brought to you by 🔏 CORE

EXTRACTA MATHEMATICAE Vol. 27, Núm. 2, 155–161 (2012)

Superstability of Approximate Cosine Type Functions on the Monoid \mathbb{R}^2

B. BOUIKHALENE¹, E. ELQORACHI², A. CHARIFI¹

¹University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Laboratory LIRST, Polydisciplinary Faculty, Beni-Mellal, Morocco
²Ibn Zohr University, Faculty of Sciences, Agadir, Morocco
bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr, elgorachi@yahoo.fr, charifi2000@yahoo.fr

Presented by Pier L. Papini

Received March 26, 2012

Abstract: In this paper, we study the superstability problem for the cosine type functional equation

 $f(x_1x_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) + f(x_1x_2, y_1x_2 - x_1y_2) = 2f(x_1, y_1)f(x_2, y_2)$

on the commutative monoid (\mathbb{R}^2, \times) . As a result we obtain cosine type functions satisfying the equation approximately.

Key words: Functional equation, cosine function, superstability, multiplicative function. AMS *Subject Class.* (2010): 39B72, 39B22.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [17] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

QUESTION 1.1. Let $(G_1, *)$ be a group and let (G_2, \diamond, d) be a metric group with the metric d. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h: G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in$ G_1 , then there is a homomorphism $H: G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x_1 \in G_1$?.

In 1941, Hyers [11] answered this question for the case where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In [2] and [15] Aoki and Th. M. Rassias respectively provided a generalization of Hyer's theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded. The interested reader may refer to the book by Hyers, Isac,

155

Rassias [12] for an in depth account on the subject of stability of functional equations. In 1982, J. M. Rassias [14] solved the Ulam problem by involving a product of powers of norms. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations has been investigated by many authors (see [9], [10]). In [4] and [7] Baker et al. and Bourgin respectively, introduced the notion that by now is frequently referred to as superstability or Baker's stability : if a function f satisfies the stability inequality $|E_1(f) - E_2(f)| \leq \varepsilon$, then either f is bounded or $E_1(f) = E_2(f)$. The superstability of d'Alembert's functional equation f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2f(x)f(y) was investigated by Baker [5] and Cholewa [8]. Badora and Ger [3] proved its superstability under the condition $|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2f(x)f(y)| \leq \varphi(x)$ or $\varphi(y)$. In a previous work, Bouikhalene et al [6] investigated the superstability of the cosine functional equation on the Heisenberg group.

Now, Let $\mathbb{R}^2=\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ be the commutative monoid equipped with composition rule

$$(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) := (x_1 x_2, x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1).$$
 (1.1)

The map $i : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, given by i(x, y) = (x, -y) for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is an involution of \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e., $i((x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)) = i(x_1, y_1)i(x_2, y_2)$ for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $i \circ i = id$ (the identity map). Consider the functional equation

$$f(x_1x_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) + f(x_1x_2, y_1x_2 - x_1y_2) = 2f(x_1, y_1)f(x_2, y_2)$$
(1.2)

for $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By setting $a = (x_1, y_1), b = (x_2, y_2)$ in (1.2) we obtain the cosine type functional equation

$$f(ab) + f(ai(b)) = 2f(a)f(b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$(1.3)$$

on the commutative monoid \mathbb{R}^2 . This equation has the same form as the cosine functional equation, also called d'Alembert's functional equation ([1], [13])

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$
(1.4)

on an abelian group G, except that the group inversion $y \longrightarrow -y$ is replaced by the involution *i*. We say that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of approximate a cosine type function, if there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| f(ab) + f(ai(b)) - 2f(a)f(b) \right| < \delta, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$(1.5)$$

In the case where $\delta = 0$, f satisfies the functional equation (1.3). We call f a cosine type function on \mathbb{R}^2 . The main purpose of this work is to prove the superstability problem of equation (1.2) in the commutative monoid \mathbb{R}^2 .

