Check for updates

The application of improved signal summing method into the spacecraft force limited vibration test

Hanping Qiu¹, Zhaoyan Liu², Xiaohua Liang³, Zhiyong Yue⁴, Jungang Zhang⁵, Shichao Fan⁶, Yaoqi Feng⁷, Tong Sun⁸

^{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}Beijing Institute of Spacecraft Environment Engineering, Beijing, China
³Shenzhen Aerospace Dongfanghong HIT Satellite Ltd, Shenzhen, China
¹Corresponding author
E-mail: ¹qhpbeijing@163.com, ²liuzhaoyan2011@163.com, ³liang.xiaohua0@gmail.com,
⁴yuezy2004@yahoo.com.cn, ⁵zhangfu445@sohu.com, ⁶fanshichao@tsinghua.org.cn,
⁷yaoqi_feng@sina.com, ⁸594705772@qq.com

Received 9 September 2017; accepted 19 September 2017 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/vp.2017.19163

Abstract. This paper provides an improved signal summing method for the spacecraft force limited vibration test system with eight force transducers. The key point for this method is to change the combination way of the signals coming out of the eight force transducers while the formulas inside the signal conditioning amplifier have been used skillfully. This method had been successfully adopted in the spacecraft force limited vibration test and the accuracy requirements of key force and moment signals have been met. And this method has been proved to be a very powerful tool for providing the critical force and moment data used to determine the force limited profile during the spacecraft dynamic test.

Keywords: spacecraft, signal summing, vibration test, force limited.

1. Introduction

The spacecraft force limited vibration test is implemented by dual control testing where both the interface acceleration and force are controlled during the vibration tests. The force limited vibration test technique has been utilized to provide more realistic vibration test environments for spacecraft and minimize the over-testing problem and differences in flight versus test mounting impedance so that the safety of the spacecraft can be protected [1-3].

During the spacecraft force limited vibration test, several force transducers are usually placed between the spacecraft and fixture to measure the forces and moments which are controlled by the vibration controller. Based on the geometrical information of four force transducers placed rectangularly underneath the spacecraft, the standard force signal conditioning amplifier (Kistler 5080A) available on the market will perform a fast calculation of the six output forces and moments [4-6]. But how to use this amplifier to calculate the major forces and moments for a spacecraft force limited vibration test system with eight force transducers have become a very interestingly technical issue. So, this paper will give a detailed discussion about how to deal with this problem.

2. Standard signal summing method for four force transducers

2.1. Configuration of four force transducers

The force transducers are usually placed between the spacecraft and fixture. Fig. 1 shows the geometrical position of the four force transducers. The coordinate system of these transducers should remain the same. Suppose that the reference frame of the spacecraft is the same as all of the force transducers.

The application of Improved Signal summing method into the spacecraft force limited vibration test. Hanping Qiu, Zhaoyan Liu, Xiaohua Liang, Zhiyong Yue, Jungang Zhang, Shichao Fan, Yaoqi Feng, Tong Sun





Fig. 1. Geometrical position of 4 force transducers

Fig. 2. Geometrical position of 8 force transducers

2.2. Parameters setup and output of force and moment signal

Before the spacecraft force limited vibration test, the cables of the force transducers should be connected to the signal conditioner correctly. The second column of Table 1 shows how to connect the cables of force transducers with the signal conditioner.

Output No.	Original cable connection and output	Actual signal name
Ch01	<i>F</i> _{<i>X</i>1+2}	$\sum F_X$ (1&2)
Ch02	<i>F</i> _{X3+4}	$\sum F_X$ (3&4)
Ch03	<i>F</i> _{Y1+4}	$\sum F_{Y}$ (1&4)
Ch04	<i>F</i> _{Y2+3}	$\sum F_{Y}(2\&3)$
Ch05	F_{Z1}	$\overline{F_Z}(1)$
Ch06	F_{Z2}	$\overline{F_Z}(2)$
Ch07	F_{Z3}	$F_Z(3)$
Ch08	F_{Z4}	$F_Z(4)$
Ch09	$F_X = F_{X1+2} + F_{X3+4}$	$\sum F_X (1 \sim 4)$
Ch10	$F_Y = F_{Y_{1+4}} + F_{Y_{2+3}}$	$\sum F_{Y} (1 \sim 4)$
Ch11	$F_Z = F_{Z_1} + F_{Z_2} + F_{Z_3} + F_{Z_4}$	$\sum F_Z (1 \sim 4)$
Ch12	$M_X = [b \cdot (F_{z1} + F_{z2} - F_{z3} - F_{z4})] \cdot KM_X$	$\sum M_X (1 \sim 4)$
Ch13	$M_Y = [a \cdot (-F_{z1} + F_{z2} + F_{z3} - F_{z4})] \cdot KM_Y$	$\sum \overline{M_Y (1 \sim 4)}$
Ch14	$M_Z = [b \cdot (-F_{X1+2} + F_{X3+4}) + a \cdot (F_{Y1+4} - F_{Y2+3})] \cdot KM_Z$	$\sum M_Z (1 \sim 4)$

