Metabolomics fingerprint of Philippine coffee by SPME-GC-MS for geographical and varietal classification

Emelda A. Ongo, Giuseppe Montevecchi, Andrea Antonelli, Veronica Sberveglieri, Fortunato Sevilla III

PII:	\$0963-9969(20)30252-0
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109227
Reference:	FRIN 109227
To appear in:	Food Research International
Received Date:	3 January 2020
Revised Date:	2 April 2020
Accepted Date:	6 April 2020



Please cite this article as: Ongo, E.A., Montevecchi, G., Antonelli, A., Sberveglieri, V., Sevilla III, F., Metabolomics fingerprint of Philippine coffee by SPME-GC-MS for geographical and varietal classification, *Food Research International* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109227

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1	Metabolomics fingerprint of Philippine coffee by SPME-GC-MS for geographical and varietal
2	classification
3	
4	Emelda A. Ongo ^{a,b,*} , Giuseppe Montevecchi ^c , Andrea Antonelli ^c , Veronica Sberveglieri ^d , Fortunato
5	Sevilla III ^b
6	
7	^a Industrial Technology Development Institute, Department of Science and Technology, General Santos
8	Ave., Bicutan, Taguig, 1631 Philippines.
9	^b University of Santo Tomas, Graduate School, Espana Blvd., Sampaloc, Manila, 1008 Philippines.
10	^c Department of Life Sciences (Agro-Food Science Area), BIOGEST - SITEIA Interdepartmental
11	Centre, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Piazzale Europa 1, 42124 Reggio Emilia, Italy.
12	^d CNR-IBBR, Institute of Bioscience and Bioresources, via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto
13	Fiorentino (FI), Italy.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +63 92746698952; fax: +63 288373167
19	E-mail address: eme_ongo@yahoo.com (Emelda Ongo).
20	
21	
22	

24 Abstract

Volatile metabolites of Philippine Arabica and Robusta coffee beans in the both forms standard (noteaten by the Asian palm civet) and civet coffee grown in different Philippine regions were identified using the hyphenated technique headspace-solid phase microextraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. A great number of volatile metabolites with a wide variety of functional groups were extracted and forty-seven prominent compounds were identified.

The volatile metabolomics (volatilomics) fingerprint of Arabica coffees considerably differed with 30 Robusta coffee and geographical origin slightly altered the fingerprint profile of coffee samples. 31 Chemometric analysis such as principal component analysis (PCA) displayed a good classification 32 between Arabica and Robusta coffee samples. Although, Arabica coffee samples from different 33 geographical origins were clustered separately from each other, the proximity of clusters between 34 Arabica coffee samples which can be classified into one large group, indicated their close similarity of 35 headspace metabolites. PCA also identified several key volatile metabolites for the distinction of this 36 group from Robusta coffees which is attributed to the higher amount of acetic acid, furfural, 5-37 methylfurfural. 2-formylpyrrole, and maltol, and lower concentration of 4-ethylguaiacol and phenol in 38 all Arabica samples. These discriminating metabolites could be useful quality markers to differentiate 39 Arabica with Robusta coffee. Results revealed that the headspace metabolites in coffee provide 40 significant information on its inherent aroma quality. Also, the findings suggested that the overall 41 quality of Philippine coffee is variety and region specific. 42

43

Keywords: Volatile metabolites, Volatilomics, Civet coffee, Asian palm civet, Arabica, Robusta,
Geographical origin, HS-SPME-GC-MS, Discriminant markers

46

47 ¹*Abbreviations*

¹ *Abbreviations:* AC, Asipulo Civet; AR, Asipulo Robusta; CA, Cordillera Arabica; CC, Cordillera Civet; GC, Gas chromatography; HS, headspace; i.d., Internal diameter; KC, Kalinga Civet; KR, Kalinga Robusta; MA, Matutum Arabica; MC, Matutum Civet; MS, Mass spectrometry; MW, Molecular weight; PC, Principal Component; PCA, Principal Component Analysis; SPME, Solid phase microextraction

49 **1. Introduction**

50

Coffee aroma is the result of the multiplicity of volatile compounds present in roasted coffee beans 51 52 (Coffea spp.). The complex balance of the most important volatile compounds in coffee has a relative contribution to its overall aroma quality (Bernard, Roberts, & Kraehenbuehl, 2005). So far, more than 53 eight hundred volatile compounds belonging to a wide range of chemical classes have been identified 54 in roasted coffee (Mayer & Grosch, 2001; Rocha, Maetzu, Barros, Cid & Coimbra, 2003), including 55 aliphatic volatile metabolites (carbonyl-containing compounds, sulfur-containing compounds), alicyclic 56 compounds (including several ketones), benzenoids (phenols); heterocyclic compounds (furans, 57 hydrofurans, pyrroles, pyridines, guinolines, pyrazines, guinoxalines, indoles, thiophens, thiophenones, 58 thiazoles, oxazoles) (Clarke, 1986). 59

Nowadays, coffee drinking is the best social lubricant and people are becoming more discriminating in their preference for coffee. The aroma of coffee is one of the most important consumer's preference vectors due to its contribution to the palatability and appreciation of overall coffee quality. This has recently given rise to a fast growing demand for specialty coffee or commonly referred to gourmet or premium coffee produced from special geographic microclimates beans with unique flavor profiles (Teuber, 2019).

Among the specialty coffees, civet coffee ranks as the most expensive and best coffee in the world due to its unique aroma and taste (Lee, 2006). It is made from coffee cherries which have been eaten and passed through the digestive tract of the (Asian palm) civet. Civets naturally select and consume the ripest and sweetest coffee cherries, and excrete the undigested inner beans. The passage of the beans through the digestive tract of civet adds flavor to the coffee by partially breaking down the proteins, thus modulating the coffee bitter taste (Marcone, 2004).

Civet coffee is produced in only few countries from Far East including Philippines, where it has been recognized as one of the important indigenous export products of the country (Yulia & Suhandy, 2017). Philippine civet coffee is derived mainly from the beans of Arabica and Robusta coffee trees found in the forests where the Asian palm civet thrives, particularly those in the mountains of the Cordillera region, Batangas, Davao, and Cotabato. The different aroma characteristics of Philippine Arabica and Robusta (not eaten and eaten by the Asian palm civet) and their inherent attributes are still a puzzle and require deeper understanding of their chemical nature.

79 The need to identify reliable method that can determine the volatile compounds responsible for the 80 aroma quality of Philippine coffee varieties and geographical origin is therefore of crucial relevance.

