



Brief report

Passive and Active Tobacco Exposure and Children's Lipid Profiles

Joseph Zakhar MD¹, Stephen M. Amrock MD, SM², Michael Weitzman MD^{3,4,5}

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; ²Department of Medicine, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR; ³Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY; ⁴Department of Environmental Medicine, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY; ⁵Global Institute of Public Health, New York University, New York, NY

Corresponding Author: Joseph Zakhar, MD, UNC Pediatrics Education Office, 230 MacNider Building, CB# 7593 321 S. Columbia Street, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7220, USA. Telephone: 919-966-1505; Fax: 919-966-7299; E-mail: joseph.zakhar@unhealth.unc.edu

Abstract

Introduction: Despite reductions in smoking rates, exposure to cigarette smoke remains common among US children and adolescents. In adults, active smoking and secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure have been linked to adverse changes in lipid profiles and increases in inflammatory markers. Evidence that such changes are present before adulthood remains limited, and the extent to which active smoking and SHS exposure affect these cardiovascular measures in children has not been thoroughly assessed.

Methods: We employed data from 2008 individuals aged 12–19 years from the 2005–2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Comparisons of the lipid and inflammatory marker levels among active smokers, those exposed to SHS (as determined by serum cotinine levels), and those unexposed to tobacco smoke were made using linear regression with multiple propensity score adjustment.

Results: Compared to unexposed children, lipid and inflammatory marker profiles did not differ among those exposed to SHS exposure. Among active smokers, differences compared to unexposed children were observed in triglyceride levels ($\hat{\beta} = 8.5 \text{ mg/dL}$, $P = .01$), the ratio of triglycerides to high-density lipoprotein ($\hat{\beta} = 0.2$, $P = .045$), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ($\hat{\beta} = -4.1 \text{ mg/dL}$, $P = .03$), though these did not reach levels of confirmatory statistical significance.

Conclusions: After accounting for sociodemographic characteristics and medical comorbidities, serum lipids and markers of systemic inflammation were not associated with SHS exposure. Tobacco smoke exposure in children may require longer durations of compounded effect before serum lipid abnormalities are detected.

Implications: This paper adds detail to the study of secondhand smoke's effects on lipid profiles of children and adolescents. Prior research on this topic for these age groups has been limited, and this study provides national, cross-sectional data to show that both secondhand smoke and active smoking in childhood and adolescence is not associated with changes in lipid profiles or markers of inflammation. Tobacco smoke exposure may require longer durations of compounded effect before abnormalities are detected.

Introduction

Despite inroads in reducing smoking rates,¹ exposure to tobacco smoke remains common.² Over 12% of US adolescents remain active smokers³ and nearly half of US children are exposed to secondhand

smoke (SHS).² Even brief exposures to tobacco smoke may confer the majority of adverse health effects associated with active smoking.⁴ How exposure to tobacco smoke increases the risk of cardiovascular mortality remains under study but links to increased platelet reactivity and endothelial dysfunction,^{5–7} arterial stiffness

and atherogenesis,⁵ inflammation,^{8–10} and oxidative stress^{4,11,12} are suspected.

Ties between SHS exposure and adverse lipid profiles have also been suggested. In adults, increases in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)^{13,14} and the ratio of total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)^{4,15,16} as well as decreases in HDL-C¹⁷ have been reported. Other proinflammatory markers, including homocysteine,^{4,9,10} fibrinogen,^{4,9,18} and C-reactive protein,^{4,9,10,18} also appear to be adversely affected by SHS.

Research in pediatrics has corroborated some, but not all, of these findings. Adolescents who actively smoke appear to have decreases in HDL-C similar in magnitude to that of adults,^{19–21} though data have been equivocal regarding LDL^{19,20} and total cholesterol.^{19,21} The potential untoward effects of SHS on cardiometabolic risk in children remain even more debated.²² In children, as with adults, SHS exposure has been linked by some to lower HDL-C^{23–25} levels, as well as increases in levels of apolipoprotein B,²⁶ C-reactive protein,^{27,28} and the ratio of total cholesterol to HDL-C.^{24,25} Yet others have shown no difference in HDL-C^{26,29} and total cholesterol^{123,26} levels among those exposed to SHS. Many of these studies have been hampered by small sample sizes,^{23,25,30} narrow age-ranges,^{24,27} limited racial and ethnic diversity,^{24,25} and a reliance on self-reported SHS exposure.²⁷ Alternative measures of cardiovascular risk (eg, non-HDL-C) that may be better predictors of adverse cardiovascular events in adulthood^{31,32} have often not been assessed.

