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#### Abstract

Carotid plaque surface irregularity and ulcerations play an important role in the risk of ischemic stroke. Ulcerated or fissured plaque, characterized by irregular surface morphology, exposes thrombogenic materials to the bloodstream, possibly leading to life- or brain-threatening thrombosis and embolization. Therefore, the quantification of plaque surface irregularity is important to identify high-risk plaques that would likely lead to vascular events. Although a number of studies have characterized plaque surface irregularity using subjective classification schemes with two or more categories, only a few have quantified surface irregularity using an objective and continuous quantity, such as Gaussian or mean curvature. In this work, our goal was to use both Gaussian and mean curvatures for identifying ulcers from 3D carotid ultrasound (US) images of human subjects. Before performing experiments using patient data, we verified the numerical accuracy of the surface curvature computation method using discrete spheres and tori with different sampling intervals. We also showed that three ulcers of the vascular phantom with $2 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 4 mm diameters were associated with high Gaussian and mean curvatures, and thus, were easily detected. Finally, we demonstrated the application of the proposed method for detecting ulcers on luminal surfaces, which were segmented from the 3D US images acquired for two human subjects.


(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

## 1. Introduction

Stroke is the most common serious neurological problem globally and the third leading cause of death among North American adults (Thom et al 2006, The Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada 2003). The direct and indirect costs of stroke alone are estimated to be $\$ 2.8$ billon year ${ }^{-1}$ in Canada and $\$ 51$ billon year ${ }^{-1}$ in the USA (Thom et al 2006, The Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada 2000, The Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada 2003). Clearly, stroke represents a staggering mortality, morbidity and economic cost. Improved methods for identifying patients at increased risk of stroke and better techniques for treating and monitoring them will have an enormous impact.

About $85 \%$ of strokes are ischemic, mostly caused by the blockage of a cerebral artery by a thrombotic embolus. Atherosclerosis at the carotid bifurcation is a major cause for the generation of thrombosis and subsequent cerebral emboli (Golledge et al 2000). Improved identification of patients who are at risk of stroke, new strategies for treating atherosclerosis and sensitive techniques for monitoring carotid plaque in response to therapy will have a great impact on the management of these patients, and decrease the risk of stroke.

Degree of stenosis has been used as the conventional clinical measure of severity of atherosclerosis in the carotid arteries. However, the degree of stenosis alone is not a sufficiently sensitive and specific marker of the risk of stroke. The NASCET results showed that $74 \%$ of the patients with severe stenosis ( $\geqslant 70 \%$ ) do not suffer stroke within two years (Barnett 1992), and ECST results showed that $10 \%$ of symptomatic patients with low-grade stenosis ( $17-50 \%$ by NASCET) suffer ipsilateral stroke within four years (Warlow 1991). Although the risk of stroke increases with the severity of carotid stenosis (NASCET Collaborators 1991, Warlow 1991), it is generally accepted that the risk of major vascular events is more related to the stability of plaque than to carotid stenosis (Eliasziw et al 1994, Streifler et al 1994, Spence et al 2005, Fisher et al 2005). Ulcerated or fissured plaque, characterized by irregular surface morphology, exposes thrombogenic materials to the bloodstream, possibly leading to life- or brain-threatening thrombosis and embolization (Sztajzel 2005, Fisher et al 2005, Rothwell et al 2000, Sitzer et al 1995). Therefore, the ability to characterize and quantify plaque surface irregularity may improve the efficacy of stroke prevention by carotid endarterectomy (Fisher et al 2005).

Several investigations using x-ray angiography analysed plaque ulcerations by classifying them into two or three categories qualitatively and subjectively (e.g., ulcerated/irregular, no ulceration/smooth and uncertain), and then correlated these classifications with occurrence of vascular events (Eliasziw et al 1994, Streifler et al 1994, Rothwell et al 2000). Other investigations have used ultrasound imaging for identifying plaque ulcerations (Steinke et al 1992, Sitzer et al 1996, Schminke et al 2000, Sztajzel 2005). In these studies, plaque ulcerations were defined as recesses with a certain depth (e.g., 2 mm in Schminke et al (2000), Sztajzel (2005)), and with a well-defined back wall at the base exhibiting an area of reverse flow as shown in power Doppler imaging. Miskolczi et al (1996) gave a more specific definition of plaque ulceration in their study using intravascular ultrasound. They also set the lower limit of the orifice diameter and depth of an ulceration to 0.5 mm , which they measured for each suspected ulceration from the intravascular ultrasound image. However, the above studies did not further quantify the degree of surface irregularity of the detected ulcerations.

Mean and Gaussian curvatures are two local geometric descriptors of surface 'roughness' in classical differential geometry. Differential geometric theories for continuous surfaces are well established (do Carmo 1976). However, there is no consensus on the best method for estimating surface curvatures on discrete surfaces, although the triangular mesh representation of surfaces is extensively used in the computer graphics community (Meyer et al 2003).