Table 1. Output of force signal conditioner for four force transducers

For the first four channels, two force signals coming from two different transducers are combined together and then sent into the input channel of the conditioner. For the last four channels to which the Z direction signal of each transducers are individually connected.

After the work of the cables connection with the signal conditioner finished, the next step is to set the sensitivities of each channel, the force arm (a & b) and the filtering frequency range. The signal conditioner sends out 14 signals including forces and moments which can be used to completely characterize how much the force inflicted onto the whole spacecraft.

3. Improved signal summing method for eight force transducers

3.1. Configuration of eight force transducers

The geometrical position of the eight force transducers usually placed underneath spacecraft are shown by Fig. 2. One group of force transducers including No. 1 to No. 4 is symmetrical with the other group of transducers which includes No. 8 to No. 5 in descending order. The coordinate system of these transducers should remain the same. Suppose the reference frame of the spacecraft is also the same as the force transducers.

3.2. Parameters setup and output of force and moment signal

While performing the force limited vibration test for spacecraft with eight force transducers, the cables should be connected to the signal conditioner correctly. The second column of Table 2 shows how to connect the cables of force transducers with the signal conditioner for longitudinal direction test. The signal conditioner sends out 14 signals including forces and moments among which only 11 force signals are meaningful and can be used.

1 401		
Output No.	Improved cable connection and output	Actual signal name
Ch01	$F_{X1+2+3+4}$	$\sum F_X (1 \sim 4)$
Ch02	$F_{X5+6+7+8}$	$\sum F_X (5 \sim 8)$
Ch03	<i>F</i> _{Y1+2+3+4}	$\sum F_Y (1 \sim 4)$
Ch04	F _{Y5+6+7+8}	$\sum F_Y (5 \sim 8)$
Ch05	<i>F</i> _{Z1+8}	$\sum F_Z (1\&8)$
Ch06	<i>F</i> _{Z2+7}	$\sum F_Z(2\&7)$
Ch07	<i>F</i> _{Z3+6}	$\sum F_Z(3\&6)$
Ch08	<i>F</i> _{Z4+5}	$\sum F_Z (4\&5)$
Ch09	$F_X = F_{X1+2+3+4} + F_{X5+6+7+8}$	$\sum F_X (1 \sim 8)$
Ch10	$F_Y = F_{Y1+2+3+4} + F_{Y5+6+7+8}$	$\sum F_Y (1 \sim 8)$
Ch11	$F_Z = F_{Z1+8} + F_{Z2+7} + F_{Z3+6} + F_{Z4+5}$	$\sum F_Z (1 \sim 8)$
Ch12	$M_X = [b \cdot (F_{Z1+8} + F_{Z2+7} - F_{Z3+6} - F_{Z4+5})] \cdot KM_X$	Meaningless
Ch13	$M_Y = [a \cdot (-F_{Z1+8} + F_{Z2+7} + F_{Z3+6} - F_{Z4+5})] \cdot KM_Y$	Meaningless
Ch14	$M_{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} b \cdot (-F_{X1+2+3+4} + F_{X5+6+7+8}) \\ +a \cdot (F_{Y1+2+3+4} - F_{Y5+6+7+8}) \end{bmatrix} \cdot KM_{Z}$	Meaningless

Table 2. Output of force signal conditioner for longitudinal vibration test (Z)

The second column of Table 3 and Table 4 shows how to connect the cables of force transducers with the signal conditioner for latitudinal direction test. The signal conditioner sends out 14 signals among which only 12 signals are meaningful and can be used.