To assess more comprehensively the association between children's cardiovascular risk profiles and tobacco smoke exposure, we examined recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Using serum cotinine levels as an objective measure with which to measure individuals exposure to tobacco smoke, we employed a multiple propensity score-adjusted approach to adjust for nonequivalence between exposure groups.

Methods

Study Population

Data from 2008 subjects aged 12–19 years with available laboratory data from the 2005–2010 waves of continuous National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey were examined.^{33,34} Described elsewhere,³⁵ National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey is a repeated cross-sectional, multistage, survey designed to assess the health status of the civilian, non-institutionalized US population. The study combined interview, laboratory, and physical examination components.³⁵ Interviews were conducted in subjects' homes; a parent, guardian, or other household adult answered demographic and household questions. Physical examinations were conducted in mobile examination centers and included anthropomorphic measurements and a blood sample collection. Study protocols were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics institutional review board.³⁵

Measures

Smoking Exposure

Serum cotinine, a measurable metabolite of nicotine and validated biomarker of both SHS exposure and active smoking, was employed.³⁶ Consistent with prior studies,^{22,37,38} we defined individuals as active smokers if they had serum cotinine levels ≥ 15.0 ng/ml or if participants reported using any product containing nicotine including cigarettes, pipes, cigars, chewing tobacco, snuff, nicotine patches, nicotine gum, or any other product containing nicotine within the past 5 days. We classified those with a detectable serum cotinine (ie, ≥ 0.05 ng/ml) but < 15 ng/ml but who did not report nicotine use within the last 5 days as exposed to

SHS. Those with undetectable serum cotinine levels were classified as unexposed.

Laboratory Measures

Data from a subset of children asked to fast prior to the laboratory exam was employed. Measures of total cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, triglycerides, apolipoprotein B, and fasting glucose were obtained. LDL-C was calculated using the Friedewald equation for those with triglyceride levels ≤ 400 mg/dL.³⁹ Non-HDL-C cholesterol was calculated as the difference between total cholesterol and HDL-C. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was measured in all participants using latex-enhanced nephelometry; the lower limit of detection was 0.02 mg/dL.

Other Covariates

Demographic variables used to adjust for differences between groups included subjects' age and ethnicity. In addition, body mass index, assessed by age- and sex-specific percentiles,^{40,41} was included. Blood pressure was also included, characterized by age- and sex-specific percentiles.⁴² Subjects were classified as having diabetes mellitus if the respondent reported being told by a doctor that the child had diabetes mellitus, if the child used oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin, or if the child had a fasting serum glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL or HgbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$.⁴³ Following prior work on diet's effects on lipids,²³ dietary recall data was used to calculate the ratio of ingested saturated fat and cholesterol to ingested calories.

Statistical Methodology

Since characteristics differed between smoking exposure groups, we pursued multiple propensity scores to adjust for such differences.⁴⁴ This approach expands on widely used methods for dichotomous treatment variables.⁴⁵ Here, multiple propensity scores were estimated from a multinomial logistic regression model in which tobacco smoke exposure status served as the dependent variable while the variables listed in Table 1 served as independent variables. Each propensity score reflects the estimated probability of assignment to each tobacco smoke exposure status. To adjust for nonequivalence between groups, linear regression models were used to assess differences in means in cardiovascular risk factors by including two of the three estimated propensity scores. All analyses were conducted using Stata 11.2. Given the exploratory nature of the analysis, two-sided *P* values are reported without adjustment for multiple testing. To ascertain whether threshold levels of cotinine exposure employed in our analysis influenced the results presented here, a sensitivity analysis examining low and high cotinine levels was conducted. Individuals classified as exposed to SHS were divided into low or high cotinine levels if they had levels below or above, respectively, the median cotinine value for that group.

