## Aalborg Universitet

## AALBORG UNIVERSITY

# A New Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-Up Converter with Wide Range of Duty Cycle for DC Microgrid Applications 

Bhaskar, M. S.; Al-ammari, R.; Mohammad, M.; Padmanaban, S.; Iqbal, A.<br>Published in:<br>IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications<br>DOI (link to publication from Publisher):<br>10.1109/TIA.2019.2920807

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):
Bhaskar, M. S., Al-ammari, R., Mohammad, M., Padmanaban, S., \& Iqbal, A. (2019). A New Triple-Switch-TripleMode High Step-Up Converter with Wide Range of Duty Cycle for DC Microgrid Applications. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 55(6), 7425-7441. [8728249]. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2019.2920807

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.
? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# A New Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-Up Converter with Wide Range of Duty Cycle for DC Microgrid Applications 

Mahajan Sagar Bhaskar ${ }^{1}$, Member, IEEE, Rashid Alammari ${ }^{1}$, Senior Member, IEEE, Mohammad Meraj ${ }^{1}$, Student Member, IEEE, Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban ${ }^{2}$, Senior Member, IEEE, and Atif Iqbal ${ }^{1}$, Senior Member, IEEE<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Electrical Engineering, Qatar University, P.O. Box 2713, Doha, Qatar<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, 6700 Esbjerg, Denmark sagar25.mahajan@gmail.com, ralammari@qu.edu.qa, meraj@qu.edu.qa, san@et.aau.dk, atif.iqbal@qu.edu.qa


#### Abstract

DC microgrid is gaining attraction and a recent trend in distribution power generation system due to penetration of renewables (especially Photovoltaic (PV) or Fuel Cell (FC)). In this paper, a new Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-Up converter (TSTM-HS converter) is presented for DC microgrid applications. In the proposed converter, voltage lift technique is employed and range of duty cycle is extended by incorporating an additional switch in converter circuitry. By doing this, high voltage conversion ratio is achieved without using a transformer, coupled inductor, and multiple stages of switched capacitors. Moreover, the TSTM-HS converter operated in three modes with two types of the duty cycles to achieve low to high voltage conversion without using high duty cycle for each switch. The effects of difference in the inductance values on the regulation and operating behavior of the TSTM-HS converter are discussed. The Continuous Conduction Mode (CCM) and Discontinuous Conduction Mode (DCM) characteristics of TSTM-HS converter are discussed in detail with steady-state analysis and boundary condition. The comparison is provided to highlight the benefits of the TSTM-HS converter. The selection of semiconductor devices and the design of reactive components are discussed for the TSTM-HS converter. The experimental results of the proposed converter are provided which validate the theoretical approach, performance, and feasibility of converter.


Index Terms- DC-DC converter, DC microgrid, High voltage conversion ratio, Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode converter, Voltagelift, Wide range of duty cycle.

## I. Introduction

DC microgrid and its associated power electronics converter units are the main attraction and rapidly upgrading technologies due to penetration of renewables in the distribution power generation energy systems. Photovoltaic (PV), Fuel Cell (FC), and batteries are mainly employed to powered DC microgrid via power conversion unit [1]-[2]. Several PV or FC sources can also be connected in series or parallel for the generation of the high DC voltage and current. However, several sources in series or parallel for the energy generation is not a viable solution to fulfil the voltage and current demand of load due to the requirement of higher cost and large space for the installation etc. Therefore, DC-DC converter is generally employed as a front-end structure to achieve high voltage or high current with high efficiency and small volume [3]-[5]. Fig. 1 depicts the structure of 400V DC
microgrid system in which high voltage is obtained with the help of DC-DC converter from $12-48 \mathrm{~V}$ PV sources. Theoretically, the conventional converter provides higher voltage conversion ratio at higher duty cycle. However, in practical, due to use of extreme duty cycle, the performance and efficiency of the conventional DC-DC boost converter are highly suffered from the diode reverse recovery problem, Effective Series Resistance (ESR) of inductor and capacitor, electromagnetic interference, and conduction losses of switches [6]-[7]. Isolated converter configurations e.g. pushpull, flyback, forward, half-bridge, and full-bridge converters has been proposed in the literature to achieve high voltage conversion by adjusting the turn ratio of the transformer or coupled inductors [8]-[10]. Nevertheless, transformer core saturation, high voltage spikes across switches, power dissipation, bulky circuitry etc. are the main problem associated with these configurations. Moreover, an additional active clamping circuit, a non-dissipative snubber circuit, and high-frequency transformer are required which increases the cost and size of the converter [11]-[12].

The non-isolated converter can be a viable solution to achieve high voltage conversion ratio in case galvanic isolation is not required [13]-[14]. Several converter configurations are also presented in the literature by utilizing the coupled inductor to achieve high voltage conversion ratio [15]-[16]. However, high input current ripples, voltage spikes on the switch, and leakage inductance are the main problems associated with these configurations. Therefore, an additional


Fig. 1. Block diagram of 400 V DC microgrid system for with PV.
input filter and active clamping techniques are needed for coupled inductor based power converters [17]. Several converter configurations have been addressed based on the multiple boosting stages without using transformer and coupled inductors e.g. cascaded and quadratic boost, voltage lift technique, Voltage Multiplier (VM), Switched Capacitor (SC), integration of boost converter with SC, Switched Inductor (SI) [18]-[22]. The insertion of a large number of multiple stages with inductors and capacitors surely increases the complexity and cost of the power circuit. In SC technique, multiple capacitors stages are required and the switches are suffered from the high transient current and high conduction losses. In [23], the power circuitries of three converters are proposed to achieve higher voltage conversion in which two inductors are charged in parallel and discharged in series when the switches are turned ON and OFF, respectively. In [24], several numbers of diode-capacitor stages are employed to achieve higher voltage conversion ratio. The multiple loops to transfer the energy to load degrade the efficiency and circuitry also required inductor with high current capability. Moreover, the converter has a narrow range of duty cycle for the switching operation. In [25], high gain converter is proposed for microgrid applications by incorporating additional switch. However, the conversion ratio is not significantly increased even using three switches. In [26], a new converter is proposed by using several inductors and switches. However, utilization of several switched inductors is not a viable solution and moreover, a large number of semiconductor devices are required to transfer energy to load via inductors. As a result, cost and size of the converter is increased. In this paper, a new converter called Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-Up converter (TSTM-HS converter) is proposed to overcome the aforementioned drawbacks. The TSTM-HS converter has the capability to generate higher voltage conversion ratio with a wide range of duty cycle. The other advantages of the TSTM-HS converter are output voltage is achieved by adjusting the two types of the duty cycle, transformer-less configuration, coupled inductor-less configuration, the stored energy is supplied to load without using a large number of semiconductor devices, and high voltage conversion ratio without using VM.
The paper is organized as follows: power circuitry, operating principle of the TSTM-HS converter along with CCM and DCM analysis and characteristics are discussed in section II. The effects of difference in the inductance values on the regulation and operation of the TSTM-HS converter are discussed in Section III. The efficiency analysis of the converter is discussed in section IV. The design of TSTM-HS converter is provided in section V. Also, the TSTM-HS converter compared with recently presented converter to show the benefits of the proposed approach. The experimental results are provided in the section VI. Finally, a conclusion based on the experimental investigation is provided in section VII. References are given in the last section to support the literature and concept of the proposed converter.

## II. Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-Up Converter (TSTM-HS CONVERTER)

Fig. 2 shows the power circuitry of TSTM-HS converter. The circuitry consists of two inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$; two control switches $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ (bidirectional for current); switch $S_{3}$ (diode $D$ is connected in series to achieve unidirectional current), three capacitors $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{o}$; three diodes $D_{1}, D_{2}$, and $D_{o}$. The load $R$ is connected across capacitor $C_{o}$. The inductance level for both the inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are same; hence, $L_{1}=L_{2}=L$. The capacitance of both capacitor $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are same; hence, $C_{l}=C_{2}=C$. In this section, it is assumed that inductance values of both inductors are equal i.e $L_{1}=L_{2}=L$. Therefore, this section deals with CCM and DCM characteristics by considering $L_{1}=L_{2}=L$. However, in practical


Fig. 2. Power circuitry of TSTM-HS converter.


Fig. 3. Typical characteristics waveform of CCM.


Fig. 4. Inductor voltage and current waveform.
cases, the converter operating behavior and regulation surely affect by the difference in the two inductances values i.e. $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ which is discussed in section III.

To elucidate the CCM and DCM operation of TSTM-HS converter, steady state analysis and characteristic, it is assumed that all the reactive components and semiconductor devices are ideal, (i.e. ON-state resistance $\left(R_{D-O N}\right)$ of semiconductor devices and voltage drop during conduction are zero), ESR of all the inductors and capacitors are zero, and all the capacitors have enough capacitance to provide ripple free voltage (i.e. $\Delta V_{C 1}, \Delta V_{C 2}$, and $\Delta V_{C 3}$ are zero). Let's assumes $T$ and $f_{s}$ is time period of one switching cycle and switching frequency, respectively.

