

HH5 PUDIIC ACCESS

Author manuscript *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 01.

Published in final edited form as: J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016 November 1; 73(3): 307–312. doi:10.1097/QAI.00000000001078.

Impact of health insurance, ADAP, and income on HIV viral suppression among US women in the Women's Interagency HIV Study, 2006–2009

Christina Ludema, PhD¹, Stephen R. Cole, PhD², Joseph J. Eron Jr., MD¹, Andrew Edmonds, PhD², G. Mark Holmes, PhD³, Kathryn Anastos, MD⁴, Jennifer Cocohoba, PharmD⁵, Mardge Cohen, MD⁶, Hannah L. F. Cooper, ScD⁷, Elizabeth T. Golub, PhD⁸, Seble Kassaye, MS, MD⁹, Deborah Konkle-Parker, PhD¹⁰, Lisa Metsch, PhD¹¹, Joel Milam, PhD¹², Tracey E. Wilson, PhD¹³, and Adaora A. Adimora, MPH, MD^{1,2}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina ²Department of Epidemiology, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina ³Department of Health Policy and Management, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina ⁴Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology & Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Montefiore Medical Center, New York, New York ⁵Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of California San Francisco School of Pharmacy, San Francisco, California ⁶Department of Medicine, Cook County Health and Hospital System and Rush University, Chicago, Illinois ⁷Department of Behavioral Sciences and Health Education, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia ⁸Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland ⁹Division of Infectious Diseases and Travel Medicine, Department of Medicine, Georgetown University, Washington, District of Columbia ¹⁰Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi ¹¹Department of Sociomedical Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York ¹²Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California ¹³Department of Community Health Sciences, School of Public Health, State University of New York (SUNY) Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York

Abstract

Background—Implementation of the Affordable Care Act motivates assessment of health insurance and supplementary programs, such as the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) on health outcomes of HIV-infected people in the United States. We assessed the effects of health insurance, ADAP, and income on HIV viral load suppression.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Correspondence to: Christina Ludema, PhD, University of North Carolina, Department of Medicine, 130 Mason Farm Rd., Chapel Hill, NC 27517, tele: 919.597.1422, fax: 919.966.6714, ludema@email.unc.edu.

Portions of this manuscript were presented at the 8th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment, and Prevention, July 19–22, 2015, Vancouver, Canada.

Methods—We utilized existing cohort data from the HIV-infected participants of the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the time from 2006 to unsuppressed HIV viral load (>200 copies/mL) among those with Medicaid, private, Medicare or other public insurance, and no insurance, stratified by use of ADAP.

Results—In 2006, 65% of women had Medicaid, 18% had private insurance, 3% had Medicare or other public insurance, and 14% reported no health insurance. ADAP coverage was reported by 284 women (20%); 56% of uninsured participants reported ADAP coverage. After accounting for study site, age, race, lowest observed CD4, and prior health insurance, the hazard ratio (HR) for unsuppressed viral load among those privately insured without ADAP, compared to those on Medicaid without ADAP (referent group), was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.48–0.77). Among the uninsured, those with ADAP had a lower relative hazard of unsuppressed viral load compared to the referent group (HR, 95% CI: 0.49, 0.28–0.85) than those without ADAP (HR, 95% CI: 1.00, 0.63–1.57).

Conclusions—While women with private insurance are most likely to be virally suppressed, ADAP also contributes to viral load suppression. Continued support of this program may be especially critical for states that have not expanded Medicaid.

Keywords

HIV; health insurance; income; women; socioeconomic factors

INTRODUCTION

Health care availability, accessibility, and quality are important determinants of health.¹ About 15% of the US population lacked health insurance prior to the Affordable Care Act; Blacks and Hispanics, racial/ethnic groups that are disproportionately both poor and affected by HIV, were most likely to be uninsured.² In 2009, among US adults in HIV care, 41% had Medicaid coverage, 30% were privately insured, 17% were uninsured, 6% had Medicare coverage, and 5% had other public coverage.³ In the same year, 40% of all HIV-infected people received services through the Ryan White HIV/AIDS program.³ This program is a federal program that disburses funds to states and localities for a variety of HIV related programs including HIV counseling and testing, engagement in care, health insurance premium assistance, medical transportation, and case management.⁴ The AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) is a part of the Ryan White program that provides HIV-related prescription drugs to low-income individuals with limited or no prescription drug coverage. Health insurance and prescription drug coverage increase use of antiretroviral therapy (ART),^{5–8} a lifesaving therapy for those with HIV infection. To better understand the effects of the expansion of services and health insurance coverage resulting from the Affordable Care Act, estimates of the effects of insurance prior to implementation are needed.

