Aalborg Universitet



Optimizing the conditions for hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw for bio-crude oil production using response surface methodology

Zhu, Zhe; Rosendahl, Lasse Aistrup; Toor, Sagib Sohail; Chen, Guanvi

Published in: Science of the Total Environment

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.194

Creative Commons License Unspecified

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Zhu, Z., Rosendahl, L. A., Toor, S. S., & Chen, G. (2018). Optimizing the conditions for hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw for bio-crude oil production using response surface methodology. *Science of the Total* Environment, 630, 560-569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.194

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Optimizing the conditions for hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw for

2	bio-crude o	oil productio	n using resp	oonse surface method	ology

 Zhe Zhu^a, Lasse Rosendahl^b, Saqib Sohail Toor^b, Guanyi Chen^c
 ^aSchool of Environmental Science and Safe Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin, 300384, PR China
 ^bDepartment of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, 9220, Denmark
 ^c School of Environmental Science and Engineering/State Key Laboratory of Engines,

Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, PR China

8 9

10 Abstract:

The present paper examines the conversion of barley straw to bio-crude oil (BO) 11 via hydrothermal liquefaction. Response surface methodology based on central 12 composite design (CCD) was utilized to optimize the conditions of four independent 13 variables including reaction temperature (factor X_1 , 260-340 °C), reaction time (factor 14 X_2 , 5-25 min), catalyst dosage (factor X_3 , 2-18 %) and biomass/water ratio (factor X_4 , 15 9-21 %) for BO yield. It was found that reaction temperature, catalyst dosage and 16 biomass/water ratio had more remarkable influence than reaction time on BO yield by 17 analysis of variance (ANOVA). The predicted BO yield by the second order 18 polynomial model was in good agreement with experimental results. A maximum BO 19 yield of 38.72 wt% was obtained at 304.8 °C, 15.5 min, 11.7 % potassium carbonate 20 21 as catalyst and 18% biomass (based on water). GC/MS analysis revealed that the major BO components included phenols and their derivatives, acids, aromatic 22 hydrocarbon, ketones, N-contained compounds and alcohols, which makes it a 23 24 promising material in the applications of either as a phenol substitute in bio-phenolic 25 resins or bio-fuel.

Key words: Hydrothermal liquefaction, Barley straw, Central composite design,
Response surface methodology

28 **1. Introduction**

Nowadays, issues related to energy security, climate change mitigation, and sustainable development enhanced the overall utilization of renewable energy, which is the world's fast-growing energy source. Among them, bioenergy is the largest

renewable energy source worldwide, the total supply of which accounted for 10.3% of 32 the global energy supply in 2014. (Kummamuru, 2017). Barley straw, an agricultural 33 residue, represents one of the largest lignocellulosic biomass in Denmark. In 2016, 34 almost 2.17 million tons of barley straw was produced. Unfortunately, 34.12% was 35 left on the field and has not been utilized yet. Only 22.56% was converted to energy 36 through combustion and power generation etc., 29.74% was used as fodder (Denmark, 37 2014). Therefore, there is an urgency to find suitable solutions to convert remaining 38 39 straw. One of the effective methods for crops straw utilization is biofuel production through fast pyrolysis (Das and Sarmah, 2015; Hsieh et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017) and 40 hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) (Gollakota et al., 2017; Midgett et al., 2012; Younas 41 et al., 2017), which is anticipated to provide 27% of global transportation fuels by 42 2050. Most importantly, it is estimated that for OECD countries 2.1 Gton of carbon 43 dioxide in the atmosphere can be reduced every year according to such use of biofuels 44 (IEA, 2012). 45

HTL has gained significant interest in recent years, and has been demonstrated to 46 47 be competitive with thermochemical routes such as pyrolysis for converting biomass into biofuels due to feedstock flexibility, high energy and resource efficiency of the 48 process and high output product quality (Patel et al., 2016; Suárez-Iglesias et al., 49 2017). Feedstock flexibility and process efficiency are important factors for the 50 sustainable operation of new biofuel technologies. HTL converts diversified biomass 51 in hot compressed liquid (water/organic solvent) into four different products: 52 bio-crude oil (BO) with higher heating values up to 38 MJ/kg (Toor et al., 2011), 53 aqueous phase containing multiple organic compounds which can be reused in this 54 process (Déniel et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2015a) or utilized for 55 cultivation of microalgae afterwards (Hu et al., 2017), solid residues used for heating 56 or as soil amendment (Yu et al., 2017), as well as gaseous products mainly including 57 CO₂ and H₂. In particular, bio-crude oil, a promising alternative energy source with 58 high energy density, has the potential to be used as a liquid fuel in boilers, engines and 59 turbines or chemical feedstocks (Xiu and Shahbazi, 2012). Therefore, HTL of barley 60 straw with emphasis on bio-crude production was conducted in this study. 61

Production of BO from barley straw using HTL technology has been investigated 62 in our previous studies (Zhu et al., 2015a; Zhu et al., 2015b; Zhu et al., 2014) where 63 64 the single-factor experiments were conducted, and product yield and properties were studied as well. It showed that the maximum BO yield of 34.9 wt% was achieved at 65 300 °C, 10 wt% K₂CO₃ as catalyst, biomass to water ratio of 15% under a fixed 66 retention time of 15 min. In addition, low temperature (<320 °C) and with the addition 67 of K₂CO₃ favor BO yield. Indeed, reaction time and biomass/water ratio influence the 68 69 product distribution and properties as well (Toor et al., 2011). A number of independent factors were discussed, while the interactions between them were not 70 considered. Therefore the conditions need to be further optimized. 71

