2000−2010) (Hátún *et al.* 2009; Larsen *et al.*, 2012). As copepods are part of the diet of euphausiids (Falk-Petersen *et al.,* 2000; Dalpadado *et al.,* 2008b), the decline in the stocks of *C. finmarchicus* may have also contributed to the decline in euphausiid populations.
- As stated above, *T. longicaudata* is the most abundant euphausiid species in the North Atlantic. Einarsson (Einarsson, 1945) proposed that the upper temperature limit at which the density of *T. longicaudata* is limited is 15°C, and reproduction would be optimal at temperature <12°C. Given the significant positive effect of temperature on euphausiid densities (Fig. 7F), the observed decrease in densities in the CPR areas in the 2000's (Fig. 5) while surface temperatures increased (Fig. 2) is somewhat surprising. As Chl *a* appears to affect more the long-term variability of the euphausiids than temperature (Table I), it may be argued that the effects of temperature were overridden by the effect of Chl *a*. However, the fact that Chl *a* was generally increasing in the CPR areas in the 2000's (Fig. 3B) while the abundance of euphausiids was decreasing (Fig. 5) seems to contradict this. In addition to temperature and Chl *a*, the onset of the spring bloom was also affecting the long term changes of the euphausiids (Tables I, III). In all the CPR areas there was a gradual delay in the onset of the spring bloom from around 2006 (Fig. 3A). In the beginning of the time series, blooming began between late April and mid May, whereas in the end between mid May and early June. The delay may have translated into a weaker synchrony between the timing of first

 feeding euphausiid larvae in surface layers and the timing of the spring bloom. We believe that the warming of the surface waters during recent years may thus have been a factor resulting in a weaker temporal synchrony of the developing young euphausiids with the phytoplankton bloom that in turn may have lead to the reduced population sizes of the euphausiids, thus suggesting that large scale climatic factors may have altered conditions during the most important period in the life cycle of the euphausiids.

 We acknowledge that top-down effects also play a role in regulating euphausiid abundance. For example, the annual consumption of euphausiids by fin whales, estimated to be around 2.5 million tonnes (Sigurjónsson and Víkingsson, 1997) may be sufficient to affect the stock sizes of euphausiids in the region. Predation pressure from fin whales may have increased due to the 10% increase in fin whale numbers from 1987 to 2001 in the area between Iceland and Greenland (Víkingsson *et al.,* 2009). A recent study conducted in Icelandic waters on the diet composition of minke whales has shown lower incidence of euphausiids in their diet in the mid 2000s than in the early 1980s (Víkingsson *et al.,* 2014). This may in turn reflect the declining number of euphausiids in the area.

 Changes in the abundance and distribution pattern of several marine species around Iceland have been related to climatic changes (Astthorsson *et al.*, 2007), for instance the northward migration of many southern rare and vagrant species (Valdimarsson *et al.*, 2012) and the unprecedented feeding migrations of mackerel to the sea areas around Iceland during summer in recent years (Astthorsson *et al.*, 2012). Our findings, of a more or less general decline of euphausiids in the open sea areas southwest, south and east of Iceland are in general agreement with other studies on the long-term changes of zooplankton, such as *Calanus finmarchicus*, in the open waters of the North Atlantic (e.g. Planque and Taylor, 1998; Hátún *et al.*, 2009), and support the hypothesis that bottom-up regulation, driven by climate change forcing, is a major factor affecting interannual changes in abundance of euphausiids. Further studies, emphasizing the trophic interactions of these important groups in Icelandic and adjacent seas, and the underlying mechanisms for the observed relationships, are needed in order to understand how climate change will likely impact the marine ecosystem.