The application of improved signal summing method into the spacecraft force limited vibration test. Hanping Qiu, Zhaoyan Liu, Xiaohua Liang, Zhiyong Yue, Jungang Zhang, Shichao Fan, Yaoqi Feng, Tong Sun

i abre of output of force signal contactorier for factuation test (X)							
Output No.	Improved cable connection and output	Actual signal name					
Ch01	<i>F</i> _{Z1+8}	$\sum F_Z (1\&8)$					
Ch02	<i>F</i> _{Z4+5}	$\sum F_Z (4\&5)$					
Ch03	<i>F</i> _{Z3+6}	$\sum F_Z(3\&6)$					
Ch04	<i>F</i> _{Z2+7}	$\sum F_Z(2\&7)$					
Ch05	<i>F</i> _{<i>X</i>1+8}	$\sum F_X (1\&8)$					
Ch06	<i>F</i> _{X2+7}	$\sum F_X(2\&7)$					
Ch07	<i>F</i> _{X3+6}	$\sum F_X$ (3&6)					
Ch08	<i>F</i> _{X4+5}	$\sum F_X$ (4&5)					
Ch09	$F_X = F_{Z1+8} + F_{Z4+5}$	$\sum F_Z (1\&4\&5\&8)$					
Ch10	$F_Y = F_{Z3+6} + F_{Z2+7}$	$\sum F_Z (2\&3\&6\&7)$					
Ch11	$F_Z = F_{X1+8} + F_{X2+7} + F_{X3+6} + F_{X4+5}$	$\sum F_X (1 \sim 8)$					
Ch12	$M_{X} = [a2 \cdot (F_{X1+8} + F_{X2+7} - F_{X3+6} - F_{X4+5})] \cdot KM_{X}$	Meaningless					
Ch13	$M_Y = [a1 \cdot (-F_{X1+8} + F_{X2+7} + F_{X3+6} - F_{X4+5})] \cdot KM_Y$	Meaningless					
Ch14	$M_Z = [a2 \cdot (-F_{Z1+8} + F_{Z4+5}) + a1 \cdot (F_{Z3+6} - F_{Z2+7})] \cdot KM_Z$	$\sum M_Y (1 \sim 8)$					

Table 3. Output of force signal conditioner for latitudinal vibration test (*X*)

Table 4. Output of force signal conditioner for latitudinal vibration test (Y)

Output No.	Improved cable connection and output	Actual signal name
Ch01	<i>F</i> _{Z3+2}	$\sum F_Z(2\&3)$
Ch02	<i>F</i> _{Z6+7}	$\sum F_Z (6\&7)$
Ch03	F _{Z5+8}	$\sum F_Z (5\&8)$
Ch04	<i>F</i> _{Z4+1}	$\sum F_Z$ (1&4)
Ch05	F_{Y3+2}	$\sum F_{Y}(2\&3)$
Ch06	F_{Y4+1}	$\sum F_{Y}(1\&4)$
Ch07	F _{Y5+8}	$\sum F_{Y}(5\&8)$
Ch08	<i>F</i> _{Y6+7}	$\sum F_Y$ (6&7)
Ch09	$F_X = F_{Z3+2} + F_{Z6+7}$	$\sum F_Z$ (2&3&6&7)
Ch10	$F_Y = F_{Z5+8} + F_{Z4+1}$	$\sum F_Z$ (1&4&5&8)
Ch11	$F_Z = F_{Y3+2} + F_{Y4+1} + F_{Y5+8} + F_{Y6+7}$	$\sum F_{Y} (1 \sim 8)$
Ch12	$M_X = [b2 \cdot (F_{Y3+2} + F_{Y4+1} - F_{Y5+8} - F_{Y6+7})] \cdot KM_X$	Meaningless
Ch13	$M_Y = [b1 \cdot (-F_{Y3+2} + F_{Y4+1} + F_{Y5+8} - F_{Y6+7})] \cdot KM_Y$	Meaningless
Ch14	$M_Z = [b2 \cdot (-F_{Z3+2} + F_{Z6+7}) + b1 \cdot (F_{Z5+8} - F_{Z4+1})] \cdot KM_Z$	$\sum M_X (1 \sim 8)$

4. Test setup and validation

4.1. System introduction

It is shown in Fig. 3 that the force measuring device consists of eight force transducers and a pair of aluminum rings. The 3-component force transducers are mounted between the upper and lower rings to measure both tensile and compression forces in three orthogonal directions. The weight for the spacecraft and the force measuring device is around 5345 kg and 195 kg respectively. The centre of gravity of the spacecraft is about 1.6 m high above the middle surface of the force measuring device. The relations of the coordinate frame for the spacecraft and force transducers are shown by Table 5.