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a kind of optimal design for regression 72 model, which is a rapid technique for development, improvement and optimizing 73 process, based on the data from experiments conducted at a set of input variables at 74 multiple levels. It allowed established the significance of each parameter and the 75 significant interaction between parameters. Compared with other experimental design 76 77 methods, it has the advantage of optimizing nonlinear systems, providing a more precise computation of the main and interaction effects through regression fitting 78 (Diamond, 1981; Eriksson et al., 1996; Hassan et al., 2017). Thus, this method has 79 already been used to optimize process parameters during thermal conversion of 80 different biomass, such as algae, cotton stalk, palm kernel shell etc. (Chan et al., 2017; 81 Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2013). Li et al. (Li et al., 2017) optimized three operating 82 power, reaction temperature parameters (microwave and time) during 83 microwave-assisted pyrolysis. Chan et al. (Chan et al., 2017) performed optimization 84 study on HTL of palm kernel shell using RSM with central composite rotation design 85 (CCRD) involving four factors (temperature, pressure, reaction time and biomass to 86 water ratio). Similarly, the CCRD was also employed by Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2013) to 87 find the optimization conditions for HTL of macroalgae by three variables 88 (temperature, catalyst and solvent/biomass ratio). Yet, little research has been 89 conducted to investigate the bio-crude oil production from barley straw through HTL 90 91 process.

This paper moves further to a more systematic study on the effects of four 92 experimental variables (reaction temperature, reaction time, catalyst dosage, 93 biomass/water mass ratio) and their interactions on bio-crude oil production based on 94 the RSM experiment. A central composite design (CCD) experimental design was 95 employed and the response surface model was analyzed. Finally, the validity of model 96 was confirmed by conducting numerical examples. More detailed analysis of 97 chemical properties of BO was performed, to provide a guidance for the design of 98 99 utilization of barley straw and the further pilot and industrial scale practice.

100 2. Materials and Methods

101 **2.1 Materials and characterization**

The barley straw was obtained from Denmark. Before experiment, it was 102 grounded into small particles having a size of less than 1.0 mm and then dried 103 overnight at 105 °C for 24 hours. The elemental composition is shown in Table 1. The 104 elemental analysis (CHNS) of biomass was performed with a 2400 Series II CHNS/O 105 Element analyzer (PerkinElmer, USA). The water content was determined by 106 107 calculating the weight loss before and after drying at 105 °C in an oven for at least 12 hours. Higher heating values (HHVs) were measured using C2000 basic Calorimeter 108 (IKA, German). 109

110

 Table 1 Elemental composition of raw biomass

		Elem	ental co	ntent		Ash	Water	
Biomass		(wt ^o	% dry ba	usis)	ппv (MI/kg)	content	content	
	С	Н	Ν	S	O ^a	- (WIJ/Kg)	(wt%)	(wt%)
Barley straw	44.66	6.34	0.46	0.57	47.97	17.38	4.26	6.21

^a By difference

Typically, barley straw in Demark consists of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin
with the content of 46%, 23%, and 15%, respectively (Sander, 1997).

The reagent grade acetone was used as rinsing solvent for product separation, which was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as catalyst.

117 **2.2 Experiment setup**

The experiments were carried out in 10 mL micro reactors which were assembled 118 by Swagelok tubes and fittings. The reactor consists of a 200 mm length of SS316 119 tube (12 mm O.D. with a wall thickness of 2 mm) fitted with a Swagelok cap at one 120 end, and the other end was fitted with a capillary connected to a high pressure sensor. 121 The real-temperature and pressure was transferred to the Matlab program through the 122 data acquisition system. A fluidized baths (SBL-2D type with a TC-9D type 123 temperature controller, Techne calibration) with maximum temperature of 600 °C 124 was employed for heating reactors. Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of micro 125 reactor. Prior to HTL experiments, we did pressure tests with nitrogen to make sure 126 that the reactors were tightly sealed. 127

In a typical experiment, 6 g distilled water and between 0.54 and 1.26 g barley 128 straw (making biomass concentration of 9-21wt% on a dry basis) was placed in the 129 reactor, with a certain quantity of K₂CO₃ (2-18 wt% of biomass) as well. Then the 130 reactor was sealed and purged with N₂ for three times to ensure that no air was 131 132 remained inside. Before experiment, the reactors were pressured to 10 bars with N₂ in case of water boiling during heating. Then they were immersed in sand bath fluidized 133 bed preheated to the set temperature and oscillated up and down which is controlled 134 by VLT 2800 Variable-frequency drive. After the reactor reached to reaction 135 temperature, it was hold at that temperature for the required time (5-25 min). Finally, 136 the reactor was cooled down in cold water bath. For each of these conditions, the 137 experiments were conducted in triplicates. The results herein are mean values, and 138 uncertainties are standard deviations. 139



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of micro reactor

142 **2.3 Separation of reaction products**

The cooled reactors were opened without collecting gaseous products. Since the main attention in this work was paid on the optimization of bio-crude oil production with higher yield and energy contents, gas fraction was thus not collected and analyzed in this work. Similar method was used in the literature (Déniel et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2017; Parsa et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2009).

The liquid product was poured to a beaker and filtered through the Whatman No. 148 5 filter paper to separate the aqueous phase (AP) from solids. Then the reactor, cap 149 and capillary were rinsed with acetone several times to remove any leftover matter 150 including bio-crude oil and solids adhering on them. Afterwards, the mixture 151 containing oil phase, solids and acetone were filtrated and the remaining solids on the 152 filter paper were dried in a furnace at 105 °C for 24 hours and then weighted to 153 determine the solid residues (SR) yield. The acetone and water formed during HTL 154 was removed by a rotary evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor R-210, Switzerland) at a 155 reduced pressure at 60 °C. The dark oil phase left was weighed and referred to as 156 bio-crude oil (BO). The yieldS of BO and SR were determined on a dry basis by Eqs. 157 158 (1-2):

159
$$BO \text{ yield } (wt\%) = \frac{mass \text{ of } BO \text{ obtained } (g)}{mass \text{ of dried barley straw}(g)} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

