VIEKNATIONAL JOUKINAL OF COMPUTING SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, VOL. 4, NO. 2, AUGUST 2018

Fuzzy Prime Ideals of ADL's

Chigurupalli Santhi Sundar Raj, Natnael Teshale Amare and Uppasetti Madana Swamy

Abstract—In this paper the concept of prime *L*-fuzzy ideals and *L*-fuzzy prime ideals of an ADL *A* with truth values in a complete lattice *L* satisfying the infinite meet distributive law are introduced. All prime *L*-fuzzy ideals of a given ADL *A* are determined by establishing a one-to- one correspondence between prime *L*-fuzzy ideals of an ADL *A* and the pairs (P, α) , where *P* is a prime ideal of *A* and α is a prime element in *L*. Also, here minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideals and *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideals of an ADL *A* are introduced and characterized.

Index Terms—Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL), complete lattice, L-fuzzy minimal prime ideal L-fuzzy prime ideal, minimal prime L-fuzzy ideal, prime L-fuzzy ideal.

I. INTRODUCTION

fuzzy subset of a set X is a function from X into I = [0,1], as in [1]. J.A. Goguen [2] explored, generalized and continued the work of L.A. Zadeh and realized that the unit interval [0,1] is not sufficient to take the truth values of general fuzzy statements. Wang-Jing Liu [3] introduced the notion of a fuzzy ideal of a ring in the case when L = [0,1] of real numbers and T.K. Mukherjee and M.K. Sen [4] introduced the notion of a fuzzy prime ideal and continued the study of fuzzy ideals. U.M. Swamy and K.L.N. Swamy [5] introduced the concept of fuzzy prime ideal of a ring with truth values in a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law.

The concept of prime ideal of an Almost Distributive Lattice was introduced by U.M. Swamy and G.C. Rao, in 1981 [6]. U.M. Swamy, Ch. Santhi Sundar Raj and Natnael Teshale A [7] have introduced the notion of *L*-fuzzy ideals of an ADL with the truth values in a complete lattice *L* satisfying the infinite meet distributive law.

In this paper, we introduce and study prime *L*-fuzzy ideals and *L*-fuzzy prime ideals of an ADL *A*, where *L* is a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law. Also, in this paper we introduce minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideals and *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideals of an ADL *A*.

II. PRELIMINARIES

First we give necessary definitions and results mostly taken from [6] and [7] which will be used in the later text.

Definition 2.1: An algebra $A = (A, \land, \lor, 0)$ of type (2, 2, 0) is called an Almost Distributive Lattice (abbreviated as ADL) if it satisfies the following conditions for all a, b and $c \in A$.

1) $0 \wedge a = 0$

Manuscript received December 19, 2017; accepted May 10, 2018.

Ch. Santhi Sundar Raj and A. Natnael Teshale are with the Department of Engineering Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam- 530003, A.P., India. E-mail: santhisundarraj@yahoo.com

A. Natnael Teshale is with the Department of Mathematics, University of Gondar, Ethiopia. E-mail: yenatnaelau@yahoo.com

U.M. Swamy is with the Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam- 530003, A.P., India. E-mail: umswamy@yahoo.com

2) $a \lor 0 = a$ 3) $a \land (b \lor c) = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c)$ 4) $a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$ 5) $(a \lor b) \land c = (a \land c) \lor (b \land c)$

6)
$$(a \lor b) \land b = b$$
.

Any bounded below distributive lattice is an ADL, where 0 is the smallest element. Any nonempty set *X* can be made into an ADL by fixing an arbitrarily chosen element 0 in *X* and by defining the binary operations \land and \lor on *X* by

$$a \wedge b = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a = 0 \\ b, & \text{if } a \neq 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } a \vee b = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } a = 0 \\ a, & \text{if } a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

This ADL $(X, \land, \lor, 0)$ is called a discrete ADL.

Definition 2.2: Let $A = (A, \land, \lor, 0)$ be an ADL. For any a and $b \in A$, define $a \le b$ if $a = a \land b$ ($\Leftrightarrow a \lor b = b$). Then \le is a partial order on A with respect to which 0 is the smallest element in A.

Theorem 2.3: The following hold for any a, b and c in an ADL A.

