



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
DENMARK

## Optimization of Active and Reactive Power Dispatch among Multi-Paralleled Grid-Connected Inverters Considering Low-Frequency Stability

Zhou, Weihua; Wang, Yanbo; Liu, Dong; Chen, Zhe

*Published in:*

Proceedings of IECON 2019 - 45th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society

*DOI (link to publication from Publisher):*

[10.1109/IECON.2019.8927560](https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2019.8927560)

*Publication date:*

2019

*Document Version*

Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Zhou, W., Wang, Y., Liu, D., & Chen, Z. (2019). Optimization of Active and Reactive Power Dispatch among Multi-Paralleled Grid-Connected Inverters Considering Low-Frequency Stability. In *Proceedings of IECON 2019 - 45th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society* (pp. 6024-6031). IEEE Press. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2019.8927560>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Optimization of Active and Reactive Power Dispatch among Multi-Paralleled Grid-Connected Inverters Considering Low-Frequency Stability

Weihua Zhou\*, Yanbo Wang<sup>†</sup>, Dong Liu<sup>‡</sup>, and Zhe Chen<sup>§</sup>

Department of Energy Technology

Aalborg University

Aalborg, Denmark

\*wez@et.aau.dk, <sup>†</sup>ywa@et.aau.dk, <sup>‡</sup>dli@et.aau.dk, <sup>§</sup>zch@et.aau.dk

**Abstract**—This paper presents an optimization method of active and reactive power dispatch among multi-paralleled voltage source grid-connected inverters (GCI) considering stability enhancement in low-frequency range. DQ impedance model of GCI with outer power control loop, inner current control loop and phase-locked loop (PLL) is first established. Then, influences of active and reactive power references on terminal impedance frequency characteristics of GCI, e.g., passivity, are theoretically derived. It's found that more active power tends to destabilize the power system, and more reactive power tends to stabilize the power system. On the basis of it, an active and reactive power dispatch method for stability enhancement in low-frequency range is proposed, where more/less active power and less/more reactive power are allocated to the GCIs with narrow/wide bandwidths of power control loop and PLL. Simulation of a five-GCIs-based power system is performed to validate the proposed active and reactive power dispatch method considering low-frequency stability enhancement.

**Index Terms**—Active power, DQ impedance model, grid-connected inverter, low-frequency stability, optimization, reactive power.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energies, such as wind power and solar power, have been increasingly exploited in recent years. Voltage source grid-connected inverters (GCIs) are commonly used to transmit the generated electricity to utility grid [1]. However, impedance interaction between control loops of GCIs and grid sometimes leads to instability phenomena, thus influencing system safe and reliable operation [2]–[7].

Instability mechanism and corresponding resonance mitigation methods for single GCI have been widely developed in recent years [4], [8]–[12]. Harmonic resonance induced by interaction between current control loop and grid impedance is related with only LCL filter, current controller parameters and sampling frequency, which is independent on operation point [5], [7]. However, when further considering power control loop and phase-locked loop (PLL), system stability is dependent

on operation points, e.g., active and reactive power/current references, due to nonlinear characteristics of power control loop and PLL [4], [9]–[12]. In detail, as for active power, high active power level tends to weaken the stability of VSC-HVDC system [9]. Furthermore, stability of the VSC-HVDC system can be altered if flow direction of active power is changed [10]. As for reactive power, it's found that flow direction of reactive power, i.e., the inductive or capacitive reactive power, can impact dq-channel component of DQ impedance model of GCI under dc-link voltage control, and further has reverse impacts on the stability of the dc-link voltage control under a weak grid condition [11]. Furthermore, impact of inductive reactive power level on the stability of offshore wind power plant in low-frequency range has been analyzed recently. However, whether active and reactive power can be employed to mitigate resonance phenomena of single GCI has not been investigated yet [9]–[11].