Some studies have recently used a metabolomic approach to ascertain the authenticity of far Eastern 81 civet coffees. They focused on non-volatile compounds, such as organic and phenolic acids, 82 carbocyclic sugars, and their ratios (Jumhawan, Putri, Marwani, Bamba, & Fukusaki, 2013; Jumhawan, 83 Putri, Bamba, & Fukusaki, 2016). In particular, inositol to pyroglutamic acid ratio was selected as a 84 chemical marker to discriminate the authenticity of civet coffee. This index makes sense, as 85 pyroglatamic acid derives from the degradation of two amino acids, glutamine and glutamic acid 86 (Montevecchi, Masino, & Antonelli, 2010), which could originate from the enzymatic action of Asian 87 palm civet on protein structures of the green coffee. 88

Volatile metabolomics, or volatilomics, is a novel approach and a useful tool for the assessment of food quality and authenticity. It involves separation and detection of volatile metabolites using a multidisciplinary field of science including analytical chemistry, bioinformatics, statistics, and biochemistry (Bouhifd, Hartung, Hogberg, Kleensang, & Zhao, 2013; Lytou, Panagou, & Nychas, 2019).

The volatilomic analytical platform commonly utilized for the analysis of headspace (HS) metabolites 94 95 is gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Rowan, 2011). Several extraction methods can be employed for HS-GC-MS analysis such as vacuum or steam distillation (Stoffelsma, 96 Sipma, Kettenes, & Pypker, 1968; Kumazawa & Masuda, 2003); purge and trap (Costa Freitas & 97 Mosca, 1999); static headspace (Sanz, Ansorena, Bello, & Cid, 2001; Mayer & Grosch, 2001); sorptive 98 extraction and stir bar sorptive extraction (Bicchi, Iori, Rubiolo, & Sandra, 2002); and finally solid 99 phase extraction (SPE) (Ishikawa et al. 2004). The application of headspace solid phase 100 microextraction (HS-SPME) has been widely recognized because it is a non-destructive and non-101 invasive method in the determination of volatile and semi-volatile metabolites (Hamm et al., 2003). 102 Also, it is a solvent-free, simple and fast, relatively compact and low cost sampling technique. 103 Moreover, it is highly sensitive, selective and compatible with analytical systems having low detection 104 limits (Pawliszyn, Yang, & Orton, 1997). 105

The general aim of the project is the characterization of Philippine coffees and the safeguard of their authenticity, in the both forms standard (not-eaten by the Asian palm civet) and civet coffee. Also, the present study aims to outline through the hyphenated technique HS-SPME-GC-MS a volatilomic fingerprint of four types of roasted coffee beans coming from different geographical regions of the Philippines. The selected samples belong to the two main species of *Coffea* genus (Arabica and
Robusta) in their standard form and in their civet version.

112

113 2. Materials and methods

- 114
- 115 *2.1. Sampling*
- 116

Samples of *Coffea arabica* (throughout the paper referred to as Arabica) and *C. canephora* (sin. *C. robusta*; throughout the paper referred to as Robusta) roasted beans were acquired from different regions of the Philippines. Arabica and Robusta coffee beans eaten and not-eaten by Asian palm civet (*Paradoxurus hermaphroditus*) were included in the samples.

Four Robusta coffee beans samples were taken from the northern part of the Philippines (Kalinga 121 province and Asipulo district, located in Ifugao province), while four Arabica coffees were obtained 122 from the southern part (Matutum discrict located in South Cotabato province) and the northern part 123 (Cordillera, Mountain province) of the country. A map of the Philippines indicating the sites of the 124 geographic origin of the coffee samples is shown in figure 1. Arabica coffee samples, namely Matutum 125 126 Arabica (MA), Matutum Civet (MC), Cordillera Arabica (CA), and Cordillera Civet (CC) were compared with four Robusta coffee samples, notably Kalinga Robusta (KR), Kalinga Civet (KC), 127 Asipulo Robusta (AR), and Asipulo Civet (AC). All coffee samples are commercially available and 128 dark roasted between 220 °C and up to 230 °C. 129

- 130
- 131 2.2. Chemicals and standards
- 132

All high-purity analytical standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck KGaA, Milan, Italy).

- 135 2.3. Method for the volatiles extraction
- 136
- 137 2.3.1. Optimization of the method
- 138
- To optimize the protocol of extraction, the effects of sample weight (0.5 g, 1.0 g, and 1.5 g), extraction time (10 min, 20 min, and 30 min) and temperature (60 °C, 70 °C, and 80 °C), desorption time (5 min

and 10 min) were assessed based on the highest number of peaks and highest peak areas. All the
optimization analysis was carried out on the same sample of Cordillera Arabica coffee.

143

144 2.3.2. Optimized HS-SPME protocol for the extraction of coffee volatile metabolites

145

The roasted coffee beans (1.0 g) were placed in a 20-mL crimped-top-sealed vial. Each vial was heated at 70 °C for 10 min to reach sample headspace equilibrium. The volatile compounds were extracted using a 50/30 µm divinylbenzene–carboxen-polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) fiber (Supelco, Merck KGaA, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The fiber was inserted into the vial and exposed to the headspace above the coffee sample for 20 min at 70 °C. After the extraction, the fiber was thermally desorbed into the GC injection port for 5 min. Each coffee sample was analyzed thrice.

152

[Arabica (2 standard + 2 civet) + Robusta (2 standard + 2 civet)] x = 24 samples (total)

153

154 2.4. GC-MS analysis

155

The analysis was performed using a gas chromatograph Hewlett-Packard (HP) 6890 series instrument 156 157 (Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany) with a split/splitless injection port coupled with a mass spectrometer instrument HP 5973 Mass Selective Detector (Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany), 158 equipped with a crossbond acid-deactivated Carbowax-like polyethylene glycol capillary column 159 (Stabilwax-DA 11023, Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA, USA), measuring 30 m, having an internal 160 diameter of 0.25 mm and film thickness of 0.25 µm. GC-MS analysis was performed in splitless mode 161 at 250 °C. The oven temperature was set at 60 °C, held for 2 min and increased at 5 °C/min up to 162 240 °C and finally held for 5 min. 163

The molecular fragmentation was obtained by electron ionization (EI). The data were obtained in fullscan mode and the mass/charge ratio (m/z) was recorded between 50 and 550 at 70 eV. Chromatograms were acquired and processed using the software Enhanced Chem Station (G1701AA Version A.03.00, Hewlett Packard).

