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#### Abstract

We focus on several properties of the Lie groups $S p(n)$ and $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. We discuss their Lie algebras, the exponential map from the Lie algebras to the groups, as well as when this map is surjective. Since quaternionic multiplication is not commutative, the process of calculating the exponential of a matrix in $S p(n)$ or $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is more involved than the process of calculating the exponential of a matrix over the real or complex numbers. We develop processes by which this calculation may be reduced to a simpler problem, and provide an example to illustrate this. Additionally, we discuss properties of these groups such as centers, maximal tori, normalizers of the maximal tori, Weyl groups, and Clifford Algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

Matrix exponentiation is important in differential equations; in particular, in solving systems of linear ordinary and partial differential equations. Second, and more relevant to this project, the matrix exponential provides a map from the Lie algebra of a matrix group into the matrix group itself. The underlying theme of this project is to determine methods of calculating the exponential of a matrix with quaternion entries. To motivate the ideas, we provide details about the structure of the Lie groups $S p(n)$ and $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, as well as discuss some important theory.

The exponential maps of real and complex matrices are well documented (see, for example, any of $[1,2,3,5]$ ); yet, we have encountered very little to no work documenting the exponential of a matrix with quaternion entries. The most prominent reason for a lack of research in this area is that, unlike the real and complex numbers, the quaternions are not commutative. Due to this, the notions of eigenvalues and of eigenvectors, with which we are familiar from linear algebra over the complex field, cannot be calculated in the usual manner. Since both eigenvalues and eigenvectors play a crucial role in calculating the exponential of a matrix, we must take a different approach when we consider matrices over the quaternions.

Our approach is to first transform a matrix over the quaternions into a complex matrix. The purpose for this is to be able to use the well-known techniques of diagonalization, SN Decomposition, Jordan forms, etc. to calculate the exponential of the matrix. When we are finished, we transform the complex matrix back into a matrix over the quaternions. One of our goals is to prove that this transformation is possible. However, by our transformation, the complex matrix representing the original quaternionic matrix has dimension twice as large; therefore, it is only practical to consider low-dimensional cases, although the theory works for any dimension.

Unfortunately, we encounter obstacles even when we consider low-dimensional cases. If we begin with a $3 \times 3$ matrix over the quaternions, our transformed matrix
is a $6 \times 6$ complex matrix. The problem with this is the fact that eigenvalues are computed from the characteristic polynomial, whose degree is 6 when our original quaternionic matrix is a $3 \times 3$ matrix. Results from Galois theory emphasize the fact that there is no general formula for calculating the roots of a polynomial of degree greater than four, so we are not guaranteed a consistent method of determining the eigenvalues. Thus, our methods work best when we look at $2 \times 2$ matrices over the quaternions.

Furthermore, it is difficult to find literature that mentions a formula for the exponential of a single quaternion (i.e. a $1 \times 1$ matrix over the quaternions); our search has not lead to a satisfactory proof of such a claim. Therefore, our first task is to derive and prove the formula for the general exponential form of a single quaternion.

## 2. Notation

We will begin our discussion by introducing the quaternions. The quaternions arose as mathematicians tried to endow $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with a multiplication operation that would make it a field. For $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$, we will write $a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$, add component-wise, and multiply with the following rules:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{i}^{2}=\mathbf{j}^{2}=\mathbf{k}^{2}=-1 \\
\text { and } \\
\mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{i}, \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{i}=\mathbf{j} \\
\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{i}=-\mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}=-\mathbf{i}, \quad \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{k}=-\mathbf{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with the above operations forms a skew-field (which is weaker than a field, since this multiplication operation is not commutative) denoted $\mathbb{H}$, called the quaternions. From the operations shown above it is easy to see that an element of $\mathbb{H}$ commutes with all other quaternions if and only if it is real. As a matter of terminology, we will write $\mathbb{K}$ to refer to any of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ when it is unambiguous.

We denote the conjugate of a quaternion $q=a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$ as $\bar{q}=a-b \mathbf{i}-c \mathbf{j}-d \mathbf{k}$. Notice that $q \bar{q}=\bar{q} q=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}$. We also define an inner product on $\mathbb{K}^{n}$ by

$$
\left\langle\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right\rangle=x_{1} \overline{y_{1}}+\cdots+x_{n} \overline{y_{n}} .
$$

We will write the norm of some $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n}$ as $|X|=\sqrt{\langle X, X\rangle}$. For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, it is clear that $\langle X, X\rangle$ is a positive real number, so $|X|$ is positive. For $X \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$, the same conclusion follows from the above discussion where we showed $q \bar{q}=|q|^{2}$. Furthermore, for $q_{1}, q_{2} \in \mathbb{H}$, it is not hard to see that $\overline{q_{1} \cdot q_{2}}=\overline{q_{2}} \cdot \overline{q_{1}}$.

As our discussion involves square matrices, we will write $M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ to mean $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{K}$. Let $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$. We will introduce the notation $A^{*}$, called the adjoint, to refer to the conjugate transpose, $\bar{A}^{T}$, of the matrix $A$, which is achieved by taking the complex (or quaternionic) conjugate of each entry in $A$
and then taking the transpose of the resulting matrix. It is important to notice that $(A B)^{*}=B^{*} A^{*}$.

For $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n}$ a row vector, the function $R_{A}: \mathbb{K}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{n}$ given by $R_{A}(X)=X \cdot A$, is a linear function over $\mathbb{K}$. We will work with $R_{A}$ since the similarly defined function $L_{A}(X)=A \cdot X$ is not linear over $\mathbb{H}$.

## 3. The Injective Homomorphism $\Psi_{n}$

It is clear that we can write a complex number as $a+b \mathbf{i}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, which leads to a bijection between $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ denoted $f_{n}$ :

$$
f_{n}\left(a_{1}+b_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, a_{n}+b_{n} \mathbf{i}\right)=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{n}\right)
$$

This allows us to associate a complex $n \times n$ matrix with a real $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix through the function $\Phi_{n}: M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ which is defined in terms of $\Phi_{1}$ :

$$
\Phi_{1}(a+b \mathbf{i})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
-b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

For higher $n$, we construct $2 \times 2$ real block matrices by applying $\Psi_{1}$ to each entry of the matrix. For example,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \mathbf{i} \\
3-4 \mathbf{i} & 0
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
3 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 3 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Due to the non-commutativity of the quaternions, they are difficult to work with, and many authors define the quaternions in complex terms. The idea is similar to the discussion of turning a complex matrix into a real matrix. We can write a quaternion as $z+w \mathbf{j}$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ which leads to the bijection between $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ denoted $g_{n}$ :

$$
g_{n}\left(z_{1}+w_{1} \mathbf{j}, \ldots, z_{n}+w_{n} \mathbf{j}\right)=\left(z_{1}, w_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}, w_{n}\right)
$$

The approach is to find an injective map $\Psi_{n}: M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow M_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ that makes the following diagram commute for all $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ :


This map $\Psi_{n}$ will be described in terms of $\Psi_{1}$ :

$$
\Psi_{1}(z+w \mathbf{j})=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
z & w \\
-\bar{w} & \bar{z}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

To determine $\Psi_{n}(A)$ for some $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, apply $\Psi_{1}$ to each entry of $A$ to create $2 \times 2$ blocks as above. As with $\Phi_{n}$, this map is clearly injective.

Proposition 1. For $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n}(c A)=c \cdot \Psi_{n}(A)  \tag{1}\\
& \Psi_{n}(A+B)=\Psi_{n}(A)+\Psi_{n}(B)  \tag{2}\\
& \Psi_{n}(A \cdot B)=\Psi_{n}(A) \cdot \Psi_{n}(B) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: The proofs of the first two properties are trivial. To see the third property, consider the following commutative diagram:


But since $R_{B} \circ R_{A}=R_{A B}$, we also have the following commutative diagram:


Since both commutative diagrams are the same, we must have $R_{\Psi_{n}(A) \cdot \Psi_{n}(B)}=R_{\Psi_{n}(A B)}$, which, in turn, proves property (3).

## 4. The Determinant Function

Consider the matrix $A=\binom{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}}$. This matrix is not invertible since it has a nontrivial kernel, as illustrated below:

$$
R_{A}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1-1
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1-1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

However, if we compute the determinant as for a $2 \times 2$ matrix over the complex numbers, we find it is nonzero: $\operatorname{det}\left(\binom{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}}\right)=\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{j} \mathbf{i}=2 \mathbf{k} \neq 0$, contradicting the fact that $A$ is not invertible. We therefore need a better way to define the determinant function for a matrix over $\mathbb{H}$. It turns out that the image under $\Psi_{n}$ of an invertible matrix is an invertible matrix, since for an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ over the quaternions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { B is invertible } & \Longleftrightarrow R_{B}: \mathbb{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n} \text { is bijective } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow R_{\Psi_{n}(B)}: \mathbb{C}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 n} \text { is bijective } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \Psi_{n}(B) \text { is invertible. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, when we talk about the determinant of a quaternionic matrix, we mean the composition det o $\Psi_{n}$, however, we will just write det.

## 5. Matrix Groups

We will first mention the matrix groups we will encounter. The general linear group of dimension $n$ is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with entries in $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$
G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \mid \operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0\right\}
$$

The special linear group of dimension $n$ is a subgroup of the general linear group:

$$
S L_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{A \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \mid \operatorname{det}(A)=1\right\}
$$

The orthogonal group of dimension $n$ with entries in $\mathbb{K}$ is another subgroup of the general linear group:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{A \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \mid A^{-1}=A^{*}\right\}
$$

When $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$, we get that $A^{*}=A^{T}$, this group is called the orthogonal group and is denoted $O(n)$. When $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$, the group is called the unitary group, $U(n)$. And when $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{H}$, we call this group the symplectic group, $S p(n)$. An important fact about a matrix in $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is that its rows (and also columns) form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{K}^{n}[2,5]$. This means that for any two distinct rows (or columns) $X, Y$ we have $\langle X, Y\rangle=0$ and $\langle X, X\rangle=1$.

