## THE ALMOST PRESUMABLE MAXIMALITY OF SOME TOPOLOGICAL LEMMA

Florian Munteanu, Octavian Mustafa<sup>†</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova 13, Al. I. Cuza st., Craiova 1100, Romania

## Abstract

Some splitting lemma of topological nature provides fundamental information when dealing with dynamics (see [1], pg.79). Because the set involved, namely  $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_s$ , is neither open nor closed, a natural question arise: can this set be modified in order to obtain aditional data? Unfortunately, the answer is negative.

For a metric space X which is locally connected and locally compact and for some continuous mapping  $f:X\to X$ , the set  $\omega$ -set of each element x of X is given by the formula

$$\omega(x) = \left\{ y \in X | y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f^{k_n}(x), \lim_{n \to +\infty} k_n = +\infty \right\}.$$

We also denote by  $\omega_j(x)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the set

$$\omega_j(x) = \left\{ y \in X | y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f^{m_n \cdot r + j}(x), \lim_{n \to +\infty} m_n = +\infty \right\}.$$

Now,  $\omega(x)$  can be splitted according to the following lemma.

Lemma 1 a) 
$$\omega(x) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} \omega_j(x)$$
;  
b)  $f(\omega_j(x)) \subset \omega_{(j+1) \bmod r}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail address: munteanufm@hotmail.com

ε-mail address: Octawian@mail.yahoo.com

Its proof relies upon the properties of  $\omega(x)$ .

Lemma 2 For some nonvoid subset S of X we consider C a component of  $X \setminus S$ . i.e. a maximal connected set (see [2], pg. 54). Then: a)  $\overline{C}^X \subset C \cup (S \cap \partial^X S)$ :

b) 
$$\partial^X C \subset (C \cap \partial^X C) \cup (S \cap \partial^X S)$$
,

where  $\overline{C}^X$  signifies the closure of C under the topology of X while  $\partial^X C$  is the boundary of C under the same topology.

Remark 1 For instance, if S is closed, then  $\partial^X C \subset \partial^X S$  as the components of a locally connected space are open.

**Proof.** a) First, let's show that  $\overline{C}^X \subset C \cup S$ . For  $x \in X \setminus (C \cup S) = (X \setminus S) \setminus C$ , as C is closed in  $X \setminus S$ , there will be some open  $G \subset X$  such that

$$x \in G \cap (X \setminus S) \subset X \setminus (C \cup S).$$

Obviously,

$$[G\cap (X\setminus S)]\cap C=G\cap C=\emptyset$$

and so

$$x \notin \overline{C}^X$$
.

Further on, let's assume that  $x \in \overline{C}^X \cap S$ . If  $x \in X \setminus \partial^X S$ , then  $x \notin \overline{X \setminus S}^X$ . There will be some open  $W \subset X$  such that

$$x \in W ; W \cap \overline{X \setminus S}^X = \emptyset.$$

In particular,  $W \cap C = \emptyset$  and so  $x \notin \overline{C}^X$ .

b) According to a), we have:

$$\overline{C}^{X} \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^{X} = \partial^{X} C \subset \left(C \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^{X}\right) \cup \left[\left(S \cap \partial^{X} S\right) \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^{X}\right]$$
$$= \left(C \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^{X}\right) \cup \left(S \cap \partial^{X} S\right)$$

because of  $S \cap \partial^X S \subset S \subset X \setminus C$  . Obviously,

$$C \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^X = \left(C \cap \overline{X \setminus C}^X\right) \cap \overline{C}^X = C \cap \partial^X C.$$

Remark 2 It worths noticing that the sets  $(C \cap \partial^X C)$  and  $(S \cap \partial^X S)$  are disjoint; in other words,  $\partial^X C$  is piecewise-made. Lemma 2 works equally well in any topological space.

**Lemma 3** (Melbourne, Dellnitz, Golubitsky) For some nonvoid subset S of X, we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_s$  the union

$$\mathcal{P}s(f) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (f^n)^{-1} (S)$$

Let x be some element of S. Then either  $\omega(x)\subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_s^X$  or the following are valid:

a)  $\omega(x)\backslash \mathcal{P}_s$  is covered by finitely many (connected) components  $C_0,\ldots,C_{r-1}$  of  $X\setminus \mathcal{P}_s$ ;

b) These components can be ordered so that

 $f(C_i) \subset C_{(i+1) \mod \tau};$ 

 $c) \ \omega(x) \subset \overline{C}_0^X \cup \ldots \cup \overline{C}_{r-1}^X$ 

Remark 3 Notice the splitting in relation with lemma 1. As we mentioned in the Abstract, it is quite natural to ask if  $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_s$  can be replaced by the easier-to-work-with  $X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{P}}_s$ . The following lemma shows that this would imply no more the presence of finitely many components.

Lemma 4 Let S be some nonvoid subset of X which is not dense in X, i.e.  $\overline{S}^X \neq X$ . We consider C a component of  $X \setminus \overline{S}^X$  and D a component of  $X \setminus S$  such that  $C \subset D$ . Then any element x of  $D \setminus C$  belongs either to  $\partial^X S$  or to any other component of  $X \setminus \overline{S}^X$ .

**Proof.** If  $x \notin X \setminus \overline{S}$  then  $x \in (X \setminus S) \cap \overline{S}^X \subset \partial^X S$ .  $\blacksquare$  An example would be appropriate: in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{D}(0,r)$  the r-disk centered in 0. Now, for  $X = \overline{\mathcal{D}(0,2)}^{\mathbf{R}^2}$ ,  $S = \mathcal{D}(0,1) \cup (1,2] \cup [-2,-1)$ , we have

$$\overline{S}^{\mathbf{R}^2} = \overline{\mathcal{D}(0,1)}^{\mathbf{R}^2} \cup [1,2] \cup [-2,-1]$$

$$D = X \setminus S, \ C \in \left\{ \left( X \setminus \overline{S}^{\mathbf{R}^2} \right) \cap (y > 0), \left( X \setminus \overline{S}^{\mathbf{R}^2} \right) \cap (y < 0) \right\}.$$

Further exemples can be architectured easily even to obtain infinitely many components of  $X\setminus \overline{S}^X$  .

In other words, finitely many components of  $X\setminus S$  may include infinitely many components of  $X\setminus \overline{S}^X$  .

## References

- I. Melbourne, M. Dellnitz, M. Golubitsky, The structure of symmetric attractors, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 123, pp. 75-98, 1993
- [2] J. L. Kelley, General topology, D. Von Nostrand Comp., 1955