



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Hypoalgesia after bicycling at lactate threshold is reliable between sessions

Vaegter, Henrik Bjarke; Bjerregaard, Louise Kathrine; Redin, Mia-Maja; Rasmussen, Sara Hartung; Graven-Nielsen, Thomas

Published in:
European Journal of Applied Physiology

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Vaegter, H. B., Bjerregaard, L. K., Redin, M-M., Rasmussen, S. H., & Graven-Nielsen, T. (2019). Hypoalgesia after bicycling at lactate threshold is reliable between sessions. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 119(1), 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-018-4002-0>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

European Journal of Applied Physiology

HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	EJAP-D-18-00433R1	
Full Title:	HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS	
Article Type:	Original Article	
Keywords:	Exercise; Exercise-induced hypoalgesia; Reliability; lactate; pressure pain thresholds; pain sensitivity	
Corresponding Author:	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D. Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense, Denmark DENMARK	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense, Denmark	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D.	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Ph.D. Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard Mia-Maja Redin Sara Hartung Rasmussen Thomas Graven-Nielsen	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Funding Information:	TGN is a part of Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF121)	Prof Thomas Graven-Nielsen
Abstract:	<p>Purpose: Exercise decreases pain sensitivity known as exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH). However, the consistency of EIH after an acute exercise protocol based on subjective ratings of perceived exertion has been questioned. Objectives were to compare the effect on pressure pain thresholds (PPTs) after bicycling with work-rate at the lactate threshold compared with quiet rest, and investigate between-session reliability of EIH.</p> <p>Methods: Thirty-four healthy subjects completed three sessions with 7 days in-between. In session 1, the lactate threshold was determined via blood samples (finger-tip prick, > 2 mmol/l increase from warm-up) during a graded bicycling task. In session 2 and 3, all subjects performed i) 15 min quiet-rest, and ii) 15 min bicycling (work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold) in the two identical sessions. PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles were assessed before and after both conditions. Reliability was assessed by intraclass correlations (ICCs).</p> <p>Results: Bicycling increased quadriceps PPT compared with quiet-rest in both sessions (mean difference: 45 kPa [95%CI: 19-72 kPa], $P=0.002$), however the increase in trapezius PPT was not significant after exercise. The EIH responses demonstrated fair between-session test-retest reliability (quadriceps: $ICC=0.45$; trapezius: $ICC=0.57$, $P<0.05$), and agreement in EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was significant (quadriceps: $\kappa=0.46$ and trapezius: $\kappa=0.43$, $P<0.05$).</p> <p>Conclusions: In conclusion, bicycling at the lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising muscle with fair reliability of the local EIH response. The results have</p>	

	<p>implications for future EIH studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain relief.</p>
<p>Response to Reviewers:</p>	<p>Reviewer #1: This manuscript outlines experiments aimed at assessing the reliability of the EIH response following exercise at lactate threshold. The manuscript is generally well written, the question is novel and interesting, and the experimental methods are adequate to answer the hypotheses posed by the researchers.</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thank you</p> <p>Please see my comments and questions below for ways to strengthen the manuscript.</p> <p>Major Comments</p> <p>1. There is a lack of reliability data on the EIH response and this should be highlighted to a greater extent in the introduction. Additionally, the fact that exercise at lactate threshold, rather than a fixed percentage of VO₂ peak or heart-rate reserve is novel and potentially accounts for differences in fitness levels among participants. This should also be highlighted to a greater extent.</p> <p>RESPONSE: This has been further highlighted in the 2nd and 3rd paragraph of the introduction in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>How and why was the intensity of lactate threshold chosen (why not slightly above or slightly below)? What are the common perceptual responses at lactate threshold (RPE, muscle pain?) are they actually more similar among participants than a fixed percentage of VO₂ peak?</p> <p>RESPONSE: Based on our previous EIH dose-response study (Vaegter et al., Pain 2014), we chose the intensity of the exact lactate threshold to 1) ensure that the exercise intensity was high enough to induce hypoalgesia, and 2) not so high that the influence of a higher peak muscle pain due to lactic acid build up could potentially influence the hypoalgesic response due to CPM effects. In hindsight, the intensity chosen may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-exercising trapezius muscle, and future studies might want to investigate test-retest reliability of EIH at e.g. 120% of lactate threshold. We have more clearly clarified the choices made about intensity in the 2nd paragraph of section 4.1 in the discussion. To our knowledge ratings of perceived exertion and muscle pain has not been compared between exercise protocols based on lactate threshold and percentage of VO₂ peak.</p> <p>2. The authors report their EIH responses as absolute changes (in kPa) from pre to post exercise. I would recommend calculating a percent change in PPT and reporting EIH in this manner as well. The percent change allows for differences among participants with different resting PPT values to be compared. It also allows for easier comparisons among testing sites where PPTs vary as happens in this study between the quad and trap muscles. If the authors chose to also report the absolute changes and/or absolute values from pre to post I would encourage them to run an ANCOVA on the data and co-vary for resting PPT.</p> <p>RESPONSE: Thank you for this suggestion. In the revised manuscript we also investigated EIH based on relative change in PPTs in addition to the analysis on absolute change in PPT. This has been added to the statistics section 2.6 and the results section 3.3. The effect was larger for the quadriceps muscle than the trapezius, however it did not change the results or interpretation of the findings.</p> <p>3. There appears to have been a clear "learning" or time effect as it relates to the RPE and muscle pain responses to the cycling exercise with values being lower during the second session. Do the authors think this might have impacted the EIH response and account for some the lower EIH response during the second bout? This should be addressed in the discussion and perhaps mentioned as a limitation.</p> <p>RESPONSE: It is definitely possible, that the learning effect in relation to RPE and muscle pain may play in role on the EIH response. However, as previous studies have demonstrated a link between EIH after aerobic exercise and the 'pain inhibits pain' mechanisms with greater EIH after painful exercise than non-painful exercise we expected that the EIH response would be larger in session 2. Interestingly, no systematic errors in EIH at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions were found indicating that the reduced RPE and muscle pain in session 3 did not significantly influence the EIH response suggesting that pain during exercise did not play a significant role in the magnitude of the EIH with this exercise protocol. In support of this, no significant associations were found between the EIH responses and the RPE or muscle pain during exercise. We have addressed this more thoroughly in the</p>

discussion (4th paragraph section 4.2) in the revised manuscript.

4. There was also an effect, although not always statistically significant, of the rest period. This should be discussed more fully in the discussion. Why did this happen?

RESPONSE: The fact that the increase in PPT after rest was only for the trapezius muscle and not the quadriceps muscle suggests that it was not related to contextual factors like anxiety due to the procedures, or distraction during assessment. One potential reason could be related to a larger habituation effect at this muscle (compared with the quadriceps muscle) which further supports the inclusion of a control condition when investigating EIH but also the responder/non-responder approach. We have addressed this more thoroughly in the discussion (1st paragraph section 4.2) in the revised manuscript.

5. The finding of a lack of EIH in the trap muscles is interesting and unexpected to me. There is clear evidence in the literature of systemic or extra-segmental changes in PPT following exercise. The authors should consider correlating the EIH response at both sites to RPE and pain experienced during cycling. This might provide some insight as to whether a CPM-like mechanism was at work.

RESPONSE: We agree that the lack of hypoalgesia in the trapezius muscle was unexpected. As more thoroughly discussed in the revised manuscript, the intensity chosen in this study may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-exercising trapezius muscle. However, similar findings after moderate intensity aerobic exercise have been presented by Naugle et al. 2014 (Med Sci Sports Exerc). As included in section 3.4 of the revised results and the correlation table (Table 1), significant correlations were found between peak HR and the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in both sessions, which could indicate a link between a baroreceptor mechanism and EIH. However, after adjusting for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius muscles and the peak NRS pain scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise turned insignificant (Table 1). This suggests that the hypoalgesia produced was not significantly related to systemic CPM-like mechanisms in this exercise protocol.

