Aalborg Universitet



Extraction and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from waste water treatment plants by 31P NMR spectroscopy

Staal, Line Boisen: Petersen, Anne Boisen; Jørgensen, Charlotte A.; Nielsen, Ulla Gro; Nielsen, Per Halkjær; Reitzel, Kasper

Published in: Water Research

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.065

Creative Commons License CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Staal, L. B., Petersen, A. B., Jørgensen, C. A., Nielsen, U. G., Nielsen, P. H., & Reitzel, K. (2019). Extraction and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from waste water treatment plants by P NMR and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from waste water treatment plants by spectroscopy. Water Research, 157, 346-355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.065

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript



Extraction and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from waste water treatment plants by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy

Line Boisen Staal, Anne Boisen Petersen, Charlotte A. Jørgensen, Ulla Gro Nielsen, Per Halkjær Nielsen, Kasper Reitzel

PII: S0043-1354(19)30213-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.065

Reference: WR 14554

To appear in: Water Research

Received Date: 19 December 2018

Revised Date: 4 March 2019

Accepted Date: 7 March 2019

Please cite this article as: Staal, L.B., Petersen, A.B., Jørgensen, C.A., Nielsen, U.G., Nielsen, Per.Halkjæ., Reitzel, K., Extraction and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from waste water treatment plants by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, *Water Research* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.065.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



- Extraction and quantification of polyphosphates in activated sludge from
 waste water treatment plants by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy
- 3
- 4 Line Boisen Staal,^a Anne Boisen Petersen,^a Charlotte A. Jørgensen,^a Ulla Gro Nielsen,^b Per
- 5 Halkjær Nielsen,^c and Kasper Reitzel^{a*}
- 6
- ^a Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M,
- 8 Denmark
- ^b Department of Physics, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej
- 10 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark
- ^c Center for Microbial Communities, Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University,
- 12 Fredrik Bajers Vej 7H, DK-9220 Aalborg, Denmark
- 13 Corresponding author: reitzel@biology.sdu.dk, phone +45 65502772

14 Abbreviations:

15	NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
16	EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
17	EBPR	enhanced biological phosphorus removal
18	EPS	extracellular polymeric substances
19	ICP	inductively coupled plasma
20	poly-P	polyphosphate
21	ppm	parts per million
22	δ(³¹ P)	³¹ P chemical shift
23	PAO	polyphosphate accumulating organism
24	SSNMR	solid state nuclear magnetic resonance
25	TP	total phosphorus

26 Abstract: Polyphosphate (poly-P) is a major constituent in activated sludge from wastewater treatment plants with enhanced biological phosphorus removal due to poly-P synthesis by poly-P 27 28 accumulating organisms where it plays an important role for recovery of phosphorus from waste water. The aim is to develop a reliable protocol for poly-P quantification by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. 29 This has so far been complicated by the risks of inefficient extraction and poly-P hydrolysis in the 30 extracts. A protocol for complete extraction, identification and quantification of poly-P in activated 31 sludge from a waste water treatment plant was identified based on test and evaluation of existing 32 extraction protocols in combination with poly-P determination and quantification by solution and 33 solid state ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. The total poly-P middle group content was quantified by solid 34 state NMR for comparison with the poly-P middle groups quantified by solution NMR, which is 35 36 novel. Three different extraction protocols used in literature were compared: 1) a single 0.25 M NaOH-0.05 M EDTA extraction, 2) a 0.05 M EDTA pre-extraction followed by a 0.25 M NaOH 37 main extraction and 3) a 0.05 M EDTA pre-extraction followed by a 0.25 M NaOH-0.05 M EDTA 38 main extraction. The results showed that the extraction protocol 2 was optimal for fresh activated 39 sludge, extracting 10.8±0.4 to 11.4±1.2 mgP/gDW poly-P. Extraction protocols 1 and 3 extracted 40 less than 9.4±0.5 mgP/gDW poly-P. A comparison of the quantification of poly-P by ³¹P solution 41 NMR and by 31 P solid state NMR spectroscopy of lyophilised activated sludge showed 86 ±9% 42 extraction efficiency of poly-P, which confirms that the extraction protocol recovered most of the 43 poly-P from the samples without pronounced poly-P degradation. 44

Keywords: polyphosphate, identification, quantification, ³¹P, solid state NMR, EBPR, biological
waste water treatment

47 1. Introduction

69

Phosphorus (P) recovery from waste water is an alternative P resource that becomes increasingly 48 49 important as global P reserves are limited (Cordell et al. 2011). P recovery from domestic waste water can cover up to 20% of the global phosphorus consumption (Yuan et al. 2012). Phosphorus 50 and nitrogen are removed during the treatment of waste water in order to protect the recipient from 51 excess nutrients. Today, the most common methods of P removal from municipal waste water 52 53 include enhanced biological P removal (EBPR) (Jing et al. 1992) and precipitation by aluminum(III) (Al³⁺) or iron(III) (Fe³⁺) compounds. Enhanced biological P removal relies on 54 aerobic uptake of phosphate and conversion to internal inorganic polyphosphate (poly-P) by poly-P 55 accumulating organisms (PAOs) (Yuan et al. 2012). The use of EBPR is cost-effective, as it saves 56 chemicals and enhances the value of the sludge as a fertilizer (Kahiluoto et al. 2015, O'Connor et al. 57 2004). Furthermore, poly-P might also be used to recover P, e.g., as struvite if the degradation of 58 poly-P and the subsequent release of orthophosphate from PAOs can be controlled (Yuan et al. 59 2012). Optimisation of the P uptake in PAOs by EBPR systems and control of the subsequent 60 phosphate release requires correct identification and quantification of the total amount of poly-P in 61 the sludge. In order to better understand and optimise the EBPR process, and retain more P, one 62 should be able to precisely quantify and identify the poly-P formed by the PAOs to, e.g., monitor 63 changes in the poly-P accumulation under different conditions. However, reliable methods for the 64 quantification of the poly-P species are needed as current methods have several shortcomings such 65 as inefficient extraction and poly-P degradation (Hupfer et al. 2008). 66 Although several methods exist for poly-P identification and quantification, none of these methods 67 have been proven to reliably quantify the total poly-P content of bulk activated sludge. One of the 68

⁷⁰ by fluorometry (Hupfer et al. 2008, Majed et al. 2012), which often includes an alkaline extraction

most common methods for quantification of poly-P in environmental samples is staining followed

71	with NaOH (Diaz and Ingall 2010, Majed et al. 2012) or a permeabilisation step which allows the
72	dye to cross cell membranes (Gomes et al. 2013). Thus, absolute quantification of poly-P by
73	staining techniques may be hindered due to, e.g., insufficient extraction/permeabilisation and the
74	risk of degradation of poly-P in the extract (Majed et al. 2012). Furthermore, many dyes only bind
75	to longer poly-P chains (>10 P _i) (Diaz and Ingall 2010, Hupfer et al. 2008), which excludes short-
76	chain poly-P from the quantification. Raman micro-spectroscopy allows for identification and
77	quantification of poly-P on a cellular level in activated sludge, but this has so far not been
78	transferred into absolute, bulk quantities (Majed et al. 2009), even though a recent study have
79	successfully quantified species-specific poly-P contents by Raman-fluorescence in situ
80	hybridisation (FISH) (Fernando et al. 2018).
81	³¹ P NMR analyses have been used for investigations of poly-P in sludge since 1983 (Cade-Menun
82	2005b, Florentz and Granger 1983), the ³¹ P chemical shift reflects the position of the phosphate
83	group in the poly-P chain: Terminal phosphate at the end of the chain (PP1 group) can be
84	distinguished from penultimate phosphate groups near the end of the chain (PP2 and PP3) and
85	phosphate groups inside the poly-P chain (PP4). These groups can be directly quantified by ³¹ P
86	solution NMR spectroscopy (Hupfer et al. 2008). However, comparisons among studies are
87	hampered by the large differences in sludge preparation, extraction procedures, and preparation of
88	the extracts for the ³¹ P NMR analysis. Hence, previous ³¹ P solution NMR studies of organic P and
89	poly-P from different environmental samples including sludge used a wide range of combinations
90	of pre-treatment (air-drying, freezing/lyophilisation etc.), pre-extractant (ethylenediaminetetraacetic
91	acid (EDTA), trichloroacetic acid, etc.), main extractant (EDTA-NaOH, NaOH, etc.) and post-
92	treatments of the extracts (e.g., lyophilisation or rotary evaporation) (Cade-Menun and Liu 2013). A
93	list with examples of extraction protocols including references is given in supporting information
94	(Table S1). Often the effects of the different pre- and post-treatments are unknown (Cade-Menun