160 SR yield (wt%) =
$$\frac{mass of SR obtained (g)}{mass of dried barley straw(g)} \times 100$$
 (2)

161 **2.4 Design of experiments**

162 CCD is one of the most commonly used RSM designs for investigating the synergistic effect of different variables on a target parameter. In this study, the 163 experimental design with 4 variables and 3 levels was employed to optimize the HTL 164 of barley straw process conditions using Design Expert 9.0.3 software based on the 165 response value (BO yield) obtained in the experiments. Four variables were reaction 166 temperature (X_1) , reaction time (X_2) , catalyst dosage (X_3) and biomass/water mass 167 168 ratio (X₄). Based on the preliminary single factor experiments(Zhu et al., 2015a; Zhu et al., 2015b; Zhu et al., 2014), the range of each value was chosen in the range of 169 260-340 °C, 5-25 min, 2-18 wt% and 9-21wt% respectively, as shown in Table 2. The 170 design contained a total of 30 experiments, with 16 factorial design, 8 axial points, 171 and 1 center point with 6 replicates to ensure the accuracy of the experiment. Herein, 172 the factorial design was to estimate the curvature for the model. The center point 173 174 offered a method for estimating the experimental errors and testing lack of fit.

The data was analyzed using Design Expert 9.0.3 and Minitab 18 software, optimizing the BO yield in this process. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significance test of the BO yield obtained under different operating conditions were carried out in order to evaluate the quality of the model fitting, while the residual analysis was performed to assess model adequacy. The quadratic polynomial equation was used to study the effects of the linear, square terms and interacting terms of the independent variables, as is shown is Eq. (3).

$$Y = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{i \langle j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$
(3)

where Y is the response function (BO yield), X_1 , X_2 , X_3 and X_4 are the reaction temperature, reaction time, catalyst dosage and biomass/water mass ratio, respectively; a_0 is intercept of model, a_i , a_{ii} , a_{ij} represents the coefficients of linear, quadratic and interaction terms, respectively.

187 188

	X7 · 11	Level of variables							
	Variables	-2	-1	0	1	2			
X_1	reaction temperature (°C)	260	280	300	320	340			
X_2	reaction time (min)	5	10	15	20	25			
X_3	catalyst dosage ^a (wt%)	2	6	10	14	18			
X_4	biomass/water mass ratio (wt%)	9	12	15	18	21			

Table 2Experimental variables and levles

^a based on dry biomass

190 **2.5 Characterization of BO**

191 The elemental composition were determined using a 2400 Series II CHNS/O 192 element analyzer (PerkinElemer, USA). Duplicate analysis of each element was 193 conducted, and the mean value were presented here.

Higher heating values of BO were calculated according to Dulong formula, dueto the fact that the BO collected in micro reactors was not enough for test.

196
$$HHV(MJ/kg) = 0.3383C + 1.422(H - O/8)$$
 (4)

Where C, H, O represents the mass percentage of carbon, hydrogen and oxygencontent, respectively.

The chemical composition of BO was analyzed on CLARUS SQ 8 Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) from PerkinElmer. Before test, the samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 hours, and trimethylsilyl derivatization was applied so as to enhance the volatility of samples. The resulting silylated derivatives were diluted with 2.0 mL of hexane and subjected to a fixed temperature ramping profile: 75 °C (held 2 min) \rightarrow 250 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min (held 10 min). The 205 compounds were identified using NIST 2011 spectrum library.

206 **3. Results and Discussion**

207 **3.1 RSM results and response surface analysis**

208 3.1.1 Model fitting

The experimental conditions and the response value (BO yield) are shown in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, the BO yield varied between 22.12 wt% and 37.64 wt% at different liquefaction conditions. The highest BO yield was obtained at a temperature of 300 °C, 15min, with addition of 10% catalyst and biomass/water ratio of 21%. The fitting quadratic equation for BO yield is determined based on these data,

214 as shown in Eq. (3).

 $Y = 34.9717 + 1.75X_{1} + 0.286667X_{2} + 1.42583X_{3} + 1.65083X_{4} - 0.4125X_{1}X_{2} + 0.815X_{1}X_{3} - 0.9325X_{1}X_{4} - 0.23125X_{2}X_{3} - 0.02625X_{2}X_{4} - 0.25625X_{3}X_{4} - 2.34X_{1}^{2} - 0.29125X_{2}^{2} - 1.5375X_{3}^{2} - 0.53X_{4}^{2}$

215 216

(3)

The ANOVA was performed and the results are shown in Table 4. It was found 217 that the model was highly significant with p-value <0.0001. The lower the p-value, 218 the more significant the factor. Thus, the model was suitable for this experiment. In 219 addition, the p-value of "lack of fit" was 0.0723 (p > 0.05), indicating that lack of fit 220 was insignificant, which implied that the proposed model fit the data well. The 221 quadratic polynomial regression model for BO yield showed that factor of X1, X3, X4, 222 the interaction term of X_1X_3 , X_1X_4 , and quadratic term of X_1^2 , X_3^2 were significant, 223 suggesting that the response was interactive and complicated. Besides, a high 224 coefficient of determination value (R²=0.9262) was obtained, which indicated that the 225 226 model can predict the experimental data effectively.

