TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW

# TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW 

 Editorial Board: Stanley A. Arbingast, Chairman; John R. Stockton; Francis B. May; Robert H. Ryan; Graham Blackstock
## CONTENTS

bureau of businges research

## ARTICLES

## MAP

262: NUMBER OF TEXAS MANUFACTURERS INTERESTED IN EXPORTING (by counties)

Director: John R. Stockton<br>Associate Director and Resources Specialist: Stanley A. Arbingast<br>Assistant to the Director: Florence Escott<br>Consulting Statistician: Francis B. May<br>Administrative Assistant: Cynthia Bettinger<br>Research Associates: Frances Allen, Charles O. Bettinger, Graham Blackstock, Denniṣ W. Cooper, Willetta Dement, Robert Ittner, Ida M. Lambeth, Robert M. Lockwood, Robert H. Ryan, Lamar Smith, Jr., Tim Throckmorton<br>Research Assistants: Susan Godwin, Terry Throckmorton<br>Statistical Assistants: Mildred Anderson, Constance Cooledge, Glenda Riley<br>Statistical Technicians: Doris Dismuke, Mary Gorham<br>Cartographers: Patricia Middendorf, Douglas Winters, Jr.<br>Librarian: Merle Danz<br>Administrative Secretaries: Phyllis Parks, Ellen Young<br>Senior Secretaries ; Carolyn Harris, Jeanette Pryor<br>Senior Clerk Typists: Mary Elizabeth Galvan, Rosa Gonzalez, Shirley Rosendahl<br>Senior Clerk: Salvador B. Macias<br>Offset Press Operators: Robert Dorsett, Daniel P. Rosas

[^0]
## THE BUSINESS SITUATION IN TEXAS <br> Robert H. Ryan

Texas business activity registered its largest month-tomonth increase on record between June and July of this year. The 16 -percent gain brought the seasonally adjusted index to an all-time high, as charted below, and raised the year-to-date average for the index to a level 14 percent above that of January-July 1967.

Not all Texas cities have participated equally in this summer's striking upward movement, but almost all major cities in the state have shared to some degree in the expansion of business activity. Dallas has been the dynamic leader, with Austin and Houston not far behind, as shown in the accompanying table of indexes for 20 cities. San Antonio, too, has gained strongly, partly because of the increase in tourism revenues due to HemisFair. High growth rates are shown also for El Paso and Fort Worth but from somewhat lower bases than in preceding cities.

The increase in business volume as a whole has been supported by expansion in most major phases of the state's economy. Petroleum production and refinery inputs for January through July were 8 percent higher than they were in the corresponding period last year, with gasoline prices showing solid strength. Altogether, Texas' July industrial production was up nearly 10 percent from July 1967. Additionally, average weekly earnings in manufacturing were up 6.9 percent, compounding the effect of the expansion in industry.

Texas' civilian labor force has grown by 139,600 since July 1967, according to Texas Employment Commission estimates for this July. Yet unemployment has decreased in the state as a whole and in several major cities. July average unemployment in the major labor-market areas equaled only 2.9 percent of the labor force, with even
lower levels in the largest cities: Houston 2.3 percent, and Dallas 1.8 percent. These unemployment figures are so small as to require some qualification. Undoubtedly a substantial number of the 30,000 persons ostensibly "unemployed" in Dallas and Houston together are only casual jobseekers or workers between jobs. Even more are underskilled workers who cannot meet the increasing technical requirements for employment. In short, these cities and many others may be witnessing virtually full employment, or as full as the present labor force can sustain.

Rapid urbanization, high building costs, and tight money have combined to bring Texas a remarkable boom in apartment construction. Structures containing three or more family dwelling units accounted for most of the gain in building permits during the first seven months of this year. In June and July alone more than $\$ 38$ million worth of multiplex residential construction was authorized. Even the construction of one-family homes, while inhibited somewhat by the credit situation, has moved well ahead of the 1967 year-to-date total. To the extent that homebuilding has been depressed by high-cost money, activity may respond to the slight softening in interest rates. On the other hand, buyers show some inclination to postpone their commitments to purchase new homes. The building season will probably be over before easier money can provide much stimulus, though in Texas the building season is a good deal longer than in most of the country. At least there is reason to look for a substantial increase in homebuilding for 1969 .

Texas retailing exhibited some curious shifts in pattern as 1968 passed its midpoint. In this state, as nationally, automobile sales held up better than expected. Bureau of


Business Research statistical analysts projected a drop of 7 percent from June to July, based on the experience of recent years; yet, the actual decline was only 3 percent, representing a sort of negative victory of car salesmen over their past. Some of the automobile sales were doubtless due


BUSINESS-ACTIVITY INDEXES FOR 20 SELECTED TEXAS CITIES (Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959 $=100$ )

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July * } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June * } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year-to-date } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { June } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Year-to-date average July 1968 from July 1967 |
| Abilene | . 141.3 | 126.7 | 133.2 | 12 | - |
| Amarillo | . 198.6 | 186.5 | 186.8 | 6 | 10 |
| Austin | . 267.7 | 267.5 | 244.8 | ** | 21 |
| Beaumont | . 198.1 | 172.6 | 188.6 | 15 | 3 |
| Corpus Christi | 155.1 | 157.4 | 157.4 | - 1 | 12 |
| Corsicana .. | . 165.1 | 150.1 | 162.8 | 10 | 9 |
| Dallas ..... | . 280.4 | 246.7 | 252.3 | 14 | 18 |
| El Paso .... | . 151.1 | 125.3 | 135.8 | 21 | 19 |
| Fort Worth | . 171.1 | 150.9 | 166.0 | 17 | 16 |
| Galveston | .126.1 | 123.3 | 131.8 | 2 | 15 |
| Houston .... | .246.9 | 220.9 | 231.2 | 12 | 13 |
| Laredo | . 245.3 | 207.2 | 214.1 | 18 | 12 |
| Lubboek | . 181.3 | 145.6 | 153.1 | 25 | 3 |
| Port Arthur | . 108.7 | 115.3 | 112.8 | - 6 | 2 |
| San Angelo .. | .164.2 | 152.5 | 156.0 | 8 | 8 |
| San Antonio .. | .211.0 | 190.8 | 193.4 | 11 | 16 |
| Texarkana | . 248.8 | 216.2 | 230.7 | 15 | 10 |
| Tyler ...... | . 170.4 | 142.1 | 156.3 | 20 | 7 |
| Waco | . 176.6 | 165.2 | 170.2 | 7 | 12 |
| Wichita Falls | . 154.6 | 136.6 | 135.8 | 13 | 6 |
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PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL SALES (Unadjusted)

p Preliminary.

* Bureau of Business Research estimates based on data from the Bureau of the Census.
\# Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, buildingmaterial, and hardware dealers.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

POSTAL RECEIPTS
SELECTED TEXAS CITIES

|  | Jul 1968 | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Alice | .23,491 | $-21$ | 10 |
| Alvin ....... | . 18,123 | 4 | 29 |
| Ballinger | . 5,772 | $-34$ | 14 |
| Breckenridge | . 10,719 | $-13$ | 75 |
| Carrizo Springs | . 3,772 | $-19$ | 27 |
| Carthage .... | . 6,836 | - 32 | $-14$ |
| Center . ..... | . 7,233 | $-38$ | $-14$ |
| Childress .... | .. 6,806 | $-29$ | 14 |
| Cleveland ... | . 8,480 | $-25$ | 36 |
| Coleman ..... | .. 6,362 | $-35$ | .. |
| Columbus .... | . 5,494 | $-24$ | $-9$ |
| Commerce | . 11,402 | - 25 | 24 |
| Crockett | . 9,035 | $-26$ | 9 |
| Cuero | . 8,793 | - 4 | 32 |
| Dalhart | . 7,391 | $-25$ | 6 |
| Dumas | . 9,984 | $-31$ | 36 |
| El Campo | .13,576 | $-26$ | $-1$ |
| Electra ...... | . 3,681 | - 52 | $-14$ |
| Falfurrias .... | .. 5,358 | $-29$ | . |
| Gainesville | . 20,659 | $-7$ | $\ldots$ |
| Galena Park | . 10,787 | $-2$ | 28 |
| Gilmer | . 7,051 | $-27$ | 10 |
| Hale Center . | . 2,668 | $-2$ | 35 |
| Hearne | . 5,351 | - 19 | 23 |
| Hempstead . . | . 5,472 | $-35$ | $\ldots$ |
| Hillsboro | . 10,105 | $-10$ | 44 |
| Hurst | . 21,668 | $-17$ | 12 |
| Kenedy | . 4,789 | $-36$ | ** |
| Kermit . ..... | . 9,689 | $-16$ | 40 |
| Kerrville | . 18,045 | $-29$ | 8 |
| La Grange . | . 8,033 | $-34$ | 40 |
| Mathis | .. 4,048 | $-23$ | 15 |
| Mexia ...... | .. 8,072 | - 24 | 27 |
| Navasota | . 6,696 | $-21$ | ... |
| New Braunfels | . 24,984 | $-17$ | 10 |
| Perryton | . 10,014 | $-23$ | - 5 |
| Pittsburg ... | .. 5,863 | $-27$ | $\cdots$ |
| Plano | . 15,174 | - 2 | 18 |
| Port Lavaca | . 14,115 | $-13$ | 33 |
| Rusk . . . . . . | . 7,176 | - 1 | ... |
| Seminole .. | .. 6,366 | $-9$ | 28 |
| Taft | .. 4,155 | 2 | 29 |
| Terrell .... | . 12,237 | $-23$ | $-1$ |
| Wharton .... | .. 9,176 | $-30$ | 2 |
| Winnsboro ... | . 5,513 | ** | $\ldots$ |
| Yoakum ....... | . 222,042 | 12 | ... |

** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Classification (annual sales volume 1967) | Number of reporting stores | Credit ratios * |  | Collection ratios $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jul | Jul | Jul | Jul |
|  |  | 1968 | 1967 | 1968 | 1967 |
| ALL STORES ....... <br> BY TYPE OF STOR | $\ldots . .26$ | 61.1 | 61.0 | 31.7 | 32.2 |
| Department stores . | . 8 | 64.5 | 63.1 | 34.2 | 34.3 |
| Dry-goods and apparel stores |  | 58.7 | 58.9 | 40.7 | 37.2 |
| Women's specialty shop | ps . 7 | 60.1 | 61.0 | 30.5 | 81.8 |
| Men's clothing stores BY VOLUME OF' NET SALES | $\ldots 6$ | 58.8 | 58.3 | 44.2 | 43.4 |
| Over $\$ 1,500,000$ | 8 | 62.1 | 62.0 | 30.8 | 31.6 |
| \$500,000 to \$1,500,000 | .. 6 | 58.5 | 53.8 | 40.8 | 88.4 |
| \$250,000 to \$500,000 | .. 6 | 62.4 | 63.6 | 38.6 | 38.8 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000$. | .... 6 | 49.4 | 47.4 | 38.9 | 38.1 |

[^2]RETAIL-SALES TRENDS BY KIND OF BUSINESS
(Unadjusted)

| Kind of business $\begin{gathered}\text { Number of } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { stores }\end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July from June |  |  | Jan-Jul 1968fromJan-Jul 1967 |
|  |  | Actual |  |  |
|  | Normal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Nun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |  |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores $\dagger$. . . . . 318 | $-7$ | - 3 | 22 | 17 |
| Motor-vehicle dealers 185 |  | - 2 | 22 | 17 |
| Furniture and household- |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture stores . ..... 100 |  | 2 | 14 | 13 |
| Lumber, building-material, <br> and hardware dealers 203 |  |  |  |  |
| Farm-implement <br> dealers ............ 19 | $\ldots$ | 21 | - 3 | 11 |
| Hardware stores ...... 58 |  | - | 15 | 13 |
| Lumber and buildingmaterial dealers .... 126 | $\ldots$ | 11 | 40 | 20 |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel stores .......... 280 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| Family clothing stores 42 | ... | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Men's and boys' clothing ............. 51 | $\ldots$ | 10 | 15 | 14 |
| Shoe stores ......... 51 | $\cdots$ | $-2$ | 5 | 10 |
| Women's ready-to-wear stores . .............. 113 | ... | ** | 9 | 7 |
| Other apparel stores .. 23 | $\ldots$ | 18 | 14 | 14 |
| Drugstores ............. 158 | - 2 | 2 | 9 | 6 |
| Eating and drinking |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurants ......... 85 | $\cdots$ | ** | 3 | 4 |
| Food stores $\dagger$. ........... 384 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| Groceries (without meats) | ... | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Groceries (with meats) 300 | $\cdots$ | 13 | 3 | 2 |
| Gasoline and service |  |  |  |  |
| General-merchandise |  |  |  |  |
| Full-line stores ....... 129 | $\ldots$ | 4 | 13 | 15 |
| Dry-goods stores ...... 50 | ... | -4 | 5 | 4 |
| Department stores .... 38 | ... | - 3 | 3 | 13 |
| Other retail stores $\dagger$. .... 261 | -4 | $-3$ | 7 | 8 |
| Florists ............. 52 | ... | 6 | 15 | 12 |
| Nurseries ........... 19 | ... | -13 | 39 | 6 |
| Jewelry stores ........ 34 | ... | $-1$ | $-2$ | 7 |
| Liquor stores ........ 41 | $\ldots$ | - 3 | -2 | 2 |
| Office-, store-, and school-supply dealers 33 | $\cdots$ | 7 | 21 | 8 |

* Percent change of current month's seasonal average from preceding month's seasonal average.
$\dagger$ Includes kinds of business other than classification listed.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
less to salesmanship than to buyers' certain knowledge that 1969 models will be more expensive, generally by about $\$ 100$ per car, and less generously covered by manufacturers' warranties.