95 and Liu 2013, Cade-Menun 2005a). Lyophilisation of NaOH or EDTA-NaOH extracts of soil followed by dissolution of the lyophilised extract before ³¹P solution NMR analysis is a very 96 common way to concentrate samples prior to ³¹P NMR analysis. However, poly-P degradation after 97 lyophilisation of EDTA-NaOH extracts has been observed (Cade-Menun et al. 2006, Reitzel et al. 98 2009), and neutralization of the extract prior to lyophilisation has been suggested as a way to 99 prevent this, as demonstrated for the short-chain poly-P sodium tripolyphosphate (Cade-Menun et 100 al. 2006). Thus far, there is no evidence in the literature for the NMR analysis' ability to accurately 101 quantify the total poly-P content, and the risks of incomplete extraction and/or degradation of poly-102 P have not been addressed (Hupfer et al. 2008). 103

Solid state ³¹P magic angle spinning NMR (³¹P SSNMR) is a non-destructive characterisation 104 technique that only requires minimum pre-analysis treatment of the sample, but is sparingly used 105 106 for environmental samples as the resolution is lower than for solution NMR (Turner et al. 2005). SSNMR is a useful tool for sludge P characterisation due to relatively high P concentrations in 107 activated sludge from waste water treatment plants compared to, e.g., soil samples (Frossard et al. 108 1994, Hinedi et al. 1989, Huang and Tang 2015). However, analysis by ³¹P solution NMR is often 109 quicker than by SSNMR and produces spectra with a better resolution that allows identification of 110 specific organic P compounds (Cade-Menun 2005a). The main limitation for quantification of poly-111 P by ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy is the unknown extraction effeciency of the extraction protocol 112 and the possible degradation (hydrolysis) of poly-P by this (Hupfer and Gachter 1995, Hupfer et al. 113 114 2008). These uncertainties limit the comparability among studies, and to our knowledge, no estimates of the poly-P extraction efficiencies of these protocols have been reported before. 115

116 In this study, SSNMR was used to quantify the poly-P middle groups in sludge prior to extraction,

and this poly-P content was compared to the poly-P extracted by three different extraction protocols

and used as a reference for evaluating potential poly-P degradation in the extracts. The advantage of

119 solution NMR over SSNMR is described above, but in addition to this, solution NMR enables the detection of poly-P terminal groups. Our objective was to identify the best suited extraction 120 protocol for poly-P from activated sludge, i.e., a protocol that ideally ensures full extraction of poly-121 P with limited degradation. This was obtained through a series of laboratory experiments where 122 SSNMR and solution NMR were used to evaluate three known extraction protocols' ability to 123 extract and preserve poly-P. In addition, effects of pre-concentration of the extracts prior to ³¹P 124 solution NMR analysis by either rotary evaporation or lyophilisation were tested. These variables 125 were chosen as they are most commonly used for sample preparation for ³¹P solution NMR studies 126 of poly-P in sludge and sediments. First, the poly-P middle group content of lyophilised sludge 127 quantified directly by ³¹P SSNMR is presented. Following this, the effect of different combinations 128 of pre-extractants, main extractants, and sample concentration is described. A comparison of the 129 two methods for poly-P quantification provide insight into the poly-P extraction efficiencies of the 130 different protocols. Finally, ³¹P SSNMR analyses of sludge pellets after extraction are used to 131 elucidate the reason behind poly-P extraction inefficiencies. 132

133 2. Materials and Methods

- 134 Three different extraction protocols for poly-P in activated sludge were tested (Figure 1):
- 135 1) A single-step EDTA-NaOH extraction (EN)
- 136 2) A two-step extraction with EDTA pre-extraction followed by a NaOH extraction $(E \rightarrow N)$
- 137 3) A two-step extraction with EDTA pre-extraction followed by an EDTA-NaOH extraction
 138 (E→EN)

The single-step EN extraction represents the most commonly used extraction protocol for
environmental samples (Cade-Menun and Liu 2013, Turner et al. 2005). The E→EN extractionand
the E→N extraction protocols were tested, ase both have been developed for extraction of P from
sediments, with emphasis on organic P (Ahlgren et al. 2007, Ahlgren et al. 2006) and poly-P
(Hupfer and Gachter 1995), respectively. A fourth extraction protocol with a single-step 0.25 M
NaOH main extraction was tested but excluded based on preliminary studies, as the poly-P recovery
was very low (Figure S1).

³¹P solution NMR was used to identify and quantify poly-P in the extracts of the activated sludge,

148 and ³¹P SSNMR was used to estimate the total poly-P content of the sludge prior to extraction and

to examine the sludge residues after extraction to establish whether all the poly-P was extracted.

150 Finally, the poly-P middle group content determined from ³¹P solution NMR and ³¹P SSNMR were

151 compared to calculate the poly-P extraction efficiencies of the different extraction protocols.

152 2.1 Activated sludge sample from Ejby Mølle waste water treatment plant

Activated sludge was sampled from Ejby Mølle waste water treatment plant (WWTP) in Odense, Denmark. The plant (corresponding to ca. 210 000 person equivalents) receives a mixture of domestic and industrial waste water, and P is removed by a combination of precipitation with iron(III) chloride (FeCl₃) and biological P removal (Stokholm-Bjerregaard et al. 2017). The activated sludge sample was taken from the aerated activated sludge tank and was kept refrigerated in a 10 L plastic bottle until analysis (maximum four hours after sampling). All sludge samples used for NMR extractions and SSNMR were centrifuged and decanted.

160 2.2 Protocols for extraction of poly-P from activated sludge

30 mL of activated sludge (5.7 g DW/L) was centrifuged 10 min. at 2000 rpm and decanted prior to
extraction. The resulting sludge pellet (approx. 0.17 g DW) was used for the NMR extractions. The

- pellet was resuspended in 40 mL solution (details below) at a shaking table (speed 54-60 rpm). The
 duration of the pre-extraction step and main extraction was one hour and 16 hours, respectively.
- 165 After extraction, the NMR extract was separated from the sludge by centrifugation (3000 rpm, 10
- 166 min). The following three protocols were tested (Figure 1):
- 167 Protocol EN: The activated sludge pellet was extracted using a one-step extraction with 40 mL of an
- 168 EDTA-NaOH solution (0.25 M NaOH and 0.05 M EDTA) for 16 hours.
- 169 <u>Protocol $E \rightarrow N$ </u>. The activated sludge pellet was extracted using a two-step extraction, with a pre-
- extraction by 40 mL by a 0.05 M EDTA solution for one hour followed by centrifugation at 3000
- 171 rpm for 10 min, followed by decanting of the EDTA extract. The resulting pellet was extracted with
- 40 mL of 0.25 M NaOH for 16 hours.
- 173 <u>Protocol $E \rightarrow EN$ </u>. The activated sludge pellet was extracted using a two-step extraction, with a pre-
- extraction by 40 mL of a 0.05 M EDTA solution for one hour followed by centrifugation at 3000
- 175 rpm for 10 min followed by decanting of the EDTA extract. The resulting pellet was extracted with
- 40 mL of an EDTA-NaOH solution (0.25 M NaOH and 0.05 M EDTA) for 16 hours.
- 177 Subsamples (5 mL) of the resulting main extracts were used for analysis of total P by inductively
- 178 coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The subsample was centrifuged at
- 179 $10,000 \ge g$ for 5 min. and diluted with milliQ water before analysis by ICP.
- 180 The preparation of sludge for and acquisition of the 31 P solution NMR spectrum can be
- accomplished within 24 hrs of sludge sampling. has the following steps with the estimated duration
- 182 of each given in parentheses: Centrifugation of sludge (0.5 hour), pre-extraction (1 hour),
- 183 centrifugation and separation of sludge pellet and extract (15 minutes), main extraction (16 hours),
- 184 centrifugation and separation of sludge pellet and extract (15 minutes), concentration by rotary
- evaporation (1-1.5 hour), and recording of the 31 P solution NMR spectrum (3-5 hours per sample).