For a matrix $A \in \mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$, we have

$$
1=\operatorname{det}(I)=\operatorname{det}\left(A A^{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(\bar{A})=\operatorname{det}(A) \overline{\operatorname{det}(A)}=|\operatorname{det}(A)|
$$

This observation leads us to define the special orthogonal and special unitary matrix groups:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S O(n)=O(n) \cap S L_{n}(\mathbb{R})=\{A \in O(n) \mid \operatorname{det}(A)=1\} \\
& S U(n)=U(n) \cap S L_{n}(\mathbb{C})=\{A \in U(n) \mid \operatorname{det}(A)=1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show later, using Lie algebras, that the determinant of an element in $S p(n)$ is 1 . Therefore, there is no need for a special symplectic group.

Since these groups are all subsets of some Euclidean space, we endow them with the usual Euclidean topology. It is a well-known fact that these groups are actually differentiable manifolds, which essentially means that every point in the group has a neighborhood which is mapped by a smooth homeomorphism (whose inverse is also smooth) to an open ball in Euclidean space. Furthermore, both the group operation of matrix multiplication and the inverse map are smooth. A differentiable manifold with a smooth group operation and inverse map is called a Lie group; of course from this discussion, these matrix groups are Lie groups.

## 6. Topological Properties

Definition 6.1. A matrix group is a closed subgroup of $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$.

Notice that $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is open in $M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$, since $M_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \backslash G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\operatorname{det}^{-1}(\{0\})$ is closed in $M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ by continuity of the determinant function.

Observation 1. All the groups we mentioned above are matrix groups.

Proof: $S L_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\operatorname{det}^{-1}(\{1\})$ which is closed in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ since the determinant function is continuous. To see $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is closed, let $A \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ and define a continuous function $\gamma: G L_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ by $\gamma(A)=A A^{*}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})=\gamma^{-1}(I)$, which is closed in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ since the single element $I$ is closed in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$. We already defined $S O(n)=O(n) \cap S L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $S U(n)=U(n) \cap S L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, and since intersections of closed sets are themselves closed, we are done.

The matrix groups $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K}), S O(n)$, and $S U(n)$ are all compact since they are closed (shown in Observation 1) and bounded (their rows, and also columns, have norm 1). However, $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is not compact for any $n$ because for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an $A \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $\operatorname{det}(A)=m$ which implies that $G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is not bounded. Furthermore, $S L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is not bounded for $n>1$ since for any $r \in$ $\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{diag}(r, 1 / r, 1, \ldots, 1) \in S L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$, showing the group is not compact for any $n>1$. We will show below that $S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})$ is actually isomorphic to $S p(1)$, thus showing $S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})$ is compact. By noticing $S L_{1}(\mathbb{R})=S L_{1}(\mathbb{C})=\{1\}$, these results will show that $S L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ is compact only for $n=1$.

Observation 2. $S p(1) \cong S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proof: Let $a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k} \in S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})$. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\operatorname{det}(a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{1}(a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+b \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i} \\
-c+d \mathbf{i} & a-b \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})=\left\{a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H} \mid a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}=1\right\}=S^{3} .
$$

Any element $q \in S p(1)$ has the property that $q q^{*}=1$, but for $q=a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$, $q^{*}=\bar{q}$. So $q \bar{q}=|q|^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}$, which gives

$$
S p(1)=\left\{a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H} \mid a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}=1\right\}=S^{3}
$$

Since these equal sets have the same group operation and the same topology as well, we conclude $S p(1) \cong S L_{1}(\mathbb{H})$.

We will now discuss another important topological property: path-connectedness. As an example, we will show that $O(n)$ is not path-connected. We claim that there is no path from $A=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1) \in O(n)$ to the identity, $I \in O(n)$. If there were a continuous path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow O(n)$ with $\gamma(0)=A$ and $\gamma(1)=I$, then the composition $\operatorname{det}(\gamma([0,1]))$ would be path-connected since det is a continuous function. But for an element in $O(n)$, the determinant is either -1 or 1 and the set $\{1,-1\}$ is not path-connected. Since $\operatorname{det}(A)=-1$ and $\operatorname{det}(I)=1$, we have a contradiction.

By noticing that det : $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty)$, a similar argument as above will show that there does not exist a continuous path from the identity to the matrix $A=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1)$. This proves that $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is not path-connected for any $n$.

Hall [3] and Stillwell [4] provide proofs using only information covered up to this point that $S O(n), S U(n), U(n), S p(n), S L_{n}(\mathbb{R}), S L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, and $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ are all path-connected. The proofs easily extend to show $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ are also path-connected.

## 7. The Exponential Map

We now define the exponential of a matrix. For any $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$,

$$
e^{A}=I+A+\frac{1}{2!} A^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} A^{3}+\cdots=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k}
$$

In fact, this series converges for any square matrix $A$ [5].

## Properties of the Exponential Map

Let $X, Y \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$.
(i) If $X Y=Y X$, then $e^{X+Y}=e^{X} e^{Y}$.
(ii) If $Y^{-1}$ exists, then $e^{Y X Y^{-1}}=Y e^{X} Y^{-1}$.
(iii) $\left(e^{X}\right)^{*}=e^{X^{*}}$.
(iv) The continuous function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ given by $\alpha(t)=e^{X t}$ is differentiable and has derivative $\alpha^{\prime}(t)=X \cdot \alpha(t)$.
(v) For $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K}), e^{A} \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})$.
(vi) If $A+A^{*}=0$, then $e^{A} \in \mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$.

Proof: (i) We direct the reader to any of $[1,3,5]$. We will provide a counterexample in the case $X Y \neq Y X$ at the end of this section.
(ii) Suppose $Y^{-1}$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{Y X Y^{-1}} & =I+Y X Y^{-1}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(Y X Y^{-1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(Y X Y^{-1}\right)^{3}+\cdots \\
& =I+Y X Y^{-1}+\frac{1}{2!} Y X^{2} Y^{-1}+\frac{1}{3!} Y X^{3} Y^{-1}+\cdots \\
& =Y\left(I+X+\frac{1}{2!} X+\frac{1}{3!} X+\cdots\right) Y^{-1}=Y e^{X} Y^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) We prove this directly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{X}\right)^{*} & =\left(I+X+\frac{1}{2!} X^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} X^{3}+\cdots\right)^{*} \\
& =I^{*}+X^{*}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(X^{2}\right)^{*}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(X^{3}\right)^{*}+\cdots \\
& =I+X^{*}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(X^{*}\right)^{3}+\cdots=e^{X^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last line of the proof relies on the fact that $\left(X^{n}\right)^{*}=\left(X^{*}\right)^{n}$ which follows from the fact that for any two square matrices, $(A B)^{*}=B^{*} A^{*}$ as mentioned in the introduction.
(iv) To see this, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(t)=I+X t+\frac{1}{2!} X^{2} t^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} X^{3} t^{3}+\cdots \\
& \text { which implies } \begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime}(t) & =X+X^{2} t+\frac{1}{2!} X^{3} t^{2}+\cdots \\
& =X\left(I+X t+\frac{1}{2!} X^{2} t^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} X^{3} t^{3}+\cdots\right)=X \cdot \alpha(t)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The term-by-term differentiation is justified because each entry of the matrix $\alpha(t)$ is a power series in $t$.
(v) Since $A$ and $-A$ commute, we will use property (i)

$$
I=e^{0}=e^{A-A}=e^{A} e^{-A} \Longrightarrow\left(e^{A}\right)^{-1}=e^{-A} \Longrightarrow e^{A} \in G L_{n}(\mathbb{K})
$$

(vi) We know that $A+A^{*}=0$, so $A^{*}=-A$. But $A$ commutes with $-A$, so $A$ and $A^{*}$ commute. Then by property (i),

$$
e^{A} e^{A^{*}}=e^{A+A^{*}}=e^{0}=I
$$

But by property (iii), $e^{A^{*}}=\left(e^{A}\right)^{*}$, so $e^{A}\left(e^{A}\right)^{*}=I \Longrightarrow e^{A} \in \mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$.

Proposition 2. For any $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}), e^{\Psi_{n}(A)}=\Psi_{n}\left(e^{A}\right)$.

Proof: We will rely on the fact that $\Psi_{n}$ is a continuous ring homomorphism.

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\Psi_{n}(A)} & =I+\Psi_{n}(A)+\frac{\Psi_{n}(A)^{2}}{2!}+\frac{\Psi_{n}(A)^{3}}{3!}+\ldots \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n}(A)^{k}}{k!} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n}\left(A^{k}\right)}{k!} \quad \text { from property (3) of Proposition 1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\Psi_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^{k}}{k!}\right) \quad \text { from properties }(1) \text { and (2) of Proposition } 1 \\
& =\Psi_{n}\left(e^{A}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Psi_{n}$ is an injective map, this result states that for a matrix $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$,

$$
e^{A}=\Psi_{n}^{-1}\left(e^{\Psi_{n}(A)}\right) .
$$

In particular, in order to find the exponential of a matrix over the quaternions, we will transform it into a complex matrix, calculate its exponential, and bring that matrix back into the quaternions. This is helpful because it allows us to use the wellknown techniques of diagonalization, SN Decomposition, Jordan forms, etc. defined for complex matrices. The drawback is that $\Psi_{n}$ is a map from $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ into $M_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$, so the dimension of the matrix we are dealing with is doubled. For this reason we will only do computations with the low-dimensional quaternionic matrix groups.