Suppression of plasma HIV viral load with ART has critical implications for the health of HIV-infected individuals and their ability to transmit infection.^{9,10} Consistent use of ART is necessary for HIV suppression; failure to establish or sustain viral suppression can result from poor adherence¹¹ and interrupted access to medication.¹² Given the high cost of HIV treatment in the US,¹³ financial resources and health insurance^{6,14} or ART provision through other means, such as ADAP, are crucial to maintaining uninterrupted access to ART, averting

disease progression and death, and limiting HIV transmission. While the link between health insurance and ART prescription^{6,8} and initiation⁷ has been established, to our knowledge there has been no research that bridges these intermediaries to study the effects of health insurance on viral load suppression.

We used prospective data from the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) to estimate the effect of health insurance status and type on unsuppressed HIV viral load in the era of modern ART and to estimate the effect of income on unsuppressed HIV viral load among participants with Medicaid. We address both initial and ongoing access to ART by including those who have never received ART and those who are already on therapy. Because many states began enacting policy changes as early as 2010 in anticipation of the Affordable Care Act, we analyzed data from 2006 through 2009.

METHODS

Women's Interagency HIV Study

Recruitment, retention, and study characteristics of WIHS participants have been previously reported.^{15,16} In brief, HIV-infected and -uninfected women were recruited to participate in this cohort study during four waves. For this analysis, we included HIV-infected women enrolled during 1994–5 and 2001–2 who had a viral load measurement at visit 24 (index date, April–September 2006) or at the subsequent visit, 6 months later. Study visits are conducted approximately every 6 months and consist of a structured interview, clinical examination, and specimen collection.

Ascertainment of Viral Load

Viral load was measured every 6 months using the Nuclisens HIV-1 QT assay, which has a lower limit of detection of 80 copies/mL and an upper limit of quantification of 3.47×10^6 copies/mL. Unsuppressed viral load was defined as a single viral load measurement of >200 copies/mL.¹⁷

Ascertainment of Health Insurance Status, Income, and Covariates

Insurance type at the index date was the primary exposure. We categorized the insured into four mutually exclusive groups using a classification hierarchy similar to the one used by the Kaiser Family Foundation.³ Insurance categories were assigned in this order: Medicaid or Medi-Cal, private insurance (including student insurance), other insurance (including Medicare, Tricare/CHAMPUS, Veteran's Administration, and city or county coverage), and no health insurance. Participants also reported participation in ADAP for which eligibility depends on state of residence; insurance was stratified by ADAP participation.

Annual household income, self-reported at each visit, was a second exposure of interest. As most participants on Medicaid reported incomes in the lowest three categories (i.e., <\$6,000, \$6,001 to \$12,000, \$12,001 to \$18,000), for select analyses we collapsed all individuals with incomes of >\$18,000 per year into one category.

Birthdate, recruitment wave, and educational attainment (in categories of less than, equal to, or more than high school education) were collected at entry into the cohort. Race was

reported in the following categories: white and non-Hispanic, white and Hispanic, African American and non-Hispanic, African American and Hispanic, Other Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, Native American/Alaskan, and Other. Given the small number of participants, we dichotomized race into African American and Other. We also created a variable for Hispanic ethnicity. At each visit, participants reported diagnoses of AIDS since the last visit. CD4+ T cell counts were measured at each visit; the nadir, or lowest observed value prior to index date, was used as a proxy for HIV disease progression.