Results

Significant differences in characteristics between exposure groups were noted. Shown in Table 1, subjects exposed to SHS were more likely than their unexposed peers to be male and overweight, and were more likely to have elevated blood pressure and diabetes. Active smokers were more likely than their nonsmoking peers to be older, white, and male, and to have elevated blood pressure. After adjustment with propensity scores, balance in these covariates among the exposure groups improved.

Table 2 displays results from the unadjusted and adjusted comparisons of tobacco smoke exposure status and cardiovascular measures. After adjustment, no differences in lipid profiles among SHS-exposed

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (N = 2008)

	Exposure status			P	Adjusted P value ^a
	Unexposed	Secondhand smoke exposed	Smokers		
Age (mean ± SD)	14.9 ± 2.2	15.5 ± 2.3	17.5 ± 1.5	<.001	.49
Male, %	48.9	52.7	65.4	<.001	.99
Non-Hispanic white, %	25.7	26.2	44.0	<.001	.76
BMI, percentile ^b				<.001	.32
85th–94th	17.4	16.9	17.3		
≥95th	16.3	25.2	19.8		
Elevated BP, % ^c				<.001	.97
Pre-hypertensive	11.8	15.7	22.9		
Hypertensive	1.9	2.7	2.0		
Diabetes, %	1.36	1.24	3.46	.02	.77
RISCC score ^d	18.6 ± 6.9	18.8 ± 7.1	18.2 ± 7.0	.55	.35
Cotinine, ng/ml (median, IQR)	0.02 (0.01–0.03)	0.26 (0.09–0.94)	82.3 (19.9–174)	<.001	— ^e

BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; IQR = interquartile range; RISCC = ratio of ingested saturated fat and cholesterol to calories.

^aAdjusted with the use of multiple propensity scores.

^bBMI percentiles shown are calculated as BMI-for-age percentiles from the 2000 CDC growth charts.

^cElevated blood pressure follows definitions from the Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents.

^dThe RISCC is a single score that conveys the potential effect of diet on lipoproteins; it is calculated as: $\{(1.01 \times \text{saturated fat [in grams]} + (0.05 \times \text{cholesterol [in milligrams]})\} / (\text{kcal}/1000)$.

^eCotinine is not included in the propensity score estimation since it is used to define exposure status.

Table 2. Differences in Mean Lipid and Inflammatory Markers, Unadjusted and With Adjustment by Multiple Propensity Scores (N = 2008)

Biomarker ^a	Unadjusted estimates (mean ± SD)			Adjusted differences in means			
	Unexposed	SHS	Smokers	SHS		Smokers	
				Diff.	P	Diff.	P
Total cholesterol	158.9 ± 28.4	159.4 ± 31.9	160.3 ± 32.7	−0.5	.75	−2.7	.22
HDL-C	54.4 ± 12.8	53.4 ± 12.4	51.5 ± 13.9	0.3	.58	−0.3	.71
Non-HDL	104.5 ± 28.0	106.0 ± 31.3	108.8 ± 31.8*	−0.8	.57	−2.4	.27
LDL-C	88.3 ± 24.4	89.7 ± 27.7	89.1 ± 26.5	−0.2	.85	−4.1	.03
Triglycerides	81.0 ± 44.2	81.4 ± 45.0	98.2 ± 56.6**	−3.0	.19	8.5	.01
Triglyceride-HDL ratio	1.67 ± 1.26	1.70 ± 1.28	2.12 ± 1.52**	−0.1	.18	0.2	.045
Apolipoprotein B	71.9 ± 18.4	73.2 ± 0.6	75.1 ± 20.6*	−0.1	.91	−0.7	.61
C-reactive protein	0.17 ± 0.65	0.19 ± 0.49	0.18 ± 0.33	−0.1	.59	0.0	.36

HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SHS = secondhand smoke.

^aBiomarker values are in mg/dL, except triglyceride-HDL ratio, which is unitless.

