

Abstract

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of death in both sexes, accounting for a third of all deaths in developed countries. One of the mainstays in treatment in both CAD and peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is the prevention of thrombus formation using antiplatelets, with aspirin as the drug of choice. Currently, rivaroxaban, a direct factor Xa inhibitor, is being studied in patients with CAD and PAD. This review addresses the efficacy of rivaroxaban, with or without aspirin, in the prevention of major adverse cardiovascular events, in adults with CAD and PAD, compared to standard of care, aspirin.

Introduction

- CAD and PAD are most commonly the result of atherosclerosis.
- Many patients with CAD also have PAD.
- CAD may present with: asymptomatic "silent" ischemia; acute co syndrome (ACS) such as myocardial infarction (MI); sudden cardia death; ischemic stroke.
- PAD may present as "silent" ischemia; claudication; pain at rest, s atrophy, hair loss, cyanosis, ulcers, gangrene leading to limb loss.
- First line treatment for CAD & PAD when not requiring stent/surg Aspirin 75-100 mg once daily
- It is hypothesized that the selective inhibition of factor Xa could I highly effective in preventing the formation of thrombi, as factor positioned at the start of the coagulation pathway.
- This review analyzes the use of rivaroxaban ± aspirin vs. aspirin al the prevention of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) a comparative bleeding risks in adults with CAD and PAD.
- MACEs include cardiovascular death, MI, stroke, and were measu the primary efficacy outcomes in five of the seven studies.
- Other variables measured were: major adverse limb events (MAL VTE, heart failure, hospitalization, whether or not CABG was need
- Bleeding risk was measured by fatal and non-fatal bleeding event

Methods

- A literature search was conducted through PubMed and Google Scholar in November 2018.
- Search terms: "Rivaroxaban" OR "Xarelto" AND "coronary artery disease" AND "peripheral vascular disease."
- Seven articles were selected based on relevance to the clinical question, publication date after 2013, study design, sample popu of adults, and outcome measurements.

Efficacy of Rivaroxaban (Xarelto) ± Aspirin in the **Prevention of Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events**

Faculty Advisor: Renee Langstaff, MSPAS, PA-C **Department of Medical Science**

Four studies (Anand et al., Connolly et al., Eikelboom et al., Gibson et al.) showed statistically significant evidence that rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + aspirin 100 mg once daily reduces the risk of MACEs. These four studies also found that the results of rivaroxaban alone at 5 mg BID were not statistically significant. One study (Hart et al.) found that rivaroxaban at a high dose of 15 mg once daily was not effective at reducing MACEs but increased the risk of both fatal and nonfatal bleeding. Another study (Weitz et al.) compared rivaroxaban 10 mg once daily to 20 mg once daily and found that both reduced VTE without increased bleeding. However, both higher doses did not reduce MACEs. One study (Mega et al.) did not include aspirin but showed that rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID was effective at reducing MACEs. Only one study (Anand et al.) showed statistically significant evidence that rivaroxaban 2.5 mg reduces the risk of MALEs.

| | RCT | Study Population | Population Demographic | Duration | Treatment Regimen & Comparator | Primary Efficacy Outcomes | Clinical Benefit | Bleeding Risk |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------|
| oronary | Anand et al. (2017) | CAD; PAD; PAD of lower extremities | 2,109 F 5,361 M N=7,470 | 21 months | Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + Aspirin 100 mg QD Rivaroxaban 5 mg BID Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | CV death, MI, stroke, MALE | 1. S 2. NS | 1. NS 2. NS |
| skin erv: | Connolly et al. (2017) | CAD | 5,032 F 19,792 M N=24,824 | 23 months | Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + Aspirin 100 mg QD Rivaroxaban 5 mg BID Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | CV death, MI, stroke | 1. S 2. NS | 1. NS 2. NS |
| be Xa is | Eikelboom et al. (2017) | CAD; PAD | 6,020 F 21,375 M N=27,395 | 23 months | 1. Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + Aspirin 100 mg QD 2. Rivaroxaban 5 mg BID Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | CV death, MI, stroke, hospitalizati on | 1. S 2. NS | 1. NS 2. NS |
| lone in and its ured as | Gibson et al. (2018) | ACS | N/A N=9,435 | 13.3 months | 1. Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + Aspirin 100 mg QD 2. Rivaroxaban 5 mg BID + Aspirin 100 mg QD Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | CV death, MI, stroke | 1. S 2. S | 1. NS 2. NS |
| LE), ded. ts. | Hart et al. (2018) | History of embolic stroke | 2,777 F 4,436 M N=7,213 | 11 months | 1. Rivaroxaban 15 mg once a day; Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | Recurrent stroke, systemic embolism, CV death, MI | 1. NS | 1. S |
| | Mega et al. (2012) | ACS | 3,936 F 11,600 M N=15,526 | 13.1 months | 1. Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + Aspirin 2. Rivaroxaban 5 mg BID Comparator: Placebo | CV death, MI, stroke | 1. S 2. S | 1. NS 2. S |
| | Weitz et al. (2017) | VTE | 1,531 F 1,865 M N=3,396 | 12 months | Rivaroxaban 20 mg once a day Rivaroxaban 10 mg once a day Comparator: Aspirin 100 mg QD | Recurrent VTE | 1. S 2. S | 1. NS 2. NS |
| ulation | Key: RCT = M = male; | Randomized BID = twice a | Control Trial; C a day; QD = onc | CAD = Corc e a day; C\ | onary Artery Disease; PAD = Peripl / = Cardiovascular; MI = Myocard | neral Artery Di ial Infarction; | isease; F = MALE = N | = female; Major |

Christina Chen, MMS (c)

Results

Adverse Limb Event; ACS = Acute Coronary Syndrome; N/A = Information Not Available; VTE = Venous Thromboembolism; S = Statistically Significant (P \leq 0.05); NS = Not Statistically Significant (P \leq 0.05)

All seven studies were found to have adequate blinding, statistical power, treatment timelines, and follow-up, without concerns for bias. Outcome measures varied slightly, but all compared the risk of bleeding vs. benefit of preventing thrombotic events. Significant positive results were found in the four studies using rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + aspirin, as well as in the one study using high dose rivaroxaban in the prevention of VTE. However, it is important to note that these studies excluded very high-risk patients, such as those with an already high bleeding risk, severe heart failure, or end-stage renal disease.

The study results are **positive**: [Low dose] rivaroxaban 2.5 mg BID + aspirin 100 mg once daily offers net clinical benefits in prevention of MACEs in *low- to medium-risk* patients with CAD and PAD, without significant bleeding risk.

[High dose] rivaroxaban 10mg once a day reduces risk of VTE without significant bleeding risk.

These positive outcomes are leading to **FDA approval**: • 2017: 10 mg dosing approved to reduce risk of VTE • 2018: 2.5 mg "vascular dosing" approved to reduce risk of major cardiovascular events in patients with chronic CAD or PAD

Major caveat = cost. New oral anticoagulants (NOACs) such as rivaroxaban are \$\$\$ and can be cost-prohibitive for patients even with insurance coverage (e.g., high copay). At this time, overall cost savings and costeffectiveness analyses are not available.

Bottom line: Clinicians must choose which medical therapy is most appropriate for their patients, both medically and financially.

Discussion

Conclusion

• 2019: 10 mg dosing approved to prevent blood clots in acutely ill medical patients.