General-merchandise stores, especially department stores and full-line stores, did rather well in July, though the category as a whole fell short of its expected 9 -percent sales gain. In point of fact, the summer sales pattern in Texas general merchandising has been shifting rapidly in recent years, and it has become increasingly difficult to determine the "normal" seasonal pattern. Not many years ago July ordinarily brought a midsummer slump in sales. Since the early 1960 's, however, July has become a decidedly better month than June. Computer-assisted analysis of

MANUFACTURING EMPLOYMENT, TEXAS
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Source: Texas Employment Commission.
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the record at the Bureau of Business Research indicated that a remarkable 9-percent gain in general merchandise sales from June to July could be anticipated this year if the recent trend continued to develop. Actually, the increase was only 2 percent. Whether this disappointing outcome represents a reversal of the seasonal trend or whether it is due to special influences it is impossible to see at close range.

Wholesale and consumer prices are still scaling upward, and there is growing doubt of the efficacy of the incometax surcharge in stabilizing prices. The argument was set forth in support of the tax bill that if some excess spending power was drained out of the economy, there would be less competition for goods and services and therefore less tendency toward price increases. It is generally agreed, however, that the inflationary trend is due not merely to rising disposable income but probably even more to the cost increases prompted by higher labor rates. Whichever factor is stronger, a moderate income surtax may not be the remedy for inflation. Americans have stepped up their saving about as rapidly as their spending. For this reason it will be easy enough for many families to save a little less and spend as much as they have been spending-even more, if they happen to be beneficiaries of some of the recent wage gains. Further, consumer credit has been expanding even more rapidly than consumer purchasing.

Whatever the course of 1968 's uncertain prosperity, Texans can at least be confident that their increasingly diversified economy is less vulnerable to broad fluctuations than it once was.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEVELOPING THE EXPORT POTENTIAL OF TEXAS <br> Gordon Arnold and Curtis Reierson*

An attempt to measure the potential of Texas manufacturers in foreign trade has been recently made. The data on which the study was based were analyzed and reported in a preceding article published earlier in this journal.' The potential revealed by that study should be developed by use of the techniques available to Texas manufacturers, which will be presented in this second discussion of the problem. Such a development of export potential among Texas manufacturers can be viewed in two phases -short-term potential and long-term potential.

> Factual Basis for the Study

On September 1, 1965, the Texas Industrial Commission opened the Office of Export Expansion. This move was the result of efforts by several interest groups throughout Texas to create a state agency whose sole purpose would be to expand exporting. ${ }^{2}$

The first project undertaken by the Office of Export Expansion was intended both to inform Texas manufacturers of the agency's existence and purpose, and to measure manufacturers' interest in exporting. A major phase of this effort included sending a brochure-questionnaire to all manufacturers listed in the Directory of Texas Manufacturers : 1965.
The questionnaire contained three questions:

1. Are you presently exporting?
2. If so, is there any way this office can help increase your foreign sales?
3. If not, are you interested in learning more about exporting your products?
Data on the 596 responding manufacturers who showed an interest in exporting are presented in this article. All of these manufacturers answered affirmatively to at least one of the last two questions in the questionnaire.

An outline of the interested manufacturers' characteristics was compiled from the Directory of Texas Manufacturers: 1966. Texas manufacturers who expressed an interest in exporting represent 5.5 percent of total manufacturers. Exporters comprised 38 percent of the replies; nonexporters, 62 percent. More than 23 percent of all exporters were interested in expanding their current foreign sales. Only 3.7 percent of total nonexporters, however, indicated an interest in becoming exporters.

## Short-Term Development

## Procedures To Cultivate Present Interest

The most immediate need in developing Texas' export potential seems to be cultivation of the already-existent

[^3]interest in exporting. At least 596 Texas manufacturers desire to know more about selling their products abroad.

Two factors favor a program to develop this potential. One of these factors is the location of 70 percent of the interested manufacturers in standard metropolitan statistical areas. One third of these manufacturers are in the Dallas and Houston Areas. This concentration in small geographic areas is conducive to development activities. Many interested manufacturers can be visited personally by representatives of the Texas Industrial Commission, U.S. Department of Commerce, or local and regional chambers of commerce. Current and projected export marketing can be discussed and planned. Trade missions and export seminars can be easily scheduled to include those areas with the largest number of interested manufacturers. Manufacturers interested in exporting are located in 122 Texas counties. These counties, with the number of interested manufacturers in the county, are outlined in the accompanying map.

A second contributing development factor is the classification of almost one half of the interested manufacturers in one of four industry groups with unusual potential for foreign trade-nonelectrical machinery, fabricated-metal products, chemicals and allied products, and food and kindred products (Table). Special export opportunities for these industries can be thoroughly explored through the research facilities of the U.S. Department of Commerce and by contacts in foreign countries. Names of foreign local representatives who specialize in handling these products can be forwarded to the manufacturers. Additional information about special procedures in exporting these products can be secured from steamship lines, airlines, insurance agencies, crating companies, international lawyers, and international advertising agencies.

Information about exporting products in each major industry can be prepared and sent to interested manufacturers in each industry. A schedule of trade missions, foreigntrade shows, and local export seminars could be included in a monthly export news letter. These letters could highlight articles in export publications and periodicals that would be of particular interest to Texas exporters. Personal visits by export specialists also are possible if the manufacturers' locations are not too remote.

## Procedures To Improve Export Process

A second short-term plan for developing export potential involves an educational program for manufacturers. This program would include several aspects of international marketing. Its planners should structure the format so that it would be helpful to both exporters and potential exporters.

The Texas Industrial Commission, Office of Export Expansion, has undertaken a state-wide educational program based upon a series of one-day seminars. Format for these seminars involves brief covering of the essentials of exporting such as documentations, financing, insurance, shipping, and crating. These seminars are well attended.

Houston and Dallas Chambers of Commerce have also sponsored one-day seminars, which in like manner briefly covered the essential areas of exporting.

While the one-day seminars have no doubt been helpful to exporters and potential exporters, the situation requires more comprehensive study. One day is not enough time for full exploration of international marketing. In addition to information on the mechanics of exporting, a manufacturer needs to know how to research his best foreign-market opportunities and how to plan an entire marketing program to reach these markets.

One-week export seminars held at colleges across the
state could supplement and expand what has been partially covered in the one-day seminars. These could be conducted by the Texas Industrial Commission with help from colleges, the U.S. Department of Commerce, chambers of commerce, steamship lines, airlines, banks, freight forwarders, crating companies, insurance agencies, advertising agencies, and combination export managers. Wellplanned night sessions throughout the week could highlight one or two areas at each meeting.

The one-week seminars would provide the manufacturers a relatively good exposure to international marketing and its adaptations to their individual needs. A manu-


TEXAS MANUFACTURERS INTERESTED IN EXPORTING BY MAJOR-INDUSTRY CLASSES

|  Percent of <br> interested <br> nonexporters <br> to elass <br> Major-industry  <br> class  | Percent of interested exporters to class exporters | Percent of interested class mfrs. to all class mfrs. | Percent of interested class mfrs. to all interested mfrs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural-gas products. ..... 0.7 | ... | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Food and kindred products 2.9 | 26.8 | 8.7 | 8.6 |
| Textile-mill products ......1.4 | 33.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 |
| Apparel and related products . ................. 5.8 | 28.1 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Lumber and wood products 4.5 | 37.5 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| Furniture and fixtures ....3.2 | 54.5 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| Paper and allied products 3.4 | 21.4 | 4.8 | 1.2 |
| Printing and publishing ..1.7 | 22.6 | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| Chemicals and allied products ..................2.8 | 16.9 | 8.9 | 9.7 |
| Petroleum and coal products 5.0 | 25.0 | 9.8 | 1.7 |
| Rubber and plastics products ..................6.6 | 26.5 | 10.1 | 4.0 |
| Leather and leather products .................. 4.4 | ... | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| Stone, shell, clay, and glass products .......2.5 | 28.6 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| Primary-metal industries . .5.7 | 25.0 | 8.7 | 2.7 |
| Fabricated-metal products . 4.2 | 26.8 | 6.7 | 18.3 |
| Machinery except electrical 5.6 | 24.3 | 10.0 | 17.1 |
| Electrical machinery ......8.5 | 26.5 | 12.2 | 5.3 |
| Transportation equipment 6.8 | 23.5 | 9.6 | 5.2 |
| Instruments and related products . .................2.7 | 19.5 | 9.4 | 2.9 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing (including tobacco products and ordnance |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { and } \begin{array}{c} \text { accessories) } \\ \text { Total } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 23.7 | 5.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.3 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |

Source: Computed from the Bureau of Business Research, The University of Texas, Directory of Texas Manufacturers: 1966; and Texas Industrial Commission, Office of Export Expansion, Interest in Exporting Questionnaire, 1965.
facturer would have at least one day for analysis of his own peculiar needs in each area. A follow-up session could be scheduled to assist the manufacturer in tailoring his international marketing program.
Mass Export Promotion
Mass advertising can be quite beneficial in export development. Radio and television provide an excellent means for reaching potential exporters and for education of the general public on the advantages of exporting. Both media are required by the Federal Communications Commission to broadcast public-service announcements for nonprofit organizations. A brief export film could be made and distributed to area television stations. Short radio messages could also be produced and sent to radio stations across the state.

Most large city newspapers have a business section or page which features various business developments. These papers should be provided with interesting export statistics and case studies as the basis for special feature stories or business columns.

Displays showing the important role of exporting to the nation, state, and individual firm should be exhibited at fairs, industry shows, and conventions. These activities usually attract many manufacturers. The display could be constructed as a permanent fixture so it could be reused many times.

Mass promotions are needed to keep the idea of exporting constantly before the manufacturer. They are also
beneficial in dispelling misconceptions about exporting. The costs of the promotional projects previously outlined appear to be quite nominal, considering the number of people who would be reached.

## Long-Term Development

## Expansion of State Export Offices

Since its conception in September 1965 the Office of Export Expansion of the Texas Industrial Commission has provided a variety of export services to Texas manufacturers. This is the only agency in the state that is currently offering individual assistance to all manufacturers who want to begin or to expand export operations. The U.S. Department of Commerce is also available for help to exporters. But the Office of Export Expansion appears to be more flexible in solving small, everyday export problems.

Currently the Office of Export Expansion has one office in Austin. One man handles almost all of the export activities. The initial enthusiasm with which Texas manufacturers accepted this state agency warrants expansion of both the number of offices and the staff.

Over 40 percent of all Texas manufacturers and over 57 percent of all exporters are located in the Houston, Dallas, and Forth Worth Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. Two additional Export Expansion offices, one in Houston and one in Fort Worth or Dallas, could substantially increase the amount of individual assistance currently being offered. At least two new employees would be needed to staff these new offices. Only men with extensive export experience should be selected.

## Establishment of Foreign Export Offices

Within the next fifteen years it may be possible to establish two or three state offices in key trade centers abroad. These foreign offices would act as a liaison between Texas exporters and foreign buyers. Employees of these offices would keep extensive files on potential foreign buyers. They would also have catalogs and other promotional materials from cooperating Texas manufacturers. Whenever a request was received from either a foreign buyer or a Texas seller, immediate information would be available for guidance in the decision making.

Additional duties of the state foreign export offices would involve planning promotions of Texas products in several foreign countries. They also could help coordinate state trade missions.

## Suggested Future Studies

Several possible studies would provide much needed information.

The procedures currently being used by Texas exporters should be carefully examined.

Regional and local studies on the effects of exports might also prove beneficial. They could provide the basis for local efforts to improve conditions for exporting. For example, if an area showed a sufficient level of exporting and export interest the local banks might be able to open an international department.

Studies in total state potential could be used to pinpoint export potential and provide the basis for a plan for export development. This development can make a significant contribution to the state's economy.

## BUILDING REVIEW, JULY <br> Dennis W. Cooper

The bustling Texas construction scene was highlighted in July by activity in residential construction, which in spite of near-record interest rates continued to provide basic momentum for the industry.

The total value of construction authorized in Texas in July rose to more than $\$ 185$ million. This represents a 9 -percent increase over the June total and pushed the January-through-July total for 196811 percent higher than the comparable figure for 1967. Both residential and nonresidential construction shared in the July upswing by registering 5 -percent and 18 -percent increases from June respectively. Total unadjusted residential construction now shows a 33 -percent increase for the first seven months of 1968 over the same period in 1967, while total unadjusted nonresidential construction is down 8 percent in the same comparison. Almost $\$ 160$ million of the $\$ 185$ million of total authorizations occurred in the state's twenty-three standard metropolitan statistical areas, producing a 12 -percent increase over the June total for these areas, while total nonmetropolitan authorized construction fell 6 percent from June.