I would also suggest correlating the percent EIH in the quad to the percent EIH in the trap. It would be interesting to know if those with the largest local response also exhibited the largest systemic response (i.e. if they are a responder at one site are they a responder at both).

RESPONSE: Thanks for this suggestion. We included this analysis in the revised manuscript. As illustrated in Table 1 and in the results section 3.4, both the absolute and relative change in PPT at the quadriceps muscle was significantly associated with the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in session 2. In session 3, this association was not significant. However, after adjusting for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius muscles turned insignificant (Table 1).

Minor Comments

1. pp4, ln 38 - change "on" to one

RESPONSE: Thank you. This has been corrected.

2. Throughout the manuscript the authors confuse performance, intensity, and work rate during exercise. Intensity commonly refers to a metabolic rate, often expressed as a percentage of VO₂ peak. Performance commonly refers to time taken to complete a bout of work or a race. Work rate often refers to the measured power output during a bout of exercise. On pp. 12, ln 13 for example the authors state that men had a higher exercise intensity than women. The referenced figure shows work rate in watts rather than intensity in VO₂. Please make appropriate changes to wording throughout the manuscript.

RESPONSE: Thanks a lot for bringing this to our attention. Throughout the revised manuscript and in Fig. 3A, intensity has been replaced by work rate.

3. Was testing performed in the same menstrual cycle phase for women?

RESPONSE: Unfortunately data were not collected in this study on the use of contraceptives, status of menopause, or menstrual cycle, which may affect the pain perception in the female participants (Riley et al. 1999). However, different phases of the menstrual cycle do not appear to influence the magnitude of the EIH response in

women (Hoeger Bement et al. 2009). This has been clarified in the 3rd paragraph of section 4.1 in the revised manuscript.

4. The suggestion that the shoulders are not exercising during cycling is curious to me. They are clearly used for maintaining posture. The authors might want to consider changing how they refer to the shoulders; perhaps as a "less active" muscle compared to the quad.

RESPONSE: Thanks for this suggestion. We agree that muscle activity in the upper trapezius muscle is likely part of the posture maintenance during bicycling with hands on the handlebar. We have changed non-exercising muscle to non-exercising/less active muscle in the revised manuscript.

5. In the discussion (pp. 16, ln 52) the authors refer to the fact that their data indicate that a familiarization session improved their reliability. There are multiple studies that have established this fact--I would recommend the authors citing those studies.

RESPONSE: We agree and have added reference for this in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #2: Overall, I thought this was a well written and designed study. While many studies have been conducted on EIH, there is limited data on the reliability of EIH. Thus, studies like this are needed in the field of pain and exercise. I have just a few minor comments.

RESPONSE: Thank you

Methods 2.3: Is there a reference for the lactate threshold determination procedure? Is this a common method used to determine lactate threshold?

RESPONSE: Several different incremental cycling protocols that can be modified in terms of starting and subsequent work rates, increments and duration of each stage have been described in different studies. Bentley et al. 2007 (Sports Med) showed that modification of these parameters might influence the physiological variables tested especially submaximal and maximal variables like peak power output, but also lactate threshold. We have clarified this in the discussion section 4.1.

Methods 2.4: What was the order of the PPT assessments (quadriceps v trapezius)? Was the order counterbalanced among participants?

RESPONSE: The first PPT assessment at the quadriceps muscle was always assessed first followed by the first PPT assessment at the trapezius. The order was then reversed for the second assessment. This has been clarified in the methods section 2.4 in the revised manuscript.

Discussion - EIH after bicycling: I think it would be useful to describe the intensity of the exercise in terms of moderate or vigorous exercise. What intensity of aerobic exercise did the lactate threshold equate to for participants? Prior research shows that moderate intensity aerobic exercise does not decrease PPT's at a remote site, while vigorous aerobic exercise does (Naugle KM et al., 2014). Another study has demonstrated duration thresholds for aerobic EIH (Hoffman MD 2004). Is it possible that the 15 minute duration was not long enough to induce systemic pain inhibitory mechanisms?

RESPONSE: As described in the revised manuscript section 3.2, the mean intensity at the lactate threshold was 151 ± 39 W. Based on subjects' RPE and HR during exercise the exercise equate moderate intensity which likely is the reason why remote EIH was not observed as this intensity might have been too low to elicit systemic EIH. This has been further discussed in section 4.1. Moreover, the study by Naugle et al. 2014 has been included as reference for this discussion. Regarding the exercise duration, previous studies (e.g. Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2015; Vaegter et al. 2018) have demonstrated a robust EIH response based on pressure pain thresholds after 10-15 min aerobic exercise. However, it is possible that with aerobic exercise at moderate intensity a longer duration might have increased the EIH response as it has been demonstrated with isometric exercise.

1 **HYPOALGESIA AFTER BICYCLING AT LACTATE THRESHOLD**
2
3 **IS RELIABLE BETWEEN SESSIONS**
4

5
6 Henrik Bjarke Vaegter^{1,2}, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard³, Mia-Maja Redin³, Sara Hartung
7
8 Rasmussen³, Thomas Graven-Nielsen⁴

9
10 ¹ *Pain Research Group, Pain Center, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark*

11
12 ² *Institute of Clinical Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark*

13
14 ³ *School of Physiotherapy, University College Lillebaelt, Odense, Denmark*

15
16 ⁴ *Center for Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP), SMI, Department of Health Science and*

17
18 *Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark*
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Original paper for Eur J Appl Physiol**

27 **Running title:** Reliability of aerobic exercise hypoalgesia
28
29
30

31 **Conflict of Interest and Source of Funding:** There are no actual or potential conflicts of interest
32 for any of the authors. No funding was received for this study. TGN is a part of Center for
33
34 Neuroplasticity and Pain (CNAP) supported by the Danish National Research Foundation
35 (DNRF121).
36
37
38
39

40 **Corresponding author:**

41 Henrik Bjarke Vaegter Ph.D.

42 Pain Research Group, Pain Center, University Hospital Odense

43 Department of Clinical Research, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark

44
45 Heden 7-9, Indgang 200
46
47

48 DK – 5000 Odense C

49 Tel.: +45 65413869; fax: +45 65415064.
50
51

52 E-mail address: henrik.bjarke.vaegter@rsyd.dk

53 ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7707-9947
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63

1 **ABSTRACT**

2
3 **Purpose:** Exercise decreases pain sensitivity known as exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH).
4
5
6 However, the consistency of EIH after an acute exercise protocol based on subjective ratings of
7
8 perceived exertion has been questioned. Objectives were to compare the effect on pressure pain
9
10 thresholds (PPTs) after bicycling with work-rate at the lactate threshold compared with quiet rest,
11
12 and investigate between-session reliability of EIH.
13

14
15 **Methods:** Thirty-four healthy subjects completed three sessions with 7 days in-between. In session
16
17 1, the lactate threshold was determined via blood samples (finger-tip pinprick, > 2 mmol/l increase
18
19 from warm-up) during a graded bicycling task. In session 2 and 3, all subjects performed i) 15 min
20
21 quiet-rest, and ii) 15 min bicycling (work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold) in the two
22
23 identical sessions. PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles were assessed before and after both
24
25 conditions. Reliability was assessed by intraclass correlations (ICCs).
26
27

28
29 **Results:** Bicycling increased quadriceps PPT compared with quiet-rest in both sessions (mean
30
31 difference: 45 kPa [95%CI: 19–72 kPa], $P=0.002$), however the increase in trapezius PPT was not
32
33 significant after exercise. The EIH responses demonstrated fair between-session test-retest
34
35 reliability (quadriceps: $ICC=0.45$; trapezius: $ICC=0.57$, $P<0.05$), and agreement in EIH responders
36
37 and non-responders between sessions was significant (quadriceps: $\kappa=0.46$ and trapezius: $\kappa=0.43$,
38
39 $P<0.05$).
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Conclusions:** In conclusion, bicycling at the lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising
46
47 muscle with fair reliability of the local EIH response. The results have implications for future EIH
48
49 studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain
50
51 relief.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 **Key words:** exercise, exercise-induced hypoalgesia, reliability, pressure pain thresholds, pain
2
3 sensitivity
4
5
6