Statistical Methods

Time was counted from the index date until the first observed unsuppressed viral load (>200 copies/mL) or censoring (death or loss to follow up (> 3 consecutive missed visits)). Time was administratively censored after 3 years. Hazard ratios were estimated using Cox proportional hazard models¹⁸ with Efron's approximation for tied event times.¹⁹ Wald-type 95% confidence intervals were estimated using the standard large-sample variance approximation in the crude and regression adjusted models, and robust variance for weighted models.²⁰ The proportional hazards assumption was assessed visually from the plot of the non-parametric estimates of the log cumulative hazard functions.

As requirements for Medicaid eligibility are based on income, inclusion of income as a confounder violates the positivity assumption (i.e., there are few high income participants on Medicaid; see Supplemental Figure 1).²¹ A common solution to positivity violations is to restrict analysis to a subset in which there is positivity. Therefore, to estimate the effect of income on unsuppressed HIV viral load, we restricted this analysis to participants who were on Medicaid at visit 24.

Observed data were weighted by the product of stabilized inverse probability-of-exposureand-censoring weights to account for confounding and selection bias by measured characteristics.^{22,23} This method, conditional on a few assumptions, approximates a randomized trial by reweighting the population so that health insurance is randomly distributed conditional on confounders included in the weight estimation model.²⁴ Health insurance type was modeled using standard multinomial logistic models; censoring was modeled using pooled logistic regression. Confounders included age (using restricted quadratic splines (RQS)²⁵ with knots at 35.7, 41.6, 46.7, and 50.8 years), African American race, study site, lowest observed CD4 cell count (using RQS with knots at 94, 191, 269, and 383 cells/ μ L), prior HIV viral load (as the average of the log₁₀ viral load for 3 visits prior to the index date, using ROS with knots at 4.4, 4.7, 7.4, and 9.7), and prior health insurance status (6 months prior). Not included as confounders in the final weight model were recruitment wave, Hispanic ethnicity, and prior AIDS diagnosis as these variables did not alter the variance or final effect estimate. A trial in which health insurance is randomized would have randomized on prior viral load, and therefore we included prior viral load as a potential confounder. However, due to concern about over-adjustment, we present results that do and do not account for prior viral load. Weights were stabilized by prior health insurance type. The resultant weights had a mean (standard deviation (SD)) of 1.10 (2.46) with a range of 0.003 to 86.24. Inverse probability of exposure weights trimmed at 0.1 and

10 yielded weights with a mean (SD) of 1.03 (0.90). Results using the trimmed weights are reported here²³; use of untrimmed weights produced similar results.

Income was modeled in a similar fashion using multinomial logistic regression; censoring was modeled using pooled logistic regression. Candidate confounders included age, African American race, study site, nadir CD4 cell count, and prior viral load. Similar to the analysis of health insurance, we present results that do and do not account for prior viral load. The resultant weights had a mean (SD) of 0.99 (0.36) with a range of 0.15 to 4.48. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Among 1,481 HIV-infected WIHS women, most (65%) reported Medicaid as their insurance provider, 18% had private insurance, 3% had Medicare or other public insurance, and 14% reported no health insurance (Table 1). Over the three year study period, 15% of participants reported a change in insurance type subsequent to the index date. Changes in insurance (loss or gain) were not differentially distributed by unsuppressed viral load.

The median age of participants with other public insurance (median; interquartile range (IQR): 38; 33, 46) was lower than those on Medicaid (median; IQR: 43; 38, 49). African Americans comprised 56% of the population; of the 963 participants on Medicaid, 62% were African American. Hispanics comprised 27% of the overall population, and represented 45% of the uninsured. The distribution of insurance type by WIHS site varied; 45% of the participants on Medicaid attended a site in New York. Half of the participants with other insurance attended a site in California. Participants with Medicaid were the most likely to have a yearly income of less than \$12,000 a year (62%, for full distribution see Supplemental Materials), were less likely to be employed (21%), and fewer attained a high school education (45%) compared with other groups. While women with Medicaid group reported more prior AIDS diagnoses (46%), the median lowest observed CD4 cell count among all groups was similar.