## A. CCM- Characteristics Waveform, Operation, and Analysis

The gate pulses are provided to three switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ in such a way that the circuitry of converter performs three modes of operation in CCM. The gate pulses for switches $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ are identical with duty ratio $k_{1}$, and the gate pulse with duty ratio $k_{2}$ is provided to switch $S_{3}$ with delay $k_{1} T$ seconds. The typical characteristics waveform of CCM is shown in Fig. 3. The inductor voltage and current waveform is separately shown in Fig. 4, where $\alpha_{1}^{I}, \alpha_{2}^{I} ; \alpha_{1}^{I I}$, and $\alpha_{2}^{I I}$ are magnetizing angle, and $\beta_{1}^{I I I}, \beta_{2}^{I I I}$ are demagnetizing angle for inductors $L_{l}$, $L_{2}$ for modes I, II, and III, respectively (where superscript denotes the mode and subscript denotes the inductor). The magnetizing angle is angle measured between charging current of inductors and time axis. Similarly, the demagnetizing angle is angle measure between discharging current of inductors and time axis. The $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z are regions trace by voltage of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ in mode I, II, and III, respectively. The operating principle and analysis of converter for each mode is explains as follows,

1) Mode $I\left[t_{0}-t_{1}\right]$ : For this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF. Fig. 5(a) depicts the equivalent converter circuitry for this mode. During this mode, inductors $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and capacitors $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are connected in parallel with input supply $v_{1}$. Therefore, both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are magnetized by input supply voltage $\left(v_{1}\right)$ through the path $v_{l}-L_{l}-S_{l}$ and $v_{l}-S_{2}-L_{2}$, respectively. At the same time,

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 5. Equivalent circuitry (a) when switches $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF, (b) switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON, (c) all switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF.
capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are also charged by input voltage $\left(v_{1}\right)$ through the path $v_{l}-D_{I}-C_{l}-S_{l}$ and $v_{l}-S_{2}-C_{2}-D_{2}$, respectively. The load $R$ is continuously supplied by the energy stored in the capacitor $C_{o}$. Diodes $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are forward biased and diode $D_{o}$ is reversed biased. From the equivalent circuitry and characteristics, the voltage across inductors and capacitors are expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{L 1}=v_{L 2}=v_{L} \approx V_{1} ; V_{C 1}=V_{C 2}=V_{C} \approx V_{1} ; V_{C o} \approx V_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this mode, inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are linearly increasing with constant slope $\tan \alpha_{1}{ }^{I}$ and $\tan \alpha_{2}{ }^{I}$, respectively. The magnetizing angle of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{I}\right.$ and $\left.\alpha_{2}^{I}\right)$ can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1}^{I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{1}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 1(\text { max } 1)^{-I}} L_{L 1(\text { min })}}{k_{1} T}\right) \\
\alpha_{2}^{I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{2}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 2(\text { max } 1)^{-I}}{ }_{L 2(\text { min })}}{k_{1} T}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\text { we have } L_{1}=L_{2}, \therefore \tan \alpha_{1}^{I}=\tan \alpha_{2}^{I} \Rightarrow \alpha_{1}^{I}=\alpha_{2} I^{I}=\alpha
\end{array}\right)
$$

2) Mode II $\left[t_{1}-t_{2}\right]$ : For this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON. Fig. 5(b) depicts the equivalent converter circuitry for this mode. During this mode, inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ serially connected with input supply.

Therefore, series network of inductors $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$ are magnetized by input supply voltage $\left(v_{1}\right)$ through the path $v_{1^{-}}$ $L_{l}-S_{3}-L_{2}$, respectively. The voltage across capacitors $C_{l}, C_{2}$, and $C_{o}$ incurs the diodes $D_{l}, D_{2}$ and $D_{o}$ in reversed biased operation. Due to this, energy stored in capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are unchanged and the load $R$ is yet supplied by energy stored in the capacitor $C_{o}$. From the equivalent circuitry and characteristics, the voltage across inductors and capacitors are expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{L 1}=v_{L 2}=v_{L} \approx \frac{V_{1}}{2} ; v_{C 1}=v_{C 2}=V_{C} \approx V_{1} ; v_{C o} \approx V_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this mode, inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are linearly increasing with constant slope $\tan \alpha_{1}^{I I}$ and $\tan \alpha_{2}^{I I}$, respectively. The magnetizing angle of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ ( $\alpha_{1}^{I I}$ and $\alpha_{2}^{I I}$ ) can be expressed as follows,
$\alpha_{1}^{I I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{2 L_{1}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 1(\max 2)^{-I}} k_{21(\max 1)}}{k_{2} T}\right)$
$\alpha_{2}^{I I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{2 L_{2}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 2(\max 2)^{-I}}{ }_{L 2(\max 1)}}{k_{2} T}\right) \quad t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}$
we have $L_{1}=L_{2}, \therefore \tan \alpha_{1}{ }^{I I}=\tan \alpha_{2}{ }^{I I} \Rightarrow \alpha_{1}{ }^{I I}=\alpha_{2}{ }^{I I}=\alpha / 2$
3) Mode III $\left[t_{2}-t_{3}\right]$ : For this mode, all switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF. Fig. 5(c) depicts the equivalent converter circuitry for this mode. During this mode, inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ along with capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are serially connected with input supply and supplied energy to capacitor $C_{o}$ and load $R$. Thus, inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ demagnetized and capacitor $C_{l}$ and $C_{2}$ are discharged through the path $v_{1}-L_{1}-C_{1}-R-C_{2}-L_{2}$. Diodes $D_{I}$ and $D_{2}$ are reversed biased and diode $D_{o}$ in forward biased. From the equivalent circuitry and characteristics, the voltage across inductors and capacitors are expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
v_{L 1}=v_{L 2}=v_{L}=\frac{V_{1}+V_{C 1}+V_{C 2}-V_{2}}{2} \approx \frac{3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{2}  \tag{5}\\
V_{C 1}=V_{C 2}=V_{C} \approx V_{1} ; V_{C o} \approx V_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In this mode, inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are linearly decreasing with constant slope $\tan \beta_{1}{ }^{I I I}$ and $\tan \beta_{2}{ }^{I I I}$, respectively. The demagnetizing angle of the inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}\left(\beta_{1}^{I I I}\right.$ and $\left.\beta_{2}{ }^{I I I}\right)$ can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{1}^{I I I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{2 L_{1}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 1(\text { min })^{-I} L 1(\max 2)}}{1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T}\right) \\
\beta_{2}^{I I I}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{2 L_{2}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{L 2(\text { min })^{-I}} 2(\max 2)}{1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T}\right) \\
\text { we have } L_{1}=L_{2}, \therefore \tan \beta_{1}^{I I}=\tan \beta_{2}^{I I} \Rightarrow \beta_{1}^{I I}=\beta_{2}^{I I}=\beta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using inductor volt-second balance method,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\int_{0}^{k_{1} T} v_{L}{ }^{I} d t}_{X}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{k_{2} T} v_{L} I I}_{Y} d t+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T} v_{L}^{I I I} d t}_{Z}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $v_{L}^{I}, v_{L}^{I I}$, and $v_{L}^{I I I}$ are voltage across inductors in mode I, II, and III, respectively. X, Y, and Z are the area trace under inductors voltage waveform as shown in Fig. 4. Using (7), the voltage conversion ratio for $\mathrm{CCM}\left(V C R_{C C M}\right)$ of the TSTM-HS converter is obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{k_{1} T}\left(V_{1}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{k_{2} T}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{2}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1-k_{1} T-K_{2} T}\left(\frac{3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{2}\right) d t=0  \tag{8}\\
& V C R_{C C M}=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The effects of duty cycles $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ on voltage conversion ratio are analyzed. The variation in the voltage conversion


Fig. 6. Plot of voltage conversion ratio and effect of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ on voltage conversion ratio.


Fig. 7. Typical characteristics waveform of DCM.
ratio $V C R_{C C M}$ with change in duty cycle $k_{1}$ and keeping $k_{2}$ constant, and the variation in the voltage conversion ratio $V C R_{C C M}$ with change in duty cycle $k_{2}$ and keeping $k_{l}$ constant is shown in Fig. 6. It is investigated that the TSTM-HS converter provides a high voltage conversion ratio by varying duty cycle suitably.