- (1) $a \wedge 0 = 0 = 0 \wedge a$ and $a \vee 0 = a = 0 \vee a$
- (2) $a \wedge a = a = a \lor a$
- (3) $a \wedge b \leq b \leq b \lor a$
- (4) $a \wedge b = a \Leftrightarrow a \lor b = b$
- (5) $a \wedge b = b \Leftrightarrow a \vee b = a$
- (6) $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$ (i.e., \wedge is associative)
- (7) $a \lor (b \lor a) = a \lor b$
- (8) $a \le b \Rightarrow a \land b = a = b \land a \ (\Leftrightarrow a \lor b = b = b \lor a)$
- (9) $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = (b \wedge a) \wedge c$
- (10) $(a \lor b) \land c = (b \lor a) \land c$
- (11) $a \wedge b = b \wedge a \Leftrightarrow a \vee b = b \vee a$
- (12) $a \wedge b = \inf\{a, b\} \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b = b \wedge a \Leftrightarrow a \vee b = \sup\{a, b\}.$

An element $m \in A$ is said to be maximal if, for any $x \in A$, $m \le x$ implies m = x. It can be easily observed that m is maximal if and only if $m \land x = x$ for all $x \in A$.

Definition 2.4: Let I be a non empty subset of an ADL A. Then I is called an ideal of A if $a, b \in I \Rightarrow a \lor b \in I$ and $a \land x \in I$ for all $x \in A$.

As a consequence, for any ideal *I* of *A*, $x \land a \in I$ for all $a \in I$ and $x \in A$. For any $S \subseteq A$, the smallest ideal of *A* containing *S* is called the ideal generated by *S* in *A* and is denoted by (*S*]. It is known that

$$(S] = \left\{ \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \land a \mid n \geq 0, x_{i} \in S \text{ and } a \in A \right\}.$$

when $S = \{x\}$, we write (x] for $(\{x\}]$. Note that $(x] = \{x \land a \mid a \in A\}$.

Definition 2.5: An L-fuzzy subset λ of X is a mapping from X into L, where L is a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law. If L is the unit interval [0,1] of real numbers, then these are the usual fuzzy subsets of X.

For any $\alpha \in L$, the set $\lambda_{\alpha} = \{x \in X : \alpha \leq \lambda(x)\}$ is called the α -cut of λ .

Definition 2.6: An L -fuzzy subset λ of A is said to be an L -fuzzy ideal of A, if $\lambda(0) = 1$ and $\lambda(x \lor y) = \lambda(x) \land \lambda(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$.

Lemma 2.7: Let λ be an L-fuzzy ideal of A, S a non-empty subset of A and $x, y \in A$. Then we have the following.

- (1) $x \wedge y = y$ and $y \wedge x = x \Longrightarrow \lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$
- (2) $\lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(y \wedge x)$
- (3) $x \in (S] \Longrightarrow \lambda(x) \ge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \lambda(a_i)$ for some $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in S$
- (4) $x \in (y] \Longrightarrow \lambda(x) \ge \lambda(y)$
- (5) If *m* is a maximal element in *A* then $\lambda(m) < \lambda(x)$, for all *x*
- (6) $\lambda(m) = \lambda(n)$ for all maximal elements *m* and *n* in *A*.

Theorem 2.8: The set of all L-fuzzy ideals of A is a complete distributive lattice, in which the supremum $\bigvee_{i \in \Delta} \lambda_i$ and infimum $\bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \lambda_i$ of any family

 $\{\lambda_i : i \in \Delta\}$ of *L*-fuzzy ideals of *A* are given by

$$\left(\bigvee_{i\in\Delta}\lambda_i\right)(x) = \bigvee\left\{\bigwedge_{a\in F}\left(\bigvee_{i\in\Delta}\lambda_i(a)\right): x\in(F], F\subset\subset A\right\}$$

and
$$\left(\bigwedge_{i\in\Delta}\lambda_i\right)(x) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Delta}\lambda_i(x)$$

III. PRIME L-FUZZY IDEALS

Let us recall from [6] that a proper ideal P of an ADL $A^{(3)} \lambda_1$ is a prime ideal of A. is said to be prime if for any $x, y \in A$, $x \wedge y \in P$ implies that $x \in P$ or $y \in P$; (equivalently, for any ideals I and J of A, $I \cap J \subseteq P \Rightarrow I \subseteq P$ or $J \subseteq P$.)

The following definition is analogous to that of a prime ideal of A. Here after A stands for an ADL with a maximal element. An *L*-fuzzy ideal λ of *A* is called proper if $\lambda(x) \neq 1$ for some $x \in A$.