Theorem 1. Let $q=a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$ with $v=\sqrt{b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}} \neq 0$, then

$$
e^{q}=e^{a}\left(\cos v+\frac{b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}{v} \sin v\right)
$$

Proof: We can write $b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}=b \mathbf{i}+(c+d \mathbf{i}) \mathbf{j}$, so that

$$
M=\Psi_{1}(b \mathbf{i}+(c+d \mathbf{i}) \mathbf{j})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i} \\
-c+d \mathbf{i} & -b \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This matrix has characteristic polynomial $\lambda^{2}+v^{2}=0$ from which we have eigenvalues $\lambda=v \mathbf{i}$ and $\lambda=-v \mathbf{i}$ with corresponding eigenvectors

$$
\binom{c+d \mathbf{i}}{(v-b) \mathbf{i}} \text { and }\binom{c+d \mathbf{i}}{-(b+v) \mathbf{i}}, \text { respectively. }
$$

We may now diagonalize our matrix $M$ in the standard way:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i} \\
-c+d \mathbf{i} & -b \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{D}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c+d \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i} \\
(v-b) \mathbf{i} & -(b+v) \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v \mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & -v \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-(b+v) \mathbf{i} & -c-d \mathbf{i} \\
(b-v) \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Where $D=(c+d \mathbf{i})(-2 v \mathbf{i})$ is the determinant of the matrix formed by the eigenvectors of $M$. We know from property (ii) of the exponential map that $e^{A B A^{-1}}=A e^{B} A^{-1}$. Therefore, to calculate the exponential of $M$, we simply need to calculate the exponential of $\operatorname{diag}(v \mathbf{i},-v \mathbf{i})$ and multiply by the matrix formed by the eigenvalues on the left and on the right by the inverse of the matrix formed by the eigenvectors. But $e^{\operatorname{diag}(v \mathbf{i},-v \mathbf{i})}$ is simply $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{v \mathbf{i}}, e^{-v \mathbf{i}}\right)$, so we see that

$$
e^{M}=\frac{1}{D}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c+d \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i} \\
(v-b) \mathbf{i} & -(b+v) \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{v \mathbf{i}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-v \mathbf{i}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-(b+v) \mathbf{i} & -c-d \mathbf{i} \\
(b-v) \mathbf{i} & c+d \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{M} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}+e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{2}+\frac{b}{v}\left(\frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}-e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right) & \frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}-e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{2 v \mathbf{i}}(c+d \mathbf{i}) \\
\frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}-e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{-2 v \mathbf{i}}(c-d \mathbf{i}) & \frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}+e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{2}-\frac{b}{v}\left(\frac{e^{v \mathbf{i}}-e^{-v \mathbf{i}}}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos v+\frac{b}{v} \mathbf{i} \sin v & \frac{c}{v} \sin v+\frac{d}{v} \mathbf{i} \sin v \\
-\frac{c}{v} \sin v+\frac{d}{v} \mathbf{i} \sin v & \cos v-\frac{b}{v} \mathbf{i} \sin v
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\Psi_{1}\left(\cos v+\frac{b}{v} \mathbf{i} \sin v+\frac{c}{v} \mathbf{j} \sin v+\frac{d}{v} \mathbf{k} \sin v\right) \\
& =\Psi_{1}\left(\cos v+\frac{b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}{v} \sin v\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e^{a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}=e^{a} e^{b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}$ from the discussion in the introduction that the real numbers commute with the quaternions and from property (i) of the exponential map,
we conclude that

$$
e^{q}=e^{a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}=e^{a}\left(\cos v+\frac{b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}}{v} \sin v\right), \text { for } \sqrt{b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}} \neq 0
$$

Notice that when $v=\sqrt{b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}}=0$, we must have $b=c=d=0$. Since $\lim _{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin v}{v}=1$, we have $e^{q}=e^{a}$.

At this point we are ready to show that $e^{X+Y} \neq e^{X} e^{Y}$ for some $X, Y \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ (see property (i) of the exponential map).

Counterexample: Consider $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}$. We already know that $\mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{i}$. Using the previous theorem,

$$
e^{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}=\cos \sqrt{2}+\frac{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \sqrt{2}
$$

However, $e^{\mathbf{i}} e^{\mathbf{j}}=(\cos 1+\mathbf{i} \sin 1)(\cos 1+\mathbf{j} \sin 1)=\cos ^{2} 1+(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}) \cos 1 \sin 1+\mathbf{k} \sin ^{2} 1$. Clearly these two values are not equal, in particular, the former doesn't even have a $\mathbf{k}$ term.

## 8. Lie Algebras

Let $S$ be a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For some point $x \in S$, the tangent space to $S$ at $x$ is the set of initial velocity vectors of differentiable paths in $S$ through $x$ :

$$
T_{x} S=\left\{\gamma^{\prime}(0) \mid \gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow S \text { is differentiable with } \gamma(0)=x\right\} .
$$

Since our matrix groups are subsets of Euclidean space, we give the following definition:

Definition 8.1. The Lie algebra of a matrix group $G$ is the tangent space at the identity along with the extra bracket structure explained below. We denote it $\mathfrak{g}=$ $T_{I} G$. When the group is specified, the Lie algebra of the group will be denoted by the same letters used to represent the group, except lower-case. The Lie algebra of a matrix group has the following important properties:

## Properties of the Lie Algebra

(1) The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a matrix group $G$ is a real vector space.
(2) The Lie algebra is closed under the Lie bracket operation [, ]: $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by $[A, B]=A B-B A$.

Proof: For both proofs, let $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, $A, B$ are initial velocity vectors of differentiable paths in $G$ through the identity. Call these paths $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, respectively, so that $A=\alpha^{\prime}(0)$ and $B=\beta^{\prime}(0)$, where $\alpha(0)=I=\beta(0)$.
To prove (1), for some fixed scalars $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\gamma(t)=\alpha(\lambda t) \cdot \beta(\mu t)$. Then $\gamma(t)$ is a differentiable path in $G$ with $\gamma(0)=I$, so $\gamma^{\prime}(0) \in \mathfrak{g}$ by definition of the tangent space. But by the product rule,

$$
\gamma^{\prime}(0)=\lambda \alpha^{\prime}(0) \cdot \beta(0)+\mu \alpha(0) \cdot \beta^{\prime}(0)=\lambda A+\mu B \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real vector space.

To prove (2), fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the path $\gamma_{s}(t)=\alpha(s) \cdot \beta(t) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(s)$ is differentiable in $G$ and $\gamma_{s}(0)=I$, so $\gamma_{s}^{\prime}(0) \in \mathfrak{g}$. But $\gamma_{s}^{\prime}(0)=\alpha(s) \cdot B \cdot \alpha^{-1}(s)$ is a differentiable path in $\mathfrak{g}$ (since $\alpha$ is differentiable), whose derivative is in $\mathfrak{g}$ because $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under limits. If we let $s$ vary and set $\delta(s)=\gamma_{s}^{\prime}(0)$, then

$$
\delta^{\prime}(0)=\alpha^{\prime}(0) \cdot B \cdot \alpha^{-1}(0)+\alpha(0) \cdot B \cdot\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(0)=A B-B A .
$$

This follows from the fact that $\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(0)$ is $-A$ because $\alpha^{-1}$ traverses $\alpha$ in the opposite direction. So the Lie algebra is closed under the bracket operation.

We mentioned earlier that these matrix groups are manifolds. We are now in a position to talk about their dimensions:

Definition 8.2. We define the dimension of a matrix group $G$ to be the dimension of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ as a real vector space.

Just as we used $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ to denote $O(n), U(n)$, and $S p(n)$, we will write $o(n)$ to mean $s o(n), u(n)$, and $s p(n)$, the Lie algebras of $S O(n), U(n)$, and $S p(n)$, respectively. Notice that $S O(n)$ is the connected component of $O(n)$ which contains the identity, so the Lie algebra of $O(n)$ is the same as the Lie algebra of $S O(n)$.

Proposition 3. We will list the Lie algebras of our matrix groups. When we list multiple dimensions for the same group, they are in order from $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\text { Lie algebra } & \text { Description } & \text { Dimension } \\
g l_{n}(\mathbb{K}) & M_{n}(\mathbb{K}) & n^{2}, 2 n^{2}, 4 n^{2} \\
\operatorname{sl} l_{n}(\mathbb{R} \text { or } \mathbb{C}) & \left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R} \text { or } \mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{trace}(A)=0\right\} & n-1,2(n-1) \\
o(n) & \left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A+A^{*}=0\right\} & \frac{n^{2}-n}{2}, n^{2}, 2 n^{2}+n \\
\operatorname{su}(n) & \{A \in u(n) \mid \operatorname{trace}(A)=0\} & n^{2}-1
\end{array}
$$

Proof: We will show the proof for $o(n)$, see any of $[1,2,4,5]$ for the remaining proofs. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a differentiable path in $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $\gamma(0)=I$. Then $\gamma(t) \cdot \gamma(t)^{*}=I$ for all $t$. Differentiating both sides and applying the product rule yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \gamma(t) \cdot \gamma(t)^{*} & =\gamma^{\prime}(0)+\gamma^{\prime}(0)^{*} \\
& =0=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} I
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have $o(n) \subseteq\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid M+M^{*}=0\right\}$.
Conversely, let $A \in\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid M+M^{*}=0\right\}$. Then by properties (iv) and (vi) of the exponential map, $e^{A t}$ is a differentiable path in $\mathcal{O}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ through the identity, whose initial velocity vector, $A$, is in $o(n)$. Thus, $\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid M+M^{*}=0\right\} \subseteq o(n)$, and we are done. Determining the dimensions for $o(n)$ boils down to finding the entries of the matrix that are independent of the others. First, notice that there cannot be any real elements along the diagonal, and second, that we may choose any entries either above or below the diagonal. Counting these up gives our dimensions listed above.

Note: It is not necessarily true that the Lie algebra of a matrix group is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ (see Property (1) of the Lie algebra). For example, $u(1)=\{x \mathbf{i} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and clearly $x \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{i}=-x \notin u(1)$. Furthermore, the Lie algebra of a matrix group is not closed under multiplication (although it is closed under the Lie bracket, see Property (2) of the Lie algebra). For example, the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ are in the Lie algebra $s o(2)$ of $S O(2)$, but their product, $I$, is not.