## B. DCM-Characteristics Waveform, Operation, and Analysis

For DCM, operation of the TSTM-HS converter is divided in four modes. The typical characteristics waveform is shown in Fig. 7, voltage and current waveform of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are separately shown in Fig. 8 , where $\delta_{1}{ }^{I}, \delta_{2}{ }^{I} ; \delta_{1}{ }^{I I}$, and $\delta_{2}{ }^{I I}$ are magnetizing angles and $\gamma_{1}^{I I I}, \gamma_{2}^{I I I}$ are demagnetizing angles for inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ for mode I, II, and III, respectively (where superscript denotes the mode and subscript denotes the inductor). The $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{U}$ are regions trace by voltage of inductors $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$ in mode I, II, III, and IV, respectively. The modes are explained as follows,

1) Mode $I\left[t_{0}-t_{l}\right]$ : For this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF. For this mode, operating principle and equivalent circuitry (Fig. 5(a)) are same as mode I of CCM. The maximum value of inductors $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{L 1(\max 1)}=\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{1}}\right) k_{1} T=k_{1} T \tan \left(\delta_{1}^{I}\right) \\
I_{L 2(\text { max } 1)}=\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{2}}\right) k_{1} T=k_{1} T \tan \left(\delta_{2}^{I}\right) \\
\text { we have } L_{1}=L_{2}=L, \\
\therefore I_{L 1(\text { max } 1)}=I_{L 2(\text { max } 1)}=I_{L(\max 1)} \Rightarrow \delta_{1}^{I}=\delta_{2}^{I}=\delta
\end{array}\right\} t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}(10)
$$

2) Mode II $\left[t_{1}-t_{2}\right]$ : For this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON, and inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are non zero. For this mode, operating principle and equivalent circuitry (Fig. 5(b)) are same as mode II of CCM. The maximum value of inductor $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents is expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{L 1(\max 2)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=I_{L 1(\max 1)}+\left(\frac{V_{1}}{2 L_{1}}\right) k_{2} T \\
=\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{2} T}{2}+k_{1} T\right) \\
=k_{2} T \tan \left(\delta_{1}^{I I}\right)+k_{1} T \tan \left(\delta_{1}^{I}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
=I_{L 2(\max 1)^{+}+\left(\frac{V_{1}}{2 L_{2}}\right) k_{2} T} \begin{array}{l}
I_{L 2(\max 2)}=\left(\frac{V_{1}}{L_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{2} T}{2}+k_{1} T\right) \\
=k_{2} T \tan \left(\delta_{2}^{I I}\right)+k_{1} T \tan \left(\delta_{2}^{I}\right)
\end{array} \\
\text { we have } L_{1}=L_{2}=L, \Rightarrow \delta_{1}^{I I}=\delta_{2}^{I I}=\frac{\delta_{1}^{I}}{2}=\frac{\delta_{2}{ }^{I}}{2}=\frac{\delta}{2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2} \\
& \therefore I_{L 1(\max 2)}=I_{L 2(\max 2)=I_{L(\max 2)}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

3) Mode III $\left[t_{2}-t_{3}\right]$ : For this mode, all the switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$,


Fig. 8. Inductor voltage and current waveform.
and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF, and inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are non zero. For this mode, operating principle and equivalent circuitry (Fig. 5(c)) are same as mode III of CCM. The value of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are reached to zero at time $t_{3}$, and the maximum value of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{L 1(\max 2)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=\left(\frac{V_{2}-V_{C 1}-V_{C 2}-V_{1}}{2 L_{1}}\right) k_{3} T \\
=\left(\frac{V_{2}-3 V_{1}}{2 L_{1}}\right) k_{3} T=k_{3} T\left(\gamma_{1} I I I\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
I_{L 2(\max 2)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{V_{2}-V_{C 1}-V_{C 2}-V_{1}}{2 L_{2}}\right) k_{3} T \\
=\left(\frac{V_{2}-3 V_{1}}{2 L_{2}}\right) k_{3} T=k_{3} T\left(\gamma_{2}^{I I I}\right)
\end{array}\right\} t_{2} \leq t \leq t_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\text { we have } L_{1}=L_{2}=L, \Rightarrow \gamma_{1}^{I I I}=\gamma_{2} I I I  \tag{12}\\
\therefore I_{L 1(\max 2)}=I_{L 2(\max 2)}=I_{L(\max 2)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

4) Mode $I V\left[t_{3}-t_{4}\right]$ : For this mode, all the switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF, and inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are zero. Fig. 9 shows the equivalent circuitry of converter. It is important to note that in mode III, diode $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ already reversed biased when inductors and capacitors are discharged through load $R$. Therefore, the diode $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ didn't presents in the path during discharging of the inductors and capacitors and hence, diode $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are not responsible for DCM. It is noteworthy that DCM occurs when diode $D_{o}$ is reversed biased and all the switches are turned OFF as shown in Fig. 9.


Fig. 9. DCM equivalent circuitry when all switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF and inductor current is zero. (Mode IV)


Fig. 10. Curves for DCM Investigation (a) curve of normalized inductor time constant $\left(\tau_{B}\right)$ versus duty cycles ( $k_{l}$ and $k_{2}$ ), (b) voltage conversion ratio $\left(V C R_{D C M}\right)$ versus duty cycles ( $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ ) at $\tau_{B}=0.01$.

The energy stored in the inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is zero. Therefore, only energy stored in the capacitor $C_{o}$ is supplied to load $R$. Using (11) and (12), $k_{3}$ is obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}=\frac{V_{1}\left(2 k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}{V_{2}-3 V_{1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Fig. 7, the expression for the average capacitor $C_{o}$ current is found as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C o}=\frac{I_{L 1(\max 2)^{k} 3 T-2 I_{2} T}}{2 T}=\frac{I_{L 1(\max 2)^{k} 3} T}{2 T}-I_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11)-(14), the average current of capacitor $C_{o}$ is found as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C o}=\frac{\left(V_{1} / \sqrt{L}\right)^{2}\left(k_{2}+2 k_{1}\right)^{2} T}{4\left(V_{2}-3 V_{1}\right)}-\frac{V_{2}}{R} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under steady state condition, the average current of capacitor is zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{1}^{2}\left(k_{2}+2 k_{1}\right)^{2} T}{4 L\left(V_{2}-3 V_{1}\right)}=\frac{V_{2}}{R} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, voltage conversion ratio in DCM is found as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V C R_{D C M}=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{3}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{9}{4}+\frac{\left(k_{2}+2 k_{1}\right)^{2}}{4 \tau}}, \tau=L(R T)^{-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\tau$ is time constant parameter which value is equal to $L f_{s} / R$ and the boundary operating condition for converter can be obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{B}=\frac{\left(k_{2}+2 k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\tau_{B}$ is normalized inductor time constant at boundary. The curve of $\tau_{B}$ versus duty cycles is shown in Fig. 10(a). The effect of duty cycles $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ on voltage conversion ratio and boundary condition is analyzed and the variation in the voltage conversion ratio $\left(V C R_{D C M}\right)$ is shown in Fig. $10(\mathrm{~b})$ for $\tau_{B}=0.01$ with change in duty cycle $k_{1}$ and keeping $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ constant. The variation in the voltage conversion ratio $\left(V C R_{D C M}\right)$ is also shown in Fig. 10(b) for $\tau_{B}=0.01$ with change in duty cycle $k_{2}$
and keeping $k_{l}$ constant. If $\tau$ is smaller than $\tau_{B}$, then converter operates in DCM. However, to operate the converter in CCM, following condition necessary to satisfy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{L}{R T}>\frac{\left(k_{2}+2 k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. Operating Behavior Under Different Inductance Values of Inductors

In this section, operating principle and behavior of the TSTM-HS converter are discussed under different inductance values of inductors. There are two cases described as follows with considering ideal semiconductor components and capacitance of the capacitors are large enough to maintain the constant voltage.