On the other hand, stability analysis and resonance damping of multi-paralleled GCIs have been reported in [2], [3], [13]–[15]. Circuit and controller parameters of paralleled GCIs are assumed as the same in [2], [3], [13]. Furthermore, stability analysis of multi-paralleled GCIs with different LCL filters and sampling frequencies is performed in [14]. Conventionally, controller parameters are re-tuned when resonance phenomena occur [16], [17]. However, system dynamic performance may be weakened. In [15], passivity of multi-paralleled GCIs is enhanced by carefully designing LCL filter parameters, sampling frequencies and control strategies, i.e., grid-side current control and inverter-side current control, so that the non-passivity regions of GCIs can be canceled. Therefore, the system can be stabilized without controller re-tuning and active damping. However, only high-frequency instability phenomena caused by current control loop instead of power control loop and PLL are investigated. In addition, only circuit and controller parameters of paralleled GCIs instead of operation points are optimized in [15]. In practice, GCIs are sometimes controlled to inject a certain amount of reactive power. Reactive power dispatch among paralleled GCIs is sometimes optimized to improve power transfer capability under ultra-weak grid con-

---

<sup>†</sup>This work was supported by the ForskEL and EUDP project “Voltage Control and Protection for a Grid towards 100% Power Electronics and Cable Network (COPE)” (Project No.: 880063).



$$\mathbf{Z}_{dq}(s) = \mathbf{V}_1 \mathbf{I}_1^{-1} = (\mathbf{Z}_{out}^{-1}(s) + \mathbf{G}_{id}(s) \mathbf{G}_{del}(s) (\mathbf{G}_{PLL}^d(s) - \mathbf{G}_{ci}(s) \mathbf{G}_{cPQ}(s) \mathbf{G}_{PQ}^v(s) \mathbf{G}_{PLL}^v(s) - (\mathbf{G}_{ci}(s) \mathbf{G}_{cPQ}(s) \mathbf{G}_{PQ}^i(s) + \mathbf{G}_{ci}(s) + \mathbf{G}_{dei}(s)) \mathbf{G}_{PLL}^i(s)) K)^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G}_{id}(s) \mathbf{G}_{del}(s) (\mathbf{G}_{ci}(s) \mathbf{G}_{cPQ}(s) \mathbf{G}_{PQ}^i(s) + \mathbf{G}_{ci}(s) - \mathbf{G}_{dei}(s)) K) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{dq}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{dd}(s) & Z_{dq}(s) \\ Z_{qd}(s) & Z_{qq}(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{CE}{A} + \frac{BD}{A} & \frac{BE}{A} - \frac{CD}{A} \\ \frac{HPQH_{cc}H_{pwm}I_qB}{A} - \frac{CF}{A} & -\frac{BF}{A} - \frac{HPQH_{cc}H_{pwm}I_qC}{A} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &= H_{PQ}^2 H_{cc}^2 H_{pwm}^2 (I_d^2 + I_q^2) + T_{PLL} H_{PQ} H_{cc}^2 H_{pwm}^2 (I_d^2 + I_q^2) + T_{PLL} H_{PQ} H_{cc} H_{pwm}^2 (D_d I_d + D_q I_q) + T_{PLL} H_{pwm} \dots \\ & (H_{cc} I_d + K_d I_q + D_d) - 1 = H_{PQ} H_{cc}^2 H_{pwm}^2 (H_{PQ} + T_{PLL}) \frac{(P_{ref}^2 + Q_{ref}^2)}{V_1^2} + \frac{T_{PLL} H_{PQ} H_{cc} H_{pwm}^2}{0.5 V_{dc}} P_{ref} + T_{PLL} H_{pwm} \dots \\ & \left( \frac{H_{cc} P_{ref}}{V_1} + \frac{V_1}{0.5 V_{dc}} + \left( K_d - \frac{\omega_1 L_{f1}}{0.5 V_{dc}} \right) \frac{Q_{ref}}{V_1} \right) - 1 \\ B &= R_{f1} + L_{f1} s + \frac{H_{pwm} V_{dc} (H_{cc} + H_{PQ} H_{cc} V_d)}{2} = R_{f1} + L_{f1} s + \frac{H_{pwm} V_{dc} (H_{cc} + H_{PQ} H_{cc} V_1)}{2} \\ C &= L_{f1} \omega_1 - \frac{H_{pwm} V_{dc} (K_d + H_{cc} H_{PQ} V_q)}{2} = L_{f1} \omega_1 - \frac{H_{pwm} V_{dc} K_d}{2} \\ D &= D_d H_{pwm} T_{PLL} + H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} I_d + H_{cc} H_{pwm} I_d T_{PLL} + H_{pwm} I_q K_d T_{PLL} - 1 \\ &= \frac{V_1}{0.5 V_{dc}} H_{pwm} T_{PLL} - 1 + H_{cc} H_{pwm} (H_{PQ} + T_{PLL}) \frac{P_{ref}}{V_1} + H_{pwm} T_{PLL} \left( K_d + \frac{-\omega_1 L_{f1}}{0.5 V_{dc}} \right) \frac{Q_{ref}}{V_1} \\ E &= D_q H_{pwm} T_{PLL} + H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} I_q + H_{cc} H_{pwm} I_q T_{PLL} - H_{pwm} I_d K_d T_{PLL} \\ &= \left( \frac{\omega_1 L_{f1}}{0.5 V_{dc}} - K_d \right) H_{pwm} T_{PLL} \frac{P_{ref}}{V_1} + H_{cc} H_{pwm} (H_{PQ} + T_{PLL}) \frac{Q_{ref}}{V_1} \\ F &= 1 + H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} I_d = 1 + H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} \frac{P_{ref}}{V_1} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Based on  $\mathbf{V}_1$  and  $\mathbf{I}_1$ , output voltage  $\mathbf{V}_{m1}$  of GCI 1 can be obtained by applying the Ohm's law on the filter inductor  $L_f$ , shown as follows,