-
-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

 We thank the Marine Research Institute and the EURO-BASIN for funding this study. We would like to thank all the colleagues at MRI who have taken part in the collection of data and analysis of samples. Further, we thank H. Valdimarsson for providing data from the Icelandic spring surveys on hydrography. We want to thank the staff at SAHFOS for analysis of the CPR samples and for

- making the data available for this study. Finally, we thank the two anonymous referees for many
- helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.
-
- **Funded by project:** This work was supported by EURO-BASIN − European Union Basin-scale
- Analysis, Synthesis and Integration (FP7 #264933). Funding for A. Sofia A. Ferreira was provided
- by the Norden Top-level Research Initiative sub-programme 'Effect Studies and Adaptation to
- Climate Change' through the Nordic Centre for Research on Marine Ecosystems and Resources
- under Climate Change (NorMER).
-

REFERENCES

- Astthorsson, O. S. (1990). Ecology of the euphausiids *Thysanoessa raschi*, *T. inermis* and *Meganyctiphanes norvegica* in Ísafjord-deep, northwest-Iceland. *Mar. Biol.,* **107**, 147–157.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Gislason, A. (1992). Investigations on the ecology of the zooplankton community in Ísafjord-deep, northwest Iceland. *Sarsia,* **77**, 225–236.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Gislason, A. (1995). Long-term changes in zooplankton biomass in Icelandic waters in spring. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **52**, 657–668.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Gislason, A. (1997a). Biology of euphausiids in the subarctic waters north of Iceland. *Mar. Biol.,* **129**, 319–330.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Gislason, A. (1997b). On the food of capelin in the subarctic waters north of Iceland. *Sarsia,* **82**, 81–86.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Gislason, A. (1998). Short communication Environmental conditions, zooplankton, and capelin in the waters north of Iceland. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **55**, 808–810.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Pálsson, O. K. (1987). Predation on euphausiids by cod, *Gadus morhua*, in winter in Icelandic subarctic waters. *Mar. Biol.,* **96**, 327–334.
- Astthorsson, O. S. and Vilhjálmsson, H. (2002). Iceland shelf LME: Decadal assessment and resource sustainability. *Large Marine Ecosystems of the North Atlantic*, Elsevier, pp 219–243.
- Astthorsson, O. S., Gislason, A. and Jónsson, S. (2007). Climate variability and the Icelandic marine ecosystem. *Deep Sea Res. Pt. II,* **54**, 2456–2477.
- Astthorsson, O. S., Valdimarsson, H., Gudmundsdottir, A. and Óskarsson, G. J. (2012). Climate- related variations in the occurrence and distribution of mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) in Icelandic waters. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **69**, 1289–1297.
- Atkinson, A., Siegel, V., Pakhomov, E. and Rothery, P. (2004). Long-term decline in krill stock and increase in salps within the Southern Ocean. *Nature* **432**, 100–103.
- Atkinson, A., Siegel, V., Pakhomov, E., Rothery, P., Loeb, V., Ross, R., *et al.* (2008). Oceanic circumpolar habitats of Antarctic krill. *Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser.,* **362**, 1–23.
-