7
8 **Abbreviations**
9

10 BMI	Body mass index
11	
12 CI	Confidence interval
13	
14 CPM	Conditioned pain modulation
15	
16 EIH	Exercise-induced hypoalgesia
17	
18 ICC	Intraclass correlation coefficient
19	
20 kPa	Kilopascal
21	
22 [La-] _b	Blood lactate concentration
23	
24 NRS	Numerical rating scale
25	
26 PPT	Pressure pain threshold
27	
28 RM-ANOVA	Repeated measures analysis of variance
29	
30 RPE	Rating of perceived exertion
31	
32 RPM	Rounds per minute
33	
34 SEM	Standard error of measurement
35	
36 VO _{2max}	maximum rate of oxygen consumption
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2
3 A decrease in the pain sensitivity following an acute bout of exercise also known as exercise-
4 induced hypoalgesia (EIH) has been demonstrated in healthy subjects (Vaegter et al. 2014). EIH is
5 often demonstrated as an increase in pressure pain threshold (PPT) at exercising or non-
6 exercising/less active muscles, and has been suggested to be an indicator of endogenous pain
7 inhibitory capacity (Lannersten & Kosek 2010). Reduced EIH responses or even the opposite (i.e.
8 hyperalgesic) has been demonstrated in subjects with chronic pain compared with pain-free controls
9 (Kosek et al. 1996; Lannersten & Kosek 2010). In addition to the discriminative value of EIH
10 between subjects with chronic pain compared with pain-free controls, the EIH response may be
11 related to treatment outcome after exercises (Fingleton et al. 2017) and surgery (Vaegter et al. 2017)
12 indicating clinical utility. Ensuring clinical applicability, adequate validity and test-retest reliability
13 is an essential prerequisite, but such knowledge on EIH is sparse.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Only few studies have previously investigated test-retest reliability of the EIH response after exercises. In two different studies, Vaegter and colleagues (Vaegter et al. 2018a; Vaegter et al. 2018b) found that an isometric wall squat exercise and an aerobic incremental aerobic bicycling exercise, with a work-rate based on subjective ratings of perceived exertion, increased PPTs at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles in two sessions separated by one week compared with a quiet-rest control condition, however the EIH responses demonstrated only fair between-sessions test-retest reliability (based on intraclass correlations [ICCs]), and the agreement in classification of EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was not significant. As subjective ratings of perceived exertion during aerobic exercise varies significantly over identical bicycling trials (Micalos et al. 2004; Vaegter et al. 2018a), it could be hypothesized that an exercise protocol based on an objectively defined exercise work-rate would improve the reliability of the EIH responses.

1 Several objective measures have been related to aerobic exercise performance (Jacobs et al.
2
3 2011) including lactate threshold. The lactate threshold is defined as the exercise work-rate beyond
4
5 which the blood lactate concentration $[La-]_b$ increases more rapidly (Wasserman et al. 1973), often
6
7 occurring at exercise intensities above 50% VO_{2max} (Buono & Yeager 1986), and lactate threshold
8
9 can be used to accurately prescribe exercise work-rate. In healthy subjects as well as patient
10
11 populations, the lactate threshold appears to be a better predictor of exercise capacity than VO_{2max}
12
13 (Bentley et al. 2007; Coyle et al. 1983). Moreover, as determination of VO_{2max} requires intense
14
15 exhaustion of the investigated subject it may be difficult to attain in subjects with chronic pain. In
16
17 addition, whether exercise at lactate threshold induces hypoalgesia has not previously been
18
19 investigated, whether exercise at lactate threshold induces hypoalgesia has not previously been
20
21 investigated.
22
23

24 This study investigated 1) the hypoalgesic response at the quadriceps femoris (exercising
25
26 muscle) and the upper trapezius muscle (non-exercising/less active muscle) after a 15 min bicycling
27
28 exercise with a work-rate corresponding to the lactate threshold compared with a 15 min resting
29
30 condition in healthy subjects, 2) the between session test-retest reliability of the test stimulus (PPT)
31
32 and the EIH responses at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles based on ICC values,
33
34 and 3) the absolute between session test-retest reliability based on agreement in classification of
35
36 EIH responders and non-responders between sessions. It was hypothesized that 1) the exercise
37
38 protocol increase PPTs at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles compared with the
39
40 resting condition, 2) PPTs and EIH demonstrate acceptable relative test-retest reliability, and 3) the
41
42 classification of EIH responders and non-responders show significant agreement between sessions.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

53 *2.1 Subjects*

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 In total, 37 healthy subjects were recruited by advertisement at the local university college, and
2
3 through social media. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki,
4
5 approved by the local ethical committee (S-20170129), and all subjects provided written informed
6
7 consent. None of the included subjects suffered from neurological, psychological, cardiovascular
8
9 diseases, had any pain or used any pain medication during the weeks prior to participation. Three
10
11 subjects had scheduling conflicts during the study period and did not attend all sessions. Thus, 34
12
13 healthy subjects (25.3 ± 3.2 (standard deviation) years old; [range 22–40 years]; average body mass
14
15 index (BMI) 25.3 ± 4.0 kg/m² [range 19.9-34.7]; 9 left-handed; 16 women) were included. All
16
17 subjects were asked to refrain from physical exercises, coffee and alcohol on the days of
18
19 participation.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *2.2 Procedure*

29
30 The experiment comprised three sessions. In session 1, subjects were i) verbally introduced to the
31
32 procedures and familiarized with the assessment of pressure pain threshold (PPT) at 2 body sites
33
34 including the sites used in session 2 and session 3, and ii) the lactate threshold were determined
35
36 sequentially via blood samples from finger-tip pinprick during a graded bicycling exercise task. In
37
38 session 2 and 3, PPTs were initially recorded from the dominant thigh and the non-dominant
39
40 shoulder. In addition, all subjects performed a 15 min quiet-rest condition, and a 15 min bicycling
41
42 exercise in each of the two identical sessions. PPTs were assessed before and immediately after the
43
44 quiet-rest and exercise conditions. Sessions were performed at the same time of the day and
45
46 separated by 1 week (Fig. 1). This time frame was chosen to minimize potential carry-over effects
47
48 from the pain sensitivity assessments and exertion after physical exercise between sessions as well
49
50 as avoiding extensive changes in physical fitness level within subjects.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 *2.3 Determination of lactate threshold*