Previous investigations using 3D ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging techniques have reported quantification techniques for plaque surface morphology. Fenster et al (2004) reconstructed the segmented contours of plaque into a 3D surface mesh. They then calculated the local Gaussian curvature at each vertex and averaged the curvature values within a 1 mm radius of each vertex on the mesh. The results presented in Fenster et al (2004) are, however, preliminary and have not been validated. Han et al (2001) have computed the Gaussian curvature of the lumen surface that they reconstructed from contiguous contours segmented from MR images. The Gaussian curvature of each vertex on the mesh was computed using the method introduced in Besl and Jain (1986). However, the Gaussian curvature computation method by Besl and Jain (1986) ignored the surface partitioning issue, which is essential to establish a tight error bound for curvature estimation. In addition, a partitioning scheme without region overlapping is essential for the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, an important geometrical property, to be satisfied (Meyer et al 2003).

The goal of this paper is to identify ulcers from in vivo 3D US images based on the mean and Gaussian curvatures computed using the method proposed by Meyer et al (2003). Before achieving this goal, we demonstrate the accuracy of the surface curvature computation algorithm using synthetic surfaces and the practicality of the algorithm in detecting ulcers using a vascular phantom.

## 2. Methods

In this section, we describe the surface curvature computation algorithm, introduce three types of surface data that were used to validate the algorithm and describe how these data were obtained. The three types of surfaces are: (1) synthetic spherical and toridal surfaces, (2) surfaces constructed by segmenting a 3D US image of a vascular phantom with ulcermimicking hemispheres and (3) surfaces constructed from 3D US images of human subjects. The Gaussian and mean curvatures of the synthetic surfaces are known. The purpose of computing the curvatures of these surfaces was to verify the numerical accuracy of the algorithm. The purpose of computing the curvatures of the vascular phantom was to show that ulcers have higher mean and Gaussian curvatures, and thus, curvatures can be used as a feature to assist the detection of ulcers. Finally, we compute the surface curvatures for carotid lumen of human subjects to demonstrate that the purposed algorithm can be used to detect ulcers from 3D carotid ultrasound images.

### 2.1. Vascular phantom with ulcerations

To simulate the carotid vessel, a vascular phantom was constructed and filled with a heated $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ agar mixture consisting of the following (by \% mass): $3 \%$ agar (A-7002, Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON, Canada), $8 \%$ glycerol, $86 \%$ distilled water, $3 \%$ Sigmacell $^{\circledR}$ (S-5504, SigmaAldrich). Sigmacell ${ }^{\circledR}$ is a product consisting of $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cellulose particles added as scatterers (Rickey et al 1995). Hemispheres made of acetal with diameters of $2 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 4 mm (McMaster-Carr, Aurora, OH ) were glued on a brass cylinder with 10 mm diameter. The distance between the centres of the 2 mm and 3 mm hemispheres was 11 mm , and the distance between the centres of the 3 mm and 4 mm hemispheres was 14 mm (figure 1(a)). The brass cylinder was composed of two longitudinal halves, which were inserted into a box to create a vascular channel that was 15 mm below the surface of the phantom. The agar mixture was then poured into the vascular phantom box and allowed to cool for $30-40 \mathrm{~min}$ until the agar mixture solidified. Then, the brass cylinder was removed. To avoid damaging the phantom,


Figure 1. 3D ultrasound image of the phantom. (a) The longitudinal view of the phantom with the $2 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 4 mm ulcers labelled. (b) The same longitudinal view as shown in (a), but with the segmented luminal surface superimposed on the image. (c) The transverse view of the 4 mm ulcer.
the bottom half of the cylinder with no sphere was removed first. Then, the top half was detached from the phantom, before it was slid out.

### 2.2. Image acquisition for the vascular phantom

The 3D carotid US imaging system was described elsewhere (Fenster et al 2001) and is briefly summarized here. The images of the phantom were acquired by translating an ultrasound transducer (L7-4, ATL-Philips) over the surface of the phantom along the longitudinal axis of the vessel, with the three synthetic ulcers facing the transducer. The US probe was held by a mechanical assembly, and the transducer angle was fixed to be perpendicular to the phantom surface and the longitudinal axis of the vessel. The video frames from the US machine (HDI5000, ATL-Philips) were digitized, saved to a computer workstation and reconstructed into a 3D image (Fenster et al 2001, Fenster et al 2004, Landry and Fenster 2002, Landry et al 2005). The acquired 2D images were parallel to each other with a pixel size of $0.13 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ 0.13 mm . The mean spatial interval between adjacent 2D images was of 0.3 mm .

### 2.3. Study subjects and image acquisition

We used the 3D US images acquired for two subjects to demonstrate the application of our algorithm. These subjects, who participated in a clinical study focusing on the effect of atorvastatin, were asymptomatic with carotid stenosis $>60 \%$ according to carotid Doppler flow velocities (Ainsworth et al 2005). Before conducting our study, we obtained consent from each of the subjects regarding the study's protocol, which was approved by the University of Western Ontario's standing board of human research ethics.