About three quarters of the total population was using ART at the index visit. There were no differences in ART use by health insurance category. Women with no health insurance (56%) and those with other public insurance (31%) were more likely to participate in ADAP than those with private insurance (17%) or Medicaid (11%).

There were 898 women who had viral load measurements >200 copies/mL over the 4,917 visits that occurred during 2006–2009. The median time to first unsuppressed viral load was 525 days (IQR: 189, 1082) with a median unsuppressed viral load of 3,400 copies/mL (IQR: 710, 23,000). In the crude analysis, participants with Medicaid without ADAP (the referent group) had the highest hazard of a viral load >200 copies/mL (Table 2).

Weighted analyses are presented in Table 2. Weights for other insurance were not estimated because this group was a conglomeration of types of insurance with substantially different eligibility criteria. These weighted results represent marginal estimates, in this case the hazard ratio of virologic failure if all participants in the study received a given exposure compared to if all participants received the referent exposure (i.e., Medicaid without ADAP).

In models which account for study site, age, race, lowest CD4 cell count, and prior HIV viral load, ADAP only (HR: 0.49, 95% CI: 0.28, 0.85), private insurance without ADAP (HR: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.48, 0.77), and private insurance with ADAP (HR: 0.52 95% CI: 0.31, 0.91) all had lower hazards of virologic failure compared to Medicaid without ADAP.

Among participants on Medicaid, a yearly income of >\$18,000 per year compared to < \$6,000/year, yielded a hazard ratio of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.60, 1.02) when accounting for study site, age, race, and lowest CD4 cell count (Table 3). When we additionally accounted for prior viral load, the hazard ratio comparing these same groups moved to 1.06 (95% CI: 0.80, 1.41).

DISCUSSION

We evaluated the effect of health insurance status and type on risk of having an unsuppressed HIV viral load among HIV-infected women in the WIHS over the span of three years. Among participants who did not participate in ADAP, those with Medicaid or no insurance were most likely to have unsuppressed viral load compared to women with private insurance. Among HIV-infected women in all insurance categories except private insurance, ADAP increased the likelihood of virologic suppression, especially among otherwise uninsured women, who were twice as likely to achieve virologic suppression if on ADAP. These findings underscore the importance of this program.

In addition to antiretroviral medications, participants enrolled in ADAP may have accessed case management, patient transportation, and child-care during HIV-related appointments. Availability of these auxiliary programs may explain a portion of the beneficial effects of ADAP observed in this study.

Health insurance is likely to impact all steps along the HIV continuum of care: HIV diagnosis, linkage to care, retention in care, prescription of ART, and viral suppression. For example, in a cross-sectional analysis among WIHS women eligible for ART, ADAP participation was associated with increased use of ART.²⁶ Additionally, people living in states that did not contribute to the ADAP budget were more likely to have delayed initiation of ART after treatment was indicated.²⁷ Further along the continuum of care, McFall et al. showed that among white and Hispanic women on ART in the WIHS, lack of health insurance (compared with public health insurance) was associated with virologic failure following suppression.²⁸ These studies suggest that type and status of health insurance influence ART receipt and virologic failure after suppression. However, because health insurance strongly affects the likelihood that an individual will receive ART, the effect of health insurance on virologic suppression in a population with diverse health insurance coverage cannot be extrapolated only from participants who are on ART. The current study provides estimates of the effects of health insurance that include the pathway through access to ART, and thus evaluates the effect of health insurance on viral suppression in a study population that more closely approximates the general population of HIV-infected women in the US.

Poverty increases mortality among HIV-infected people,^{29,30} but the pathways between poverty and death are not well established. In our study population, even among women with Medicaid (who by virtue of their Medicaid eligibility already have low income), those in the lowest income category were less likely to experience viral suppression even after adjustment for nadir CD4 cell count. Although initial viral load can affect future virologic response, adjustment for viral load prior to study entry could inappropriately nullify the relationship between income and subsequent virologic suppression: high income individuals with high initial viral loads likely have greater resources and ability to navigate complex care systems than those with much lower incomes.

