# Eastern Illinois University The Keep

Masters Theses

Student Theses & Publications

1964

A Comparison of the High School Preparation of the 1956 and 1962 Freshmen at the University of Kansas with the High School Preparation of the 1956 and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University

Sandra Kay Moore Eastern Illinois University

#### **Recommended** Citation

Moore, Sandra Kay, "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of the 1956 and 1962 Freshmen at the University of Kansas with the High School Preparation of the 1956 and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University" (1964). *Masters Theses.* 4350. https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/4350

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

A COMPARISON OF THE HIGH SCHOOL PREPARATION OF THE 1956 AND 1962 FRESHMEN AT THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS WITH THE HIGH SCHOOL PREPARATION OF THE 1954 AND (TITLE) 1962 FRESHMEN AT EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

BY

Sandra Kay Moore

B. S. in Education, Eastern Illinois University

1963

### THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

Master of Science in Education

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS

1964 YEAR

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THIS THESIS BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE GRADUATE DEGREE CITED ABOVE

2-3-64 DATE 12-3-64

DATE

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer wishes to extend his appreciation to all those who aided in the completion of this study. Without the help of the Computer Center and the Data Processing Center of Eastern Illinois University, this study could not have been made.

Special thanks go to Dick LeDuc, who programmed the tables on the computer, and to Donn Hammer, who worked with the writer in collecting the data.

No less annreciated was the help provided by the writer's adviser, Dr. Donald L. Moler, and the other members of the committee, Dr. William Grane, Dr. Carl Green, and Dr. Paul Overton.

. . ...

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

\_\_\_\_

ACKNOWLED	GNENTS	Page
LIST OF T.	ABLES	iv
Chapter 1.	INTRODUCTION	•••••1
II.	BACKGROUND	••••9
	Sampling Limitations	
IlI.	COMPARISON OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS AND EASTERN TELINCIS UNIVERSITY FINDINGS	20
	English Foreign Languages Mathematics Science	
IV.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	60
	English Foreign Languages Mathematics Science Recommendations	
BIBLICCRA	РНУ	65
AFPENDIX.		66

### LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
l.	Distribution of High Schools University of Kansas	.13
2.	Distribution of High Schools Eastern Illinois University	•14
3.	English Combinations University of Kansas	.22
4.	English Combinations Eastern Illinois University	.23
5.	English Only University of Kansas	.25
6.	English Only Eastern Illinois University	.26
7.	Specific English Combinations University of Kansas	.28
8.	Specific English Combinations Eastern Illinois University	.29
9.	Foreign Languages University of Kansas	.31
10.	Foreign Languages Eastern Illinois University	.32
11.	Specific Foreign Languages University of Kansas	.35
12.	Specific Foreign Languages Eastern Illinois University	.36
13.	Foreign Language Combinations University of Kansas	7 <del>-</del> 38
14.	Foreign Language Combinations Eastern Illinois University	• 39
15.	Mathematic <b>s</b> University of Kansas	42

# Table

Mathematics Eastern Illinois University4	3
Specific Mathematics Courses University of Kansas49	2
Specific Mathematics Courses Eastern Illinois University40	5
Mathematics Combinations a. University of Kansas, 195648 b. University of Kansas, 196249	3 <del>7</del>
Mathematics Combinations Eastern Illinois University50	C
Science University of Kansas	2
Science Eastern Illinois University	3
Specific Science Courses University of Kansas	5
Specific Science Courses Eastern Illinois University	6
Science Combinations Eastern Illinois University	8
Summary Table University of Kansas6	7
Summary Table Eastern Illinois University6	8
	<pre>Mathematics Eastern Illinois University</pre>

Page

.1

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1957, a furor arose in the United States over the fact that the Russians had been the first to place a satellite into orbit. Many critics placed the blame for the United States' failure to be first upon the educational system. Demands for a return to the strict traditional curriculum, similar to the present curriculum in Russia, were made.

A study was conducted at the University of Kansas to determine whether there had been a change in the American secondary school curriculum which could be attributed to the demands. A similar study was conducted at Eastern Illinois University to find if there might be a consistency of modification of the curriculum which could indicate that a non-local event initiated the change.

Each study involved a comparison of the units of credit in the four traditional subject areas--English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science--presented by the freshmen entering the respective universities in 1956 (pre-Sputnik graduates) and by those entering in 1962 (post-Sputnik graduates).

What is the meaning for the future of American education if both studies indicate a trend toward increased emphasis upon the traditional subjects? This trend is the first of the three "roads before us"l described by Mathewson.

The first "road" emphasized the academic-intellectual aspect of education. The stated aim of the advocates of this approach was to develop the ability to think. However, this aim was too often subordinated to the "virtues of particular sorts of subject matter, such as mathematics, science, and history."<sup>2</sup> This was indicated by the fact that a mastery of the content of some of the great books of the past was considered, by some individuals, a complete education. This approach tended to be authoritarian and to rely upon the authorities of the past.

The second "road" that education might take would be toward emphasis upon the socioeconomic-utilitarian aspect of education. Those individuals who believe in this approach are often termed impractical dreamers. They stress the social utility of the individual. "Practical methods and programs for socially practical ends are the keynotes"3 of this approach. To individuals who adhere to this philosophy, society depends upon technology and economic activity for existence. Trained personnel are required in order to compete successfully on the international scene. Education

lRobert Hendry Mathewson, A STRATEGY FOR AMERICAN EDUCATION (New York, 1957), p. 227.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, p. 227. 3Ibid., p. 228.

was the means advocated for training individuals in accordance with national need.

The third "road" was the personal-social-developmental one. Advocates of this approach believe both of the foregoing aspects must be considered but that social needs cannot be met until the personal-social-developmental needs are satisfied and vice versa. Adjustment, not utility, was emphasized.4

An example of the curriculum recommended by advocates of the first or academic-intellectual "road" may be found in the writings of either James B. Conant or H. G. Rickover. Conant recommended English, social studies, mathematics, and science for all seventh and eighth graders. Some or all should begin a foreign language in the seventh grade, and some should enroll in algebra in the eighth grade.5

In the ninth grade, a sequential program of electives and required courses for general education should be started. The program should include four years of English, three or four of social studies, one of mathematics, and one of science.<sup>6</sup> The academically talented students should have four years of English, four of social studies, four of mathematics, three of science, and four of foreign languages.

4<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 229.

5James B. Conant, RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EDUCATION IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL YEARS (Princeton, New Jersey, 1960), p. 16.

6James B. Conant, THE AMERICAN HIGH SCHOOL TODAY (New York, 1959), p. 47.

Electives should be in a second foreign language and one additional year of social studies. The program of studies would be determined for the individual.<sup>7</sup>

Rickover recommended that education be standardized. He stated that children taking English and mathematics would not want to study those difficult subjects when the children next door were enrolled in easy courses such as family living and art.8

The socioeconomic-utilitarian approach would result in an equalization of the supply and the demand for labor in specific vocations. The youth would be taught to put the needs of society first and to conform to the demands and mores of it. Individualism and initiative would be discouraged.