 Barry, S. C. and Welsh, A. H. (2002). Generalized additive modelling and zero inflated count data. *Ecol. Model.,* **157**, 179–188. Batten, S. D., Clark, R., Flinkman, J., Hays, G. C., John, E., John, A. W. G., *et al.* (2003). CPR sampling: the technical background, materials and methods, consistency and comparability. *Prog. Oceanogr.,* **58**, 193–215. Beare, D. J., Gislason, A., Astthorsson, O. S. and Mckenzie, E. (2000). Assessing long-term changes in early summer zooplankton communities around Iceland. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **57**, 1545– 1561. Beaugrand, G. and Reid, P. C. (2003). Long-term changes in phytoplankton, zooplankton and salmon related to climate. *Glob. Change Biol.,* **9**, 801–817. Beaugrand, G., Brander, K. M., Lindley, J. A., Souissi, S. and Reid, P. C. (2003). Plankton effect on cod recruitment in the North Sea. *Nature,* **426**, 661–664. Beckers, J. M. and Rixen, M. (2003). EOF Calculations and Data Filling from Incomplete Oceanographic Datasets. *J. Atmos. Ocean Tech.,* **20**, 1839–1856. Brinton, E. and Townsend, A. W. (1981). A comparison of euphausiid abundances from Bongo and 1-m CalCOFI nets. *CalCOFI Rep,* **22**, 111–125. Buchholz, F., Buchholz, C. and Weslawski, J. M. (2010). Ten years after: krill as indicator of changes in the macro-zooplankton communities of two Arctic fjords. *Polar Biol.,* **33**, 101–113. Cuzin-Roudy, J. (2000). Seasonal reproduction, multiple spawning, and fecundity in northern krill, *Meganyctiphanes norvegica*, and Antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba*. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,* **57**, 6–15. Dalpadado, P., Ellertsen, B. and Johannessen, S. (2008a). Inter-specific variations in distribution, abundance and reproduction strategies of krill and amphipods in the Marginal Ice Zone of the Barents Sea. *Deep Sea Res. Pt. II.,* **55**, 2257–2265. Dalpadado, P., Yamaguchib, A., Ellertsen, B. and Johannessen, S. (2008b). Trophic interactions of macro-zooplankton (krill and amphipods) in the Marginal Ice Zone of the Barents Sea. *Deep Sea Res. Pt. II.,* **55**, 2266–2274. Edwards, M. and Richardson, A. J. (2004). Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature,* **430**, 881–884. Einarsson, H. (1945). *Euphausiacea I. Northern Atlantic species.* Bianco Luno, Copenhagen. Eriksen, E. and Dalpadado, P. (2011). Long-term changes in Krill biomass and distribution in the Barents Sea: are the changes mainly related to capelin stock size and temperature conditions? *Polar Biol.,* **34**, 1399–1409. Falk-Petersen, S., Hagen, W., Kattner, G., Clarke, A. and Sargent, J. (2000). Lipids, trophic relationships, and biodiversity in Arctic and Antarctic krill. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,* **57**, 178–191. Flores, H., Atkinson, A., Kawaguchi, S., Krafft, B., Milinevsky, G., Nicol, S., *et al.* (2012). Impact of climate change on Antarctic krill. *Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser.,* **458**, 1–19.

- Fromentin, J.-M. and Planque, B. (1996). *Calanus* and environment in the eastern North Atlantic. 2.
- Role of the North Atlantic Oscillation on *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*. *Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser.,* **134**, 111–118.
-
- Gaten, E., Wiese, K. and Johnson, M. L. (2010). Laboratory-based observations f behaviour in
- Northern Krill (*Meganyctiphanes norvegica* Sars). In Tarling, G. (ed.), *Advances in marine biology. Biology of Northern Krill*, Academic Press, pp. 231–253.
- Gislason, A. and Astthorsson, O. S. (1995). Seasonal cycle of zooplankton southwest of Iceland. *J. Plankton Res.,* **17**, 1959–1976.
- Gislason, A. and Astthorsson, O. S. (1998). Seasonal variations in biomass, abundance and composition of zooplankton in the subarctic waters north of Iceland. *Polar Biol.,* **20**, 85–94.
- Gislason, A. and Astthorsson, O. S. (2004). Distribution patterns of zooplankton communities around Iceland in spring. *Sarsia,* **89**, 467–477.
- Gislason, A. and Silva, T. (2012). Abundance, composition, and development of zooplankton in the Subarctic Iceland Sea in 2006, 2007, and 2008. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **69**, 1263–1276.
- Gislason, A., Petursdottir, H., Astthorsson, O. S., Gudmundsson, K. and Valdimarsson, H. (2009). Inter-annual variability in abundance and community structure of zooplankton south and north of
- Iceland in relation to environmental conditions in spring 1990-2007. *J. Plankton Res.,* **31**, 541–551.
- Hátún, H., Payne, M. R., Beaugrand, G., Reid, P. C., Sandø, A. B., Drange, H., *et al.* (2009). Large bio-geographical shifts in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean: From the subpolar gyre, via plankton, to blue whiting and pilot whales. *Prog. Oceanogr.,* **80**, 149–162.
- Henson, S. A., Dunne, J. P. and Sarmiento, J. L. (2009). Decadal variability in North Atlantic phytoplankton blooms. *J. Geophys. Res.,* **114**, 1-11.
- Holliday, N., Waniek, J., Davidson, R., Wilson, D., Brown, L., Sanders, R., *et al.* (2006). Large- scale physical controls on phytoplankton growth in the Irminger Sea Part I: Hydrographic zones, mixing and stratification. *J. Marine Syst.,* **59**, 201–218.
- Ignatyev, S. M. (1997). Pelagic Fishes and Their Macroplankton Prey: Swimming Speeds. *Int. Symp. on the Role of Forage Fishes in Marine Ecosystems*. Alaska, pp. 31–39.
- Jaworski, A. and Ragnarsson, S. Á. (2006). Feeding habits of demersal fish in Icelandic waters: a multivariate approach. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **63**, 1682–1694.
- Klevjer, T. A. and Kaartvedt, S. (2003). Split-beam target tracking can be used to study the swimming behaviour of deep-living plankton in situ. *Aquat. Living Resour.,* **16**, 293–298.
- 697
698 698 Klevjer, T. A. and Kaartvedt, S. (2006). In situ target strength and behaviour of northern krill
699 (Meganvctiphanes norvegica). ICES J. Mar. Sci., 63, 1726–1735 (*Meganyctiphanes norvegica*). *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **63**, 1726–1735
- 701 Larsen, K. M. H., Hátún, H., Hansen, B. and Kristiansen, R. (2012). Atlantic water in the Faroe
702 area: sources and variability. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 69, 802–808. area: sources and variability. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, **69**, 802–808.
-