Definition 3.1: A proper L-fuzzy ideal λ of A is called a prime L-fuzzy ideal if for any L-fuzzy ideals v and μ of A, $v \wedge \mu \leq \lambda$ implies either $v \leq \lambda$ or $\mu \leq \lambda$.

An element $x \neq 1$ in L is called prime if for any $a, b \in L$ $a \wedge b \leq x$ implies either $a \leq x$ or $b \leq x$.

Now, we determine all prime L-fuzzy ideals of A by establishing a correspondence between prime L-fuzzy ideals and pairs (I, α) , where I is a prime ideal of A and α is a prime element in L. First, we recall from [7] that for any ideal *I* of *A* and $\alpha \in L$, the *L*-fuzzy ideal α_I of *A* defined by

$$\alpha_I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I \\ \alpha & \text{if } x \notin I. \end{cases}$$

and that α_I is called the α -level L-fuzzy ideal correspondence to I.

Theorem 3.2: Let *I* be an ideal of an ADL *A* and $\alpha \in L$. Then α_I is a prime L-fuzzy ideal of A if and only if I is a prime ideal of A and α is a prime element in L.

Proof: Suppose that α_I is a prime L-fuzzy ideal of A. Since α_I is proper, $\alpha_I(x) \neq 1$, for some $x \in A$. Therefore $x \notin I$ and hence $I \subseteq A$. If J and K are ideals of A such that $J \cap K \subseteq I$. Then $\alpha_J \wedge \alpha_K = \alpha_{J \wedge K} \leq \alpha_I$ and hence $\alpha_J \leq \alpha_I$ or $\alpha_K \leq \alpha_I$, so that $J \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$. Therefore, I is a prime ideal of A. Also, for any $\gamma, \beta \in L$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma \land \beta \leq \alpha \Rightarrow (\gamma \land \beta)_I \leq \alpha_I \\ \Rightarrow \gamma_I \land \beta_I \leq \alpha_I \\ \Rightarrow \gamma_I \leq \alpha_I \text{ or } \beta_I \leq \alpha_I \\ \Rightarrow \gamma \leq \alpha \text{ or } \beta \leq \alpha. \end{array}$$

Therefore, α is a prime element in L.

Conversely, suppose that I is a prime ideal of A and α is a prime element in L. Since I is proper and $\alpha \neq 1, \alpha_I$ is clearly a proper L-fuzzy ideal of A. Let λ and μ be any L-fuzzy ideals of A such that $\lambda \leq \alpha_I$ and $\mu \leq \alpha_I$. Then there exists $x, y \in A$ such that $\lambda(x) \nleq \alpha_I(x)$ and $\mu(y) \nleq \alpha_I(y)$. This implies that $\alpha_I(x) = \alpha = \alpha_I(y)$ (otherwise, $\alpha_I(x) = 1 \ge \lambda(x)$ and $\alpha_I(y) = 1 \ge \lambda(x)$ $1 \ge \mu(y)$) and hence $x \notin I$ and $y \notin I$. Since I is a prime ideal, $x \wedge y \notin I$. Also, since α is prime and $\lambda(x) \nleq \alpha$ and $\mu(y) \nleq \alpha$, we have that $\lambda(x) \wedge \mu(y) \nleq \alpha$.

Now, $(\lambda \wedge \mu)(x \wedge y) = \lambda(x \wedge y) \wedge \mu(x \wedge y) \ge \lambda(x) \wedge \mu(y)$

(since λ and μ are antitones) and hence $(\lambda \wedge \mu)(x \wedge y) \leq \alpha =$ $\alpha_I(x \wedge y)$ so that, $(\lambda \wedge \mu) \not\leq \alpha_I$. Hence, α_I is a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of A.

Theorem 3.3: A proper *L*-fuzzy ideal λ of *A* is prime if and only if the following are satisfied.

- (1) λ is two valued
- (2) $\lambda(m)$ is a prime element in L, for any maximal element m in A

Proof: Suppose that λ is a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*.