## A. $L_{1}$ is Larger Than $L_{2}\left(L_{1}>L_{2}\right)$

In this case, it is considered that the value of inductor $L_{l}$ is larger than the value of inductor $L_{2}$. Fig. 11(a) shows the inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ current waveforms along with the switching pulses. It is notable that if the value of inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ are equal (i.e $L_{I}=L_{2}$ ), then at any time the value of $i_{L 1}$ and $i_{L 2}$ are equal (i.e. $i_{L 1}=i_{L 2}$ ) and the waveform of inductor $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$ currents are identical as discussed in section II. Nevertheless, if the values of both inductors are not same, say, the value of inductor $L_{l}$ is larger than the value of inductor $L_{2}$, then as soon as switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF and switch $S_{3}$ is turned $\mathrm{ON}, i_{L 2}$ is larger than $i_{L 1}$. Due to this, time interval PT ( $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$ ) shown in Fig. 11(a) is started. At this instant, inductor $L_{2}$ starts magnetizing with lower slope (nearly 0 ) and $L_{l}$ is still magnetizing with the same slope. When $i_{L I}=i_{L 2}$, there is end of this time interval. Hence, in this case, there are four operating states explained as follows,

## 1) Mode $I\left[t_{0}-t_{l}\right]$ :

Switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF. The equivalent converter circuitry for this mode is same


Fig. 11. When $L_{l}>L_{2}$ (a) Inductor $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ current waveforms along with the switching pulses, (b) equivalent circuit when switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON during $\left(t_{1}\right.$ to $\left.t_{2}\right)$ i.e. for PT time period.
as Fig. 5(a). However, the current flowing through inductor $L_{2}$ is larger than inductor $L_{1}$ i.e. $\left(i_{L 2}>i_{L I}\right)$. During this mode, inductors $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and capacitors $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are connected in parallel with input supply $v_{l}$. The energy stored in capacitor $C_{o}$ is supplied to load $R$. Besides, the current through inductor $L_{2}$ is larger than the current through inductor $L_{l}$. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=v_{1}, L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=v_{1}, C o \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=\frac{-v_{2}}{R}  \tag{20}\\
i_{L 1}<i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}
$$

## 2) Mode II $\left[t_{1}-t_{2}\right]$ :

Switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON. Fig. 11(b) depicts the equivalent converter circuitry for this mode. During this mode, $i_{L 2}$ is larger than $i_{L 1}$, thereby causing diode $D_{l}$ to be forward biased. Capacitor $C_{o}$ is discharged into the load $R$. Once the current through inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are equal, the converter operates in mode III. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=v_{1}, L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=v_{1}-V_{C 1} \approx 0, C_{O} \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=-\frac{v_{2}}{R}  \tag{21}\\
i_{L 1}<i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\}_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}
$$

## 3) Mode III $\left[t_{2}-t_{3}\right]$ :

In this mode, switches $S_{l}$ and $S_{2}$ are still turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is still turned ON. The equivalent converter circuitry
for this mode is same as Fig. 5(b). In this mode, the inductors are in series and currents flowing through both the inductors are equal. Besides, $C_{o}$ is still discharged into load $R$. Let's assume $M_{1}=L_{1} /\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)$ and $M_{2}=L_{2} /\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)$. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=M_{1} v_{1}, L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=M_{2} v_{1}, C_{o} \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=-\frac{v_{2}}{R}  \tag{22}\\
{ }_{L 1}=i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{2} \leq t \leq t_{3}
$$

## 4) Mode $I V\left[t_{3}-T\right]$ :

In this mode, all switches are turned OFF. The equivalent converter circuitry for this mode is same as Fig. 5(c). During this period, both inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$, both capacitors $C_{l}$ and $C_{2}$, and input voltage $v_{l}$ are in series and provide energy to load $R$. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=M_{1}\left(3 v_{1}-v_{2}\right), L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=M_{2}\left(3 v_{1}-v_{2}\right)  \tag{23}\\
C_{o} \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=\frac{-v_{2}}{R}, i_{L 1}=i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{3} \leq t \leq T
$$

Using (21)-(23) and small approximation, the volt second balance equations for inductors can be obtained as follows, For $\mathrm{L}_{1}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} k_{1} T+V_{1} P T+\frac{L_{1}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} V_{1} k_{2} T-P T  \tag{24}\\
+\frac{L_{1} 3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} 1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

For $\mathrm{L}_{2}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} k_{1} T+0 P T+\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} V_{1} k_{2} T-P T  \tag{25}\\
+\frac{L_{2} 3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} 1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

Using (24) and (25), the voltage conversion ratio can be obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{C C R}\left(L_{1}>L_{2}\right)=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noteworthy that the voltage conversion ratio obtained in (26) is same as (9).

## B. $L_{2}$ is Larger Than $L_{1}\left(L_{2}>L_{1}\right)$

In this case, it is considered that the value of inductor $L_{2}$ is larger than the value of inductor $L_{l}$. Fig. 12(a) shows the inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ current waveforms along with the switching pulses. If the value of inductor $L_{2}$ is larger than the value of inductor $L_{1}$, then as soon as switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF and switch $S_{3}$ is turned $\mathrm{ON}, i_{L 1}$ is larger than $i_{L 2}$. Due to this, time interval PT ( $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$ ) shown in Fig. 12(a) is started. At this instant, inductor $L_{I}$ starts magnetizing with lower slope (nearly 0 ) and $L_{2}$ is still magnetizing with the same slope. When $i_{L I}=i_{L 2}$, there is end of this time interval. Hence, in this case, there are four operating states explained as


Fig. 12. When $L_{l}<L_{2}$ (a) Inductor $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ current waveforms along with the switching pulses, (b) equivalent circuit when switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON during $\left(t_{1}\right.$ to $\left.t_{2}\right)$ i.e. for PT time period.
follows,

## 1) Mode $I\left[t_{0}-t_{l}\right]$ :

In this mode, switches $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF. The equivalent converter circuitry for this mode is same as Fig. 5(a). However, the current flowing through inductor $L_{1}$ is larger than inductor $L_{2}$ i.e. $i_{L 1}>i_{L 2}$. During this mode, inductors $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and capacitors $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are connected in parallel with input supply $v_{l}$. The energy stored in capacitor $C_{o}$ is supplied to load $R$. Besides, the current through inductor $L_{l}$ is larger than the current through inductor $L_{2}$. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=v_{1}, L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=v_{1}, C o \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=\frac{-v_{2}}{R}  \tag{27}\\
i_{L 1}>i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}
$$

## 2) Mode II $\left[t_{1}-t_{2}\right]$ :

In this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON. Fig. 12(b) depicts the equivalent converter circuitry for this mode. During this mode, $i_{L 1}$ is larger than $i_{L 2}$, thereby causing diode $D_{2}$ to be forward biased. Capacitor $C_{o}$ is discharged into load $R$. Once the current through inductor $L_{2}$ and $L_{l}$ are equal, the converter operates in mode III. The voltage and current equation can be obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L_{1} \frac{d i_{L 1}}{d t}=v_{1}-V_{C 2} \approx 0, L_{2} \frac{d i_{L 2}}{d t}=V_{C 2}=v_{1}, C_{o} \frac{d v_{2}}{d t}=\frac{-v_{2}}{R}  \tag{28}\\
i_{L 1}>i_{L 2}
\end{array}\right\} t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}
$$

## 3) Mode III $\left[t_{2}-t_{3}\right]$ :

In this mode, switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are still turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is still turned ON. The equivalent converter circuitry for this mode is same as Fig. 5(b). In this mode, the inductors $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are in series and current flowing through both the inductor is equal. Besides, $C_{o}$ is still discharged into load $R$. The voltage and current equations are same as (22).

## 4) Mode IV $\left[t_{3}-T\right]$ :

In this mode, all switches are turned OFF. The equivalent converter circuitry for this mode is same as Fig. 5(c). During this period, inductors $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$, capacitors $C_{l}$ and $C_{2}$, and input voltage $V_{l}$ are in series and provides energy to load $R$. The voltage and current equation are same as (23). Using (22)(23), (27)-(28) and small approximations, the volt second balance equations for inductors can be obtained as follows,

For $\mathrm{L}_{1}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} k_{1} T+0 \quad P T+\frac{L_{1}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} V_{1} k_{2} T-P T  \tag{29}\\
+\frac{L_{1} 3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} 1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

For $L_{2}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} k_{1} T+V_{1} P T+\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} V_{1} k_{2} T-P T  \tag{30}\\
+\frac{L_{2} 3 V_{1}-V_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}} 1-k_{1} T-k_{2} T
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

Using (29) and (30), the voltage conversion ratio can be obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{V C R}{ }_{C C M}\left(L_{1}<L_{2}\right)=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noteworthy that the voltage conversion ratio obtained in (31) is same as (9).

## IV. Efficiency Analysis of TSTM-HS Converter

This section deals with the efficiency of the TSTM-HS converter. The non-idealities of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, input source $v_{l}$, and semiconductor devices $D_{l}, D_{2}, D_{o}, S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are considered and equivalent circuit of the TSTM-HS converter is shown in Fig. 13. The non-ideality of the voltage source is shown by considering the series resistance $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. The non-idealities of the inductors $L_{I}$ and $L_{2}$ are shown by considering the ESR i.e. $R_{L 1}$ and $R_{L 2}$ for inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, respectively. The non-idealities of switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are shown by considering the ON-state resistance $R_{S 1}, R_{S 2}$, and $R_{S 3}$, respectively. The non-idealities of diodes $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $D_{o}$ are shown by considering the internal resistance $R_{D 1}, R_{D 2}$, and $R_{D o}$ and their forward voltage drop is $V_{D I-F B}, V_{D 2-F B}$, and $V_{D o}$
${ }_{F B}$, respectively. The average current through switches $S_{I}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are $I_{S 1}, I_{S 2}$, and $I_{S 3}$, respectively.