The third approach--emphasis upon the personal-socialdevelopmental--may at first seem to be a panacea for educational ills. However, discredit was brought upon the progressive education movement by those who did not understand the principles of it. They allowed laissez faire situations where democratic ones should have developed. The personalsocial-developmental "road" would be as easy to misinterpret and misuse as was that of the progressive educationalists. What is needed is not a special curriculum for each pupil,

<sup>7</sup>Ibid., p. 57-58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>H. G. Rickover, AMERICAN EDUCATION NATIONAL FAILURE (New York, 1963), p. 306.

but one that is "sufficiently flexible to allow each pupil an opportunity to profit to the maximum...."9

However, the attitude that the personal-social-developmental "road" would be a panacea would be encouraged by the fact that either of the first two "roads" would direct a student into a curriculum that has no provision for the interests and desires of the individual. Any standardization of the educational requirements, whether toward the traditional or toward the utilitarian approach, would encourage conformity.

The schools would merely reflect the tendency of modern society to replace individualistic ideas with a collectivistic ideology<sup>10</sup> in encouraging conformity in the curriculum. The mobility of the people of the United States, the democratic values held by the majority, the urbanization of the country, and the emphasis upon secularism would necessitate a degree of uniformity in the educational system<sup>11</sup> in each of the three "roads." Also, education would need to continue as the one unifying force in the diversity of the American people. However, the diversity should be enjoyed. Education should teach the framework of democracy, but within that framework, individualism should be sustained, refined, and developed.<sup>12</sup>

9Raymond Hatch and Buford Stefflre, ADMINISTRATION OF GUIDANCE SERVICES (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1958), p. 4.

10Thomas Molnar, THE FUTURE OF EDUCATION (New York, 1961), p. 25.

11 John A. Bartky, SOCIAL ISSUES IN PUBLIC EDUCATION (Boston, 1963), p. 223.

12Mathewson, p. 259.

Why would educators allow the schools to be utilized in the mass production of youth according to one pattern? Education is an institution of society and is dominated by it.13 Any change on the public scene affects the educational system.

Sputnik kindled the smoldering criticism into flame. Other events could have had the same effect. Constructive criticism and evaluation have always been necessary. However, blind demands for change should not be considered constructive criticism. Most critics of American education considered only the United States' educational system. Other countries have studied the American system in an effort to improve their own; therefore, other people were apparently dissatisfied with education in their country, also.

The United States, due to its wealth, political organization, and progressive outlook, has been the first to experience innovations.14 When the educational system of the country was developing, educators imitated the methods and procedures used in other countries. However, as the country matured, so did the outlook of its people. The educational system grew away from being an imitation to being a model. The value of regressing to an earlier stage of development and stamping youth from a pattern of another country or from the past might be questionable. Youth who adjust readily to the rapid transformations

\_ \_\_\_\_

14V. T. Thayer, THE ROLE OF THE SCHOOL IN AMERICAN SCCIETY (New York, 1960), p. 330.

<sup>13</sup>Bartky, p. 223.

occurring in the world of today rather than youth who rigidly adhere to tradition might be preferable.

ц÷,

The purpose of this paper was to investigate the possibility of a trend which would indicate which of the three "roads" of Mathewson education may presently be traversing. The investigation was through a comparison of two studies involving change in the secondary curriculum--"Let's Look at the Record Again!" by George B. Smith of the University of Kansas and "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of 1955 Freshmen and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University" by Donn Hammer of Eastern Illinois University.

As indicated in Chapter II, the limitations in the sampling of these two studies prevented generalizing about a national trend.

#### CHAPTER II

#### BACKGROUND

#### Sampling

The students considered in the University of Kansas studyl entered that institution in the fall of either 1956 or 1962 and had graduated from a Kansas high school the previous spring. The study was limited to Kansas high schools, as out-of-state enrollment would not yield a large enough representation to be significant and because the study was conducted specifically for the people of Kansas and for the staff of the University of Kansas.

High school graduates of 1956 and 1962 were selected because 1956 was immediately before Sputnik and by 1962 enough time had elapsed to allow any change related to Sputnik to be revealed.

IAll information for this study unless otherwise specified has been obtained from two studies:

George B. Smith, "Let's Look at the Record Again!--The High School Preparation of 1,124 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1956 Compared with the High School Preparation of 1,384 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1962" (Lawrence, Kansas, 1963).

Donn Hammer, "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of 1956 Freshmen and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University" (Charleston, Illinois, 1964).

Eighty per cent of the students involved in the University of Kansas study had ranked in the upper fifty per cent of their graduating classes. George B. Smith stated that this was due to the size of the university which discouraged students ranking in the lower half of their classes from applying. It was not due to any university requirement.

The Eastern Illinois University sampling did not have as large a percentage from the upper fifty per cent of the graduating classes. However, in 1962, the sampling, due to university requirements,<sup>2</sup> was limited to students who had graduated in the upper two-thirds of their classes. All students involved in the study were graduates of Illinois high schools who entered Eastern Illinois University in the fall of 1956 or the fall of 1962 and who had graduated from high school the preceding spring.

The data for both studies were compiled from the high school transcripts as transferred to the records of the respective universities.

In 1956, the University of Kansas had 1,644 freshmen, 1,124 of whom fulfilled the requirements for the study. These requirements were: graduation from a Kansas high school in the spring preceding the fall that they entered--1956 or 1962. Of the 1,124 freshmen involved in the study, 711 were men and 413 were women.

<sup>2</sup>EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY BULLETIN--1962 Catalog (Charleston, Illinois, 1962).

Of the 761 freshmen who entered Eastern Illinois University in 1956, 487 met the following requirements: graduation from an Illinois high school in the spring preceding the fall--1956 or 1962--that they entered Eastern Illinois University. There were 291 men and 196 women in this group.

The University of Kansas had a 20% increase in the number of freshmen between the years of 1956 and 1962. Of the 1,973 freshmen in 1962, 1,384 were included in the study. This group was composed of 801 men and 583 women.

Eastern Illinois University had 1,145 freshmen in 1962, an increase of 50%. The study included 759 of the freshmen--393 men and 366 women.

There were 263 high schools represented in the University of Kansas study in 1956 and 250 represented in 1962. In the Eastern Illinois University study, 135 high schools were represented in 1956. In 1962, 187 were represented.

In each study the high schools were categorized by size. The University of Kansas study used five categories. Two of these involved enrollments of more than 250 students--one included high schools represented in the study by fewer than 10 students and the other category was limited to high schools represented by 10 or more students.