(1): Suppose λ assumes more than two values. Then there exists $x, y \in A$ and $\alpha \neq \beta \in L - \{1\}$ such that $\lambda(x) = \alpha, \lambda(y) = \alpha$ β and $\lambda(0) = 1$. Now, define L-fuzzy subsets v and μ of A as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in (x] \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin (x] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = 0 \\ \alpha & \text{if } z \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, clearly $v = 0_{(x]}$ and $\mu = \alpha_{(0)}$ and hence v and μ are L-fuzzy ideals. Also, for

 $z = 0 \Rightarrow (\mathbf{v} \land \boldsymbol{\mu})(0) = \mathbf{v}(0) \land \boldsymbol{\mu}(0) = 1 \land 1 = 1 = \lambda(0).$ $0 \neq z \in (x] \Rightarrow v(z) \land \mu(z) = 1 \land \alpha = \alpha = \lambda(x) \le \lambda(z)$ (since λ is an antitone and $z \wedge x \leq x$, we have

$$\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(z \wedge x) = \lambda(x \wedge z) = \lambda(z)$$

and $z \notin (x] \Rightarrow v(z) \land \mu(z) = 0 \land \alpha = 0 \le \lambda(z)$. Therefore, $v \land \lambda(z) = 0 \land \alpha = 0 \le \lambda(z)$. $\mu \leq \lambda$. Since λ is prime, $\nu \leq \lambda$ or $\mu \leq \lambda$. But $\nu \nleq \lambda$ (since $v(x) = 1, \lambda(x) = \alpha$ and $1 \neq \alpha$).

Therefore, $\mu \leq \lambda$. In particular, $\mu(y) \leq \lambda(y) \neq \lambda(0)$, we get that $y \neq 0$ and $\alpha = \mu(y) = \beta$, which is a contradiction.

(2): Let *m* be a maximal element in *A*. Since λ is proper, $\lambda(x) \neq 1$, for some $x \in A$ and hence $\lambda(m) \neq \lambda(0) = 1$

$$(\lambda(m) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(m \lor x) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(m) \land \lambda(x) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(x) = 1.$$

Let α and $\beta \in L$ such that $\alpha \land \beta \leq \lambda(m)$. Define v and μ of A as:

$$\mathbf{v}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \alpha & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \beta & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, it can be easily proved that v and μ are L-fuzzy ideals of A and $v \wedge \mu \leq \lambda$. Since λ is prime, $v \leq \lambda$ or $\mu \leq \lambda$, inparticular, $v(m) \leq \lambda(m)$ or $\mu(m) \leq \lambda(m)$. Therefore, $\alpha \leq \lambda(m)$

 $\lambda(m)$ or $\beta < \lambda(m)$ and hence $\lambda(m)$ is prime.

(3): Let $I = \{x \in A : \lambda(x) = 1\}$. Clearly, I is a proper ideal of A, since λ is proper. Let α be the other value of λ . Then

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I \\ \alpha & \text{if } x \notin I \end{cases}$$

and hence $\lambda = \alpha_I$. By theorem 3.2, *I* is prime.

Conversely suppose that λ is an *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* satisfying the conditions (1),(2) and (3). By (1), there exists $\alpha \neq 1$ ($\neq 1$) \in L such that $\lambda(x) = \alpha$, for each $x \in A - \{0\}$. Then for any maximal element m of A, $\lambda(m) = \alpha$. By (2), α is prime. Let $I = \{x \in A : \lambda(x) = 1\}$. Then I is a prime ideal of A (by (3)). Therefore, $\lambda = \alpha_I$ and hence λ is a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* (by theorem 3.2).

The results 3.2 and 3.3 yield the following.

Theorem 3.4: Let λ be an L-fuzzy subset of A. Then λ is a prime L-fuzzy ideal of A if and only if there exists a prime ideal P of A and a prime element α in L such that $\lambda = \alpha_P$.

IV. L-FUZZY PRIME IDEALS

In this section, we introduce the notion of an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal which is weaker than that of a prime L-fuzzy ideal.

Definition 4.1: A proper L-fuzzy ideal λ of A is called an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of A if for any $x, y \in A$,

 $\lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(x)$ or $\lambda(y)$.

The following theorem gives a characterization of an Lfuzzy prime ideal.

Theorem 4.2: Let λ be a proper L-fuzzy ideal of A. Then the following are equivalent to each other.