The 3D US images were acquired by translating an ultrasound transducer (L12-5, ATLPhilips) along the neck of the subjects for approximately 4.0 cm , which takes approximately 8 s . The transducer angle was fixed to be perpendicular to the skin and the direction of the scan for all patient scans. The US machine and the image pixel sizes were the same as those used for the phantom image acquisition.

### 2.4. Carotid segmentation and surface reconstruction

The vascular phantom was segmented using the semi-automatic segmentation algorithm developed by Ladak et al (2000) based on the discrete dynamic contour (DDC) model proposed by Lobregt and Viergever (1995). A transverse 2D image at approximately 8 mm away from the centre of the 2 mm hemisphere (i.e., 19 mm and 33 mm from the centres of 3 mm and 4 mm hemispheres, respectively) was chosen as the initial 2D image, and a single observer initialized four points, from which the boundary of the phantom was determined using a cubic-spline interpolation technique (Ladak et al 2000). The estimated boundary on the initial 2D image was then automatically refined using the DDC model. The deformed contour was then propagated to the adjacent 2D transverse image, which was 1 mm away from the initial 2D image, and was used as the initial contour before refining. This process was repeated until the complete vascular phantom was segmented.

From the 3D US images acquired for two subjects, ulcers on the CCA lumen were identified by a physician who is experienced in analysing carotid US images. A separate observer, knowing the location of the ulcers as identified by the physician, segmented the CCA lumen using the method described in the previous paragraph, for 10 mm with a 1 mm inter-slice distance.

Although it was reported that ulcers larger than 1 mm are clinically significant (Sitzer et al 1995) and that ulcers with orifice diameter and depth larger than 0.5 mm could be detected using intravascular US (Miskolczi et al 1996), non-invasive US imaging has a coarser resolution and the consensus is to define ulcerations as craters with a width and depth of 2 mm (de Bray et al 1997, Schminke et al 2000, Meairs and Hennerici 1999, Sztajzel 2005). By choosing 1 mm as the inter-slice distance, ulcerations with a width and depth of 2 mm will not be missed as the sampling interval is exactly half of the size of the ulcer. Inter-slice distance greater than 1 mm may lead to distortion of the shape of the ulcer.

Each set of 2D contours was then reconstructed into a triangulated surface mesh (Chiu et al 2006, 2008b). The reconstructed surface of the phantom is represented as a red surface superimposed on the 3D US image of the phantom in figure $1(\mathrm{~b})$, and the luminal surfaces for the two subjects are shown in figures 6(b) and 7(b).

### 2.5. Computation of surface curvatures

Surface curvature computation methods can be classified into two major types. The first type is based on local surface fitting and the second type estimates the curvature directly from the triangular mesh (Besl and Jain 1986, Han et al 2001). Local surface fitting can be achieved by


Figure 2. Two shapes that have the same Gaussian curvatures, but different mean curvatures. This figure shows that if high Gaussian curvature was used as the only criterion to identify ulcers, both the inward- and outward-curving regions, shown in (a) and (b) respectively, would be identified as ulcers. However, the outward-curving region shown in (b) is not an ulcer while (a) shows an ulcer. The mean curvature normal vector $\mathbf{K}(p)$ points inwards from the surface. Thus $\kappa_{H}(p)$ is positive according to equation (5). (b) shows a region that has the same Gaussian curvature as the ulcer shown in (a). Since it curves outwards, it is not an ulcer. $\mathbf{K}(p)$ points outwards from the surface, and $\kappa_{H}(p)$ is negative according to equation (5). Ulcers are associated with high Gaussian and mean curvatures.
polynomial reconstruction and analytical evaluation. However, overshooting and unexpected surface behaviour between sample points often occur with this method (Meyer et al 2003). With this in mind and that we have established a method for constructing a triangular mesh for a stack of segmented lumen contours in our previous work (Chiu et al 2006, 2008b), we used the algorithm proposed by Meyer et al (2003), which computed the mean and Gaussian curvatures directly from a triangular mesh. In the following two sections, we briefly describe the methods used to compute the mean curvature and the Gaussian curvature.