*P < .05; **P < .001. Statistically significant differences from those unexposed are indicated.

adolescents were observed. Adjusted results showed active smokers to have increased levels of triglycerides, the triglyceride-to-HDL-C ratio as well as mildly decreased LDL-C. It merits noting that, of these estimated relationships, only the association between smoking and triglyceride levels approached near confirmatory statistical significance, which would incorporate the multiple comparisons made in our analysis (ie, a P value of .006 to preserve a Type I error rate of 0.05). Results of the sensitivity analysis corroborated the presented results (Supplementary Table 1).

Discussion

Despite consensus that tobacco smoke, including SHS, is associated with adverse cardiovascular consequences,^{5,11,17,46,47} conflicting evidence exists as to whether the lipid profiles of children are adversely affected. Using a multiple propensity score approach, we report that tobacco smoke exposure was not materially associated with lipid profiles in a national sample of US children.

Our results differ in part from prior research, which merits comment. Among adolescent smokers, no difference was observed in HDL-C levels, a focus of prior studies conducted in both adults^{48,49} and adolescents.^{19–21} Our results examining active smokers also differ from prior work in that we found no differences in total cholesterol levels.²¹ Most notably, our results suggest an increase in triglycerides and the triglyceride-HDL ratio among active smokers. As noted above, the relationship between smoking and increased triglycerides approach confirmatory statistical significance levels that would account for multiple comparisons. Such a finding comes amidst recent studies^{50,51} on children that suggest the ratio of triglycerides to HDL-C may prove a superior marker of both increased arterial stiffness and cardiometabolic risk.^{49,50}

While past studies have suggested associations between SHS exposure and decreased HDL-C levels,^{23–25,30} and SHS exposure and increased apolipoprotein B,²⁶ our analysis found no such differences. Existing evidence suggests tobacco smoke exposure may provoke lipid

changes through oxidative damage to HDL-C particles, altering their metabolism, antioxidant properties, and reducing their capacity for lipid transport and potential atheroprotective properties.⁵² The timeline of such changes remains unclear, though some evidence suggests that these qualitative and functional changes to HDL-C may not only precede quantitative changes, but also result in paradoxically pro-atherogenic HDL-C particles.⁵³ Prior work that may provide insight into this timeline indicates that active adolescent smokers can show quantifiable changes in HDL-C within 1–2 years of smoking initiation,²⁰ and may only show significant decreases in HDL-C when smoking more than three packs per week.⁵⁴ In aggregate, these studies suggest that tobacco smoke exposure may not exert a quantifiable difference in serum lipids unless an individual is a long-term, active smoker. However, those exposed to tobacco smoke at lower levels may still be affected by a subclinical process of functional lipid alteration, which may be the primary mechanism underlying their increased cardiovascular risk.

Results regarding SHS and hsCRP also merit comment. Our results showed no association between hsCRP levels and SHS exposure. The association between SHS and hsCRP in children has previously been debated. Two prior studies demonstrated such an association^{27,28} but another,²⁷ which adjusted for body mass index as we do here, found no association between SHS and hsCRP. Elevated CRP levels in active adolescent smokers have also been previously described,⁵⁵ though no such effect was observed in our study.

Given the well-described adverse cardiovascular outcomes caused by smoking and SHS exposure, our results suggest that the mechanism of those negative effects does not occur through substantive quantitative alterations in serum lipoproteins. Reviewed elsewhere, mounting evidence suggests that vascular endothelial dysfunction, and not serum lipids, may be the underlying etiology.^{18,49} Evidence has increasingly linked SHS exposure to markers of endothelial stress and decreased endothelial repair.⁵⁶ Parental smoking, for example, has been linked to impaired brachial artery flow-mediated vasodilation in children decades later.⁵⁷ It remains tenable then that exposure to tobacco smoke has a cumulative effect on lipoprotein metabolism still undetectable in the pediatric population.

This study is also not, however, without its limitations. Cross-sectional in nature, inferences are not causal. Random serum cotinine measurements have a limited ability to identify SHS exposure beyond a few days³⁷ and may not wholly reflect the extent or frequency of smoking exposure. Residual confounding, by variables unaccounted for in our propensity score estimation, may exist and unexpectedly bias results. We were unable to incorporate physical activity levels, for example, given inconsistencies across survey waves. Total cholesterol⁵⁸ and HDL-C⁵⁹ may change during puberty and correlate with androgen levels, which were unavailable in our current sample.

