

Physiotherapy Papers and Journal Articles

School of Physiotherapy

2020

The association of working alliance, outcome expectation, adherence and self-efficacy with clinical outcomes for Achilles tendinopathy: A feasibility cohort study (the MAP study)

Adrian Mallows

Jo Jackson

Chris Littlewood

James Debenham The University of Notre Dame Australia, james.debenham@nd.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article

Part of the Physical Therapy Commons, and the Physiotherapy Commons

This article was originally published as:

Mallows, A., Jackson, J., Littlewood, C., & Debenham, J. (2020). The association of working alliance, outcome expectation, adherence and self-efficacy with clinical outcomes for Achilles tendinopathy: A feasibility cohort study (the MAP study). *Musculoskeletal Care, Early View, Online First.*

Original article available here: 10.1002/msc.1451

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article/165. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.



Copyright ©2020 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

This article first published in *Musculoskeletal Care*:

Mallows, A., Jackson, J., Littlewood, C., and Debenham, J. (2019). The association of working alliance, outcome expectation, adherence and self-efficacy with clinical outcomes for Achilles tendinopathy: A feasibility cohort study (the MAP study). *Musculoskeletal Care, Early View Online First,* doi: 10.1002/msc.1451

This article has been published in final form at: -

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/msc.1451

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

1 Introduction

2 Achilles tendon-related pain and its associated functional limitations, termed 3 tendinopathy, can be traumatic or insidious in onset and short-lasting or persistent in 4 nature (Scott et al., 2013). Achilles tendinopathy (AT) can be characterised by a 5 reduced ability of the tendon to sustain tensile load (Cook & Purdam, 2009), resulting 6 in decreased activity participation, working ability and guality of life (Longo, Ronga, & 7 Maffulli, 2009). Factors influencing this impact are poorly understood; little is known 8 about mechanisms driving pain and the response (or lack of) to rehabilitation (Mallows, 9 Debenham, Malliaras, Stace, & Littlewood, 2017; O'Neill, Watson, & Barry, 2015; Rio et al., 2015, 2014). Furthermore, despite structural changes being the focus of 10 11 tendinopathy models (Cook & Purdam, 2009; Cook, Rio, Purdam, & Docking, 2016) 12 current evidence suggests that structural changes on imaging of tendinopathic 13 tendons do not explain the response to exercise-led interventions (Drew, Smith, 14 Littlewood, & Sturrock, 2012; Färnqvist, Malliaras, & Pearson, 2019). Whilst 15 recognising that advancements in imaging techniques may yet contribute to improved outcome by enhancing diagnosis (Khan et al., 2003), current evidence suggests that 16 17 clinical outcome for people with musculoskeletal conditions is influenced by similar 18 factors across different musculoskeletal presentations (Mallen, Peat, Thomas, Dunn, 19 & Croft, 2007). Factors such as pain intensity, association of psychological distress 20 and high functional disability, appear of key influence and the addition of a specific 21 structural diagnosis is not (Chester, Jerosch-Herold, Lewis, & Shepstone, 2016; de 22 Vos Andersen, Kent, Hjort, & Christiansen, 2017). As current strategies appear 23 incomplete, the need to investigate factors beyond the specific effects of exercise on 24 peripheral tissue appears to be one way of potentially optimising outcomes in AT. In 25 recent times, cognitive and contextual influences such as self-efficacy, working alliance and expectations have been highlighted as potentially relevant factors that
would benefit from investigation in tendinopathy (Mallows, Debenham, Walker, &
Littlewood, 2017; Mallows et al., 2017). Working alliance, also known as 'therapeutic
alliance' or 'patient-therapist relationship', can be defined as "the working rapport or
positive social connection between the patient and the therapist" (Joyce, Ogrodniczuk,
Piper, & McCallum, 2003).

32

Based on this need, high-quality research in relation to factors associated with
outcome is warranted. However, to enhance the success of future large cohort studies,
several factors potentially affecting feasibility need to be investigated.

36

The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of a large longitudinal cohort study utilising an online platform to investigate the association and predictive relationship of working alliance, outcome expectations, adherence and self-efficacy with outcome in the management of AT. The objectives of this study were: 1) to determine the recruitment & retention rate and 2) to carry out preliminary data analysis of the selected variables and clinical outcomes.

43

44 **Ethical Approval**

Ethical approval was sought and granted on 14th September 2017 by London Camden & Kings Cross Research Ethics Committee; REC reference 17/LO/1583 and
by the Health Research Authority on 15th September 2017; IRAS project ID: 219457.