The reason for using both the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature for quantifying luminal surface irregularity is that the Gaussian curvature, as an intrinsic geometrical property, cannot be used to differentiate between regions curving inwards and those curving outwards. However, the mean curvature, as an extrinsic property, can be used for this purpose. Hemispheric regions curving inwards, such as the ulcers in the phantom experiment, have a positive mean curvature, whereas hemispheric regions curving in the opposite direction have a negative curvature (according to the orientation defined in equation (5)) (figure 2). Ulcers, as recesses on the vascular lumen, are located at regions where the luminal surface curves inwards, and therefore, must be associated with a positive mean curvature. Thus, in this study, we combined the Gaussian and mean curvatures in the identification of ulcers.
2.5.1. Discrete mean curvature. The mean curvature $\kappa_{H}$ at a point $P$ is related to the mean curvature normal operator $\mathbf{K}$ by the equation $\mathbf{K}(P)=2 \kappa_{H}(P) \mathbf{n}(P)$, where $\mathbf{n}(P)$ is the normal vector of the surface. Meyer et al (2003) proved that the integral of the mean curvature normal operator over a cell with vertex $i$ at the centre and with edge intersecting midpoints of the edges connecting the vertex $i$ and its 1-ring neighbour vertices (i.e., the grey region in figure 3(a)) can be expressed in terms of the angles of the triangulation and the length of the edges in the following simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{A} \mathbf{K}(x) \mathrm{d} A=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in N_{1}(i)}\left(\cot \alpha_{i j}+\cot \beta_{i j}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. (a) Voronoi region (shaded) in a 1-ring neighborhood that does not contain a non-obtuse triangle. (b) Voronoi region (shaded) of $P$ on a non-obtuse triangle. (c) Voronoi region is not defined in an obtuse triangle. In this case, the shaded area is used for the surface partitioning purpose. (d) In a 1-ring neighbourhood with at least one obtuse triangle, the shaded area (with area $\left.A_{\text {mixed }}\right)$ is used for surface partitioning.
where $\alpha_{i j}$ and $\beta_{i j}$ are the two angles opposite to the edge in the two triangles sharing the edge ( $\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}$ ) and $N_{1}(i)$ is the set of 1-ring neighbour vertices of vertex $i$ (see figure 3(a)).

The only condition required for equation (1) to hold is that the edge of the cell centred at vertex $i$ intersects the midpoints of the set of edges $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right): j \in N_{1}(i)\right\}$. Thus, the grey region depicted in figure $3(\mathrm{a})$, called the Voronoi region, with its edge passing through the circumcentres of all triangles, is not the only region that satisfies this criterion. However, Meyer et al (2003) showed that the numerical error of curvature estimation is minimized when the Voronoi region is used. Given a non-obtuse triangle, the area of the Voronoi region for $P$ is (see figure 3(b)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {Voronoi }}=\frac{1}{8}|P R|^{2} \cot (\angle Q)+\frac{1}{8}|P Q|^{2} \cot (\angle R) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Voronoi partitioning scheme used for a non-obtuse triangle (shown in figure 3(a)) cannot be used for triangles with an obtuse angle (figure 3(c)), because the circumcentre of the obtuse triangle does not lie inside the triangle. However, as long as we use a region whose edges pass through the midpoint of the edges of the triangles, equation (1) is still valid. To allow efficient surface partitioning, Meyer et al (2003) used a third vertex that is the midpoint of the edge opposite to the obtuse angle to define the partition. This partition is represented
by the grey region in figure 3(c). We can now define a new surface area for each vertex $\mathbf{x}$, denoted by $A_{\text {mixed }}(\mathbf{x})$, which is computed by the following algorithm (figure 3(d)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {mixed }}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{\# f} \mathrm{FA}\left(T_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{FA}\left(T_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}A_{\mathrm{Voronoi}}, & T_{i} \text { is non-obtuse. } \\ \operatorname{Area}\left(T_{i}\right) / 2, & T_{i} \text { is obtuse and the angle of } T_{i} \text { at } \mathbf{x} \text { is obtuse. } \\ \operatorname{Area}\left(T_{i}\right) / 4, & T_{i} \text { is obtuse and the angle of } T_{i} \text { at } \mathbf{x} \text { is non-obtuse. }\end{cases}$
Here, $\# f$ denotes the number of triangular faces around the vertex $\mathbf{x}$ and $T_{i}$ denotes the triangle corresponding to the $i$ th face (see figure 3(a)).

With $A_{\text {mixed }}$ defined, we can express the mean curvature normal operator $\mathbf{K}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2 A_{\text {mixed }}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)} \sum_{j \in N_{1}(i)}\left(\cot \alpha_{i j}+\cot \beta_{i j}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $\mathbf{n}$ to be the normal vector pointing inwards from the surface, the mean curvature is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation implies that $\kappa_{H}>0$ if $\mathbf{K}$ points inwards from the surface. Otherwise, $\kappa_{H}<0$ (figure 2).
2.5.2. Discrete Gaussian curvature. The Gaussian curvature computation method is very similar to the way in which the mean curvature was obtained. First, we note from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem that the integral of the Gaussian curvature, $\kappa_{G}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{A} \kappa_{G} \mathrm{~d} A=2 \pi-\sum_{j=1}^{\# f} \theta_{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{j}$ is the angle of the $j$ th face around the vertex $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ (see figure 3(a)) and $\# f$ denotes the number of faces around the vertex.