No.	The Coordinate system for the spacecraft	The coordinate system for the force transducers
1	X (longitudinal)	+Z
2	Y (latitudinal)	+X
3	Z (latitudinal)	-Y

Table 5. The coordinate relation between the spacecraft and force transp



Fig. 3. The force measuring device mounted between spacecraft and fixture

4.2. The force limited vibration test for the spacecraft

In order to verify the correctness of the improved force summing method, both a low level sine test and a high level test for the spacecraft have been performed. The level for the low level test is 0.05 g while the maximum level for high level test of longitudinal and latitudinal direction is 0.4 g and 0.6 g respectively. The three orthogonal summing forces of the whole spacecraft for low level test at X direction are shown in Fig. 4. The major force and moment of the whole spacecraft for latitudinal direction test (Y for example) are shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 4. The summing signals for low level test (*X*)

Fig. 5. The summing signals for high level test (Y)

4.3. Test result analysis

The accuracy of the improved force summing method for the spacecraft force limited test at 5 Hz are shown in Table 6-7. From the data inside the table we can see that the force summing signal error is basically within 10 % compared the computational value to the actual measurement value coming out of the force transducers except for the moment M_Z of the Y direction during the high level test. This force summing method basically meets the accuracy requirements of the force limited test. It can be concluded that employing the improved force summing method, the problem of how to obtain the key summing forces and moments for the complicated spacecraft force limited system with eight force transducers has been resolved.

, of the accuracy of the improved force summing method for the low level							
	F_X	F_Y	M_Z	F_Z	M_Y		
Theory computation	2713.6	2713.6	4341.8	2713.6	4341.8		
Actual measuring	2857.6	2944.4	4764.3	2924.2	4634.5		
Error	5.3 %	8.5 %	9.7 %	7.8 %	6.7 %		

 Table 6. The accuracy of the improved force summing method for the low level test

fable 7. The accurac	y of the imp	proved force	summing met	thod for the high	1 level test
----------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	-------------------	--------------

	F_X	F_Y	M_Z	F_Z	M_{Y}
Theory computation	8466.5	12645.5	20232.8	12645.5	20232.8
Actual measuring	9000	13804.0	22542.0	13836.0	22233.0
Error	6.3 %	9.2 %	11.4 %	9.4 %	9.8 %

5. Conclusions

This paper discusses an improved force summing method for the spacecraft force limited vibration test system with eight force transducers. The key point for this method is to change the combination way of the charges coming out of the eight force sensors while the formulas inside the signal conditioner have been used skillfully. From the test data, it can be concluded that this method is a very powerful tool for providing the critical force and moment data used to characterize the dynamic behavior of the spacecraft during the force limited vibration test.

References

- [1] NASA-HDBK-7004B, Force Limit Vibration Testing, 2003.
- [2] Scharton T. D. Force Limited Vibration Testing Monograph, NASA-RP-1403, May, 1997
- [3] Rice C. E., Buehrle R. D. Validation of Force Limited Vibration Testing at NASA Langly Research Center. NASA/TM-2003-212404, 2003.
- [4] Zhang Jungang, Pang Hewei The force limited control technique in vibration test. Spacecraft Environment Engineering, Vol. 22, Issue 5, 2005, p. 253-256.
- [5] Yue Z., Zhang J., Feng Y., Wang J. The study of force specification in spacecraft force limited vibration testing. Proceedings of ISMA2014, Leuven, Belgium, 2014, p. 695-704.
- [6] Yue Zhiyong, Zhang Jungang Feng Yaoqi The study of force limits specification in spacecraft force limited vibration test. Spacecraft Environment Engineering, Vol. 27, Issue 3, 2010, p. 332-335.