48

49 Methods / Design

50 Study Design

A multi-centred, longitudinal feasibility cohort study was conducted to meet the study's
aim and was reported according STROBE guidelines for reporting of observational
studies (von Elm et al., 2007).

54

55 Study Setting

Potential participants were recruited from physiotherapy services at a large NHS
Foundation Trust site, two NHS musculoskeletal provider services and three private
practices within East Anglia from October 2017 to September 2018.

59

60 Recruitment Process

61 Potential participants were identified at each site by their treating physiotherapist. To 62 minimise burden on the physiotherapist, the physiotherapist explained the purpose of the study, the methods involved, and then provided a card detailing a website which 63 64 hosted further information. Training in the study processes was provided to the 65 physiotherapists in line with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) recommendations (NIHR 66 Clinical Research Network Coordinating Centre, 2016). Once identified and provided 67 with a card, potential participants were then able to consider whether they would like 68 to participate or not. If potential participants decided not to participate in the study 69 while still in the clinic there was the option to provide a reason as to why on the reverse 70 of the card and leave this anonymously in a marked box in the reception area.

71

On the card, the potential participant was directed to <u>a website (www.managing-</u> <u>achilles-pain.com)</u>, which was designed as a part of the bespoke online platform for the purposes of this study. The website hosted a landing page and blog post containing password protected information (the participant information sheet, consent form) and the outcome measures in the form of an online questionnaire). The participant could freely read the participant information sheet and consent details without time constraint, and decide to participate or not. Participants were free to leave the website without having completed the consent form. This information clearly stated that involvement was voluntary, participants were free to withdraw at any time and information would not be shared with their physiotherapist. It also included contact details to provide the opportunity for questions. If the participant consented to take part, they were then able to access the online questionnaire.

84

85 Eligibility Criteria

Participants were required to be a minimum of 18 years old, have access to the internet, an available email address, proficient with written and spoken English, and identified as having AT as determined by the attending physiotherapist according to established criteria (Adrian Mallows, Debenham, Walker, & Littlewood, 2016; Martin et al., 2018):

- Local Achilles tendon pain reproduced with load-based activity, for
 example heel raising, for at least ten days duration
- 93 94
- Tenderness on palpation of the Achilles tendon
- Range of movement at the ankle within normal limits

To minimise confounding variables for recovery, participants presenting postoperatively, or with lumbar spine related disorders which may refer directly to the
Achilles tendon region were excluded (Mallows et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2018). The
exclusion criteria were:

- Tendon rupture
- Receiving treatment for post-surgical recovery
- Reproduction of pain in the Achilles region on movements of the spine

102

103 Care Pathways and Physiotherapy

The care pathway for patients recruited into this cohort study did not change as a result
of study participation; physiotherapy treatment, referral pathways and waiting times
were unaffected.

107

108 Variables

109 Factors beyond the specific effects of exercise on peripheral tissue were the focus of 110 this study. As cognitive and contextual factors may be associated with clinical outcome 111 in AT (Mallows et al., 2017), factors investigated by this study were reflective of these. 112 • Working Alliance measured by the Working Alliance Inventory Short-Form (WAI-SF) (Hall, Ferreira, Maher, Latimer, & Ferreira, 2010; Hanson, Curry, & 113 114 Bandalos, 2002; Hatcher & Gillaspy, 2006; Tracey & Kokotovic, 1989). The 115 WAI-SF requires the participant to rate their agreement with their therapist on 116 a numerical rating scale from 1-7 in twelve domains. The total score ranges 117 from 12-84, where a higher score represents a stronger therapeutic alliance.

• Outcome expectation measured by the Global Rating of Change (GRC) for 118 119 Outcome Expectation (Costa et al., 2008). A numerical rating scale from -5 120 (very much worse) to +5 (very much better) is considered optimal with a change 121 of two or more points considered meaningful (Kamper, 2009). As the literature 122 does not support a standardised measure of expectation, a single question with 123 clear instructions was provided in order to differentiate predicted expectations 124 (what the patient thinks will happen, including negative expectations) from ideal 125 expectations (what the patient wants to happen) (Bialosky, Bishop, & Cleland, 2010). Consequently, participants were asked to 'please indicate what you think 126

will occur, NOT what you want to occur; at the end of your treatment, what do
you expect the pain associated with your Achilles tendon to be?' (Bialosky et
al., 2010).