When the data are adjusted for seasonal variation the July total for authorized construction shows a 16 -percent increase over June 1968 and an 8-percent gain over July 1967. The monthly average of seasonally adjusted total construction for 1968 is up 11 percent over the comparable figure for 1967.
An examination of specific categories in both the residential and the nonresidential construction sectors demonstrates the diversity in building concentration that shapes the total construction picture. In the residential sphere the unadjusted figures for one-family dwellings reflect only a 6 -percent increase for the January-through-July 1968 period over the same period in 1967, while the multiple-family category evidences an amazing 111-percent rise in the same comparison. In the nonresidential sector the unadjusted data reveal that year-to-year increases in hotels, motels, and tourist courts ( 85 percent), works and utilities (34 percent), and stores and mercantile buildings ( 12 percent) help compensate for year-to-year decreases in industrial buildings ( -28 percent), educational buildings ( -29 percent), and service stations and repair garages ( -17 per-

cent), to produce an overall nonresidential construction year-to-year decline of only 8 percent from the record pace of 1967.

Authorizations for residential construction in July after adjustment for seasonal variation increased 54 percent from July 1967 and 12 percent from June 1968. The monthly average of seasonally adjusted residential authorizations for 1968 shows a 34 -percent rise over the comparable figure for 1967. The main source of residential construction growth is found in the categories of two-family and apart-ment-house dwelling units. While one-family units have produced relatively small unadjusted increases for the first seven months of 1968 over the same period of 1967, both two-family and apartment-house dwelling units reflect phenomenal increases of 25 and 126 percent respectively.

The twenty-three Texas standard metropolitan statistical areas continued to dominate the statewide residential con-

ESTIMATED VALUES OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS

| Classification (t | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Jul} \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-Jul } \\ 1968 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jun } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jan-Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS ...... | .185,114 | 1,214,442 | 9 | 11 |
| New construction ... | .163,176 | 1,082,455 | 10 | 12 |
| Residential (house- <br> keeping) ..... 95,500 628,622 |  |  |  |  |
| One-family dwellings | . 55,323 | 372,374 | 20 | 6 6 |
| Multiple-family |  |  |  |  |
| Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |
| Hotels, motels, and tourist courts | $1,875$ | 28,446 | $-54$ | 85 |
| Amusement |  |  |  |  |
| Churches ...... | . 2,556 | 22,890 | $-18$ | - |
| Industrial 2,00 |  |  |  |  |
| Garages (commer- |  |  |  |  |
| Service stations | 1,145 | 9,299 | $-26$ | $-17$ |
| Hospitals and institutions ... | $18,157$ | 46,700 | 1,161 | 17 $-\quad 5$ |
| Office-bank |  |  |  |  |
| Works and |  |  |  |  |
| Educational |  |  |  |  |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | $12,909$ | 76,585 |  | 12 |
| Other buildings |  |  |  |  |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs ..... | $21,938$ | 181,987 | ** | 4 |
| METROPOLITAN \#† vs. |  |  |  |  |
| NONMETROPOLTTAN \# $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan ... | .159,774 | 1,068,941 | 12 | 10 |
| Central cities ..... | .117,133 | 781,074 | 18 | 8 |
| Outside central cities | 42,641 | 282,867 | 8 | 17 |
| Total nonmetropolitan 10,000 to 50,000 | $25,340$ | 150,501 | - 6 | 19 |
| population ..... | . 15,845 | 96,520 | $-22$ | 28 |
| Less than 10,000 |  |  |  | 4 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census and revised in 1968.
** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
\# Standard metropolitan statistical area.
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.
struction scene in July, accounting for 88 percent of the state's dollar total for one-family dwelling units authorized, 88 percent of the dollar total for duplexes authorized, and 94 percent of the dollar total for apartment dwelling units authorized. These July figures correspond closely to the seven-month totals for 1968 , which show that the total metropolitan areas accounted for 87 percent of the state's total dollar value for one-family dwelling units, 89 percent of the state's total dollar value for duplexes, and 95 percent of the corresponding figure for apartment-house units.
The largest percentage increases in total dollar value of construction authorized for one-family dwelling units among the SMSA's occurred in the Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito and the McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg areas. Their respective year-to-year increases of 108 percent and 98 percent reflect the tremendous efforts being made to recover from the disastrous effects of Hurricane Beulah and the accompanying torrential rains which occurred in September 1967.

The state's six leading SMSA's as measured by the dollar value of total construction authorized for the first seven months of 1968 all demonstrate striking similarities in their construction patterns, as evidenced by Table 2. With the exception of the Austin SMSA they have all experienced rises in total construction authorized for the January-through-July period of 1968 over the same period in 1967. All six SMSA's show year-to-year percent decreases in the category of total nonresidential construction authorized, varying from a negligible -2 percent for the San Antonio SMSA to -47 percent for the Austin SMSA. Residential construction, on the other hand, rose in each of the six SMSA's on a year-to-year basis, ranging from 10 percent

for the Houston SMSA to 60 percent for the San Antonio SMSA.

A total of ten apartment-building projects each with a value of $\$ 1$ million or more were authorized in Texas in July. Dallas led the list with four such projects, including that with the highest value of any in the state, $\$ 2,327,000$. Corpus Christi and Grand Prairie each reported two such projects, while one each was registered in Pasadena and North Richland Hills.

Lubbock reported the state's only educational construction project valued at over $\$ 1$ million, a new law building for Texas Technological College. Industrial building projects of over $\$ 1$ million were in a closely grouped area, with one each authorized in Dallas, Fort Worth, and Grand Prairie. The state's largest single construction project authorized in July was recorded in Texarkana, a new hospital valued at over $\$ 7.6$ million. Other single hospital authorizations amounting to more than $\$ 1$ million were listed in Lubbock, Jacksonville, and Fort Worth.

At the national level on the basis of the seasonally adjusted annual rate private housing starts in July were up 14 percent over the June figure and 12 percent over the July 1967 figure. Such adjusted data, however, do not reflect the difference between twenty-two working days in July 1968 and only twenty in June and twenty-one in July 1967. Construction authorizations in July slipped 4 percent from the June total but were 8 percent higher than in July 1967.

## The Outlook

Continued construction expansion both in Texas and at the national level is heavily dependent upon the stillincomplete reaction to the recently imposed 10 -percent federal surtax. At the present time such reaction appears favorable in its effect on national and statewide construction. Interest rates on tax-exempt government bonds have fallen as much as one half of 1 percent on some such issues in the long-term sector of the credit market. Already recorded decreases on some non-tax-exempt offerings are hopefully a harbinger of a general easing of long-term rates. The Federal Reserve Board's reduction in the discount rate it charges member banks, from 5.50 percent to 5.25 percent, is indicative of the hoped-for movement toward an easing of credit for construction with respect to both availability and cost. Developments such as these, hopefully combined with an abatement of inflationary pressures and less worrisome Vietnam and U.S. political situations, provide an optimistic atmosphere for the burgeoning Texas construction industry.

Table 1. NONFARM BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN SIX SELECTED METROPOLITAN AREAS $\dagger$ JANUARY-JULY 1968

|  | Total * |  | New nonresidential |  | New residential |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent change |  | Percent change |  | Percent change |
|  | Jan-Jul 1968 | Jan-Jul 1968 | Jan-Jul 1968 | Jan-Jul 1968 | Jan-Jul 1968 | Jan-Jul 1968 |
| Standard metropolitan statistical area | Value | from | Value | from | Value | from |
| Austin | 71,513,635 | - 8 | 20,284,540 | $-47$ | 47,175,000 | 31 |
| Dallas | 268,587,826 | 15 | 77,538,662 | - 24 | 165,159,117 | 51 |
| El Paso | 40,810,983 | 18 | 11,636,463 | $-10$ | 25,106,900 | 41 |
| Fort Worth | 112,867,616 | 8 | 30,828,033 | -81 | 72,083,951 | 43 |
| Houston | 275,508,576 | 1 | 117,766,337 | - 5 | 111,418,522 | 10 |
| San Antonio | 83,564,798 | 25 | 32,922,755 | $-2$ | 42,446,506 | 60 |

[^4]Indicators of business conditions in Texas cities published in this table include statistics on banking, building permits, employment, postal receipts, and retail trade. An individual city is listed when a minimum of three indicators are available.

The cities have been grouped according to standard metropolitan statistical areas. In Texas all twenty-three SMSA's are defined by county lines; the counties included are listed under each SMSA. The populations shown for the SMSA's are estimates for April 1, 1967, prepared by the Population Research Center, Department of Sociology, The University of Texas at Austin. The population shown after the city name is the 1960 Census figure, unless otherwise indicated. Cities in SMSA's are listed alphabetically under their appropriate SMSA's; all other cities are listed alphabetically as main entries.

Retail-sales data are reported here only when a minimum total of fifteen stores report; separate categories of retail stores are listed only when a minimum of five stores report in those categories. The first column presents current data for the various categories. Percentages shown for retail sales are average statewide percent changes from the preceding month. This is the normal seasonal change in sales by that kind of business-except in the cases of Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio, where the dagger ( $\dagger$ ) is replaced by another symbol ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) because the normal seasonal changes given are for each of these cities individually. The second column shows the percent change from the preceding month in data reported for the current month; the third column shows the percent change in data from the same month a year ago. A large variation between the
normal seasonal change and the reported change indi. cates an abnormal sales month.

Symbols used in this table include:
(a) Population Research Center data, April 1, 1967.
(b) Separate employment data for the Midland and Odessa SMSA's are not available, since employment figures for Midland and Ector Counties, composing one labormarket area, are recorded in combined form.
(c) Separate employment data for Gladewater, Kilgore, and Longview are not available, since employment figures for Gregg County, composing one labor-market area, are recorded in total.
$(\dagger)$ Average statewide percent change from preceding month.
$(\dagger+)$ Average individual-city percent change from preceding month.
(r) Estimates officially recognized by Texas Highway Department.
(rr) Estimate for Pleasanton: combination of 1960 Census figures for Pleasanton and North Pleasanton.
(*) Cash received during the four-week postal accounting period ended July 26, 1968.
$(\ddagger)$ Money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month.
(§) Data for Texarkana, Texas, only.
(**) Change is less than one half of 1 percent.
(||) Annual rate basis, seasonally adjusted.
(\#) Monthly averages.
(X) Sherman-Denison SMSA: a new standard metropolitan statistical area, for which not all categories of data are now available.

# ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF CITIES INCLUDED IN SEPTEMBER 1968 ISSUE OF TEXAS BUSINESS REVIEW 

Abilene (Abilene SMSA)
Alamo (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg
SMSA)
Albany
Alpine
Amarillo (Amarillo SMSA)
Andrews
Angleton
Aransas Pass (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Arlington (Fort Worth SMSA)
Athens
Austin (Austin SMSA)
Bay City
Baytown (Houston SMSA)
Beaumont (Beaumont-Port Arthur-
Orange SMSA)
Beeville
Bellaire (Houston SMSA)
Bellville
Belton
Big Spring
Bishop (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Bonham
Borger
Brady
Brenham
Brownfield
Brownsville (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA)

## Brownwood

## Bryan

Burkburnett (Wichita Falls SMSA)
Caldwell
Cameron
Canyon (Amarillo SMSA)
Carrollton (Dallas SMSA)
Castroville
Cisco
Cleburne (Fort Worth SMSA)
Clute (Houston SMSA)
College Station
Colorado City
Conroe (Houston SMSA)
Copperas Cove
Corpus Christi (Corpus Christi SMSA)
Corsicana
Crane
Crystal City
Dallas (Dallas SMSA)
Dayton (Houston SMSA)
Decatur
Deer Park (Houston SMSA)
Del Rio
Denison (Sherman-Denison SMSA)
Denton (Dallas SMSA)
Dickinson (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Dimmitt

Donna (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Eagle Lake
Eagle Pass
Edinburg (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Edna
El Paso (El Paso SMSA)
Elsa (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA)
Ennis (Dallas SMSA)
Euless (Fort Worth SMSA)
Farmers Branch (Dallas SMSA)
Fort Stockton
Fort Worth (Fort Worth SMSA)
Fredericksburg
Freeport (Houston SMSA)