Yet our study has important strengths. It employed a large, racially diverse sample to assess smoke exposure and lipid profiles across a wide age range. In doing so, we used serum cotinine levels as a biochemical marker for nicotine exposure. In contrast to some prior studies that rely on self-report alone,^{23,25} this method is advantageous by including those exposed to SHS but unaware of that exposure. Statistically, we employed a multiple propensity scores approach to balance likely confounders among the exposure groups.

In sum, we assessed the association between tobacco smoke, and lipid and inflammatory measures in a national sample of US children. We report that children exposed to tobacco smoke did not differ substantively in their profiles of lipoproteins or inflammatory markers. Future studies investigating alternative mechanisms of cardiovascular risk including vascular endothelial damage and

reactivity may provide insight into the pathogenesis of the increased cardiovascular morbidity associated with passive smoke exposure.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1 can be found online at <http://www.ntr.oxfordjournals.org>

Funding

This work was supported by NIH/NCI 3 P30 CA016087-33S1 and by NIH/NIEHS 5 P30 ES000260-49. The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Declaration of Interests

None declared.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vital signs: current cigarette smoking among adults aged ≥ 18 years—United States, 2005–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011;60(35):1207–1212.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vital signs: nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke—United States, 1999–2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2010;59(35):1141–1146.
- Arrazola RA, Neff LJ, Kennedy SM, Holder-Hayes E, Jones CD. Tobacco use among middle and high school students—United States, 2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014;63(45):1021–1026.
- Barnoya J, Glantz SA. Cardiovascular effects of secondhand smoke: nearly as large as smoking. *Circulation.* 2005;111(20):2684–2698. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.104.492215.
- Glantz SA, Parmley WW. Passive smoking and heart disease. Mechanisms and risk. *JAMA.* 1995;273(13):1047–1053.
- Glantz SA, Parmley WW. Passive smoking and heart disease. Epidemiology, physiology, and biochemistry. *Circulation.* 1991;83(1):1–12.
- Celermajer DS, Adams MR, Clarkson P, et al. Passive smoking and impaired endothelium-dependent arterial dilatation in healthy young adults. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334(3):150–154. doi:10.1056/nejm199601183340303.
- Clark JD III, Wilkinson JD, LeBlanc WG, et al. Inflammatory markers and secondhand tobacco smoke exposure among U.S. workers. *Am J Ind Med.* 2008;51(8):626–632. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20591.
- Venn A, Britton J. Exposure to secondhand smoke and biomarkers of cardiovascular disease risk in never-smoking adults. *Circulation.* 2007;115(8):990–995.
- Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Chrysoshoou C, et al. Effect of exposure to secondhand smoke on markers of inflammation: the ATTICA study. *Am J Med.* 2004;116(3):145–150. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.106.648469.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General.* Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2014.
- He J, Vupputuri S, Allen K, Prerost MR, Hughes J, Whelton PK. Passive smoking and the risk of coronary heart disease—a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(12):920–926. doi:10.1056/nejm199903253401204.
- Valkonen M, Kuusi T. Passive smoking induces atherogenic changes in low-density lipoprotein. *Circulation.* 1998;97(20):2012–2016.
- Roberts KA, Rezai AA, Pinkerton KE, Rutledge JC. Effect of environmental tobacco smoke on LDL accumulation in the artery wall. *Circulation.* 1996;94(9):2248–2253.