$$\mathbf{V}_{m1} = 0.5 V_{dc} \mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{V}_1 + j \omega_1 L_f \mathbf{I}_1 \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_1 = D_d + j D_q$  is the duty cycle vector. Therefore,  $D_d$  and  $D_q$  can be obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} D_d &= \frac{V_1 - \omega_1 L_{f1} I_q}{0.5 V_{dc}} = \frac{V_1 - \omega_1 L_{f1} \frac{Q_{ref}}{V_1}}{0.5 V_{dc}} \\ D_q &= \frac{\omega_1 L_{f1} I_d}{0.5 V_{dc}} = \frac{\omega_1 L_{f1} \frac{P_{ref}}{V_1}}{0.5 V_{dc}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By substituting (6) and (8) into (3), terms  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$ ,  $E$  and  $F$  can be represented by controller parameters of power control loop, current control loop and PLL,  $P_{ref}$ ,  $Q_{ref}$  and  $V_1$ , shown as the third parts of all equations in (3). On the basis of it, influences of  $P_{ref}$  and  $Q_{ref}$  on passivity of GCI can be theoretically analyzed.

2) *Impacts of Active and Reactive Power References on Passivity of GCI*: Since component  $Z_{qq}$  plays an important role in stability assessment, investigation of impacts of  $P_{ref}$

and  $Q_{ref}$  on  $Z_{qq}$  is focused.  $Z_{qq}$  in (2) is reformulated as follows,

$$Z_{qq}(s) = \frac{-BF - H_{PQ} H_{cc} H_{pwm} I_q C}{A} = \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 P_{ref} + \Gamma_3 Q_{ref}}{A} \quad (9)$$

where  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  are shown as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \frac{H_{pwm} V_{dc} (H_{cc} + H_{PQ} H_{cc} V_1)}{2} \\ \Gamma_2 &= -\frac{H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} (2R_{f1} + V_{dc} H_{pwm} (H_{cc} + H_{PQ} H_{cc} V_1))}{2V_1} \\ & \quad - \frac{H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} L_{f1} s}{V_1} = -\Gamma_{2,1} - \Gamma_{2,2} s \\ \Gamma_3 &= \frac{H_{cc} H_{PQ} H_{pwm} (2L_{f1} \omega_1 - H_{pwm} V_{dc} K_d)}{2V_1} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To simplify the analysis process of  $\angle Z_{qq}$ , PLL dynamics is neglected, i.e.,  $T_{PLL} = 0$ . In addition,  $H_{PQ}$ ,  $H_{cc}$  and  $H_{pwm}$  are regarded as constant for simplicity. Decoupling term  $K_d$  is regarded as 0. Term  $A$  in (3) can be simplified as follows,

$$A \approx A' = \frac{H_{PQ}^2 H_{cc}^2 H_{pwm}^2 (P_{ref}^2 + Q_{ref}^2)}{V_1^2} - 1 \quad (11)$$




Fig. 4. Impacts of  $P_{ref}$  and  $Q_{ref}$  on  $\angle Z_{qq}$  and impacts of  $H_{PQ}$  and  $H_{PLL}$  on  $P_{ref}^{max}$  and  $Q_{ref}^{min}$ .