2
3
4 The blood lactate response to a submaximal bicycling exercise was assessed while the subject was
5
6 seated on an electronically braked standard laboratory bicycle ergometer (Ergonomic 928E, Monark
7
8 Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden). Heart rate (Monark Heart Rate Monitor, Polar Electro, Lake
9
10 Success, NY, USA) was monitored continuously throughout the test and subjects rated the
11
12 perceived exertion (RPE) on a Borg's 6-20 scale, with 6 defined as 'no exertion at all' and 20 as
13
14 'maximal exertion'. The lactate threshold test was performed continuously in 3-min stages preceded
15
16 by 5 min light warm-up. The initial warm-up power output performed at eighty rounds per min
17
18 (RPM) was selected on the basis of the subject's gender and reported usual training workload
19
20 (Women, light to moderate usual workload: 60 W. Women, high usual workload: 80 W. Men, light
21
22 to moderate usual workload: 80 W. Men, high usual workload: 100 W). This warm-up power output
23
24 represented a "very light" (Borg scale rating of perceived exertion (RPE) ≤ 9) workload for 23 of
25
26 the subjects and 'light' (Borg scale rating of perceived exertion (RPE) ≤ 11) for 11 of the subjects.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Increments in power output were also selected based on gender and reported usual training
34
35 workload (Women, light to moderate usual workload: 15 W. Women, high usual workload: 20 W.
36
37 Men, light to moderate usual workload: 20 W. Men, high usual workload: 25 W), such that the test
38
39 concluded after a maximum of 10 workload stages (median number of stages 6). Blood samples
40
41 were taken via finger-tip pinprick at rest as well as during the last 30 seconds of the warm-up and
42
43 each 3-min workloads. Whole [La-]_b was measured with a portable [La-]_b analyzer (Lactate Pro2,
44
45 Arkray KDK, Kyoto, Japan) which has shown acceptable accuracy and reliability compared with a
46
47 criterion blood analyzer (Bonaventura et al. 2015). The lactate threshold test was terminated when
48
49 [La-]_b began to rise exponentially (>2 mmol/l from the value at rest followed by an additional
50
51 increase > 2 mmol/l in the subsequent recording) ensuring that all subjects reached 4 mmol/l, which
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63

1 Heck et al. 1985). Since the $[La-]_b$ value at lactate threshold may vary between subjects (Stegman et
2 al. 1981) an increase in $[La-]_b > 2$ mmol/l from the concentration after warm-up was used as lactate
3 threshold instead of using a fixed $[La-]_b$ value. Prior to the lactate threshold test, subjects were
4 instructed not to do any intense or long duration exhausting exercises in the preceding 24 hours, not
5 to change their normal dietary program throughout the study period, and to arrive 10 min ahead of
6 their scheduled test time.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 *2.4 Pressure pain threshold assessment*

15 PPTs were assessed with a handheld pressure algometer (Somedic Sales AB, Sweden) with a
16 stimulation area of 1 cm², and a pressure rate increase at approximately 30 kPa/s at the middle of
17 the exercising dominant quadriceps muscle (fifteen centimeters proximal to the base of patella), and
18 at the non-exercising/less active non-dominant upper trapezius muscle (ten centimeters from the
19 acromion in direct line with the neck). Subjects were instructed to press a button when the pressure
20 was perceived as the first sensation of minimal pain, and the pressure intensity defined the PPT.
21 Two PPT assessments with 20 s intervals between assessments were completed for each site and the
22 average was used for analysis. The first PPT assessment at the quadriceps muscle was always
23 performed first followed by the first PPT assessment at the trapezius. The order was then reversed
24 for the second assessment. Subjects were seated on a plinth without foot support and with both arms
25 resting on the thighs during assessments.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *2.5 Quiet rest and bicycling conditions*

51 In the quiet rest conditions, subjects were instructed to relax in a seated position in a comfortable
52 armchair for 15 min in a temperate and quiet room. Subjects performed two 15 min bicycling
53 exercise conditions, in session 2 and session 3, respectively. The seat post of the stationary cycle
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 (Ergomedic 928E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden) was adjusted so that the subject had
2
3 approximately five degree bend at the knee during the bottom phase of the pedal stroke. A heart rate
4
5 monitor (Monark Heart Rate Monitor, Polar Electro, Lake Success, NY, USA) was strapped around
6
7 the subject's chest. Just before the exercise condition the subject was instructed to rate pain
8
9 intensity in the legs on a 0-10 numerical rating scale (NRS), with 0 defined as 'no pain' and 10 'as
10
11 worst imaginable pain', and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on Borg's 6-20 scale, with 6 defined
12
13 as 'no exertion at all' and 20 as 'maximal exertion'. In session 2 and session 3, the bicycling work-
14
15 rate was initially set to 50% of the lactate threshold. After 2.5 min of bicycling the resistance was
16
17 increased to 75% of the lactate threshold, and after 5 min of bicycling the resistance was set to
18
19 100% of the lactate threshold where after the subject continued bicycling at that work-rate for the
20
21 remaining time. Subjects were instructed to maintain a pedal rate as close to seventy-five rounds per
22
23 min (RPM) as possible throughout the 15 min bicycling exercise. Heart rate, pain intensity in the
24
25 legs, and RPE were assessed after 2, 4, 6, 9, 12 and 15 min.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 33 34 35 2.6 Statistics

36
37 Results are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) in the text and as mean and standard
38
39 error of the mean (SEM) in figures. The distribution of PPTs across sessions did not deviate
40
41 significantly from normality (Shapiro-Wilks test: $P > 0.16$). The effect of sessions and gender on
42
43 baseline PPTs was analyzed with a mixed-model analysis of variances (ANOVAs) with *session*
44
45 (*session 2 and session 3*) and *assessment site* (quadriceps and trapezius) as within subject factor and
46
47 *sex* as between subject factor.
48
49

50
51 Changes in PPTs after bicycling and rest were compared in a repeated-measures ANOVA
52
53 (RM-ANOVA) with *session* (*session 2 and session 3*), *condition* (*exercise and rest*), and *assessment*
54
55 *site* (quadriceps and trapezius) as within subject factors, and *sex* as between subject factor. Analyses
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 were performed on absolute change (PPT-after minus PPT-before) and relative change ((PPT-after
2 minus PPT-before) / PPT-before * 100%) in PPTs. Comparison of exercise parameters between
3
4
5
6 session 2 and session 3 (NRS scores, RPE, and heart rate) were analyzed with RM-ANOVAs with
7
8 *session* (session 2 and session 3), and *time* (0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, and 15 min) as within subject factors
9
10 and *sex* as between subject factor. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. In case of
11
12 significant factors or interactions in RM-ANOVAs, Bonferroni corrected post-hoc test (paired t-
13
14 tests) were used to correct for multiple comparisons. Pearson's correlational analyses were
15
16 performed to determine possible associations between the EIH responses and the peak NRS pain
17
18 scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise. Due to multiple correlational analyses, *P*-values equal to
19
20 or less than 0.002 (0.05 / 28) were considered significant for the correlations.
21
22
23

24
25 For assessment of test-retest reliability of PPTs (within-session and between-session) and EIH
26
27 (between-session), the systematic error between sets of PPT assessments (within-session: before
28
29 and after rest in second and third session; between-session: baseline second and third session) and
30
31 EIH responses (between-session: absolute change in PPTs after exercise in second and third
32
33 session) were determined using RM-ANOVAs. Persons *r* and intraclass correlations (ICCs) based
34
35 on a single rating, consistency, 2-way mixed effect model were used reflecting the ability of the
36
37 PPTs and EIH responses to differentiate values between individuals. An ICC above 0.75 was taken
38
39 as excellent reliability, 0.40–0.75 was fair to good reliability, and less than 0.40 defined poor
40
41 reliability (Shrout & Fleiss 1979).
42
43
44
45
46

47 Agreement in classification of EIH responders and EIH non-responders between session 2 and
48
49 session 3, were assessed by the standard error of PPT measurement used as classifier as some of the
50
51 change in PPT in response to exercise may be due to measurement error. The SEM for repeated
52
53 PPTs assessment (before and after rest) in session 2 and session 3 were estimated as the square root
54
55 of the mean square error term in the RM-ANOVA output (Weir 2005). Subjects who had an
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 increase in PPTs after exercise which was larger than 1xSEM of the PPTs was classified as EIH
2
3 responders and subjects who did not have an increase in PPTs after exercise larger than 1xSEM of
4
5 the PPTs was classified as EIH non-responders. Agreement in the frequency of EIH responders and
6
7 EIH non-responders between sessions was compared with Cohen's kappa coefficient. Data were
8
9 analyzed using SPSS Statistics, version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).
10
11
12
13
14