186 2.3 Samples for ³¹P solid state NMR spectroscopy

³¹P SSNMR spectra were recorded on seven sludge samples from Ejby Mølle WWTP (Figure 1). 187 One activated sludge sample was frozen, lyophilised and subsequently analysed by ³¹P SSNMR 188 spectroscopy ("untreated sludge"). Four samples were extracted by a 0.05 M EDTA solution 189 ("EDTA sludge") or extraction protocol 1 to 3 ("EN_{Res}", "E \rightarrow N_{Res}", and "E \rightarrow EN_{Res}") to evaluate 190 the effect of EDTA pre-extraction on poly-P recovery and investigate if there was a complete 191 extraction of poly-P by the three extraction protocols. Furthermore, two sludge pellets recovered 192 after a water/hexanol (release of microbial P, called "Hexanol+water") (Cheesman et al. 2010) and 193 a water extraction (a reference to water/hexanol solution, called "water") were analysed 194 (experimental details in supporting information page S5, Figure S2). This was done to establish 195 whether the poly-P resonance in the ³¹P SSNMR spectra should be ascribed to microbial origin 196 (signal removed after hexanol extraction) or to overlapping Al phosphate resonances (signal present 197 after hexanol extraction). 198

- 199 2.4 Sample concentration for solution NMR spectroscopy
- 200 Two different methods used to increase the P concentration in the main extract prior to solution201 NMR analysis of poly-P containing samples were tested:
- 202 1) A 10-fold concentration of the samples by rotary evaporation (samples referred to with a subscript "Rot") (Hupfer and Gachter 1995).
- 204 2) Neutralization of the extracts followed by lyophilisation and redissolution of the lyophilised
 205 extract (samples referred to with a subscript "Lyo") (Cade-Menun et al. 2006).
- All NMR extracts for rotary evaporation were kept at -20 °C until the day of the NMR analysis,
- where the samples were thawed at room temperature and concentrated approximately 10-fold by
- rotary evaporation at 34-38 °C. The concentrated extract was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 min. to

remove any particles, and $630 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the supernatant was mixed with $70 \,\mu\text{L}$ deuterium oxide (D₂O) to give a lock signal.

The extracts for lyophilisation were neutralized with 1 M HCl to pH of 6.6-7.2 before freezing at -20 °C and lyophilisation at -50 °C. The dried extract was kept at -20 °C until the day of the NMR analysis, where the extract was redissolved by a procedure modified from (He et al. 2009). The dried extract was dissolved in 1 mL of a 0.25M NaOH and 0.05M EDTA solution and 0.2 mL of 10 M NaOH and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. to remove particles from the extract, and 630 μ L of the supernatant was mixed with 70 μ L D₂O.

217 $2.5^{31}P$ solid state NMR spectroscopy

Quantitative ³¹P SSNMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Jeol ECZ 500R spectrometer using a 218 3.2 mm triple resonance magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR probe, 15 kHz spinning speed, a 45° 219 pulse, and proton decoupling. Relaxation delays were optimised on each sample, typically 200-300 220 s for sludge-derived samples and 410 s for a synthetic struvite, which served as an external intensity 221 reference for spin counting experiments. The ³¹P SSNMR spectra were referenced relative to H₃PO₄ 222 $(\delta(^{31}P) = 0 \text{ ppm})$ and were analysed with 100 Hz line broadening in MestReNova (Mestrelab 223 Research) by absolute integration of the spinning side band manifold. The spectra of samples 224 extracted by water/hexanol or water were recorded on a 600 MHz Agilent spectrometer using a 3.2 225 mm triple resonance MAS NMR probe, 15 kHz spinning speed, 22.5° pulse and proton decoupling. 226 ³¹P spin counting NMR experiments (Dougherty et al. 2005) were acquired to quantify the amount 227 31 P present in paramagnetic species by a modification of the 31 P spin counting experiments reported by 228 (Dougherty et al. 2005). We used a modified version, see supporting information page S7 for 229 230 further details. P bound in Fe phosphates and other paramagnetic minerals will not be observed in

³¹P SSNMR under the experimental conditions used, as the chemical shifts are outside the recorded
chemical shift range (Kim et al. 2010).

The uncertainties associated with data-analysis were estimated by processing (phase and baseline
correction, and integration) each spectrum thrice and the uncertainties are given as an estimated
standard deviation.

236 $2.6^{31}P$ solution NMR spectroscopy

237 Quantitative ³¹P solution NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol ECZ 500R 500 MHz spectrometer at

238 22°C using a 90° pulse (12 μ s), 2.16 s acquisition time, a relaxation delay time of 25-30 s

239 (optimised for each extraction protocol) and proton decoupling. Typically, 512 scans were acquired.

240 The carrier frequency was set at -9 ppm to ensure optimal excitation over the chemical shift range 7

241 ppm to -25 ppm.

242 The recycle delay was determined by inversion recovery experiments for representative samples

243 (Figure S4 and Table S2). A recycle delay of minimum five times the longitudinal relaxation time

 (T_1) was chosen to ensure full relaxation between scans. Spectra were processed with the

245 MestReNova software using a 5 Hz line broadening with an exponential window function and with

246 zero-filling to 64K points (32K points were recorded). The ³¹P resonances were assigned by

comparison with literature (Turner et al. 2003) combined with ³¹P, ³¹P correlation spectroscopy

248 (COSY) spectra, and a pyro-P spiking experiment to distinguish poly-P terminal groups and pyro-P

249 (Figures S5 and S6, Table S3).

250 The relative concentrations of the soluble P species extracted from the sludge found by ³¹P solution

251 NMR spectroscopy were converted into mgP/gDW based on the TP found from the ICP-OES

252 measurement of the extracts.

The total amount of poly-P present in the sludge could not be directly quantified by SSNMR, as only the poly-P middle group resonances can be unambiguously quantified by ³¹P SSNMR leaving out the contribution from the poly-P terminal groups. In contrast, both groups were visible in ³¹P solution NMR spectra. However, due to the non-invasive nature of the SSNMR technique the chain length of poly-P is unaffected by this technique. Consequently, it is assumed that the total poly-P content can be quantified by ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy if a similar content of poly-P middle groups can be obtained through ³¹P solution and ³¹P SSNMR.

260 2.7 Statistical analyses

- 261 For the poly-P middle group content determined from ³¹P solution NMR, a one-factor ANOVA
- 262 (significance level p = 0.05) was performed followed by Tukey's test in Sigmaplot v. 14.0.

263 Normality of the data was checked by a Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test.

264 <u>3. Results</u>

265 3.1 Quantification of poly-P middle groups by ³¹P SSNMR spectroscopy

³¹P SSNMR spectroscopy of the lyophilised activated sludge was used to estimate the amount of 266 poly-P middle groups in the sludge prior to any extraction, which is assumed to be the maximum 267 amount of poly-P that can be extracted by the extraction protocols. The ³¹P SSNMR spectrum of 268 activated sludge from Ejby Mølle contained two broad isotropic resonances along with a series of 269 spinning side bands from each resonance (Figure 2a). The broad resonance at $\delta(^{31}P) \approx 0$ ppm was 270 assigned to a number of overlapping resonances from phosphate containing minerals, e.g., apatite 271 (Aue et al. 1984) and struvite (Bak et al. 2000), as well as biogenic P compounds such as 272 orthophosphate monoesters, orthophosphate diesters, pyrophosphate (pyro-P) and poly-P terminal 273 groups (Frossard et al. 1994, McDowell et al. 2002, Nanzer et al. 2014). The second resonance at 274

 $\delta(^{31}P) \approx -25$ ppm was assigned to poly-P middle groups based on earlier reported ³¹P solution NMR chemical shifts (Hupfer and Gachter 1995, Turner et al. 2003). Furthermore, extraction of the sludge with hexanol prior to ³¹P SSNMR removed the resonance at $\delta(^{31}P) \approx -25$ ppm, which proved the microbial origin of this resonance (Figures 3 and S2).