## A. Mode $I\left[t_{0}-t_{l}\right]$

For this mode, switches $S_{l}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned ON, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned OFF. The average voltage and current of inductors and capacitors can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{L 1}{ }^{I}=V_{1}-I_{1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{L 1}{ }^{I} R_{L 1}-I_{S 1}{ }^{I} R_{S 1} \\
V_{L 2}{ }^{I}=V_{1}-I_{1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{L 2}{ }^{I} R_{L 2}-I_{S 2}{ }^{I} R_{S 2} \\
V_{C 1}{ }^{I} \approx V_{1}-V_{D 1-F B}-I_{1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{D 1}{ }^{I}\left(R_{D 1}\right)-I_{S 1}{ }^{I} R_{S 1}  \tag{32}\\
V_{C 2}{ }^{I} \approx V_{1}-V_{D 2-F B^{-I} 1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{D 2}{ }^{I}\left(R_{D 2}\right)-I_{S 2}{ }^{I} R_{S 2} \\
I_{C 2}{ }^{I} \approx I_{1}{ }^{I}-I_{L 1}{ }^{I}-I_{L 2}{ }^{I}-I_{C 1}{ }^{I} ; I_{C o}{ }^{I} \approx-V_{2} / R
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## B. Mode II [ $t_{1}-t_{2}$ ]

For this mode, switches $S_{I}$ and $S_{2}$ are turned OFF, and switch $S_{3}$ is turned ON. The average voltage and current for inductors and capacitors can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{L 1}^{I I}+V_{L 2}{ }^{I I}=V_{1}-\binom{I_{1}{ }^{I I} R_{1}+I_{L 1}{ }^{I I} R_{L 1}+I_{L 2}{ }^{I I} R_{L 2}}{+I_{1}{ }^{I I} R_{S 3}}  \tag{33}\\
I_{1}{ }^{I I}=I_{L 1}{ }^{I I}=I_{L 2}{ }^{I I} ; I_{C o}{ }^{I I} \approx-V_{2} / R
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## C. Mode III $\left[t_{2}-t_{3}\right]$ :

For this mode, all switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ are turned OFF. The average amplitude of voltage and current for inductors and capacitors can be expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{L 1}{ }^{I I I}+V_{L 2}{ }^{I I I}=V_{1}-V_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{l}
I_{1}{ }^{I I I} R_{R_{1}+I_{L 1}}{ }^{I I I} R_{L 1}+I_{L 2}{ }^{I I I} R_{L 2} \\
+I_{1} I I I \\
R_{D o}+V_{D o-F B}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{34}\\
I_{1}{ }^{I I I}=I_{L 1}{ }^{I I I}=I_{L 2}{ }^{I I I} ; I_{C o}{ }^{I I I} \approx I_{1}-V_{2} / R
\end{array}\right)
$$

Due to identical inductors (i.e. $L_{I}=L_{2}=L$ ), the ESR of both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are same, that means $R_{L l}=R_{L 2}=R_{L}$. Due to identical switches $S_{l}$ and $S_{2}$ (i.e. $S_{l}=S_{2}=S$ ), the ON-state resistance of switches are same, that means $R_{S l}=R_{S 2}=R_{S}$. Due to identical diodes $D_{l}, D_{2}$, and $D_{o}$ (i.e $D_{l}=D_{2}=D_{o}=D$ ), the internal resistance and forward voltage drop for diodes are same, that means $R_{D 1}=R_{D 2}=R_{D o}=R_{D}$ and $V_{D 1-F B}=V_{D 2-F B}=V_{D o-}$ ${ }_{F B}=V_{D}$. As a result, following equations are obtained by using (32)-(34).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V_{L}^{I}=V_{L 1}{ }^{I}=V_{L 2}{ }^{I} \approx V_{1}-I_{1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{L}{ }^{I}\left(R_{S}+R_{L}\right) \\
V_{C 1}{ }^{I} \approx V_{C 2}{ }^{I} \approx V_{1}-V_{D-F B}-I_{1}{ }^{I} R_{1}-I_{D}{ }^{I}\left(R_{D}\right)-I_{L}{ }^{I} R_{S} \\
I_{C o}{ }^{I} \approx-V_{2} / R \\
V_{L}^{I I I}=V_{L 1}{ }^{I I}=V_{L 2}{ }^{I I} \approx V_{1}-I_{1}{ }^{I}\left(R_{1}+2 R_{L}+R_{S}\right)  \tag{37}\\
I_{C o}{ }^{I I} \approx-V_{2} / R \\
V_{L}^{I I I}=V_{L 1}{ }^{I I I}=V_{L 2}{ }^{I I I} \approx \frac{V_{1}-V_{2}-\left(I_{1}{ }^{I I I}\left(R_{1}+2 R_{L}+R_{D}\right)+V_{D-F B}\right)}{2} \\
I_{C o}^{I I I}=I_{L 1}{ }^{I I I}-V_{2} / R=I_{L 2}{ }^{I I I}-V_{2} / R=I_{1}{ }^{I I I}-V_{2} / R \\
I_{1}^{I I I}=I_{L 1}{ }^{I I I}=I_{L 2}{ }^{I I I}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using capacitor charged-balance method, inductors $L_{1}$ and


Fig. 13. Power circuitry of TSTM-HS converter with non-idealities.
$L_{2}$ currents are obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{k_{1} T}\left(I_{C o}^{I}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{k_{2} T}\left(I_{C o}^{I I}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T-k_{1} T-k_{2} T}\left(I_{C o}^{I I I}\right) d t=0  \tag{38}\\
I_{L}=I_{L 1}=I_{L 2}=\frac{V_{2}}{R}\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using inductor-volt-second-balance method on inductors $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$, the voltage conversion ratio is obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{k_{1} T}\left(V_{L}^{I}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{k_{2} T}\left(V_{L}^{I I}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T-k_{1} T-k_{2} T}\left(V_{L}^{I I I}\right) d t=0 \\
& \left.V C R_{C C M}=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{\left\{\left(1+k_{1}\right)-\frac{V_{D}}{V_{1}}\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right\}}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 R_{S} k_{1}+R_{S} k_{2} \\
+2 R_{L} \\
\frac{R_{D}\left(1+k_{1}-K_{2}\right)}{R\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}+\frac{V_{C 1}+V_{C 2}}{V_{1}}\right\} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Where, $V_{C 1} / V_{1}$ and $V_{C 2} / V_{1}$ is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{V_{C 1}}{V_{1}} \approx 1-\frac{I_{1} R_{1}+V_{D}+I_{D 1}\left(R_{D}\right)+I_{S 1} R_{S}}{V_{1}} \\
\frac{V_{C 2}}{V_{1}} \approx 1-\frac{I_{1} R_{1}+V_{D}+I_{D 2}\left(R_{D}\right)+I_{S 2} R_{S}}{V_{1}} \\
I_{1} R_{1}=\text { voltage drop across resistance } R_{1} \\
V_{D}+I_{D 1}\left(R_{D}\right)=\text { voltage drop across diode } D_{1} \\
V_{D}+I_{D 2}\left(R_{D}\right)=\text { voltage drop across diode } D_{2} \\
I_{S 1} R_{S}, I_{S 2} R_{S}=\text { voltage drop across switch } S_{1}, S_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the voltage conversion ratio of the TSTM converter is restricted by internal resistance $R_{L}, R_{S}, V_{D}$, and $R_{D}$. For e.g. if $R_{L}=300 \mathrm{~m} \Omega, R_{S}=200 \mathrm{~m} \Omega, V_{D}=0.8 \mathrm{~V}, R_{D}=0.01 \Omega$, and $R_{L}=320 \Omega$, then at $k_{l}=50 \%$ and $k_{2}=35 \%$, then practical voltage conversion ratio is 10.89 . However, if all the components are ideal then the voltage conversion ratio is 12 .

