Smarandache's function applied to perfect numbers

Sebastián Martín Ruiz

5 August 1998

Smarandache's function may be defined as follows:

S(n) = the smallest positive integer such that S(n)! is divisible by n. [1] In this article we are going to see that the value this function takes when n is a perfect number of the form $n = 2^{k-1} \cdot (2^k - 1)$, $p = 2^k - 1$ being a prime number.

Lemma 1 Let $n = 2^i \cdot p$ when p is an odd prime number and i an integer such that:

$$0 \le i \le E(\frac{p}{2}) + E(\frac{p}{2^2}) + E(\frac{p}{2^3}) + \dots + E(\frac{p}{2^{E(\log_2 p)}}) = e_2(p!)$$

Where $e_2(p!)$ is the exponent of 2 in the prime number descomposition of p!. E(x) is the greatest integer less than or equal to x. One has that S(n) = p.

Demonstration:

Given that $gcd(2^{i}, p) = 1$ (gcd =greatest common divisor) one has that $S(n) = max\{s(2^{i}), S(p)\} \ge S(p) = p$. Therefore $S(n) \ge p$.

If we prove that p! is divisible by n then one would have the equality.

$$p! = p_1^{e_{p_1}(p!)} \cdot p_2^{e_{p_2}(p!)} \cdots p_s^{e_{p_s}(p!)}$$

where p_i is the i-th prime of the prime number descomposition of p!. It is clear that $p_1 = 2$, $p_s = p$, $e_{p_s}(p!) = 1$ for which:

$$p! = 2^{e_2(p!)} \cdot p_2^{e_{p_2}(p!)} \cdots p_{s-1}^{e_{p_{s-1}}(p!)} \cdot p$$

From where one can deduce that:

$$\frac{p!}{n} = 2^{e_2(p!)-i} \cdot p_2^{e_{p_2}(p!)} \cdots p_{s-1}^{e_{p_{s-1}}(p!)}$$

is a positive integer since $e_2(p!) - i \ge 0$.

Therefore one has that S(n) = p

Proposition 1 If n a perfec number of the form $n = 2^{k-1} \cdot (2^k - 1)$ with k a positive integer, $2^k - 1 = p$ prime, one has that S(n) = p.

Demonstration:

If we can prove that

For the Lemma it is sufficient to prove that $k-1 \leq e_2(p!)$.

$$k - 1 \le 2^{k - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}$$

we will have proof of the proposition since:

$$k-1 \le 2^{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2^k - 1}{2} = \frac{p}{2}$$

As k-1 is an integer one has that $k - 1 \le E(\frac{p}{2}) \le e_2(p!)$

Proving (1) is the same as proving $k \le 2^{k-1} + \frac{1}{2}$ at the same time, since k is integer, is equivalent to proving $k \le 2^{k-1}$ (2).

In order to prove (2) we may consider the function: $f(x) = 2^{x-1} - x$ $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This function may be derived and its derivate is $f'(x) = 2^{x-1} ln \ 2 - 1$.

f will be increasing when $2^{x-1}\ln 2 - 1 > 0$ resolving x:

$$x > 1 - \frac{\ln(\ln 2)}{\ln 2} \simeq 1'5287$$

In particular f will be increasing $\forall x \geq 2$.

Therefore $\forall \ x \ge 2$ $f(x) \ge f(2) = 0$ that is to say $2^{x-1} - x \ge 0$ $\forall \ x \ge 2$ therefore

$$2^{k-1} \ge k \quad \forall \ k \ge 2 \quad integer$$

and thus is proved the proposition.

EXAMPLES:

| $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ | S(6) = 3 |
|------------------------|---------------|
| $28 = 2^2 \cdot 7$ | S(28) = 7 |
| $496 = 2^4 \cdot 31$ | S(496) = 31 |
| $8128 = 2^6 \cdot 127$ | S(8128) = 127 |

References:

[1] C. Dumitrescu and R. Müller: To Enjoy is a Permanent Component of Mathematics. SMARANDACHE NOTIONS JOURNAL Vol. 9, No. 1-2,(1998) pp 21-26.

Author:

Sebastián Martín Ruiz. Avda, de Regla 43. CHIPIONA (CADIZ) 11550 SPAIN.