The study conducted at Eastern Illinois University listed six categories; three of which were directly comparable to three of those used in the University of Kansas study-high schools with enrollments of less than 70 students, those high schools with enrollments of 70 to 150, and those

with enrollments of 150 to 250. The other three categories in the Eastern Illinois University study involved high schools with enrollments of more than 250 students. One included high schools with enrollments of 250 to 500 students; one category included those high schools having enrollments of 500 to 1,000; and the remaining category included high schools with enrollments of over 1,000.

The difference in the selection of categories was due to the fact that the percentage of Illinois high schools with enrollments of over 250 was larger than the percentage of Kansas high schools of that size. For purposes of comparison, all categories in each study which involved enrollments of greater than 250 were combined.

Tables 1 and 2, pages 13 and 14, show the distribution by size of high school of the freshmen involved in the two studies.

In 1956, the University of Kansas had 72 students or 6% of the total group from the 57 high schools in the category of enrollments of less than 70 students. These 57 high schools were 22% of the 263 high schools represented in 1956. Eastern Illinois University had only 1% of the group--5 students--from the 4 high schools in the corresponding category in 1956. These high schools constituted 3% of the total.

In the same category in 1962, the University of Kansas had 22 high schools, 9% of the total, represented. <sup>T</sup>hirty freshmen or 2% of the total group had graduated from these

Distribution of Kansas High Schools Represented by 1,124 Graduates in 1956 and 1,384 Graduates in 1962 Who Were Incoming Freshmen at The University of Kansas in September 1956 and September 1962.

Hig	h Schools Grouped	1	1	ME	.N		1	WOME	EN		то	TAL	
	By Size.	1	Num	her	IX at	RTOUDE	) Num	Nex	Lat	TOUDS	Nu	nder	
		Ļ	<u> </u>	<u>, 1962</u>	11926	1962	<u>11956</u>	1962	1956	1962	1926	1962	
GROUP	I-High Schools sending		ļ	ł.			l I	ł					
	more than 10 students.	ł	1	ł		}		ł					
[	All over 250 students.	No.	337	423	607	56%	226	339	40%	447	563	762	
			ł	{	1	4	l	ł		<b>{</b>		ł	ង
	(16 high schools 1956)	CT 1	472	53%	ł	ł	55%	50%			50%	55%	
K.	(19 high schools 1962)		Č.	l		l	l	[		<b> </b>			0
GROUP	II-Over 250 students.		1		l l			ſ		Ĭ			ר ט
	(Less GROUP I)	No。	161	210	667	617	83	134	347	397	244	344	
			Į.		ţ		ļ	1		]			۲. ۲.
	(62 high schools 1956)	CT I	237	267	1	ļ	207	237		]	227	25%	0
	(78 high schools 1962)				1								, ř
GROUP	III-150 through 249 stu-	ĵ ,	Î		Î		Î		يى مى مەلەرلىكى تىمىر مەلەر بىرى مەلەرلىكى تىمىر				×
	dents	No.	66	66	617	57%	43	49	397	437	10 <b>9</b>	115	a r
	(45 high schools 1956)	ţ.		,	í.	Î	{	ľ		{ · · · · ·		]	ເຮີ
	(52 high schools 1962)	CT .	97	87	1		102	87			10%	87	ອ ເຊິ
GROUP	IV-70 through 149 stu-	<u> </u>	ì	Î	<u>^</u>		Ŷ		an <u>- an an a</u> n an	ţ			
	dents	No	94	82	69 <b>7</b>	627	42	51	317	387	136	133	
	(83  high schools  1956)						1	ł					u d
	(79  high schools  1962)	K CT	132	hoz			107	97			127	107	4
CROIP	V-Relow 70 students.		7	-	∱	// /	1	}	Manager States of the Party Name	<u> </u>		1	
phool		No.	53	20	742	672	19	10	267	332	72	30	)
	(57  bigh extracts  1956)						]					_	j i
	(37  migh schools 1750)	r cr	97	29	l		57	27		1	67	22	l l
5 A A A A	(22 nigh Schools 1902)					<u> </u>							
GRAND	LUIAL	8			( s.	1		ſ					
		N-		0.03	( ) · ·	609	112	692	279	1.79	1 1 7 4	1 394	1
{	(203 high schools 1956)	NO o	/11	BUT	632	282	413	202	3/6	***	1,124	1,004	
	(250 high schools 1962)	L			l		The second second				L	l	

Table 1

ч.

ц С

#### TABLE 1

# Distribution of Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and in September 1962 by Size of High School

HIGH SCHOOL			M	EN			WC	MEN		, TOT	AL
		Nu	mber	% of	Group	Nur	ıber	% of	Group	<u>Nur</u>	ıber
BY SIZE		1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	_1962	1956	1962
1000+ 12 in 1956	Number Per cent of	50	101	72	44	19	131	28	56	69	232
40 in 1962	Grand Total	17	26			10	36		_	14	30
500-999 23 in 1956	Number	82	108	57	51	59	100	42	48	141	208
34 in 1962	% of GT	28	27			30	27			29	27
250-499	Number	61	75	58	57	45	56	42	43	106	131
37 in 1962	% of GT	21	19			23	16			22	17
150-249 28 in 1956	Number	<b>5</b> 1	69	57	61	38	45	4 <b>3</b> 3	39	89	114
43 in 1962	% of GT	17	18			19	12			18	15 🕂
70-149 35 in 1956	Number	43	39	56	55	34	33	44	45	77	72
32 in 1962	% of GT	15	10			17	9			16	10
-69 4 in 1956	Number	4	1	83	50	1	1	17	50	5	2
l in 1962	% of GT	0	0			0	0			1	0
GRAND TOTAL 135 in 1956 187 in 1962	Number	291	393	60	52	196	366	40	48	487	759

22 high schools, The one student from the one high school in the corresponding category in the Eastern Illinois University study in 1962 did not constitute a significant percentage. The one high school was less than one per cent of the total group of high schools.

These figures were indicative of the previously mentioned difference in the size of the high schools located in Kansas and those located in Illinois.

The category of enrollments of 70 to 150 students included 83 of the high schools represented in the 1956 portion of the University of Kansas study. These 83 were 32% of the total number. Twelve per cent or 136 of the freshmen were from these schools. In the Eastern Illinois University study, 35 high schools or 26% of the total number were in this category in 1956. Seventy-seven students or 16% of the group were from these schools.

In 1962, 79 Kansas high schools or 32% of the total were in the corresponding category. One hundred thirty-three or 10% of the students involved in the study had graduated from these high schools. The Eastern Illinois University study showed that 32 schools, 17% of the 187 represented, were in this category. As in Kansas, 10% of the students were graduates of high schools in the category of 70 to 150 enrollment in 1962. The number of students in this category was 72. The percentage of students who had graduated from high schools in the category of 70 to 150 enrollment was

approximately the same in each study. However, the number of high schools of this size represented in the Eastern Illinois University study constituted 26% of the total number in 1956 and 17% in 1962, but in the University of Kansas study the number of high schools in the corresponding category in each year was 32% of the total number of high schools represented.