279 Spin counting experiments were performed on the SSNMR samples in order to correct for missing intensity due to iron in the samples. For the activated sludge sample from Ejby Mølle, only $66 \pm 2\%$ 280 P was visible in the ³¹P SSNMR due to the high Fe content ($32.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ mgFe/gDW}$, Tables 1 and 2). 281 Thus, the measured concentration of poly-P middle groups was adjusted with a factor of Pobs, which 282 gives a total poly-P concentration of 13.2 ±0.3 mgP/gDW (Table 1). This value served as a 283 reference for calculation of extraction efficiencies for the three extraction protocols, by comparison 284 with the sum of the poly-P middle groups found by ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy. The total P in 285 the sludge was $32.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ mgP/gDW}$, so the poly-P made up 41% of all P in the sample. 286

287 3.2 Identification of poly-P resonances in ³¹P solution NMR spectra

The resonance in the region $\delta(^{31}P) = -4.6$ to -4.0 ppm of poly-P terminal P (PP1) was 288 unambiguously assigned to poly-P PP1 from spiking experiments (Figures 4, S5 and S6, Table S3)), 289 and constituted between $0.67 \pm 0.10 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ and $1.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ (Table 3). The three groups 290 of resonances in the chemical shift range $\delta(^{31}P) = -18.4$ to -21.2 ppm belonged to PP2, PP3 and 291 PP4 groups (Figure 4) based on earlier studies (Kulaev et al. 2005, Turner et al. 2003, Uhlmann et 292 al. 1990). These three resonances are referred to as "poly-P middle groups", and their relative 293 concentration varied greatly from 4.4 $\pm 0.3 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ (E \rightarrow EN_{Lvo}) to 11.4 $\pm 1.2 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ 294 $(E \rightarrow N_{Rot})$ (Table 3). The resonances at $\delta(^{31}P) = -4.8$ to -4.4 ppm was assigned to pyro-P based on 295 296 spiking experiments, and this resonance often overlap with the end-groups from poly-P, as observed in the NMR spectra of the lyophilised samples (Figure 4). Pyro-P constituted 297

approximately 0.12 ±0.2 mgP/gDW for the rotary evaporated samples (Table 3). The resolution of 298 the ³¹P solution NMR spectra of the samples concentrated by lyophilisation and dissolution was 299 generally lower than for the samples concentrated by rotary evaporation, resulting in overlap of the 300 poly-P PP1 groups and pyro-P resonances (Figure 4). Furthermore, lyophilisation and dissolution of 301 the main extract resulted in a a higher chemical shift value for the P species, as observed for, e.g., 302 the orthophosphate resonance, which resonates at $\delta(^{31}P) = 5.8$ to 5.9 ppm and $\delta(^{31}P) = 6.1$ to 6.4 ppm 303 for the rotary evaporated and lyophilized samples, respectively, c.f., Table S4. 304 3.3 Effect of the extractant protocol on the quantification of poly-P by ³¹P solution NMR 305 The three different extraction protocols showed significantly different poly-P middle group 306 concentrations in the ³¹P solution NMR analysis of the extracts with the $E \rightarrow N$ extraction being the 307 308 most efficient protocol for poly-P. Up to 86 ±9% of the poly-P observed by SSNMR (Table 3 and Figure 4) was extracted, 10.8 \pm 0.4 mgP/gDW (E \rightarrow N_{Lvo}) and 11.4 \pm 1.2 mgP/gDW (E \rightarrow N_{Rot}), (Table 309 3). For the $E \rightarrow N$ extraction protocol, there was no statistical difference in poly-P middle group 310 content in ³¹P solution NMR for the two concentration protocols ($E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$ and $E \rightarrow N_{Lvo}$), when 311 analysed by an ANOVA analysis (p = 0.05) followed by Tukey's test (Figure 4 and Table 3). 312 Even though the EN_{Rot} and $E \rightarrow EN_{Rot}$ extraction protocols were not statistically different from the 313 $E \rightarrow N_{Lvo}$ protocol, they extracted less poly-P than the $E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$ extraction protocol (11.4±1.2) 314 mgP/gDW), with 9.3 \pm 0.3 mgP/gDW extracted by EN_{Rot} and 9.4 \pm 0.5 mgP/gDW extracted by the 315 $E \rightarrow EN_{Rot}$ protocol (Table 3). Concentration of the EDTA-NaOH extracts by neutralization and 316 lyophilisation resulted in ³¹P solution NMR spectra with only $5.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ (EN_{Lvo}) and 4.4317 $\pm 0.3 \text{ mgP/gDW}$ (E \rightarrow EN_{Lvo}), which was significantly less than any of the four other protocols 318 (Table 3). 319

320 *3.4 Efficiency of the extraction protocols*

321	³¹ P SSNMR analyses were conducted on the sludge pellets remaining after the main extractions to
322	determine whether the lower poly-P recovery in the extracts was due to residual poly-P left in the
323	sludge pellet or hydrolysis of poly-P in the extracts, as none of the extraction protocols extracted
324	100% of the poly-P middle groups based on ³¹ P SSNMR combined with ICP. The resonance at
325	$\delta(^{31}P) \approx 25$ ppm and the associated spinning side bands were completely removed after the E \rightarrow N
326	extraction (Figure 2d), whereas the 26-31% of the total poly-P remained in the solid phase after
327	extraction (Figure 2c and 2e). Thus, only the $E \rightarrow N$ extraction protocol extracted all poly-P.
328	EDTA extracts iron-bound P, but did not alter the poly-P and biogenic P, as evident from the ³¹ P
329	SSNMR spectrum and the associated integrals (Figure 2b and Table 1). Thus, EDTA pre-extraction
330	can be safely used for activated sludge without the risk of poly-P removal from the sludge.
331	Extraction with EDTA resulted in an increase in observed intensity in the ³¹ P SSNMR spectrum,
332	and a very distinct decrease in the total Fe and P contents, which dropped from 32.8 ± 1.3 mgFe/g
333	DW to 8.5 \pm 0.2 mgFe/gDW and 32.5 \pm 0.3 mgP/gDW to 24.3 \pm 0.3 mgP/gDW, respectively (Table
334	2). Furthermore, the Ca content of the activated sludge was lowered ~10 fold by EDTA extraction
335	of the sludge from 25.3 \pm 0.5 mgCa/gDW to 2.49 \pm 0.01 mgCa/gDW, and Zn levels were also
336	slightly decreased from 0.75 \pm 0.02 mgZn/gDW to 0.33 \pm 0.02 mgZn/gDW, whereas there was less
337	effect on Al, Mg, and Cu (Table 2). This was also reflected in the concentrations of the metal
338	cations in the main extracts, where the $E \rightarrow N$ and $E \rightarrow EN$ extracts contained less Fe, Al, Ca, Mg,
339	Mn, and Zn than the corresponding EN extract, due to the EDTA pre-extraction (Table 3). Despite
340	pre-extraction with EDTA there was still Mg and Mn left in the sludge, which can be chelated by
341	EDTA in the main extract, as evident for the E \rightarrow EN samples (3.37 ±0.03 mg/g DW and 0.12 ±0.01
342	mg/gDW, respectively) compared with the E \rightarrow N samples extracts (0.92 ±0.05 mg/gDW and 0.06
343	± 0.01 mg/gDW) (Table 4). Thus, the EDTA pre-extraction of sludge mainly extracts Fe, Ca, Al,

- and Zn, which is also reflected in lower concentrations of these metals in the main NMR extracts,
- and EDTA in the main extract enhances Mg and Mn extraction from the activated sludge.