Switching losses of the switches is $P_{S W}$ and can be obtained as follows,

$$
P_{S W}=P_{S W 1}+P_{S W 2}+P_{S W 3}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{S 1} I_{S 1}\left(t_{r 1}+t_{f 1}\right) f  \tag{40}\\
+V_{S 2} I_{S 2}\left(t_{r 2}+t f 2\right) f \\
+V_{S 3} I_{S 3}\left(t_{r 3}+t_{f 3}\right) f
\end{array}\right)
$$

Where $t_{r 1}, t_{r 2}, t_{r 3}$ and $t_{f 1}, t_{f 2}, t_{f 3}$ are the rising and falling time for the switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$, respectively and $f$ is switching frequency. The average voltage across switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{3}$, are $V_{S 1}, V_{S 2}$, and $V_{S 3}$, respectively. The total input power $P_{1}$ and output power $P_{2}$ are obtained as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=V_{1}\binom{I_{L 1} k_{1}+I_{L 2} k_{1}+I_{C 1} k_{1}+I_{C 2} k_{1}+\frac{1}{2} I_{L 1} k_{2}}{+\frac{1}{2} I_{L 2} k_{2}+I_{L}\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}+P_{S W} \\
P_{1}=\frac{V_{1} V_{2}}{R}\left(1+k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{-1}+2 V_{1} I_{C 1} k_{1}+P_{S W}  \tag{41}\\
P_{2}=V_{2} I_{2}=V_{2}^{2} / R
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using (39) and (41), efficiency of the TSTM-HS converter is obtained as,

$$
\eta\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=\frac{V_{2} / V_{1}}{\left(1+k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{-1}+2 V_{1} I_{C 1} k_{1}+P_{S W}}  \tag{42}\\
=\frac{V_{2} / V_{1}}{\left(1+k_{1}\right)\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{-1}+4 \pi f V_{1}^{2} C_{1} k_{1}+P_{S W}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## V. DESIGN AND COMPARISON

To design the TSTM-HS converter, necessary parameters are typical supply voltage $\left(V_{1}\right)$, nominal output voltage $\left(V_{2}\right)$, required output current $\left(I_{2}\right)$ to drive the load, switching frequency $(f)$, and load $(R)$.

## A. Selection of Duty cycles

For simplicity, the voltage conversion ratio of TSTM-HS converter is written similar to conventional boost converter as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
V C R_{C C M}=\frac{3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}}=\frac{1}{1-K_{T S T M-H S}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)}  \tag{43}\\
K_{T S T M-H S}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\left(2-k_{2}\right) /\left(3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Where $K_{T S T M-H S}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is function of duty cycles $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Initially, the function of duty cycles $K_{T S T M-H S}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is selected as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K_{T S T M-H S}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=1-\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}} \eta\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For superior design, the worst case of efficiency is considered while calculating the function of duty cycles. As a result, calculation provides a more practical value of function of duty cycles. It is noticeable that the worst efficiency of TSTM-HS configuration is expected $85 \%$.

## B. Design of Inductors ( $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ )

Based on the functionality, it is possible to select both inductors with equal inductance rating i.e. $L_{I}=L_{2}=L$. Therefore, the ripple in both the inductors are same i.e. $\Delta i_{L I}=$ $\Delta i_{L 2}=\Delta i_{L}$. The design of the inductor $L$ is dependent on the
switching frequency $(f)$, typical input voltage $\left(V_{l}\right)$, duty cycles ( $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ ), and ripple current $\Delta i_{L(\max 2-\min )}$. The good estimation of value of $\Delta i_{L(\max 2-\min )}$ or $\Delta i_{L}$ is in between $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of inductor $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ current and can be obtained as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta i_{L(\max 2-\min )}=I_{L(\max 2)}{ }^{-I_{L(\min )}}=20 \text { to } 40 \% \text { of } \Delta i_{L} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical inductance ( $L_{\text {cric }}$ ) is calculated as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c r i c}=\frac{k_{1} V_{1}+k_{2}\left(V_{1} / 2\right)}{f \times \Delta i_{L(\max 2-\mathrm{min})}}=\frac{k_{1} V_{1}+k_{2}\left(V_{1} / 2\right)}{f \times \Delta i_{L}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

For good design, the inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ current ripple should be considered $20 \%$ of average current of inductors $I_{L 1}$ and $I_{L 2}$, respectively. In order to operate converter in CCM, the inductance of inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ must be greater than $L_{\text {cric }}$. The current rating of the inductors ( $I_{L I}=I_{L 2}=I_{L}$ ) can be decided as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{L}>I_{1}+\frac{\Delta i_{L(\max 2-\mathrm{min})}}{2}=\binom{\left.\frac{V_{2}}{R\left(1-K_{T S T M}-H S\right.}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)}{+\frac{\Delta i_{L(\max 2-\mathrm{min})}}{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Design of Intermediate Capacitors ( $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ )

Capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ design is dependent on the input voltage, duty cycle ( $k_{1}$ ), and voltage ripples ( $\Delta V_{C l}$ and $\Delta V_{C 2}$ ). For good design, it is considered that the voltage ripple in the capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ voltage is $1 \%$ of the total input voltage. Based on the functionality of converter, it is possible to select both capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with equal capacitance rating i.e. $C_{1}=C_{2}$. The voltage rating and critical capacitance for both the capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are calculated as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
C_{C 1, \text { cric }} \text { or } C_{C 2, \text { cric }}=\frac{k_{1}\left(I_{1}-2 I_{L}\right)}{2 \times f \times \Delta V_{C}}  \tag{48}\\
V_{C 1} \text { or } V_{C 2} \geq V_{1}+\Delta V_{1}>V_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## D. Design of Output Capacitor $\left(C_{o}\right)$

The design of the capacitor $C_{o}$ is dependent on the output voltage $\left(V_{2}\right)$, output power $\left(P_{o}\right)$ or load $(R)$, duty cycle $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ switching frequency $(f)$, and output voltage ripples ( $\Delta V_{C_{o}}$ ). For good design, it is considered that the voltage ripple across capacitor $C_{o}$ is $1 \%$ of the output voltage. The voltage rating and critical capacitance for capacitors $C_{o}$ are calculated as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
C_{o, c r i c}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) P_{2}}{V_{2} \times f \times \Delta V_{C o}}=\frac{\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) V_{2}}{R \times f \times 1 \% \text { of } V_{2}}  \tag{49}\\
V C_{o} \geq V_{2}+\Delta V_{2}>V_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## E. Selection of Semiconductor Devices

The blocking voltages of switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{o}$ are analyzed in each mode and given as follows,

$$
V_{S 1}=V_{S 2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=0 \text { Mode }-I  \tag{50}\\
=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{2} \text { Mode }-I I \\
=\frac{\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)}{2} \text { Mode }-I I I
\end{array} ; V_{S 3}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=-V_{1} \text { Mode }-I \\
=0 \text { Mode }-I I \\
=\mathrm{V}_{2}-2 \mathrm{~V}_{1} \text { Mode }-I I I
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The voltage ratings of the switches $S_{1}, S_{2}$, and $S_{o}$ are
calculated as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{S 1}=V_{S 1}\right)>\frac{V_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{2-k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}}\right) ; V_{S 3}>\mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\frac{1+k_{1}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The voltages across diodes $D_{1}, D_{2}$, and $D_{o}$ are analyzed in each mode and given as follows,

$$
V_{D 1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=0 \text { Mode-I }  \tag{52}\\
=\frac{-\mathrm{V}_{1}}{2} \text { Mode-II } \\
=\frac{-\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)}{2} \text { Mode-III }
\end{array} ; V_{D 0}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \text { Mode }-I \\
=-\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right) \text { Mode }-I I \\
=0 \text { Mode }-I I I
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The Peak Inverse Voltage (PIV) of the diodes $D_{1}, D_{2}$, and $D_{o}$ is expressed as follows,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { PIV of } V_{D 1} \text { or } V_{D 2} \geq \frac{V_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{2-k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}}\right) \\
\text { PIV of } V_{D 0} \geq V_{1}\left(\frac{2-k_{2}}{1-k_{1}-k_{2}}\right) \tag{53}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is suggested that the voltage rating of diodes must be greater than PIV values.