Table 3CCD matrix, actual product yield and properties of BO

					Elemental composition (wt%)						
Run	reaction temperature(° C)	reaction time(min)	catalyst dosage (wt%)	biomass/water mass ratio (wt%)	BO yield(wt%)	SR yield(wt%)	С	Н	N	0	HHV(MJ/kg)
1	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13	68.25	7.43	0.68	23.64	29.45
2	280	10	6	12	24.13±3.59	21.93±2.94					
3	320	20	14	18	33.99±2.18	18.91±1.21	69.21	7.21	0.71	22.87	29.60
4	320	10	6	12	28.85±1.59	12.75±2.41	67.94	6.89	0.75	24.42	28.44
5	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13					
6	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13					
7	300	5	10	15	32.78±2.56	17.86±1.98	68.08	7.13	0.78	24.01	28.90
8	320	20	6	12	27.88±1.59	15.12±1.85	68.78	7.45	0.73	23.04	29.77
9	300	15	18	15	32.62±1.59	17.27±1.76	67.27	6.84	0.73	25.16	28.01
10	280	10	14	12	26.14±0.85	24.97 ± 2.11					
11	300	15	2	15	27.12±3.02	18.21±3.03	65.46	6.66	0.76	27.12	26.80
12	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13					
13	280	20	6	12	25.14±1.24	23.18 ± 1.64					
14	280	20	14	12	27.73±1.89	23.98 ± 1.97					
15	280	20	14	18	29.97±0.97	24.99±2.43					

16	300	25	10	15	36.93±0.53	19.91±1.54	65.50	7.19	0.72	26.59	27.66
17	320	10	6	18	29.91±1.70	18.17±2.05	66.91	7.63	0.78	24.68	29.10
18	280	10	14	18	31.74±1.63	25.79±2.51					
19	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13					
20	280	10	6	18	29.73±1.74	24.45±1.89					
21	320	20	14	12	31.83±2.17	18.45±2.72	67.98	7.96	0.72	23.34	30.17
22	320	10	14	18	34.24±0.58	18.71±2.68	68.28	7.19	0.69	23.84	29.09
23	300	15	10	9	30.16±0.93	17.38±2.49	67.92	7.53	0.91	23.64	29.48
24	300	15	10	15	34.97±0.79	15.87±3.13					
25	260	15	10	15	22.12±1.48	27.63±1.90					
26	320	10	14	12	33.96±0.94	14.31±2.79	67.36	7.12	0.67	24.85	28.50
27	320	20	6	18	29.25±1.66	18.25±2.84	68.14	7.23	0.75	23.88	29.09
28	340	15	10	15	31.20±1.83	19.12±3.13	69.31	7.33	0.72	22.64	29.85
29	300	15	10	21	37.64±0.69	17.26±2.99	68.83	7.09	0.82	23.26	29.23
30	280	20	6	18	31.49±1.77	28.34±1.09					

Sources	Sum of	Degree of	Mean	F-value	P-value	Remarks	
Sources	squares	freedom	square	1°-value	1 -value	Kennarko	
Model	410.77	14	29.34	13.45	< 0.0001	Significant	
X_1	73.50	1	73.50	33.69	< 0.0001	Significant	
X_2	1.97	1	1.97	0.90	0.3568		
X_3	48.79	1	48.79	22.36	0.0003	Significant	
X_4	65.41	1	65.41	29.98	< 0.0001	Significant	
X_1X_2	2.72	1	2.72	1.25	0.2816		
X_1X_3	10.63	1	10.63	4.87	0.0433	Significant	
X_1X_4	13.91	1	13.91	6.38	0.0233	Significant	
$X_{2}X_{3}$	0.86	1	0.86	0.39	0.5406		
X_2X_4	0.011	1	0.011	5.053E-003	0.9443		
X_3X_4	1.05	1	1.05	0.48	0.4983		
X_{1}^{2}	150.19	1	150.19	68.83	< 0.0001	Significant	
X_2^2	2.23	1	2.23	1.07	0.3181		
X_{3}^{2}	64.84	1	64.84	29.72	< 0.0001	Significant	
X_4^2	7.70	1	7.70	3.53	0.0798		
Residual	32.73	15	2.18				
Lack of fit	29.03	10	2.90	3.92	0.0723	Not significant	
Pure err	or	3.70	5	0.74			
Total		443.50	29				
R^2		0.9262					

Table 4ANOVA of the RSM model for BO yield

229 **3.1.2 Diagnostics and validation of model**

228

To study the appropriateness of the model, the diagnostic plots such as normal 230 plot and predicted vs. actual were developed. Figs. 2-3 illustrate the normal 231 probability and residual plot of model for BO yield. The internally studentized 232 residual is calculated by the division of residual to its standard deviation, which is 233 used to estimate the error varying between points. Typically, each point on normal 234 probability plot should lie approximately in a straight line, thus it can be inferred that 235 the estimated effects are the real (Box and Draper, 2007). As observed in Fig. 2, the 236 plotted data formed a straight line roughly, so the residuals for BO yield fitted normal 237

distribution and the model was proved in good agreement with experimental data. In
addition, the residual plot shown in Fig. 3 revealed that the residual of BO yield had a
random scatter, therefore, no outlier points were detected.



241 242

Fig. 2. Normal probability plot for BO yield.







Fig. 3. Residual vs. predicted values for BO yield.

245 **3.1.3 Response surface plots and optimization**

Three dimensional response surface and contour plots for BO yield based on reaction temperature, reaction time, catalyst dosage, biomass/water mass ratio were plotted in Figs. 4-9. Since there are four factors in this study, each time the other two factors were fixed at their level "0" when plotting two factors. As illustrated in figures, all the curve shape of response surfaces are downwards convex, with a central point in the studied range, indicating that there is a maximum response for BO yield.

252 Fig. 4 shows the effect of reaction temperature and time on BO yield. The rate of the BO yield with temperature was greater than that of time, indicating that BO yield 253 depended more on temperature than on time. This observation was consistent with 254 findings reported in the literature (Chan et al., 2017; Gollakota et al., 2017; Jindal and 255 Jha, 2016). The BO yield increased sharply as reaction temperature increased from 256 280 to 310 °C, which was higher than 35.3 wt% when the temperature was between 257 305 and 309 °C and time was between 15 and 17.3 min. When barley straw was 258 treated at low temperature (at 260 °C in run 25), the bond cleavage among different 259 260 components could not be completely finished, at the same time reactions such as hydrolyzation and depolymerization leading to smaller molecules could not be 261 finished. With increasing in temperature, the dehydration, decarboxylation, 262 dehydroxylation reactions between macromolecules formed by initial reactions 263 occurred and therefore BO containing various organic compounds (phenolics, organic 264 acids, aromatic hydrocarbons) identified in Table 5, SR, gases were formed at 265 relatively higher temperature. Further increase in temperature (>310 °C), the yield of 266 BO gradually decreased, accompanied by an increase in SR yield shown in Table 3. 267 268 The reduction could be explained by the polymerization/condensation of phenols and their derivatives, which were unstable to form solid products at high temperatures, as 269 270 evidenced by the decreased phenolics content in Fig. 10.