(1) for each $\alpha \in L$, $\lambda_{\alpha} = A$ or λ_{α} is a prime ideal of A

- (2) λ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A*
- (3) for any $x, y \in A$, $\lambda(x \wedge y) \leq \lambda(x) \vee \lambda(y)$ and either $\lambda(x) \leq \lambda(x) \vee \lambda(y)$ $\lambda(y)$ or $\lambda(y) \leq \lambda(x)$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Let $x, y \in A$ and $\alpha = \lambda(x \land y)$. Then $x \wedge y \in \lambda_{\alpha}$ and hence $x \in \lambda_{\alpha}$ or $y \in \lambda_{\alpha}$.

$$x \in \lambda_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \lambda(x \land y) = \alpha \le \lambda(x) \le \lambda(x \land y)$$
$$\Rightarrow \lambda(x \land y) = \lambda(x)$$

Similarly, $y \in \lambda_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(y)$.

(2)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (3): Let $x, y \in A$. Then, $\lambda(x \land y) = \lambda(x)$ or $\lambda(y)$.

 $\lambda(\mathbf{y}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) = \lambda(\mathbf{x}).$ Similarly, $\lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(y) \Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) \le \lambda(x) \lor \lambda(y)$ and (2) *I* is a prime ideal of *A* if and only if χ_I is an *L*-fuzzy prime

 $\lambda(x) < \lambda(y).$

ideal of *A*. Also, for any $x, y \in A$,

$$x \wedge y \in \lambda_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \lambda(x \wedge y) \leq \lambda(x) \lor \lambda(y) = \lambda(x) \text{ or } \lambda(y) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \lambda(x) \text{ or } \alpha \leq \lambda(y) \Rightarrow x \in \lambda_{\alpha} \text{ or } y \in \lambda_{\alpha}$$

Therefore, λ_{α} is prime.

Theorem 4.3: A prime L-fuzzy ideal of A is an L-fuzzy prime ideal of A.

Proof: Let λ be a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*. Then $\lambda = \alpha_I$ for some prime ideal P of A and α a prime element in L. Since $\alpha < 1$, λ is a proper.

Let $x, y \in A$. Then

$$x \wedge y \in I \Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) = 1$$
 and $x \in I$ or $y \in I$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) = 1 = \lambda(x)$ or $\lambda(y)$

and
$$x \land y \notin I \Rightarrow x \notin I$$
 and $y \notin I$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda(x \land y) = \alpha = \lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$

Therefore, λ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A*.

The converse of the above theorem is not true; for consider the given example below.

Example 4.4: Let $A = \{0, a, b, c\}, L = \{0, t, 1\}$ with 0 < t < 1and let \vee and \wedge be binary operations on A defined by

V	0	а	b	С
0	0	а	b	С
а	а	а	а	а
b	b	b	b	b
C	С	а	b	С
		_		
Λ	0	a,	b	С
0	0	0	0	0
а	0	а	b	С
b	0	а	b	С
С	0	С	с	с

Then, $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0)$ is an ADL. Now define $\lambda : A \to L$ by $\lambda(0) = 1,$

 $\lambda(a) = \lambda(b) = 0$ and $\lambda(c) = t$. Therefore, $\lambda_0 = A$, $\lambda_t = \{0, c\}$ and $\lambda_1 = \{0\}$ are prime ideals of A. Therefore, λ is an L-fuzzy prime ideal of A, while λ is not a prime L-fuzzy ideal of A, since λ is not exactly two valued.

Finally, in this section we slightly generalize α -level fuzzy ideals of A and identify general prime ideals of A with L-fuzzy prime ideals of A.

Theorem 4.5: Let I a proper ideal of A and $\alpha, \beta \in L$. Let $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I$ be an *L*-fuzzy subset of *A* defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{I}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0\\ \alpha & \text{if } 0 \neq x \in I\\ \beta & \text{if } x \notin I. \end{cases}$$

Then,

 $\lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(x) \Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) = \lambda(x) \le \lambda(x) \lor \lambda(y)$ and (1) $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I$ is an *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* if and only if $\beta \le \alpha$ and, in this case $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I$ is proper if and only if $\beta < 1$.

ideal of A

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let $\alpha \in L$ be fixed. If $\lambda_{\alpha} \neq A$, then λ_{α} is a proper (3) Suppose that 0 be a prime element in L. Then, I is a prime ideal of A if and only if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I$ is an L-fuzzy prime ideal of A for all $1 \neq \beta \leq \alpha$ in L.

> *Proof*: (1) and (2) are striaght forward and simple verifications.

