Using Matrix and Tensor Factorization for Analyzing Radiation Transport Data DeAndre Lesley, Grant Johnson, Emma Galligan Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University & Pacific Northwest National Laboratory



Abstract

Understanding how radiation particles are transported throughout a system and interact with shielding is extremely computationally expensive. Reduced order models (ROMs) can be used to significantly increase the speed of these calculations [1]. This project focuses on analysis of the simulated radiation transport for Cobalt-60, Cesium-137, and Technetium-99. A ROM may be developed from several formalisms and then analyzing the feature vectors of each. The methods considered here include principal component analysis (PCA), non-negative matrix factorization (NNMF), and CP tensor decomposition (CPT). By comparing the signal from fitted Lorentzian profiles to spectral features, we evaluate whether each ROM is capable of accurately displaying the radiation signal traces in the data. This model will be able to locate possible sources of radiation from real world data and quickly identify them without the need to reconstruct a computationally expensive ROM.

Introduction

Much of the science at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) involves radiation in some aspect. Understanding how radiative particles interact with shielding and how particles are emitted by machines, X-ray sources and radioactive materials is critical for detection and remediation of the radioactive sources. In order to study radiation, it must be measured, which is typically done by analyzing radiation spectra. Historically, the developed reduced order models (ROM) serve to generate basis functions that can compactly represent the radiation spectra. These ROMs are generated by several different numerical methods: principal component analysis (PCA), nonnegative matrix factorization (NNMF), and CP tensor decomposition (CPT).

Our aim is to test these procedures with the given simulated data and develop methods for qualitatively comparing the results and generate the best ROM that may be used to model larger data sets. This will provide us with a model that best describes real-world data, where we are able to extract information about the radiation, among the most important being the identification and location of the source. In this work, we analyze three different simulated radiation sources, cobalt-60, cesium-137, and technetium-99, and their spectra. The spectra have an energy range 70 keV to 2 MeV and are taken over a 21x21 grid of detectors, with a triangular steel shield laying across the top half of the of the detector grid, see the figure below.



Figure 1: (a): The grid points of the simulation. The dark grey is concrete shielding and the light grey is open air. (b): Energy spectrums of Co60, Cs137 and Tc99 respectively. The data contains three sets of spectrum for each element (Co60, Cs137, Tc99) overlaid on the grid in (a) at each gridpoint.

Acknowledgement :

We would like to thank our PNNL contact, Aaron Luttman and our advising professor at ERAU, Mihhail Berezovski, for assistance with this work.

[1] Udagedara, I., Helenbrook, B., Luttman, A., & Mitchell, S. E. (2015). Reduced order modeling for accelerated Monte Carlo simulations in radiation transport. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 267, 237-251. [2] Jolliffe IT, Cadima J. (2016). Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments.Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 374: 20150202.

Theory and Methods

a. <u>Principal Component Analysis</u>

When PCA is applied to large data sets, it calculates eigenvectors (called the principle components) [2]. This is accomplished by the PCA algorithm which:

- Iterates the data to minimize least-squares-error between eigenvectors and the data
- Maximizes variance with each iteration to best span the data
- Reduces the number of dimensions (eigenfunctions) used to describe the data

b. Nonnegative Matrix Factorization

In NNMF, a given data matrix, A, is approximated by taking the low-rank product of two or more generated matrices, W and H, with the constraint that the matrix elements are nonnegative [3]:

- Extracts sparse features from the data matrix A
- Is easily interpretable (following logical or physical patterns)
- Reduces dimensionality of data into linear combination of bases
- Learns part-based representations by combining parts to form a whole

To the right shows the decomposition of a large matrix A into spatial information in W and Source information (energy) contained in the H matrix.

c. <u>CP Tensor Decomposition</u>

Similar to NNMF in decomposition and PCA in the developed vectors, CPT gives a low-rank approximation. However, the approximated tensor is unique and provides useful information from [4]:

- Separated mixtures of sources/ signals
- Measured concentrations of sources and signals
- Approximated spectral profiles



d. Qualitative Method Comparison

Comparison

Comparing metrics gives us a better idea of which method is the best before developing our own analysis

Notice

 Highlighted most desirable traits from each method

We conclude

PCA has the most qualitatively favorable attributes.

No	
Machine Precision	
Eigenvector	
By highest variance	
Yes	
0-1s	
Linear	
4	
	No Machine Precision Eigenvector By highest variance Yes 0-1s Linear

PCA

257-291

[3] Gillis, Nicolas. The why and how of nonnegative matrix factorization. Regularization, optimization, kernels, and support vector machines 12.257 (2014):

[4] Hackbusch, Wolfgang, and Stefan Kühn. "A new scheme for the tensor representation." Journal of Fourier analysis and applications 15.5 (2009): 706-722.



Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY





[5] Mohsen Joneidi (2020). CP Decomposition (SImple) Implementation)

(https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexcha nge/72932-cp-decomposition-simple-implementation), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved April 8, 2020.