In the category of enrollments of 150 to 250, 17% or 45 of the represented Kansas high schools were included. Ten per cent of the student group--109 students--had graduated from these high schools. The Eastern Illinois University study included 21% of the represented schools in this category. Eighty-nine students, 18% of the total, were from the 28 high schools with enrollments in this range.

The University of Kansas study had 52 schools, 21% of the 250 represented, of 150 to 250 enrollment in 1962. Eight per cent or 115 of the 1,384 students were from these high schools. Forty-three schools, 23% of the 187 represented in the Eastern Illinois University study, were in the corresponding range. Of the 759 students involved, 114 or 15% of the group had graduated from these 43 high schools.

In the category of 150 to 250 enrollment, the reverse of the comparison of the two studies in the category of 70 to 150 is true. Instead of having approximately the same percentage of students and a variation in the percentage of high schools in the category, the high schools in each study comprise about the same percentage of the totals. The University of Kansas study had fewer students from the

schools in this category, however, than did the Eastern Illinois University study.

The combined categories yielding results for schools of over 250 enrollment indicate that 29% or 78 schools of the 1956 representation in the University of Kansas study were of this size. This 29% was represented by 72% or 807 of the students. As has been stated, most of the high schools which would be in this category were within a 50 mile radius of the University of Kansas. Even though no geographic factor influenced the enrollment at Eastern Illinois University, 65% of the freshmen involved in 1956 were from the 68 schools, 50% of the total, with enrollments of over 250. The number of freshmen from these schools was 316.

In 1962, 97 or 37% of the Kansas schools which were represented were in the corresponding category. Of the 1,384 students involved, 80% or 1,108 students were from these high schools. One hundred eleven Illinois High schools, 59% of the 187 represented in 1962, had enrollments of over 250 students. Seventy-five per cent of the total, 571 students, were graduates of these high schools.

### Limitations

At first consideration, the limitations involved in using a comparison of the University of Kansas and the Eastern Illinois University studies for the purpose suggested in the introduction of the University of Kansas study--that of discovering a Sputnik-related change--seemed to be a severe handicap.

The first limitation was the sampling of the two studies which involved only two states, both located in the Middle West. Therefore, geographically, only one area of the United States was represented. However, it is believed that the studies had high validity for that area.

In the University of Kansas study, every Kansas county was represented. In 1956, only 47 of the 102 Illinois counties were represented in the Eastern Illinois University study. In 1962, students from 59 Illinois counties were enrolled at Eastern Illinois University as freshmen. This left 43 counties without representation but was over half the total number. A consideration of the geographic location of the counties represented, showed that the southern and the northwestern parts of Illinois had no representation in 1956. In 1962, only the southern tip of the state was not represented.

The sampling was further limited to graduates of state accredited high schools who entered either the University of Kansas or Eastern Illinois University. No data were collected for the early school-leaver, for the graduates who did not continue their education the fall following their graduation, or for those graduates who selected other universities. Also, the University of Kansas, due to its geographic location, drew between 70 and 80 per cent of its enrollment from the larger high schools, most of which were located within a 50 mile radius of Lawrence, Kansas. Because of the size of the university, the University of Kansas attracted as 80% of its freshmen, students who were in the upper 50% of their graduating classes.

Eastern Illinois University had neither of these obstacles to representative sampling. However, any state university draws a larger percentage of its enrollment from near-by high schools. Coles County, the county in which Eastern Illinois University is located, contributed 20% of the freshmen involved in the study in 1956, though only 11% in 1962.

In spite of the factors mentioned, students of these two universities were probably as representative as students of other colleges in the two states.

Another limitation of the studies was that only the four traditional subject areas of English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science were considered. The traditional areas would be the ones which would be expected to reflect a Sputnik-related trend. These areas were the core of the college preparatory programs; therefore, a study to detect this trend would involve students who had been enrolled in a college preparatory curriculum.

In spite of the limitations, useful information can be gained from a comparison of the two studies.

#### CHAFTER III

# COMPARISON OF THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS AND EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY FINDINGS

The tables used in the University of Kansas and Eastern Illinois University studies present the number of high school units in each of the four traditional subject areas (English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science) credited the members of the sample group. In addition to tables presenting a compilation of the data for the sample group as a whole, tables in which the members of the sample group were categorized according to the size of the high school from which the students graduated (as described in Chapter II) were presented.

The original numbers have been left on the tables, but for ease of using them with this study, consecutive numbering has been assigned the tables, also. The tables including only data for men or for women were omitted for this study; therefore, the original numbering was not consecutive.

#### English

Three types of tables were prepared which were relevant to a comparison of the high school units of credit in English obtained by 1955 graduates with the units obtained by 1962 graduates. Tables 3 and 4, pages 22 and 23, present the number of units of English in combination with the related subjects of debate, drama, journalism, and speech in relation to the size of the high school. Tables 5 and 6, pages 25 and 26, indicate the number of units in English only in relation to the size of high school. Tables 7 and 8, pages 28 and 29 present data concerning units of credit in specific English combinations.

An examination of Table 3, page 22, which presents the number of high school units in English combinations earned by the Kansas sample group, shows a definite increase in number of units earned by students in each of the categories. The percentage of students who received more than four units of credit increased as the size of the high school from which the students graduated increased. This could indicate that a greater selection of courses was available in the larger high schools. Table 4, page 23, reveals that the same was true of the Eastern Illinois University sample group.

Graduates of high schools of over 250 enrollment in Kansas who had earned less than 4 units of credit in English combinations decreased from 18% of the total group in 1956 to 4% in 1962. The percentage of graduates of Illinois high schools of over 250 enrollment who had received less than 4 units of credit decreased from 16% in 1956 to 4% in 1962.

In contrast to these figures, the percentage of students from the same group of high schools who earned more than

#### TABLE 8

Units of High School English Combinations Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School from Which Graduated