> (3): Suppose that *I* is a prime ideal of *A* and $1 \neq \beta \leq \alpha$ in *L*. Let $x, y \in I$. Then,

$$x \wedge y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$
 or $y = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x \land y) = 1 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x) \text{ or } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (y) 0 \neq x \land y \in I \Rightarrow 0 \neq x \in I \text{ or } 0 \neq y \in I \Rightarrow \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x \land y) = \alpha = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x) \text{ or } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (y)$$

and $x \land y \notin I \Rightarrow x \notin I$ and $y \notin I$ $\Rightarrow \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x \wedge y) = \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (x) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_I (y).$

Converse follows from the fact that $\chi_I = \langle 1, 0 \rangle_I$.

V. MINIMAL PRIME L-FUZZY IDEALS

Let us recall from [?] that a prime ideal P an ADL of A containing an ideal I is said to be a minimal prime ideal belonging to I if there is no prime ideal of A containing I and properly contained in P.

Definition 5.1: Let λ be a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*. Then λ is said to be minimal if λ is a minimal member in the set of all prime *L*-fuzzy ideals of *A* under the point-wise partial ordering. A minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal belonging to $\chi_{\{0\}}$ is simply called a minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal.

In this section, we characterize all minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideals of A in terms of minimal prime ideals of A and minimal prime elements of L.

As usual, by a minimal prime element of L we mean a minimal element in the poset of all prime elements of L.

Now we have the following:

Theorem 5.2: Let λ be an *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*. Then λ is a minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* if and only if $\lambda = \alpha_I$, for some minimal prime ideal *I* of *A* and a minimal prime element α in *L*.

Proof: Suppose that $\lambda = \alpha_I$ for some minimal prime ideal *I* of *A* and minimal prime element in *L*. Then by theorem 3.4, λ is prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*. Let μ be a prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* and $\mu \leq \lambda$. Then by theorem 3.4, $\mu = \beta_J$ for some prime ideal *J* of *A* and a prime element β in *L*. Therefore, $\beta_J \leq \alpha_I$. This implies that, $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $J \subseteq I$. By using the minimality of *I* and α , we get that $\beta = \alpha$ and J = I. Therefore, $\mu = \lambda$ and hence λ is a minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*.

Conversely suppose that λ is a minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A*. Then by theorem 3.4, there exists a prime ideal *I* of *A* and a prime element α in *L* such that

 $\lambda = \alpha_I$. Let *J* be a prime ideal of *A* such that $J \subseteq I$. Then $\alpha_J \leq \alpha_I$, by the minimality of λ , $\alpha_J = \alpha_I$. Therefore, J = I and hence *I* is minimal prime ideal of *A*. Let β be a prime element in *L* and $\beta \leq \alpha$. Then $\beta_I \leq \alpha_I$. This implies, $\beta_I = \alpha_I$ and hence $\beta = \alpha$. Thus α is a minimal prime element in *L*.

If the smallest element 0 in *L* is prime, then 0 will be the only minimal prime element in *L*. Note that $\chi_P = 0_P$, for any ideal *P* of *A*.

The following is a simple verification.

Theorem 5.3: Let 0 be a prime element in *L*. Then an *L*-fuzzy ideal λ of *A* is a minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideal of *A* if and only if $\lambda = \chi_P$, for some minimal prime ideal *P* of *A*. More over, $P \mapsto \chi_P$ is a bijection of the set of minimal prime ideals of *A* onto the set of minimal prime *L*-fuzzy ideals of *A*.

VI. L-FUZZY MINIMAL PRIME IDEALS

By an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A* we mean, as usual, a minimal element in the set of all *L*-fuzzy prime ideals of *A* under the point-wise partial ordering. In this section, we characterize all *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideals of *A* in terms of their α -cuts.

Theorem 6.1: (1) If λ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A*, then $\lambda_1 = \{x \in A : \lambda(x) = 1\}$ is a prime ideal of *A*.

(2) Let λ be an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A*. If λ is an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A*, then λ_1 is a minimal prime ideal of *A*.

Proof: (1) Let λ be an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A*. Then λ_1 is a proper ideal of *A* since λ is proper. Let $x, y \in A$. Then, $x \wedge y \in \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \lambda(x \wedge y) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \lambda(x \land y) = \lambda(x) \text{ or } \lambda(y) \text{ (by 4.1)}$$

 $\Rightarrow x \in \lambda_1 \text{ or } y \in \lambda_1.$ Thus, λ_1 is a prime ideal of *A*.