- Planque, B. and Taylor, A. H. (1998). Long-term changes in zooplankton and the climate of the North Atlantic. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **55**, 644–654.
-
- Planque, B., Bellier, E. and Lazure, P. (2007). Modelling potential spawning habitat of sardine
- (*Sardina pilchardus*) and anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) in the Bay of Biscay. *Fish. Oceanogr.,* **16**, 16–30.
-
- Price, H. J. (1989). Swimming behavior of krill in response to algal patches: A mesocosm study. *Limnol.Oceanogr.,* **34,** 649–659.
- Reid, P. C. (2001). Climate change and the Continuous Plankton Recorder survey. *The Marine Observer.* 118–123.
-
- Reid, P. C. and Valdés, L. (2011). ICES status report on climate change in the North Atlantic. *ICES Cooperative Research Report*. 1–262.
- Richardson, A. J., John, E. H., Irigoien, X., Harris, R. P. and Hays, G. C. (2004). How well does the
- Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) sample zooplankton? A comparison with the Longhurst
- Hardy Plankton Recorder (LHPR) in the northeast Atlantic. *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I.,* **51**, 1283–1294.
-

- Richardson, A. J., Walne, A. W., John, A. W. G., Jonas, T. D., Lindley, J. A., Sims, D. W., *et al.* (2006). Using continuous plankton recorder data. *Prog. Oceanogr.,* **68**, 27–74.
- Sameoto, D., Cochrane, N. and Herman, A. (1993). Convergence of acoustic, optical, and net-catch estimates of euphausiid abundance: use of artificial light to reduce net. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,* **50**, 334–346.
- Sameoto, D., Wiebe, P., Runge, J., Postel, L., Dunn, J., Miller, C., *et al.* (2000). Collecting zooplankton. In Harris, R. P. and Wiebe, P. H. and Lenz, J. and Skjoldal, H. R. and Huntley, M (eds.), *ICES Zooplankton Methodology Manual*, Academic Press, UK, pp. 55–81.
- Saunders, R. A., Ingvarsdottir, A., Rasmussen, J., Hay, S. J. and Brierley, A. S. (2007). Regional variation in distribution pattern, population structure and growth rates of *Meganyctiphanes norvegica* and *Thysanoessa longicaudata* in the Irminger Sea, North Atlantic. *Prog. Oceanogr.,* **72**, 313–342.
- Siegel, D. A., Doney, S. C. and Yoder, J. A. (2002). The North Atlantic spring phytoplankton bloom and Sverdrup's critical depth hypothesis. *Science,* **296**, 730–733.
- Sigurjónsson, J. and Víkingsson, G. A. (1997). Seasonal abundance of and estimated food consumption by cetaceans in Icelandic and adjacent waters. *J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci.,* **22**, 271–287.
- Stefánsson, U. and Ólafsson, J. (1991). Nutrients and fertility of Icelandic waters. *Rit Fiskideildar* **7**, 1–56.
- 799
800 Stefánsson, G. and Pálsson, Ó. K. (1997). Statistical evaluation and modelling of the stomach contents of Icelandic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,* **54**, 169–181.
- Valdimarsson, H., Astthorsson, O. S. and Pálsson, J. (2012). Hydrographic variability in Icelandic waters during recent decades and related changes in distribution of some fish species. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.,* **69**, 816–825.