## F. Comparison of Converters

The proposed TSTM-HS converter is compared with recently addressed converters in terms of number of components, voltage conversion ratio, and voltage stress. The detail comparison is tabulated in Table-I. It is notable that the voltage conversion ratio of conventional boost, switched inductor boost converter [23], ZETA derived converter [24], and SEPIC derived converter [24] are controlled through single switch. To increase the voltage conversion ratio, switched inductor network and diode-capacitor circuitry is employed in [24]. Nevertheless, the voltage conversion ratio is not significantly improved even though using multiple capacitors in ZETA/SEPIC derived converters and inductors in switched inductor boost converter. Furthermore, three different converter configurations (Converter -I, II, and III) are proposed with two switches [23]. However, both the
switches of converter-I, II, and III are operate simultaneously and the voltage conversion ratio of converter-I, II and III are not high even though using two switches and two inductors. Moreover, the operating principle of conventional converter and the suggested converters in [23]-[24] are dependent on single duty cycle and it is not possible to operate at wide duty cycle range. In [25], two inductors, three switches and two different duty cycles are used to achieve higher conversion ratio. However, the voltage rating of devices restricts the voltage conversion ratio. Also, the conversion ratio is not significantly increase though using three switches. The TSTM-HS converter provides high voltage conversion ratio with wide duty range and reduced voltage stress on components. Theoretically, compared to the proposed converter, quadratic boost converter (QBC) [13], [18] provides higher voltage gain. However, in QBC, the voltage conversion ratio is dependent on single duty. Moreover, there is nonlinear relationship between input and output voltage of QBC due to high conversion ratio. Hence, small change in duty cycle will change output voltage by large values. Therefore, more complex control is required to control the switch. The main benefits of the TSTM-HS configuration is the operating range is increased by operating converter in three modes with double duty ratio. Hence, the converter can be operated at higher duty ratio (sum of two duty ratios). Moreover, the energy is transferred without multiple energy transfer loops which increases the efficiency and performance. Moreover, the output voltage of proposed converter is based on the two duty ratios $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Owing to the advantages of two duty ratios, when the voltage changed the operation of proposed converter can be controlled in three possible ways 1) fixed duty ratio $k_{1}$ and variation in duty ratio $k_{2}, 2$ ) variation in duty ratio $k_{1}$ and fixed duty ratio $k_{2}$, and 3) variation in both duty ratios $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Additional advantages could be a scenario in that one may use one duty ratio for MPPT tracking and another to control output voltage. The TSTM-HS provide a reliable operation with wide duty range and flexibility to control conversion ratio by adjusting two different duty cycles

Table I. Comparison of TSTM-HS Converter With Recently Proposed Converters

|  | $V_{2} / V_{1}$ | Intermediate Capacitor voltage rating | PIV of diode |  | Max. Switch Voltage | Number of | Approx. Cost of Power Circuit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Load side diodes | Intermediate diodes |  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{l}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ |  |
| A | 1/(1-k) | - | - ${ }_{2}$ | - | $V_{2}$ | 1/1/1/1 | $C_{L}+C_{C}+C_{S}+C_{D}+E C$ |
| B | $(1+k) /(1-k)$ | - | $-V_{2}$, | $-V_{l},-\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2$ | $V_{2}$ | 2/1/1/4 | $2 C_{L}+1 C_{C}+1 C_{S}+4 C_{D}+E C$ |
| C | $(1+k) /(1-k)$ | $\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2$ | $-\left(V_{2}+V_{1}\right) / 2$ | - | $V_{2} /(1+k)$ | 1/3/1/3 | $1 C_{L}+3 C_{C}+1 C_{S}+3 C_{D}+E C$ |
| D | $(2-k) /(1-k)$ | $V_{1}$ | -( $\left.V_{2}-V_{1}\right)$ | - | $V_{2} /(2-k)$ | 2/4/1/3 | $2 C_{L}+4 C_{C}+1 C_{S}+3 C_{D}+E C$ |
| E | $(1+k) /(1-k)$ | - | $-\left(V_{2}+V_{1}\right)$ | $-\left(V_{2}+V_{l}\right) / 2$ | $\left(V_{2}+V_{1}\right) / 2$ | 2/1/2/1 | $2 C_{L}+1 C_{C}+2 C_{S}+1 C_{D}+E C$ |
| F | 2/(1-k) | $V_{1}$ | - $V_{2}$ | $-V_{2} / 2$ | $V_{2} / 2$ | 2/2/2/2 | $2 C_{L}+2 C_{C}+2 C_{S}+2 C_{D}+E C$ |
| G | $(3-k) /(1-k)$ | $V_{1}$ | $-\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)$, | - $\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2$ | $\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2$ | 2/3/2/3 | $2 C_{L}+3 C_{C}+2 C_{S}+3 C_{D}+E C$ |
| H | $\left(1-k_{1}\right) /\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$ | - | $-V_{2}$ | $-V_{1}$ | $\left(V_{2}+V_{1}\right) / 2, V_{2}$ | 2/1/3/2 | $2 C_{L}+1 C_{C}+3 C_{S}+2 C_{D}+E C$ |
| I | 1/(1-k) ${ }^{2}$ | $V_{2}(1-k)$ | - $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $-V_{2}(1-k)$ | $V_{2}$ | 2/2/1/3 | $2 C_{L}+2 C_{C}+1 C_{S}+3 C_{D}+E C$ |
| J | $\left(3-k_{1}-2 k_{2}\right) /\left(1-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$ | $V_{l}$ | - $\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2$ | $-\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)$ | $\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right) / 2, V_{2}-2 V_{1}$ | 2/3/3/3 | $2 C_{L}+3 C_{C}+3 C_{S}+3 C_{D}+E C$ |

[^0]which is not possible from any single switch converters. Moreover, it is observed that the voltage stress of semiconductor devices are low in proposed converter compared to recently proposed converter [23]-[25]. Therefore, low voltage rating devices with low internal resistance can be used to design proposed converter.

## VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The theoretical analysis of TSTM-HS converter is validated through experimental investigations in the laboratory. The TSTM-HS converter is designed according to abovementioned designed procedure with load power $\left(P_{2}\right) 500 \mathrm{~W}$, input voltage $\left(v_{1}\right) 36 \mathrm{~V}$, and output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right) 400 \mathrm{~V}$. To design the prototype, two identical ferrite core type inductors ( $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ ) are selected with inductance $100 \mu \mathrm{H}$, current capability 20 A , and internal series resistance $300 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ (for design, it is assumed that inductor ripples $\Delta i_{L}$ is $40 \%$ of inductor $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ current). The two identical capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 50 \mathrm{~V}$, $\mathrm{ESR}=0.05 \Omega$ ) along with two identical diodes $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ (STTH30R04) are used at the intermediate stages whose forward voltage drop $V_{D}$ is 0.8 V and ON -state resistance $R_{D}$ is $0.01 \Omega$. At the load side, diodes $D_{o}$ (STTH30R04) and capacitor $C_{o}(100 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 450 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{ESR}=0.07 \Omega)$ are used. The semiconductor control switches FDP19N40 (ON-state resistance $R_{S}=200 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ ) are used along with flat-type heat sink and gate pulses are generated through FPGA. The two gate pulses are generated with $50 \%$ duty cycle $\left(k_{I}\right)$ to control switches $S_{l}$ and $S_{2}$. Also, the gate pulse with $35 \%$ duty cycle is generated to control switch $S_{3}$. The converter is operated at switching frequency 50 kHz .
In Fig. 14(a), it is observed that the output voltage $\left(V_{2}\right)$ 401.1 V is generated from the input voltage $\left(V_{l}\right) 36.3 \mathrm{~V}$. In the beginning of mode I ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ in Fig. 14(a)), spike of 9.38A is observed in the input current due to charging of capacitors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. The sudden current fall is observed in the input current ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ in Fig. 14(a)) when converter operation is changed from mode I to mode II, which is expected according to operation. During mode III, the slope of input current is negative. The average load current $\left(I_{2}\right)$ and input current $\left(I_{l}\right)$ is 1.26 A and 14.9A, respectively. In Fig. 14(b), it is observed that the slope of currents $i_{L l}$ and $i_{l 2}$ are positive during mode I and II. Hence, both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are charging. The slope of the currents $i_{L 1}$ and $i_{l 2}$ are exactly half in mode II compared to slope in mode I as expected. During mode III, the slope of currents $i_{L 1}$ and $i_{l 2}$ is negative. Hence, both the inductors $L_{l}$ and $L_{2}$ are discharging. The practically observed average value of output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right)$, inductor $L_{1}$ current $\left(i_{L I}\right)$, inductor $L_{2}$ current $\left(i_{l 2}\right)$, and input current $\left(i_{1}\right)$ is $401.1 \mathrm{~V}, 8.56 \mathrm{~A}, 8.53 \mathrm{~A}$, and 14.9 A , respectively. In Fig. 14(c), it is observed that both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are charged in parallel during mode I and voltage across each inductor is equal to input voltage $\left(V_{l}\right)$ 36.3V. In mode II, both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are charged in series with input voltage 36.3 V . Also, in mode II, little fluctuations are observed in the voltages $v_{L 1}$ and $v_{L 2}$ as shown by $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ in Fig. 14(c), respectively due to little practical difference in the inductance of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. During mode III, both inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are discharged through load and the voltage across each inductor is 146.3 V . Also, due to switching, little transients are observed in the voltages $v_{L I}$ and

(c)