Identification was carried out by comparing retention times and mass spectrum of all the available pure standards. In the absence of pure standards, the volatiles were identified by comparing their mass spectra with those present in the data system libraries (Wiley 7th Edition Library and NIST-14). Only those compounds with match probabilities above 80% (considered a satisfactory match), and those ones

for which the same identification was matched across several samples and for which a similar mass 172 spectra spectrum was observed, were identified. In cases in which unacceptable confident matches 173 174 were found through the libraries, the compounds were individually checked and in cases where the compounds showed the same retention time, molecular ion, base ion, and fragmentation patterns in all 175 samples were taken into account and labeled as 'unknown 1-8' accordingly. The absence of said 176 compounds was verified in blank injections. Whenever it was possible, the identification of volatiles 177 was also verified based on the presence in the literature. A semi-quantitation was carried out by 178 considering the average values of the absolute peak areas. 179

180

181 *2.5. Statistical analysis*

182

Multivariate analyses, notably principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis, were applied
to the whole data set. All tests were performed with Statistica version 8.0 software (Stat Soft Inc.,
Tulsa, OK, USA).

186

187 3. Results and discussion

188

189 *3.1. Optimization of HS-SPME operating conditions*

190

The DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber was chosen for HS-SPME due to its high affinity towards a pool of analytes characterized by a wide-range of polarity, including aromatic heterocycles, benzenoids, aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons. In addition, this fiber has already been successfully applied in previous studies (Bicchi et al., 2002; Mondello et al., 2004; Ryan, Shellie, Tranchida, Casilli, Mondello, & Marriott, 2004; Mondello et al. 2005; Toci & Farah, 2008; Franca, Oliveira, Oliveira, Agresti, & Augusti, 2009).

Increasing the sample weight from 0.5 g to 1.0 g, the intensity peaks of most compounds substantially improved. However, 1.5 g of sample did not yield a further increase in the response. This is probably due to a decrease of phase ratio " β " (headspace to sample ratio), and in the retention capacity of the fiber (Kolb & Ettre, 2006). For this reason, 1.0 g was used as a standard sample weight.

Headspace generation was held at 70 °C for 10 min and the extraction temperature was varied from $60 \degree$ C to 70 °C and up to 80 °C at the constant extraction time of 20 min. The lowest extraction

temperature of 60 °C generated lower peak areas for most of the semi-volatile compounds. Conversely, the highest extraction temperature of 80 °C resulted in an increase of peak areas of the high boiling compounds, but caused the reduction of the areas of the compounds with a high vapor pressure. This was due to a displacement effect that occurred onto the fiber to the detriment of substances with a high vapor pressure. Extraction temperature of 70 °C was therefore deemed the best condition to achieve the maximum extraction efficiency of volatile metabolites and used for the standard protocol.

209 Extraction time depends on factors affecting the mass repartition of the volatile metabolites among sample, headspace, and fiber coating. In order to determine the optimum extraction time, extraction 210 temperature was held constant, without sample agitation, and extraction time varied from 10, 20, and 211 30 min. Results showed that 10-min extraction time yielded high areas of the low boiling volatiles, 212 whereas 30 min were more favorable for some semi-volatile compounds. The finding implied that there 213 was an inverse relationship between the extraction time and the volatility of the analytes. Extraction 214 time of 20 min was considered a good compromise for both volatile and semi-volatile compounds and 215 was adopted as standard procedure. 216

The complete thermal desorption of volatile metabolites from the fiber coating is necessary to improve 217 chromatographic resolution and prevent carry-over of volatile metabolites to the subsequent extraction 218 process. Desorption of volatile metabolites from the fiber coating was carried out at 250 °C based on 219 previous studies (Toci and Farah, 2008; Oliveira, Oliveira, Franca, & Augusti, 2009; Costa Freitas, 220 Parreira, & Vilas-Boas, 2001). Instead, desorption time was established to achieve the complete 221 purging and cleaning of SPME fiber. The fiber was desorbed in the GC injection port for 5 and 10 min 222 and subjected again to desorption in a subsequent blank run. No peaks appeared during the latter run in 223 both cases, thus indicating that 5 min was a suitable time to prevent carry-over effects. 224

225

226 3.3. Identification and semi-quantitation of volatile metabolites

227

The list of volatile metabolites extracted and identified is shown in Table 1. IUPAC names are indicated together with the main synonyms. The latter are used throughout the article as they are most commonly used in the literature.

Arabica and Robusta coffees showed a high number of volatile metabolites belonging to a wide variety
of chemical classes, notably aromatic heterocycles (furans, pyranes, pyrazines, pyridines, pyrroles),
aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons, phenols, aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, esters, lactones, and fatty

acids. Forty-seven volatile metabolites were considered in total, 27 of which were confirmed using pure
reference standards, while other 12 were tentatively identified based on MS-libraries matching. Eight
peaks were included in the list as unknown compounds, since their presence was verified in most of the
samples.

Figure 2 presents the volatiles composition of the complete samples set. The volatile that showed by far the highest concentrations was furfuryl alcohol, followed by furfuryl acetate, 5-methylfurfural, and 3acetylanisole. Furfuryl alcohol has a very mild, slightly caramel-like, warm-oily smell and is well correlated with the undesirable burnt and bitter note of dark-roasted coffees (Flament, 2002).

The comparison between Robusta and Arabica samples showed that the latter had higher amounts of 242 acetic acid, furfural, 2-acetylfuran, 5-methylfurfural, furfuryl alcohol, 3-methylcyclopentane-1,2-dione, 243 maltol, and 2-formylpyrrole. Conversely, Robusta samples showed higher amounts of 3-ethyl-2,5-244 dimethylpyrazine, 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine, guaiacol, phenol, 4-ethylguaiacol, 245 and 3acetylanisole. Pyrrole and 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine were not detected at all in Arabica samples and 246 only found in small concentrations in Robusta samples. 247

In general, the concentrations of furanic compounds in Arabica and of pyrazine compounds in Robusta 248 stood out. A marked prevalence of furanic derivatives in Arabica samples, as well as a concomitant 249 250 slighter prevalence of pyrazine volatile metabolites in Robusta samples, has already been described (Mondello et al., 2005). Furthermore, Ryan et al. (2004) reported that maltol was significantly higher in 251 Arabica samples, as well as phenol was significantly lower, in comparison with Robusta samples. 252 However, phenol has a medicinal odor and does not contribute to the pleasantness of coffee flavor 253 (Dorfner, Ferge, Kettrup, Zimmermann, & Yeretzian, 2003). Robusta coffees showed also higher 254 content of phenolic compounds. In particular, guaiacol is an important character impact volatile that 255 provides a smoky peaty phenolic note (Semmelroch, Laskawy, Blank, & Grosch, 1995). 256

Acetic acid must be considered separately. Unlike many other volatiles, the concentration of this compound decreases with increasing degree of roasting (Somporn, Kamtuo, Theerakulpisut, & Siriamornpun, 2011). Although acetic acid may represent a valid chemical marker for the degree of roasting, its concentration in Arabica coffee was generally higher than that of Robusta once roasted under the same conditions (Caporaso, Whitworth, Cui, & Fisk, 2018).

