

ValpoScholar

Valparaiso University Law Review

Volume 53
Number 4 Summer 2019: Tribute to Valparaiso
University Law School (1879-2019)

pp.1003-1003

Summer 2019: Tribute to Valparaiso University Law School (1879-2019)

Introduction to Justice Robert D. Rucker's Article, "The Right to Ignore the Law: Constitutional Entitlement Versus Judicial Interpretation"

Robert F. Blomquist

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/vulr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Robert F. Blomquist, *Introduction to Justice Robert D. Rucker's Article, "The Right to Ignore the Law: Constitutional Entitlement Versus Judicial Interpretation"*, 53 Val. U. L. Rev. 1003 (2019).
Available at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/vulr/vol53/iss4/19>

This Greatest Hits of Valparaiso University Law Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Valparaiso University Law School at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Valparaiso University Law Review by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.



**INTRODUCTION TO JUSTICE ROBERT D.
RUCKER'S ARTICLE, *THE RIGHT TO IGNORE
THE LAW: CONSTITUTIONAL ENTITLEMENT
VERSUS JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION***

Robert F. Blomquist*

It takes a scholar and a gentleman—with deep traditions and connections with Valparaiso University Law School, like Justice Robert Rucker—to focus on an apparently obscure clause in the Indiana Constitution allowing the jury to decide both the law and the facts in a criminal case.

We learn that the doctrine originated in medieval England, leading to a variety of American cases. We apprehend that Alexander Hamilton used the doctrine in a case he was handling for a client in the United States. And we come to realize that these antecedents framed the nineteenth-century Indiana constitutional provision. Yet, a mystery about the Indiana constitutional provision emerges in recent years, according to Justice Rucker, where the criminal jury must apparently now accept the trial court's interpretation of the law.

Justice Rucker tells a tale of a legal mystery loaded with history, jurisprudence, criminal law, and state constitutional law.

* Seegers Chair in Law