Fig. 14. Experimental results of TSTM-HS converter, (a) top to bottom: output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right)$, output current $\left(i_{2}\right)$, input current $\left(i_{1}\right)$, and input voltage ( $v_{l}$ ), (b) top to bottom: output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right)$, inductor $L_{l}$ current ( $i_{L I}$ ), inductor $L_{2}$ current $\left(i_{12}\right)$, and input current $\left(i_{1}\right)$, and (c) top to bottom: inductor $L_{I}$ voltage $\left(v_{L I}\right)$, inductor $L_{I}$ current $\left(i_{L I}\right)$, inductor $L_{2}$ current ( $i_{L 2}$ ), and inductor $L_{2}$ voltage ( $v_{L 2}$ ).
$v_{L 2}$ as shown by $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ in Fig. 14(c), respectively. The practically observed average value of inductor $L_{l}$ voltage ( $v_{L I}$ ), inductor $L_{1}$ current $\left(i_{L I}\right)$, inductor $L_{2}$ voltage ( $v_{L 2}$ ), and inductor $L_{2}$ current ( $i_{L 2}$ ) is $0.72 \mathrm{~V}, 8.56 \mathrm{~A}, 0.59 \mathrm{~V}, 8.53 \mathrm{~A}$, respectively.
In Fig. 15(a), during mode I, the switch $S_{2}$ is conducting and the voltage across nodes A-B is equal to $-V_{l}$ and observed value is 36.1 V . During Mode II, little fluctuations are observed across switch $S_{2}$. However, the expected average voltage across switch $S_{2}$ is $\left(V_{1} / 2\right)$ and observed value is 18.3 V . During mode III, the expected average voltage across nodes A-B is equal to $V_{2}-2 V_{1}$ and observed value is 328.1 V . In Mode III, little fluctuations are observed across switch $S_{2}$. However, the expected average voltage across switch $S_{2}$ is $\left(V_{2}\right.$ $\left.-V_{I}\right) / 2$ and observed value is 183.3 V . In Fig. 15(b), during mode I, diode $D_{I}$ is conducting, the expected voltage across diode $D_{o}$ is $-\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)$ and observed value is -364.6 V . During Mode II, diodes $D_{o}$ and $D_{l}$ are reversed biased and little


Fig. 15. Experimental results of TSTM-HS converter, (a) top to bottom: voltage across nodes A-B $\left(v_{A B}\right)$, inductor $L_{I}$ current ( $i_{L I}$ ), capacitor $C_{o}$ voltage $\left(v_{C o}\right)$, and voltage across switch $S_{2}\left(v_{S 2}\right)$ (b) top to bottom: voltage across diode $D_{o}\left(v_{D o}\right)$, inductor $L_{l}$ current $\left(i_{L I}\right)$, voltage across diode $D_{l}\left(v_{D I}\right)$, and capacitor $C_{l}$ voltage ( $v_{C l}$ ), (c) top to bottom: inductor $L_{l}$ voltage ( $v_{L I}$ ), voltage across switch $S_{l}\left(v_{S I}\right)$, and resultant of ( $v_{L I}+v_{S I}$ ), (d) bottom to top: inductor $L_{2}$ voltage ( $v_{L 2}$ ), voltage across switch $S_{2}\left(v_{S 2}\right)$, and resultant of ( $v_{L 2}+v_{S 2}$ ).


Fig. 16. Experimental results of TSTM-HS converter at different power levels and variation in duty cycles (a) output voltage ( $v_{2}$ ), output current ( $i_{2}$ ), input voltage $\left(v_{l}\right)$, and input current $\left(i_{l}\right)$ where, duty cycle $k_{1}$ is regulated from $50 \%$ to $35 \%$ and duty cycle $k_{2}$ is constant $35 \%$, (b) output voltage ( $v_{2}$ ), output current $\left(i_{2}\right)$, input voltage ( $v_{l}$ ), and input current ( $i_{l}$ ) where, duty cycle $k_{l}$ is constant $35 \%$ and the duty cycle $k_{2}$ is regulated from $35 \%$ to $50 \%$.
fluctuations across voltage across $D_{1}$ is observed. However, the average voltage across diodes $D_{o}$ and $D_{l}$ is -328.1 V and 18.7 V , respectively. During mode III, the diode $D_{o}$ is conducting and the average voltage across diode $D_{I}$ and capacitor $C_{I}\left(V_{C l}\right)$ is -183.1 V and 35.7 V , respectively. In Fig.

15(c), it is clearly observed that the addition of the average inductor $L_{I}$ voltage ( $v_{L I}$ ) and average voltage across switch $S_{I}$ $\left(v_{S I}\right)$ is 36.2 V which is equal to the magnitude of input voltage. In Fig. 15(d), it is clearly observed that the addition of the average inductor $L_{2}$ voltage ( $v_{L 2}$ ) and average voltage


Fig. 17. Experimental Efficiency curves (a) efficiency versus duty cycle $k_{1}$, (b) efficiency versus duty cycle $k_{2}$, (c) efficiency versus power, (d) Loss distribution.
across switch $S_{2}\left(v_{S 2}\right)$ is 36.2 V which is equal to the magnitude of input voltage. To investigate the effect of duty cycles on the voltage regulation of the converter, the circuitry is tested in open loop with the perturbation in duty cycles. The performance is investigated with constant input voltage 36.2 V and load $R=320 \Omega$. Fig. 16(a) show the waveform of output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right)$, output current $\left(i_{2}\right)$, input voltage ( $v_{1}$ ), and input current ( $i_{l}$ ) where, duty cycle $k_{I}$ is regulated from $50 \%$ to $35 \%$ (with the interval of $5 \%$ ) and duty cycle $k_{2}$ is constant $35 \%$. It is observed that the output voltage is decreases when duty cycle $k_{1}$ is reduced and duty cycle $k_{2}$ is constant. The voltage conversion ratio of converter is reduced when width of the mode I is reduced without changing the width for mode II, which is clearly observed by the values given in Fig. 16(a). Fig. 16(b) show the waveform of output voltage $\left(v_{2}\right)$, output current $\left(i_{2}\right)$, input voltage $\left(v_{1}\right)$, and input current $\left(i_{1}\right)$ where, duty cycle $k_{1}$ is constant $35 \%$ and the duty cycle $k_{2}$ is regulated from $35 \%$ to $50 \%$ (with the interval of $5 \%$ ). It is observed that the output voltage is increases when duty cycle $k_{2}$ is increases and duty cycle $k_{l}$ is constant. The voltage conversion ratio of converter is increased when width of the mode II is increased without changing the width for mode I, which is clearly observed by the values given in Fig. 16(b). Fig. 17(a) shows the plot of efficiency versus duty cycle $k_{l}$ with constant load $320 \Omega$ and duty cycle $k_{2}=35 \%$. Fig. 17(b) shows the plot of efficiency versus duty cycle $k_{2}$ with constant load $320 \Omega$ and duty cycle $k_{l}=35 \%$. The efficiency versus power plot is shown in Fig. 17(c). At power 100 W and 500W, the experimentally observed efficiency of the converter is $88.35 \%$ and $92.91 \%$, respectively. It is noteworthy that based on the several test the average efficiency of the proposed converter is $92.06 \%$. The
loss distribution for each component of designed converter is shown in Fig. 17(d). It is observed that the $22.4 \%, 43.27 \%$, $27.15 \%$, and $6.14 \%$ losses occurs due to reactive components, control switches, diodes, and other parts of converter, respectively. The experimental investigation shows the good agreement with theoretical analysis. Based on the investigation, it can be conclude that the proposed converter circuitry is suitable for DC microgrid application.

## VII. CONCLUSION

A new Triple-Switch-Triple-Mode High Step-up (TSTMHS) DC-DC converter is proposed with wide range of duty cycle. The voltage conversion ratio is adjusted by two different duty cycles and operates in three modes to achieve high conversion ratio without using high duty cycle for individual switch. The CCM, DCM characteristics waveform are analyzed and CCM-DCM boundary is explained in detail. The efficiency and comparison is provided and it is notable that the proposed converter provides high voltage conversion ratio with reduce voltage stress across diodes and switches. Therefore, the proposed TSTM-HS converter provides a viable solution for low DC to high DC conversion in DC microgrid application. The effect of two duty cycles on voltage conversion ratio is studied in detail and it can be conclude that the proposed converter provides a high voltage conversion ratio with wide duty range which is not possible by any singe switch DC-DC converter. The experimental results confirm the theoretical analysis, feasibility, and performance of the proposed TSTM-HS converter.
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[^0]:    Note-A: Boost Converter, B: Switched Inductor Boost Converter [23], C: ZETA Derived Converter [24], D: SEPIC Derived Converter [24], E: Converter-I [23], F: Converter-II [23], G: Converter-III [23], H: High Gain Converter [25], I: Quadratic Boost Converter [13], [18], J: Proposed Converter, $N_{i}$ : Number of inductors, $N_{C}$ : Number of Capacitors, $N_{s}$ : Number of switches, $N_{D}$ : Number of diodes, $C_{L}$ : Cost of single inductor, $C_{C}$ : Cost of single capacitor, $C_{s}$ : Cost of single switch, $C_{D}$ : Cost of single diodes, EC: Extra cost.