15 **3. RESULTS**

16 *3.1 Pain thresholds at baseline*

17
18 In sessions 2 and 3, baseline PPTs was higher in men (quadriceps: 498 ± 120 kPa, 572 ± 162 kPa
19
20 and trapezius: 297 ± 76 kPa, 310 ± 86 kPa) compared with women (quadriceps: 429 ± 145 kPa, 448
21
22 ± 168 kPa and trapezius: 223 ± 77 kPa, 243 ± 91 kPa; ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 6.29$, $P = 0.017$).
23
24 Moreover, a significant main effect of assessment site was found (ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 183.13$, $P <$
25
26 0.001) with post-hoc test showing that PPT at the quadriceps muscle was significantly higher
27
28 compared with PPT at the trapezius muscle ($P < 0.001$).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 *3.2 Lactate threshold*

38
39 The $[La]_b$ was increased after warm-up (1.8 ± 0.7 mmol/l) compared with rest (1.4 ± 0.4 mmol/l;
40
41 ANOVA: $F(1,33) = 10.50$, $P = 0.003$), but not significantly different between women and men ($P =$
42
43 0.95). The median number of 3-min workload stages during lactate threshold determination was 6
44
45 (range: 4-9), and the corresponding $[La]_b$ when the test was terminated was not significantly
46
47 different between women (6.3 ± 1.1 mmol/l) and men (6.2 ± 1.2 mmol/l; $P = 0.81$). Mean work-rate
48
49 at the lactate threshold was 151 ± 39 W. The exercise work-rate determined at the lactate threshold
50
51 was larger in men (166 ± 43 W) compared with women (135 ± 29 W; $P = 0.02$).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63

3.3 Comparison of bicycling and rest

The ANOVAs of absolute and relative change in PPTs after bicycling and rest demonstrated a significant interaction between conditions and assessment sites (Fig 2; ANOVA: $F(1,33) = 8.30$, $P = 0.007$) with post-hoc test showing a larger increase in PPT at the exercising quadriceps muscle (session 2: 13.5%; session 3: 15.7%) after bicycling in both sessions compared with the resting condition (mean difference: 45 kPa [95% CI: 19 – 72 kPa], $P = 0.002$). No significant difference between bicycling (session 2: 4.6%; session 3: 5.8%) and rest (session 2: 5.9%; session 3: 7.4%) was found at the non-exercising/less active trapezius muscle ($P = 0.81$). No significant absolute or relative changes in PPTs after bicycling ($F(1,32) < 1.28$, $P > 0.27$) were found between women and men.

3.4 Comparison of exercise parameters between sessions

The work-rate of bicycling in the two sessions did not differ as identical protocols were used, however a significant effect of gender was found with higher exercise work-rate in men compared with women (mean difference: 23.1 W [95% CI: 3.7 – 42.5]; Fig. 3A, ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 5.86$, $P = 0.021$).

Heart rate during exercise increased over time (Fig. 3B; $F(6,192) = 908.25$, $P < 0.001$) with post-hoc test showing progressively increasing higher heart among all time points ($P < 0.001$). Moreover, heart rate during exercise was higher in women compared with men (mean difference: 11.5 beats/min [95% CI: 3.7 – 19.2]; ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 9.01$, $P = 0.005$). No significant difference in heart rate between sessions were found (ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 0.88$, $P = 0.36$).

Rating of perceived exertion was increased over time (Fig. 3C; ANOVA: $F(6,192) = 712.34$, $P < 0.001$) with post-hoc test showing increasing RPE over time (all time points, $P < 0.001$). Moreover, a difference was found between session 2 and session 3 ($F(1,32) = 6.05$, $P = 0.019$) with

1 higher RPE during exercise in session 2 compared with session 3 (mean RPE difference: 0.35 [95%
 2
 3 CI: 0.06 – 0.64]; $P = 0.019$). No significant difference in RPE between women and men were found
 4
 5
 6 (ANOVA: $F(1,32) = 0.25$, $P = 0.62$).
 7

8 The NRS ratings of leg pain intensity during bicycling increased over time (Fig. 3D;
 9
 10 ANOVA: $F(6,192) = 48.48$, $P < 0.001$) with post-hoc test showing increased NRS scores over time
 11
 12 (all time points, $P < 0.001$) except between the first two assessments (0 min and 2 min). Moreover,
 13
 14 a difference was found in reported NRS pain scores between session 2 and session 3 (ANOVA:
 15
 16 $F(1,32) = 8.64$, $P = 0.006$) with higher pain NRS scores in session 2 compared with session 3 (mean
 17
 18 NRS difference: 0.25 [95% CI: 0.08 - 0.42]; $P = 0.006$). No significant difference in peak NRS
 19
 20 scores of pain intensity between session 2 (2.5 ± 2.0) and session 3 (2.2 ± 2.0 ; $P = 0.08$), and
 21
 22 between men and women were found ($P = 0.48$).
 23
 24
 25

26
 27 Significant correlations were found between peak HR and the change in PPT at the trapezius
 28
 29 muscle after exercise in both sessions, which could indicate a link between a baroreceptor
 30
 31 mechanism and EIH. Moreover, both the absolute and relative change in PPT at the quadriceps
 32
 33 muscle was significantly associated with the change in PPT at the trapezius muscle after exercise in
 34
 35 session 2 suggesting that subjects with the largest local EIH response also exhibited the largest
 36
 37 systemic EIH response. In session 3, this association was not significant. However, after adjusting
 38
 39 for multiple correlations, all associations between the EIH responses at the quadriceps or trapezius
 40
 41 muscles and the peak NRS pain scores, heart rate and RPE during exercise turned insignificant
 42
 43 (Table 1).
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52

53 *3.5 Test-retest reliability of PPTs and EIH*

54
 55 Within-session test-retest reliability of PPT at the quadriceps muscle showed no systematic errors
 56
 57 between two repeated assessments separated by 15 min quiet rest, although the difference in session
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62

3 approached significance (ANOVA: $F(1,33) < 3.80$, $P > 0.06$); assessments were strongly
 4 correlated ($r \geq 0.91$), and ICCs were excellent with values ≥ 0.95 (Table 2). However, within-
 5 session test-retest reliability of PPT at the trapezius muscle showed higher PPT after rest compared
 6 with before rest in both sessions (ANOVA: $F(1,33) > 6.21$, $P > 0.02$); assessments were strongly
 7 correlated ($r \geq 0.92$), and ICCs were excellent with values ≥ 0.96 .

8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13 Between-session test-retest reliability of PPT at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles,
 14 respectively, showed no systematic errors, although the PPT quadriceps difference between sessions
 15 approached significance (ANOVA: $F(1,33) < 4.04$, $P > 0.05$), which was also reflected in the 95%
 16 CI of the mean differences, where zero lies within the interval. Moreover, between sessions
 17 assessments were moderately correlated ($r \geq 0.62$), and ICCs were excellent with values ≥ 0.76 for
 18 both sites (Table 3).

19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28 No systematic errors in EIH at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions
 29 were found (ANOVA: $F(1,33) = 1.41$, $P > 0.24$), and between-session test-retest reliability of EIH
 30 at the quadriceps (local EIH) and trapezius (remote EIH) muscles were fair to good with ICCs of
 31 0.45 and 0.57, respectively (Table 3).