At least 22 compounds identified in Arabica (coming from El Salvador, Costarica, and Brazil) and Robusta (coming from Togo, India, and Vietnam) coffees (Mondello et al., 2005) were also present in Philippine coffees, notably pyridine, pyrazine, 2-methylpyrazine, 2-ethylpyrazine, 2,3-

dimethylpyrazine, 2-ethyl-6-methylpyrazine, 2-ethyl-5-methylpyrazine, furfural, 2-acetylfuran, pyrrole, furfuryl acetate, 5-methylfurfural, furfuryl alcohol, γ -butyrolactone, furfurylpyrrole, guaiacol, 2acetylpyrrole, furfuryl ether and 2-formylpyrrole.

AR samples showed a lack of volatile substances compared not only to their corresponding civet samples but also to all other samples, being its average volatiles sum from one third to one fifth lower. The cause of it is unknown and might be due to the specific lot of sample. This behavior has drastically affected a correct comparison of this sample within the characterization of all other Philippine coffees.

Roasting time and temperature of coffee cause extensive chemical modification on green beans (Franca 272 et al., 2009). Non-enzymatic browning reactions are responsible of the formation of a very high number 273 of volatile compounds, most of them belonging to aromatic heterocycles, such as furans, ketones, and 274 pyrazines. Furans partly come from the dehydration of sugars that occurred during the sugar 275 caramelization (Montevecchi, Masino, Chinnici, & Antonelli, 2008), while ketones and pyrazines were 276 produced through Maillard-like reactions between sugars and amino acids (Knoch & Baltes, 1992). 277 Grinding size and brewing methods are equally relevant in the coffee-flavor expression. However, the 278 quali-quantitative variations in volatile metabolites observed in roasted coffee beans can also be 279 attributed to the specific species/variety. Aside from the genotypic traits, the sensory properties of 280 281 roasted coffee are particularly affected by other factors, such as growing region, altitude, macro- and micro-climatic conditions, and different cherries-fermentation processes (dry or wet) (Illy & Viani, 282 2005). In addition, for Philippine coffees must be also considered whether or not the cherries were 283 passed through the gastrointestinal apparatus of the Asian palm civet (Ongo et al., 2012; Ongo et al., 284 2015). 285

Based on the present results, it was not possible to make general observations on the different composition in volatiles between civet and non-civet coffees. As for Robusta civet coffees, KC showed an average increase (ratio 1.4) in volatile amount in comparison to its standard coffee. In particular, the volatiles that showed the highest increase were pyrazines (in particular ethyl and isopropenyl substituted), furanic derivatives, phenolic compounds, maltol, and other minor volatiles.

As for the Arabica civet samples, MC showed no difference in the comparison (average ratio 1.0) with its standard MA, while CC has even shown an opposite behavior with an average reduction (ratio 0.7) in volatile amount compared to the CA. The only volatile compound that showed an increase in all the civet samples was furfural, a compound that mainly originates from pentose-sugars degradation during the roasting process. This remark consistently leads to confirm a hydrolytic action that occurs in the

digestive tract of the Asian palm civet on polysaccharides rich in pentose sugars, such as
arabinogalactan (Bradbury & Halliday, 1990). A similar action on protein constituents with consequent
release of amino acids, precursor of nitrogen volatiles, cannot be excluded.

299

300 *3.4. Coffee Classification*

301

302 *3.4.1. Principal Component Analysis*

303

Autoscaled data concerning the areas of volatile compounds were chemometrically processed through the principal component analysis (PCA) to evaluate the possibility of discriminating Arabica with Robusta coffee, as well as civet and non-civet coffees, through specific volatilomic fingerprints. The PCA score plot of the whole sample set is shown in Figure 3a.

The clustering among all Arabica samples and the clear separation with Robusta samples was mainly evident on the second principal component (PC2), which explained 30.70% of the total variance. In the negative quadrants of the PC2, the proximity of CC, CA, MC and MA, which can be also clustered into one large group, indicated a close similarity of the volatiles composition of Arabica coffee samples. The distinction of this wide group from Robusta samples, which were set on the positive quadrants of the PC2, was mainly due to the higher amount of acetic acid, furfural, maltol, 2-formylpyrrole and the lower concentrations of phenol and 4-ethylguaiacol showed in all Arabica samples.

Figure 3b depicts the loading plot. The 47 volatile metabolites (for compounds names see Table 1) 315 were all distributed in the negative quadrants of the PC1, except dodecane. This result confirmed that 316 using this data set PCA could separate the samples on the PC2 more than on PC1. Indeed, due to their 317 general scarcity of volatile substances, AR coffees were completely separated from all the other 318 samples. For this reason, different PCAs were run in order to reduce this effect. In particular, civet 319 coffees were subjected alone to a PCA (Fig. 4a), while Arabica (CA and MA) standard coffee samples 320 were compared individually with Asipulo Robusta (Fig. 4b) and Kalinga Robusta (Fig. 4c) standard 321 coffees in two different PCA analysis. 322

The PCA score plot of all civet coffees successfully discriminated Arabica civet (CC and MC) from Robusta civet (AC and KC) coffees (Fig. 4a). A clear separation between Arabica and Robusta civet coffees was, indeed, observed on PC1, while PC2 discriminated the samples coming from different

regions of production. Likewise, a clear discrimination between Arabica and Robusta samples on PC1
was showed in the figures 4b and 4c.

To determine the volatilomic fingerprints conducive to the discrimination among the different coffees samples, an accurate variable-loading analysis was performed using the loadings with consistent values in the all the three latter PCAs. Variables that exhibit loading values higher than 0.8 (80%) provide a major contribution within each PC and can be considered as discriminating variables. On the contrary, variables associated with very low loading values are considered useless and can be ruled out.

The volatile metabolites primarily accountable for this discrimination (Table 2) were acetic acid, 333 furfural, 5-methylfurfural, 2-formylpyrrole, and 4-ethylguaiacol. Furfuryl alcohol, pyrrole, and maltol 334 could be considered potential discriminating volatile metabolites as well, although they presented some 335 loading value lower than 0.8. The high positive loading values of acetic acid, furfural, 5-methylfurfural, 336 and 2-formylpyrrole on PC1 indicated a higher amount of these volatile metabolites in samples with 337 positive scores on PC1, notably Arabica coffees. On the contrary, 4-ethylguaiacol weighed on PC1 338 with a negative loading value, thus indicating that the samples with negative scores, notably Robusta 339 coffees, contained a higher amount of it. Similarly, Robusta samples contained higher concentrations of 340 pyrrole and a lower amount of furfuryl alcohol and maltol than Arabica coffees. Furthermore, these 341 342 findings are consistent with previous reports showing that the higher amounts of furfural, 5methylfurfural, maltol, and 2-formylpyrrole and the lower concentrations and 4-ethylguaiacol are 343 characteristics of Arabica samples (Blank, Sen, & Grosch, 1991; Semmelroch & Grosch, 1996; Rvan et 344 al., 2004; Mondello et al., 2005; Caporaso, Whitworth, Cui, & Fisk, 2018). 345