Adherence measured by a retrospective patient self-report scale (Bassett, 2003). While limited, such scales are convenient and simple to use. In response to the question, 'if you have been requested by your physiotherapist to do exercises at home, please select the word that overall best indicates the extent you have followed the instruction', participants responded using a 5-item numerical scale from 0 (not at all) to 5 (as advised) (Brewer et al., 2000; Taylor & May, 1996).

Self-efficacy measured by the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ)
 (Asghari & Nicholas, 2001; Miles, Pincus, Carnes, Taylor, & Underwood, 2011;
 Nicholas, 2007). The PSEQ requires the participant to state their confidence,
 despite pain, on a numerical rating scale of 0-6 in ten domains; the total score
 ranges from 0-60, where a higher score represents stronger self-efficacy beliefs
 (Asghari & Nicholas, 2001).

143

144 Clinical Outcome Measures

Due to concerns surrounding the usefulness of the VISA-A to accurately inform a change in a patient's clinical status (Mallows, Littlewood, & Malliaras, 2017), the primary clinical outcome measure chosen was the Lower Extremity Functional Score (LEFS) (Binkley, Stratford, Lott, & Riddle, 1999). The LEFS is a twenty item questionnaire with excellent test-retest reliability and construct validity (Ashby, Grocott, & Haddad, 2008; Binkley et al., 1999), and is recommended in current clinical guidelines to assess activity participation (Martin et al., 2018). The twenty items cover a range of lower extremity functional activities and are scored on a numerical rating
scale from zero (extreme difficulty or unable to perform activity) to four (no difficulty).
This provides maximum scale points of eighty, with zero representing maximum
dysfunction. A secondary clinical outcome measure was the Numerical Pain Rating
Scale (NPRS) (Farrar, Young, LaMoreaux, Werth, & Poole, 2001).

157

158 Collection of Clinical Outcome Measures and Variables

159 Clinical outcome measures (LEFS and NPRS) were collected together with the other 160 outcome variables (GRC, PSEQ, WAI-SF and patient self-report scale) via the online 161 platform (www. managing-achilles-pain.com). Responses from electronic versions of 162 the measures in the form of a questionnaire were collected on three occasions; at 163 baseline, at six and finally at twelve weeks following completion of the first 164 questionnaire. Twelve weeks represents a clinically meaningful timepoint; response to 165 exercise may plateau after this (Murphy et al., 2018), leading to the consideration of a 166 change in treatment for non-responders. Consequently, determining predictive factors 167 early in the rehabilitation (such as baseline and six weeks) would seem important. The 168 participant did not have access to the responses they provided previously. To 169 maximise response rates, non-responders to follow up were sent two email reminders 170 to encourage them to re-visit the website and complete the questionnaire

171

172 Sample Size

Feasibility studies typically do not evaluate the clinical outcome of interest because they do not undertake hypothesis testing and typically are not of a sufficient size to support such statistical testing; the sample size is estimated to enable evaluation of the key feasibility criteria (UK National Institution for Health Research (NIHR), 2017).

To meet the study's objective of evaluating the recruitment rate and retention, a 'recruit
to time' approach was used over a period of eleven months to fit within the wider scope
of the research programme.

180

181 Statistical Analysis

182 Feasibility outcomes (recruitment and retention rates) were described using 183 descriptive statistics. Primary hypothesis testing is not recommended for this size and 184 type of study (Lancaster, Dodd, & Williamson, 2004; UK National Institution for Health 185 Research (NIHR), 2017), however a preliminary correlational analysis was conducted 186 to assess 1) the overall relationship between the variables of working alliance, 187 outcome expectation, adherence and self-efficacy and the clinical outcome measures 188 of pain and function and 2) between baseline and the twelve week follow-up time point. 189 The value of the correlation coefficient was interpreted as small (.10 to .29); medium 190 (.30 to .49); and large (.50 to 1.0) (Cohen, 1988). Statistical analysis was undertaken 191 using SPSS (version 25.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

192

193 **Results**

194 Feasibility Analysis - Recruitment and Retention

195 The physiotherapists were issued 1100 cards to provide to potential patient 196 participants. Of these, 795 were returned on the completion of the study and hence it 197 is assumed that 305 were provided to potential patient participants. The traffic through 198 the website recorded a total 55 views of the blog post containing the information about 199 the study. These 55 views resulted in 24 participants (11 males) consenting to join the 200 study. Table 3 describes the participants' details. No adverse events were reported by 201 any participants. The study asked participants to complete the same questionnaire on 202 three separate occasions. The questionnaire at baseline was started 63 times and 203 completed on 60 separate occasions resulting in a 95% conversion rate from those 204 participants who provided initial consent. Full details are listed in figure 1. All three 205 participants who did not complete the questionnaire at baseline aborted when asked 206 for their email address and as such did not consent to join the study. Retainment for 207 completion of the questionnaire for a second time was 83.3% and for the third time 208 was 66.6%. All questionnaires were completed fully without any missing data yielding 209 a missing data indicator of 0%.