36 37 38 39 40 *3.6 Change in PPTs after exercise considered as an EIH responder*

41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000

1 increases in PPT at the trapezius muscle larger than 1xSEM at session 2 and session 3, respectively.
2
3 Eight subjects were classified as EIH responders in both sessions, and seventeen subjects were
4
5 consistently classified as EIH non-responders in both sessions (Table 4; $\kappa = 0.43$ (95% CI: 0.11 to
6
7 0.74), $P = 0.012$).
8
9

10 11 12 **4. DISCUSSION** 13

14
15 As hypothesized, the bicycling exercise significantly increased PPT at the exercising quadriceps
16
17 muscle in both sessions compared with a duration matched quiet rest control condition, however
18
19 contrary to the hypothesis the increase in trapezius PPT was not significantly different after exercise
20
21 and quiet rest. The EIH response at exercising and non-exercising/less active muscles demonstrated
22
23 fair to good between-session test-retest reliability. In addition, the agreement in EIH responders and
24
25 non-responders between sessions was significant. Assessment of PPTs showed excellent within-
26
27 session and between-session test-retest reliability.
28
29
30

31 32 33 *4.1 EIH after bicycling* 34 35

36
37 Bicycling at individual-based lactate threshold work-rate increased PPT at the exercising quadriceps
38
39 muscle, which is in accordance with previous research on local versus remote effects after aerobic
40
41 exercise (Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2018a). However, rather unexpected the bicycling
42
43 exercise did not have specific exercise-related effects on the non-exercising/less active muscle
44
45 compared with quiet rest. These findings indicate that hypoalgesia after the exercise protocol used
46
47 in this study is primarily related to activation of local or segmental pain inhibitory mechanisms, and
48
49 not systemic pain inhibitory mechanisms with widespread anti-nociceptive effects demonstrated for
50
51 other aerobic exercise protocols (Ellingson et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2014; Vaegter et al. 2015;
52
53
54
55
56
57 Vaegter et al. 2018a). Hypoalgesia at the exercising muscle could be related to the Gate Control
58
59
60
61
62

1 Theory (Melzack & Wall 1965), where limb movement during exercise may excite large diameter
2 afferent nerve fibers inhibiting nociceptive. Interestingly, in healthy subjects, passive movements
3 induced hypoalgesia compared with a control condition, indicating a potential role of joint
4 movement or proprioception in EIH (Nielsen et al. 2009). However, if this was the main
5 mechanism, aerobic exercise at a low work-rate would also be expected to produce hypoalgesia in
6 the exercising body parts, which is often not the case in healthy subjects (Vaegter et al. 2014).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Lack of increase in PPT at a remote/non-exercising site after moderate work-rate aerobic
16 exercise as used in this study are in agreement with a previous study demonstrating increases in
17 PPT only after vigorous work-rate exercise (Naugle et al. 2014). The lack of a multisegmental
18 hypoalgesic response after exercise in this study may be related to the relatively low pain intensity
19 experienced during the exercise condition which was nearly half compared with pain intensity
20 experienced during bicycling protocols used previously (Vaegter et al. 2018a). Based on a previous
21 EIH dose-response study (Vaegter et al. 2014), the work-rate of the exact lactate threshold was
22 chosen to ensure that the exercise work-rate was high enough to induce hypoalgesia, but not so high
23 that the influence of a higher peak muscle pain due to lactic acid build up would potentially
24 influence the hypoalgesic response due to CPM effects. Previous studies have demonstrated a link
25 between EIH after aerobic exercise and the ‘pain inhibits pain’ mechanisms. Ellingson and
26 colleagues showed that EIH after bicycling was greater following painful exercise than non-painful
27 exercise (Ellingson et al. 2014), and this hypothesis is further supported by studies in chronic pain
28 patients finding an association between reduced EIH and reduced CPM (Fingleton et al. 2017;
29 Vaegter et al. 2016). In contrast to these findings, a recent EIH study showed a larger EIH response
30 in a session when less pain was experienced during bicycling exercise compared to a session where
31 more intense pain was reported during exercise (Vaegter et al. 2018a). Although the reduced muscle
32 pain rating may be associated with the larger EIH response, thus supporting the hypothesis of
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63

1 shared mechanisms, further research on the mechanisms of EIH is warranted. In addition, as the
2
3 work-rate chosen in this study may have been too low to induce hypoalgesia at the non-
4
5 exercising/less active trapezius muscle, future studies might want to investigate test-retest reliability
6
7 of EIH at e.g. 120% of lactate threshold. It should also be noted that several different incremental
8
9 cycling protocols that can be modified in terms of starting and subsequent work rates, increments
10
11 and duration of each stage have been described for determination of [La-]_b, and it has previously
12
13 been shown that modification of these parameters might influence the physiological variables tested
14
15 including the lactate threshold (Bentley et al. 2007).
16
17
18

19
20 The EIH responses did not differ between women and men, which is in agreement with
21
22 previous studies showing comparable EIH responses in men and women (Hoffman et al 2004;
23
24 Kosek & Lundberg 2003; Vaegter et al. 2015). However, other studies have shown larger effects in
25
26 women (Koltyn et al. 2001; Sternberg et al. 2001), and limitations regarding the gender effects
27
28 should be considered. First of all, this study was not powered to detect potential gender differences
29
30 as it was not the primary aim. Moreover, no data were collected on menstrual cycle or the use of
31
32 contraceptives which may affect pain perception in women (Riley et al. 1999). However, different
33
34 phases of the menstrual cycle do not appear to influence the magnitude of the EIH response in women
35
36 (Hoeger Bement et al. 2009).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *4.2 Reliability of PPT and EIH*

46 Although the results showed some systematic bias for quadriceps in session 3 and for trapezius in
47
48 both sessions, the within-session (before and after rest) and between-session test-retest reliability
49
50 for PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles demonstrated excellent ICC values (>0.75)
51
52 suggesting that PPT is a reliable quantitative method to assess muscle pain sensitivity in humans.
53
54

55 This finding is in accordance with previous PPT reliability studies reporting good to excellent ICC
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 values (Graven-Nielsen et al. 2015; Vaegter et al. 2016; Vaegter et al. 2018a). Moreover, the SEM
2
3 reported for PPTs at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles in this study was smaller than previously
4
5 shown at the same muscles within a similar re-test period of 15 min (Vaegter et al. 2018a),
6
7 indicating that the inclusion of an initial familiarization session reduces the measurement error,
8
9
10 which are in agreement with previous findings (Balaguier et al. 2016). It should be noted that one of
11
12 the main limitations of this study were the non-randomized order between quiet rest and exercise as
13
14 that SEM for the PPTs were determined based on the quiet rest control condition which was
15
16 performed before the bicycling condition in session 2 and session 3. In case, the within-session
17
18 variability in PPTs decreases over time due to a training effect of repeated assessments, the SEM
19
20 could be overestimated. Finally, although not consistently significant some variation was observed in
21
22 PPT at the trapezius muscle with increasing PPT after rest. The fact that the increase in PPT after rest
23
24 was only for the trapezius muscle and not the quadriceps muscle suggests that it was not related to
25
26 contextual factors like anxiety due to the procedures, or distraction during assessment. One
27
28 potential reason could be related to a larger habituation effect at this muscle (compared with the
29
30 quadriceps muscle) which further supports the inclusion of a control condition when investigating
31
32 EIH but also the responder/non-responder approach.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The EIH response produced at the exercising quadriceps muscle after the bicycling exercise
41
42 were comparable between the two sessions, and the between-session test-retest reliability for EIH
43
44 showed a fair to good ICC value. Moreover, the agreement in classification of EIH responders and
45
46 non-responders with 1xSEM of the PPTs as classifier between sessions was significant indicating
47
48 improved reliability of this exercise protocol compared to a previous aerobic exercise protocol
49
50 (Vaegter et al. 2018a).
51
52

53
54 Although the increase in PPT at the non-exercising/less active muscle was comparable
55
56 between bicycling and rest, the reliability of the systemic EIH response was fair to good ICC, and
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 the agreement in classification of EIH responders and non-responders between sessions was
2
3 significant. These findings could indicate that although the aerobic exercise protocol didn't induce
4
5 multisegmental hypoalgesia on a group level, approximately 30% of the included subjects
6
7 consistently had a systemic EIH response across sessions.
8