Then,  $\angle Z_{qq}$  at a specific frequency point  $\omega_0$  can be derived as follows,

$$\angle Z_{qq}|_{s=j\omega_0} = \arctan \frac{-\Gamma_{2-2}P_{ref}\omega_0}{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_{2-1}P_{ref} + \Gamma_3Q_{ref}} \quad (12)$$

Note that all the elements in (12) are positive. If  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is required to be within  $[-90^\circ, 90^\circ]$ , real part of  $Z_{qq}$  should satisfy the following constraint.

$$\text{real}(Z_{qq}) = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_{2-1}P_{ref} + \Gamma_3Q_{ref} \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

(13) indicates that low  $P_{ref}$  and high  $Q_{ref}$  tend to enforce the passivity of  $Z_{qq}$ . In detail, for a given  $Q_{ref}$ , passivity of  $Z_{qq}$  can be enforced if,

$$P_{ref} \leq P_{ref}^{max} = \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3Q_{ref}}{\Gamma_{2-1}} = P_{ref}^{max1} + P_{ref}^{max2} \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, for a given  $P_{ref}$ , passivity of  $Z_{qq}$  can be enforced if,

$$Q_{ref} \geq Q_{ref}^{min} = \frac{\Gamma_{2-1}P_{ref} - \Gamma_1}{\Gamma_3} = Q_{ref}^{min1} + Q_{ref}^{min2} \quad (15)$$

where  $P_{ref}^{max1}$  and  $Q_{ref}^{min1}$  are defined as follows,

$$P_{ref}^{max1} = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_{2-1}} = \frac{V_1}{H_{cc}H_{PQ}H_{pwm}}$$

$$Q_{ref}^{min1} = \frac{-\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_3} = -\frac{V_1V_{dc}}{2L_{f1}\omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{H_{PQ} + V_1} \right) \quad (16)$$

It can also be seen from (14)-(16) that  $P_{ref}^{max}$  and  $Q_{ref}^{min}$  are sensitive to PQ controller parameters  $H_{PQ}$ . In detail, large  $H_{PQ}$  leads to small  $P_{ref}^{max}$  and larger  $Q_{ref}^{min}$ . The conclusions are the same when it comes to PLL controller parameters  $T_{PLL}$ . The derivation process is omitted for simplicity. The findings are summarized in Fig. 4.

### C. Flowchart of the Proposed Optimization Method of Active and Reactive Power Dispatch

Flowchart of the proposed optimization method of active and reactive power dispatch is shown in Fig. 5, which consists of three steps. In step 1, grid impedance, circuit and controller parameters of all GCIs, desired total active and reactive power  $P_{tot}$  and  $Q_{tot}$ , constraints of active and reactive power references of the  $N$  paralleled GCIs  $P_{k\_limi}$  and  $Q_{k\_limi}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) are inputted. In step 2, active and reactive power references of the  $k$ th GCI  $P_{k\_ref}$  and  $Q_{k\_ref}$  are randomly set with constraint of  $P_{k\_limi}$  and  $Q_{k\_limi}$




Fig. 5. Flowchart of the proposed optimization method of active and reactive power dispatch among multi-paralleled GCI system considering low-frequency stability.

( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ). Then, phase margin in low-frequency range is obtained from Bode diagrams of  $Z_{tot}$  and  $Z_g$ . In step 3,  $P_{k\_ref}$  and  $Q_{k\_ref}$  are simultaneously optimized based on the parameters of power control loops and PLLs. In detail, The GCIs with large bandwidths of power control loops and PLLs should have small  $P_{ref}$  and large  $Q_{ref}$ . If the re-designed phase margin is large enough or cannot be larger by optimizing active and reactive power distribution, the optimization process is completed. Otherwise, active and reactive power are re-distributed among the  $N$  paralleled GCIs, until phase margin is large enough or cannot be larger anymore.

## III. CASE DEMONSTRATION OF IMPACTS OF ACTIVE AND REACTIVE POWER ON GCI PASSIVITY

In this section, correctness of active and reactive power characteristics and their influences on GCI passivity is verified by Matlab/Simulink.