This study has some limitations. First, participants may not have correctly self-reported their health insurance (status and type) and their incomes. Though we have no available confirmatory data on health insurance during this study period, we did compare current report of health insurance with clinic records among Chapel Hill WIHS participants. Among this group (n=67), 94% of self-reported insurance type matched the insurance type on file at the clinic (data not shown). In addition, studies have suggested $^{31-33}$ that those who selfreported no insurance are likely uninsured, and that there are few participants who selfreported Medicaid insurance when they were privately insured. Second, as in all observational studies, we rely on the assumption of no unmeasured confounding. For the relationship between health insurance and viral load suppression, an important confounder, income, was not accounted for. We were unable to account for this confounder because of positivity (i.e., no high income participants in Medicaid). Third, participants in the WIHS, a long-term cohort study in states with generous ADAP programs (see Supplemental Materials), may differ from the HIV-infected population in the US, limiting generalizability. Finally, we assume that the variations within types of insurance coverage were negligible with regards to viral load suppression (i.e., consistency). However, not all types of insurance coverage are homogeneous, and participants may have had different levels of coverage and services that likely had an impact on viral load suppression.³⁴ By adjusting for study site, we accounted for some of this variability between Medicaid plans as this type of insurance coverages is determined by the state.

In conclusion, our results underscore the importance of health insurance and ADAP coverage in suppression of HIV viral load. Suppression of viral load on the individual level has been identified as a crucial piece in HIV transmission,^{9,10} and consequently impacts the public's health. A number of factors, including high comorbidities, inadequate access to health resources, and substance use contribute to the poor outcomes for those with Medicaid³⁵ and should not be interpreted as dissuasion to expand Medicaid coverage. Increasing provision of insurance to HIV-infected people will likely increase the proportion of virally suppressed individuals. However, not all states have expanded Medicaid coverage, leaving a gap in health care access for the working poor.³⁶ Participation in ADAP improves HIV outcomes; this program may be especially critical for HIV-infected people in states that have not expanded Medicaid.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH U01 AI103390, and K24 HD059358). Data in this manuscript were collected by the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS); we thank the participants for their contributions. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). WIHS (Principal Investigators): UAB-MS WIHS (Michael Saag, Mirjam-Colette Kempf, and Deborah Konkle-Parker), U01-AI-103401; Atlanta WIHS (Ighovwerha Ofotokun and Gina Wingood), U01-AI-103408; Bronx WIHS (Kathryn Anastos), U01-AI-035004; Brooklyn WIHS (Howard Minkoff and Deborah Gustafson), U01-AI-031834; Chicago WIHS (Mardge Cohen), U01-AI-034993; Metropolitan Washington WIHS (Mary Young), U01-AI-034994; Miami WIHS (Margaret Fischl and Lisa Metsch), U01-AI-103397; UNC WIHS (Adaora Adimora), U01-AI-103390; Connie Wofsy Women's HIV Study, Northern California (Ruth Greenblatt, Bradley Aouizerat, and Phyllis Tien), U01-AI-034989; WIHS Data Management and Analysis Center (Stephen Gange and Elizabeth Golub), U01-AI-042590; Southern California WIHS (Alexandra Levine and Marek Nowicki), U01-HD-032632 (WIHS I – WIHS IV). The WIHS is funded primarily by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), with additional co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy ShriverNational Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), and the National Institute on Mental Health (NIMH). Targeted supplemental funding for specific projects is also provided by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR), the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA), the National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders (NIDCD), and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health. WIHS data collection is also supported by UL1-TR000004 (UCSF CTSA) and UL1-TR000454 (Atlanta CTSA).

The authors would like to thank Dr. Jennifer Kates for her expert advice.