For a matrix $A$, we will introduce the notation $A[i, j]$ to denote the matrix formed by removing row $i$ and column $j$ from the original matrix $A$. For example,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right)[2,2]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 2. Let $\gamma(t):(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ be a differentiable function with $\gamma(0)=I$, then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}(\gamma(t))=\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)\right)
$$

Proof: Recall that for $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}), \operatorname{det}(A)$ means $\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} ^{\operatorname{det}(\gamma(t))} & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \sum_{m=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{m+1} \cdot \Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))_{1 m} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))[1, m]\right) \\
& =\left.\sum_{m=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{m+1} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))_{1 m} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))[1, m]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This construction of the determinant uses the $A[i, j]$ notation introduced above. In the last line, we simply distribute the derivative through the summation. Applying the product rule and the easy observation that $\frac{d}{d t} \Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))=\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)$ gives us:

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\sum_{m=1}^{2 n}(-1)^{m+1}\left(\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{1 m}\right. & \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(0))[1, m]\right) \\
& \left.+\left.\Psi_{n}(\gamma(0))_{1 m} \cdot \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))[1, m]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this summation, all terms except for the first will be zero. In the first line of this summation, this is because

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(0))[1, m]\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \mathrm{~m}=1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the second line of the summation, $\Psi_{n}(\gamma(0))=\Psi_{n}\left(I_{n \times n}\right)=I_{2 n \times 2 n}$, which shows that

$$
\Psi_{n}(\gamma(0))_{1 m}= \begin{cases}1, & \mathrm{~m}=1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

These two facts give us

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}(\gamma(t))=\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{11}+\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))[1,1]\right)
$$

This argument may be reused to show

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}(\gamma(t)[1,1])=\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{22}+\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(\gamma(t))[1,1][2,2]\right)
$$

This process will terminate after $2 n$ steps, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}(\gamma(t)) & =\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{11}+\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{22}+\cdots+\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)_{2 n 2 n} \\
& =\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. For $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right)=e^{\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right) \cdot t}
$$

Proof: In the last line of this proof, we rely on Theorem 2 and property (iv) of the exponential map: that $e^{A t}$ is differentiable, with derivative $A e^{A t}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right) & =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A(t+h)}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right)}{h} \\
& =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t} e^{A h}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right)}{h} \\
& =\left(\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A h}\right)-1}{h}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right) \\
& =\left(\left.\frac{d}{d y}\right|_{y=0} \operatorname{det}\left(e^{A y}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left.\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=1$, the unique solution to this differential equation is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right)=e^{\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right) \cdot t}
$$

Theorem 4. The Lie algebra $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ of $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is

$$
\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Proof: Let $\gamma(t)$ be a differentiable path in $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ with $\gamma(0)=I$, so $\operatorname{det}(\gamma(t))=1$ for all $t$. Then by Theorem 2,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{det}(\gamma(t))=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} 1=0=\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)\right) .
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{sl}_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \subseteq\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(M)\right)=0\right\}$.
Conversely, let $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ with $\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)=0$. Then by property (iv) of the exponential map, $\alpha(t)=e^{A t}$ is a differentiable path with $\alpha(0)=I$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=A$. In fact, $\alpha(t)$ is in $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(e^{A t}\right) & =e^{\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right) \cdot t} & & \text { by Theorem } 3 \\
& =e^{0}=1 & & \text { since } \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(M)\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{sl}_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. The double inclusion proves the theorem.

We will now provide a characterization of $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ that will be easier to work with since it does not involve the map $\Psi_{n}$. Let $q_{i j} \in \mathbb{H}$ denote the $i, j$-entry of some $\operatorname{matrix} A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. We can write $q_{i j}=z_{i j}+w_{i j} \mathbf{j}$ for some $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right) & =z_{11}+\overline{z_{11}}+z_{22}+\overline{z_{22}}+\cdots+z_{n n}+\overline{z_{n n}} \\
& =2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k k}\right) \\
& =2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{k k}\right)=2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(A)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(A))=0$, so we can characterize the Lie algebra of $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ as $\operatorname{sl}_{n}(\mathbb{H})=\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{Re}(\right.$ trace $\left.(A))=0\right\}$.

Now we can determine that the dimension of $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is $4 n^{2}-1$. This is because every entry of a matrix in $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ has the form $a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$, except for the last diagonal entry, whose real part must be the negative of the real parts of the preceding diagonal entries. Thus we have $4 n^{2}-1$ choices for entries.

## 9. Ideals and Normal Subgroups

Definition 9.1. For a matrix group $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, a vector subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ if, for all $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{g},[A, B] \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Theorem 5. If $H$ is a normal subgroup of a matrix group $G$ (whose Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively), then $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof: To see this, notice that for any paths $\alpha(t) \in G$ and $\beta(s) \in H$, with $\alpha(0)=$ $\beta(0)=I$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=A$ and $\beta^{\prime}(0)=B$, we have $\alpha(t) \beta(s) \alpha^{-1}(t) \in H$ since $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=o} \alpha(t) \beta(s) \alpha^{-1}(t)=\alpha(t) \beta^{\prime}(0) \alpha^{-1}(t)=\alpha(t) B \alpha^{-1}(t)
$$

is a smooth path in $\mathfrak{h}$, whose derivative with respect to $t$ is in $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=o} \alpha(t) B \alpha^{-1}(t)=\alpha^{\prime}(0) B \alpha^{-1}(0)+\alpha(0) B\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)^{-1}=[A, B]
$$

So $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$.

It turns out that $s p(n)$ has no nontrivial ideals [4]; however, $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ does, as we will now show.

Proposition 4. $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is an ideal in $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proof: The determinant function, det : $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a group homomorphism since $\operatorname{det}(A B)=\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(A B)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}(A) \Psi_{n}(B)\right)=\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(B)$. Therefore, since $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is the kernel of the determinant function, it is normal in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. By Theorem $5, s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is an ideal in $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proposition 5. The set $\mathcal{S}=\{x \cdot I \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an ideal in $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proof: We will prove directly using the definition of an ideal. The set $\mathcal{S}$ is a vector subspace of $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, since for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}, r(X+Y)=r X+r Y \in \mathcal{S}$. To
see $\mathcal{S}$ is an ideal in $g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, let $A \in g l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}$ with $X=x I$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X, A]=X \cdot A-A \cdot X } & =x I \cdot A-A \cdot x I \\
& =x A-x A=0 \in \mathcal{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

This last line relies on the fact that every real number commutes with any quaternion, as discussed in the introduction.

Proposition 6. Every element of $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ can be written uniquely as a sum of an element in $\mathcal{S}$ and an element in $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proof: Recall that $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ has two equivalent definitions:

$$
\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{trace}\left(\Psi_{n}(A)\right)=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(A))=0\right\} .
$$

For this proof, we will use the latter of the two. Let $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and denote the $i, j$-entry of $A$ by $a_{i j}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(A))=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k k}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right) .
$$

If we set $x=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right)$ and $\beta_{i i}=\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{i i}\right)-x$, we see that $x, \beta_{i i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{k k} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right)-x\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{m m}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right)-n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{k k}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $B$ be the matrix formed by replacing each $\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{i i}\right)$ entry of $A$ by $\beta_{i i}$ while leaving the other elements of $A$ untouched. Then $B \in s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ since $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(B))=0$. Furthermore, $A=B+x I$ and this decomposition is unique.

Corollary 1. Let $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, then $e^{A}=e^{x} e^{B}$, where $x$ and $B$ are as in the previous proof.

Proof: Since $x \in \mathbb{R}, x$ commutes with all quaternions. Furthermore, $x I \cdot B=x B$ and $B \cdot x I=x B \cdot I=x B$, so $x I$ and $B$ commute. By property (i) of the exponential map,

$$
e^{A}=e^{x I+B}=e^{x I} e^{B}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{x I} & =I+x I+\frac{1}{2!}(x I)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}(x I)^{3}+\cdots \\
& =I+x I+\frac{1}{2!} x^{2} I+\frac{1}{3!} x^{3} I+\cdots \\
& =e^{x} I
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $e^{A}=e^{x I} e^{B}=e^{x} I \cdot e^{B}=e^{x} \cdot e^{B}$.

The question of determining the exponential of any given matrix over the quaternions becomes a slightly simpler question of determining the exponential of the associated matrix over the quaternions whose real part of the trace equal to zero.

## 10. Maximal Tori

Definition 10.1. An $n$-dimensional torus in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, denoted $\mathbb{T}^{n}$, is a Cartesian product of $n$ circle groups

$$
\mathbb{T}^{n}=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right) \mid \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}
$$

A torus is called maximal if, whenever it is contained in another torus, the tori are equal. Notice that, since the circle group is abelian, so is the n-dimensional torus. This observation will be important in determining a standard maximal torus for our matrix groups.

Proposition 7. We have the following standard maximal tori of the matrix groups we have discussed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\left\{\left.\operatorname{diag}\left(\binom{\cos \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{1}}{-\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1}}, \ldots,\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta_{n} \\
-\sin \theta_{n} \\
-\sin \theta_{n} \cos \theta_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \subset S O(2 n) \\
& T=\left\{\left.\operatorname{diag}\left(\binom{\cos \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{1}}{-\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{\cos \theta_{n} \sin \theta_{n}}{-\sin \theta_{n} \cos \theta_{n}}, 1\right) \right\rvert\, \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \subset S O(2 n+1) \\
& T=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right) \mid \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi), \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}=0\right\} \subset S U(n) \\
& T=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right) \mid \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \subset U(n) \\
& T=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{n}}\right) \mid \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \subset S p(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Although we talk about the standard maximal torus, we recognize that there are other maximal tori. For example, if $T$ is a maximal torus in a matrix group $G$, then so is $g T g^{-1}$ for any $g \in G$. This is because, the conjugation map $A d_{g}$ is an automorphism of $G$, and thus $g T g^{-1}$ is isomorphic to $T$, meaning that if $g T g^{-1}$ were not maximal, then $T$ would not be either.