### A. Impacts of Active and Reactive Power on GCI Passivity

Table I shows the parameters of LCL filters and current controllers of the five GCIs in Fig. 1. In addition, controller parameters of power control loop and PLL of GCI 1 are  $k_{pPQ} = 2.7454e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.01648$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 20$  and  $k_{ipll} = 200$ . Fig. 6(a) shows Bode diagrams of GCI 1 impedance matrices obtained by frequency sweeping, where  $Q_{ref} = 0$  MVar and  $P_{ref}$  is 0.1 MW, 0.5 MW, 1.0 MW, 1.5 MW and 2.0 MW, respectively. To more clearly observe the relationship between  $\angle Z_{qq}$  and  $P_{ref}$ ,  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is zoomed in Fig. 6(b), and change curves of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$  at 26 Hz, 31 Hz, 34 Hz, 37 Hz and 40 Hz are plotted in Fig. 6(c). It can be seen that  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is decreased continuously as  $P_{ref}$  is increased. In addition,  $Z_{qq}$  becomes non-passive once  $P_{ref}$  exceeds a certain threshold value, and  $Z_{qq}$  is more likely to become non-passive in low-frequency range. The frequency sweeping results agree with the theoretical analysis results in Section II(B).

In addition, by changing the PLL parameters of GCI 1 from  $k_{ppll} = 20$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 200$  to  $k_{ppll} = 2$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 2$ , Bode diagrams of GCI 1 impedance matrices are plotted in Fig. 7(a).  $\angle Z_{qq}$

TABLE I  
CIRCUIT AND CURRENT CONTROLLER PARAMETERS OF THE FIVE GCIS  
IN FIG. 1

Parameter	$V_{dc}$	$L_{f1}$	$L_{f2}$	$C_f$	$k_{pi}$	$k_{ii}$
Values	1150 V	263 $\mu$ H	200 $\mu$ H	40 $\mu$ F	5.4908e-4	0.3295




Fig. 6. Case 1 of GCI 1 with  $k_{pPQ} = 2.7454e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.01648$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 20$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 200$ ,  $Q_{ref} = 0$  and different  $P_{ref}$ . (a) Bode diagrams of measured  $Z_{dq}$ . (b) Zoomed  $\angle Z_{qq}$ . (c) Variation of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$ .

is zoomed in Fig. 7(b), and change curves of  $Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$  at 26 Hz, 31 Hz, 34 Hz, 37 Hz and 40 Hz are plotted in Fig. 7(c). By comparing Figs. 6(c) and 7(c), it can be seen that the maximum active power reference  $P_{ref}^{max}$  not to violate the passivity of  $Z_{qq}$  increases as PLL bandwidth decreases. The frequency sweeping results agree with the theoretical analysis results in Section II(B).

Next, Fig. 8(a) shows Bode diagrams of GCI 1 impedance matrices when  $P_{ref} = 2$  MW and  $Q_{ref}$  is 0.1 MVar, 0.5 MVar, 1.0 MVar, 1.5 MVar and 2.0 MVar, respectively.  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is zoomed in Fig. 8(b), and Fig. 8(c) shows change curves of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $Q_{ref}$  at 12 Hz, 14 Hz, 17 Hz, 20 Hz and 26 Hz. It can be seen that  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is increased continuously as  $Q_{ref}$  is increased. In addition,  $Z_{qq}$  becomes passive once  $Q_{ref}$  exceeds a certain threshold value. The frequency sweeping results agree with the theoretical analysis results in Section II(B).

### B. Impact of Power Control Loop Parameters on $P_{ref}^{max}$ and $Q_{ref}^{min}$

By changing controller parameters of power control loop from  $k_{pPQ} = 2.7454e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.01648$  of case 2 to  $k_{pPQ} = 5.4908e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.03295$  of case 4, Fig. 9(a) shows Bode diagrams of GCI 1 impedance matrices when




Fig. 7. Case 2 of GCI 1 with  $k_{pPQ} = 2.7454e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.01648$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 2$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 2$ ,  $Q_{ref} = 0$  and different  $P_{ref}$ . (a) Bode diagrams of measured  $Z_{dq}$ . (b) Zoomed  $\angle Z_{qq}$ . (c) Variation of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$ .




Fig. 8. Case 3 of GCI 1 with  $k_{pPQ} = 2.7454e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.01648$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 20$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 200$ ,  $P_{ref} = 2$  MW and different  $Q_{ref}$ . (a) Bode diagrams of measured  $Z_{dq}$ . (b) Zoomed  $\angle Z_{qq}$ . (c) Variation of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $Q_{ref}$ .