2. Superstability of equation (1.2)

PROPOSITION 2.1. let $\varphi, \psi, \phi, \zeta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be functions and let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$|f(ab) + f(ai(b)) - 2f(a)f(b)| \le \min\{\varphi(x_1), \psi(y_1), \phi(x_2), \zeta(y_2)\}$$
(2.1)

for any $a = (x_1, y_1)$, $b = (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then m(x) = f(x, 0) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is either bounded or multiplicative function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} . Furthermore f satisfies the following inequality

$$\left| f(a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(a^2) - \frac{1}{2}m(x^2) \right| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{ \varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(x), \zeta(y) \right\}$$
(2.2)

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Setting a = (x, 0), b = (y, 0) in (2.1), we get

$$|f(x,0)f(y,0) - f(xy,0)| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\{\varphi(x),\psi(0),\phi(y),\zeta(0)\}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. According to [16] we get that m(x) = f(x, 0) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is either bounded or a multiplicative function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} . Once again, putting a = (x, y) in (2.1) we get that

$$\left|f(x^2, 2xy) + f(x^2, 0) - 2f(x, y)^2\right| \le \min\left\{\varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(x), \zeta(y)\right\}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. So that

$$\left| f(a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(a^2) - \frac{1}{2}m(x^2) \right| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{ \varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(x), \zeta(y) \right\}$$

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality (2.1) and let F(y) = f(1, y) for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

- i) F is either bounded, or
- ii) F satisfies the cosine functional equation

$$F(x+y) + F(x-y) = 2F(x)F(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2.3)

Further, in the latter case, there exists an exponential function $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma(x) + \gamma(-x) \right)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let a = (1, x), b = (1, y) for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ in (2.1). By setting F(y) = f(1, y) for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\left|F(x+y) + F(x-y) - 2F(x)F(y)\right| \le \min\left\{\varphi(1), \psi(x), \phi(1), \zeta(y)\right\}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. According to ([3], [5]) it follows that F is either bounded or F is a cosine function. In view of ([1], [5], [13]) we get that there exists an exponential function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma(x) + \gamma(-x))$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality (2.1). Then f is either bounded or $f \circ i = f$.

Proof. Let
$$P_f = \frac{f+f\circ i}{2}$$
. Since f satisfies (2.1), we have
 $\left|P_f(ab) + P_f(ai(b)) - 2P_f(a)f(b)\right| \le \min\left\{\varphi(x_1), \tilde{P}_{\psi}(y_1), \phi(x_2), \tilde{P}_{\zeta}(y_2)\right\}$

for any $a = (x_1, y_1)$, $b = (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\tilde{P}_{\psi}(x) = \frac{\psi(x) + \psi(-x)}{2}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By using the same way as in [3] and [5] we get that f is either bounded or f satisfies the Wilson's type functional equation

$$P_f(ab) + P_f(ai(b)) = 2P_f(a)f(b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

on the commutative monoid \mathbb{R}^2 . By small computations we get that $f \circ i = f$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $\varphi, \psi, \phi, \zeta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be functions and let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $f(0,0) \neq 0$, satisfies the functional inequality (2.1). Then f is bounded and we have

$$\left| f(a) - 1 \right| \le \frac{1}{2|f(0,0)|} \min \left\{ \varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(0), \zeta(0) \right\}$$
(2.4)

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. By letting b = (0, 0) in (2.1) we get

$$\left|2f(0,0) - 2f(a)f(0,0)\right| \le \min\left\{\varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(0), \zeta(0)\right\}$$

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$. So that we have

$$|2f(0,0)| |f(a) - 1| \le \min \{\varphi(x), \psi(y), \phi(0), \zeta(0)\}$$

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

THEOREM 2.5. let φ , ψ , ϕ , ζ : $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be functions and let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality (2.1). Then

i) f is either bounded and

$$\left|f(0,y)^2 - f(0,0)\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\varphi(0),\psi(y),\phi(0),\zeta(y)\right\}$$
(2.5)

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ or

ii) f satisfies the functional inequality

$$\left| f(a) - m(x) \frac{\gamma(\frac{y}{x}) + \gamma(\frac{-y}{x})}{2} \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \varphi(x), \psi(0), \phi(1), \zeta(\frac{y}{x}) \right\}$$
(2.6)

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \neq 0$, where $m : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplicative function and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function.