Furfural is produced during the acid hydrolysis or heating of polysaccharides containing pentose (or 346 hexose) sugars (Maarse et al., 1994). It has a characteristic of lightly roasted coffee to give it a flavor 347 similar to that of roasted cereals. Furfural is also described as pungent, but sweet, bread-like, caramel-348 like, cinnamon-almond-like odor of poor tenacity (Fors, 1983). Maltol is a degradation product of 349 disaccharides (maltose). Its odor is sweet, caramel-like, cotton-candy with fruity overtones (Flament, 350 2002). 2-Formylpyrrole was found as a product of the reaction of glutamine with ribose (Ho & Chen, 351 1999) and has a corny, pungent odor (Shibamoto & Russell, 1977). Finally, 4-ethylguaiacol was 352 353 identified in the thermal decomposition of ferulic acid. It has a smoky and roasted flavor, burnt taste. Likewise, guaiacol is characterized by a smoky aroma (Flament, 2002). 354

355

356 *3.4.2. Cluster analysis*

357

Cluster analysis (Fig. 5) confirmed the similarity among coffee varieties. The individual spots (n = 24) of samples were arranged along the bottom of the dendrogram. The similar spots were formed into clusters by joining them together. The clusters that were nearer to the bottom of the dendrogram were considered highly correlated. The left sub-branch of the grouped points of the dendrogram was populated by all Arabica coffees (CA, MC, MA and CC), while the right sub-branch was populated by Robusta coffees (AC, KR, KC, AR).

MC was closely similar to MA, so that the two samples were connected to CA followed by CC. On the other side, all KC samples were linked with two KR samples. The level of similarity between the two samples was less intense as indicated by the distance connecting the two different samples. AC was more similar to Kalinga coffee samples (KC and KR) than to AR samples. Indeed, AR samples were isolated from all the other samples, as already highlighted through the other statistical analysis.

369

4. Conclusions

371

The classification of volatile metabolites of Philippine Arabica and Robusta coffee roasted beans was 372 373 successfully carried out using a hyphenated analytical approach to outline specific volatilomic fingerprints through multivariate statistical tools. PCA and cluster analysis allowed the discrimination 374 between Arabica and Robusta samples. The key volatile metabolites responsible for the classification 375 of Arabica and Robusta coffees (both types, standard and civet) were acetic acid, furfural, 5-376 methylfurfural, 2-formylpyrrole, maltol, phenol and 4-ethylguaiacol. The achieved results suggest that 377 the overall quality of Philippine coffee is variety/species and region specific. The findings revealed that 378 the composition of volatile metabolites in coffee is able to provide significant information on the 379 authenticity like other non-volatile markers already used for the same purpose. 380

381

382 Acknowledgements

383

One of the authors (E. O.) gratefully acknowledges the Philippine Council for Industry, Energy and Emerging Technology Research and Development (PCIEERD), Department of Science and Technology (DOST), Philippines, for the sandwich thesis grant provided.

Funding sources

- 389
- 390 This research work was funded by the Philippine Council for Industry, Energy and Emerging
- 391 Technology Research and Development (PCIEERD), Department of Science and Technology (DOST),
- Philippines, the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (Italy), and the University of Brescia (Italy).

3	9	4

395 **References**

396

Bernard, M. C., Roberts, D. D., & Kraehenbuehl, K. (2005). Interactions between volatile and
nonvolatile coffee components. 2. Mechanistic study focused on volatile thiols. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *53*, 4426-4433. 10.1021/jf048020y

400

Bicchi, C., Iori, C., Rubiolo, P., & Sandra, P. (2002). Headspace sorptive extraction (HSSE), stir bar
sorptive extraction (SBSE), and solid phase microextraction (SPME) applied to the analysis of roasted
Arabica coffee and coffee brew. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *50*, 449-459.
10.1021/jf010877x

405

Blank, I., Sen, A., & Grosch, W. (1991, July). Aroma impact compounds of Arabica and Robusta
coffee. Qualitative and quantitative investigations. In 14th International Scientific Colloquium on
Coffee, San Francisco. ASIC, Paris (pp. 117-129).

409

Bouhifd, M., Hartung, T., Hogberg, H. T., Kleensang, A., & Zhao, L. (2013). Review:
Toxicometabolomics. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, *33*, 1365-1383. 10.1002/jat.2874

412

Bradbury, A. G., & Halliday, D. J. (1990). Chemical structures of green coffee bean polysaccharides. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 38(2), 389-392. 10.1021/jf00092a010

415

Caporaso, N., Whitworth, M. B., Cui, C., & Fisk, I. D. (2018). Variability of single bean coffee volatile
compounds of Arabica and robusta roasted coffees analysed by SPME-GC-MS. *Food Research International*, *108*, 628-640. 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.03.077