## Friona

Galveston (Galveston-Texas City SMSA)
Garland (Dallas SMSA)
Gatesville
Georgetown
Giddings
Gladewater
Goldthwaite
Graham
Granbury
Grand Prairie (Dallas SMSA)

| ALPHABETICAL LISTIN <br> TEX | F CITIES INCLUDED IN $B U S I N E S S ~ R E V I E W$ (Co | PTEMBER 1968 ISSUE OF nued) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grapevine (Fort Worth SMSA) | McAllen (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg | Refugio |
| Greenville | SMSA) | Richardson (Dallas SMSA) |
| Groves (Beaumont-Port Arthur- | McCamey | Richmond (Houston SMSA) |
| Orange SMSA) | McGregor (Waco SMSA) | Robstown (Corpus Christi SMSA) |
| Hallettsville | McKinney (Dallas SMSA) | Rockdale |
| Hallsville | Marble Falls | Rosenberg (Houston SMSA) |
| Harlingen (Brownsville-Harlingen- | Marshall | San Angelo (San Angelo SMSA) |
| San Benito SMSA) | Mercedes (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg | San Antonio (San Antonio SMSA) |
| Haskell | SMSA) | San Benito (Brownsville-Harlingen- |
| Henderson | Mesquite (Dallas SMSA) | San Benito SMSA) |
| Hereford | Midland (Midland SMSA) | San Juan (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg |
| Houston (Houston SMSA) | Midlothian (Dallas SMSA) | SMSA) |
| Humble (Houston SMSA) | Mineral Wells | San Marcos |
| Huntsville | Mission (McAllen-Pharr- | San Saba |
| Iowa Park (Wichita Falls SMSA) | Edinburg SMSA) | Schertz (San Antonio SMSA) |
| Irving (Dallas SMSA) | Monahans | Seagoville (Dallas SMSA) |
| Jacksonville | Mount Pleasant | Seguin (San Antonio SMSA) |
| Jasper | Muenster | Sherman (Sherman-Denison SMSA) |
| Junction | Muleshoe | Silsbee |
| Justin (Dallas SMSA) | Nacogdoches | Sinton (Corpus Christi SMSA) |
| Karnes City | Nederland (Beaumont-Port Arthur- | Slaton (Lubbock SMSA) |
| Katy (Houston SMSA) | Orange SMSA) | Smithville |
| Kilgore | North Richland Hills (Fort Worth | Snyder |
| Killeen | SMSA) | Sonora |
| Kingsland | Odessa (Odessa SMSA) | South Houston (Houston SMSA) |
| Kingsville | Olney | Stephenville |
| Kirbyville | Orange (Beaumont-Port Arthur | Stratford |
| La Feria (Brownsville-Harlingen- | Orange SMSA) | Sulphur Springs |
| San Benito SMSA) | Palestine | Sweetwater |
| La Marque (Galveston-Texas City | Pampa | Tahoka |
| SMSA) | Paris Pasadena (Houston SMSA) | Taylor |
| Lamesa | Pasadena (Houston SMSA) Pecos | Temple Texarkana (Texarkana SMSA) |
| Lampasas | Pharr (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg | Texas City (Galveston-Texas City |
| Lancaster (Dallas SMSA) | SMSA) | SMSA) |
| La Porte (Houston SMSA) | Pilot Point (Dallas SMSA) | Tomball (Houston SMSA) |
| Laredo (Laredo SMSA) | Plainview | Tyler (Tyler SMSA) |
| Levelland | Pleasanton | Uvalde |
| Liberty (Hield | Port Aransas | Vernon |
| Llano | Port Arthur (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA) | Victoria |
| Lockhart | Port Isabel (Brownsville-Harlingen- | Waxahachie (Dallas SMSA) |
| Longview | San Benito SMSA) | Weatherford |
| Los Fresnos (Brownsville-HarlingenSan Benito SMSA) | Port Neches (Beaumont-Port ArthurOrange SMSA) | Weslaco (McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg SMSA) <br> White Settlement (Fort Worth |
| Lubbock (Lubbock SMSA) | Quanah | SMSA) |
| Lufkin | Raymondville | Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls SMSA) |

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF SMSA's AND CITIES WITHIN EACH SMSA, WITH DATA

| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |
| ABILENE SMSA (Jones and Taylor; pop. 118, $429^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ... | 3 | 9 |
| Apparel stores | ... | $-11$ | 2 |
| Automotive stores | ... | 2 | 9 |
| Drugstores . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . $\cdot$ | 2 | 11 |
| General-merchandise stores | . $\cdot$ | 5 | 2 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers .......... |  | 27 | 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 611,245 | $-12$ | 112 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ....... | \$ 1,805,184 |  | - 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 93,478 | 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover . . . . | 19.5 | 2 | $-1$ |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 37,500 | 1 |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,200 | ** | - 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ......... | 3.1 | - 21 | - 14 |


|  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jul 1968 | Jul 1968 |
| City and item | 1968 | from |  |
| from |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 266 .

| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> furom 1967 |

## AMARILLO SMSA

(Potter and Randall; pop. $167,323^{\text {n }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | - 1 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 4 | 17 |
| Drugstores |  | 3 | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,733,321 | 128 | 152 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 5,128,956 | 3 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 146,439 | 8 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 35.5 | $-1$ | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 60,300 | ** | * |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,260 | 3 | 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.6 | 3 | 20 |

## AMARILLO (pop. 155,205 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | - 1 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $7 \dagger$ | - 4 | 17 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \& | 327,405 | ** | 16 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,694,321 | 149 | 153 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 441,107 | 12 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 134,794 | 3 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 39.8 | 6 | 10 |

## Canyon (pop. 6,755 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 11,346 | $-28$ | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 39,000 | $-68$ | 70 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 10,543 | 33 | 51 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,029 | 7 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | 30 | 36 |

## AUSTIN SMSA

(Travis; pop. 258,406 ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | 1 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 4 | 10 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | - 5 | 10 |
| Food stores |  | - 1 | 8 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | $\ldots$ | 7 | 19 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,894,555 |  | 11 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 6,271,788 | $-7$ | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 257,024 | 9 | 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 25.5 | $-10$ | 2 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 112,900 | O | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,470 | 2 | 35 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.3 |  | $-4$ |

AUSTIN (pop. 245,295 ${ }^{\text {' }}$ )

| Retail salcs | ${ }^{1} \dagger$ |  | 1 |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $2 \dagger$ | - | 4 |  | 10 |
| Eating and drinking places | ** | - | 5 |  | 10 |
| Food stores | $7 \dagger$ | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores ....... |  | - | 7 |  | 19 |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 737,945 | - | 10 |  | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 7,862,555 | - | 42 |  | 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 501,805 | - | 2 |  | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 249,827 |  | 9 |  | 23 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 25.1 | - | 6 |  | 11 |

[^5]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{1968}{\substack{\text { Jul } \\ 196}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 196 |
| BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA <br> (Jefferson and Orange; pop. 325,527 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 13 | 28 |
| Apparel stores |  | 19 | 11 |
| Automotive stores ............... |  | 18 | 40 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | 9 | 22 |
| Gasoline and service stations .... |  | 15 | 21 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 2,496,553 |  | - 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \\| ........ \$ | 5,976,024 | 6 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .1$ \$ | 239,480 | 5 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 25.6 | 2 | , |
| Nonfarm employment (aren) ....... | 114,100 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 34,600 | ** | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.2 | - 24 |  |

BEAUMONT (pop. 127,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 17 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - $7 \dagger$ | 26 | 46 |
| Postal receipts* .................... . \$ | 188,017 | $-1$ | 30 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,493,075 | $-12$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 331,962 | 14 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 125,893 | $-1$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 31.5 | 11 | 9 |

## Groves (pop. 17,304)

| Postal receipts* | 10,782 | - 15 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 136,870 | 46 | 136 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 12,832 | 12 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 5,892 | 1 | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.2 | 9 | 3 |

## Nederland (pop. 15, $274{ }^{r}$ )

| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 7,929 |  | 6 | 13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,137 | - | 7 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 14.9 | 1 | -1 |  |

ORANGE (pop. 25,605)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 36,078 | ** | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 93,344 | -47 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 42,319 | 17 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 26,635 | $-3$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.7 | 16 | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 154 | - | $-17$ |

## PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676)

| Postal receipts* | 8 | 80,509 | 10 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 674,729 | 179 | 509 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 78,356 | 2 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \% | 52,349 | 17 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 19.4 | 4 |  |

## Port Neches (pop. 8,696)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 12,316 | -15 | 17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 93,835 | -45 | -43 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 17,820 | 23 | 70 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 7,309 | -1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 29.1 | 22 | 62 |

## Local Business Conditions

|  | Jul <br> 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA (Cameron; pop. 139,124 ")

| Retail sales |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 7 | 1 |
| Automotive stores |  | 1 | 9 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 9 | 37 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,442,115 | 37 | 86 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,414,880 | 6 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 65,702 | 5 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.0 | 1 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 38,300 | * | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 6,640 | 1 | 2 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.6 | - 21 | 12 |

## BROWNSVILLE (pop. 48,040)

| Postal receipts* | \$ 53,976 | $-2$ | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,216,700 | 436 | 117 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 48,976 | 27 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | \$ 26,605 | 7 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.8 | 25 | 3 |
| Nonfarm placements | 1,029 | 21 | 94 |

## HARLINGEN (pop. 41,207)

| Postal receipts* | 46,334 | - 18 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 154,160 | 78 | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 53,566 | 8 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 26.598 | 2 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.5 | 8 | 5 |
| Nonfarm placements | 483 | - 5 | 3 |


| La Feria (pop. 3,047) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 2,515 | $-23$ | 3 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 8,600 | 72 | 912 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 2.094 | 2 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,693 | - | $-15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.7 | 7 | 9 |
| Los Fresnos (pop. 1,289) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 2,109 | $-4$ | 79 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 1,944 | 16 | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,650 | 9 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.7 | 11 | $-17$ |
| Port Isabel (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 4,993 | $-10$ | 46 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 18,400 | -82 | $-66$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 4,712 | 96 | 40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 4,012 | 96 | 105 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | 33 | $-14$ |
| SAN BENITO (pop. 16,422) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 8,619 |  | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 58,655 | 456 | 191 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 6,664 | 4 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 6,618 | 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.3 | 6 | 2 |

Annual rate of deposit turnover....
CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA (Nueces and San Patricio; pop. 280,174 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | 9 | 14 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 5,305,804 | 111 | 140 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 4,297,224 | - | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 192,405 | ** | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 22.4 | - 1 | 3 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 87,900 | 1 | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,340 | 1 | - 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) ....... | 4.1 | 18 | - 11 |

[^6]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Aransas Pass (pop. 6,956) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 6,454 | - 24 | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 46,424 | - 8 | 31 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,257 | 27 | 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 6,113 | 13 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.2 | 18 | 10 |
| Bishop (pop. 3,825 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 4,499 | 4 | 27 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 0 | ... | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 2,519 | 14 | $-19$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 2,764 | 28 | $-3$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.3 | 2 | $-12$ |

## CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 204,850 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 7 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 282,596 | 6 | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 5,100,233 | 125 | 173 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 324,512 | 4 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 147,828 | 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.6 | 3 | 12 |
| Port Aransas (pop. 824) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 1,251 | 19 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 1,050 | 13 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.2 | 9 | 2 |

Robstown (pop. 10,266)
Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 22,922 \quad-\quad 9 \quad 4$
Bank debits (thousands) ..............
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $t$.
Annual rate of deposit turnover. ...
16,016
11,778
18.5

| 56 | -21 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 2 |
| 37 | -11 |

## Sinton (pop. 6,008)

| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 9,825 | -14 | -11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 14,400 | 190 | -82 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... $\$ 8$ | 7,593 | 43 | -20 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 8,426 | 54 | 45 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.1 | 7 | -87 |  |

## DALLAS SMSA <br> (Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Kaufman, and Rockwall; pop. 1,424,415 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )



| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |
| DALLAS（pop．679，684） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | －3才† | 3 | 18 |
| Apparel stores | $3 \% \dagger$ | 3 | 12 |
| Automotive stores | $66^{+}$ | 1 | 20 |
| Eating and drinking places． | $1 \dagger \dagger$ | 4 | 4 |
| Florists |  | 2 | 19 |
| Furniture and household－ appliance stores | $1 \dagger \dagger$ | 8 | 23 |
| Gasoline and service stations ．．．．．． | $7 \dagger \dagger$ | 13 | 8 |
| Lumber，building－material，and hardware stores ．．．．．．．．．．．． | －11才才 | ＊＊ | 46 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | \＄3，987，759 | ．．． | 15 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄25，077，879 | 23 | 71 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄7，086，297 | 9 | 30 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. | \＄1，675，940 | － | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 50.1 | 6 | 20 |
| Denton（pop．26，844） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄67，524 | － | 40 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄389，850 | － 89 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄47，772 | 28 | 38 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. | \＄32，767 | 12 | 32 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 18.5 | 17 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 209 | － 25 | 9 |
| Ennis（pop．10，250 ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | 814,294 | － 38 | 80 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄151，300 | 121 | 272 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄8，579 | 25 | － 3 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. ， | \＄7，923 | － | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 12.9 | 23 | $-7$ |
| Farmers Branch（pop．13，441） |  |  |  |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \＄594，503 | $-19$ | － 32 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＄12，943 | 34 | 37 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） $4 .$. | \＄5，452 | 4 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 29.0 | 32 | 20 |
| Garland（pop．50，622 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ）Retail sales |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | $7 \dagger$ | 3 | 21 |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄91，683 | 5 | 40 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄1，888，685 | 28 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands） | \＆60，889 | 26 | 29 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | \＄25，724 | － | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 28.0 | 24 | 18 |
| Grand Prairie（pop． $40,150^{\text { }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄58，714 | － 3 | 54 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄6，017，258 | 152 | 187 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 30，174 | 33 | 25 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. \＄ | \＄15，948 | ＊＊ | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．． | 22.7 | 31 | 8 |
| Irving（pop．60，136 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊ | \＄79，508 | $-19$ | 12 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \＄2，434，932 | 88 | 26 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．\＆ | \＄64，304 | 17 | 29 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . .8$ | 828,006 | ＊＊ | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 27.6 | 18 | 18 |
| Lancaster（pop．7，501） |  |  |  |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \＄48，400 | $-67$ | $-80$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | \＄7，041 | 6 | 14 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. \＆ | \＄4，776 | 4 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 18.1 | 3 | $-6$ |
| McKinney（pop．13，763） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | \＄21，563 | － 9 | 40 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \＄137，980 | 5 | 362 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | \＄12，772 | 17 | 1 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. \＄ | \＄14，912 | 5 | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 10.5 | 9 | $-19$ |
| Nonfarm placements ．．． | 203 | ＊＊ | 62 |