- Víkingsson, G. A., Pike, D., Desportes, G., Øien, N., Gunnlaugsson, T. and Bloch, D. (2009).
- Distribution and abundance of fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) in the Northeast and Central
- Atlantic as inferred from the North Atlantic Sightings Surveys 1987-2001. *NAMMCO Sci. Publ* **7**, 49–72.
-
- Víkingsson, G. A., Elvarsson, B., Ólafsdóttir, D., Sigurjónsson, J., Chosson, V. and Galan, A.
- (2014). Recent changes in the diet composition of common minke whales (*Balaenoptera*
- *acutorostrata*) in Icelandic waters. A consequence of climate change? *Mar. Biol. Res.,* **10**, 138–152.
-
- Vilhjálmsson, H. (1994). The Icelandic Capelin Stock: Capelin, *Mallotus villosus* (Möller) in the Iceland - Greenland - Jan Mayen area. *Rit Fiskideildar*, **13**, 1-281.
-
- Wiebe, P. H., Ashjian, C. J., Gallager, S. M., Davis, C. S., Lawson, G. L. and Copley, N. J. (2004). Using a high-powered strobe light to increase the catch of Antarctic krill. *Mar. Biol.,* **144**, 493–502.
- Williams, R. and Lindley, J. A. (1982). Variability in abundance, vertical distribution and ontogenetic migrations of *Thysanoessa longicaudata* (Crustacea: Euphausiacea) in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean. *Mar. Biol.,* **69**, 321–330.
- Wood, S. N. (2006). *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R.* Chapman & Hall/CRC, London.
- Wood, S. N. (2011). Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B Met.,* **73**, 3–36.
-

- Zarauz, L., Irigoien, X., Urtizberea, A. and Gonzalez, M. (2007). Mapping plankton distribution in the Bay of Biscay during three consecutive spring surveys. *Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser.,* **345**, 27–39.
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A. and Smith, G. M. (2009). *Mixed effects*
- *models and extensions in ecology with R*. Springer, New York.

LEGENDS TO TABLES

- Table I. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 in the Northeastern Atlantic based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were sea surface temperature, salinity, surface Chl *a* concentration, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). For each predictor, percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation 844 (GCV) scores, Chi-square (χ^2) or F-test significance (F) are given. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used. Table II. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs and larvae at Selvogsbanki transect based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were temperature, salinity, Chl *a* concentration averaged from 0–50 m, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North 851 Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). For each predictor, percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores, Chi-853 square $(χ²)$ or F-test significance (F) is given. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used.
-

 Table III. Results of multiple variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 in the Northeastern Atlantic based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were surface temperature, salinity, surface Chl *a* concentration, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). Percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores are shown for the best fitted GAMs. Significance is given for each predictor used in the models. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used. Presence/absence GAMs could not be constructed for area B6.