211

212 Figure 1 Participants flow through the MAP study

213

214 Correlation Analysis

- 215 Initially the data were tested for normality. The results are presented in table 2 and
- indicate that the data from the WAI-SF (p=0.026), GRC (p=0.003), NPRS (p=0.043)
- 217 and Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home-Based Rehabilitation Adherence

(p<0.001) were not normally distributed as the value of significance is p<0.05 (Pallant, 2016). Accordingly, baseline characteristics for all participants shown in table 3 are median and range values. As data were not normally distributed a non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney Test) was used to assess for differences between the responders and non-responders (Pallant, 2016). Statistically significant differences were found between the median values of the WAI-SF (responders 78.5, non-responders 60; p=0.003), the PSEQ (responders 50.05, non-responders 35; p=0.004) and the LEFS (responders 57, non-responders 43; p=0.011).

	Shapiro-Wilk			
			Level of	
	(n)	Statistic	significance	
WAI-SF	24	.904	.026*	
GRC	24	.856	.003*	
PSEQ	24	.947	.238	
NPRS	24	.914	.043*	
LEFS	24	.959	.428	
Patient Self-Report Scales of Their	24	.693	<.001*	
Home-Based Rehabilitation				
Adherence				

- 227 Table 2 Shapiro-Wilk test for normality of baseline data
- * Indicates non-normal distribution of data (*p*<0.05)

Baseline	Participants included in analysis: responders	Participants lost to follow up: non-responders	Overall
	(Median) Range	(Median) Range	(Median) Range
Age range ₊	19% 30-39	38% 30-39	25% 30-39
(years)	25% 40-49	25% 40-49	25% 40-49
	31% 50-59	25% 50-59	29% 50-59
	19% 60-69	12% 60-69	17% 60-69
	06% 70-79	00% 70-79	04% 70-79
Sex (% female)	56%	50%	54%
WAI-SF	(78.5)	(60)*	(73)
	47-84	40-70	40-84
PSEQ	(50.5)	(35)*	(45.8)
	24.8-60.0	19-45.8	19-60
GRC	(3)	(3.5)	(3)
	0-5	-3-4	-3-5
LEFS	(57)	(43)*	(53.5)
	21-75	38-60	21-60
NPRS	(45)	(57.5)	(50)
	5-71	38-81	5-81
Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home- Based Rehabilitation Adherence	(5) 1-5	(5) 3-5	(5) 1-5

242 Table 3 Baseline characteristics

WAI SF- Working Alliance Inventory - Short Form (score ranges from 12-84, where a higher score represents a stronger therapeutic alliance).

PSEQ - Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (score ranges from 0-60, where a higher score represents stronger selfefficacy beliefs).

GRC - Global rating of change for outcome expectation (scale from -5 (very much worse) to +5 (very much better)). LEFS - Lower Extremity Functional Score (score ranges from 0-80, with 0 representing maximum dysfunction).

243 244 245 246 247 248 249 255 255 253 253 NPRS - Numerical Pain Rating Scale (scale ranging between 0 (no pain at all) and 10 (the worst pain ever possible)).

Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home-Based Rehabilitation Adherence (5-item numerical scale from 0 (not at all) to 5 (as advised))

* Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between responders and non-responders using Mann-Whitney Test

+ Age range was captured only

255

256 Table 4 details the results of the overall correlation between variables and clinical

- 257 outcomes across all time points. The relationship was investigated using Spearman's
- 258 rho correlation coefficient as preliminary analyses (table 2) indicated there was a
- violation of normality in distribution of data. Overall, the measures of working alliance 259

(WAI-SF) (rho=-.527, p<0.001), and pain self-efficacy (PSEQ) (rho=-.580, p<0.001) have a large negative correlation with pain measured by the NPRS. Overall, outcome expectation measured by the GRC (rho=-.417, p=0.003) has a medium negative correlation with NPRS measurement of pain. In addition, the WAI-SF (rho=.551, p=<0.001), PSEQ (rho=.800, p=<0.001) and GRC (rho=.507, p=0.001) overall all have a large positive correlation with disability measured by the LEFS.