	Т			_						-				1		<i>C</i>				<u> </u>		<b>6</b>				· 1		0				1					
1956	+		1	6 spe	p I cial	•				62 ov	p 11 er 2	50 <b>*</b>		) 		45 150	) - (	- 			5	3 70	- 140	9 <b>*</b>		<u> </u>	;	57 bel	up V ov:70	•		<u>)</u>	263	r 0 ·	schor	01s*	
1962			56	3 stu 2 stu	udent	8 <sup>#4</sup>			1	70 ov 244 st 344 st	er < udeni	ts**				109 st	tuden	ts##			1	36 st 33 st	udent	y ts <sup>*#</sup> ts			-	72 stu 72 stu 30 stu	dents dents	;**			1,1	1 h1(m 124 st 384 st	senoo udenti udenti	515 6 <sup>##</sup> .	
/02		19	956			1962	2		195	6		196	2	<u> </u>	1956	5		1966	2		1956	,		196	2	İ	195	5		196	2	İ	1956	5		196:	2
	No	. 1	6 0	un \$	No.	\$	Cuan ≸	Sio.	۶	Cuan ∮	no.	۶	ഡം %	No.	۶	Cun \$	No.	\$	Cua %	No.	\$	Cun %	No.	ý	Cum %	tio.	۶	Cune 🛸	нь.	\$	∂ست ∮	Ro.	\$	Cum \$	No.	\$	Cure 🕈
2		1			c			0			0			0			0			0			0			0			0			1			· c		
2 <sup>3</sup>	i i	0			1			0			0			٥			٥			٥			٥			٥			0			0			1		
3	9	6 17	r\$ :	100%	28	4,	100\$	51	21%	100#	15	4\$	100%	31	28%	100%	4	3%	100%	57	42%	100%	13	10	100%	37	51;	100%	ł;	13%	100%	272	24\$	100%	64	5%	100
12	: :	, i	d.	1.0		č,,	3.00	27	14	120	i	c. /	90,0	•	- 7	فترسما	*	<b>1</b> 20	202	2		2.0	4	2	500	2	ي.	4.0	ė			1.12	• •	1.54	45	i,	773
1	22.9	9 XX	1	13	123	S5\$	0L.7	83	31,5	<u>(</u> 1	154	15%	3.0	44	40%	€%,	60	5:%	96¢	$\odot$	$u_{\gamma}$	5%의	<u>ې</u>	€.;	875	27	201	1.0	<u> </u> €4	8°5	875	12	$b_{1,2}$	್ಷ	755	-1-5	0)I
	5	: :	ť.	322	108	1	30%	15	75	33/	39.	<b>.</b> 11\$	1.75	7	C:	25,5	٩	S.	4 j,	5	1	10,	4	35	c7.7	ź	55	5	0			5	C,1	C75	20	113	$bc\gamma$
5	70	6 14	\$	23%	123	16	25\$	34	14%	26%	86	25\$	38%	14	13%	21\$	29	25\$	36%	6	4%	6%	24	18%	22%	3	4\$	· 64	2	7 <b>\$</b>	75	133	125	20%	264	19	20,
5월	1	63	\$	9 <b>%</b>	22	37	9%	7	3\$	12\$	14	4%	13\$	٥			2	\$	10%	1	1%	2%	1	1%	4,6	٥			0			24	2%	¢3	39	3,	95
6	2	34	<sup>2</sup>	G,	27	4 <b>%</b>	6,	14	6¢	<b>9</b> \$	23	7\$	<b>9</b> \$	8	7\$	8%	9	8%	9%	1	1%		4	3%		1	1\$		0			47	4%	62	63	5%	65
61	1	51	þ		5	15		4	ø		2	1\$		1	1\$		0			0			0			0		·	0			11	1\$		7	1\$	
7		51	\$		6	1%		4	ø		5	1\$		0			٥			0			٥			0			0			10	15		11	15	
$7^1_2$	1	ι			3			0			0			0			0			0			¢			o			0			1			3		
8		,			3			0			, o			0		;	1	1\$		0			0			٥			0			0			4	_	
1	- 	6 (50	岸1:	124)	iúc.	(55%	1384)	244	(22\$	1124)	344	(25%	1354)	109	<b>(</b> 10%	1124)	115	(8%	1384)	136	(12%	1124)	133	(10%	1384)	72	(3	1124)	50	(25	1384)	11:54			1.994		

MEN AND WOMEN

Note: English combinations include English solely or English plus debate, speech, dramatics and/or journalism. \*Number of high schools in each size group in 1956 and 1962. Group I schools sent more than ten freshmen and are all over 250 students.

\*\* Grand total of freshmen from each size group.

41

.

Universit

4

of,

Kansas

Study

Table

 $\mathbf{\omega}$ 

SIZE OF																		والمرجاح بالمرجان	en pro-	
HIGH SCHOOL			-69			70-	149	,		150-	249	9		25 <b>0-</b>	-499	)		500	999	
UNITS	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	62	19	956	1 🖓	162	19	56	19	62	19	56	. 19	62
	NO 🛛	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO.	26	NO•	%	NO.	%	NO	<b>X</b>	NO.	* %
0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	<u>)</u>	* <u>A</u>	<u>, o</u>	<u> </u>
0•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	C	0	0	0	<u>o</u>	Ċ.		<u>, o</u>
1•0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>		Ð	<u>••• 0</u>
1•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	С	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u></u>	- 0
2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Э	0	O	0	0	1	- 1		0
2•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>o</u>	<u>- 0</u>	D
3.0	0	0	0	0	12	16	1	1	6	7	4	4	14	13	6	5	23	16	<u>.</u>	
3.5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	3	Ĵ	4	4	2	2	3	2		
4.₀0	5	100	2	100	62	81	65	90	78	88	96	84	73	69	94	72	91	65	1391	
4•5	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	3	4	3	9	
5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	2	2	9	8	13	12	24	18	16	11	45,	122
5•5	О	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	O	0	0	0	0	0	2	
<b>6</b> ∙Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	2_	2		-2
6.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	<b>o</b> ,		P
<b>7</b> • 0	0	0	0	0	, O	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	1	1	1	1	, ALI	े <b>०</b>
7•5	О	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Э	0	0	0	0	. <b></b> .	0	-` <b>o</b>
8•∪	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Э	0	0	0	Q	0	0	<u> </u>
				·													+			
TOTALS	5		2		77		72		89		114		106	,,, <sub>2</sub> , <sub>20</sub> , 100,	131		141		208	

TABLE TOTAL 1246



	150-	245	)		25 <b>0-</b>	499	)		500-	999	)		10	000	• • • •	
19	56	10	262	19	956	19	62	19	56	19	062	19	56	19	62	
D •	<b>%</b>	NO•	23	:: <b>○</b> •	%	NO•	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NQ •	%	NO •	%	
0	Ô	0	Ċ	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	Q	Ū.	Э	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	Q	0 ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	en en en en en en en en en en en en en e
0	0	0	C	Э	0	_0	0	0	0	0		0		O	0	
0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	Q	0	
0	O	0	0	O	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1895 ad,g0 <sup>1,1</sup> 1° na isan is is <b>an</b> ≢r,
6	7	ý	ć,	14	13	6	5	23	16	5	2	23	, 33	12	.5	
1	1	3	3	4	4	2	2	3	2	3	1	10	14	4.,	2	
78	68	96	+'' ت	,	69	94	72	91	65	139	67	28	41	156	67	nin antar (B.Ristoffi - Paret d
8	2	i	i	1	1	4	3	4	3	9	4	1	1	16	7	
2	2	ę	6	10	12	24	18	16	11	45	<b>2</b> 2	2	3	36	16	
Ó	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	<u>'</u> 2	1	1	1	1	0	alat ware entry to you with experience
0	0	1	1	1	1		0	2	2	4	2	2	3	5	2	
0	0	O	0	0	0	, O	0.	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	3	0	_0	
Ó	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0		, O	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	G	Э	Q	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	0	0	1	0	
J.		114		106		131		141		208		69		232	,	
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

4 units of credit in English combinations increased. The University of Kansas study showed an increase from 21% in 1956 to 33% in 1962, and the Eastern Illinois University study showed an increase from 9% to 19%. At first, one might think that the University of Kansas study indicated a more significant increase. However, the increase was 12% which was slightly over half the original per cent (21%). In the Eastern Illinois University study, the increase, though arithmetically 2% less, doubled the original per cent (9%).