 Table IV. Results of multiple variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs and larvae at Selvogsbanki transect based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in this study were temperature, salinity, Chl *a* concentration averaged from 0-50 m**,** onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). Percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un- Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores are shown for the best fitted GAMs. Significance is given for each predictor used in the models. Unless otherwise

- stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used. Presence/absence GAMs could not be
- constructed for larvae and abundance larger than zero models could not be constructed for eggs.
-

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Fig. 1. Map showing the sampling areas in the study with CPR areas indicated. Stations at

Selvogsbanki transect are shown by black dots (inside area A6). Gray contours show 200 and

1000m bottom depth. The inset map is an enlargement of the Selvogsbanki region with the stations

- 880 indicated by numbered black dots.
-

 Fig. 2. Interannual variations in the Sea Surface Temperature (SST, ºC) from 1958 to 2011 at the Selvogsbanki transect and in the CPR areas southwest, south and southeast of Iceland. SST values are standardized to zero mean and standard deviation one. Lines show plain loess smoothing with a span of five years. For location of regions see Fig. 1.

 Fig. 3. Interannual variations in the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (weeks) (A) and 888 surface Chl *a* concentrations (mg m⁻³) (B) from 1998 to 2011 at Selvogsbanki transect and in the CPR areas southwest, south and southeast of Iceland. Chl *a* concentrations were standardized to zero mean and standard deviation one. Lines show plain loess smoothing with a span of five years. For location of areas/transect refer to Fig. 1.

 Fig. 4. Seasonal abundance of total euphausiid from 1958 to 2007 in the CPR areas (Fig. 1). Right panel summarizes the information presented in the left panel by boxplots, showing the median, upper and lower quartiles and outliers (open circles). Average values are shown for both day and night time samplings, except for area B7, where night values were used.

 Fig. 5. Interannual variation in abundance of total euphausiid in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 from 1958 to 2007. Abundances are annual averages standardized to zero mean and unit variance. Curved line is a loess smoothed curve with a span of five years. Regression lines significant at 901 p<0.05 level are shown by straight red lines.

 Fig. 6. (A) Interannual variation in the number of euphausiid eggs (light gray) and larvae (black) at Selvogsbanki transect (Fig. 1). Abundances are based on one annual sampling at five stations in late May standardized to zero mean and unit variance. Curved line is a loess smoothed curve with a span of five years. Regression line significant at p<0.05 level is shown by straight red line for larvae 907 estimates. (B) Variation in abundance of eggs and larvae, $\ln(x+1)$, along the Selvogsbanki transect, illustrated by boxplots, showing the median, upper and lower quartiles and outliers (open circles).

- Fig. 7. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in all the CPR areas combined based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). The figure illustrates the predictors that best explained presence/absence of the euphausiids (A–C) or euphausiid abundance where it exceeded zero. (D–F) (Table I). The solid
- lines show the smoothing function according to the GAMs. The shaded gray areas represent the
- regions within two standard errors of the mean (i.e. accounting for 95% of the observations), and
- open circles show residuals of the curve fit. Short vertical lines on the X-axis indicate the values at
- which observations were made.
-

 Fig. 8. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs (upper) and larvae (lower) at the Selvogsbanki transect south of Iceland based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). The figure illustrates the predictors that best explained presence/absence of euphausiid eggs (A) or abundance of larvae where it was larger than zero (B) (Table II). The solid lines show the smoothing function according to the GAMs. Shaded gray areas represent the regions within two standard errors of the mean (i.e. accounting for 95% of the observations), and open circles show residuals of the curve fit. Short vertical lines on the X-axis show the values at which observations were made. Note that the panels do not illustrate the same predictor.

Table V. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 in the Northeastern Atlantic based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were sea surface temperature, salinity, surface Chl *a* concentration, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). For each predictor, percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores, Chi-square (χ^2) or F-test significance (F) are given. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used.

Table VI. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs and larvae at Selvogsbanki transect based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were temperature, salinity, Chl *a* concentration averaged from 0–50 m, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). For each predictor, percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores, Chisquare (χ^2) or F-test significance (F) is given. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used.

Table VII. Results of multiple variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 in the Northeastern Atlantic based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in the study were surface temperature, salinity, surface Chl *a* concentration, onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). Percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores are shown for the best fitted GAMs. Significance is given for each predictor used in the models. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used. Presence/absence GAMs could not be constructed for area B6.