We use the same partitioning scheme here as that used in the computation of the mean curvature normal operator giving the following expression for the Gaussian curvature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{G}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=\left(2 \pi-\sum_{j=1}^{\# f} \theta_{j}\right) / A_{\text {mixed }}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.5.3. Smoothing of curvatures. Since semi-automatic or manual segmentation of US carotid images is prone to observer variability due to speckle, shadowing and noise (Mao et al 2000, Zagzebski 1996), we smooth the curvature (either the Gaussian or the mean curvature) at each vertex using an iterative algorithm proposed in Sundaram et al (2008) to successively average the curvature at a vertex with those of its adjacent neighbours. As emphasized in Sundaram et al (2008), the surface mesh was not smoothed in this procedure. Rather, only the curvature superimposed on the mesh was smoothed. We briefly summarize the smoothing algorithm
as follows:
(i) initialize $S_{i, 0}=S_{i}$ and $\sigma_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$,
(ii) at iteration $l+1$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{l+1}=1.1 \sigma_{l} \\
& S_{i, l+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{i, l}+\frac{\sum_{j \in N_{1}(i)} w_{i j, l} S_{j, l}}{\sum_{j \in N_{1}(i)} w_{i j, l}}\right) \quad \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) terminate when $\sigma_{l}>1 \mathrm{~mm}$,
where we have used the following notations:
$S_{i}=$ curvature at vertex $p_{i}$
$N_{i}=$ normal at vertex $p_{i}$
$N_{1}(i)=1$-ring neighbourhood of vertex $p_{i}$
$A_{i}=A_{\text {mixed }}\left(p_{i}\right)$.
We selected the termination condition to be $\sigma_{l}>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ because the segmentation inter-slice distance is $1 \mathrm{~mm} . w_{i j, l}$, the weight of the vertex $p_{j}$ in the calculation of the smoothed curvature at $p_{i}$ at iteration $l$, was obtained by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j, l}=A_{j}\left(N_{i} \cdot N_{j}\right) \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\left\|p_{i}-p_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2 \sigma_{l}^{2}}\right)}{\sigma_{l}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.6. Area-preserving flattened map

For visualization and interpretation of the results, the surface curvature computed using the above method was superimposed on the arterial lumen to produce a 3D surface curvature map. Although 3D surface curvature maps thus constructed provide rich information on surface irregularity, the flattened representation of the 3D maps allows analysis in a single view, eliminating the need to study the 3D maps from multiple angles in an investigation, thereby allowing an easy comparison between two maps obtained either for a single patient at different time points or for different patients under different treatment arms. Thus, the area-preserving surface-flattening algorithm we developed in a previous publication (Chiu et al 2008a) was used for displaying the surface curvature maps for the phantom and the study subjects.

### 2.7. Implementation

The surface reconstruction (section 2.4), surface curvature computation (sections 2.5.1 and 2.5.2) and curvature smoothing algorithms (section 2.5.3) were implemented using $\mathrm{C}++$. The surface used in the phantom study contained approximately 3000 vertices. Reconstructing the phantom surface from 2D transverse contours took 0.8 s . Computing $\kappa_{G}$ and $\kappa_{H}$ for the surface took 0.3 s . Smoothing $\kappa_{G}$ and $\kappa_{H}$ took 9 s . The implementation details of the areapreserving map were described elsewhere (Chiu et al 2008a) and are not repeated here. The carotid lumen surfaces used in the in vivo US studies contained approximately 500 vertices and required approximately $1 / 6$ of the time for the phantom study. All experiments were performed using an Intel ${ }^{\circledR}$ Core $^{\mathrm{TM}} 22.0 \mathrm{GHz}$ CPU with 1.0 GB memory.

Table 1. Numerical accuracy in computing mean $\left(\kappa_{H}\right)$ and Gaussian curvature ( $\kappa_{G}$ ) for three spherical meshes, which were constructed by sampling a sphere with unity radius in different sampling intervals. For each mesh, the means and the root-mean-square errors (RMSE) of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ were tabulated. Since a (continuous) sphere with unity radius has $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ both equalling 1, the means of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ provide a measure of the bias in computing $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for discrete spheres.

| Sphere, radius $=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ang. interval | No of points | Mean $\kappa_{H}$ | RMSE $\kappa_{H}$ | Mean $\kappa_{G}$ | RMSE $\kappa_{G}$ |
| $5^{\circ}$ | 2450 | 1.0002 | $5.04 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.0017 | $1.76 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $10^{\circ}$ | 578 | 1.0007 | $1.89 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.0070 | $7.01 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 128 | 1.0022 | $6.58 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.0304 | $3.04 \times 10^{-2}$ |

### 2.8. Validation of numerical accuracy in the mean and Gaussian curvature calculations

We evaluated the accuracy of the mean and Gaussian curvature computations by using two types of synthetic surfaces: the sphere and the torus. We also investigated the relationship between the number of sampling points used to represent the discrete surfaces and the numerical accuracy of the computed curvatures. These synthetic surfaces were not susceptible to noise, and thus, the curvature was not smoothed.