419

420 Clarke, R. J. (1986). *The Flavour of Coffee* (pp. 1-47). Amsterdam: Elsevier.

421

422 Costa Freitas, A. M., & Mosca, A. I. (1999). Coffee geographic origin—an aid to coffee differentiation.

423 Food Research International, 32, 565-573. 10.1016/S0963-9969(99)00132-5

425	Costa Freitas, A. M., Parreira, C., & Vilas-Boas, L. (2001). The use of an electronic aroma sensing
426	device to assess coffee differentiation-comparison with SPME Gas Chromatography-Mass
427	Spectrometry aroma patterns. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 14, 513-522.
428	10.1006/jfca.2001.0987
429	
430	Dorfner, R., Ferge, T., Kettrup, A., Zimmermann, R., & Yeretzian, C. (2003). Real-time monitoring of
431	4-vinylguaiacol, guaiacol, and phenol during coffee roasting by resonant laser ionization time-of-flight
432	mass spectrometry. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51, 5768-5773. 10.1021/jf0341767
433	
434	Flament, I. Coffee Flavor Chemistry (2002). London: John Wiley & Sons.
435	
436	Fors, S. (1983). Sensory properties of volatile Maillard reaction products and related compounds: A
437	literature review. In: The Maillard reaction in foods and nutrition, 185-286 (Chapter 12). Am. Chem.
438	Soc. Symp. Ser. 215. 10.1021/bk-1983-0215.ch012
439	
440	Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary
440 441	Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of</i>
440 441 442	Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i> , <i>92</i> , 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012
440 441 442 443	Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i> , <i>92</i> , 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012
440 441 442 443 444	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase
440 441 442 443 444 445	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and
440 441 442 443 444 445 446	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83.
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027 Ho C. T., & Chen J. (1999). Generation of volatile metabolitesfrom Maillard reaction of serine,
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027 Ho C. T., & Chen J. (1999). Generation of volatile metabolitesfrom Maillard reaction of serine, threonine, and glutamine with monosaccharides. In <i>Flavor Chemistry</i> (327-333). Boston: Springer.
440 441 442 443 444 445 445 446 447 448 449 450 451	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027 Ho C. T., & Chen J. (1999). Generation of volatile metabolitesfrom Maillard reaction of serine, threonine, and glutamine with monosaccharides. In <i>Flavor Chemistry</i> (327-333). Boston: Springer. 10.1007%2F978-1-4615-4693-1_27
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027 Ho C. T., & Chen J. (1999). Generation of volatile metabolitesfrom Maillard reaction of serine, threonine, and glutamine with monosaccharides. In <i>Flavor Chemistry</i> (327-333). Boston: Springer. 10.1007%2F978-1-4615-4693-1_27
440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453	 Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S., Oliveira, R. C. S., Agresti, P. C. M., & Augusti, R. (2009). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of processing temperature on coffee roasting degree assessment. <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>, <i>92</i>, 345-352. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.012 Hamm, S., Lesellier, E., Bleton, J., & Tchapla, A. (2003). Optimization of headspace solid phase microextraction for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of widely different volatility and polarity terpenoids in olibanum. <i>Journal of Chromatography A</i>, <i>1018</i>(1), 73-83. 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.027 Ho C. T., & Chen J. (1999). Generation of volatile metabolitesfrom Maillard reaction of serine, threonine, and glutamine with monosaccharides. In <i>Flavor Chemistry</i> (327-333). Boston: Springer. 10.1007%2F978-1-4615-4693-1_27 Illy, A., & Viani, R. (Eds.). (2005). <i>Espresso coffee: the science of quality</i> (2nd ed.). Academic Press.

455	Ishikawa, M., Ito, O., Ishizaki, S., Kurobayashi, Y., & Fujita, A. (2004). Solid-phase aroma concentrate
456	extraction (SPACE TM): a new headspace technique for more sensitive analysis of volatiles. <i>Flavour and</i>
457	Fragrance Journal, 19, 183-187. 10.1002/ffj.1322
458	
459	Jumhawan, U., Putri, S. P., Marwani, E., Bamba, T., & Fukusaki, E. (2013). Selection of discriminant
460	markers for authentication of Asian palm civet coffee (kopi luwak): a metabolomics approach. Journal
461	of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61(33), 7994-8001. 10.1021/jf401819s
462	

- 463 Jumhawan, U., Putri, S. P., Bamba, T., & Fukusaki, E. (2016). Quantification of coffee blends for authentication of Asian palm civet coffee (Kopi Luwak) via metabolomics: A proof of concept. Journal 464 of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 122(1), 79-84. 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2015.12.008 465
- 466

- Knoch, E., & Baltes, W. (1992). Model reactions of roast aroma formation: X. Amino acid-specific 467 products after roasting of tryptophan with reducing sugars and sugar degradation products. Food 468 Chemistry, 44, 243-250. 10.1016/0308-8146(92)90045-4 469
- 470
- Kolb, B., & Ettre, L. S. (2006). Static headspace-gas chromatography: theory and practice. (2th ed.). 471 Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. 10.1002/0471914584 472
- 473
- Kumazawa, K., & Masuda, H. (2003). Identification of odor-active 3-mercapto-3-methylbutyl acetate 474 in volatile fraction of roasted coffee brew isolated by steam distillation under reduced pressure. Journal 475 of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51, 3079-3082. 10.1021/jf021190v 476
- 477

Lee, H. Y. (2006). Wine and food feature: Most expensive coffee. Forbes Magazine, USA. 478

- 479
- Lytou, A. E., Panagou, E. Z., & Nychas, G. J. E. (2019). Volatilomics for food quality and 480 authentication. Current Opinion in Food Science, 28, 88-95. 10.1016/j.cofs.2019.10.003 481
- 482
- Maarse, H., Visscher, C. A., Willimsens, L. C. et al. (1994). Volatile Metabolitesin in Food: 483
- Qualitative and Quantitative Data. (7th ed.). Zeist: TNO-CIVO Food Analysis Institute, (volume 3). 484
- 485

- Marcone, M. F. (2004). Composition and properties of Indonesian palm civet coffee (Kopi Luwak) and 486 Ethiopian civet coffee. Food Research International, 37, 901-912. 10.1016/j.foodres.2004.05.008 487 488 Mayer, F., & Grosch, W. (2001). Aroma simulation on the basis of the odourant composition of roasted 489 coffee headspace, Flavour and Frangrance Journal, 16, 180-190. 10.1002/ffi.975 490 491 492 Mondello, L., Casilli, A., Tranchida, P. Q., Dugo, P., Costa, R., Festa, S., & Dugo, G. (2004). Comprehensive multidimensional GC for the characterization of roasted coffee beans. Journal of 493 Separation Science, 27, 442-450. 10.1002/jssc.200301662 494 495 Mondello, L., Costa, R., Tranchida, P. O., Dugo, P., Lo Presti, M., Festa, S., Fazio, A., & Dugo, G. 496 (2005). Reliable characterization of coffee bean aroma profiles by automated headspace solid phase 497 498 microextraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with the support of a dual-filter mass spectra library. Journal of Separation Science, 28, 1101-1109. 10.1002/jssc.200500026 499 500 Montevecchi, G., Masino, F., Chinnici, F., & Antonelli, A. (2010). Occurrence and evolution of amino 501 acids during grape must cooking. Food chemistry, 121, 69-77. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.12.005 502 503 Montevecchi, G., Masino, F., & Antonelli, A. (2011). Pyroglutamic acid development during grape 504 must cooking. European Food Research and Technology, 232(2), 375-379. 10.1007/s00217-010-1383-505 7 506 507 Oliveira, R. C. S., Oliveira, L. S., Franca, A. S., & Augusti, R. (2009). Evaluation of the potential of 508 SPME-GC-MS and chemometrics to detect adulteration of ground roasted coffee with roasted barley, 509 Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 22, 257-261. 10.1016/j.jfca.2008.10.015 510
- 511
- Ongo, E., Falasconi, M., Sberveglieri, G., Antonelli, A., Montevecchi, G., Sberveglieri, V., Concina, I., 512 & Sevilla III, F. (2012). Chemometric discrimination of Philippine civet coffee using electronic nose 513 47, 977-980. 514 and gas chromatography mass spectrometry. Procedia Engineering, 10.1016/j.proeng.2012.09.310 515
- 516