Table VIII. Results of multiple variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs and larvae at Selvogsbanki transect based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). Predictors used in this study were temperature, salinity, Chl *a* concentration averaged from 0-50 m**,** onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (OPB) and North Atlantic Oscillation winter index (NAO). Percentage of deviance explained (% Deviance), Un-Biased Risk Estimator (UBRE) or Generalised Cross Validation (GCV) scores are shown for the best fitted GAMs. Significance is given for each predictor used in the models. Unless otherwise stated 3 effective degrees of freedom (edf) were used. Presence/absence GAMs could not be constructed for larvae and abundance larger than zero models could not be constructed for eggs.

Fig. 8. Map showing the sampling areas in the study with CPR areas indicated. Stations at Selvogsbanki transect are shown by black dots (inside area A6). Gray contours show 200 and 1000m bottom depth. The inset map is an enlargement of the Selvogsbanki region with the stations indicated by numbered black dots.

Fig. 9. Interannual variations in the Sea Surface Temperature (SST, ºC) from 1958 to 2011 at the Selvogsbanki transect and in the CPR areas southwest, south and southeast of Iceland. SST values are standardized to zero mean and standard deviation one. Lines show plain loess smoothing with a span of five years. For location of regions see Fig. 1.

Fig. 10. Interannual variations in the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom (weeks) (A) and surface Chl *a* concentrations (mg m⁻³) (B) from 1998 to 2011 at Selvogsbanki transect and in the CPR areas southwest, south and southeast of Iceland. Chl *a* concentrations were standardized to zero mean and standard deviation one. Lines show plain loess smoothing with a span of five years. For location of areas/transect refer to Fig. 1.

Fig. 11. Seasonal abundance of total euphausiid from 1958 to 2007 in the CPR areas (Fig. 1). Right panel summarizes the information presented in the left panel by boxplots, showing the median, upper and lower quartiles and outliers (open circles). Average values are shown for both day and night time samplings, except for area B7, where night values were used.

Fig. 12. Interannual variation in abundance of total euphausiid in CPR areas B7, B6, A6, B5 and B4 from 1958 to 2007. Abundances are annual averages standardized to zero mean and unit variance. Curved line is a loess smoothed curve with a span of five years. Regression lines significant at p<0.05 level are shown by straight red lines.

Fig. 13. (A) Interannual variation in the number of euphausiid eggs (light gray) and larvae (black) at Selvogsbanki transect (Fig. 1). Abundances are based on one annual sampling at five stations in late May standardized to zero mean and unit variance. Curved line is a loess smoothed curve with a span of five years. Regression line significant at p<0.05 level is shown by straight red line for larvae estimates. (B) Variation in abundance of eggs and larvae, ln (x+1), along the Selvogsbanki transect, illustrated by boxplots, showing the median, upper and lower quartiles and outliers (open circles).

Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiids in all the CPR areas combined based on data from 1998 to 2007 (Fig. 1). The figure illustrates the predictors that best explained presence/absence of the euphausiids (A–C) or euphausiid abundance where it exceeded zero. (D–F) (Table I). The solid lines show the smoothing function according to the GAMs. The shaded gray areas represent the regions within two standard errors of the mean (i.e. accounting for 95% of the observations), and open circles show residuals of the curve fit. Short vertical lines on the X-axis indicate the values at which observations were made.

Fig. 8. Results of single variable based presence/absence and abundance larger than zero General Additive Models (GAMs) for euphausiid eggs (upper) and larvae (lower) at the Selvogsbanki transect south of Iceland based on data from 1998 to 2011 (Fig. 1). The figure illustrates the predictors that best explained presence/absence of euphausiid eggs (A) or abundance of larvae where it was larger than zero (B) (Table II). The solid lines show the smoothing function according to the GAMs. Shaded gray areas represent the regions within two standard errors of the mean (i.e. accounting for 95% of the observations), and open circles show residuals of the curve fit. Short vertical lines on the X-axis show the values at which observations were made. Note that the panels do not illustrate the same predictor.