Proof. i) Letting a = b = (0, y) in (2.1), we get

$$\left|f(0,y)^2 - f(0,0)\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\varphi(0),\psi(y),\phi(0),\zeta(y)\right\}$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

ii) Let f be unbounded. Hence by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 we get that f(x,0) = m(x) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a multiplicative function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} and f(1,y) = F(y) for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the cosine functional equation (1.4). Therefore there exists an exponential function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(1,y) = F(y) = \frac{\gamma(y) + \gamma(-y)}{2}$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. By letting $a = (x,0), b = (1, \frac{y}{x})$, with $x \neq 0$, in (2.1) we get the following inequality

$$\left| f(x,y) + f(x,-y) - 2f(x,0)f(1,\frac{y}{x}) \right| \le \min\left\{ \varphi(x), \psi(0), \phi(1), \zeta(\frac{y}{x}) \right\}$$
(2.7)

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \neq 0$. Therefore by Proposition 2.3 we get that $f(x,y) = f \circ i(x,y) = f(x,-y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. So that we get from (2.7) that

$$\left|f(x,y) - m(x)F(\frac{y}{x})\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\varphi(x),\psi(0),\phi(1),\zeta(\frac{y}{x})\right\}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \neq 0$.

In the next corollary we let $\varphi(x_1) = \psi(y_1) = \varphi(x_2) = \zeta(y_2) = \delta$ for any $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let $\delta > 0$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$\left|f(ab) + f(ai(b)) - 2f(a)f(b)\right| \le \delta \tag{2.8}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

- i) f is bounded and there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|f(a) 1| \leq \frac{\delta}{2\eta}$ for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$, with $x \neq 0$. Furthermore $|f(0, y) \eta| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for an $y \in \mathbb{R}$ or
- ii) f is unbounded and there exist a multiplicative function $m : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and an exponential function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\left| f(a) - m(x) \frac{\gamma(\frac{y}{x}) + \gamma(\frac{-y}{x})}{2} \right| \le \frac{\delta}{2}$$
(2.9)

for any $a = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $x \neq 0$.

Proof. By using Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 with $\eta = f(0,0)$.

In the next corollary we give the explicit formula of cosine type functions on \mathbb{R}^2

COROLLARY 2.7. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a cosine type function on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then i) f(x, y) = 1 for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ or ii)

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \frac{m(x)}{2} \left(\gamma(\frac{y}{x}) + \gamma(-\frac{y}{x}) \right) & \text{if } x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By letting $\delta = 0$ in Corollary 2.6.

References

- J. ACZÉL, J. DHOMBRES, "Functional Equations in Several Variables", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] T. AOKI, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] R. BADORA, R. GER, On some trigonometric functional inequalities, in "Functional Equation-Results and Advances", Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, 3-15.
- [4] J. BAKER, J. LAWRENCE, F. ZORZITTO, The stability of the equation f(x+y) = f(x)f(y), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (2) (1979), 242-246.
- [5] J. BAKER, The stability of the cosine equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (3) (1980), 411-416.
- [6] B. BOUIKHALENE, E. ELQORACHI, J.M. RASSIAS, The superstability of d'Alembert's functional equation on the Heisenberg group Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (1) (2010), 105–109.
- [7] D.G. BOURGIN, Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings, *Duke. Math. J.* 16 (2) (1949), 385–397.
- [8] P.W. CHOLEWA, The stability of the sine equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (4) (1983), 631-634.
- [9] Z. GAJDA, On stability of additive mappings, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14 (3) (1991), 431-434.
- [10] P. GĂVRUTA, A generalization of the HyersûUlamûRassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (3) (1994), 431-436.
- [11] D.H. HYERS, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [12] D.H. HYERS, G. ISAC, TH.M. RASSIAS, "Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables", Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [13] PL. KANNAPPAN, The functional equation $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$ for groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19**(1) (1968), 69–74.
- [14] J.M. RASSIAS, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, J. Funct. Anal. 46 (1) (1982), 126-130.
- [15] TH.M. RASSIAS, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (2), (1978), 297-300.
- [16] L. SZÉKELYHIDI, On a theorem of Baker, Lawrence and Zorzitto, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1) (1982), 95–96.
- [17] S.M. ULAM, "A Collection of Mathematical Problems", Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1960.