346 **<u>4. Discussion</u>**

The combination of ³¹P SSNMR and solution NMR, successfully allowed for identification of the 347 optimum extraction protocol for identification and quantification of poly-P in activated sludge. 348 Thus, the two-step $E \rightarrow N$ extraction showed an almost complete recovery of poly-P from the sludge 349 with no signs of post-extraction hydrolysis of poly-P. Rotary evaporation and lyophilisation of the 350 neutralized extracts resulted in comparable poly-P content for the $E \rightarrow N$ extraction protocol, but 351 rotary evaporation gave a better separation of the poly-P terminal groups and pyro-P in the ³¹P 352 solution NMR spectra. Thus, the best protocol for extraction of poly-P from activated sludge is the 353 two step $E \rightarrow N$ extraction protocol based on our ³¹P NMR results. 354

355 4.1 Quantification of poly-P middle groups by ³¹P SSNMR

³¹P SSNMR spectroscopy allowed for quantification of the total poly-P middle group content in the 356 activated sludge, and thereby served as a reference for calculating the extraction efficiency based on 357 ³¹P solution NMR. Quantitative analysis of the ³¹P SSNMR spectra is complicated by the presence 358 of paramagnetic ions such as Fe³⁺ applied for precipitation of P from waste water (Hinedi et al. 359 360 1989, Huang and Tang 2015), but was corrected by spin counting. These paramagnetic ions induce faster relaxation of the NMR nuclei, as well as a large change in chemical shift for P directly 361 associated with the paramagnetic centre. For soil studies, it has been shown that the effect of 362 paramagnetic ions on the NMR signal intensity is primarily due to close association of the 363 paramagnetic ions and the P, and not a bulk effect (Dougherty et al. 2005). We therefore assume 364 that only P closely associated with the paramagnetic species are subject to a decrease in intensity, 365

i.e. the relative intensities of the poly-P resonances and the group of resonances at $\delta(^{31}P) \approx 0$ ppm is not affected by the presence of paramagnetic species in the sludge.

- 368 Poly-P middle groups were identified in the ³¹P SSNMR spectrum by the resonance located at
- 369 $\delta(^{31}P) \approx -25$ ppm. However, several Al-phosphates have similar $\delta(^{31}P)$ values, e.g., berlinite AlPO₄
- 370 $(\delta(^{31}P) \approx -24.5 \text{ ppm})$ (Bleam et al. 1989), variscite AlPO₄ · 2H₂O $(\delta(^{31}P) \approx -18.6 \text{ to } -19.2 \text{ ppm})$
- 371 (Bleam et al. 1989, Hinedi et al. 1989), and augelite Al₂(OH)₃PO₄ (δ (³¹P) \approx -29.6 ppm) (Bleam et
- al. 1989). If these Al phosphates were present, the poly-P content in the activated sludge would be
- overestimated. However, the hexanol extraction removed the resonance at \approx -25 ppm completely,
- which unambiguously showed that the resonance at \approx -25 ppm was caused by poly-P rather than Al
- 375 phosphates.

376 *4.2 Optimal poly-P extraction from activated sludge*

The variation in poly-P content from different extraction protocol has previously been ascribed to hydrolysis of poly-P during sample preparation (Ahlgren et al. 2007, Hupfer and Gachter 1995). However, our results unambiguously show that incomplete extraction of poly-P is the main reason for the poor performance of some extraction protocols, as ³¹P SSNMR shows that poly-P middle groups remain in the solid phase after extraction.

The E \rightarrow N extraction protocol resulted in the highest poly-P recovery and performed equally well with both post-extraction concentration methods (E \rightarrow N_{Rot} and E \rightarrow N_{Lyo}), although with a tendency for higher recovery when rotary evaporation was used. The efficiency of the two-step E \rightarrow N extraction protocol was further supported by the complete removal of the poly-P resonance in the ³¹P SSNMR spectra of the left-over pellet from the extraction, which demonstrates the complete removal of poly-P by this protocol, in contrast to the other protocols. Thus, extraction by the other protocols (i.e. EN and E \rightarrow EN) is not recommended for quantification of poly-P in activated sludge.

389 The reason for incomplete extraction of poly-P by EN and $E \rightarrow EN$ cannot be conclusively established from our experimental setup. However, the inefficiency of the EN protocol indicates 390 that some other mechanism of poly-P extraction is in play here as opposed to extraction protocols 391 used in soil research, where the EN protocol is commonly used for soil samples due to the high 392 extraction efficiency (Cade-Menun and Preston 1996). The high extraction efficiency of the EN 393 protocols for soil P is ascribed to a combination of release of metal-bound phosphate (caused by 394 EDTA) and organic P released from the surface of minerals and organic matter, when NaOH creates 395 electrostatic repulsion between the organic P compound and mineral or organic matter surface 396 (Turner et al. 2005). Furthermore, organic P associated with minerals or organic matter through 397 bridging ions as Ca²⁺ or Fe³⁺ can be released by replacement of the bridging ions with Na⁺ (Turner 398 399 et al. 2005). However, poly-P is present inside bacterial cells in activated sludge, and perhaps also in the extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) surrounding the cells (Li et al. 2015). Since the 400 binding of poly-P in activated sludge is very different from P binding found in soils this could 401 explain why the EN extraction protocol optimised for soil samples is not efficient for poly-P in 402 activated sludge. Even though extraction of poly-P from activated sludge by NaOH has been 403 404 reported in many studies, e.g., (Huang and Tang 2015, Uhlmann et al. 1990), the efficiency of poly-P extraction has not been addressed in previous studies, and it remains unknown whether all poly-P 405 was extracted during these procedures. From our results, it appears that the combination of EDTA 406 407 and NaOH in the main extract retards poly-P extraction from sludge, rather than promoting poly-P hydrolysis. However, our experimental setup does not allow a conclusive explanation of these 408 findings. 409

410 *4.3 The effect of pre-extraction of activated sludge*

Pre-extraction with EDTA has been suggested to increase the amount of poly-P detected in NMR
extracts by removal of divalent cations from the sludge or sediment (Hupfer and Gachter 1995).

413 Poly-P has been reported to be stable in alkaline solutions (Hupfer and Gachter 1995), but the presence of divalent metal cations may catalyse the degradation of poly-P (Harold 1966). (Hupfer 414 415 and Gachter 1995) showed that sediment addition to an alkaline solution of a synthetic poly-P induced a degradation of the poly-P, which was attributed to cations which catalysed poly-P 416 degradation. The catalysing effect was also observed for extracts of sediments where sediment 417 particles were removed by centrifugation, which indicated that the catalysing agent responsible for 418 poly-P degradation is soluble (Hupfer and Gachter 1995). As mentioned above, our results 419 demonstrate that it is not poly-P degradation that causes a lower content of poly-P in the EN and 420 $E \rightarrow EN$ extracts, but rather incomplete poly-P extraction from the sludge. However these metal 421 cations may promote poly-P degradation in the extracts after extraction, as observed for the 422 lyophilised extracts in this study. Recently, Ca^{2+} has been reported to decrease the rate of poly-P 423 degradation by phosphatase enzymes (Huang et al. 2018), which together with our results indicates 424 that metal cations other than Ca^{2+} are involved in catalysis of poly-P breakdown. 425

426 *4.4 Degradation of poly-P during post-extraction sample concentration*

Poly-P middle group contents were significantly lower when lyophilisation was used for 427 concentration of the NMR extract in the EN and $E \rightarrow EN$ protocols, which implies that rotary 428 evaporation is preferable for these protocols. Whereas the low poly-P content in the EN_{Rot} and 429 $E \rightarrow EN_{Rot}$ extracts can be attributed to insufficient poly-P extraction from the activated sludge, the 430 very low poly-P extraction efficiencies of EN_{Lvo} and $E \rightarrow EN_{Lvo}$ cannot be explained by insufficient 431 poly-P extraction alone. Hence, degradation of the poly-P to orthophosphate during the 432 433 lyophilisation or dissolution steps seems very likely for these two protocols, as indicated by an increase in the relative orthophosphate content in the NMR extracts during the lyophilisation 434 procedure. However, poly-P does not always degrade during lyophilisation/dissolution, as seen by 435 the high poly-P recovery of 82(3)% of the $E \rightarrow N_{Lyo}$ protocol, where the poly-P content determined 436

437 by solution NMR is not significantly different between the $E \rightarrow N_{Lyo}$ protocol and the $E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$ 438 protocol, which indicates that poly-P is conserved during the lyophilisation and dissolution of the 439 $E \rightarrow N_{Lyo}$ samples.