The converse is not true. For, consider the lattice $A = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ represented by the Hasse diagram is given below.



Define $\lambda : A \to [0,1]$ by $\lambda(0) = 1$, $\lambda(c) = 0.75$, $\lambda(b) = 0.5$ and $\lambda(a) = \lambda(1) = 0$. Then, $\lambda_1 = \{0\}$ which is a prime ideal of A, while , λ is not an L-fuzzy prime ideal of A, since $\lambda(a \wedge b) = \lambda(c) = 0.75 \neq \lambda(a)$ and $\lambda(b)$.

(2) Suppose that λ is an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A*. Let *Q* be a prime ideal of *A* and $Q \subset \lambda_1$. Then χ_Q is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* and $\chi_Q \leq \lambda$. This implies that λ is not an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A*, which is a contradiction. Thus λ_1 is a minimal prime ideal of *A*.

The converse is not true; for in the above example, if $\lambda(0) = 1$ and $\lambda(x) = 0.5$ for all $x \neq 0$, then it can be easily checked that λ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* and $\lambda_{\alpha} = A$ if $0 \le \alpha \le 0.5$ and $\lambda_{\alpha} = \{0\}$ if $0.5 < \alpha \le 1$. In particular, λ_1 is a minimal prime ideal of *A*. But, λ is not an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A*, since if we define $\mu(0) = 1$ and $\mu(x) = 0.25$ for all $x \neq 0$, then μ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* and $\mu \le \lambda$.

The following theorem is a characterization of *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideals of *A*.

Theorem 6.2: Let λ be an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* and 0 be a prime element in *L*. Then λ is an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A* if and only if λ_{α} is a minimal prime ideal of *A*, for all $\alpha \in L$.

Proof: Suppose λ is an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A* and λ_{α} is not minimal prime ideal of *A*, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ in *L*. Then there exists a prime ideal *Q* of *A* such that $Q \subset \lambda_{\alpha}$. Define $\mu : A \to L$ by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0\\ \alpha & \text{if } 0 \neq x \in Q\\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin Q. \end{cases}$$

Then, clearly $\mu = (\alpha, 0)_Q$ and hence μ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* (by theorem 4.5 (3)). Also, $\mu \leq \lambda$. Since $Q \subset \lambda_{\alpha}$, there exists $y \in \lambda_{\alpha}$ such that $y \notin Q$. Therefore, $\mu(y) = 0 < 0$

 $\alpha \leq \lambda(y)$. Therefore, $\mu \leq \lambda$, which is a contradiction. Thus for each $\alpha \in L$, λ_{α} is a minimal prime ideal of *A*.

Conversely, suppose for each $\alpha \in L$, λ_{α} is a minimal prime ideal of A. Let μ be an L-fuzzy prime ideal of A such that $\mu \leq \lambda$. Then for each $\alpha \in L$, $\mu_{\alpha} \subseteq \lambda_{\alpha}$. By the minimality of λ_{α} , we have $\mu_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha}$ and hence $\mu = \lambda$. Therefore λ is an L-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of A.

Remark 6.3: If λ is an *L*-fuzzy minimal prime ideal of *A*, the each α -cut of λ need not be minimal prime ideal of *A*.

For, consider the example given in the following. Let $A = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ be the lattice represented by the Hasse diagram is given below.



Define $\lambda : A \to [0,1]$ by $\lambda(0) = \lambda(a) = 1$, $\lambda(b) = \lambda(c) = 0.5$ and $\lambda(1) = 0$. It can be easily verified that, λ is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *A* and for any $t \in [0,0.5]$, $\lambda_t = \{0, a, b, c\}$ is a prime ideal of *A* but not minimal.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Zadeh, "Information and control," *Fuzzy sets*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [2] J. Goguen, "L-fuzzy sets," Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 145–174, 1967.
- [3] W.-j. Liu, "Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals," Fuzzy sets and systems, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 133–139, 1982.
- [4] T. Mukherjee and M. Sen, "On fuzzy ideals of a ring i," Fuzzy Sets and systems, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 99–104, 1987.
- [5] U. Swamy and K. Swamy, "Fuzzy prime ideals of rings," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 94–103, 1988.
- [6] U. Swamy and G. Rao, "Almost distributive lattices," Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 77–91, 1981.
- [7] U. Swamy, C. S. S. Raj, and N. Teshale, "Fuzzy ideals of almost distributive lattices," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 371–379, 2017.