These figures indicated that there had been an increase in interest in the area of English in the larger high schools. The data for all categories indicated the same trend. An over-all decrease in the percentage having less than 4 units was from 31% in 1956 to 6% in 1962 in the Kansas sample group and from 20% to 5% in the Illinois sample group. The percentages of those students having more than 4 units were 28% in 1956 and 38% in 1962 in the Kansas group and 10% and 22%, respectively, in the Illinois group.

Tables 5 and 6, pages 25 and 26, present the data for English only. Neither study indicated a large increase in the percentage receiving more than 4 units of credit in English alone. This could be due to the fact that most schools that offer more than four courses in the English department might assign other titles to the additional courses (for example, drama or speech).

The percentage of students who had less than four units of English did, however, greatly decrease. The decrease in

### TABLE 14

,

### Units of High School English Only (Not Including Related Courses) Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School from Which Graduated

#### MEN AND WOMEN

··	-		Gro	up I			T		Grou	D II					Grou	p II	τ		<b></b>		Grou	p IV			Τ		Gro	np V			1		то	TAL		
1956 1962	Ì		16 sp 19 sp	ecial ecial	*		İ		62 ov 78 ov	er 25 er 25	io* 0				45 15 52 15	0 - 2 0 - 2	249* 249			8	07 E	- 14 - 14	9* 9				7 bel 2 bel	ow 70 ow 70	) <b>*</b> )			263 250	) high ) high	schoo schoo	als <sup>#</sup>	•
1956 1962			563 st 762 st	udent	8 <sup>##</sup>				244 st 344 st	udent	8 <sup>#*</sup>				109 s 115 s	tuder	nts** nts			1	136 st 133 st	uden uden	ts <sup>#*</sup>			- 1	2 stu 30 stu	lents lents	5 <b>**</b>	!		1,1 1,3	24 st 184 st	udents udents	3 <b>***</b> 5	
		1954	5		1952	2		195	5	ł	196	2		1956	5		1962			2004	:		195	2		195	;		100			199	;		1	
	<b>∷</b> ⊳.	¢	tur \$	No.	\$	Cur. 🦻	::s.	1	Cars %	::e,	\$	ರಿಸಿದ ನೆ	:e.	5	ರಿಜಾ 🖇	щ.	Ţ,	ûua ≸	Sc.	ŗ	مُ عند؟	::c.	r K	೧ಕ್.	::••	12	೦ಬಕ್ಸ್	::	۲ ور	Cuan, jø	ιю.	្ត	Cuna 🕫	iio.	ý	Cuna∳
2	1			0			0			0			0			0			٥			0			0			n			- 2			?		
2	0			1			0			0			0			0			٥			0			٥			0			0			1		
3 3	95	28\$	100%	थ	6%	100%	51	44%	100%	15	9Å	100%	31	65%	100%	3	5%	100%	57	59%	100%	13	14%	100%	36	<b>7</b> 1\$	100%	3	13%	100%	270	41%	100%	61	8\$	100%
31	26	8\$	72\$	7	2%	94\$	13	11\$	56\$	4	2%	91 <b>%</b>	1	26	35%	0			2	<b>2</b> \$	41\$	1	1\$	86%	2	4%	30%	0	•		44	7%	59%	12	2%	92%
4	219	64	64\$	396	90%	92%	51	44 <b>%</b>	44%	141	87%	60%	16	33%	33\$	57	32p	95\$	38	39%	39%	76	84%	84%	13	26 <b>%</b>	26%	20	67%	87\$	337	51\$·	52%	690	80\$	91 <b>%</b>
42	1			10	2\$		o		;	3	<b>2</b> \$		0			1			0			0			0			0			1			14	2\$	
5	0			1			0			0			0			1			o			0			0			0			0			2		
· 53	0			0	•		6			0			0			0			0			•			0			。 。			6			•		
6	0			0			۰ ا			0			•			•			•			0			0		1.							6		
。 5.63-	6			0			6			•			0			0			6			6			0											
₫, <sup>*</sup>				0								·																			ļ		•			
	34.3	(52%	<b>4</b> 534 1	hho i	(57%	780)	115	1184	651-1	163	(2)\$	780)	1.6	(74	651.)	60	Ind	790)	~	(15%	651.1	<u></u>	(1)26	780)		101	(5).)		(24	780)	654			780		
and the second		414	543	[ ~ ~ `	-Ref	760	rť,	1.10p	0,047	105	1.77	1007	1.0	1.1.el	100	02	من مارم	1007.	1		124)	<b>_</b>	(12p	100)	1	(0) 10	0,74) TO	23	(JP 8735	,,	<b>1</b>	end -	1104	1.20	e ce .	- 81
Tota	<u>_</u>	910			2070	142	I	4)2	244		417	344		9470	109		247	212	L	11%	130		400	- 55		117	12		1.0%	30		קטכ	1124	<b></b>	<b>9079</b> .	LJ04

Note: No debate, speech, dramatics, journalism, etc., included in this table. "English" only is presented. • Number of high schools in each size group in 1956 and 1962. Group I schools sent more than ten freshmen and are all over 250 students.

\*\* Grand total freshinen from each size group.

ţ

University

of

Kansas

Study

47

SIZE OF																		
HIGH SCHOOL		-	-69			70-	149	9		150-	249	7		250-	499	)		500.
UNITS	1	956	19	962	19	956	19	62	19	956	1	<b>6</b> 2	19	56	19	962	19	956
	NO•	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NO•	%	N0 •	%	NO.	%	NO •	%
0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	<u> </u>	0
0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	· 0	0	0	0	0
1•0	0	0	0	0	1	1	, <u>0</u>	0	1	<b>1</b> .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, O	0	0	0	1	1
2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C .	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.0	0	0	0	0	15	19	1	1	10	11	5	4	27	25	11	8	37	26
3.5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	5	4
<b>4</b> • 0	5	100	2	100	59	77	70	97	76	85	107	95	<b>7</b> 5	71	115	87_	94	67
4•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	3
5 <b>.5</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	о.	0	0	0	0	0
6•♡	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	<u>_</u> O	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
6•5	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7•0	0	0	0,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.0	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	00	0	0
TOTALS	5		2		τ <sup>,</sup>		72		89		113		106		132		141	
TOTALS	5		2		¢τ		72		89		113		106		132		141	

TABLE TOTAL 1246

angen verste der an som

9			70-	-149			150-	249	)		25 <b>0-</b>	499			500-	999			10	000	
19	962	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62
Э•С	20	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO.	%	N0.	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NO •	%	NO•	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ο
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	15	19	1	1	10	11	5	4	27	25	11	8	37	26	18	9	28	41	15	6
0	0	2	3	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	5	4	4	2	9	13	7	З
2	100	59	7 <b>7</b>	70	97	76	<b>8</b> 5	107	95	75	71	115	87	94	67	182	88	32	46	202	87
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	ο	0	0	0	0	0	4	2
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	З	4	2	0	0	3	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o'	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	ο	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	С	О	0	0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, <b>O</b>	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2		<b>7</b> 7		72		89		113		106		132		141		208		69		232	

. ...