Ongo, E., Falasconi, M., Sevilla III, F., Montevecchi, G., Sberveglieri, V., Concina, I., & Sberveglieri,
G. (2015). Geographic origin differentiation of Philippine civet coffee using an electronic nose. *Acta Manilana. Series A, Natural and Applied Sciences, 63*, 17-24. http://www.ust.edu.ph/wpcontent/uploads/2016/07/ACTA-20156317-24_OngoE-1.pdf

521

Pawliszyn, J., Yang, M. J., & Orton, M. L. (1997). Quantitative determination of caffeine in beverages
using a combined SPME-GC/MS method. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74, 1130.
10.1021/ed074p1130

525

Rocha, S., Maetzu, L., Barros, A., Cid, C., & Coimbra, M. A. (2003). Screening and distinction of
coffee brews based on headspace solid phase microextraction/gas chromatography/principal component
analysis. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *84*, 43-51. 10.1002/jsfa.1607

529

530 Rowan, D. D. (2011). Volatile metabolites. *Metabolites*, *1*(1), 41-63. 10.3390/metabo1010041

531

Ryan, D., Shellie, R., Tranchida, P., Casilli, A., Mondello, L., & Marriott, P. (2004). Analysis of
roasted coffee bean volatiles by using comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography-time-offlight mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1054*, 57-65. 10.1016/j.chroma.2004.08.057

535

Sanz, C., Ansorena, D., Bello, J., & Cid, C. (2001). Optimizing headspace temperature and time
sampling for identification of volatile compounds in ground roasted Arabica coffee. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49, 1364-1369. 10.1021/jf001100r

539

Semmelroch, P., Laskawy, G., Blank, I., & Grosch, W. (1995), Determination of potent odourants in
roasted coffee by stable isotope dilution assays. *Flavour Fragrance Journal*, 10, 1-7.
10.1002/ffj.2730100102

543

Semmelroch, P., & Grosch, W. (1996). Studies on character impact odorants of coffee brews. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44(2), 537-543. 10.1021/jf9505988

- Shibamoto, T., & Russell, G. F. (1977). A study of the volatiles isolated from a D-glucose-hydrogen
 sulfide-ammonia model system. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 25, 109-112.
 10.1021/jf60209a054
- 550
- Somporn, C., Kamtuo, A., Theerakulpisut, P., & Siriamornpun, S. (2011). Effects of roasting degree on
 radical scavenging activity, phenolics and volatile compounds of Arabica coffee beans (*Coffea arabica*L. cv. Catimor). *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 46(11), 2287-2296.
 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02748.x
- 555
- Stoffelsma, J., Sipma, G., Kettenes, D. K., & Pypker, J. (1968). New volatile components of roasted
 coffee. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *16*, 1000-1004. 10.1021/jf60160a010
- 558
- Teuber, R. (2009). Café de Marcala-Honduras' GI approach to achieving reputation in the coffee
 market. *Estey Journal of International Law and Trade Policy*, *10*, 131-148. 10.22004/ag.econ.48798
- 561
- Toci, A. T., & Farah, A. (2008). Volatile metabolites as potential defective coffee beans' markers. *Food Chemistry*, 108, 1133-1141. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.11.064
- 564
- Yulia, M., & Suhandy, D. (2017). Indonesian palm civet coffee discrimination using UV-visible
 spectroscopy and several chemometrics methods. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 835(1),
 012010. 10.1088/1742-6596/835/1/012010
- 568

571	Figure captions
572	
573	Figure 1.
574	Philippine map showing the site of the geographical origin of coffee samples.
575	
576	Figure 2.
577	Average amounts of the volatiles of the complete samples set.
578	AC, Asipulo Civet; AR, Asipulo Robusta; KC, Kalinga Civet; KR, Kalinga Robusta; CA, Cordillera
579	Arabica; CC, Cordillera Civet; MA, Matutum Arabica; MC, Matutum Civet.
580	
581	Figure 3. a) PCA score plot (PC1 vs. PC2) of the complete samples set. Robusta samples are in light
582	grey; b) PCA loading plot of PC1 vs. PC2. For compounds names refer to Table 1.
583	
584	Figure 4. a) PCA plot (PC1 vs. PC2) of Arabica and Robusta civet coffees; b) PCA plot (PC1 vs. PC2)
585	of Arabica (MA and CA) vs. Asipulo Robusta standard coffees; c) PCA plot (PC1 vs. PC2) of Arabica
586	(MA and CA) vs. Kalinga Robusta standard coffees.
587	All Robusta samples are in light grey.
588	
589	Figure 5.

- 590 Cluster Analysis (dendrogram) of the complete samples set.
- 591

Table 1.

594 Volatile compounds detected in Philippine roasted coffee beans and their retention times (t_R).

#	<i>t</i> _R (min)	Volatiles (IUPAC name)	Synonyms	#	<i>t</i> _R (min)	Volatiles (IUPAC name)	Synonyms
1	2.14	2-Methylfuran		25	16 29	1-Pyridin-2-ylethanone	2-Acetylpyridine
2	6.20	Pyridine		26	16.42	2-(Furan-2-vlmethyl)furan	2-Furfurylfuran
3	6 30	Dodecane		27	16.60	5-Methyl-6 7-dihydro-5 <i>H</i> -cyclopenta[h]pyrazine	2 I ullul jilulul
4	6.76	Pyrazine		28	16.74	1-Methylpyrrole-2-carbaldehyde	
5	7.21	Unknown 1		29	16.97	Oxolan-2-one	v-Butvrolactone
6	8.05	2-Methylpyrazine		30	17.54	Furan-2-vlmethanol	Furfurvl alcohol
7	9.37	2,5-Dimethylpyrazine		31	18.38	1-(6-Methylpyrazin-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetyl-6- methylpyrazin
8	9.51	2,6-Dimethylpyrazine		32	18.74	Unknown 3	
9	9.66	2-Ethylpyrazine		33	18.98	Unknown 4	
10	9.98	2,3-Dimethylpyrazine		34	20.25	Unknown 5	
11	10.88	2-Ethyl-6-methylpyrazine		35	20.64	Unknown 6	
12	11.04	2-Ethyl-5-methylpyrazine		36	20.71	Unknown 7	
13	11.37	2,3,5-Trimethylpyrazine		37	21.27	3-Methylcyclopentane-1,2-dione	
14	12.35	3-Ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine		38	21.32	1-(Furan-2-ylmethyl)pyrrole	Furfurylpyrrole
15	12.71	Acetic acid		39	21.98	2-Methoxyphenol	Guaiacol
16	12.74	2-Ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine		40	24.13	3-Hydroxy-2-methylpyran-4-one	Maltol
17	12.94	Unknown 2		41	24.24	1-(1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrol-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetylpyrrole
18	12.99	Furan-2-carbaldehyde	Furfural	42	24.52	2-(Furan-2-ylmethoxymethyl)furan	Furfuryl ether
19	13.53	3,5-Diethyl-2-methylpyrazine		43	24.72	Unknown 8	
20	13.98	1-(Furan-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetylfuran	44	24.95	Phenol	
21	14.18	1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrole	Pyrrole	45	25.34	1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde	2-Formylpyrrole
22	14.66	Acetic acid;furan-2-ylmethanol	Furfuryl acetate	46	25.41	4-Ethyl-2-methoxyphenol	4-Ethylguaiacol
23	15.64	5-Methyl-2-furancarbaldehyde	5-Methylfurfural	47	28.60	1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)ethanone	3-Acetylanisole
24	16.12	2-Prop-1-en-2-ylpyrazine	Isopropenylpyrazine				

Table 2.