Since we are most interested in the symplectic groups, we will prove that the standard maximal torus listed above is a maximal torus in $S p(n)$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ represent the row vector in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ with a 1 in the $i^{\text {th }}$ position and zeros elsewhere. The torus $T$ we wrote above is clearly a torus and is in $S p(n)$ since $T \cdot T^{*}=I$. Suppose this torus were contained in another maximal torus, $T^{\prime}$. Choose an $A \in T^{\prime}$, then since $T \subset T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$ is abelian, $A$ must commute with every element of the torus $T$ we are claiming is maximal. In particular, $A$ must commute with $\operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1)$. By writing $\mathbf{e}_{1} A=a_{11} \mathbf{e}_{1}+a_{12} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} \mathbf{e}_{n}$, where $a_{i j}$ represents the $i, j$ entry of $A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot A \cdot \operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1)=-a_{11} \mathbf{e}_{1}+a_{12} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} \mathbf{e}_{n} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1) \cdot A=-a_{11} \mathbf{e}_{1}-a_{12} \mathbf{e}_{2}-\cdots-a_{1 n} \mathbf{e}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality of these implies that $a_{1 j}=0$ for $j \in\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$. Similarly, $A$ must commute with $\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,1, \ldots, 1)$, which implies that $a_{2 j}=0$ for $j \in\{1,3,4, \ldots, n\}$. Continuing this way yields that $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{n n}\right)$, where $a_{k k} \in \mathbb{H}$. But since $A$ must commute with $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{i}, \ldots, \mathbf{i})$, each $a_{k k}$ must be of the form $e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{k}}$ since $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ do not commute with $\mathbf{i}$. Thus, our torus above is maximal.

## Properties of Maximal Tori

(i) Every maximal torus in a compact matrix group is a maximal abelian subgroup.
(ii) Let $G$ be a compact, connected matrix group and $T$ its standard maximal torus. Then

$$
G=\bigcup_{x \in G} x T x^{-1}
$$

We mentioned earlier that conjugation of the standard maximal torus by an invertible element of the matrix group gives another maximal torus. The converse is a consequence of property (ii) above: any two maximal tori in a matrix group are conjugate by an element of the group. Property (ii) also states that any element of the matrix group is conjugate to an element in the standard maximal torus. In our
proof of the maximal torus in $S p(2)$, we noted property (i). It follows that the center of a compact matrix group is contained in the maximal torus, since every element which commutes with the entire group must commute with the maximal torus, and is therefore contained in the torus. The centers are given by [2]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z(S O(2 n))=\{I,-I\}, \quad Z(S O(2 n+1))=\{I\}, \quad Z(S U(n))=\left\{\omega I \mid \omega^{n}=1\right\}, \\
Z(U(n))=\left\{e^{\mathbf{i} \theta} I \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}, \quad Z(S p(n))=\{I,-I\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We will illustrate how the properties of maximal tori for compact, connected matrix groups listed above do not hold for non-compact or disconnected matrix groups, such as $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and $O(n)$.

Observation 3. $T=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right) \mid \theta_{m} \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$ is a maximal torus in $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

Proof: Clearly $T$ is a torus. We must first show that this torus is contained in $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, and therefore in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. This follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(T) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{n}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, e^{-\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}, e^{-\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}-\mathbf{i} \theta_{1} \cdots+\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}-\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}}=e^{0}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point, the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 7 will show that this torus is maximal.

When we discussed compactness of matrix groups, we showed that $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is not compact for any $n$. Since real numbers commute with all quaternions, it is easy to see that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \neq 0$, that $A=\operatorname{diag}(x, \ldots, x) \in Z\left(G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})\right)$ but when $|x| \neq 1, A$ is not in the standard maximal torus in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. Thus, the center of $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ is not contained in the standard maximal torus as before when we dealt with
compact matrix groups. Furthermore, when we mentioned connectedness of matrix groups, we showed that $O(n)$ is not connected for any $n$. It is clear that $O(n)$ and $S O(n)$ have the same maximal tori, since $S O(n)$ is the connected component of the identity and tori are path-connected. However, $Z(O(n))=\{I,-I\}$ for all $n$, while $Z(S O(n))= \begin{cases}\{I,-I\}, & \text { for } n \text { even } \\ \{I\}, & \text { for } n \text { odd. }\end{cases}$

This discussion suggests that maximal tori are only useful in compact, connected matrix groups. Therefore, we will omit further discussion of maximal tori in noncompact or in disconnected matrix groups.

We may also talk about the Lie algebra of the standard maximal torus. This notion makes sense because the identity is in the standard maximal torus, and since tori are path-connected, we may find smooth paths through the identity. Since our standard maximal torus looks like a Cartesian product of circle groups (whose tangent space to the identity is the set $\{x \mathbf{i} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ), we expect its Lie algebra to look like a Cartesian product of Lie algebras of the circle group. This is in fact the case, and we have the following:

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a matrix group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then since the maximal torus of $G$ is contained in $G$, the Lie algebra of the maximal torus, denoted $\tau(\mathfrak{g})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(\operatorname{so}(2 n))=\left\{\left.\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta_{1} \\
-\theta_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \ldots,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta_{n} \\
-\theta_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
& \tau(\operatorname{so}(2 n+1))=\left\{\left.\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta_{1} \\
-\theta_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \ldots,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta_{n} \\
-\theta_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \right\rvert\, \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
& \tau(\operatorname{su}(n))=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_{k}=0\right\} \\
& \tau(u(n))=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
& \tau(\operatorname{sp}(n))=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We will prove that $\tau(s p(n))=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \mid \theta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Let $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ represent the standard maximal torus in $S p(n)$ and let $\gamma(t)$ be a differentiable path in $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ with $\gamma(0)=I$. Since $\gamma(t)$ is in $\mathbb{T}^{n}$, it must be diagonal and each diagonal element must have norm 1. Therefore, the real part of $\gamma(t)$ reaches a maximum at 0 , since $\gamma(0)=I$. Thus, the real part of $\gamma^{\prime}(0)$ is zero, so $\gamma^{\prime}(0)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{i} \theta_{n}\right)$, so that $\tau(\operatorname{sp}(n)) \subseteq\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Conversely, let $A \in\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in\right.$ $\mathbb{R}\}$, then $\delta(t)=e^{A t}$ is a differentiable path in $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ with $\delta(0)=I$ and $\delta^{\prime}(0)=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{i} \theta_{n}\right)$, which implies $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subseteq \tau(\operatorname{sp}(n))$. Thus, $\tau(\operatorname{sp}(n))=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1} \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \mathbf{i}\right) \mid \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$.

The proofs for $\tau(s u(n))$ and $\tau(u(n))$ are similar. The proofs for $\tau(s o(2 n))$ and $\tau(s o(2 n+1))$ use the same argument and the fact that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) & =I+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{4!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)^{4}+\cdots \\
& =I+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta \\
-\theta & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{2!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{2}
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{3!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \theta^{3} \\
-\theta^{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{4!}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta^{4} & 0 \\
0 & \theta^{4}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear from this proof that, for any of the matrix groups listed above, the exponential map is surjective from $\tau(\mathfrak{g})$ onto the standard maximal torus of the matrix group. From property (ii) of maximal tori, we know that for any $g \in G$, there exists an $x \in G$ and a $T$ in the standard maximal torus of $G$, such that $g=x T x^{-1}$. Surjectivity of the exponential map implies $T=e^{t}$ for some $t \in \tau(\mathfrak{g})$. Then

$$
g=x T x^{-1}=x e^{t} x^{-1}=e^{x t x^{-1}}
$$

Since $x x^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that exp: $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is surjective (where $G$ is one of the compact, connected groups $S O(n), S U(n), U(n), S p(n)$ from above).

Although we mentioned path-connectedness of these four matrix groups, our discussion allows for an easy proof of this fact. By surjectivity of the exponential
map onto these groups, and from property (vi) of the exponential map, it follows that every element $A \in G$, where $e^{\alpha}=A$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$, can be connected to the identity by the path $e^{\alpha t}$.

We mentioned above that there are special orthogonal and special unitary groups, but no special symplectic group. It turns out that there is no need for a special symplectic group, since the determinant of an element in the symplectic group is 1 , as we now show.

Theorem 7. The determinant of an element in $S p(n)$ is 1 .

Proof: Let $A \in S p(n)$. Since the exponential map is surjective from $s p(n)$ onto $S p(n)$, there exists an element $\alpha \in \operatorname{sp}(n)$ such that $e^{\alpha}=A$. But since $\alpha \in \operatorname{sp}(n)$, $\alpha+\alpha^{*}=0$ from construction of the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$. Among other things, this implies that there are no real entries along the diagonal, i.e. $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(\alpha))=0$. Recall that the Lie algebra of $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ had two equivalent definitions, one of which was $\left\{M \in M_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{trace}(M))=0\right\}$. Therefore $\alpha \in s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ and so $s p(n) \subset s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. But the exponential map takes elements of $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ into $S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, as we showed in the proof of Theorem 4. This implies that $A=e^{\alpha} \in S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, so that $\operatorname{det}(A)=1$. Since $A$ was an arbitrary element of $S p(n)$, we conclude that $S p(n) \subset S L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$.

## 11. Weyl Group of $S p(n)$

Definition 11.1. The normalizer of a nonempty subset $H$ of a group $G$ is the subgroup

$$
N_{G}(H)=\left\{g \in G \mid g H g^{-1}=H\right\}
$$

In fact, if $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $H$ is normal in $N_{G}(H)$.

Definition 11.2. Let $T$ be a maximal torus in some compact matrix group $G$. We define the Weyl group of $G$ as $N_{G}(T) / T$.

It turns out that under this definition, the Weyl group of a matrix group is well defined, for if we used another maximal torus $T^{\prime}$, then by the property that maximal tori are conjugate by an element in the matrix group, the conjugation map by this element would induce an isomorphism of these maximal tori and their normalizers, and therefore their Weyl groups.

Lemma 1. There are only two automorphisms of the circle group $\mathbb{T}^{1}=S^{1}$.

Proof: Let $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be an isomorphism, then $\phi(1)=1$. Furthermore, since $\phi(-1)^{2}=\phi(1)=1$ implies $\phi(-1)=-1$ or $\phi(-1)=1$, injectivity of $\phi$ implies $\phi(-1)=-1$. Next we see that $\phi(\mathbf{i})^{2}=\phi(-1)=-1$ and similarly $\phi(-\mathbf{i})^{2}=-1$, so $\phi(\mathbf{i})$ can take two possible values, namely $\mathbf{i}$ or $-\mathbf{i}$, which leaves the remaining choice for $\phi(-\mathbf{i})$. We see that this gives rise to the only two automorphisms of $S^{1}$, the identity map and the conjugation map: $z \mapsto z$ and $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, respectively.