. . .

the University of Kansas study was from 28% in 1956 to 5% in 1962 and that in the Eastern Illinois University study was from 29% to 8%. In both studies, the largest numbers with less than 4 units of credit were from the larger schools. Again, this would indicate that other titles were being used for some of the courses offered by the English department. A comparison with Tables 3 and 4 would seem to support this premise. In the 1962 part of the University of Kansas study, 4% had less than 4 units of English combinations as compared to 5% who had less than 4 units of English only. The figures from the Eastern Illinois University study were 4% and 8%, respectively.

Tables 7 and 8, pages 28 and 29, which present data for the number of units earned in specific English combinations, also indicate the decrease in English only. Percentages having credit in drama and debate in combination with English had also decreased. These may have been taught in courses entitled English.

In the University of Kansas study, the number of students with English and speech combination increased from 20% in 1956 to 26% in 1962. The English and journalism combination increased from 8% to 10%, and the Englishjournalism-speech combination increased from 7% to 8%.

The Eastern Illinois University study showed the greatest increse in the English-speech combination, also. The percentage increase was from 15% to 20%. A slight increase was indicated in the English-journalism-speech

# Table 7

### University of Kansas Study

# Appendix

## 53

## TABLE 20

### High School Subject Credit in English Combinations Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Units of Credit and English Combinations

MEN AND WOMEN

		Uni	its (f	ears)	Jſ	Cred	it in	Hig	h Sc	hoo	l En	glis	sh Co	ombi	nations	. ]
		2	2	3	3.	4	42	5	5일	6	61	7	$7\frac{1}{2}$	8	Total	\$
English Only	1956 1962	10	0 1	270 61	44 12	337 690	1 14	N O				1 0			654 780	58% 56%
English and Speech	1956 1962			1 3	25 10	83 35	54 111	47 166	4 13	7 14	1 1	1 1		0 1	223 355	20% 26%
English and Journal- ism	.1956 1962				4 1	34 14	5 29	26 73	5 6	7 9	0 3	2 2	1 0		84 137	8% 10%
English, Speech and Journalism	1956 1962		ļ		1 0	2 7	14	19 23	7 20	20 40	6 2	5 8	0 3	03	74 111	75 89
English and Drama	1956 1962			1 0	3	3 •	5	19 0	1 0	1 0					33 0	3,5
English and Debate	1956 1962				. 2 0	2 0	40	3 0		1 0					. 17 0	2%
Er.glish, Speech and Debate	1956 1962				I	1 0		3 0	4 0	4 0	2 0	1 0			15 0	1%
English, Speech and Drama	1956 1962					ļ	1 0	6 0		3 0				1	10 0	1%
English, Journalism and Debate	1956 1962							3 0		2 0				ł	5 0	
English, Journalism and Drama	1956 1962							2 0	10	2 0					5 0	
English, Journalism, Speech and Drama	1956 1962						Ì		1 0		1 0			-	- 2 0	
English, Journalism, Drama and Debate	1956 1962								1 0		1 0				2 0	
English, Journalism, Speech and Debate	1956 1962			-							0				0 1	
	1	1	<u> </u> 	<u> </u>	<u>}</u>			<u> </u> 	<u> </u>	[ 	[ : }		<u>;</u>	<u>í</u> 1	1	$\frac{1}{1}$
TOTAL	1956 1962		1	272 61	79 23	1462  746	84 159	133 264	24   39	47 63	11 7	10 11	13	0 4	1124 1384	
Percent of grand total - men and women	1956 1962			<b>24</b> 7 57	7% 2%	41% 54%	7% 11%	12% 19%	276 376	4% 5%	1% 1%	1% 1%		ļ		

	MEN AND WOMEN																
		UNITS OF CREDIT IN HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH CO									MBINATIONS						
		2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	17				
			1/2		1/2		1/2	2	1/2		1/2		V				
ENGLISH ONLY	1956	1	0	79	15	293	0	2	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>				
	1962	0	0	31	7	531	3	6	0	0	0	0	С				
ENGLISH AND SPEECH	1956	0	0	0	3	31	18	16	1	3	0	0	C				
	1962	0	0	0	3	20	23	103	0	3	0	2	С				
ENGLISH AND JOURNALISM	1956	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	0				
	1962	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	2	0	0	0				
ENGLISH, SPEECH,	1956	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0				
AND JOURNALISM	1962	о	0	0	0	1	о	1	3	2	0	0	.0				
ENGLISH AND DRAMA	1956	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0				
	1962	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0				
ENGLISH, SPEECH,	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>°</b> 0	0				
AND DRAMA	1962	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0				
TOTAL	1956	1	0	79	20	330	22	27	3	4	0	2	0				
	1962			31	11	553	30	122	4	9		2					
PER CENT OF GRAND	1956			16	4	68	5	6	1	1							
TOTAL MEN AND WOMEN	1962			4	2	73	4	16	1	1							
		2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	178	8	TOTAL	Per	Г
-----	------	---	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---	---------------	-------------	-------	-----	------------------
			1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2				
	1956	1	0	79	15	293	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	389	85	-
	1962	0	0	31	7	531	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	578	76	
	1956	0	0	0	3	31	18	16	1	3	0	0	0	0	71	15	-
	1962	0	0	0	3	20	23	103	0	. 3	0	2	0	0	154	20	
vî.	1956	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	
_	1962	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	11	1	
	1956	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	
	1962	0	0	0	0	1	0	, 1	3	2	0	0	0	0	7	2	
	1956	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	
	1962	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	
	1956	0	0	0	0	0	о	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1962	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	
																	4
	1956	1	0	79	20	330	22	27	3	4	0	2	0	0	487		4 1 1270-1
	1962			31	11	553	30	122	4	9		2			759		
	1956			16	4	68	5	6	1	1						A.	
	1962			4	2	73	4	16	1	1			· · · · · · ·	82 7 			

combination and in the English-speech-drama combination. However, there was a slight decrease in the English-journalism and the English-drama combinations.

These tables also support the idea that interest in the area of English had increased. The traditional subject of speech was more popular in 1962, also. The indicated trend was toward more units of English and related subjects, though there was not a significant increase in units of English alone.