9
10
11 Despite significant agreement in classification between EIH responders and non-responders
12
13 between sessions 25% of the subjects (n = 9) had discrepancies in their EIH classification between
14
15 sessions. This could be influenced by training effects (e.g., performing the first test serves as
16
17 practice for subsequent tests) as more subjects were classified as EIH responders in session 3 than
18
19 in session 2. Significant differences between session 2 and session 3 in leg pain intensity and ratings
20
21 of exertion during exercise may also influence the results. Interestingly, no systematic errors in EIH
22
23 at the quadriceps and trapezius muscles between sessions were found although RPE and muscle
24
25 pain intensity was significantly reduced in session 3 compared with session 2, suggesting that pain
26
27 during exercise did not play a significant role in the magnitude of the EIH with this exercise
28
29 protocol. In support of this, no significant associations were found between the EIH responses and
30
31 the RPE or muscle pain during exercise. Further standardization of these factors should be
32
33 investigated in future EIH reliability studies. Moreover, although increases in PPTs are observed
34
35 rather consistently after exercises, other aspects of the pain experience (e.g. pain tolerance) may be
36
37 modulated more reliably by exercise, and should be investigated in the future.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 *4.3 Conclusions*

48
49
50 A short bicycling exercise at the individual-based lactate threshold increased PPT at the exercising
51
52 muscle compared with a duration matched control condition. The EIH response showed fair to good
53
54 between-session reliability, and the classification of EIH responders and non-responders between
55
56 sessions were significant. Strict standardization procedures in relation to the exercise protocol seem
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 to increase the reliability of the EIH response compared to previous studies (Vaegter et al. 2018a),
2
3 and this should be considered in future EIH studies. The results have implications for future EIH
4
5 studies in subjects with and without pain and for clinicians who designs exercise programs for pain
6
7 relief. Future research is warranted to investigate the applicability of these findings in a clinical pain
8
9
10
11 population.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

REFERENCES

1. Balaguier R, Madeleine P, Vuillerme N. Is one trial sufficient to obtain excellent pressure pain threshold reliability in the low back of asymptomatic individuals? A test-retest study. *PLoS One* 2016;11(8):e0160866.
2. Bentley DJ, Newell J, Bishop D. Incremental exercise test design and analysis: implications for performance diagnostics in endurance athletes. *Sports Med* 2007;37(7):575-86.
3. Bonaventura JM, Sharpe K, Knight E, Fuller KL, Tanner RK, Gore CJ. Reliability and accuracy of six hand-held blood lactate analysers. *J Sports Sci Med* 2015;14(1):203-14.
4. Buono MJ, Yeager JE. Intraerythrocyte and plasma lactate concentrations during exercise in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1986;55(3):326-9.
5. Coyle EF, Martin WH, Ehsani AA, et al. Blood lactate threshold in some well-trained ischemic heart disease patients. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1983;54(1):18-23.
6. Ellingson LD, Koltyn KF, Kim JS, Cook DB. Does exercise induce hypoalgesia through conditioned pain modulation? *Psychophysiology* 2014;51(3):267-76.
7. Fingleton C, Smart K, Doody C. Exercise-induced Hypoalgesia in People with Knee Osteoarthritis with Normal and Abnormal Conditioned Pain Modulation. *Clin J Pain* 2017;33(5):395-404.
8. Graven-Nielsen T, Vaegter HB, Finocchietti S, Handberg G, Arendt-Nielsen L. Assessment of musculoskeletal pain sensitivity and temporal summation by cuff pressure algometry: A reliability study. *Pain* 2015;156(11):2193-202.
9. Heck H, Mader A, Hess G, Mücke S, Müller R, Hollman W. Justification of the 4-mmol/l lactate threshold. *Int J Sports Med* 1985;6:117-130.

- 1 10. Hoeger Bement MK, Rasiarimos RL, DiCapo JM. The role of the menstrual phase in pain
2 perception before and after an isometric fatiguing contraction. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2009;106(1):105-
3
4
5
6 12.
- 7
8 11. Hoffman MD, Shepanski MA, Ruble SB, Valic Z, Buckwalter JB, Clifford PS. Intensity and
9
10 duration threshold for aerobic exercise-induced analgesia to pressure pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
11
12 2004;85(7):1183-7.
- 13
14 12. Jacobs RA, Rasmussen P, Siebenmann C, et al. Determinants of time trial performance and
15
16 maximal incremental exercise in highly trained endurance athletes. *J Appl Physiol*
17
18 2011;111(5):1422-30.
- 19
20
21 13. Kindermann W, Simon G, Keul J. The significance of the aerobic-anaerobic transition for the
22
23 determination of work load intensities during endurance training. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*
24
25 1979;42(1):25-34.
- 26
27
28 14. Koltyn KF, Trine MR, Stegner AJ, Tobar DA. Effect of isometric exercise on pain perception
29
30 and blood pressure in men and women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33(2):282-90.
- 31
32
33 15. Kosek E, Ekholm J, Hansson P. Modulation of pressure pain thresholds during and following
34
35 isometric contraction in patients with fibromyalgia and in healthy controls. *Pain* 1996;64(3):415-23.
- 36
37
38 16. Kosek E, Lundberg L. Segmental and plurisegmental modulation of pressure pain thresholds
39
40 during static muscle contractions in healthy individuals. *Eur J Pain* 2003;7(3):251-8.
- 41
42
43 17. Lannersten L, Kosek E. Dysfunction of endogenous pain inhibition during exercise with painful
44
45 muscles in patients with shoulder myalgia and fibromyalgia. *Pain* 2010;151(1):77-86.
- 46
47
48 18. Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. *Science* 1965;150(3699):971-9.
- 49
50
51 19. Micalos PS, Marino FE, Kay D. Reduced muscle pain intensity rating during repeated cycling
52
53 trials. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine* 2004;3(2):70-5.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

- 1 20. Naugle KM, Naugle KE, Fillingim RB, Samuels B, Riley JL 3rd. Intensity thresholds for aerobic
2
3 exercise-induced hypoalgesia. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46(4):817-25.
4
5
6 21. Nielsen MM, Mortensen A, Sorensen JK, Simonsen O, Graven-Nielsen T. Reduction of
7
8 experimental muscle pain by passive physiological movements. *Man Ther* 2009;14(1):101-9.
9
10
11 22. Riley JL, 3rd, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Price DD. A meta-analytic review of pain perception
12
13 across the menstrual cycle. *Pain* 1999;81(3):225-35.
14
15
16 23. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull*
17
18 1979;86(2):420-8.
19
20
21 24. Sjodin B, Jacobs I. Onset of blood lactate accumulation and marathon running performance. *Int*
22
23 *J Sports Med* 1981;2(1):23-6.
24
25
26 25. Stegmann H, Kindermann W, Schnabel A. Lactate kinetics and individual anaerobic threshold.
27
28 *Int J Sports Med* 1981;2(3):160-5.
29
30
31 26. Sternberg WF, Bokac C, Kass L, Alboyadjian A, Gracely RH. Sex-dependent components of the
32
33 analgesia produced by athletic competition. *J Pain* 2001;2(1):65-74.
34
35
36 27. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Graven-Nielsen T. Similarities between exercise-induced hypoalgesia
37
38 and conditioned pain modulation in humans. *Pain* 2014;155(1):158-67.
39
40
41 28. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Jorgensen MN, Kinly A, Graven-Nielsen T. Aerobic exercise and
42
43 cold pressor test induce hypoalgesia in active and inactive men and women. *Pain Med*
44
45 2015;16(5):923-33.
46
47
48 29. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Graven-Nielsen T. Hypoalgesia After Exercise and the Cold Pressor
49
50 Test is Reduced in Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain Patients With High Pain Sensitivity. *Clin J Pain*
51
52 2016;32(1):58-69.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62