Theorem 8. The Weyl group of $S p(1)$ is $N_{S p(1)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{1}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof: From Proposition 7, the standard maximal torus in $S p(1)$ is $\mathbb{T}^{1}=\left(e^{\mathbf{i} \theta}\right) \cong S^{1}$. From the previous lemma, we want to find the elements of $S p(1)$ which induce the two automorphisms of the circle group by conjugation. If conjugation by $q \in S p(1)$ induces the identity automorphism, then $q$ commutes with every element in $\mathbb{T}^{1}$, and
must be in $\mathbb{T}^{1}$ (since $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ do not commute with $\mathbf{i}$ ). If $q \in S p(1)$ induces the other automorphism, then

$$
q(x+y \mathbf{i}) q^{-1}=x-y \mathbf{i} .
$$

So we seek $q \in S p(1)$ with $q \mathbf{i} q^{-1}=-\mathbf{i}$. But $q=a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$ with $a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}=1$. Since $q \in \operatorname{Sp}(1), q^{-1}=\bar{q}$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q \mathbf{i} q^{-1} & =(a+b \mathbf{i}+c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{i}(a-b \mathbf{i}-c \mathbf{j}-d \mathbf{k}) \\
& =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}-d^{2}\right) \mathbf{i}+2(a d+b c) \mathbf{j}-2(a c-b d) \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting this equal to $-\mathbf{i}$ implies $a=b=0$. Thus $q=c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k}$, so that the normalizer of $\mathbb{T}^{1}$ in $S p(1)$ is

$$
N_{S p(1)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{T}^{1} \sqcup\left\{c \mathbf{j}+d \mathbf{k} \mid c^{2}+d^{2}=1\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad N_{S p(1)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{1}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

Lemma 2. There are 8 automorphisms of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$.

Proof: From Lemma 1, we know there are two automorphisms of the circle group. Similarly, the 8 automorphisms of the 2 -torus are those that permute and/or conjugate the two factors. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{z}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{z}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{2} & 0 \\
0 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{z}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & z_{1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{z}_{1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{2}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{z}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{z}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 9. The Weyl group of $S p(2)$ is $N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{2} \cong D_{4}$.
Proof: We will first show how to determine the normalizer of $\mathbb{T}^{2} \subset S p(2)$. Since there are only 8 automorphisms of the 2 -torus, we will show that there are elements of $S p(2)$ that induce these automorphisms by conjugation. In particular, we need to find $q \in S p(2)$ such that $q \mathbb{T}^{2} q^{-1}=q \mathbb{T}^{2} q^{*}=\mathbb{T}^{2}$. Let us first consider whether or not
the automorphisms exchange the two circle groups that make up $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, i.e. if we let $A d_{q}$ be the conjugation map by $q \in S p(2)$, we are looking to see if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{A d_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { or if }}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Relating this to what we found in $\left(^{*}\right)$ of Lemma 2, the former represents the automorphisms that do not exchange the circle groups (shown in the first line of $\left(^{*}\right)$ ), while the latter represents those automorphisms that do (shown on the second line of $(*)$ ). Thus,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1} & q_{2} \\
q_{3} & q_{4}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{q}_{1} & \bar{q}_{3} \\
\bar{q}_{2} & \bar{q}_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|q_{2}\right|^{2} & q_{1} \bar{q}_{3}-q_{2} \bar{q}_{4} \\
q_{3} \bar{q}_{1}-q_{4} \bar{q}_{2} & \left|q_{3}\right|^{2}-\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Setting this equal to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ yields $\left|q_{1}\right|=\left|q_{4}\right|=1$ and $\left|q_{2}\right|=\left|q_{3}\right|=0$. On the other hand, if we set this equal to $\left(\begin{array}{rr}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, it follows that $\left|q_{1}\right|=\left|q_{4}\right|=0$ and $\left|q_{2}\right|=\left|q_{3}\right|=1$. This follows from the fact that $\left|q_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|q_{2}\right|^{2}=1$ and $\left|q_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|q_{4}\right|^{2}=1$ since the rows of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}q_{1} & q_{2} \\ q_{3} & q_{4}\end{array}\right)$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$. This tells us that an element in the normalizer of the standard maximal torus in $S p(2)$ is either diagonal or has entries only in the off-diagonal positions. To determine more information about each $q_{n}$, we must consider how the above matrices act on, say, $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i}\end{array}\right)$. We have:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{1} & 0 \\
0 & q_{4}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{q}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{q}_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{1} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & q_{4} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

or

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q_{2} \\
q_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \bar{q}_{3} \\
\bar{q}_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{2} \overline{\mathbf{q}}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & q_{3} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From this, if we write $q_{n}=a_{n}+b_{n} \mathbf{i}+c_{n} \mathbf{j}+d_{n} \mathbf{k}$, we get that

$$
q_{n} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{n}=\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}-c_{n}^{2}-d_{n}^{2}\right) \mathbf{i}+2\left(a_{n} d_{n}+b_{n} c_{n}\right) \mathbf{j}+2\left(b_{n} d_{n}-a_{n} c_{n}\right) \mathbf{k} .
$$

Therefore, if $q_{n} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{n}=\mathbf{i}$, we see that $c_{n}=d_{n}=0$ in ( $\dagger$ ) since $\left|q_{n}\right|^{2}=1$. So, $q_{n}=e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{n}}$, for some $\theta_{n} \in[0,2 \pi)$. This is the automorphism that is the identity map on one of the $S^{1}$ factors of $\mathbb{T}^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$.

However, if $q_{n} \mathbf{i} \bar{q}_{n}=-\mathbf{i}$, then in $(\dagger)$, it must be that $a_{n}=b_{n}=0$. Thus, $q_{n}=$ $c_{n} \mathbf{j}+d_{n} \mathbf{k}=e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{n}} \mathbf{j}$ for some $\theta_{n}$. Of course, this is the automorphism that takes the complex conjugate of one of the $S^{1}$ factors in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$. We conclude that the normalizer of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ in $S p(2)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta_{1}} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}} \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}} \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

These elements correspond with those automorphisms of the 2-torus we found above in $(*)$.

Therefore, the Weyl group, $N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{2}$, contains 8 elements. To further characterize the Weyl group, notice that $N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ is not commutative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}} \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{3}} \\
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{4}} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{3}\right)} \\
e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{4}\right)} \mathbf{j} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{3}} \\
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{4}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}} \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}\right)} \mathbf{j} \\
e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{4}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so the Weyl group cannot be commutative. Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that the only two elements of $N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ that have order four are:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} \mathbf{j} \\
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} \\
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}} \mathbf{j} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, the Weyl group has only two elements of order 4. The remaining elements (besides the identity) have order 2. This is enough information to completely classify the Weyl group of $S p(2): N_{S p(2)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{2} \cong D_{4}$.

Lemma 3. There are $2^{n} n$ ! automorphisms of the $n$-torus, $\mathbb{T}^{n}=S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}$.

The same reasoning applies here as it did before. Write $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ to represent each factor of $S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}$. Then we have the choice to send each $z_{i}$ to either $z_{i}$ or $\overline{z_{i}}$. This gives us our $2^{n}$ choices. Now, we may permute these objects (i.e. send some $z_{i}$ to $z_{j}$ ). Of course, the number of ways to permute $n$ objects is $n!$. Since these choices are independent, we get $2^{n} n$ ! automorphisms.

Corollary 2. The normalizer of the maximal torus in $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ contains $2^{n} n$ ! components.

Proof: This is just a generalization of the proof of the Weyl group of $S p(2)$, we just find the elements of $S p(n)$ that induce, by conjugation, these $2^{n} n$ ! automorphisms of the maximal torus. In the proof of the Weyl group of $S p(2)$, that in order to induce complex conjugation, we need to conjugate by $e^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathbf{j}$, see $(\dagger)$ in the proof of Theorem 9 . If we are given an automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ that takes the complex conjugate of entries $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ and permutes the entries $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l}$, then we can construct an element of $S p(n)$ that induces the given automorphism by conjugation in the following manner. Begin with the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ as a diagonal matrix as in Definition 8.1. For each $a_{i}$, multiply the $\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)$-entry of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ on the right with $\mathbf{j}$. This will give an element of $S p(n)$ which induces the automorphism that conjugates the entries $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$. To have this matrix in $S p(n)$ also permute the entries $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l}$, take the resulting matrix from the first step and permute the columns $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l}$ as they are permuted in the given automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. This process will give an element of $S p(n)$ that induces the given automorphism by conjugation. The computations of the Weyl groups of $S p(1)$ and $S p(2)$ illustrate this idea.

It follows that the Weyl group of $S p(n)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{n} \rtimes S_{n}$.

In computing the normalizers of the standard maximal torus in $S p(n)$, we observed the following:

Observation 4. Let $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ be the standard maximal torus in $S p(n)$. Then the $2^{n} n$ ! components of $N_{S p(n)}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right)$ are all disjoint and isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. In other words, inside $S p(n)$, there are $2^{n} n$ ! disjoint $\mathbb{T}^{n}$.

One may wonder, since $U(n)$ and $S p(n)$ have the same maximal torus, if the Weyl groups are also the same. However, the answer is no. This is because the normalizers of the maximal tori in their respective groups are different, although the maximal tori are the same. In our constructions of the normalizers of the maximal tori in $S p(n)$ (Theorems 8 and 9), we were able to show that every automorphism of the $n$-torus was induced by conjugation with certain elements of $S p(n)$. This is not the case with $U(n)$, particularly because individual elements commute. For example, there are no elements of $U(1)$ that induce the complex conjugation automorphism of its maximal torus, $S^{1}$. To see this, notice that for $z \in U(1)$ and $e^{\mathbf{i} \theta} \in S^{1}, z e^{\mathrm{i} \theta} z^{-1}=$ $z z^{-1} e^{\mathbf{i} \theta}=e^{\mathbf{i} \theta}$.