15. Azizi F, Raiszadeh F, Salehi P, et al. Determinants of serum HDL-C level in a Tehran urban population: the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2002;12(2):80–89. doi:10.1161/01.cir.94.9.2248.
16. Mizoue T, Ueda R, Hino Y, Yoshimura T. Workplace exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and high density lipoprotein cholesterol among nonsmokers. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1999;150(10):1068–1072.
17. Steenland K, Sieber K, Etzel RA, Pechacek T, Maurer K. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and risk factors for heart disease among never smokers in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1998;147(10):932–939.
18. Jefferis BJ, Whincup P, Welsh P, et al. Prospective study of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and risk of myocardial infarction and stroke in older men and women. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010;208(2):557–563. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.07.044.
19. Craig WY, Palomaki GE, Johnson AM, Haddow JE. Cigarette smoking-associated changes in blood lipid and lipoprotein levels in the 8- to 19-year-old age group: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics.* 1990;85(2):155–158.
20. Dwyer JH, Rieger-Ndakorerwa GE, Semmer NK, Fuchs R, Lippert P. Low-level cigarette smoking and longitudinal change in serum cholesterol among adolescents. The Berlin-Bremen Study. *JAMA.* 1988;259(19):2857–2862.
21. Raftopoulos C, Birmingham MA, Steinbeck KS. Coronary heart disease risk factors in male adolescents, with particular reference to smoking and blood lipids. *J Adolesc Health.* 1999;25(1):68–74.
22. Weitzman M, Cook S, Auinger P, et al. Tobacco smoke exposure is associated with the metabolic syndrome in adolescents. *Circulation.* 2005;112(6):862–869. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.104.520650.
23. Neufeld EJ, Mietus-Snyder M, Beiser AS, Baker AL, Newburger JW. Passive cigarette smoking and reduced HDL cholesterol levels in children with high-risk lipid profiles. *Circulation.* 1997;96(5):1403–1407.
24. Hirata K, Yamano Y, Suzuki H, Miyagawa S, Nakadate T. Passive smoking is associated with lower serum HDL-C levels in school children. *Pediatr Int.* 2010;52(2):252–256.
25. Feldman J, Shenker IR, Etzel RA, et al. Passive smoking alters lipid profiles in adolescents. *Pediatrics.* 1991;88(2):259–264. doi:10.1111/j.1442-200X.2009.02957.x.
26. Kallio K, Jokinen E, Saarinen M, et al. Arterial intima-media thickness, endothelial function, and apolipoproteins in adolescents frequently exposed to tobacco smoke. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2010;3(2):196–203. doi:10.1161/circoutcomes.109.857771.
27. Nagel G, Arnold FJ, Wilhelm M, Link B, Zoellner I, Koenig W. Environmental tobacco smoke and cardiometabolic risk in young children: results from a survey in south-west Germany. *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30(15):1885–1893. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehp180.
28. Wilkinson JD, Lee DJ, Arheart KL. Secondhand smoke exposure and C-reactive protein levels in youth. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2007;9(2):305–307. doi:10.1080/14622200601080299.
29. Juonala M, Magnussen CG, Venn A, et al. Parental smoking in childhood and brachial artery flow-mediated dilatation in young adults: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns study and the Childhood Determinants of Adult Health study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2012;32(4):1024–1031. doi:10.1161/atvbaha.111.243261.
30. Moskowitz WB, Schwartz PF, Schieken RM. Childhood passive smoking, race, and coronary artery disease risk: the MCV Twin Study. Medical College of Virginia. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1999;153(5):446–453. doi:10.1001/archpedi.153.5.446.
31. Liu J, Sempos C, Donahue RP, Dorn J, Trevisan M, Grundy SM. Joint distribution of non-HDL and LDL cholesterol and coronary heart disease risk prediction among individuals with and without diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28(8):1916–1921. doi:10.2337/diacare.28.8.1916.
32. Boekholdt SM, Arsenaault BJ, Mora S, et al. Association of LDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B levels with risk of cardiovascular events among patients treated with statins: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2012;307(12):1302–1309. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.366.
33. Johnson CL, Paulose-Ram R, Ogden CL, et al. National health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 1999–2010. *Vital Health Stat.* 2013;2(161):1–24.
34. National health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 2011–2012. 2013. www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/analytic_guidelines_11_12.pdf. Accessed August 25, 2014.
35. Zipf G, Chiappa M, Porter KS, Ostchega Y, Lewis BG, Dostal J. National health and nutrition examination survey: plan and operations, 1999–2010. *Vital Health Stat.* 2013;1(56):1–37.
36. Benowitz NL. Cotinine as a biomarker of environmental tobacco smoke exposure. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1996;18(2):188–204.
37. Pirkle JL, Flegal KM, Bernert JT, Brody DJ, Etzel RA, Maurer KR. Exposure of the US population to environmental tobacco smoke: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988 to 1991. *JAMA.* 1996;275(16):1233–1240.
38. Lalwani AK, Liu YH, Weitzman M. Secondhand smoke and sensorineural hearing loss in adolescents. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2011;137(7):655–662. doi:10.1001/archoto.2011.109.
39. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18(6):499–502.
40. Kuczmariski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, et al. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital Health Stat.* 2002;11(246):1–190.
41. Barlow SE; Expert Committee. Expert committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report. *Pediatrics.* 2007;120(suppl 4):S164–S192. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-2329C.
42. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics.* 2004;114(suppl 2):555–576.
43. Acerini C, Craig ME, de Beaufort C, Maahs DM, Hanas R. Introduction to ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2014 Compendium. *Pediatr Diabetes.* 2014;15(suppl 20):1–3. doi:10.1111/pedi.12182.
44. Spreuwenberg MD, Bartak A, Croon MA, et al. The multiple propensity score as control for bias in the comparison of more than two treatment arms: an introduction from a case study in mental health. *Med Care.* 2010;48(2):166–174. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181c1328f.
45. Imbens G. The role of the propensity score in estimating dose-response functions. *Biometrika.* 2000;87(3):706–710. doi:10.1093/biomet/87.3.706.
46. Iversen B, Jacobsen BK, Løchen ML. Active and passive smoking and the risk of myocardial infarction in 24,968 men and women during 11 year of follow-up: the Tromsø Study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2013;28(8):659–667. doi:10.1007/s10654-013-9785-z.
47. Raupach T, Schäfer K, Constantinides S, Andreas S. Secondhand smoke as an acute threat for the cardiovascular system: a change in paradigm. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27(4):386–392. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehi601.
48. Craig WY, Palomaki GE, Haddow JE. Cigarette smoking and serum lipid and lipoprotein concentrations: an analysis of published data. *BMJ.* 1989;298(6676):784–788.
49. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General.* Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2006.
50. Di Bonito P, Moio N, Scilla C, et al. Usefulness of the high triglyceride-to-HDL cholesterol ratio to identify cardiometabolic risk factors and preclinical signs of organ damage in outpatient children. *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35(1):158–162. doi:10.2337/dc11-1456.
51. Urbina EM, Khoury PR, McCoy CE, Dolan LM, Daniels SR, Kimball TR. Triglyceride to HDL-C ratio and increased arterial stiffness in children, adolescents, and young adults. *Pediatrics.* 2013;131(4):e1082–e1090. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-1726.
52. He BM, Zhao SP, Peng ZY. Effects of cigarette smoking on HDL quantity and function: implications for atherosclerosis. *J Cell Biochem.* 2013;114(11):2431–2436. doi:10.1002/jcb.24581.