The effect of reaction temperature and catalyst dosage on BO yield is shown in Fig. 5. It showed that increase in both two independent variables enhanced the BO yield initially, but then slowly declined. There was an optimum point for temperature at around 308 °C and catalyst dosage of 12.4 %. According to our previous study,

K₂CO₃ employed in a fixed concentration during HTL of barley straw changed 275 reaction pathway where more phenolic compounds were formed in BO (Zhu et al., 276 2015b). Further increase in catalyst dosage at a fixed temperature gave insignificant 277 BO vield owing to the desired reactions 278 influence on such as decomposition/depolymerization were inhibited. Similar finding that optimal BO 279 yield and energy recovery were obtained when a suitable catalyst amount was used 280 during HTL of birch sawdust (Malins, 2017). 281

282 Fig. 6 illustrates the effect of reaction temperature and biomass/water ratio on BO yield. The BO yield increased with increasing temperature when it was below 305 283 °C at a fixed biomass/water ratio. Afterwards a slight decrease in BO yield was 284 observed, which might be due to gasification of oily compounds or 285 polymerization/condensation reactions mentioned above. It is evidenced that 286 biomass-to-solvent ratio strongly affects BO and SR yield. When water was employed 287 in HTL, it serves as both a solvent and hydrogen donor for hydrolyzing the molecules 288 and therefore biomass/water ratio is a key parameter (Anastasakis and Ross, 2011; 289 290 Cao et al., 2017). In Fig. 6, it can be observed that higher BO yield was reached utilizing appropriate biomass/water ratio (14.5-17%). Due to the role of water 291 involved in the depolymerization reaction, much higher biomass/water ratio resulted 292 in lower solubility of small molecular products or intermediates in water, and 293 inhibited the formation of oily products. 294

The effect of reaction time and catalyst dosage on BO yield is depicted in Fig. 7. 295 It can be observed that the effect of time was closely linked to catalyst dosage. More 296 297 specifically, the BO yield showed no remarkable change with lower catalyst dosage 298 (less than 8%). It increased as catalyst dosage increased from 8 to 13.6%, and then became nearly stationary. Maximum BO yield (35.3 wt%) was obtained when the 299 catalyst content was between 11.2% and 12.3% and the time ranged from 15 to 18.3 300 min. Most importantly, when the catalyst dosage was above 10% and reaction time 301 was longer than 15 min, both of two variables had no apparent impact on the BO yield, 302 which was higher than 35 wt%. This implied that too short a reaction time was not 303 enough for the BO formation, while too long may result in the SR formation as shown 304

in Table 3 or gas formation from cracking of liquid products as pointed by Xu et al.(Xu and Etcheverry, 2008).

Fig. 8 shows the effect of reaction time and biomass/water ratio on BO yield. As 307 it is clear from this figure, higher BO yield was obtained at higher biomass/water ratio, 308 which exceeded 35wt% when biomass/water ratio was above 16.2%. A further 309 increase of this ratio caused insignificant changes in BO yield, the reasons of which 310 have already been explained above in Fig. 6. While, the influence of reaction time on 311 BO yield was relatively insignificant compared with the catalyst dosage and 312 biomass/water ratio, as seen from Figs. 7 and 8. Therefore, it provided some guidance 313 for the choice of important parameters in HTL of straw in continuous plant in the 314 future. The effect of catalyst dosage and biomass/water ratio on BO yield is depicted 315 in Fig. 9. It was observed that BO yield increased with biomass/water at a fixed 316 catalyst dosage. This result indicated that the range of biomass/water ratio involved in 317 this study was suitable for depolymerization reaction and BO formation. Higher BO 318 yield appeared when the catalyst content was higher than 8.2% and biomass/water 319 320 ratio was above 15%.



Fig. 4 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function of
temperature (°C) and reaction time (min).



324

Fig. 5 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function of



temperature (°C) and catalyst dosage (%).

328 Fig. 6 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function of

329 temperature (°C) and biomass/water ratio (%).



Fig. 7 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function ofreaction time (min) and catalyst dosage (%).



Fig. 8 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function of reaction time (min) and biomass/water ratio (%).



336

Fig. 9 The response surface (a) and contour plots (b) for BO yield as a function of
catalyst dosage(%) and biomass/water ratio.

The optimum values of the process variables for the maximum BO yield are 339 shown in Table 4. Higher biomass content was chosen for optimal utilization of waste, 340 with a biomass/water ratio of 18.0 applied in optimization process. Confirmatory 341 experiments were carried out three times under the predicted optimal condition in 342 order to verify the predicted optimization result. It showed that the experimental BO 343 yield closely agreed with model prediction value, with the error of 6.25. Therefore, 344 RSM is a powerful tool for optimizing the operational conditions of BO production 345 346 from barley straw.