- 266
- 267

	PSEQ	GRC	Patient Self- Report Scales of Their Home- Based Rehabilitation Adherence	LEFS	NPRS
WAI-SF	.669	.634	0.051	.551**	527**
PSEQ	-	.492	0.092	.800**	580**
GRC	-	-	0.005	.507**	417**
Patient Self- Report Scales of Their Home- Based Rehabilitation Adherence	-	-	-	0.121	-0.051
LEFS	-	-	-	-	677

Table 4 Spearman's rho correlations between measures of the variables and clinical outcome measures across all time points

270 ** Correlation is statistically significant (p<0.01)

- 271
- 272

	Baseline Pain self- efficacy	Baseline GRC	Baseline Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home- Based Rehabilitati on Adherence	LEFS at 12 weeks	NPRS at 12 weeks
Baseline WAI- SF	.686	.795	.143	.325	157
Baseline PSEQ	-	.521	.220	.650*	401
Baseline GRC	-	-	.160	.146	.078
Baseline Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home-Based Rehabilitation Adherence	-	-	-	.428	.005

Table 5 Spearman's rho correlations between measures of the baseline variables and clinical outcome measures at 12 weeks

276 * Correlation is statistically significant (*p*<0.05)

277

278

279 Table 5 details the results of the correlation between baseline variables and clinical 280 outcomes at 12 weeks. The relationship was investigated using Spearman's rho 281 correlation coefficient as preliminary analyses performed (table 2) indicated there was 282 a violation of normality in distribution of data. There was a large, positive correlation 283 between baseline pain self-efficacy as measured by the PSEQ and disability 284 measured by the LEFS at 12 weeks (rho=.650, p<0.06). There was a medium, positive 285 correlation between baseline working alliance measured by the WAI-SF (rho=.325, 286 p<0.219) and adherence measured by the Patient Self-Report Scales of Their Home-287 Based Rehabilitation Adherence (rho=.428, *p*<0.98) and the LEFS at 12 weeks. There was a medium, negative correlation between baseline PSEQ and NPRS at 12 weeks
(rho=-.401, *p*<0.124).

290

291 **Discussion**

High-quality research in relation to factors associated with outcome in AT is warranted. However, to enhance the success of future large cohort studies, factors potentially affecting feasibility are required to be investigated. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study to utilise a protocol incorporating an online platform as a data collection method for a longitudinal study involving a population with AT. Accordingly, the objectives of this study were: 1) to determine the recruitment & retention rate and 2) to carry out preliminary data analysis of the selected variables and clinical outcomes.

299

300 Feasibility Outcomes - Recruitment and Retention

301 Internet-based questionnaires provide an attractive alternative to postal and telephone 302 questionnaires, but they raise important technical and methodological issues. The 303 major obstacle here is external validity; specifically related to how a representative 304 sample and adequate response rate is achieved (Braithwaite, Emery, de Lusignan, & 305 Sutton, 2003). Such obstacles were seen in this study. Although 305 cards were not 306 returned, it is not possible to determine how many of these cards were provided to 307 patients. Recruitment difficulties detailed in an accompanying process evaluation 308 suggests many of these non-returned cards may have been lost or simply not returned 309 (Mallows, Littlewood, Jackson, & Debenham, 2019). Over an eleven-month duration, 310 the traffic through the website recorded a total 55 views of the blog post containing the 311 information about the study. It is not possible to determine how many of the 31 people 312 who viewed the blog post but did not take the survey had been directed to the website 313 by an invitation card and how many were simply 'traffic'. On average of 2.2 participants 314 were recruited per month. Of these participants 66% were retained and completed all 315 three questionnaires. Whilst the difference in the attrition rates between feasibility 316 studies and their associated full trial demonstrates high variability (Cooper, Whitehead, 317 Pottrill, Julious, & Walters, 2018), strategies to maximise retention were reported in 318 the accompanying process evaluation (Mallows et al., 2019). Only three people started 319 but did not complete the initial questionnaire resulting in a 95% conversion rate. 320 Internet-based questionnaires allow the option of utilising a 'forced response' to a 321 question; the participant is not allowed to submit the questionnaire without completing 322 all the required details. This option may have been a contributing factor to the missing 323 data indicator of 0%.