For a sphere, $\kappa_{G}$ equals $1 / r^{2}$ everywhere, where $r$ is the radius of the sphere, and $\kappa_{H}$ equals $1 / r$ (according to the orientation defined in equation (5)). We use the following parameterization for the sphere:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y, z)=(r \cos v \cos u, r \cos v \sin u, r \sin v), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant u<2 \pi$ and $0 \leqslant v<\pi$. We created meshes of the unit sphere (i.e., $r=1$ ) by sampling $u$ and $v$ in $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}$ intervals, and then computed $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for each point on the meshes using the discrete curvature operators.

We also tested the curvature operators on a torus with the following parameterization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y, z)=((a+r \cos u) \cos v,(a+r \cos u) \sin v, r \sin u), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant u<2 \pi$ and $0 \leqslant v<2 \pi$. The theoretical $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{H} & =\frac{a+2 r \cos u}{2 r(a+r \cos u)}  \tag{11a}\\
\kappa_{G} & =\frac{\cos u}{r(a+r \cos u)} \tag{11b}
\end{align*}
$$

We created three toroidal meshes (with $a=1, r=0.25$ ) by sampling $u$ and $v$ in $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}$ intervals respectively, and then computed $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for each point on the meshes.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Numerical accuracy of the mean and Gaussian curvature calculations

Table 1 shows the root-mean-square error (RMSE) in the calculations of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for three spheres with different sampling intervals. Since a (continuous) sphere with unity radius has $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ both equalling 1 , the means of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ provide a measure of the bias in computing $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for discrete spheres, and therefore, were also tabulated. In this test, we observed, in

Table 2. Numerical error in computing mean $\left(\kappa_{H}\right)$ and Gaussian curvature $\left(\kappa_{G}\right)$ for three toroidal meshes, which were constructed by sampling a torus (parameterized by equation (10) with $a=1$ and $r=0.25$ ) in different sampling intervals. For each mesh, the root-mean-square errors (RMSE) of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ were tabulated.

| Torus, $a=1, r=0.25$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ang. interval | No of points | RMSE $\kappa_{H}$ | RMSE $\kappa_{G}$ |
| $5^{\circ}$ | 5184 | 0.00409 | 0.00727 |
| $10^{\circ}$ | 1440 | 0.00862 | 0.0181 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 480 | 0.0233 | 0.0517 |

agreement with Meyer et al (2003), that the integral of the discrete Gaussian curvature over an entire sphere is equal to $4 \pi$ regardless of the sampling interval used. For the spherical mesh that was produced by sampling $u$ and $v$ in $20^{\circ}$ intervals, because the spherical mesh has a surface area that is $3 \%$ smaller than the continuous sphere, the Gaussian curvature was overestimated by an average of $3 \%$ so that the Gaussian curvature of the discrete sphere integrates to $4 \pi$. Similar comment applies for the spheres with sampling intervals equalling $5^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ}$. As the surface mesh becomes denser, the total surface area for the discrete sphere becomes closer to the continuous surface, resulting in a reduction of the RMSE of $\kappa_{G}$. Meanwhile, the RMSE of $\kappa_{H}$ also decreases as the sampling intervals of $u$ and $v$ decrease.

Table 2 shows the RMSE in the calculations of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ for three tori with different sampling intervals. Similar to the results obtained for the spheres above, the integral of the discrete curvature over an entire torus agrees with the Gauss-Bonnet theorem (which is 0 for torus because torus has 1 hole), regardless of the sampling intervals of $u$ and $v$ in equation (10). The RMSEs of $\kappa_{H}$ and $\kappa_{G}$ converge to 0 as sampling intervals decrease.

### 3.2. Mean and Gaussian curvatures of the vascular phantom

Figure 4(a) shows the surface representing the vascular phantom, with the mean curvature colour-coded and superimposed on the surface. In this orientation, the 2 mm hemisphere was located on the top, with the 3 mm hemisphere located 11 mm below and the 4 mm hemisphere located further 14 mm below. The area-preserving flattened map of the vascular phantom surface shown in figure 4(a) was constructed and displayed in figure 4(b). The mean curvature values at all vertices were collected, and the 98th percentile of this sample was obtained. The clipping algorithm implemented in Visualization Toolkit (VTK) (Schroeder et al 2002) was used to extract regions with mean curvature greater than the 98th percentile. The result is displayed in figure 4(c), which shows the surface patches that have mean curvature greater than or equal to the 98 th percentile, with the boundary of the flattened map represented by the black outline. Figure 4(c) shows that the hemispheres could not be detected as connected regions and that small patches outside the hemispheres were extracted erroneously. The mean curvature was then smoothed, colour-coded and superimposed on the vascular phantom surface, which is shown in figure 4(d). It is important to note that the same surface is shown in figures 4(a) and (d), only with different mean curvature values mapped onto them (i.e., the surface was not smoothed). The area-preserving flattened map of figure 4(d) is displayed in figure 4(e). We computed the 98th percentile of the 'smoothed' mean curvature and used it as the threshold to clip the surface, producing the result shown in figure 4(f). After smoothing, only regions corresponding to where the hemispheres were located were extracted, and the sizes of the three surface patches extracted corresponded approximately to the sizes of the hemispheres.