For an explanation of symbols see p． 266.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1967 |

## Mesquite（pop．27，526）

| Postal receipts＊ | 32，014 | － | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | 1，046，906 | － 50 | 93 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 17，561 | 32 | 33 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . .8$ | 9，912 | 6 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 21.9 | 33 | 34 |


| Midlothian（pop．1，521） |  |  | -74 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 16,000 |  | 20 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 8$ | 1,595 | 11 | 18 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$. | 1,762 | 10 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 11.4 | 5 | 9 |

## Pilot Point（pop．1，254）

| Building permits，less federal contracts | $\$$ | 19,500 | 21 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits（thousands）$\ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 2,211 | 19 | 17 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 2,054 | $* *$ | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．．． | 12.9 | 16 | 16 |  |

## Richardson（pop．34，390 ${ }^{r}$ ）

| Postal receipts ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$$ | 66,760 | -24 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts $\$ \$ 1,609,235$ | -59 | 6 |  |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 8$ | 40,367 | 21 | 19 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 20,559 | 8 | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 24.5 | 12 | 3 |

## Seagoville（pop．3，745）

| Postal receipts＊ | 9，308 | －16 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | 72，979 | 472 | －13 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 5，613 | 11 | ＊＊ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） 4. | 3，301 | 6 | 31 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 21.0 | 7 | $-24$ |


| Waxahachie（pop．12，749） |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 18，015 | $-22$ | 19 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 72，850 | － 60 | －63 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 16，623 | 23 | 20 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 11，523 | $-2$ | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 17.2 | 23 | 11 |
| Nonfarm placements | 70 | $-26$ | 1 |

EL PASO SMSA
（El Paso；pop．349，144 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ）

| Retail sales |  | － 3 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 3 | 5 |
| Automotive stores |  | 9 | 32 |
| Food stores |  | ＊＊ | 1 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | \＄4，738，575 | 6 | 41 |
| Bank debits（thousands）｜｜ | \＄5，976，132 | 9 | 16 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | \＄202，085 | 3 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 30.1 | 9 | 13 |
| Nonfarm employment（area） | \＄109，200 | 1 | ＊＊ |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． S | ¢ 19，870 | ＊＊ | 4 |
| Percent unemployed（area） | 4.1 | $-23$ | 2 |

EL PASO（pop．276，687）

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | － | 3 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | 2＊ |  | 3 | 5 |
| Automotive stores | $7 \dagger$ |  | 9 | 32 |
| Food stores | $7 \dagger$ |  | ＊ | 1 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 417，874 | － | 7 | 7 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | 4，738，575 | － | 6 | 41 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．． 9 | 493，771 |  | 23 | 22 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. \＄ | 195，012 |  | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．． | 30.5 |  | 22 | 19 |


\section*{Local Business Conditions <br> |  | Jul <br> 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |}

## FORT WORTH SMSA

(Johnson and Tarrant; pop. 660,341 ")

| tail sales |  | 3 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 24 | 21 |
| Automotive stores |  | - 3 | 33 |
| Druystores |  | - | 14 |
| Eating and drinking places. |  | 2 | 2 |
| Furniture and household- <br> appliance stores | $\ldots$ | 3 | 38 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $\ldots$ | 9 | 39 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 19 | 44 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | ,615,679 | 7 | 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | ,107,172 | 6 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 570,048 | - 1 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 31.7 | 4 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ........ \$ | 281,600 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). S | 93,900 | 1 | 10 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.3 | $-23$ |  |


| Arlington (pop. 75,000 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 6 | 34 |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 146,926 | 1 | 41 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,912,500 | 69 | 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 85,581 | 15 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 87,669 | 4 | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 27.8 | 11 | 3 |
| Cleburne (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 48,857 | $\ldots$ | -68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 18,297 | 10 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 15,034 | - 2 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.5 | 9 | - 1 |
| Euless (pop. 10,500 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 13,352 | $-13$ | 38 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 796,019 | - 68 | 293 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 14,366 | 25 | 46 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,014 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 33.2 | 29 | 25 |


| FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | **† $\dagger$ | 5 | 17 |
| Apparel stores | $23 \uparrow$ ¢ | 24 | 16 |
| Automotive stores | - 3才t | 11 | 31 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $3 \dagger \dagger$ | 9 | 41 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores | - $4 \dagger \dagger$ | 30 | 49 |
| Postal receipts* .................... | ( 1,141,157 | ** | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 8,915,803 | 1 | - 54 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 1,425,456 | 17 | 23 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 482,260 | $-1$ | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 35.3 | 13 | 14 |


| Grapevine (pop. 4,659 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 8,759 | 5 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 149,760 | 517 | 93 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,726 | 6 | 27 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,899 | 10 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.4 | 1 | 24 |
| North Richland Hills (pop. 8,662) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,583,200 | 973 | 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 13,312 | 18 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger+. \$$ | 7,414 | 17 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.2 | 7 | 2 |
| White Settlement (pop. 11,513) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 65,440 | $-30$ | 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 6,750 | 14 | 88 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,761 | - | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover... | 29.1 | 12 | 43 |

[^7]| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 19068 <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul 1967 |

## GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA <br> (Galveston; pop. 166,016 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | 2 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores |  | 12 | - 5 |
| Automotive stores |  | 3 | 35 |
| Drugstores |  | ** | 10 |
| Food stores | $\ldots$ | 4 | 5 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores |  | - 11 | 18 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  | 8 | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,656,106 |  | 23 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \& | 2,382,900 | ** | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 104,743 | 4 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 23.2 | $-2$ | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... \$ | 57,700 | ** | 2 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) . \& | 10,940 | 1 | 5 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.9 | ** | - 29 |
| Dickinson (pop. 4,715) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 11,017 | 8 | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,347 | - 4 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.2 | 19 | 8 |

## GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Retail sales | ${ }^{1+}$ | 3 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $2 \dagger$ | 14 | - 6 |
| Food stores | $7 \dagger$ | 6 | 7 |
| Postal receipts* .................... 8 | 140,495 | 18 | 20 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 274,400 | -91 | $-54$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 138,881 | 13 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 66,112 | 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 25.7 | 10 | 13 |

## La Marque (pop. 13,969)

| Postal receipts* |  | 15,372 | $-24$ | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 203,782 | 18 | 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 16,612 | 29 | 31 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 9,470 | ** | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 21.0 | 26 | 17 |
| TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 35,086 | - 18 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 1,177,924 | 105 | 92 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 35,647 | 14 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 15,308 | 5 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 28.7 | 13 | 5 |

## HOUSTON SMSA <br> (Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Montgomery; pop. $1,771,256^{\circ}$ )



| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1968 <br> from | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1967 |

Baytown (pop. $38,000^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* . .................... \$ | 45,087 | - 12 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 1,140,017 | 121 | 37 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 67,603 | 35 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 32,888 | 3 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 25.0 | 32 | 5 |
| Bellaire (pop. 21,182 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... 8 | 231,904 | $-17$ | 20 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 25,315 | 3 | - 94 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 42,708 | 8 | 44 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 21,763 | ** | 17 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 23.6 | 6 | 17 |

## Clute (pop. 4,501)

Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 169,985 ... 71

Annual rate of deposit turnover...... $21.6 \quad 19 \quad 18$

## Conroe (pop. 9,192)

| Postal receipts* | 27,945 | $-12$ | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 183,500 | 39 | 181 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 24,325 | 21 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 15,386 | $-1$ | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.8 | 22 | 3 |
| Dayton (pop. 3,367) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 500 | -98 | $-96$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,613 | 2 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,026 | 7 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | 2 | 8 |
| Deer Park (pop. 4,865) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 10,395 | - 16 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 213,320 | - 84 | $-42$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,286 | 16 | 40 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 3,393 | ** | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 29.2 | 14 | 26 |
| Freeport (pop. 11,619) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 25,078 | $-22$ | 17 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 23,850 | 8 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 15,049 | - | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.9 | 12 | - |

## HOUSTON (pop. 938,219)



For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

| City and item | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |
| La Porte (pop. $7,250{ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 66,902 | 198 | $-37$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 4,396 | - 28 | - 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 3,207 | - 4 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .. | 16.1 | - 27 | - 12 |
| Liberty (pop. 6,127) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 10,034 | - 16 | 49 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 45,870 | 237 | $-43$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 13,885 | 5 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 10,482 | $-2$ | 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.7 | 8 | 21 |
| Pasadena (pop. 58,737) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................ | \$ 70,767 | - 22 | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,961,057 | 72 | 258 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 89,892 | 17 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 41,362 | 5 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.7 | 16 | 6 |
| Richmond (pop. 3,668) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 5,278 | - 31 | 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 184,840 | 247 | 184 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8,536 | 33 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 8 9,255 | - 3 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | 33 | 7 |
| Rosenberg (pop. 9,698) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 12,350 | $-16$ | 14 |
| Building permits, less fedcral contzacts | \& 119,775 | $-69$ | 47 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 11,045 | 4 | - 1 |
| South Houston (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 11,271 | - 5 | 26 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,027 | 3 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 6,943 | $-2$ | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.2 | 3 |  |
| Tomball (pop. 2,025 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 29,104 | $\ldots$ | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,816 | 6 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 10,792 | - | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.5 | 7 | - 9 |
| LAREDO SMSA(Webb; pop. $75,863^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contraets | \$ 71,635 | - 72 | $-67$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 829,032 | 22 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 38,869 | 11 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 22.4 | 15 | 15 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ...... | 23,700 |  | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). \$ | \$ 1,270 | $-2$ | $-2$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 8.4 | -18 | 6 |
| LAREDO (pop. 60,678) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 59,965 | $-21$ | 30 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 71,635 | - 72 | $-67$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......... | 67,504 | 20 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | \$ 37,353 | 7 | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.4 | 17 | 15 |
| Nonfarm placements | 471 | $-11$ | $-22$ |
| LUBBOCK SMSA <br> (Lubbock; pop. $175,839^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales . ....................... |  | 16 |  |
| Automotive stores |  | 8 | 9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 9,217,840 | 182 | 561 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ | \$ 4,427,940 | 23 | 19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. S | \$ 149,537 | - 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover ... | 29.3 | 24 | 13 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... \$ | \$ 62,500 |  | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). \$ | \$ 6,750 | - 1 |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) ........ | 4.0 | - 22 | 5 |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1908 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> for <br> 1968 | Jun 1968 |
| Jul 1967 |  |  |  |

## LUBBOCK (pop. $155,200^{r}$ )

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 16 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | $7 \dagger$ | 8 | 9 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 292,347 | 8 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 9,217,290 | 202 | 581 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 309,267 | 26 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 137,841 | ** | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 26.9 | 27 | 15 |


| Slaton (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 4,749 | - 22 | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 550 | $\ldots$ | - 97 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 5,516 | 34 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \% | 3,584 | $-6$ | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.9 | 35 | 10 |

## McALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA <br> (Hidalgo; pop. 180,596 ")



| Alamo (pop. 4,121) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,100 | -84 | -63 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ......... \$ | 2,367 | -2 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 1,468 | 14 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 20.6 | -9 | 26 |


| Donna (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \& | 4,083 | $-40$ | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 12,500 | $-51$ | -67 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 3,675 | 31 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,406 | $-3$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.9 | 34 | 14 |
| EDINBURG (pop. 18,706) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 15,063 | $-34$ | .. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 149,975 | 37 | $-31$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 28,267 | $-2$ | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. S | 12,491 | 2 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.6 | 7 | 20 |
| Nonfarm placements | 221 | $-14$ | 10 |