Fig. 9. Case 4 of GCI 1 with  $k_{pPQ} = 5.4908e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.03295$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 2$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 2$ ,  $Q_{ref} = 0$  and different  $P_{ref}$ . (a) Bode diagrams of measured  $\mathbf{Z}_{dq}$ . (b) Zoomed  $\angle Z_{qq}$ . (c) Variation of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$ .

$Q_{ref} = 0$  MVar and  $P_{ref}$  is 0.1 MW, 0.5 MW, 1.0 MW, 1.5 MW and 2.0 MW, respectively.  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is zoomed in Fig. 9(b), and change curves of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $P_{ref}$  at 26 Hz, 31 Hz, 34 Hz, 37 Hz and 40 Hz are plotted in Fig. 9(c). It can be seen from Figs. 7(c) and 9(c) that maximum active power reference  $P_{ref}^{max}$  not to violate the passivity of  $Z_{qq}$  decreases as  $H_{PQ}$  increases, which agrees with the theoretical analysis results in Section II(B).

In addition, by changing the PLL parameters from  $k_{ppll} = 2$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 2$  of case 4 to  $k_{ppll} = 20$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 200$  of case 5, Fig. 10(a) shows Bode diagrams of GCI 1 impedance matrices when  $P_{ref} = 2$  MW and  $Q_{ref}$  is 0.1 MVar, 0.5 MVar, 1.0 MVar, 1.5 MVar and 2.0 MVar, respectively.  $\angle Z_{qq}$  is zoomed in Fig. 10(b), and change curves of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $Q_{ref}$  at 12 Hz, 14 Hz, 17 Hz, 20 Hz and 26 Hz are plotted in Fig. 10(c). By comparing Figs. 8(c) and 10(c), it can be seen that minimum reactive power reference  $Q_{ref}^{min}$  to enforce the passivity of  $Z_{qq}$  increases as  $H_{PQ}$  increases, which agrees with the theoretical analysis results in Section II(B).

#### IV. TIME-DOMAIN SIMULATION VERIFICATION

Besides the circuit and current controller parameters of the five GCIs shown in Table 1, controller parameters of the PLLs of the five GCIs are assumed as the same  $k_{ppll} = 20$  and  $k_{ipll} = 200$ . The only differences of the five GCIs are controller parameters of power control loops, as shown in Table II, where GCI 1 has the smallest power control loop bandwidth, and GCI 5 has the largest power control loop bandwidth.





Fig. 10. Case 5 of GCI 1 with  $k_{pPQ} = 5.4908e - 5$ ,  $k_{iPQ} = 0.03295$ ,  $k_{ppll} = 20$ ,  $k_{ipll} = 200$ ,  $P_{ref} = 2$  MW and different  $Q_{ref}$ . (a) Bode diagrams of measured  $\mathbf{Z}_{dq}$ . (b) Zoomed  $\angle Z_{qq}$ . (c) Variation of  $\angle Z_{qq}$  with  $Q_{ref}$ .

Assume that the desired total active power  $P_{tot}$  and total reactive power  $Q_{tot}$  are 5 MW and 2.5 MVar, respectively. Table III shows four schemes of active and reactive power dispatch among the paralleled five GCIs.  $P_{tot}$  and  $Q_{tot}$  are evenly dispatched among the five GCIs in scheme 1. Bode diagrams of total dq impedance matrices of the five GCIs  $\mathbf{Z}_{s1}$  and grid  $\mathbf{Z}_g$  are plotted in Fig. 11(a). Bode diagrams of  $Z_{qq}$  are zoomed in Fig. 11(b). It can be seen that  $\angle Z_{qq}$  at magnitude interaction point 32 Hz is lower than  $-90^\circ$ , and phase angle difference is thus larger than  $180^\circ$ , which indicates that the system is unstable. Time-domain simulation results in Fig. 12 validate the correctness of the theoretical analysis result. On the other hand, if scheme 2 is adopted, i.e., lower active power and higher reactive power are allocated to the GCIs with larger power control loop parameters, Bode diagrams of total dq impedance matrix of the five GCIs  $\mathbf{Z}_{s2}$  are plotted in Fig. 11. It can be seen that  $\angle Z_{qq}$  at magnitude interaction point 32 Hz is higher than  $-90^\circ$ , and phase angle difference is thus smaller than  $180^\circ$ , which indicates that the system is stable. Corresponding time-domain simulation results are shown in Fig. 13, which agrees with the impedance-based stability analysis results. It can be seen from Figs. 11, 12 and 13 that the system is stabilized by optimizing the distribution of active and reactive power based on the proposed optimization method in this paper.