53. Ansell BJ, Fonarow GC, Fogelman AM. The paradox of dysfunctional high-density lipoprotein. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* 2007;18(4):427–434. doi:10.1097/MOL.0b013e3282364a17.
54. Freedman DS, Srinivasan SR, Shear CL, et al. Cigarette smoking initiation and longitudinal changes in serum lipids and lipoproteins in early adulthood: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1986;124(2):207–219.
55. O'Loughlin J, Lambert M, Karp I, et al. Association between cigarette smoking and C-reactive protein in a representative, population-based sample of adolescents. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2008;10(3):525–532. doi:10.1080/14622200801901997.
56. Groner JA, Huang H, Nagaraja H, Kuck J, Bauer JA. Secondhand smoke exposure and endothelial stress in children and adolescents. *Acad Pediatr.* 2015;15(1):54–60. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2014.09.003.
57. Gall S, Huynh QL, Magnussen CG, et al. Exposure to parental smoking in childhood or adolescence is associated with increased carotid intima-media thickness in young adults: evidence from the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns study and the Childhood Determinants of Adult Health Study. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35(36):2484–2491. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu049.
58. Webber LS, Srinivasan SR, Wattigney WA, Berenson GS. Tracking of serum lipids and lipoproteins from childhood to adulthood. The Bogalusa Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133(9):884–899.
59. Kirkland RT, Keenan BS, Probstfield JL, et al. Decrease in plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels at puberty in boys with delayed adolescence. Correlation with plasma testosterone levels. *JAMA.* 1987;257(4):502–507.