	Optimum opera	BO yi	Error ^a (%)			
 Reaction	Reaction	Catalyst	Biomass/water	Dradiated	Europimontol	
temperature (°C)	time (min)	dosage (%)	ratio	Predicted	Experimental	6.25
 304.8	15.5	11.7	18.0	36.46	38.72±0.36	

348 ^a Error=(Experimental BO yield –Predicted BO yield)/ Predicted BO yield

349

3.2 Chemical compositions of BO

The elemental composition and HHV of BO are shown in Table 3. Herein BO 350 obtained at 280 °C were not analyzed due to lower amount of BO yield, which is not 351 anticipated in HTL process. According to Table 3, the carbon content was between 352 65.46% and 69.31%. Increasing the temperature led to a higher carbon content, while 353 it showed no remarkable change on the hydrogen content (about 7%). In contrast, a 354 prolonged reaction time or reduced catalyst dosage reduced carbon content in BO, 355 356 which could be considered when optimizing HTL process. The HHV range from 26.80 and 30.17 MJ/kg. 357

BO obtained from barley straw is a very complex mixture. The chromatograms 358 of BO are provided as supplementary material. Table 5 shows the organic compounds 359 360 in BO at different operating conditions, and only the compounds with high content were listed here. Most components in BO were volatilized and detected due to the 361 derivatization before GC/MS analysis. The relative contents of each compound 362 determined by peak areas ratio were listed as well. Distribution of key groups of 363 chemical compounds in BO obtained at different reaction conditions is presented in 364 Fig. 10. As observed, the BO mainly consist of organic acids, phenols and their 365 derivatives, aromatic hydrocarbons, ketones, aldehydes, alcohols and N-contained 366 organic compounds. BO produced at lower temperature (300 °C) had higher phenolic 367 368 compounds, lower long chain carboxylic acids and lower aromatics than that obtained 369 at higher temperature (340 °C), while BO obtained from higher temperature was more complicated compare to lower temperature. 370

371

Peak

RT

Name of compound

 Table 5 Major organic compounds in the BO at different reaction conditions.

	(min)		O-300	O-340
1	3.48	2-Hydroxypropanoic acid	2.95	
2	3.60	1-(3-methylbutyl)-2,3,4,6-tetrame thylbenzene	1.85	
3	4.02	Propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-2-methyl	2.60	
4	4.18	Oenantholacton	1.08	
5	4.59	2,4-Hexadienoic acid, 1-methylethyl ester	1.12	
6	4.78	2-Methoxy phenol	2.19	
7	4.85	2,4-Dimethylphenol	1.58	0.82
8	5.16	Glycerol	1.41	
9	5.18	Phenol, 4-ethyl-2-methoxy-		0.67
10	5.44	1,3-Benzenediol	4.02	2.37
11	5.94	9,10-Anthracenedione, 1,4-dihydroxy-2,3-		1.79
12	5.96	3,5-Dimethylphenol	2.90	
13	5.96	.Alphamethylstilbene		2.09
14	6.01	2,6-Dimethoxyphenol	11.62	3.51
15	6.10	2,4-Dihydroxybutanoic acid	1.43	
16	6.39	Methylhydroquinone	3.58	
17	6.39	Fluorene		4.46
18	6.42	[1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ol, 3,5-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-		1.04
19	6.54	2,6-Dimethoxy-1-hydroxy-phenate butyl	4.07	
20	6.54	Noscapine		2.88
21	6.67	5,8-Dimethoxy-1,4-dimethyl-1,4-dihydro-2,3-quinoxalin		0.97
		edithione		
22	6.70	1-Naphthalenol, 2-[(4-chlorophenyl)azo]	2.32	
23	6.83	2'-Hydroxypropiophenone		1.08
24	6.88	1,3-Dimethyl-6-ethyl-5-[(3-(2-acetamido-3-oxo-3-metho		1.70
		xy)propyl)indol-2-yl]-uracil		
25	6.96	3,4-Dimethoybenzoic acid	2.13	1.59
26	7.08	1,3,5-Benzenetricarboxylic acid, trimethyl ester		0.83
27	7.4	9,10-Anthracenedione, 1,4-diamino-		0.65
28	7.48	(1E)-1-Phenyl-1-hepten-3-ol		1.49
29	7.74	Albomaculine		0.96
30	7.93	Oxazolidine-2,4-dione, 5-[4-(ethylmethylamino)phenyl]-		0.92
31	7.96	Ethanone,1-[4-(4-morpholylbenzylidenami	1.60	
32	7.99	Xylitol	1.11	
33	8.08	5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-3-nitronaphthalen-2-ol-1-carboxylic		0.79
		acid, met		
34	8.21	2,5-Cyclohexadien-1-one,	5.11	
		2,5-dimethyl-4-[(2,4,5-trimethylphenyl)]imi		
35	8.44	1,5-Diphenyl-3-styryl-2-pyrazolin	1.35	
36	8.44	Benzene, (2-methyl-1-propenyl)-		0.79
37	8.52	Tetradecanoic acid		4.26
38	8.53	2H,8H-Benzo[1,2-B:5,4-B']Dipyran-2-one,	5.20	
		5-methoxy-8,8-dimethyl- 10-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-		

39	8.8	Albomaculine		1.50
40	9.49	Hexadecanoic acid	7.28	16.91
41	10.27	Oleic aicd	2.32	4.45
42	10.37	Octadecanoic acid	0.78	1.45
43	10.60	Benzyl ether	0.57	
44	10.90	Cyclopropaneoctanal, 2-octyl-		10.18
45	13.03	Azelaic acid		0.70
46	13.05	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid	1.17	
Total			73.34	70.84



373

Fig. 10 Distribution of key chemical compounds in BO obtained at different
reaction conditions. (left: 300 °C, 15min, 10wt% catalyst and 15% biomass/water
ratio. right: 340 °C, 15min, 10wt% catalyst and 15% biomass/water ratio.)

and their derivatives mainly contained 2,6-dimethoxyphenol, Phenols 377 1,3-benzenediol, methylhydroquinone, 3,5-dimethylphenol, 2-methoxy phenol, 378 2,4-dimethylphenol in BO produced at 300 °C, accounting for 29.96% of detected 379 compounds. Higher phenolic compounds in BO makes it a promising material in the 380 applications of either as a phenol substitute in bio-phenolic resins or bio-fuel. While 381 their contents decreased or even disappeared at 340 °C. Phenol derivatives could be 382 originated from cleavage of ether bonds or C-C linkage in lignin (Jindal and Jha, 383 384 2016), dehydration of furfurals during the degradation of cellulose or dehydrogenation of aldehydes/acids (Nazem and Tavakoli, 2017). At higher 385 temperature, reactions hydrogenolysis, dehydrogenation 386 such as and dehydroaromatization may occur and therefore convert some phenolics to
hydrocarbons (Cheng et al., 2017). This led to the decreased contents of phenolic
compounds together with higher aromatic hydrocarbons content increasing from 1.85%
at 300 °C to 7.34% at 340 °C. The presence of fluorine and benzene,
(2-methyl-1-propenyl)- in BO at 340 °C supported this statement.