## Elsa (pop. 3,847)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 7,930 | -54 | 20 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$$ | 3,183 | $* *$ | 54 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,732 | - | 4 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 21.6 | 3 | 31 |  |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul <br> ful 1968 <br> from <br> 1968 | Jun <br> Jul 1967 |


| McALLEN (pop. 35,411 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{1+}$ | ** | 23 |
| Apparel stores | ${ }_{2 \dagger} \dagger$ | 12 |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... 8 | 44.374 | - 24 | 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 334,000 |  | 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 51,094 | 9 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 31,683 | 4 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 19.7 | 11 | 1 |
| Nonfarm placements | 992 | 8 | 23 |
| Mercedes (pop. 10,943) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \% | 7.408 | 4 | 36 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 22,000 | - 64 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 6,709 |  | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,144 | 8 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.3 | - 11 |  |
| Mission (pop. 14,081) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................ \$ | 10.888 | - 14 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 144,160 | 566 | 605 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 14,937 | 20 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 12,401 | 21 | 19 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | 9 |  |
| PHARR (pop. 15,279 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 7,471 |  | * |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 35,826 | - 49 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ \& | 5,804 | - 7 | - 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 6,051 | 11 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 12.1 | ** | 8 |
| San Juan (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ............... \& | 3,364 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,850 | - 28 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \& | 3,138 | 12 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 2,906 |  | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 12.8 | 21 | 10 |
| Weslaco (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 13,904 | - 12 | 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 186,434 | 142 | 244 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 11,327 | 6 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,978 | 2 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.5 | 9 | 4 |

MIDLAND SMSA
(Midland; pop. 66,487 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 12 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \& 3,609,527 | 186 | - 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,892,580 | ** | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. | \$ 133,688 | 3 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.4 | 1 | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) b | 62,000 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) b | 4,910 | ** | - 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) b | 3.4 | - 21 | 15 |
| MIDLAND (pop. 62,625) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts | \$ 146,424 | - | 13 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 3,609,527 | 186 | 2 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 156,990 | 7 | 22 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 130,613 | 4 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.7 | 7 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 809 | ** | 59 |
| ODESSA SMSA(Ector; pop. 88,194 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  | 1 | 21 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 263,501 | 42 | - 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,376,796 | 3 | 21 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 69,401 | 3 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 20.1 | 1 | 14 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) b ...... | 62,000 | 1 | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) b | 4,910 | ** | - 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) b ...... | 3.4 | $-21$ | 15 |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jun } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Jul 1968 <br> Jul 1967 |
| ODESSA (pop. 86,937 ${ }^{\text {') }}$ |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{1+}$ | 1 | 21 |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . .... s | 99,751 | - 26 | 7 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 263,501 | 42 | - 65 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 122,456 | 19 | 28 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 68,129 | 1 | 9 |
| Annual rate of depnsit turnover..... | 21.6 | 15 | 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 468 | ** | 33 |

## SAN ANGELO SMSA (Tom Green; pop. 75,210 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 18 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline and service stations |  | 3 | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 514,224 |  | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,025,580 | - 5 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\%$. | \$ 63,683 | - 3 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.8 | - | - 11 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 23,400 | ** | 3 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 3,870 | ** | ** |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 3.3 | $-13$ |  |

## SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,815)

| Retail sales |  | $1 \dagger$ |  | 18 |  | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline and service stations |  | 3* |  | 3 | - | 1 |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 115,140 | - | 20 |  | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 514,224 | - | 29 | - | 59 |
| Bank debits (thousands) |  | 95,652 |  | 15 |  | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 64,129 | - | 2 |  | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 17.8 |  | 18 | - | 4 |

## SAN ANTONIO SMSA <br> (Bexar and Guadalupe; pop. 852,491 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | - | 3 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ... | - | 9 | 12 |
| Automotive stores | ... | - | 5 | 20 |
| General-merchandise stores |  | - | 9 | 7 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers |  |  | 3 | 45 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 6,453,058 | - | 23 | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$14,861,580 |  | 1 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 602,597 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 25.1 |  | ** | 5 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 274,500 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Manufacturiny employment (aren) . | 31,200 |  | ** | 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 4.1 | - | 9 | 9 |

## SAN ANTONIO (pop. 655,006 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )



[^8]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jul }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| Schertz (pop. 2,281) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 2,493 | $-23$ | 46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 880 | 48 | 56 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | - 1,127 | $-13$ | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 8.7 | 53 | 26 |
| Seguin (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 316,935 | 250 | 273 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | \$ 18,291 | 8 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | \$ 17,134 | 4 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.1 | 7 | 15 |

## SHERMAN-DENISON SMSA* <br> (Grayson; pop. 80,957 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | - | 8 |  | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ... |  | 2 |  | ** |
| Automotive stores | $\ldots$ | - | 18 |  | 31 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 649,886 | - | 52 |  | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | 989,880 |  | 13 |  | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$.. | 55,267 | - | 1 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 17.8 |  | 12 |  |  |

DENISON (pop. 25,766 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 32,555 |  | 8 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 316,355 |  | 46 | 156 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 29,859 |  | 16 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ | 18,876 | - | 2 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 18.8 |  | 15 | 2 |
| Nonfarm placements |  | 241 | - | 27 |  |

SHERMAN (pop. 30,660 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )


## TEXARKANA SMSA <br> (Bowie, excluding Miller, Ark.; pop. $70,413{ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | $-13$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 8,220,877 | 3,399 | 1,917 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 1,476,168 | 7 | 16 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 66,635 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 22.7 | 5 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 43,650 | 1 | 8 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 14,900 | 2 | 25 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.6 | $-10$ | $-13$ |

TEXARKANA (pop. $50,006{ }^{\text {² }}$ )


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> ful 1908 <br> from | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun <br> Jul |  |

## TYLER SMSA

(Smith; pop. 99,881 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | ... | - | 3 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ... |  | 3 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 570,650 |  | 37 | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| ........ \$ | 1,921,032 |  | 9 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 87,319 |  | ** | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 22.0 |  | 7 | 1 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 36,200 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,110 |  | ** | 3 |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 3.0 | - | 9 | ** |
| TYLER (pop. 51,230) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | - | 3 | 23 |
| Apparel stores | $2{ }^{*}$ |  | 3 | * |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& | 150,852 |  | 13 | 57 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 484,750 |  | 16 | - 35 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 162,509 |  | 27 | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. S | 78,156 | - | 2 | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.7 |  | 25 | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements | 632 | - | 9 | $-11$ |

## WACO SMSA <br> (McLennan; pop. 151,871 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Retail sales | $\ldots$ | $-6$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores ................... | . . | 30 | 21 |
| Automotive stores |  | $-11$ | 12 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $81,076,070$ | $-52$ | $-8$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) \|| | \$ 2,480,724 | 2 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \$ 117,704 | 3 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 21.3 | $-2$ | 7 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) | 57,700 | ** | 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 18,810 | 2 | 23 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.3 |  | 2 |

## McGregor (pop. 4,642)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 500 | -91 | -86 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | $\$$ | 4,943 | 8 | -18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | $\$$ | 7,677 | 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 7.8 | 5 | -18 |  |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Jul } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jun } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 196 |
| WACO (pop. 103,462) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | - | 10 |
| Apparel stores | $2 \dagger$ | 30 | 21 |
| Automotive stores | - $7 \dagger$ | $-11$ | 12 |
| Postal receipts* .................. | \$ 205,018 | - 31 | - 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,035,570 | $-53$ | - |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 196,236 | 10 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 100,036 | 5 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 24.1 | 10 | 12 |

## WICHITA FALLS SMSA <br> (Archer and Wichita; pop. 126,794 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ )

| Retail sales |  | 14 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,232,969 | 55 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 2,239,416 | 7 | 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 114,947 | 8 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 20.2 | 6 | 6 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) ....... | 50,300 | ** | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 4,980 | 1 | 6 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 2.3 | $-18$ |  |

## Burkburnett (pop. 7,621)

Building permits, less federal contracts \$ $9,150 \quad-42 \quad-47$

| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $9, \ldots 75$ | 8 | 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ \& | 5,066 | - | 8 | 1 |

Annual rate of deposit turnover..... $21.3 \quad 1 \quad 2$

## Iowa Park (pop. 5,152 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 84,000 | $\ldots$ | 833 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... $\$ 7$ | 3,601 | - | 6 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 3,696 | - | 1 | - |

## WICHITA FALLS (pop. $115,340^{r}$ )

Retail sales $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. . $1 \dagger 14$
Postal receipts* ....................... \& 165,322
Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 1,139,819 $46-68$
Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ $177,791 \quad 14 \quad 20$
End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ $101,215 \quad 7 \quad 6$
Annual rate of deposit turnover.... $\quad 21.8 \quad 10 \quad 15$

## ALPHABETICAL LISTING OF NON-SMSA CITIES, WITH DATA

ALBANY (pop. 2,174)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... $\$ 8$ | 3,579 | 19 | 11 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,830 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.0 | 12 | 10 |  |


| ALPINE (pop. 4,740) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................... \& | 7,775 | $-13$ | 40 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 17,225 | $-34$ | 761 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 5,187 | 23 | 29 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger .$. \$ | 5,386 | $-10$ | 24 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.9 | 22 | 4 |
| ANDREWS (pop. 11,135) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 9,951 | $-19$ | 29 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 66,800 | - . | $-68$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 8,504 | 32 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6,447 | - 5 | $-13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.4 | 39 | 23 |

[^9]
## ANGLETON (pop. 9,131)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 13,415 | 6 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 19,100 |  | 61 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 8 | 17,238 | 15 | 35 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 14,831 | 26 | 41 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 15.6 | 2 |  |