324

325 Correlation Outcomes

326 The small sample size limits inferences from this preliminary analysis. Small sample 327 sizes increase data variability, lowering the probability of replication and as such, 328 correlation data may be unusual simply by chance. As the significance of the rho is 329 strongly influenced by the sample size (Pallant, 2016), these preliminary outcomes 330 should be interpreted cautiously. As such, future studies require a much larger sample 331 size to allow correlation inferences to be made and ascertain dependence through 332 regression analysis. Tabachnick and Fidell (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007) provide a 333 formula for calculating sample size requirements by taking into account the number of 334 independent variables that will be used: N>50 + 8m (m= number of independent 335 variables). Utilising the number of independent variables investigated in this feasibility 336 study (n=4; working alliance, outcome expectation, adherence, self-efficacy), the 337 sample size required for a future study which would allow for determining prediction in

addition to correlation would be 50+(8x4)= n>82. Strategies to maximise recruitment
were also a focus of the previously reported process evaluation (Mallows et al., 2019).
Suggested additional strategies included the use of posters to raise awareness with
patients and reminders for staff, the potential need for dedicated clinical time for
recruitment purposes and the need for additional communication strategies between
the researcher and clinicians – such as the use of a newsletter with recruitment hints
and tips.

345

346 Limitations

This feasibility study has some limitations. Firstly, the design of the study did not allow for all feasibility data to provide complete answers; it remains uncertain how many patients were given cards and how many landed on the blog page and then decided not to participate. Secondly, all recruitment sites were within the UK. The online platform allows for future studies to include international collaboration to improve generalisability.

353

354 Conclusion

355 Feasibility studies ask the question 'can this be done'? Based on the data from 356 recruitment and rates and exploratory correlation analysis a future study can be done; 357 this previously untested online platform appears feasible, but changes could be useful 358 before proceeding to a much larger study that conceivably could be rolled out across 359 English speaking countries. Changes to consider include; how the study could be 360 better publicised, such as the use of posters in clinical and staffing areas; how verbal 361 recruitment strategies could be optimised, including the potential need for dedicated 362 clinical time for recruitment; and how communication between clinicians and

- 363 researchers could be enhanced, such as the use of developing a newsletter as a
- 364 progress report.

365

366 **Reference List**

- Asghari, A., & Nicholas, M. K. (2001). Pain self-efficacy beliefs and pain behaviour. A
 prospective study. *Pain*, 94(1), 85–100. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11576748
- Ashby, E., Grocott, M. P. W., & Haddad, F. S. (2008). Outcome measures for
 orthopaedic interventions on the hip. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British Volume*, 90-B(5), 545–549. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301620X.90B5.19746
- Bassett, S. F. (2003). the assessment of patient adherence to physiotherapy
 rehabilitation. *New Zealand Journal of Physiotherapy*, *31*(July). Retrieved from
 http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_6848/is_2_31/ai_n28172934/%5Cnpapers2:/
 /publication/uuid/60AECD5C-3F52-4490-A977-6F4E8C46882E
- Bialosky, J. E., Bishop, M. D., & Cleland, J. A. (2010). Individual expectation: an
 overlooked, but pertinent, factor in the treatment of individuals experiencing
 musculoskeletal pain. *Physical Therapy*, 90(9), 1345–1355.
 https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20090306
- Binkley, J. M., Stratford, P. W., Lott, S. A., & Riddle, D. L. (1999). The Lower Extremity
 Functional Scale (LEFS): Scale Development, Measurement Properties, and
 Clinical Application. *Physical Therapy*, 79(4), 371–383.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/79.4.371
- Braithwaite, D., Emery, J., de Lusignan, S., & Sutton, S. (2003, October 1). Using the
 internet to conduct surveys of health professionals: A valid alternative? *Family Practice*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmg509
- Brewer, B. W., Van Raalte, J. L., Cornelius, A. E., Petitpas, A. J., Sklar, J. H., Pohlman,
 M. H., ... Ditmar, T. D. (2000). Psychological factors, rehabilitation adherence,
 and rehabilitation outcome after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 45(1), 20–37. https://doi.org/10.1037/00905550.45.1.20
- Chester, R., Jerosch-Herold, C., Lewis, J., & Shepstone, L. (2016). Psychological factors are associated with the outcome of physiotherapy for people with shoulder pain: a multicentre longitudinal cohort study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(4), 269–275. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096084
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd editio).
 UK: Routledge.
- Cook, J. L., & Purdam, C. R. (2009). Is tendon pathology a continuum? A pathology
 model to explain the clinical presentation of load-induced tendinopathy. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43(6), 409–416.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2008.051193
- Cook, J. L., Rio, E., Purdam, C. R., & Docking, S. I. (2016). Revisiting the continuum
 model of tendon pathology : what is its merit in clinical practice and research ? *Br J Sports Med*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095422
- 407 Cooper, C. L., Whitehead, A., Pottrill, E., Julious, S. A., & Walters, S. J. (2018). Are 408 pilot trials useful for predicting randomisation and attrition rates in definitive