Different types of organic acids were also detected in BO, most of which were 392 long chain fatty acids. They mainly consist of hexadecanoic acid, oleic acid and 393 394 octadecanoic acid, all of which increased when raising the temperature. Total organic acids accounted for 29.36% at 340 °C. Short chain fatty acids such as 395 2-hydroxypropanoic acid and propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-2-methyl can only be found 396 in BO at 300 °C. They were formed by the complex hydrolysis and dehydration 397 reactions of the cellulose, hemicellulose and some extractives fraction in barley straw 398 (Sun et al., 2011). BO obtained under this condition may have the potential to be 399 converted into biodiesel. It should be noted that presence of organic acids would have 400 an adverse effect in storage, transportation and catalytic upgrading (Mortensen et al., 401 402 2011).

Cyclic ketones, esters, and alcohols were observed in both BO. They were 403 supposed to be derived from the decomposition of cellulose and hemicellulose 404 components (Huber et al., 2006). As pointed by Chen et al., the ketones could 405 transform between organic acids and alcohols due to their instability under HTL 406 conditions(Chen et al., 2014). Table 5 also showed that BO contained small amounts 407 of N-contained compounds, most probably due to the interaction between hydrolysis 408 products from barley straw to form N-containing ring compounds via Mailard 409 reaction (Kruse et al., 2007). Some of the identified compounds in BO are valuable 410 for the chemical industry, which should be further treated according to its application. 411

412 **4** Conclusions

413 A five-level CCD selected as a RSM for experiment design was employed to 414 optimize the effect of influencing factors on BO production from HTL of barley straw. 415 Four factors including reaction temperature (X_1) ,reaction time (X_2) , catalyst dosage 416 (X_3) and biomass/water ratio (X_4) were investigated. The ANOVA of quadratic model

revealed that BO yield was affected by reaction temperature, catalyst dosage and 417 biomass/water ratio significantly. Besides, the influences of interaction of X_1X_3 and 418 419 X_1X_4 were more significant. The optimum reaction conditions for the BO production were: a temperature of 304.8 °C, a time of 15.5 min, a biomass/water ratio of 18% and 420 a catalyst content of 11.7 %. The maximum BO yield was 38.72 wt% was obtained 421 422 under optimum conditions. The experimental data are in good agreement with predicted values, indicating the accuracy of quadratic model for optimization of HTL 423 424 of barley straw. GC/MS analysis showed that BO mainly contained organic acids, phenols and their derivatives, aromatic hydrocarbons, ketones, aldehydes, alcohols 425 and N-contained organic compounds. The HHV of BO range from 26.80 and 30.17 426

- 427 MJ/kg, which has the potential to be used as a potential source of renewable fuel.
- 428

429 Acknowledgements

430 This research was financially supported by FLEXIfuel (DSF-BENMI grant no

- 431 10-094552). Zhe Zhu thanks the China Scholarship Council for the financial support.
- 432

433 **References**

- Anastasakis K, Ross AB. Hydrothermal liquefaction of the brown macro-alga Laminaria Saccharina:
 Effect of reaction conditions on product distribution and composition. Bioresource
 Technology 2011; 102: 4876-4883.
- Box GEP, Draper NR. Response Surfaces, Mixtures, and Ridge Analyses, 2nd Edition. New Jersey: John
 Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2007.
- 439 Cao L, Zhang C, Chen H, Tsang DCW, Luo G, Zhang S, et al. Hydrothermal liquefaction of agricultural
 440 and forestry wastes: state-of-the-art review and future prospects. Bioresource Technology
 441 2017; 245: 1184-1193.
- Chan YH, Quitain AT, Yusup S, Uemura Y, Sasaki M, Kida T. Optimization of hydrothermal liquefaction of
 palm kernel shell and consideration of supercritical carbon dioxide mediation effect. The
 Journal of Supercritical Fluids 2017.
- Chen W-T, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Yu G, Schideman LC, Zhang P, et al. Hydrothermal liquefaction of
 mixed-culture algal biomass from wastewater treatment system into bio-crude oil.
 Bioresource Technology 2014; 152: 130-139.
- Cheng S, Wei L, Julson J, Kharel PR, Cao Y, Gu Z. Catalytic liquefaction of pine sawdust for biofuel
 development on bifunctional Zn/HZSM-5 catalyst in supercritical ethanol. Journal of
 Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 2017; 126: 257-266.
- 451Déniel M, Haarlemmer G, Roubaud A, Weiss-Hortala E, Fages J. Bio-oil Production from Food452Processing Residues: Improving the Bio-oil Yield and Quality by Aqueous Phase Recycle in

- 453 Hydrothermal Liquefaction of Blackcurrant (Ribes nigrum L.) Pomace. Energy & Fuels 2016;
 454 30: 4895-4904.
- Das O, Sarmah AK. Value added liquid products from waste biomass pyrolysis using pretreatments.
 Science of The Total Environment 2015; 538: 145-151.