Loading values of PC1 e PC2 obtained from PCA processings: A) civet coffees alone; Arabica (CA and MA) standard coffee samples
compared individually with Asipulo Robusta (AR) (B) and Kalinga Robusta (KR) (C) standard coffees.

	Volatiles (IUPAC name)		A	A)					
#		Synonyms	Arabica	Arabica civet (CC, MC) coffees vs. Robusta civet (AC, KC) coffees		B) CA and MA vs. AR		C) CA and MA vs. KR	
			MC) co						
			Robusta						
			KC) (
			PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	
1	2-Methylfuran		-0.84	0.23	0.97	0.21	-0.53	-0.84	
2	Pyridine		-0.59	-0.17	0.95	-0.27	0.98	0.15	
3	Dodecane		0.58	-0.64	-0.02	-0.99	0.44	0.87	
4	Pyrazine		-0.24	0.52	0.99	0.02	-0.56	-0.79	
5	Unknown 1		-0.65	0.67	0.38	0.31	-0.14	-0.27	
6	2-Methylpyrazine		-0.57	-0.65	1.00	0.04	-0.89	-0.45	
7	2,5-Dimethylpyrazine		-0.60	-0.66	0.99	0.06	-0.93	-0.37	
8	2,6-Dimethylpyrazine		-0.58	-0.72	1.00	0.02	-0.96	-0.28	
9	2-Ethylpyrazine		-0.72	-0.49	1.00	0.09	0.97	-0.24	
10	2,3-Dimethylpyrazine		-0.91	-0.36	0.99	0.10	-0.96	-0.28	
11	2-Ethyl-6-methylpyrazine		-0.90	-0.24	0.99	-0.05	-0.99	-0.14	
12	2-Ethyl-5-methylpyrazine		0.19	-0.93	0.63	-0.77	-0.72	0.66	
13	2,3,5-Trimethylpyrazine		-0.80	-0.12	0.98	0.14	-0.96	-0.25	
14	3-Ethyl-2,5-dimethylpyrazine		-0.86	0.13	0.96	0.27	-0.94	-0.34	
15	Acetic acid		0.89	0.37	0.95	0.29	0.92	-0.35	
16	2-Ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine		-0.96	0.05	0.00	0.00	-1.00	-0.08	
17	Unknown 2		0.55	-0.81	0.99	-0.11	1.00	-0.01	
18	Furan-2-carbaldehyde	Furfural	0.94	0.12	0.96	0.02	0.93	-0.21	
19	3,5-Diethyl-2-methylpyrazine		-0.96	-0.03	0.93	0.29	-0.79	-0.55	

20	1-(Furan-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetylfuran	0.75	0.24	1.00	0.09	0.52	-0.85
21	1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrole	Pyrrole	-0.71	0.51	-0.97	0.20	-0.99	-0.08
22	Acetic acid; furan-2-ylmethanol	Furfuryl acetate	-0.53	-0.71	0.99	-0.05	0.84	-0.47
23	5-Methyl-2-furancarbaldehyde	5-Methylfurfural	0.92	-0.18	0.99	0.11	0.95	-0.25
24	2-Prop-1-en-2-ylpyrazine	Isopropenylpyrazine	-0.82	0.04	0.92	-0.21	0.64	-0.02
25	1-Pyridin-2-ylethanone	2-Acetylpyridine	-0.88	-0.01	0.99	0.09	0.73	-0.63
26	2-(Furan-2-ylmethyl)furan	2-Furfurylfuran	-0.72	0.06	0.92	-0.25	0.10	0.17
27	5-Methyl-6,7-dihydro-5 <i>H</i> - cyclopenta[b]pyrazine		-0.98	0.08	0.95	0.27	-0.80	-0.56
28	1-Methylpyrrole-2-carbaldehyde		0.11	-0.69	0.99	-0.15	-0.98	-0.14
29	Oxolan-2-one	γ-Butyrolactone	0.14	-0.75	0.87	0.43	0.96	-0.25
30	Furan-2-ylmethanol	Furfuryl alcohol	0.66	-0.68	1.00	0.01	0.97	-0.20
31	1-(6-Methylpyrazin-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetyl-6- methylpyrazin	-0.43	-0.83	0.96	0.24	0.52	-0.80
32	Unknown 3		-0.96	0.13	0.99	0.01	-0.97	-0.21
33	Unknown 4		-0.39	-0.87	0.99	0.11	0.94	-0.32
34	Unknown 5		0.05	-0.89	1.00	-0.04	0.97	-0.18
35	Unknown 6		-0.64	-0.58	0.99	0.03	0.94	-0.29
36	Unknown 7		0.33	-0.17	0.99	-0.06	0.79	-0.38
37	3-Methylcyclopentane-1,2-dione		0.46	-0.79	0.99	-0.11	1.00	-0.03
38	1-(Furan-2-ylmethyl)pyrrole	Furfurylpyrrole	-0.94	-0.24	0.99	-0.12	0.98	-0.12
39	2-Methoxyphenol	Guaiacol	-0.91	0.21	0.71	0.19	-0.97	-0.16
40	3-Hydroxy-2-methylpyran-4-one	Maltol	0.77	-0.30	0.91	-0.34	0.94	0.19
41	1-(1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrol-2-yl)ethanone	2-Acetylpyrrole	-0.51	-0.21	0.99	-0.14	0.98	-0.06
42	2-(Furan-2-ylmethoxymethyl)furan	Furfuryl ether	-0.84	0.09	0.96	-0.23	0.94	0.08
43	Unknown 8		-0.70	0.32	0.96	0.12	-0.75	-0.51
44	Phenol		-0.77	0.26	-0.76	0.49	0.54	-0.65
45	1 <i>H</i> -Pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde	2-Formylpyrrole	0.98	-0.11	0.99	0.04	0.97	-0.17
46	4-Ethyl-2-methoxyphenol	4-Ethylguaiacol	-0.90	0.27	-0.97	0.12	-0.99	-0.06
47	1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)ethanone	3-Acetylanisole	-0.66	-0.59	0.98	-0.02	0.56	-0.48