Both synthetic and naturally occurring poly-P have been reported to degrade during lyophilisation 440 of the NMR extract (Cade-Menun et al. 2006, Reitzel et al. 2009). Neutralization prior to 441 lyophilisation has been reported to reduce poly-P breakdown during lyophilisation of 442 443 tripolyphosphate extracts (Cade-Menun et al. 2006). Our $E \rightarrow N_{Lvo}$ samples confirm this where the poly-P middle group recovery by ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy was similar to the poly-P middle 444 group content determined from ³¹P SSNMR. Neutralization of the NMR extracts did, however, not 445 completely prevent breakdown of poly-P in the EN_{Lvo} and $E \rightarrow EN_{Lvo}$ samples. The $E \rightarrow N$ extract 446 contained four times less Mg, and only half as much Mn as the EN and $E \rightarrow EN$ extracts, and the 447 presence of these two divalent cations in high concentrations could play a role in catalysing the 448 degradation of poly-P during lyophilisation of these extracts. However, this possible effect of Mg 449 and Mn catalysis of poly-P fragmentation was only observed for EN_{Lvo} and $E \rightarrow EN_{Lvo}$ and not for 450 EN_{Rot} and $E \rightarrow EN_{Rot}$, indicating that it is the combination of cations and lyophilisation that catalyses 451 degradation of poly-P. As a consequence, we do not recommend the use of lyophilisation for 452 concentration of NMR extracts which contain EDTA. 453

In sediments and soils, pre-extraction by EDTA or HCl has also been shown to recover more poly-P
and pyro-P/poly-P terminal groups than the single step NaOH-EDTA extraction (Ahlgren et al.
2007, Ding et al. 2010, Hupfer and Gachter 1995, Turner 2008). Also pre-extraction in a
bicarbonate and sodium dithionite solution (BD) may increase the relative recovery of total poly-P
and poly-P middle groups (Ahlgren et al. 2007, Cade-Menun et al. 2015, He et al. 2009). However,
the reported spectra resulting from extractions with BD pre-extraction and a NaOH main extraction

seems to result in degradation of poly-P, as seen from a higher concentration of PP1 compared to
PP2-PP4 in the study by (Ahlgren et al. 2007).

Hence, we recommend using $E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$ for extraction of poly-P from fresh sludge since it leads to an almost complete recovery of the total amount of poly-P in the sludge, limited fragmentation/degradation of poly-P and a good separation of poly-P PP1 resonances and pyro-P in

the NMR spectrum.

466 *4.5 Perspectives*

The recommended extraction protocol for ³¹P NMR analyses of activated sludge allowed direct 467 identification and absolute quantification of poly-P in the activated sludge. In contrast to lab-scale 468 phosphate release/uptake studies, this bulk quantification of poly-P can be used as a direct measure 469 of the amounts of poly-P associated with the bacteria in the activated sludge under in situ 470 conditions. Our quantification method can thereby serve as a direct indicator of the phosphate 471 removal efficiency of the PAO community present in the activated sludge. Improved efficiency of 472 the EBPR treatment of the waste water can potentially reduce the application of Al and Fe in the 473 WWTP needed to reduce the effluent P concentration below the limits set by the authorities, and 474 may also increase P recovery in P synthesizing units as struvite recovery units (de-Bashan and 475 Bashan 2004, Marti et al. 2010). In this study, the poly-P in activated sludge constituted ca. 13 476 mgP/gDW (1.3 wt% of dry sludge), with a TP of the sludge of 32.5 mgP/gDW. Our poly-P 477 measurements are in the same range as the 8.8±1.4 to 14.0±0.6 mgP/gDW found in phosphate 478 release studies on EBPR sludge from a range of Danish WWTPs (Mielczarek et al. 2013). It is 479 possible that the poly-P content can become even higher as EBPR sludge may contain up to 50-70 480 mgP/gDW while non-EBPR sludge only contains 10-20 mgP/gDW (Yuan et al. 2012). In addition, 481 quantification of poly-P by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy could also be useful in studies of the poly-P 482

speciation and breakdown along the sludge stream at WWTPs, from activated sludge tank todigested sludge.

485 <u>5. Conclusion</u>

An efficient protocol to quantitatively extract poly-P from activated sludge was identified. Two
large limitations of the application of ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy for reliable quantification of
poly-P (unknown extraction efficiencies and risk of poly-P hydrolysis) are addressed in this study
by a combination of ³¹P solution and solid state NMR spectroscopy. The main findings are:

- Complete extraction of poly-P from activated sludge was only achieved by a two-step EDTA and NaOH extraction protocol (E→N). A single-step EDTA-NaOH extraction protocol (EN)
 or a two-step EDTA and EDTA-NaOH (E→EN) extraction protocol both resulted in
 incomplete extraction of poly-P from activated sludge, as observed by ³¹P solid state NMR
 on the residual sludge.
- The poly-P quantified by ³¹P solution NMR constituted up to 86 ±9% of the poly-P middle groups quantified by ³¹P SSNMR, when a two-step $E \rightarrow N$ extraction was used followed by concentration by rotary evaporation.

498 • Statistically equal poly-P extraction efficiencies for the two-step E→N protocol result from
 499 sample concentration by rotary evaporation or lyophilisation of neutralized extracts prior to
 500 ³¹P solution NMR analysis. However, lyophilisation and dissolution of EN and E→EN
 501 extracts resulted in poly-P degradation.

³¹P SSNMR is a useful supplement to ³¹P solution NMR, as it probes the direct speciation of
 P. However, the better resolution and lower recording time makes ³¹P solution NMR better
 suited for quantification and characterisation of poly-P in activated sludge systems.

505 Acknowledgements

- 506 Funding: This work was supported by the Innovation Fund Denmark [ReCoverP, grant number
- 507 4106-00014, all authors]; An internal SDU grant "Sustainable management of phosphorus in a
- 508 circular economy [CAJ, KR, UGN]; The Villum Foundation via a "Villum Young Investigator
- 509 Programme [grant number VKR022364, UGN, LBS, SSNMR equipment] and for 600 MHz NMR
- 510 spectrometer [Villum Center for Bioanalytical Services]. Mr Christian Brandt Jørgensen is thanked
- 511 for assistance on practical aspects of the NMR. Ms Carina Lohmann and Ms Heidi Arenfeldt
- 512 Andersen are thanked for performing the ICP-OES analysis and for synthesis of the synthetic
- 513 struvite sample, respectively.

514 **Declaration of interests:** None

515 **References**

- Ahlgren, J., De Brabandere, H., Reitzel, K., Rydin, E., Gogoll, A. and Waldeback, M. (2007)
 Sediment phosphorus extractants for phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance analysis: A
 quantitative evaluation. Journal of Environmental Quality 36(3), 892-898.
- Ahlgren, J., Reitzel, K., Danielsson, R., Gogoll, A. and Rydin, E. (2006) Biogenic phosphorus in
 oligotrophic mountain lake sediments: Differences in composition measured with NMR
 spectroscopy. Water Research 40(20), 3705-3712.
- Aue, W.P., Roufosse, A.H., Glimcher, M.J. and Griffin, R.G. (1984) Solid-state phosphorus-31
 nuclear magnetic resonance studies of synthetic solid phases of calcium phosphate: Potential
 models of bone mineral. Biochemistry 23(25), 6110-6114.
- Bak, M., Thomsen, J.K., Jakobsen, H.J., Petersen, S.E., Petersen, T.E. and Nielsen, N.C. (2000)
 Solid-state ¹³C and ³¹P NMR analysis of urinary stones. Journal of Urology 164(3), 856-863.
- Bleam, W.F., Pfeffer, P.E. and Frye, J.S. (1989) ³¹P solid-state nuclear magnetic-resonance
 spectroscopy of aluminum phosphate minerals. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 16(5),
 455-464.
- Cade-Menun, B. and Liu, C.W. (2013) Solution phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance
 spectroscopy of soils from 2005 to 2013: A review of sample preparation and experimental
 parameters. Soil Science Society of America journal 78, 19-37.
- Cade-Menun, B.J. (2005a) Characterizing phosphorus in environmental and agricultural samples by
 ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Talanta 66(2), 359-371.
- Cade-Menun, B.J. (2005b) Organic phosphorus in the environment. Turner, B.L., Frossard, E. and
 Baldwin, D. (eds), pp. 21-44, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
- Cade-Menun, B.J., He, Z.Q. and Dou, Z.X. (2015) Comparison of phosphorus forms in three
 extracts of dairy feces by solution ³¹P NMR analysis. Communications in Soil Science and
 Plant Analysis 46(13), 1698-1712.
- Cade-Menun, B.J., Navaratnam, J.A. and Walbridge, M.R. (2006) Characterizing dissolved and particulate phosphorus in water with ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.
 Environmental Science & Technology 40(24), 7874-7880.
- 543 Cade-Menun, B.J. and Preston, C.M. (1996) A comparison of soil extraction procedures for ³¹P
 544 NMR spectroscopy. Soil Science 161(11), 770-785.
- Cheesman, A.W., Turner, B.L. and Reddy, K.R. (2010) Interaction of phosphorus compounds with
 anion-exchange membranes: Implications for soil analysis. Soil Science Society of America
 journal 74(5), 1607-1612.
- 548 Cordell, D., Rosemarin, A., Schroder, J.J. and Smit, A.L. (2011) Towards global phosphorus
 549 security: A systems framework for phosphorus recovery and reuse options. Chemosphere
 550 84(6), 747-758.
- de-Bashan, L.E. and Bashan, Y. (2004) Recent advances in removing phosphorus from wastewater
 and its future use as fertilizer (1997-2003). Water Research 38(19), 4222-4246.
- Diaz, J.M. and Ingall, E.D. (2010) Fluorometric quantification of natural inorganic polyphosphate.
 Environmental Science & Technology 44(12), 4665-4671.
- Ding, S.M., Bai, X.L., Fan, C.X. and Zhang, L. (2010) Caution needed in pretreatment of sediments
 for refining phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance analysis: Results from a
- comprehensive assessment of pretreatment with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Journal of
 Environmental Quality 39(5), 1668-1678.