- 409 studies: A review of publicly funded trials. *Clinical Trials*, *15*(2), 189–196.
 410 https://doi.org/10.1177/1740774517752113
- 411 Costa, L. O. P., Latimer, J., Ferreira, P. H., Maher, C. G., Pozzi, G. C., Freitas, L. M.
 412 A., & Ferreira, M. L. (2008). Clinimetric Testing of Three Self-report Outcome
 413 Measures for Low Back Pain Patients in Brazil. *Spine*, *33*(22), 2459–2463.
 414 https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3181849dbe
- de Vos Andersen, N.-B., Kent, P., Hjort, J., & Christiansen, D. H. (2017). Clinical
 course and prognosis of musculoskeletal pain in patients referred for
 physiotherapy: does pain site matter? *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, *18*(1),
 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-017-1487-3
- Drew, B. T., Smith, T. O., Littlewood, C., & Sturrock, B. (2012). Do structural changes
 (eg, collagen/matrix) explain the response to therapeutic exercises in
 tendinopathy: a systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *48*(12),
 966–972. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091285
- Färnqvist, K., Malliaras, P., & Pearson, S. (2019). Eccentric Exercise, Tendon
 Thickness, Pain and Function in Achilles Tendinopathy: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2018-0353
- Farrar, J. T., Young, J. P., LaMoreaux, L., Werth, J. L., & Poole, R. M. (2001). Clinical
 importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point
 numerical pain rating scale. *Pain*, 94(2), 149–158. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11690728
- Hall, A. M., Ferreira, P. H., Maher, C. G., Latimer, J., & Ferreira, M. L. (2010). The
 influence of the therapist-patient relationship on treatment outcome in physical
 rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Physical Therapy*, 90(8), 1099–1110.
 https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20090245
- Hanson, W. E., Curry, K. T., & Bandalos, D. L. (2002). Reliability generalization of
 working alliance inventory scale scores. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 62(4), 659–673. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164402062004008
- Hatcher, R. L., & Gillaspy, J. A. (2006). Development and validation of a revised short
 version of the working alliance inventory. *Psychotherapy Research*, *16*(1), 12–25.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10503300500352500
- Joyce, A. S., Ogrodniczuk, J. S., Piper, W. E., & McCallum, M. (2003). The alliance as
 mediator of expectancy effects in short-term individual therapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *71*(4), 672–679. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12924672
- 444 Kamper, S. (2009). Global Rating of Change scales. *The Australian Journal of* 445 *Physiotherapy*, 55(4), 289. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-9514(09)70015-7
- Khan, K. M., Forster, B. B., Robinson, J., Cheong, Y., Louis, L., Maclean, L., &
 Taunton, J. E. (2003). Are ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging of value
 in assessment of Achilles tendon disorders? A two year prospective study. *British Journal* of Sports Medicine, 37(2), 149–153.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.37.2.149
- 451 Lancaster, G. A., Dodd, S., & Williamson, P. R. (2004). Design and analysis of pilot
 452 studies: recommendations for good practice. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical*453 *Practice*, *10*(2), 307–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j..2002.384.doc.x
- 454
 Longo, U. G., Ronga, M., & Maffulli, N. (2009). Achilles Tendinopathy. Sports Medicine

 455
 and
 Arthroscopy
 Review,
 17(2),
 112–126.

 456
 https://doi.org/10.1097/JSA.0b013e3181a3d625
- 457 Mallen, C. D., Peat, G., Thomas, E., Dunn, K. M., & Croft, P. R. (2007). Prognostic 458 factors for musculoskeletal pain in primary care: a systematic review. *The British*

459Journal of General Practice : The Journal of the Royal College of General460Practitioners, 57(541), 655–661.Retrieved from461http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17688762