Compared with scheme 2, only active power dispatch is optimized in scheme 3, and only reactive power dispatch is optimized in scheme 4. The Bode diagrams of the total dq impedance matrices of the five paralleled GCIs in the two

TABLE II  
CONTROLLER PARAMETERS OF POWER CONTROL LOOPS OF THE FIVE  
GCIS IN FIG. 1

Parameter	GCI 1	GCI 2	GCI 3	GCI 4	GCI 5
$k_{pPQ}$	2.7454e-5	3.0504e-5	3.4318e-5	4.2237e-5	5.4908e-5
$k_{iPQ}$	0.01648	0.01831	0.0206	0.02535	0.03295




Fig. 11. Impedance-based stability analysis of the four schemes. (a) Bode diagrams of  $Z_{tot}$  and  $Z_g$ . (b) Zoomed Bode diagrams of  $Z_{qq}$  component.




Fig. 12. Time-domain simulation results of scheme 1. (a) Simulation results of active and reactive power. (b) Simulation results of three-phase voltages and currents.




Fig. 13. Time-domain simulation results of scheme 2. (a) Simulation results of active and reactive power. (b) Simulation results of three-phase voltages and currents.




Fig. 14. Time-domain simulation results of scheme 3 and scheme 4. (a) Simulation results of active and reactive power of scheme 3. (b) Simulation results of three-phase voltages and currents of scheme 3. (c) Simulation results of active and reactive power of scheme 4. (d) Simulation results of three-phase voltages and currents of scheme 4.

schemes are shown as  $Z_{s3}$  and  $Z_{s4}$  in Fig. 11, respectively. It can be seen that  $\angle Z_{qq}$  at magnitude interaction point 32 Hz is lower than  $-90^\circ$ , which indicates that the system is unstable under the two dispatch schemes. The corresponding time-domain simulation results of the two schemes are shown in Fig. 14. By comparing scheme 2 with schemes 3 and 4, it can be concluded that it's necessary to simultaneously optimize active power distribution and reactive power distribution to enhance system stability.

## V. CONCLUSION

This paper investigates the impacts of active and reactive power on terminal impedance characteristics of GCI. On the basis of it, a novel active and reactive power dispatch method is proposed to enhance system stability in low-frequency range. According to the theoretical DQ impedance model of GCI, it's found that large active and reactive power references tend to violate and enhance passivity of GCI in low-frequency range, respectively. Furthermore, the maximum active power reference not to violate the passivity decreases, and the minimum reactive power reference to enforce the passivity increases if bandwidth of power control loop or PLL increases. The terminal impedance frequency responses of GCI obtained



TABLE III  
FOUR SCHEMES OF ACTIVE AND REACTIVE POWER DISPATCH AMONG FIVE PARALLELED GCIS

Parameter	$P_{ref1}$	$P_{ref2}$	$P_{ref3}$	$P_{ref4}$	$P_{ref5}$	$Q_{ref1}$	$Q_{ref2}$	$Q_{ref3}$	$Q_{ref4}$	$Q_{ref5}$
Scheme 1	1 MW	1 MW	1 MW	1 MW	1 MW	0.5 MVar	0.5 MVar	0.5 MVar	0.5 MVar	0.5 MVar
Scheme 2	2 MW	1.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.75 MVar	1 MVar
Scheme 3	2 MW	1.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	1 MVar	0.75 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar
Scheme 4	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	0.5 MW	1.5 MW	2 MW	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.25 MVar	0.75 MVar	1 MVar

Scheme 1: Without optimization. Scheme 2: With optimization of  $P_{tot}$  and  $Q_{tot}$ . Scheme 3: With only optimization of  $P_{tot}$ . Scheme 4: With only optimization of  $Q_{tot}$ .