Perhaps this was not very insightful since $S^{1} \cong U(1)$. We will consider $U(2)$ and its maximal torus $\mathbb{T}^{2} \cong S^{1} \times S^{1}$. Recall that there are 8 automorphisms of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ shown in $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ of Lemma 2. Since we were not able to induce the complex conjugation automorphism of $S^{1}$ above, we do not expect to be able to do so in this case either. In the computation of Theorem 9, we showed that an element of $S p(2)$ which induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ by conjugation must be either diagonal or have only elements in the off-diagonal positions. The same argument applies for the $U(2)$ case. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
z_{1} & 0 \\
0 & z_{4}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{z}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{z}_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & z_{2} \\
z_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \bar{z}_{3} \\
\bar{z}_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & e^{\mathbf{i} \theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we cannot induce the complex conjugation automorphisms. This argument generalizes for $U(n)$ as it did in Corollary 2 for $S p(n)$, and we see that the number of elements in the normalizer of $U(n)$ come from only permuting the individual factors of the $n$-torus. Therefore, the normalizer of the maximal torus in $U(n)$ has $n$ ! components and the Weyl group is isomorphic to $S_{n}$.

## 12. Clifford Algebras

Definition 12.1. A Clifford Algebra, denoted $C_{k}$, is a finite-dimensional real algebra generated by the elements $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ which satisfy the following relations:

$$
e_{m} e_{n}= \begin{cases}-e_{n} e_{m}, & \text { if } n \neq m \\ -1, & \text { if } n=m\end{cases}
$$

We also include the element $e_{0}=1$, meaning we set $C_{0}=\mathbb{R}$.

By real algebra, we mean a real vector space which is also an associative ring with a multiplicative identity such that $(a x)(b y)=(a b)(x y)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y$ in the algebra. $C_{k}$ is a real vector space of dimension $2^{k}$, whose basis is obtained by taking 1 , each $e_{r}$, and products of them.

Proposition 8. $C_{1} \cong \mathbb{C}, C_{2} \cong \mathbb{H}, C_{3} \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}, C_{4} \cong M_{2}(\mathbb{H})$, and $C_{5} \cong M_{4}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof: Let $\left(\begin{array}{cc}q_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & q_{2}\end{array}\right)$ represent $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$. To determine $C_{1}$ through $C_{4}$, we use the following identifications:

$$
e_{1} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{2} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{j} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{3} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{k} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{4} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{k} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Of course $e_{0}$ is identified with the identity matrix. It is not hard to see that the matrices satisfy the relations of the $e_{i}$ 's given above in $(\diamond)$, so the map is injective. In order to prove the isomorphisms we claimed above, it remains to show that the map is surjective, which is clear for $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. For $C_{3}$, it suffices to show that we can obtain the standard basis of $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ through linear combinations of products of the $e_{i}$ 's. The standard basis of $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ is $\{(1,0),(\mathbf{i}, 0),(\mathbf{j}, 0),(\mathbf{k}, 0),(0,1),(0, \mathbf{i}),(0, \mathbf{j}),(0, \mathbf{k})\}$. Notice that

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{k} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{j} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The remaining basis elements are obtained in a similar manner.

To show $C_{4}=M_{2}(\mathbb{H})$, we can use the result for $C_{3}$ and the observation that multiplication of the basis elements of $C_{3}$ above by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{k}\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \mathbf{k} \\ \mathbf{k} & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ will achieve the off-diagonal basis elements.

For $C_{5}$, we must use the identifications:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{2} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{3} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\
0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& e_{4} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad e_{5} \xrightarrow{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\
0 & 0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It is routine to verify that the matrices satisfy the relations of the $e_{i}$ 's given in $(\diamond)$. Since the only element of $C_{5}$ that is mapped to $I$ under $\chi$ is 1 , we have that $\chi$ is an injective homomorphism. We will now show surjectivity. As before, we only need to show that we can achieve every basis element of $M_{4}(\mathbb{C})$.

First, $\chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e_{1} e_{4} e_{5}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,1,0)$ and $\chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3} e_{4} e_{5}-e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag}(0,-1,1,0)$, so by adding these and dividing by 2 we get $\operatorname{diag}(0,0,1,0)$. Multiplication on the right and/or left by $\chi\left(e_{4}\right)$ will permute the 1 throughout the center positions, i.e. we can get an individual 1 into any of the positions marked with a cross:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \times & \times & 0 \\
0 & \times & \times & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplying one of these basis elements by $\chi\left(e_{2}\right)$ on the right or left allows us to get a 1 into each position marked with a cross:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \times & \times & 0 \\
\times & 0 & 0 & \times \\
\times & 0 & 0 & \times \\
0 & \times & \times & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Multiplying one of these matrices by $\chi\left(e_{2}\right)$ on the right or left finishes the process of getting an individual 1 into any position with zeros elsewhere. We can get an into any position as well by multiplying $\operatorname{diag}(0,0,1,0)$ found above by $\chi\left(e_{1}\right)$ and repeating the process.

We will define an automorphism, $\alpha$, of $C_{k}$ by:

$$
\alpha\left(e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \cdots e_{i_{t}}\right)=(-1)^{t} e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \cdots e_{i_{t}}= \begin{cases}e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \cdots e_{i_{t}}, & \text { if } t \text { is even } \\ -e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \cdots e_{i_{t}}, & \text { if } t \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

We also define a conjugation on $C_{k}$ :

$$
\overline{\left(e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \cdots e_{i_{t}}\right)}=(-1)^{t} e_{i_{t}} e_{i_{t-1}} \cdots e_{i_{1}} .
$$

Notice that this agrees with the complex/quaternionic conjugation we have already described, since

$$
\overline{1}=1, \quad \overline{e_{r}}=-e_{r}, \quad \overline{x+y}=\bar{x}+\bar{y} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{x \cdot y}=\bar{y} \cdot \bar{x} \quad \text { for } x, y \in C_{k}
$$

By identifying $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ with $\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$, we see that

$$
S^{k-1}=\left\{a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} e_{k} \mid a_{1}^{2}+\cdots+a_{k}^{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k} \subset C_{k} .
$$

For any $u \in S^{k-1}$, we will show $u \cdot \bar{u}=1$. Let $u=a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} e_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \cdot \bar{u} & =\left(a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} e_{k}\right) \cdot \overline{\left(a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} e_{k}\right)} \\
& =\left(a_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} e_{k}\right) \cdot\left(-a_{1} e_{1}-\cdots-a_{k} e_{k}\right) \\
& =a_{1}^{2}+\cdots+a_{k}^{2}=1, \quad \text { since } u \in S^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u$ is a unit in $C_{k}$. If we let $C_{k}^{*}$ denote the group of units in $C_{k}$, it follows that $S^{k-1} \subset C_{k}^{*}$. In particular, the subgroup generated by $S^{k-1}$, denoted $\left\langle S^{k-1}\right\rangle$, is also contained in $C_{k}^{*}$.

Definition 12.2. $\operatorname{Pin}(k)=\left\langle S^{k-1}\right\rangle \subset C_{k}^{*}$.

Let $u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)$. Then $u=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{m}$, where $u_{i} \in S^{k-1}$. Thus,

$$
\alpha(u)=\alpha\left(u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{m}\right)
$$

$$
=\alpha\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots \alpha\left(u_{m}\right) \quad \text { since } \alpha \text { is a homomorphism. }
$$

But since $u_{i} \in S^{k-1}, u_{i}=a_{1_{i}} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k_{i}} e_{k}$ where $a_{1_{i}}^{2}+\cdots+a_{k_{i}}^{2}=1$. From this, it follows that $\alpha\left(u_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(a_{1_{i}} e_{1}+\cdots+a_{k_{i}} e_{k}\right)=-u_{i}$, which implies:

$$
\alpha(u)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
u, & \text { if } m \text { is even } \\
-u, & \text { if } m \text { is odd }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Furthermore, $u^{-1}=\left(u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{m}\right)^{-1}=(-1)^{m} u_{m} \cdots u_{2} u_{1}=\overline{u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{m}}$ which shows, as above:

$$
\alpha\left(u^{-1}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
u^{-1}, & \text { if } m \text { is even } \\
-u^{-1}, & \text { if } m \text { is odd }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

These observations lead us to define an even/odd grading on $\operatorname{Pin}(k)$ depending on whether or not an element $u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)$ is a product of an even or odd number of elements of $S^{k-1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+}=\{u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k) \mid \alpha(u)=u\} \\
& \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{-}=\{u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k) \mid \alpha(u)=-u\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\operatorname{Pin}(k)=\operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+} \cup \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{-}$with $\operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+} \cap \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{-}=\emptyset$. For $u, v \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)$, notice that

$$
\alpha(u v)=\alpha(u) \alpha(v)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
u v, & \text { if } u, v \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+} \text {or } u, v \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{-} \\
-u v, & \text { if } u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+}, v \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)^{-} \text {or vice versa. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Definition 12.3. $\operatorname{Spin}(k)=\operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+}$.

The previous discussion shows that $\operatorname{Spin}(k)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Pin}(k)$.

Definition 12.4. For $u \in \operatorname{Pin}(k)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$, we define the function $\rho: \operatorname{Pin}(k) \rightarrow$ $O(k)$ by

$$
\rho_{u}(x)=\alpha(u) x \bar{u} .
$$

Theorem 10. $\rho: \operatorname{Pin}(k) \rightarrow O(k)$ is a 2-to-1 surjective homomorphism [1, 2].

The outline of the proof is as follows. Since $O(n)$ is generated by reflections and $\rho_{u}$ is a reflection in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ through the hyperplane perpendicular to $u, \rho$ is a homomorphism of $\operatorname{Pin}(n)$ onto $O(n)$ with $\operatorname{ker} \rho=\{-1,1\}$.

Theorem 11. $\operatorname{Spin}(k)=\rho^{-1}(S O(k))$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{Spin}(k)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Pin}(k)$ which is closed, compact, and path connected [1].