457 Denmark S. StatBank Denmark, 2014.

- 458 Diamond WJ. Practical Experiment Design for Engineers and Scientists: Lifetime Learning Publications,
 459 1981.
- 460 Eriksson L, Johansson E, Kettaneh-Wold N, Wikström C, Wold S. Design of Experiments Principles and
 461 Applications. Umeå, Sweden: Umetrics AB, 1996.
- Gollakota ARK, Kishore N, Gu S. A review on hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass. Renewable and
 Sustainable Energy Reviews 2017.
- Hassan SNAM, Ishak MAM, Ismail K. Optimizing the physical parameters to achieve maximum
 products from co-liquefaction using response surface methodology. Fuel 2017; 207: 102-108.
- Hsieh D, Capareda S, Placido J. Batch Pyrolysis of Acid-Treated Rice Straw and Potential Products for
 Energy and Biofuel Production. Waste and Biomass Valorization 2015; 6: 417-424.
- Hu Y, Feng S, Yuan Z, Xu C, Bassi A. Investigation of aqueous phase recycling for improving bio-crude oil
 yield in hydrothermal liquefaction of algae. Bioresource Technology 2017; 239: 151-159.
- Huber GW, Iborra S, Corma A. Synthesis of transportation fuels from biomass: chemistry, catalysts, and
 engineering. Chemical Reviews 2006; 106: 4044-4098.
- 472 IEA. Energy Statistics, 2012.
- Jindal MK, Jha MK. Effect of process parameters on hydrothermal liquefaction of waste furniture
 sawdust for bio-oil production. RSC Advances 2016; 6: 41772-41780.
- 475 Kruse A, Maniam P, Spieler F. Influence of Proteins on the Hydrothermal Gasification and Liquefaction
 476 of Biomass. 2. Model Compounds. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2007; 46:
 477 87-96.
- 478 Kummamuru B. Global bioenergy statistics 2017, 2017.
- Li X, Wang B, Wu S, Kong X, Fang Y, Liu J. Optimizing the Conditions for the Microwave-Assisted
 Pyrolysis of Cotton Stalk for Bio-Oil Production Using Response Surface Methodology. Waste
 and Biomass Valorization 2017; 8: 1361-1369.
- 482 Liu J, Zhuang Y, Li Y, Chen L, Guo J, Li D, et al. Optimizing the conditions for the microwave-assisted
 483 direct liquefaction of Ulva prolifera for bio-oil production using response surface
 484 methodology. Energy 2013; 60: 69-76.
- Malins K. Production of bio-oil via hydrothermal liquefaction of birch sawdust. Energy Conversion and
 Management 2017; 144: 243-251.
- 487 Midgett JS, Stevens BE, Dassey AJ, Spivey JJ, Theegala CS. Assessing Feedstocks and Catalysts for
 488 Production of Bio-Oils from Hydrothermal Liquefaction. Waste and Biomass Valorization 2012;
 489 3: 259-268.
- 490 Mortensen PM, Grunwaldt JD, Jensen PA, Knudsen KG, Jensen AD. A review of catalytic upgrading of
 491 bio-oil to engine fuels. Applied Catalysis A: General 2011; 407: 1-19.
- 492 Nazem MA, Tavakoli O. Bio-oil production from refinery oily sludge using hydrothermal liquefaction
 493 technology. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids 2017; 127: 33-40.
- Parsa M, Jalilzadeh H, Pazoki M, Ghasemzadeh R, Abduli M. Hydrothermal liquefaction of Gracilaria
 gracilis and Cladophora glomerata macro-algae for biocrude production. Bioresource
 Technology 2018; 250: 26-34.

- 497 Patel B, Guo M, Chong C, Sarudin SHM, Hellgardt K. Hydrothermal upgrading of algae paste: Inorganics
 498 and recycling potential in the aqueous phase. Science of The Total Environment 2016; 568:
 499 489-497.
- 500 Sander B. Properties of Danish biofuels and the requirements for power production. Biomass and 501 Bioenergy 1997; 12: 177-183.
- Suárez-Iglesias O, Urrea JL, Oulego P, Collado S, Díaz M. Valuable compounds fromsewage sludge by
 thermal hydrolysis and wet oxidation. A review. Science of the Total Environment 2017;
 584-585: 921-934.
- Sun P, Heng M, Sun S-H, Chen J. Analysis of liquid and solid products from liquefaction of paulownia in
 hot-compressed water. Energy Conversion and Management 2011; 52: 924-933.
- 507 Toor SS, Rosendahl L, Rudolf A. Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: A review of subcritical water 508 technologies. Energy 2011; 36: 2328-2342.
- Xiu S, Shahbazi A. Bio-oil production and upgrading research: A review. Renewable and Sustainable
 Energy Reviews 2012; 16: 4406-4414.
- 511 Xu C, Etcheverry T. Hydro-liquefaction of woody biomass in sub- and super-critical ethanol with 512 iron-based catalysts. Fuel 2008; 87: 335-345.
- 513Xu L, Jiang Y, Wang L. Thermal decomposition of rape straw: Pyrolysis modeling and kinetic study via514particle swarm optimization. Energy Conversion and Management 2017; 146: 124-133.
- Younas R, Hao S, Zhang L, Zhang S. Hydrothermal liquefaction of rice straw with NiO nanocatalyst for
 bio-oil production. Renewable Energy 2017; 113: 532-545.
- 517 Yu KL, Lau BF, Show PL, Ong HC, Ling TC, Chen W-H, et al. Recent developments on algal biochar 518 production and characterization. Bioresource Technology 2017.
- 519 Zhang B, von Keitz M, Valentas K. Thermochemical liquefaction of high-diversity grassland perennials.
 520 Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 2009; 84: 18-24.
- 521 Zhu Z, Rosendahl L, Toor SS, Yu D, Chen G. Hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw to bio-crude oil:
 522 Effects of reaction temperature and aqueous phase recirculation. Applied Energy 2015a; 137:
 523 183-192.
- 524 Zhu Z, Toor S, Rosendahl L, Yu D, Chen G. Influence of alkali catalyst on product yield and properties 525 via hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw. Energy 2015b; 80: 284-292.
- 526 Zhu Z, Toor SS, Rosendahl L, Chen G. Analysis of product distribution and characteristics in
 527 hydrothermal liquefaction of barley straw in sub- and supercritical water. Environmental
 528 Progress & Sustainable Energy 2014; 33: 737-743.