by frequency sweeping validate the correctness of above theoretical analysis results. In addition, simulation results of a five-GCIs-based power system show that system stability can be enhanced, if active and reactive power are dispatched according to the proposed method. The proposed active and reactive power dispatch method is a supplement to the existing power dispatch algorithm neglecting stability violation in low-frequency range.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr. Raymundo E. Torres-Olguin from SINTEF Energy Research, Norway and Mr. Manuel Castillo from DigSILENT company, Germany for their valuable discussions and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Blaabjerg, Z. Chen, and S. B. Kjaer, "Power electronics as efficient interface in dispersed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1184–1194, Sep. 2004.
- [2] J. L. Agorreta, M. Borrega, J. Lopez, and L. Marroyo, "Modeling and control of N-paralleled grid-connected inverters with LCL filter coupled due to grid impedance in PV plants," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 770–785, Mar. 2011.
- [3] J. He, Y. W. Li, D. Bosnjak, and B. Harris, "Investigation and active damping of multiple resonances in a parallel-inverter-based microgrid," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 234–246, Jan. 2013.
- [4] B. Wen, D. Boroyevich, R. Burgos, P. Mattavelli, and Z. Shen, "Analysis of DQ small-signal impedance of grid-tied inverters," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 675–687, Jan. 2016.
- [5] W. Zhou, Y. Wang, and Z. Chen, "Frequency and temperature-dependent power cable modelling for small-signal stability analysis of grid-connected inverter system," in *Proc. 2018 IEEE Southern Power Electronics Conference (SPEC)*, Dec., pp. 1–8.
- [6] Y. Wang, X. Wang, F. Blaabjerg, and Z. Chen, "Harmonic instability assessment using state-space modeling and participation analysis in inverter-fed power systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 806–816, Jan. 2017.
- [7] W. Zhou, Y. Wang, and Z. Chen, "Impedance-based modelling method for length-scalable long transmission cable for stability analysis of grid-connected inverter," in *Proc. 2018 IEEE Southern Power Electronics Conference (SPEC)*, Dec., pp. 1–8.
- [8] J. Sun, "Impedance-based stability criterion for grid-connected inverters," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 11, p. 3075, Nov. 2011.
- [9] L. Xu and L. Fan, "Impedance-based resonance analysis in a VSC-HVDC system," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 2209–2216, Oct. 2013.
- [10] M. Amin, M. Molinas, J. Lyu, and X. Cai, "Impact of power flow direction on the stability of VSC-HVDC seen from the impedance Nyquist plot," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 8204–8217, Oct. 2017.
- [11] D. Lu, X. Wang, and F. Blaabjerg, "Influence of reactive power flow on the DC-link voltage control in voltage-source converters," in *Proc. 2018 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, Sep., pp. 2236–2241.
- [12] D. Yang, X. Wang, and F. Blaabjerg, "Fast power control for VSCs to enhance the synchronization stability in ultra-weak grids," in *Proc. 2018 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM)*, pp. 1–6.
- [13] T. Chen, C. K. Lee, and R. Hui, "A general design procedure for multi-parallel modular grid-tied inverters system to prevent common and interactive instability," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 6025–6030, Jul. 2019.
- [14] C. Yoon, H. Bai, R. N. Beres, X. Wang, C. L. Bak, and F. Blaabjerg, "Harmonic stability assessment for multiparalleled, grid-connected inverters," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1388–1397, Oct. 2016.
- [15] H. Bai, X. Wang, and F. Blaabjerg, "Passivity enhancement in renewable energy source based power plant with paralleled grid-connected VSIs," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 3793–3802, Jul./Aug. 2017.
- [16] M. Amin and M. Molinas, "A gray-box method for stability and controller parameter estimation in HVDC-connected wind farms based on nonparametric impedance," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1872–1882, Mar. 2019.
- [17] W. Zhou, Y. Wang, and Z. Chen, "A gray-box impedance reshaping method of grid-connected inverter for resonance damping," in *Proc. 2019 IEEE International Future Energy Electronics Conference and ECCE Asia (IFECC 2019-ECCE Asia)*, pp. 1–8.
- [18] D. Yang, X. Wang, F. Liu, K. Xin, Y. Liu, and F. Blaabjerg, "Adaptive reactive power control of PV power plants for improved power transfer capability under ultra-weak grid conditions," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1269–1279, Mar. 2019.
- [19] H. Zhao, Q. Wu, S. Huang, M. Shahidehpour, Q. Guo, and H. Sun, "Fatigue load sensitivity-based optimal active power dispatch for wind farms," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1247–1259, Jul. 2017.
- [20] H. Zhao, Q. Wu, J. Wang, Z. Liu, M. Shahidehpour, and Y. Xue, "Combined active and reactive power control of wind farms based on model predictive control," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1177–1187, Sep. 2017.