Dougherty, W.J., Smernik, R.J. and Chittleborough, D.J. (2005) Application of spin counting to the 559 solid-state ³¹P NMR analysis of pasture soils with varying phosphorus content. Soil Science 560 Society of America journal 69(6), 2058-2070. 561 Fernando, E.Y., McIlroy, S.J., Nierychlo, M., Herbst, F.-A., Schmid, M.C., Wagner, M., Nielsen, 562 J.L. and Nielsen, P.H. (2018) Resolving the individual contribution of key microbial 563 populations to enhanced biological phosphorus removal with Raman-FISH. bioRxiv. 564 https://doi.org/10.1101/387795. 565 566 Florentz, M. and Granger, P. (1983) Phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic-resonance of activated-sludge 567 - Use for the study of the biological removal of phosphates from wastewater. Environmental 568 569 Technology Letters 4(1), 9-14. Frossard, E., Tekely, P. and Grimal, J.Y. (1994) Characterization of phosphate species in urban 570 sewage sludges by high-resolution solid-state ³¹P NMR. European Journal of Soil Science 571 572 45(4), 403-408. Gomes, F.M., Ramos, I.B., Wendt, C., Girard-Dias, W., De Souza, W., Machado, E.A. and 573 Miranda, K. (2013) New insights into the in situ microscopic visualization and quantification 574 575 of inorganic polyphosphate stores by 4 ',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-staining. European Journal of Histochemistry 57(4), 227-235. 576 Harold, F.M. (1966) Inorganic polyphosphates in biology - structure metabolism and function. 577 Bacteriological Reviews 30(4), 772-794. 578 He, Z.O., Honeycutt, C.W., Griffin, T.S., Cade-Menun, B.J., Pellechia, P.J. and Dou, Z.X. (2009) 579 Phosphorus forms in conventional and organic dairy manure identified by solution and solid 580 state P-31 NMR spectroscopy. Journal of Environmental Quality 38(5), 1909-1918. 581 Hinedi, Z.R., Chang, A.C. and Yesinowski, J.P. (1989) Phosphorus-31 magic-angle spinning 582 nuclear magnetic-resonance of waste-water sludges and sludge-amended soil. Soil Science 583 Society of America journal 53(4), 1053-1056. 584 Huang, R. and Tang, Y. (2015) Speciation dynamics of phosphorus during (hydro)thermal 585 treatments of sewage sludge. Environmental Science & Technology 49(24), 14466-14474. 586 Huang, R.X., Wan, B., Hultz, M., Diaz, J.M. and Tang, Y.Z. (2018) Phosphatase-mediated 587 hydrolysis of linear polyphosphates. Environmental Science & Technology 52(3), 1183-1190. 588 Hupfer, M. and Gachter, R. (1995) Polyphosphates in lake sediments: ³¹P NMR-Spectroscopy as a 589 tool for its identification. Limnology and Oceanography 40(3), 610-617. 590 Hupfer, M., Gloss, S., Schmieder, P. and Grossart, H.P. (2008) Methods for detection and 591 592 quantification of polyphosphate and polyphosphate accumulating microorganisms in aquatic sediments. International Review of Hydrobiology 93(1), 1-30. 593 594 Jing, S.R., Benefield, L.D. and Hill, W.E. (1992) Observations relating to enhanced phosphorus removal in biological-systems. Water Research 26(2), 213-223. 595 Kahiluoto, H., Kuisma, M., Ketoja, E., Salo, T. and Heikkinen, J. (2015) Phosphorus in manure and 596 597 sewage sludge more recyclable than in soluble inorganic fertilizer. Environmental Science & Technology 49(4), 2115-2122. 598 Kim, J., Middlemiss, D.S., Chernova, N.A., Zhu, B.Y.X., Masquelier, C. and Grey, C.P. (2010) 599 Linking local environments and hyperfine shifts: A combined experimental and theoretical 600 31P and 7Li solid-state NMR study of paramagnetic Fe(III) phosphates. Journal of the 601 American Chemical Society 132(47), 16825-16840. 602 603 Kulaev, I.S., Vagabov, V. and Kulakovskaya, T. (2005) The Biochemistry of Inorganic Polyphosphates, pp. 26-31, John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, New York, United Kingdom. 604 Li, W.W., Zhang, H.L., Sheng, G.P. and Yu, H.Q. (2015) Roles of extracellular polymeric 605 606 substances in enhanced biological phosphorus removal process. Water Research 86, 85-95.

- Majed, N., Li, Y. and Gu, A.Z. (2012) Advances in techniques for phosphorus analysis in biological
 sources. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 23(6), 852-859.
- Majed, N., Matthaus, C., Diem, M. and Gu, A.Z. (2009) Evaluation of intracellular polyphosphate
 dynamics in enhanced biological phosphorus removal process using Raman microscopy.
 Environmental Science & Technology 43(14), 5436-5442.
- Marti, N., Pastor, L., Bouzas, A., Ferrer, J. and Seco, A. (2010) Phosphorus recovery by struvite
 crystallization in WWTPs: Influence of the sludge treatment line operation. Water Research
 44(7), 2371-2379.
- McDowell, R.W., Condron, L.M., Mahieu, N., Brookes, P.C., Poulton, P.R. and Sharpley, A.N.
 (2002) Analysis of potentially mobile phosphorus in arable soils using solid state nuclear
 magnetic resonance. Journal of Environmental Quality 31(2), 450-456.
- Mielczarek, A.T., Nguyen, H.T.T., Nielsen, J.L. and Nielsen, P.H. (2013) Population dynamics of
 bacteria involved in enhanced biological phosphorus removal in Danish wastewater treatment
 plants. Water Research 47(4), 1529-1544.
- Nanzer, S., Oberson, A., Huthwelker, T., Eggenberger, U. and Frossard, E. (2014) The molecular
 environment of phosphorus in sewage sludge ash: Implications for bioavailability. Journal of
 Environmental Quality 43(3), 1050-1060.
- O'Connor, G.A., Sarkar, D., Brinton, S.R., Elliott, H.A. and Martin, F.G. (2004) Phytoavailability
 of biosolids phosphorus. Journal of Environmental Quality 33(2), 703-712.
- Reitzel, K., Jensen, H.S., Flindt, M. and Andersen, F.O. (2009) Identification of dissolved
 nonreactive phosphorus in freshwater by precipitation with aluminum and subsequent ³¹P
 NMR analysis. Environmental Science & Technology 43(14), 5391-5397.
- Stokholm-Bjerregaard, M., McIlroy, S.J., Nierychlo, M., Karst, S.M., Albertsen, M. and Nielsen,
 P.H. (2017) A critical assessment of the microorganisms proposed to be important to
 enhanced biological phosphorus removal in full-scale wastewater treatment systems. Frontiers
 in Microbiology 8(718).
- Turner, B.L. (2008) Soil organic phosphorus in tropical forests: an assessment of the NaOH-EDTA
 extraction procedure for quantitative analysis by solution ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. European
 Journal of Soil Science 59(3), 453-466.
- Turner, B.L., Cade-Menun, B.J., Condron, L.M. and Newman, S. (2005) Extraction of soil organic
 phosphorus. Talanta 66(2), 294-306.
- Turner, B.L., Mahieu, N. and Condron, L.M. (2003) Phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance
 spectral assignments of phosphorus compounds in soil NaOH-EDTA extracts. Soil Science
 Society of America journal 67(2), 497-510.
- 641 Uhlmann, D., Roske, I., Hupfer, M. and Ohms, G. (1990) A simple method to distinguish between
 642 polyphosphate and other phosphate fractions of activated-sludge. Water Research 24(11),
 643 1355-1360.
- Yuan, Z.G., Pratt, S. and Batstone, D.J. (2012) Phosphorus recovery from wastewater through
 microbial processes. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 23(6), 878-883.
- 646 647