- Mallows, A., Debenham, J., Walker, T., & Littlewood, C. (2017). Association of
 psychological variables and outcome in tendinopathy: A systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *51*(9). https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096154
- Mallows, A. J., Debenham, J. R., Malliaras, P., Stace, R., & Littlewood, C. (2017).
 Cognitive and contextual factors to optimise clinical outcomes in tendinopathy. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, bjsports-2017-098064.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-098064
- Mallows, A., Littlewood, C., & Malliaras, P. (2017). Measuring patient-reported outcomes (PROs/PROMs) in people with Achilles tendinopathy: How useful is the VISA-A? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097531
- 473 Mallows, Adrian, Debenham, J., Walker, T., & Littlewood, C. (2016). Association of
 474 psychological variables and outcome in tendinopathy: a systematic review. *British*475 *Journal of Sports Medicine*, bjsports-2016-096154.
 476 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096154
- 477 Mallows, Adrian, Littlewood, C., Jackson, J., & Debenham, J. (2019). Managing
 478 Achilles Pain (the MAP study)– A process evaluation of data collection methods.
 479 *Musculoskeletal Science and Practice*, 42, 60–66.
 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2019.04.008
- Martin, R. L., Chimenti, R., Cuddeford, Tyler, Houck, J., Matheson, J. W.,
 Mcdonough, C. M., ... Torburn, L. (2018). Clinical Practice Guidelines Achilles
 Pain, Stiffness, and Muscle Power Deficits: Midportion Achilles Tendinopathy
 Revision 2018 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 48(5), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.0302
- 486 Miles, C. L., Pincus, T., Carnes, D., Taylor, S. J. C., & Underwood, M. (2011).
 487 Measuring Pain Self-efficacy. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 27(5), 461–470.
 488 https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e318208c8a2
- Murphy, M., Rio, E., Chivers, P., Debenham, J., Travers, M., & Gibson, W. (2018). The
 rate of improvement of pain and function in mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy with
 loading protocols: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Identification of
 Required Studies. *The International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, *13*(2),
 283–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0932-2
- 494 Nicholas, M. K. (2007). The pain self-efficacy questionnaire: Taking pain into account.
 495 *European Journal of Pain*, *11*(2), 153–163.
 496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.12.008
- 497 NIHR Clinical Research Network Coordinating Centre. (2016). *Good Clinical Practice* 498 (*GCP*) Reference Guide 2016. Retrieved from http://www.crn.nihr.ac.uk/learning 499 development/
- 500O'Neill, S., Watson, P. J., & Barry, S. (2015). Why Are Eccentric Exercises Effective501for Achilles Tendinopathy? International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy,50210(4), 552–562. Retrieved from503http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4527202&tool=pmcen504trez&rendertype=abstract
- 505 Pallant, J. (2016). SPSS survival manual : a step by step guide to data analysis using 506 IBM SPSS (6th editio). UK: Open University Press.
- 507Rio, E., Kidgell, D., Moseley, G. L., Gaida, J., Docking, S., Purdam, C., & Cook, J.508(2015). Tendon neuroplastic training: changing the way we think about tendon

- 509 rehabilitation: a narrative review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, bjsports-510 2015-095215-. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095215
- Rio, E., Moseley, L., Purdam, C., Samiric, T., Kidgell, D., Pearce, A. J., ... Cook, J.
 (2014). The pain of tendinopathy: Physiological or pathophysiological? *Sports Medicine*, 44(1), 9–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0096-z
- Scott, A., Docking, S., Vicenzino, B., Alfredson, H., Zwerver, J., Lundgreen, K., ...
 Danielson, P. (2013). Sports and exercise-related tendinopathies: a review of
 selected topical issues by participants of the second International Scientific
 Tendinopathy Symposium (ISTS) Vancouver 2012. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(9), 536–544. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092329
- 519 Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics, 5th ed. Using multivariate statistics, 5th ed.* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon/Pearson Education.
- Taylor, A. H., & May, S. (1996). Threat and coping appraisal as determinants of compliance with sports injury rehabilitation: An application of protection motivation theory. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 14(6), 471–482.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640419608727734
- Tracey, T. J., & Kokotovic, A. M. (1989). Factor structure of the Working Alliance
 Inventory. *Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1(3), 207–210. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.1.3.207
- 528 UK National Institution for Health Research (NIHR). (2017). NIHR Research for Patient
 529 Benefit (RfPB) Programme Guidance on Applying for Feasibility Studies.
 530 National Institute for Health Research, (July), 1–6. Retrieved from
 531 https://www.nihr.ac.uk/funding-and-support/documents/funding-for-research532 studies/research-programmes/RfPB/Guidance
- 533 Documents/Guidance_on_feasibility_studies.pdf
- von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., Pocock, S. J., Gøtzsche, P. C., Vandenbroucke,
 J. P., & STROBE Initiative. (2007). Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting
 observational studies. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 335(7624), 806–808.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39335.541782.AD
- 539