Define the map $\delta: C_{k-1} \rightarrow C_{k}$ by $\delta\left(e_{r}\right)=e_{r} e_{k}$, for $r \in\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$. This definition is sufficient because we specify where the basis elements of $C_{k-1}$ go under the map $\delta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(e_{r}\right)^{2}=\left(e_{r} e_{k}\right)^{2}=e_{r} e_{k} e_{r} e_{k}=-e_{r} e_{r} e_{k} e_{k}=-1 \\
& \delta\left(e_{r}\right) \delta\left(e_{s}\right)=\left(e_{r} e_{k}\right)\left(e_{s} e_{k}\right)=-e_{k} e_{r} e_{s} e_{k}=e_{k} e_{s} e_{r} e_{k}=-\left(e_{s} e_{k}\right)\left(e_{r} e_{k}\right)=-\delta\left(e_{s}\right) \delta\left(e_{r}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\delta$ extends to an algebra homomorphism since it maintains the relations in ( $\diamond$ ).
Recall our even/odd grading of $\operatorname{Pin}(k)$ preceding Definition 12.3, and notice that we may define the same even/odd grading on $C_{k}$. Then, $e_{i} e_{k} e_{j} e_{k}=-e_{i} e_{j} e_{k} e_{k}=e_{i} e_{j}$, so, in fact, $\delta$ gives an isomorphism of $C_{k-1}$ onto the even graded elements of $C_{k}$.

Proposition 9. For $x \in C_{k}$ and $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{K})$, the isomorphism $\chi$ from ( $\dagger$ ) and $(\ddagger)$ in Proposition 8 and the isomorphism $\delta$ satisfy the following properties:
(1) $\overline{\delta(x)}=\delta(\bar{x})$
(2) $\chi\left(A^{*}\right)=\overline{\chi(A)}$

Proof: To prove both of these, it is sufficient to show they hold on the basis elements.

For (1), $\overline{\delta\left(e_{i}\right)}=\overline{e_{i} e_{k}}=-e_{i} e_{k}=\delta\left(-e_{i}\right)=\delta\left(\overline{e_{i}}\right)$.
For (2), we must check the property holds on the basis matrices, $A$, above in ( $\dagger$ ) and $(\ddagger)$. Let $\chi(A)=e_{i}$. It is easy to see that for each $A$ that $A^{*}=-A$, in which case $\chi\left(A^{*}\right)=\chi(-A)=-\chi(A)=-e_{i}=\overline{\chi(A)}$.

Theorem 12. We have the following isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S p(1) & \cong \operatorname{Spin}(3) \\
S p(1) \times S p(1) & \cong \operatorname{Spin}(4) \\
S p(2) & \cong \operatorname{Spin}(5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Let $\iota$ represent the inclusion map, and consider the compositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S p(1) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{\chi} C_{2} \xrightarrow{\delta} C_{3} \\
& S p(1) \times S p(1) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{\chi} C_{3} \xrightarrow{\delta} C_{4} \\
& S p(2) \xrightarrow{\iota} M_{2}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\chi} C_{4} \xrightarrow{\delta} C_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Every matrix $A \in S p(1), S p(1) \times S p(1)$, or $S p(2)$, has the property that $A \cdot A^{*}=I$, which gives

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
1=\delta(1) & =\delta(\chi(I)) & \\
& =\delta\left(\chi\left(A \cdot A^{*}\right)\right) & \\
& =\delta(\chi(A) \cdot \overline{\chi(A)}) & \\
& =\delta(\chi(A)) \cdot \delta(\overline{\chi(A)}) & \\
& =\delta(\chi(A)) \cdot \overline{\delta(\chi(A))} \quad & \\
& \text { from part (2) of Proposition 9 } \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& \\
& \text { of Proposition 9 }
\end{array}
$$

The discussion preceding Definition 12.3 showed that if $x \in \operatorname{Spin}(k)$, then $x$ was a linear combination of elements of $\operatorname{Pin}(k)^{+}$(the even graded elements of $\left.\operatorname{Pin}(k)\right)$ and that $x \cdot \bar{x}=1$. But since $\delta(\chi(A)) \cdot \overline{\delta(\chi(A))}=1$, we obtain all even graded units whose
inverse is their conjugate. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Spin}(3) \subseteq(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(1)) \\
& \operatorname{Spin}(4) \subseteq(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(1) \times S p(1)) \\
& \operatorname{Spin}(5) \subseteq(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since both $(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(1))$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(3)$ are closed, path-connected manifolds of dimension 3, with one contained in the other, they must be equal [2]. The same result follows because both $(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(1) \times S p(1))$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(4)$ are closed, path-connected manifolds of dimension 6 and because both $(\delta \circ \chi)(S p(2))$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(5)$ are closed, path-connected manifolds of dimension 10 .

From Theorems 10 and 11, we know that $\operatorname{Spin}(k)$ is a double cover of $S O(k)$. Then the isomorphisms we just proved show that $S p(1)$ (respectively $S p(1) \times S p(1)$ and $S p(2)$ ) is a double cover of $S O(3)$ (respectively $S O(4)$ and $S O(5)$ ). Baker [1] shows that the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Spin}(k)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of $S O(k)$. This allows us to use the fact that smoothly isomorphic Lie groups have isomorphic Lie algebras [5], whereby we conclude that $s p(1) \cong s o(3), s p(1) \times s p(1) \cong s o(4)$, and that $s p(2) \cong s o(5)$.

Furthermore, since the fundamental group, $\pi_{1}(S p(n))$, is trivial, it follows that $S p(1)$ (respectively $S p(1) \times S p(1)$ and $S p(2)$ ) is a universal cover of $S O(3)$ (respectively $S O(4)$ and $S O(5))$. We may say that $\pi_{1}(S O(3)) \cong \pi_{1}(S O(4)) \cong \pi_{1}(S O(5)) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and that $S O(3)$ looks like the real-projective space $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

## 13. An Example

We will calculate the exponential of the following matrix:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\
\mathbf{j} & -\mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \in s p(2)
$$

It is straightforward to find

$$
\Psi_{2}(A)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\mathbf{i} & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Solving for the eigenvalues of the resulting complex matrix yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\Psi_{2}(A)-\lambda \cdot I\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{i}-\lambda & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\mathbf{i}-\lambda & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\mathbf{i}-\lambda & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i}-\lambda
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\lambda^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+4\right)=0 . \\
& \Longrightarrow \lambda
\end{aligned}=0, \pm 2 \mathbf{i} .
$$

We may solve for the eigenvectors:
For $\lambda=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{2}(A) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=x_{4} \mathbf{i} \\
x_{2}=x_{3} \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Longrightarrow \text { the corresponding eigenvectors are }\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{i} \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\mathbf{i} \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for the remaining eigenvalues:
For $\lambda=2 \mathbf{i}$ we get the eigenvector $\left(\begin{array}{r}\mathbf{i} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1\end{array}\right)$

$$
\text { and for } \lambda=-2 \mathbf{i} \text { we get the eigenvector }\left(\begin{array}{r}
0 \\
-\mathbf{i} \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

Let $B$ be the matrix whose columns are formed from the eigenvectors of $\Psi_{2}(A)$. Thus,

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
\mathbf{i} & 0 & \mathbf{i} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 & -\mathbf{i} \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
-\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \mathbf{i} & -1 & 0 \\
-\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{i} & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $\Psi_{2}(A)=B \cdot \operatorname{diag}(0,0,2 \mathbf{i},-2 \mathbf{i}) \cdot B^{-1}$ by diagonalization. Since $\Psi_{n}$ is a ring homomorphism and $B$ and $B^{-1}$ are images of some quaternionic matrices under $\Psi_{2}$, we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(B \cdot \operatorname{diag}(0,0,2 \mathbf{i},-2 \mathbf{i}) \cdot B^{-1}\right)  \tag{13.1}\\
& =\Psi_{2}^{-1}(B) \cdot \Psi_{2}^{-1}(\operatorname{diag}(0,0,2 \mathbf{i},-2 \mathbf{i})) \cdot \Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(B^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{i} \\
-\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\
-\mathbf{i} & -\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Property (ii) of the exponential map, we can compute $e^{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{A} & =\exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{i} \\
-\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\
-\mathbf{i} & -\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{i} \\
-\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & e^{2 \mathbf{i}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\
-\mathbf{i} & -\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+e^{2 \mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{k}\left(1-e^{-2 \mathbf{i}}\right) \\
-\mathbf{k}\left(1-e^{2 \mathbf{i}}\right) & 1+e^{-2 \mathbf{i}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To verify that this matrix is in $S p(2)$, first notice that

$$
\left(e^{A}\right)^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+e^{-2 \mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{k}\left(1-e^{2 \mathbf{i}}\right) \\
-\mathbf{k}\left(1-e^{-2 \mathbf{i}}\right) & 1+e^{2 \mathbf{i}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then it is not difficult to check that $e^{A} \cdot\left(e^{A}\right)^{*}=I$.

## 14. Conclusions

In the previous example, if $B$ and $B^{-1}$ were not images of some quaternionic matrices under $\Psi_{2}$, we would have to consider

$$
e^{\Psi_{2}(A)}=B \cdot e^{\operatorname{diag}(0,0,2 \mathbf{i},-2 \mathbf{i})} \cdot B^{-1}=B \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(1,1, e^{2 \mathbf{i}}, e^{-2 \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot B^{-1}
$$

and then use Proposition 2 which says that

$$
e^{A}=\Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(e^{\Psi_{2}(A)}\right)=\Psi_{2}^{-1}\left(B \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(1,1, e^{2 \mathbf{i}}, e^{-2 \mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot B^{-1}\right)
$$

However, since $B$ and $B^{-1}$ were images of some quaternionic matrices under $\Psi_{2}$, we were able to diagonalize the complex matrix $\Psi_{2}(A)$, and use that to diagonalize the initial matrix $A$, as in equation (13.1).

This example provides a possible application of the map $\Psi_{n}$ to define a linear algebra over the quaternions. By transforming a quaternionic matrix into a complex matrix via $\Psi_{n}$, we may diagonalize the complex matrix (assuming it is diagonalizable) and write it in the form $P D P^{-1}$, where $P$ is the matrix formed by the eigenvectors of the complex matrix. If $P$ and $P^{-1}$ are both images under $\Psi_{n}$ of some quaternionic matrix, we then apply $\Psi_{n}^{-1}$ to obtain a diagonalization of the quaternionic matrix we began with.

In terms of calculating the exponential of a matrix in $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$, Corollary 1 reduces the computation to finding the exponential of a matrix in the Lie algebra $s l_{n}(\mathbb{H})$. Although this does reduce the computation slightly, further study could potentially reduce this problem even more.
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