Figure 1: An overview of the samples. There are six different combinations of extraction protocols

and post-extraction sample concentration (blue) and seven samples for SSNMR analysis (brown). 649 Samples marked with light blue or dark brown were studied by ³¹P solution NMR and ³¹P SSNMR, 650 respectively. Lyo = lyophilisation. 651 Figure 2: ³¹P MAS SSNMR spectra of sludge and sludge residues after extraction. a) Lyophilised 652 activated sludge. Residues of activated sludge extracted with b) 0.05 M EDTA, c) first 0.05 M 653 EDTA followed by 0.25 M NaOH, d) EDTA-NaOH, and e) first 0.05 M EDTA followed by 654 extraction with a mixed solution with 0.05 M EDTA and 0.25 M NaOH. Spectra were recorded at 655 11.5 T with spinning speed 15 kHz. Asterisks denote spinning side bands. 656 Figure 3: ³¹P MAS SSNMR spectra of sludge samples. a) Lyophilised activated sludge, b) 657 Activated sludge pre-treated by an extraction in water and hexanol or c) pre-treated by a single 658 extraction in water. The spectra were recorded at 14.1 T with spinning speed 15 kHz. Asterisks 659

660 denote spinning side bands.

Figure 4: ³¹P solution NMR spectra. a) Structure of poly-P with indication of poly-P groups that can be distinguished by ³¹P solution NMR, and ³¹P solution NMR spectra of b) $E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$ and c) $E \rightarrow N_{Lvo}$ Insets show an expansion of the chemical shift region for PP1 and pyro-P.

664

Table 1: ³¹P SSNMR results for lyophilised activated sludge and lyophilised activated sludge
residues from extraction with 0.05M EDTA and the three different extraction methods tested in this
study. Estimated deviations of the data analysis are given in brackets.

Treatme	ent P _{obs} ^a	Ipoly-P ^b	Poly-P middle groups, not corrected ^c	Poly-P middle groups, corrected ^d		
	(%)	(%)	(mgP/gDW)	(mgP/gDW)		
None	66(2)	62(2)	19.9(0.3)	13.2(0.3)		
EDTA	91(2)	64(1)	15.8(0.3)	14.1(0.3)		
EN	73(2)	39(2)	4.8(0.1)	3.4(0.1)		
E→N	73(3)	0	0	0		
E→EN	84(2)	39(3)	5.2(0.1)	4.1(0.1)		
668						
669						
670						
671						
672 ^a P	obs is the per-	centage of	T the sample P that is observed in the ³¹ P SS	NMR spectrum.		
673 ^b I	Poly-P is the in	ntegral of	the polyphosphate resonance at ca25 ppm	n before correction for Pobs.		
674 ^c F	Poly-P middl	e group co	ontent of the sludge, not corrected for P _{obs} .			
675 ^d F	Poly-P middl	le group co	pontent of the sludge, corrected for P_{obs} .			
676						
677						

Table 2: ICP-OES (Total P, Fe, Al, Mg, Ca, Cu and Zn) results for lyophilised activated sludge and
lyophilised activated sludge residues from extraction with 0.05M EDTA and the three different
extraction methods tested in this study. Standard deviation (n=2) given in brackets. Unit: mg/gDW.

681	Treatment TP		Fe	Al	Mg Ca		Cu	Zn	
	None	32.5(0.3)	32.8(1.3)	2.48(0.04)	5.49(0.007)	25.2(0.5)	0.16(0.004)	0.75(0.002)	
	EDTA	24.3(0.3)	8.5(0.2)	2.08(0.003)	4.60(0.02)	2.49(0.01)	0.17(0.01)	0.33(0.02)	
	EN	11.8(0.2)	49.0(1.3)	2.38(0.1)	1.41(0.03)	1.58(0.03)	0.15(0.02)	0.23(0.01)	
	E→N	10.5(0.003)	24.7(0.4)	3.56(0.01)	8.65(0.03)	1.47(0.03)	0.18(0.01)	0.26(0.004)	
	E→EN	12.4(0.3)	12.6(0.2)	2.63(0.07)	1.39(0.04)	0.71(0.002)	0.18(0.01)	0.15(0.001)	

Chilling Min

682

683 Table 3: Contents (mgP/gDW) of poly-P end group and poly-P middle group in main extracts of the three tested extraction methods and

two different concentration methods. Standard deviations (n = 3) given in brackets for P contents. Results of ANOVA analysis (p = 0.05)

685 followed by Tukey's test for the poly-P middle groups are indicated by superscript capital letters.

	TP extracted (mg/gDW)	TP extraction efficiency (%)	PP1	Pyro-P ^a	PP2	PP3	PP4	PP2-PP4	PP2-PP4 extraction efficiency (%) ^b
EN _{Rot}	28.2	86.9	0.86(0.08)	0.11(0.02)	0.68(0.07)	0.61(0.1)	8.0(0.3)	9.3(0.3) ^A	71(3)
EN_{Lyo}	29.7	91.3	0.67(0.1)	-	0.29(0.1)	0.27(0.2)	4.7(0.4)	$5.2(0.4)^{B}$	40(3)
$E \rightarrow N_{Rot}$	23.0	70.9	1.2(0.4)	0.12(0.2)	1.0(0.2)	0.91(0.2)	9.4(1.2)	$11.4(1.2)^{C}$	86(9)
$E \rightarrow N_{Lyo}$	21.5	66.2	1.1(0.2)	-	0.95(0.2)	1.1(0.3)	8.8(0.1)	10.8(0.4) ^{AC}	82(3)
$E \rightarrow EN_{Rot}$	18.4	56.7	0.87(0.2)	0.12(0.04)	0.71(0.1)	0.80(0.1)	7.9(0.5)	9.4(0.5) ^A	71(4)
$E \rightarrow EN_{Lyo}$	18.2	56.1	0.40(0.2)	-	0.17(0.07)	0.27(0.2)	4.0(0.2)	$4.4(0.3)^{B}$	34(2)

^aPyro-P could not be separated from poly-P PP1 groups in all spectra, and is therefore included in the integral of PP1 for the Lyo spectra.

^b Estimated uncertainties are given in brackets.

- **Table 4:** Metal contents from ICP of the main extracts used for ³¹P solution NMR (mgP/gDW).
- 689 Standard deviations (n = 3) given in brackets.

	Fe	Al	Ca	Mg	Mn	Cu	Zn
EN	1.18(0.08)	1.04(0.02)	23.2(0.04)	4.09(0.06)	0.18(0.01)	0.17(0.01)	0.52(0.01)
E→N	0.78(0.07)	0.56(0.02)	2.8(0.8)	0.92(0.05)	0.06(0.01)	0.17(0.01)	0.22(0.02)
E→EN	0.69(0.03)	0.57(0.01)	1.85(0.02)	3.37(0.03)	0.12(0.01)	0.16(0.01)	0.20(0.02)





Ser

a) Sludge and. b) Hexanol+water * c) Water -200 150 100 50 0 -50 -100 -150 -200 -250 $\delta(^{31}P)$ ppm



Highlights:

- ³¹P solution NMR spectroscopy for quantification of poly-P extracted from activated sludge.
- Three extraction protocols for poly-P from activated sludge were compared.
- Two-step EDTA and NaOH extraction extracts all poly-P from activated sludge.
- Rotary evaporation of extracts gives less poly-P degradation than lyophilisation.
- Poly-P extraction efficiency was evaluated by comparison with solid state NMR results.