









emission (121.6nm) (Fig. 2).

## Data Reduction:



IUVS periapsis data scan example collection spectral data products, and reduction pipeline (Left three figure credits: MAVEN/IUVS Team McClintock et al., 2014)



**Detection Methodology:** Separate data into peak and high altitude regions (Fig. 2, Right) 2 Difference: 2<sup>nd</sup> highest peak altitude intensity –

median high altitude intensity (Fig. 2, right) 3 Detection threshold: standard deviation of differences of entire dataset (i.e.,  $0.5\sigma$  in this study) (**Fig. 3**).



# Proton Aurora on Mars: A Dayside Phenomenon Pervasive in Southern Summer Andréa C.G. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, Michael Chaffin<sup>2</sup>, Edwin Mierkiewicz<sup>1</sup>, J. Deighan<sup>2</sup>, N.M. Schneider<sup>2</sup>, S.K. Jain<sup>2</sup>, M. Mayyasi<sup>3</sup>, B.M. Jakosky<sup>2</sup>

(1) Department of Physical Sciences, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL (2) Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado (3) Center for Space Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts Contact E-mail: Hughea11@my.erau.edu

> **Emission Enhancement Histogram** Normalized Percentile-Binned Profiles  $0.5\sigma$  Detection Threshold 6 5 Enhancement & 90<sup>th</sup> Percentile Each profile Orbit 4224 represents median intensities from each enhancement percentile bin Intensity Difference (kR) Near detection threshold positive values become non-symmetric with Right). egative values At ~90<sup>th</sup> percentile profiles pecome indistinguishable from coronal H profiles Positive Values Normalized Intensities (kR)

Intensity Difference (kR)

# 3. Proton Aurora Variability and Phenomenology





Figure 5: Normalized 2-D histograms showing proton aurora occurrence rates as a function of different observational variables and MAVEN orbit (normalization same as in Fig. 4).

Figure 7 (right): Schematic explanation of seasonal trends in Martian proton aurora.

- **Northern Summer**





Proton aurora are identified as an enhancement in UV data in Ly- $\alpha$  intensity (compared to coronal H intensities) between ~110-150 km altitude (Fig. 2,

Figure 3: Detection methodology & threshold selection criteria. Using two independent criteria we establish a rigorous detection threshold.

# 4. Summary, Conclusions, and Future Work

### Summary and Conclusions:

- significantly with season.
- summer observations.
- the most commonly observed type of aurora on Mars.
- loss of atmosphere and water!

### Outstanding Questions/Future Work:

- What are the locations (geographic, temporal, etc.) of proton aurora events at Mars? Is there any interaction with an upstream magnetic field?
- Compare selected altitude profiles to model predictions via a modeling challenge.

• Using current detection constraints we observe proton aurora in >9% of periapsis profiles and >26% of orbits (i.e., 4705 individual profiles and 1225 unique orbits). • Proton aurora occur in ~15% of dayside profiles (SZA<105) in our dataset, varying

• Proton aurora are most active around S. summer solstice when atmospheric temperatures and dust content are high: occurring >80% of the time in dayside

• Proton aurora events are far more common than originally thought, and are actually

• Proton aurora therefore have an unexpected direct link to MAVEN's study of Mars'

Acknowledgments:

Many thanks to coauthors, collaborators, and funding sources, including: •MAVEN/IUVS Team •NASA Astrobiology Early Career Collaboration

Award •Florida Space Grant Consortium •John Mather Nobel scholarship •AGU and UCAR/CEDAR Travel Grants