## ATHENS (pop. 7,086)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$.................... \& | 15,504 | $-26$ |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 115,200 | 98 |  | 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 14,270 | $\ldots$ |  | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,776 | $\ldots$ |  | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.2 | $\cdots$ | - | 1 |
| BAY CITY (pop. 11,656) |  |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 17,862 | $-27$ |  | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 115,460 | 51 |  | 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 20,821 | ** |  | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 27,035 | $-1$ |  | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 9.2 | ** |  | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 79 | 32 |  | 61 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\text { Jul }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jut } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 196 |
| BEEVILLE (pop. 13,811) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipto ${ }^{*}$ | 15,000 | - 26 | 9 |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | 72,795 | - 82 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 16,462 | 22 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposity (thousands) $f$. | 17,447 | 7 | 17 |
| Annual rate of depobit turnover. | 11.7 | 19 | - |
| Nonfarm placementa | 103 | 1 | - 3 |
| BELLVILLE (pop. 2,218) |  |  |  |
| Buildine permits, lebs foderal contracts $\beta^{\text {a }}$ | 32.900 | 722 | 74 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,841 | $-16$ | $-19$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ ¢. . \$ | 5,797 | ** | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.0 | $-12$ | - 25 |
| BELTON (pop. 8,163) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 10,376 | $-25$ | - 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contraets | 26,500 | - 55 | $-67$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . .3$ | 10,563 | ** | 15 |
| BIG SPRING (pop. 31,230) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 38,208 | - 19 | ** |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 420,941 | 115 | 203 |
| Bank dehits (thousands) | 51,332 | 26 | 37 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ ¢ . \$ | 25,335 | - 2 | 2 |
| Anmual rate of depusit turnover..... | 24.0 | 27 | 38 |
| Nonfarm placements | 244 | - 83 | 95 |
| BONHAM (pop. 7,357) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptis ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,551 | $-29$ | 10 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 10,347 | 15 | 21 |
| Eind-of-month deposits (thousands) \%.. \$ | 9,172 | - | - |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.5 | 15 | 21 |
| BORGER (pop. 20,911) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts** .................. | 24,093 | - 25 | 23 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 169,100 | 479 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 74 | - 20 | $-19$ |
| BRADY (pop. 5,338) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 5,931 | $-27$ | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 8.575 | - 65 | -84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 9,603 | 9 | - |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . * | 8,749 | 16 | 25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.2 | ** | $-17$ |
| BRENHAM (pop. 7,740) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................... \% | 11,670 | -85 | $-5$ |
| Building permita, less federal contracts \$ | 223,849 | - 8 | 216 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 15,741 | 7 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) + .. \$ | 16,476 | 2 | 10 |
| Annual rate of devosit turnover. | 11.6 | 6 | $-3$ |
| BROWNFIELD (pop. 10,286) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.624 | - 22 | 11 |
| Rank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 16.155 | 9 | * |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 12,778 | $\checkmark 1$ | II |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.1 | 14 | $\leftarrow 4$ |
| BROWNWOOD (pop. 16,974) |  |  |  |
| Retail males | $1 \dagger$ | 10 | 14 |
| Pustal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * | 29,939 | - 19 | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 94,550 | 87 | - 66 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 23,224 | 18 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,881 | 3 | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 20.3 | 17 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements | 82 | - 33 | - 32 |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{array}$ | Jul 1968 from Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |
| BRYAN (pop, 32,891 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Pustal receipts* | \$ 41,436 | - | 24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 64,730 | 40 | 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ 29,459 | - | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 26.2 | 34 | 7 |
| Nonfarm placements | 251 | $-14$ | - 83 |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,202 ${ }^{\text {') }}$ |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts | 3,605 | - 18 | - 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 3,796 | 16 | - 11 |
| End-0f-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 4,667 | - 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.6 | 14 | $-12$ |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 4,605 | $-45$ | - 20 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,610 | 1 | $-16$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t. | - 5,828 | 3 | - 7 |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | - | $-12$ |
| CASTROVILLE (pop. 1,508) |  |  |  |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | \$ 21,268 | . | $-57$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | - 1,119 | 18 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 1,235 | 7 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.2 | 15 | 20 |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  |
| Fostal receipts* | \% 5,414 | $-25$ | 30 |
| Bank debits (thoueands) | - 4,072 | 8 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands).t.. \$ | -4,43.7 | 10 | 20 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.1 | 1 | $-5$ |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 18,590 ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postrl receipts* | \$ 24,637 | - 19 | 27 |
| Building permits, less federsl contracts | 2,994,232 |  | 870 |
| Hank debits (thousands) | 10,091 | 33 | - 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 5,854 | - | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.8 | 37 | $-24$ |
| COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,288 | 1 | 26 |
| Bank debitg (thousands) | 5,416 | 15 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | \$ 5,916 | - | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.7 | 18 | 13 |
| COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | - 6,627 | $-17$ | 24 |
| Building permits, less federal contrsets | \$ 53,875 | $-52$ | $-69$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2,584 | 11 | 21 |
| End-of-month depasits (thousands) $\dagger .$. | - 1,926 | 17 | $-13$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | 2 | 34 |
| CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 3 | 11 |
| Postril receipts* ..................... \$ | \$ 68,079 | 78 | 79 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | - 139.850 | $-17$ | $-87$ |
| Rank debita (thousands) ...... | 30,067 | 19 | 7 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | - 21,918 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of depnsit turnover. | 16.5 | 18 | 15 |
| Nonfarm placements | 171 | - 20 | $-18$ |
| CRANE (pop. 3,796) |  |  |  |
| Building vermits, less federal contracts \$ | - 44,000 | 175 | $-61$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | - 2,296 | 7 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | - 2,253 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.2 | $\ldots$ |  |
| CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | - 21,900 | 16 | $-51$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 4,129 | 4 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger . .8$ | 2,995 | - | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.8 | 6 | 6 |
| DECATUR (pop. 3,563) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 300 | $-90$ | $-98$ |
| Bank debits (thoubanda) ........... \$ | - 6,296 | 38 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger$. . \$ | - 4,758 | $-4$ | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.1 | 34 | $-2$ |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Jut} \\ 1068 \end{gathered}$ | Percent chance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ju1 } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { frorm } \\ & \text { Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { furnom } \\ & \text { furn } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 196 |
| DEL R10 (pop. 18,612) |  |  |  | GEORGETOWN (pop. 5,218) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 24,645 |  | 35 | Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 7.152 | ${ }^{20}$ | 1 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 120,190 | - 25 | $-29$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 7,388 | 1 | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots . . . \ldots \ldots$ \$ | 18,554 | 11 | 22 | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.7 | 18 |  |
| End-uf-month deposite (thousande) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 19,991 | 8 | 10 | GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.3 | 9 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Postal reeeipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,462 | - 49 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federsl contracts \$ | 19,900 | 96 | 95 |
| DIMMITT (pop. 2,935) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 5,363 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 5,213 | ** | ${ }^{3}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 12,302 | 10 | 25 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 12.3 | 7 | - 8 |
| End-of-month deyositsAnnual rate of depusit turnover $\ldots$... | 6.442 | 5 | 10 | GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
|  | 22.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) | 6,874 | 23 | 40 |
| EAGLE LAKE (pop. 3,565) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,988 |  | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.2 | 18 | 25 |
| Rank delyits (thousands) ...........s | 8,750 | 7 | 4 | Nonfarm employment (ares) e ..... | 34,400 | 1 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,561 |  | 5 | Manufacturing employment (area) e | 9.930 | 3 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 9.7 | ${ }^{9}$ |  | Yercent unemployed (area) c ....... | 2.7 | $-21$ | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |
| EAGLE PASS (pop. 12,094) |  |  | 16 | Postal receipts* ........ <br> Bank debits (thousands) | 4,020 | $-13$ | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6,429 |  | 32 |
|  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ Annual rate of deposit turnover...... |  | 6,053 |  | 1 |
| Postal recejpts* $\qquad$ \$ | 51,495 |  | -25 -37 | - 67 | 12.3 |  | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands) ......... \$ | 9,187 | 24 | 18 | GRAHAM (pop. 8,505 ) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,644 | 2 | ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 24.0 | 24 | 19 | Postal receipts* . ................. \$ | 12,709 | 7 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | Huilding permits, less federal contracts * | 32,250 | $-21$ | 207 |
|  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 13,621 | 25 | 26 |
| EDNA (pop. 5,038) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $⿻$ ¢. . \% | 11,518 | 3 | 13 |
|  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.4 | 20 | 12 |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................ ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 5,764 |  | - 29 | - 5 | GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts. \$ | 9,768 | -61 | ... | GRANBURY (pop. 2,227) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands . . . . . ..... \$ | 8.046 | 81 | $\ldots$ | Postal receipts* .................. \$ | 4,316 | $-25$ | , |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) \#. . * | 6.586 | ** | $\ldots$ | Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 2,734 | 11 | 23. |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.7 | 84 | $\ldots$ | End-of-month depusits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 3,427 | 3 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | Anmual rate of deposit turnover.. | 9.7 | 7 |  |
| FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373) |  | - 35 |  | GREENVILLE (pop. 22,134 )Fostal receipts" |  |  |  |
|  |  | 34,011 |  |  | - 17 | 3 |
| Postal receists* $\ldots$.............. \$ | 8.642 |  | 45 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 288,687 | - 24 | 37 |
| Bailding permits, less federal contracts \$Rank debity (thousands) | 94,300 |  | - 80 | 391 | Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 37,130 | 17 | 26 |
|  | 9,825 | ${ }^{4}$ | 27 | Lind-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 3 | 23,629 | ** | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . \$ | 8,217 | - $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 6\end{array}$ | 141 | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 1.8 .9 | 9 |  |
| Annual rale of depnsit turnover. | 14.1 |  |  | Nonfarm placements .............. | 161 | - 31 | 45 |
| FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  | 5 | 12 | HALLETTSVILLE (pop. 2,808) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ |  | ${ }^{9.650}$ | - 54 | -31 |
|  |  | Bank debits (thousands) .......... |  | 8,637 | 9 | ** |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{4}$................. Bank debita (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots .$. |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thoubands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 6.563 | 2 | ** |
| Bank debite (thousends) ............. \$ | 12,85 10,639 |  | 23 2 | - | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 6.7 | 10 | 8 |
| Annual rate of dcposit turnover..... | 10,69 14.7 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 20 \end{array}$ |  | HALLSVILLE (pop. 684) |  |  |  |
| FRIONA (pop. 3,049 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  | End-of-month deposits (thoukands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,545 | 29 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 7.7 | 4 |  |
| Puildink permits, less federal contracts \$ | (50,500 | - 55 | 121 | HASKELL (pop. 4,016) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ \$ | 13,108 | 44 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-or-month deposits (thousands) ${ }_{\text {c }}$. \$ | - 5,323 |  | 29 | Building permits, less federal contracts | 22,150 | -82 | 62 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 28.8 | 54 | 13 | Bank dehits (thousands) .......... | 5,133 | 3 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | Fnd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 4,860 | - | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 12.3 | 2 | 35 |
| GATESVILLE (pop. 4,626) |  |  |  | HENDERSON (pop. 9,666) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Postal receipts* } \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \\ & \text { Bank debits (thousands) } \ldots . . . . . . . \end{aligned}$ | - 7,085 | - 31 | 32 | Poatal receipts* .................. \% | 14,991 | - 29 | 9 |
|  | \$ 8,182 | 14 | 8 | Building permits, less federal contracts | 30,500 | -83 | - 81 |
| End-of-month deposits (thoueands) F. $^{*}$ | -7,436 | $-2$ | 4 | Bank debits (thousands) .......... | 15,059 | 20 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 18.1 | 14 | 2 | End-of-munth deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 17,150 | 8 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.9 | 12 | 18 |

[^10]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1968}{\mathrm{Jul}}$ | Jul 1968 frum <br> Jun 196 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul 196 |
| HEREFORD (pop. 9,584 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptb ${ }^{*}$ | 21,365 | - 23 |  |
| Building permita, less federal contracts | 303,100 | 9 | 10 |
| Bank debite (thousands) | 35,390 | 32 | 42 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | \$ 15,392 | 10 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.. | 28.9 | 27 | 38 |
| HUNTSVILLE (pop. 11,999) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 17,098 | - 48 | - 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 536.500 |  | 124 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 19,836 | 7 | 16 |
| End-af-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | 18.952 |  | 26 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.0 | 5 |  |
| JACKSONYILLE (pop. $10,509^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 26,523 | - | 46 |
| Euilding permits, less federal contracts | \$ 1,648,000 |  |  |
| Lank debits (thousands) | \$ 18,802 | 6 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | \$ 12,534 | 2 | 9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.2 | 3 |  |
| JASPER (pop. 5,120 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 14,371 | - | 26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 23,360 | 40 | 37 |
| Bank debite (thousands) .......... | 17,491 | 30 | 33 |
| Eud-of-month deposits (thousands) .. | \$ 9,702 | - | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 21.6 | 26 | 16 |
| JUNCTION (pop. 2,441) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts | 3,500 | 27 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 2,788 | 14 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | ( 4,099 | ** | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 8.2 | 15 |  |
| JUSTIN (pop. 622) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | \$ 904 | - 51 | - 17 |
| Duilding permits, less federal contracts | \$ 40,000 | ** |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 8931 | -32 |  |
| F.nd-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$., | 8937 | 4 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.2 | - 37 |  |
| KARNES CITY (pop. 2,693) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 75,800 | 875 | 1 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | \$ 4,161 | 1 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%.. | \$ 4,179 |  | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | - 1 | - 3 |
| KILGORE (pop. 10,092) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. | \$ 17,930 | $-16$ | 3 |
| Buildink permits, less federal cotitracts | \$ 126,920 | - 49 | 142 |
| Bank debits (thousauds) | 16,149 | 23 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | \& 13,738 | 1 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.2 | 20 | 9 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) e | 34.400 | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (nrea) c | 9,980 | 3 | 14 |
| Percent unemployed (area) c | 2.7 | 21 | 13 |
| Killcene (pop. 34,000 ${ }^{\text {') }}$ |  |  |  |
| Putal receipts* .......... | ( 52,569 | - 16 | 2 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,141,215 | 151 | 415 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | \% 21,432 | 10 | 15 |
| End-of-month depusits (thousands) | - 14,096 | 17 | 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.6 | 2 | 5 |
| KİNGSLAND (pop. 150) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousnnds) ............ | 3 2,511 | $-12$ | 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ... | \$ 1,617 | 4 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.0 |  | - 10 |
| KINGSVİLLE (pop. 25,297) |  |  |  |
| Postal reenipts* ................... | - 23,203 | - 15 | 21 |
| Building permite, less federal contract | \$ 129.870 | $-86$ | $-23$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | \$ 19,251 | 26 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%.. \$ | * 18.413 |  | 14 |
| Annual rate of deyosit turno | 12.5 | 24 | 7 |

[^11]| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jui } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Jun } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } 1968 \\ & \text { fromi } \\ & \text { Jul } 1967 \end{aligned}$ |
| KIRBYVILLE (pop. 2,021 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 4,524 | - 28 | 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 2.702 | 11 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ldots .$. \$ | 4,528 | 4 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.3 | 9 | 3 |
| LAMESA (pop. 12,438) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................. \% | 14,106 | - 14 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 7,100 | - 90 | - 83 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \% | 16,373 | 22 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t.. \$ | 14,380 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.5 | 27 | 36 |
| Nonfarm placements | 85 | 49 | 12 |
| LAMPASAS (pop. 5,670 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 7,513 |  | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... | 9,737 | 9 | 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousandi) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 8,231 | 1 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover, | 14.3 | 10 |  |
| LEVELLAND (pop. 12,117 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$............... \$ | 18,177 | 10 | 79 |
| Building perrmits, less federal contracts \$ | 97,950 | - 22 | 303 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 14,981 | 25 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ... $_{\text {\% }}$ | 12,181 | 15 | 27 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.8 | 21 | - 15 |
| LITTLEFIELD (pop. 7,236) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 9,647 | - 10 | 29 |
| Building permits, less federnl contracts | 2,500 | - 67 | - 55 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 10,844 | 31 | 35 |
| Find-or-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 9,638 | 6 | 25 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.9 | 28 | 16 |
| LLANO (pop. 2,656) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* $\ldots$.............. * | 3,880 | - 37 |  |
| Building permits, less federai contracts \% | 15,800 | 111 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots . . . . . .$. \& | 5.776 | 3 | 17 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. d | 4,927 | 9 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.7 | - 2 | 20 |
| LOCKHART (pop. 6,084) |  |  |  |
| Pustal receipts* .................. \$ | 5,303 | - 32 | 5 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,922 | 13 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 7,488 | ** | ${ }_{3}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.1 | 13 |  |
| LONGVIEW (pop. 52,242 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | 11 |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... | 75,314 | - 21 | 20 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 636,500 | - 58 | - 23 |
| Nonfarm employment (area) c ...... | 34,400 | 1 | 4 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) e | 9,930 | 3 | 14 |
| Percent unemployed (area) e | 2.7 | - 21 | $-13$ |
| LUFKIN (pop. 20,756 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 36.087 | - 25 | 16 |
| Building dermits, less federal contracts \$ | 340,894 | 84 | 167 |
| Nonfarm placements | 62 | - 24 | ** |
| McCAMEY (pop. 3,350 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | 3,636 | 18 | 21 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 2,147 | 15 |  |
| End-of-month deyosits (thousandz) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1.902 | 14 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 14.4 | 10 | $-13$ |
| MARBLE FALLS (pop. 2,161) |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 3,464 | 18 | 22 |
| End-of-munth deposits (thousands) $\dagger .$. \$ | 3,027 | 5 | 12 |
| Annua) rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.1 | 13 | 5 |


| Local Business Conditions | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jun | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Ju6 |