REFERENCES

- Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Lancet. 2008 Nov 8; 372(9650):1661–1669. [PubMed: 18994664]
- Kaiser Family Foundation. Medicaid/CHIP Eligibility. 2009 [Accessed January 15, 2012] http:// facts.kff.org/chart.aspx?cb=56&sctn=151&ch=988.
- Kates J, Garfield R, Young K, Quinn K, Frazier E, Skarbinski J. Assessing the Impact of the Affordable Care Act on Health Insurance Coverage of People with HIV. 2014 http:// kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/12/8535-assessing-the-impact-of-the-affordablecare-act-on-health-insurance-coverage,pdf.
- Crowley JS, Kates J. Updating the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program for a New Era: Key Issues and Questions for the Future. 2013 https://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/ 2013/04/8431.pdf.
- Smith SR, Kirking DM. The effect of insurance coverage changes on drug utilization in HIV disease. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2001 Oct 1; 28(2):140–149. [PubMed: 11588507]
- Lillie-Blanton M, Stone VE, Snow Jones A, et al. Association of race, substance abuse, and health insurance coverage with use of highly active antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected women, 2005. Am J Public Health. 2010 Aug; 100(8):1493–1499. [PubMed: 19910347]
- Schneider G, Juday T, Wentworth C 3rd, Lanes S, Hebden T, Seekins D. Impact of health care payer type on HIV stage of illness at time of initiation of antiretroviral therapy in the USA. AIDS Care. 2013; 25(11):1470–1476. 2013/11//. [PubMed: 23517139]
- Smith SR, Kirking DM. Access and use of medications in HIV disease. Health Serv Res. 1999; 34(1 Pt 1):123–144. 1999/04//. [PubMed: 10201855]
- Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Rakai Project Study Group. N Engl J Med. 2000 Mar 30; 342(13): 921–929. [PubMed: 10738050]
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 2011 Aug 11; 365(6):493–505. [PubMed: 21767103]
- Genberg BL, Wilson IB, Bangsberg DR, et al. Patterns of antiretroviral therapy adherence and impact on HIV RNA among patients in North America. AIDS. 2012 Jul 17; 26(11):1415–1423. [PubMed: 22767342]

- Das-Douglas M, Riley ED, Ragland K, et al. Implementation of the Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit is associated with antiretroviral therapy interruptions. AIDS Behav. 2009 Feb; 13(1): 1–9.
- Gebo KA, Fleishman JA, Conviser R, et al. Contemporary costs of HIV healthcare in the HAART era. AIDS. 2010 Nov 13; 24(17):2705–2715. [PubMed: 20859193]
- Muthulingam D, Chin J, Hsu L, Scheer S, Schwarcz S. Disparities in engagement in care and viral suppression among persons with HIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013 May 1; 63(1):112–119. [PubMed: 23392459]
- Barkan SE, Melnick SL, Preston-Martin S, et al. The Women's Interagency HIV Study. WIHS Collaborative Study Group. Epidemiology. 1998 Mar; 9(2):117–125. [PubMed: 9504278]
- Hessol NA, Weber KM, Holman S, et al. Retention and attendance of women enrolled in a large prospective study of HIV-1 in the United States. J Womens Health. 2009 Oct; 18(10):1627–1637.
- Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-infected adults and adolescents, January 28, 2000 by the Panel on Clinical Practices for Treatment of HIV Infection. HIV Clin Trials. 2000 Jul-Aug;1(1):60–110. [PubMed: 11590490]
- Cox DR. Regression Models and Life-Tables. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological). 1972; 34(2):187–220.
- Efron B. The efficiency of Cox's likelihood function for censored data. J Am Stat Assoc. 1977; 72(359):557–565.
- 20. Robins JM, Hernan MA, Brumback B. Marginal structural models and causal inference in epidemiology. Epidemiology. 2000 Sep; 11(5):550–560. [PubMed: 10955408]
- Westreich D, Cole SR. Invited commentary: positivity in practice. Am J Epidemiol. 2010 Mar 15; 171(6):674–677. discussion 678–681. [PubMed: 20139125]
- Robins JM, Hernán MA, Brumback B. Marginal structural models and causal inference in epidemiology. Epidemiology. 2000; 11(5):550–560. 2000/09//. [PubMed: 10955408]
- 23. Cole SR, Hernan MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural models. Am J Epidemiol. 2008 Sep 15; 168(6):656–664. [PubMed: 18682488]
- 24. Petersen ML, Wang Y, van der Laan MJ, Bangsberg DR. Assessing the effectiveness of antiretroviral adherence interventions. Using marginal structural models to replicate the findings of randomized controlled trials. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2006 Dec 1; 43(Suppl 1):S96–S103. [PubMed: 17133209]
- Howe CJ, Cole SR, Westreich DJ, Greenland S, Napravnik S, Eron JJ Jr. Splines for trend analysis and continuous confounder control. Epidemiology. 2011 Nov; 22(6):874–875. [PubMed: 21968779]
- 26. Yi T, Cocohoba J, Cohen M, et al. The impact of the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) on use of highly active antiretroviral and antihypertensive therapy among HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Mar 1; 56(3):253–262. [PubMed: 21239994]
- Hanna DB, Buchacz K, Gebo KA, et al. Association between U.S. state AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) features and HIV antiretroviral therapy initiation, 2001–2009. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(11) 2013.
- McFall AM, Dowdy DW, Zelaya CE, et al. Understanding the disparity: Predictors of virologic failure in women using highly active antiretroviral therapy vary by race and/or ethnicity. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013 2013/06/21/.
- McMahon J, Wanke C, Terrin N, Skinner S, Knox T. Poverty, hunger, education, and residential status impact survival in HIV. AIDS Behav. 2011 Oct; 15(7):1503–1511. [PubMed: 20632079]
- Cunningham WE, Hays RD, Duan N, et al. The effect of socioeconomic status on the survival of people receiving care for HIV infection in the United States. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2005 Nov; 16(4):655–676. [PubMed: 16311491]
- Call KT, Davidson G, Davern M, Nyman R. Medicaid undercount and bias to estimates of uninsurance: new estimates and existing evidence. Health Serv Res. 2008 Jun; 43(3):901–914. [PubMed: 18546545]
- 32. Kincheloe J, Brown ER, Frates J, Call KT, Yen W, Watkins J. Can we trust population surveys to count Medicaid enrollees and the uninsured? Health Aff. 2006 Jul-Aug;25(4):1163–1167.

