University of Northern Iowa

UNI ScholarWorks

CSBS INSPIRE Student Research & Engagement 2020 INSPIRE Student Research & Engagement Conference Showcase

Apr 17th, 12:00 PM - 4:00 PM

What is Your Prejudice? Predicting Prejudice Towards Greek Members

Evan Stilgenbauer University of Northern Iowa

Matt Sedlacek University of Northern Iowa

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Copyright ©2020 Evan Stilgenbauer, Matt Sedlacek, and Riley Rodemaker Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uni.edu/csbsresearchconf

Recommended Citation

Stilgenbauer, Evan; Sedlacek, Matt; and Rodemaker, Riley, "What is Your Prejudice? Predicting Prejudice Towards Greek Members" (2020). *CSBS INSPIRE Student Research & Engagement Conference*. 12. https://scholarworks.uni.edu/csbsresearchconf/2020/all/12

This Open Access Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences/Events at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in CSBS INSPIRE Student Research & Engagement Conference by an authorized administrator of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

Author

Evan Stilgenbauer, Matt Sedlacek, and Riley Rodemaker



Greek membership has been linked to prejudice toward others (Rhoads, 1995), but little research has examined prejudice toward Greek members. Participants completed individual difference measures related to prejudice as well as measures of religiosity, political orientation, gender, Greek membership, and Greek myths. Participants who perceived Greek members as more like themselves held fewer negative stereotypes of and more positive attitudes toward Greek members. Contact, including being a Greek member oneself, was also related to warmer attitudes toward Greek members. Individual difference factors that have predicted prejudice toward other groups (e.g., based on ethnicity or sexual orientation; RWA, SDO) did not relate to prejudice, suggesting that predictors of prejudice may vary depending on whether group membership is voluntary and/or whether a group is high or low status.

Introduction

Most of the research on predictors of prejudice has focused toward disadvantaged groups, such as ethnic or sexual minor Dovidio, 2018), making it unclear whether certain individual predict prejudice per se, or only prejudice toward certain type (Brandt & Crawford, 2019). In this study, we examined predi prejudice toward Greek (fraternity and sorority) members. Gr membership has been linked to prejudice toward others (Rho Routon & Walker, 2016; Worthen, 2014), but there has been on prejudice toward Greek members. We examined whether variables that have predicted prejudice in previous research (dominance orientation (SDO), right-wing authoritarianism (R contact) also predicted negative attitudes and stereotypes tow group.

Measures

SDO (a = .93; 16 items) Belief in a hierarchical society **RWA** (a = .80; 6 items) Adherence to authority and traditional values **Big Five** (a = .54, .46, .48; 6 items)Five factor model of personality; agreeableness, conscientiousness, & openness **Similarity** (a = .93; 3 items)How alike individuals believe they are to other groups **Contact** (a = .88; 4 items) How often do you associate with other groups Greek Myths (a = .90; 17 items) Author generated stereotypes about Greek life **Social distance** (a = .92; 6 items)Willingness to be near other groups Warmth (a = .95; 3 items) Feelings of favorability toward groups **Religiosity** (1 item) How religious a person is (e.g., not religious to very religious) **Political Orientation** (1 item) One's political stance (e.g., very conservative to very liberal)

For more information on measures and references see: https://osf.io/et7aj/

Presented at the INSPIRE Student Research and Engagement Conference, April 2020, Cedar Falls.

What is your prejudice?: Predicting prejudice towards Greek members

Evan Stilgenbauer, Matt Sedlacek, Riley Rodemaker, & Helen C. Harton University of Northern Iowa

Abstract

| | | | Me | ethod | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| oritie | on attitudes es (Jones & | Sample 1: 47 male and 107 female undergraduate participants recruited from psychology classes at the University of Northern Iowa | | | | • The college sample report SD = 0.57 vs. $M = 2.89$, |
| al differences pes of targets dictors of Greek noads, 1995; in little research r several (e.g., social (RWA), ward this | | • 91% White; 34% Moderate; 10.9% Greek members | | | | social distance from Gree 4.04, SD = 0.72; d = 0.35 Participants who perceive held fewer negative stered More contact, including beliess negative stereotypes The only Big Five person agreeableness. |
| | | • Age range 18-42 ($M = 24.1$); 96.8% between the ages of 18 and 22 | | | | |
| | | Sample 2: 63 male and 74 female mTurk workers | | | | |
| | | • 72.5% White; 26.1% Liberal; 13.8% Greek members | | | | |
| | | Age range 19-73 (<i>M</i>=23.7); 25.3% between the ages 29 and 36 Procedure Participants read an informed consent and then completed personality measures in a randomized order as well demographic items such as Greek membership. This study was pre-registered. See: <u>https://osf.io/dszvt/</u> for more information. | | | | |
| , & | Table 1B values for | r predictor | <i>rs of prejudice toward Gree</i> Greek Myth Acceptance | <i>k members</i> Warmth | Social Distance | Factors that have consis as African Americans an 1994; Whitley, 1999) di |
| | SDO | | 03 | .04 | .06 | The only Big Five trait th Contact also predicted less Hypothesis (Allport, 1953) like Greek members were them. Key Points Predictors of prejudice m membership is voluntary gender) and/or whether a |
| | RWA | | 09 | .04 | 07 | |
| | Openness | | .02 | 05 | 02 | |
| | Conscientiousness | | 06 | 09 | 01 | |
| | Agreeableness | | 09* | .18*** | .10* | |
| | Similarity | | 54*** | .47*** | .44*** | |
| | Contact | | 14** | .11 | .20*** | |
| | Greek Membership | | .12* | 13* | 04 | |
| | Religiosity | | .03 | 02 | 07 | |
| | e | | 08 | 05 | .09 | • Prejudice may be based r |
| | Biologi | cal Sex | 00 | | | |
| | C | | 07 | 01 | .03 | target groups are voluntar |
| | Biologi | rientation | | 01 .45*** | .03 .40*** | target groups are voluntar organization members. |

Notes. * = p < .05; ** = p < .01; *** = p < .001. Biological Sex is coded as 1 (*Male*) and 2 (*Female*). Greek membership was coded as 1 (*Yes*) and 2 (No). Social distance was scored so that higher values indicate a greater willingness to be near the group. Greek Myth Acceptance is coded so that higher values indicate more negative views of the group. Warmth was coded so that higher values indicated more feelings of warmth toward the group

helen.harton@uni.edu

Results

orted fewer negative stereotypes of (M = 2.56,P, SD = 0.65; d = 0.57, p < .001) and less desired reek members (M = 3.77, SD = 0.90 vs. M =35, p = .004) than the mTurk sample.

ved Greek members as more like themselves reotypes and more positive attitudes (*Table 1*). being a Greek member oneself, was related to es and more positive attitudes.

onality variable that predicted attitudes was

Conclusions

stently predicted prejudice toward groups such nd women (e.g., SDO, RWA; Pratto et al., id not predict prejudice toward Greek members. that predicted prejudice was agreeableness. ess prejudice, lending support to the Contact (55). People who perceived themselves as more ere also less likely to hold prejudices toward

may vary depending on whether group ry (e.g., Greek organizations) or not (e.g., race, a group is high or low status. more on social group identification when the tary and high in status, such as Greek