Figure 4. (a) Mean curvature map of the vascular phantom and (b) its flattened map. The 98th percentile of the mean curvature at all vertices was computed, which was used as a threshold to extract surface patches. (c) shows surface patches that have mean curvature greater than or equal to this threshold, with the boundary of the flattened surface represented by the black outline. (d) Smoothed mean curvature of the phantom and (e) its flattened map. (f) Surface patches that have mean curvature greater than or equal to the 98th percentile.

The Gaussian curvature was computed, colour-coded and superimposed on the vascular phantom as shown in figure $5(\mathrm{a})$. The experiment performed here was the same as that described previously for the mean curvature. The area-preserving flattened map of figure 5(a) was displayed in figure 5(b). Figure 5(c) shows regions with the Gaussian curvature that was greater than or equal to the 98th percentile. The Gaussian curvature was then smoothed (figure 5(d)) and flattened (figure 5(e)). Figure 5(f) shows regions with the 'smoothed' Gaussian curvature greater than or equal to the 98 th percentile. The results obtained were similar to those for the mean curvature.

### 3.3. Results for study subjects

We demonstrated in the phantom experiment that ulcers are characterized by high Gaussian and mean curvatures. In the phantom experiment, we identified ulcers using the Gaussian and mean curvatures independently because there is no outward-curving region in the vascular phantom. However, for accurate identification of ulcers from carotid luminal surfaces of human subjects, we required to combine the use of the Gaussian and mean curvatures because it is not possible to differentiate between inward- and outward-curving regions by using the Gaussian curvature alone (figure 2). Outward-curving regions exist in carotid luminal surfaces,


Figure 5. (a) Gaussian curvature map of the vascular phantom and (b) its flattened map. The 98th percentile of the Gaussian curvature at all vertices was computed, which was used as a threshold to extract surface patches. (c) shows surface patches that have Gaussian curvature greater than or equal to this threshold, with the boundary of the flattened surface represented by the black outline. (d) Smoothed Gaussian curvature of the phantom and (e) its flattened map. (f) Surface patches that have Gaussian curvature greater than or equal to the 98 th percentile.
and the combined information of the Gaussian and mean curvatures allowed us to exclude these regions in the identification of ulcers.

Figure 6(a) shows the 3D carotid US image of subject 1 with segmented lumen. Figure 6(b) shows the CCA lumen surface of subject 1 with the smoothed Gaussian curvature colourcoded and superimposed on the surface. Figures 6(c)-(e) show the transverse 2D images that correspond to slices 1, 2 and 3 labelled in figure 6(b). Figure 6(f) shows the area-preserving flattened map with the Gaussian curvature colour-coded and superimposed on the map. The shaded area in this map represents regions where the Gaussian curvature was greater than or equal to the 98th percentile (as described in the phantom experiment). We denoted this region by $G_{98}$. Figure $6(\mathrm{~g})$ shows the area-preserving flattened map with the mean curvature colour-coded and superimposed on the map. Similarly, the shaded area in this map represents regions where the mean curvature was greater than or equal to the 98 th percentile, denoted by $M_{98}$. As a criterion, we identify ulcers as the intersection between $G_{98}$ and $M_{98}$. Figure 6(h) shows the region identified by this method.

Figure 7(a) shows the 3D carotid US image of subject 2 with segmented lumen. Figure 7(b) shows the CCA lumen surface of subject 2 with the smoothed Gaussian curvature


Figure 6. (a) 3D US image with segmented lumen. (b) 3D Gaussian curvature map for the arterial lumen of subject 1. The transverse views of (c) slice 1, (d) slice 2 and (e) slice 3 as labelled in (b). (f) 2D Gaussian curvature map corresponding to the 3D map shown in (b). The shaded area represents the region where the Gaussian curvature is equal to or greater than the 98 th percentile (i.e., $G_{98}$.) (g) 2D mean curvature map corresponding to the 3D map shown in (b). The shaded area represents the region where the mean curvature is equal to or greater than the 98 th percentile (i.e., $M_{98}$ ). (h) $G_{98} \bigcap M_{98}$.


Figure 7. (a) 3D US image with segmented lumen. (b) 3D Gaussian curvature map for the arterial lumen of subject 2. The transverse views of (c) slice 1 and (d) slice 2 as labelled in (b). (e) 2D Gaussian curvature map corresponding to the 3D map shown in (b). The shaded area represents the region where the Gaussian curvature is equal to or greater than the 98 th percentile (i.e., $G_{98}$.) (f) 2D mean curvature map corresponding to the 3D map shown in (b). The shaded area represents the region where mean curvature is equal to or greater than the 98th percentile (i.e., M98). (g) $G_{98} \bigcap M_{98}$.
colour-coded and superimposed on the surface. Figures 7(c) and (d) show the transverse 2D images that correspond to slices 1 and 2 labelled in figure 7(b). Unlike subject 1, there were multiple smaller ulcers on the artery of this subject. Figure 7(e) shows the area-preserving flattened map with the Gaussian curvature colour-coded and superimposed on the map. The shaded area represents the region $G_{98}$. We note from this figure that $G_{98}$ covered regions where two of the three ulcers were, but did not cover the ulcer between the two identified ulcers. In addition, the ulcer on the right of figure 7(e) is much smaller than the detected region. The upper part of the detected region curves outwards, and therefore, is not a part of the ulcer. The lower part of the detected region, however, corresponds to an ulcer shown in figure 7(d). Figure 7(f) shows the area-preserving flattened map with the mean curvature colour-coded and superimposed on the map. The shaded area represents the region $M_{98}$. The region we mentioned above that curves outwards had a negative mean curvature, and thus, was not covered by $M_{98}$. Figure $7(\mathrm{~g})$ shows the region $G_{98} \bigcap M_{98}$, which covered two of the three ulcers.