- 1 30. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Emmeluth C, Graven-Nielsen T. Preoperative Hypoalgesia after Cold
2
3 Pressor Test and Aerobic Exercise is Associated with Pain Relief Six Months after Total Knee
4
5 Replacement. *Clin J Pain* 2017;33(6):475-84.
6
7 31. Vaegter HB, Dorge DB, Schmidt KS, Jensen AH, Graven-Nielsen T. Test-Retest Reliability of
8
9 Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia After Aerobic Exercise. *Pain Med* 2018a. Epub ahead of print.
10
11 32. Vaegter HB, Lyng KD, Yttereng FW, Christensen MH, Sørensen MB, Graven-Nielsen.
12
13 Exercise-induced Hypoalgesia After Isometric Wall Squat Exercise: A Test-Retest Reliability
14
15 Study. *Pain Med* 2018b. Epub ahead of print.
16
17 33. Wasserman K, Whipp BJ, Koyl SN, Beaver WL. Anaerobic threshold and respiratory gas
18
19 exchange during exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1973;35(2):236-43.
20
21 34. Weir JP. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient and the
22
23 SEM. *J Strength Cond Res* 2005;19(1):231-40.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 **Figure Legends**
2
3


4 **Fig. 1:** Illustration of the experimental procedure performed on the three testing days. The pressure
5 pain thresholds (PPT) familiarization procedure and determination of lactate threshold was
6 performed in session 1. PPTs were assessed on two assessment sites (quadriceps and upper
7 trapezius) before and immediately after rest and bicycling in session 2 and session 3.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 **Fig. 2:** Mean (+ SEM, n = 34) change in pressure pain threshold (PPT, kilopascal (kPa)) recorded at
16 the two assessment sites (quadriceps and upper trapezius muscles) after 15 min quiet rest and 15
17 min bicycling in session 2 and session 3. Significantly different compared with rest condition (*, P
18 < 0.05). ‘Quad’: m. quadriceps dominant side. ‘Trap’: upper trapezius muscle non-dominant side.
19
20
21
22

23
24
25
26
27
28 **Fig. 3:** Mean (+/- SEM, n = 34) exercise work-rate (A), heart rate (B), rating of perceived exertion
29 (C), and numerical rating scale (NRS) scores of leg pain intensity (D) assessed during exercise in
30 session 2 and session 3. As exercise work-rate and heart rate was not significantly different between
31 session 2 and session 3 the mean of sessions are presented. Significantly different between women
32 and men (*, P < 0.05). Significantly different compared with the other session (†, P < 0.05).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63



D Rest ■ Bic.ydi lil









Table 1: Pearson’s correlations between absolute and relative change in PPTs after exercise, peak heart rate (HR), peak rating of perceived exertion (RPE), and peak muscle pain intensity (NRS) during exercise in the two sessions. Due to multiple correlational analyses, P-values equal to or less than 0.002 (0.05 / 24) were considered significant. ‘Abs Δ’: Absolute change. ‘Rel Δ’: Relative change. ‘PPT’: Pressure Pain Threshold. ‘Quad’: Quadriceps femoris muscle. ‘Trap’: Upper trapezius muscle.

Variables	Correlation	HR Session 2	RPE Session 2	NRS Session 2	Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 2	Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 2	HR Session 3	RPE Session 3	NRS Session 3	Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 3	Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 3
Abs Δ PPT Quad Session 2	R P-value	0.10 0.57	0.22 0.21	0.11 0.52	0.40 0.018	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Quad Session 2	R P-value	0.12 0.51	0.33 0.058	0.08 0.64	- -	0.48 0.004	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 2	R P-value	0.35 0.04	0.20 0.26	-0.13 0.46	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 2	R P-value	0.39 0.023	0.15 0.39	-0.13 0.48	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Abs Δ PPT Quad Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	-0.2 0.91	0.07 0.71	0.16 0.375	-0.04 0.81	- -
Rel Δ PPT Quad Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.01 0.94	0.20 0.25	0.28 0.11	- -	-0.06 0.75
Abs Δ PPT Trap Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.39 0.023	0.10 0.585	0.12 0.51	- -	- -
Rel Δ PPT Trap Session 3	R P-value	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0.33 0.06	0.17 0.35	0.05 0.79	- -	- -

Table 2: Within-session test-retest reliability for pressure pain threshold at the dominant quadriceps and non-dominant upper trapezius muscles before and after the quiet rest condition

Variable	Before Rest Mean \pm SD (95%CI)	After Rest Mean \pm SD (95%CI)	Within-session difference Mean \pm SD (95%CI)	P- value	Pearson r	ICC (95%CI)	Standard error of measurement
Quad Session 2	466 \pm 135 kPa (418 - 513)	483 \pm 148 kPa (431 - 534)	17 \pm 60 kPa (-4 - 38)	0.105	0.91 P<0.001	0.95 (0.90 - 0.98)	43 kPa
Quad Session 3	513 \pm 174 kPa (453 - 574)	540 \pm 204 kPa (469 - 612)	27 \pm 81 kPa (-1 - 55)	0.059	0.92 P<0.001	0.95 (0.91 - 0.98)	57 kPa
Trap Session 2	263 \pm 84 kPa (233 - 292)	274 \pm 83 kPa (245 - 303)	11 \pm 27 kPa (2 - 21)	0.018	0.95 P<0.001	0.97 (0.95 - 0.99)	19 kPa
Trap Session 3	279 \pm 94 kPa (246 - 311)	296 \pm 96 kPa (263 - 330)	18 \pm 37 kPa (4 - 31)	0.010	0.92 P<0.001	0.96 (0.92 - 0.98)	27 kPa

Table 3: Between-session relative test-retest reliability for baseline pressure pain threshold (PPT) and exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH) assessed at the dominant quadriceps and non-dominant upper trapezius muscles as absolute change in PPT at session 2 and session 3.

Variable	Session 2 Mean ± SD (95%CI)	Session 3 Mean ± SD (95%CI)	Between-session difference Mean ± SD (95%CI)	P- value	Pearson r	ICC (95%CI)
Quadriceps	466±135 kPa (418 - 513)	513±174 kPa (453 - 574)	48±139 kPa (-1 - 96)	0.052	0.62 P<0.001	0.76 (0.51 - 0.88)
Trapezius	263±84 kPa (233 - 292)	278±94 kPa (246 - 311)	16±70 kPa (-8 - 40)	0.188	0.70 P<0.001	0.82 (0.64 - 0.91)
EIH Quadriceps	55±70 kPa (30 - 79)	80±110 kPa (41 - 118)	25±122 kPa (-18 - 68)	0.244	0.29 P=0.09	0.45 (0.1 - 0.72)
EIH Trapezius	10±35 kPa (-2 - 22)	15±29 kPa (5 - 25)	5±36 kPa (-7 - 18)	0.396	0.42 P = 0.015	0.57 (0.1- 0.79)

Table 4: Crosstabulations of the EIH responders and non-responders after 15 min bicycling at session 2 and session 3 at the quadriceps muscle and the trapezius muscle. Responders and non-responders are classified based on the standard error of measurement (SEM) for two repetitive pressure pain threshold (PPT) assessments (before and after 15 min rest). Responders are defined as an increase in PPT after bicycling larger than PPT before bicycling plus 1 SEM.

EIH response at the exercising quadriceps muscle after bicycling		EIH responders in session 2	
		Yes	No
EIH responders in session 1	Yes	15	4
	No	5	10
EIH response at the non-exercising trapezius muscle after bicycling		EIH responders in session 2	
		Yes	No
EIH responders in session 1	Yes	8	4
	No	5	17

Editors in Chief H. Westerblad & K.R. Westerterp
European Journal of Applied Physiology

Odense, May 24th, 2018

Author contribution statement

Authors: Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard, Mia-Maja Redin, Sara Hartung Rasmussen, and Thomas Graven-Nielsen.

All authors contributed to the design of the study, the analysis and interpretation of the data, as well as making intellectual contributions to its content. Henrik Bjarke Vaegter, Louise Kathrine Bjerregaard, Mia-Maja Redin, and Sara Hartung Rasmussen collected all data in the laboratory. All authors approved the final manuscript.