MARSHALL (pop. 25,715 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Pustal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 35,579 | $-19$ |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 562,543 | 112 | - | 3 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . ......... \$ | 28.142 | 21 |  | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 中.. \$ | 29,424 | - 3 |  | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. . . . . | 11.3 | 19 | - | 6 |
| Nonfarm placements | 372 | $-11$ | - | 16 |

## MINERAL WELLS (pop. 11,053)

| Postal receipts* ..................... s | 29,962 | $-9$ | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 350,775 | 47 | 166 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 29,746 | 15 | 30 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. | 18,595 | 13 | 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 20.4 | 7 | 13 |
| Nonfarm placements | 155 | $-25$ | 55 |

## MONAHANS (pop. 9,252 ${ }^{\prime}$ )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 10,698 | 19,500 | -19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 12,081 | 16 | -53 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 7,284 | 2 | -42 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 20.1 | 16 | 16 |

MOUNT PLEASANT (pop. 8,027)

| Postal receipts* .................. $\$ 8$ | 12,226 | -19 | 61 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 180,500 | 69 | 471 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 18,013 | 16 | 24 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 9,851 | 2 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 22.1 | 12 | 21 |

## MUENSTER (pop. 1,190)

| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,080 | $-53$ | - 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 0 | ... | ... |
| Bank debits (thousands) | § | 4,058 | 7 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | \$ | 2,590 | * | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover |  | 18.8 | 7 | 8 |

MULESHOE (pop. 3,871)

| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . .$12 <br> End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 11,096 | 30 | -541 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 18.3 | 28 | 42 |  |

NACOGDOCHES (pop. 15,450 ${ }^{r}$ )

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \$$ | 17,286 | -47 | -23 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 139,250 | -91 | -24 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 26,878 | 4 | -13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 29,093 | 3 | 16 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 11.3 | -3 | -28 |
| Nonfarm placements ............ | 72 | -12 | 41 |

## OLNEY (pop. 4,200 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 800 | -89 | -84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 6,734 | 4 | 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . \$$ | 5,442 | -18 | -2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.4 | -1 | 4 |

## PALESTINE (pop. 13,974)

| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 17,581 | -29 | - | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | $1,263,407$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 17,367 | 23 | 26 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . .8$ | 17,188 | - | 3 | 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 12.0 | 28 | 22 |  |

For an explanation of symbols sce p. 266.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1968 <br> fung <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> Jul 1967 |  |

PAMPA (pop. 24,664)

| Retail sales $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $1 \dagger$ | - | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 22 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 31,752 | -17 | 4 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 23,648 | 29 | 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 182 | $* *$ | 4 |
| Nonfarm placements $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 125 | -41 | -39 |

## PARIS (pop. 20,977)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 31,533 | -13 | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 151,032 | -84 |
| Nonfarm placements $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 200 | $* *$ | 33 |


| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ..................... \$ | 13,207 | $-17$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 15,782 | 12 | 34 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,104 | - | 6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.3 | 13 | 27 |
| Nonfarm placements | 104 | - 16 | 28 |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. 23,703 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... . \& | 26,275 | - 28 | 10 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 57.600 | - 96 | -68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \& | 48,551 | 10 | 15 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. \$ | 25,485 | 2 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 23.1 | 12 | 9 |
| Nonfarm placements | 224 | 17 | $-17$ |

PLEASANTON (pop. 5,053 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ )

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 32,050 | $\ldots$ | -57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 5,618 | 26 | 32 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 4,302 | 5 | 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 16.0 | 25 | 21 |

## QUANAH (pop. 4,564)

| Postal receipts* | 4,623 | $-32$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 6,353 | $-12$ | 41 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)t. | 5,908 | - 7 | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.4 | $-11$ | 31 |


| RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* ................... \$ | 7,503 | - 21 | 28 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 38,300 | ** | 272 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 11,255 | 58 | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 10,774 | 8 | - 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.0 | 51 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Nonfarm placements | 42 | - 44 | - 18 |

REFUGIO (pop. 4,944)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \$$ | 4,465 | -89 | -19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 8$ | 2,000 | $\ldots$ | -78 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$ 8$ | 4,299 | 11 | -19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 8,541 | -2 | -6 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 6.0 | 13 | -17 |

## ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481)

| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5,173 | -30 | -3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5,773 | 9 | 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 5,097 | -1 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.5 | 8 | 4 |

## SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713)

| Postal receipts* .................. \$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 6 9 7}$ | -22 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 447,743 | 244 | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ..........\$ | 18,218 | 19 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 13,962 | 3 | 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 15.9 | 14 | 3 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Jul <br> Jul 1968 | Jul 1968 <br> from <br> from <br> Jun 1968 | Jul 1967 |

SAN SABA (pop. 2,728)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 4,083 | 5 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ | 9,100 | - 54 | - 84 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 6,822 | 6 | 13 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. |  | 6,219 | 4 | 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove |  | 13.4 | ** | ** |

## SILSBEE (pop. 6,277)

| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 9,801 | 15 | 84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ | 9,510 | 2 | 46 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover.... | 12.5 | 20 | 28 |

## SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933)



## SNYDER (pop. 13,850)

| Building permits, less federal contracts | $\$$ | 55,500 | 149 | -31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | $\$ 0.201$ | 9 | 9 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | $\$$ | 17,606 | 3 | -4 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 9.2 | 7 | 14 |  |

SONORA (pop. 2,619)

| Bank debits (thousanas) . . ......... \& | 3,743 | 33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-ol-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 4,723 | 7 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.8 | 26 | - |

## STEPHENVILLE (pop. 7359)



## SULPHCR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160)

| Postal receipts* | 22,586 | - 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 22,765 | 22 | 18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 17,104 | 2 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.1 | 20 | 11 |
| SWEETWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 19,118 | - 11 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 39,100 | 208 | 205 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 15,812 | 35 | 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands)ł.. | 11,292 | 5 | 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.3 | 27 | 12 |
| Nonfarm placements | 126 | $-32$ | 10 |

## TAHOKA (pop. 3,012)

| Building permits, less federal contracts $\$$ | 22,700 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \$$ | 3,754 | 22 | $\ldots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 5,771 | - | 5 |
| Annual rate of deposir turnover..... | 7.6 | 29 | $\ldots$ |

For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Jun } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | Jul 1968 from Jul 1967 |
| TAYLOR (pop. 9,434) |  |  |  |
| Pustal receipts* . .................. \& | 11,142 | - 27 | 11 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 105,000 | 94 | 437 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ........... \$ | 11,703 | 18 | $-10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . S | 21,042 | 5 | 10 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 6.8 | 15 | - 19 |
| Nonfarm placements .... | 26 | - 26 |  |
| TEMPLE (pop. 34,730 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{+}{ }^{+}$ | - | 9 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores $\qquad$ |  | 13 | 11 |
| Postal receipts* ................... \% | 55,045 | - | 8 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 329,805 | 14 | 25 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 5,357 | 15 | 17 |
| Nonfarm placements | 256 | - 14 | 4 |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . ......... \& | 14,306 | $-27$ | 36 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 18,510 | 11 | 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. S | 10,849 | 4 | 7 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 20.9 | 7 | 13 |
| VERNON (pop. 12,141) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* .................. \& | 13,805 | - 34 | 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 30,769 | -86 | - 88 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \& | 20,649 | - 9 | 25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 28,955 | - | 13 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.1 | - 10 | 10 |
| Nonfarm placements .............. | 89 | - 44 | 41 |
| VICTORIA (pop. 33,047) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ${ }^{1+}$ | ** | 8 |
| Automotive stores . ............... | $-7 \dagger$ | - | 21 |
| Postal receipts* .................. | 54,379 | - 18 | .. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts 8 | 549,105 | 8 | 62 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... \$ | 83,836 | 15 | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 100,502 | 5 | 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.2 | 11 |  |
| Nonfarm placements | 427 | $-16$ |  |
| WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots$................. \& | 14,160 | $-25$ | 32 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 20,450 | - 68 |  |
| Endoof-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \& | 17,738 |  | 6 |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY

(Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo; pop. 335,450 *)

| Retail sales | $1 \dagger$ | $-2$ | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $2 \dagger$ | 9 | - 4 |
| Automotive stores | - 7才 | $-4$ | 20 |
| Drugstores | $-2 \dagger$ | - 4 | - 5 |
| Eating and drinking places | ** $\dagger$ | $-7$ | 12 |
| Food stores | $7{ }_{\text {\% }}$ | 2 | - 2 |
| Furniture and householdappliance stores | - 1\% |  | 10 |
| Gasoline and service stations | $3 \dagger$ | - 6 | 1 |
| General-merchandise stores | $9{ }_{1}$ | 37 | 47 |
| Lumber, building-material, and hardware dealers | $-1 \%$ | 9 | 34 |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\ldots$ | $-18$ | 17 |
| Builiing permits, less federal contracts | . | 29 | 75 |
| Bank debits (thousands) .......... | $\ldots$ | 13 | 11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | $\cdots$ | 7 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover .... | 18.8 | 13 | 6 |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

(All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated.)
All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-1959 except where other specification is made; all except annual indexes are adjusted for seasonal variation unless otherwise noted. Employment estimates are compiled by the Texas Employment Commission in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. The symbols used below impose qualifications as indicated here: *-preliminary data subject to revision; r-revised data; \#dollar totals for the calendar year to date; §-dollar totals for the fiscal year to date; $\dagger$-employment data for wage and salary workers only.


## CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS CITIES 1961-1965

Realizing that construction is one of the basic industries in any economy, and that accurate building information is in great demand, the Bureau of Business Research at The University of Texas at Austin has instituted a series of volumes presenting collected data for this segment of industry by groups of years. The first issue was published in 1964 for the decade 1950-1960; the present volume covers a five-year period bringing the presentation of data up from 1960 through 1965.

Failure of construction to keep pace with the need for housing, commercial buildings, office space, and manufacturing plants leads to overcrowding and inefficiency of physical arrangement of plants and stores. A prolonged shortage of building space can hamper economic growth. Although building permits do not represent construction put in place, a close relationship exists between value of permits and volume of construction.

Data are included on all reporting localities for residential and nonresidential permits, as well as for additions, alterations, and repairs. Data are given under the residential category for the value of permits in current dollars, the number of buildings, and the number of dwelling units.

This series of reference works provides a permanent record of this segment of the Texas economy. Current data are to be found in the monthly report Building Construction in Texas, published by the Bureau. The data in this volume, as well as that in the monthly report, are collected in cooperation with the Division of Construction Statistics, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.
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(Texas residents add 4-percent sales tax)



[^0]:    Published monthly by the Bureau of Business Research, Graduate School of Business, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712. Second-class postage paid at Austin, Texas. Content of this publication is not copyriphted and may be reproduced freely, but acknowledgment of source will be appreciated. The views expressed by authors are not necessarily those of the Bureau of Business Research. Subseription. 83.00 a year: individual copies, 25 cents.

[^1]:    * Preliminary.
    ** Change is less than one half of 1 percent.

[^2]:    * Credit sales divided by net sales.
    $\dagger$ Collections during the month divided by accounts unpaid on first of the month.

[^3]:    *Mr. Arnold is a graduate student in the Hankamer School of Business at Baylor University; Professor Reierson is chairman of the Department of Marketing in the Hankamer School of Business.
    ${ }^{1}$ Gordon Arnold and Curtis Reierson, "The Texas Potential for Expanding Exports of Manufactured Products," Texas Business Review, XLII, No. 8 (August 1968), pp. 220-222. The data on which the study was based were gathered by the Bureau of Business Research at The University of Texas at Austin during the summer of 1965.
    ${ }^{2}$ Interview with James Swann, assistant director of the Texas Industrial Commission, in charge of the Office of Export Expansion, at the offices of the Texas Industrial Commission in Austin, Texas, November 11, 1966.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Metropolitan areas are listed in accordance with 1968 Bureau of the Census definition. This table includes only the cities reporting in metropolitan areas.

    * Includes additions, alterations, and repairs.

[^5]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

[^6]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266 .

[^7]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

[^8]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

[^9]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

[^10]:    For an explanation of symbols see $\mathbf{p} .266$.

[^11]:    For an explanation of symbols see p. 266.