## 4. Discussion and conclusion

In this work, we have introduced a multi-step method for quantifying and displaying the irregularity of vessel wall and plaque surfaces. First, we implemented the method proposed by Meyer et al (2003) to compute the Gaussian and mean curvatures. We validated our curvature computations by testing the algorithm using discrete spherical and toroidal meshes sampled in different intervals, and have shown that the RMSEs for the Gaussian and mean curvature computations were small if the meshes were densely sampled. Since ultrasound images are susceptible to artefacts caused by speckle, shadowing and noise (Mao et al 2000, Zagzebski 1996), we applied a smoothing algorithm proposed in Sundaram et al (2008) to smooth the curvatures computed for surfaces segmented from 3D US images. It was demonstrated in the vascular phantom experiment that the $2 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 4 mm ulcers could be detected as connected regions after the curvatures had been smoothed (figures 4(f) and 5(f)).

In our phantom study, the ulcers were oriented in a direction that was facing the transducer. It is known that sections of boundary perpendicular to the direction of the pulse transmission produce higher contrast, and sections of boundary parallel to the pulse transmission direction give lower contrast (Rohling et al 1998). Therefore, the segmentation of the ulcers may be less accurate if the boundaries of the ulcers are parallel, instead of perpendicular, to the direction of US propagation. The same may be true for the in vivo US images, causing the ulcers with boundaries parallel to the direction of US transmission more difficult to be detected. Compound US imaging is a potential solution to this problem (Rohling et al 1998, Jespersen et al 2000), in which multiple US images of the vessel are obtained from different directions, then averaged and registered to produce the final US image.

To enhance the visualization and facilitate the interpretation of the 3D surface curvature maps (i.e., the luminal surface with curvatures colour-coded and mapped onto it), the 3D maps were flattened to 2D using the area-preserving flattening map algorithm introduced in a previous publication (Chiu et al 2008a). 2D maps allow the study of surface curvature maps in a single view, thereby allowing an easy comparison between multiple maps. For example, the difference between figures 4(c) and (f) (or figures 5(c) and (f)) was much easier to visualize in 2D. Finally, we combined the Gaussian and mean curvatures in detecting ulcers from luminal surfaces segmented from 3D US images acquired for two human subjects. Only regions with high Gaussian and mean curvatures were identified as ulcers. These two criteria precluded the false detection of outward-curving regions as ulcers, since outward-curving regions would have a negative mean curvature.

This study has shown the accuracy and practicality of the proposed algorithm in the synthetic surface experiment and the vascular phantom experiment, respectively. In demonstrating the application of the proposed algorithm for the carotid luminal surfaces of human subjects, we considered a physician's identification of the ulcers as the surrogate gold standard, and have segmented the arterial lumen according to his identification. One limitation of this study is that the ulcer identification and the subsequent segmentation are subjective, and therefore, susceptible to observer bias and variability. Future studies should be performed to quantify the intra- and inter-observer variability in luminal segmentation. The accuracy of the segmented luminal boundaries should also be validated against the corresponding histological specimens before the proposed ulcer detection algorithm is applied. After an ulcer has been detected using the algorithm, the location of the detected ulcers should also be verified against histological observations in future studies.

The motivation for this work stems from increasing evidences that suggest the risk of vascular events is related to atherosclerosis plaque stability (Barnett 1992, Warlow 1991). The risk of stroke has been correlated with carotid stenosis (NASCET Collaborators 1991, Warlow 1991) and with plaque features related to plaque stability, such as lesion surface irregularities, ulcerations and fissures (Eliasziw et al 1994, Streifler et al 1994, Rothwell et al 2000, Fisher et al 2005). An automated method described here may be used to provide a rapid and feasible method of screening a large number of images for the presence of surface irregularities. Carotid US images with irregular surface features can then be flagged for observer analysis and validation. The proposed automatic algorithm can be used in large cross-sectional studies to evaluate the risk associated with irregular plaque surfaces and in longitudinal studies to evaluate whether there is any correlation between the degree of plaque surface irregularity and symptoms of ICA occlusive diseases (e.g., transient ischemic attacks and strokes), which are two important long-term goals for vascular imaging research.
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