- Davern M, Quinn BC, Kenney GM, Blewett LA. The American Community Survey and health insurance coverage estimates: possibilities and challenges for health policy researchers. Health Serv Res. 2009 Apr; 44(2 Pt 1):593–605. [PubMed: 19040425]
- VanderWeele TJ, Hernan MA. Causal Inference Under Multiple Versions of Treatment. J Causal Inference. 2013 May 1; 1(1):1–20. [PubMed: 25379365]
- Yehia BR, Fleishman JA, Agwu AL, Metlay JP, Berry SA, Gebo KA. Health insurance coverage for persons in HIV care, 2006–2012. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014 Sep 1; 67(1):102–106. [PubMed: 24977377]
- 36. Walters E. Insurance Changes Raise Concerns for HIV patients. New York Times. 2013

TABLE 1

Characteristics of HIV-infected WIHS participants in 2006, by insurance type

Characteristic	Medicaid n=963	caid 63	Private n=265	ate 65	None n=205	ne 205	Other Public n=48	Public 48	Total n=1,481	al 481
	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
Median age (median, IQR)	43 (38, 49)	, 49)	43 (38, 49)	, 49)	45 (39, 51)), 51)	38 (33, 46)	3, 46)	43 (37, 49)	, 49)
African American	599	62.2	114	43.0	92	44.9	25	47.9	830	56.0
Hispanic ethnicity	252	26.2	43	16.2	93	45.4	16	33.3	404	27.3
WIHS site										
Bronx	230	23.9	18	6.8	8	3.9	5	10.4	261	17.6
Brooklyn	202	21.0	53	20.0	31	15.1	4	8.3	290	19.6
Washington DC	102	10.6	84	31.7	34	16.6	6	18.8	229	15.5
Los Angeles	141	14.6	40	15.1	83	20.5	16	33.3	280	18.9
San Francisco	155	16.1	35	13.2	11	5.4	10	20.8	211	14.3
Chicago	133	13.8	35	13.2	38	18.5	4	8.3	210	14.2
Using HAART	669	72.6	194	73.2	148	72.2	38	79.2	1,079	72.9
ADAP	109	11.3	45	17.0	115	56.1	15	31.3	284	19.2
Married	164	17.0	108	40.8	99	32.2	11	22.9	349	23.6
Median income \$12,000/year	595	61.8	21	7.9	84	41.0	22	45.8	722	48.8
Educational attainment										
Less than high school	436	45.3	28	10.6	83	40.5	16	33.3	563	38.0
High school diploma	300	31.2	53	20.0	59	28.8	12	25.0	424	28.6
More than high school	226	23.5	184	69.4	61	29.8	20	41.7	491	33.2
Employed	204	21.2	220	83.0	109	53.2	13	27.1	546	36.9
Lowest observed CD4 (median, IQR)	215 (107, 333)	7, 333)	261 (153,	3, 356)	191 (59	191 (59, 338)	266 (171, 370)	1, 370)	228 (121, 346)	, 346)
Prior AIDS	438	45.5	80	30.2	53	25.9	21	43.8	592	40.0
Mode of HIV transmission										
Intravenous drug use	268	27.8	38	14.3	24	11.7	9	12.5	336	22.7
Heterosexual contact	395	41.0	133	50.2	75	36.6	20	41.7	623	42.1
None identified	278	28.9	81	30.6	101	49.3	22	45.8	482	32.6

Weighted hazard of unsuppressed viral load by health insurance among WIHS women, 2006–2009

					Ollaujusteu	Š	Weighted	Wei	Weighted
		VL>200	Visits	HR	95% CI	HR	VL>200 Visits HR 95% CI HR 95% CI HR 95% CI	HR	95% CI
Insurance type	ADAP								
No insurance	No	57	90	0.91	0.69, 1.20	1.05	0.91 0.69, 1.20 1.05 0.68, 1.63 1.00 0.63, 1.57	1.00	0.63, 1.57
	Yes	59	115	0.67	0.51, 0.88 0.52	0.52	0.29, 0.92	0.49	0.28, 0.85
Medicaid insurance	No	561	854	Τ.		Ϊ.		I.	
	Yes	63	109	0.76	0.59, 0.99	0.81	0.50, 1.30	0.77	0.47, 1.27
Private insurance	No	111	220	0.65	0.52, 0.78	0.67	0.52, 0.85	0.61	0.48, 0.77
	Yes	24	45	0.71	0.47, 1.07	0.57	0.71 0.47, 1.07 0.57 0.34, 0.98 0.52 0.31, 0.91	0.52	0.31, 0.91
Medicare/other	No	18	33	0.76	0.76 0.47, 1.21				
public insurance	Yes	5	15	0.41	15 0.41 0.17, 1.00				

** Accounting for study site, age, race, and lowest CD4 (at baseline)

*** Weighted results for Medicare/other public insurance are not reported here as there were few participants and weights were not stable

Page 12

Author Manuscript

TABLE 3

Weighted hazard of unsuppressed viral load by income among WIHS women with Medicaid health insurance, 2006–2009

				Unadjusted		Weighted [*]	-	Weighted ^{**}
	VL>200	Visits	HR	VL>200 Visits HR 95% CI HR 95% CI HR 95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
Income								
<\$6,000/year	52	177 1	Τ.		Η.		Ι.	
\$6,001–\$12,000/year	148	418	0.84	0.68, 1.03 1.09 0.84, 1.41 0.93	1.09	0.84, 1.41	0.93	0.73, 1.18
\$12,001-\$18,000/year	59	164	0.77	0.77 0.59, 1.00 1.08 0.80, 1.46 0.88	1.08	0.80, 1.46	0.88	0.66, 1.17
>\$18,000/year	80	204	0.70	204 0.70 0.55, 0.90 1.06 0.80, 1.41 0.79 0.60, 1.02	1.06	0.80, 1.41	0.79	0.60, 1.02

** Accounting for study site, age, race, and lowest CD4 (at baseline)