#### Foreign Languages

Three types of tables were prepared for the subject area of foreign languages. One type presented the number of students in each category (discussed in Chapter II) who received a specified number of units in foreign language. A second type indicated the number of students in the entire sample group who received a specified number of units in one specific foreign language. The third type of table presented the number of students receiving a specified number of units in designated foreign language combinations.

The modal number of units (the number of units credited to the largest number of students) increased from 0 in 1955 to 2 in 1962 in both studies as shown in Tables 9 and 10, pages 31 and 32.

The percentage with no foreign language decreased from 46% in 1955 to 19% in 1962 in the University of Kansas study and from 63% to 27% in the Eastern Illinois University study. In both 1956 and 1962 in both studies, the smaller schools

#### TABLE 24

Units of High School Foreign Language Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School from Which Graduated

	<u> </u>		Gro	up I					Group	p 11				C	rou	p III		·	I		Grou	P IV			Γ		Gro	up V					то	TAL			]
1956 1962		:	16 sp 19 sp	cial cial	*				62 ovi 78 ovi	er 25 er 25	0* 0			45	5 <b>15</b> 2 150	0 - 2	49* 49			8 7	3 70 9 70,	- 14 - 14	9* 9			5	7 belo 2 belo	ow 70 ow 70	*			263 250	high high	schoo	ols* ols		ļ
1956 1962		50 70	63 sti 62 sti	udent udent	8 <sup>¥∦</sup> 5			2	44 stu 344 stu	ident udent	s** 5			10	09 st 15 st	tuden	its** its			1	36 st 33 st	uden uden	ts** ts			1 3	2 stu: 0 stu	lents lents	**			1,1 1,3	<b>24 st</b> 34 st	udents udents	s <sup>+*</sup> s		
		1956		1	1962			1956	5	ļ	1962		1	1956			1962		!	1956		1	1962		l	1956			1962		ļ	1956	i		1962	!	ĺ
1	11o.	¢, (	>æ 1	ŀ., .	9.	0.m \$	50.	ø,	cun f	30.	\$	Cur 5	:Io •	5 0	n ?	‼o.	\$	0:m \$	No.	<b>%</b>	Cum 🖇	50.	\$	Cue: 9	20.	\$	Cum 🗲	No.	۲.	0m 4	‼o.	e,	60m 4		۰.	ه : بن ۲	ļ
. 0	194	35 <b>F</b> -		93	12%		80	33,4		51.	15%		75	63,		32	28,5		1120	81%		67	50%		59	82,		20	67.5		513	48		273	:25		
3ena	5	1\$	66%	3		687	0			3	15	85%	0			1	1%	72	5 0			0			۵			1	3%	33%	5			8	15	ō1.	
11	127	23%	651	122	16	87%	52	21\$	67%	67	19%	84\$	21	19%	31%	35	30%	719	5 19	14\$	19	38	23%	50%	7	10%	18%	5	17\$	30%	226	20	54%	267	195	80	
동 1 <u>1</u>	2		42%	8	1%	71\$	1		46%	1		65%	o			2	24	419	0			0			0	·		0			3		33%	11	15	61%	
10 2	175	31%	42%	256	34%	70%	90	37%	464	128	37	65%	13	12\$	12\$	32	28%	397	17	5%	5%	24	185	21\$	5	7\$	<b>6</b> %	2	71	13%	290	265	33	442	3:24	607	ĺ
· 2 글	3	1%	11%	1		377	0		,	4	1\$	27\$	o			1	1%	119	0			o			0			٥			3		7×	6		23%	
50 3	38	<b>7</b> \$	10%	153	20%	37%	16	7¢	9%	53	157	26%	o			10	9¢	10	0			3	æ	3%	0			0			54	5\$	T,	219	16%	28%	
2 31	0			1		17\$	0			1		11\$	o			0			0			0			0			0			0			2		12%	
14	19	3%	37	108	14%	16%	5	2	2%	29	8\$	10%	o			2	26		۰ ]			1	1%		1	1%	1%	1	3%		25	24	ಭ	141	105	12%	
- 8 43	0			1			0			2			0			0			0			0			0			1	3\$		0			4			
45	0			9	1%		0			3	1\$		o			0			0			0			0			•			0			12	1%		 I
5	0			2			0			0			o			0			•			6			•			•			o			2			
6	0			5	1\$		0			2	•		o			0			0			6			•			•			0			7	1%		
Total	563	(50%)	1124)	762	(55%	1384)	244	(22%	1124)	344	(25%	1384)	109	(10% 11	124)	115	(9%	1394)	136	(12%	1124)	133	(1%	1384)	72	( <i>G</i> \$	1324)	30	(2% )	1384)	1124			1384		—i	

#### MEN AND WOMEN

\* Number of high schools in each size group in 1956 and 1962. Group I schools sent more than 10 freshmen and are all over 250 students. \*\* Grand total of freshmen from each size group.

57

University

of

Kansas

Study

SIZE OF			<b>6</b> 0			-				150	240				* ~ ~				····· ·	
HIGH SCHOOL	10		-69		• •	/0-	-149		10	150-	24'-	,		250-	-499	<u> </u>	1.0	500-	999	
UNITS	19	00	1.	902	19	סכי	NO.	·62 ~	19	00	19	<u>م</u>	19	00	19	02	NO 19	,20 v		/0 <u>/</u>
0•0	NU • 4	% 80	2	100	NU• 56	73	32	44	63	71	45	39	62	% 58	33	25	80	љ 57	50	24
0.5	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1		2	0	0
1•0	1	20	0	0	14	18	11	15	12	13	23	20	15	14	23	18	21	15	26	13
1•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	1	· . <b>1</b> .	0	0	1	0
2.0	0	0	0	0	6	8	27	38	13	15	41	36	28	26	60	46	32	23	116	56
2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O.	0	0	0
3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	. 8	6	<u> </u>	2	5	2
3•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	4	2	. 1	9	4
4.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· O	0	0	0	0	0	,0	0	0	0	0	0
5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>7</b> •0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	, O	δ	0
7.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0
8.0	0	0	0	0 <sub>5</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	O	0	0.	0
TOTALS	5		2		77		72		89		114		106		131		141		208	

TABLE TOTAL 1246

-----

I

59			70-	-149			150-	-249	)		250 <b>-</b>	-499			500-	999			10	00	
19	962	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	190	52	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62
10 •	26	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NO.	%	NO •	%	NO.	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO•	%
2	100	56	73	32	44	63	71	45	39	62	58	33	25	80	57	50	24	44	64	40	17
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	_ 3	2	<b>O</b>	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	14	18	11	15	12	13	23	20	15	14	23	18	21	15	26	13	9	13	34	15
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	0	0	1	1	Q	0	1	0	1	. 1	1	0
0	0	6	8	27	38	13	15	41	36	28	26	60	46	32	23	116	56	14	20	102	44
0	0	0	0	0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	<b>.0</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	8	6	3	2	5	2	1	1	27	12
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0		Ο,		. 1	0	Q	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	ο	0	1	1	0	0	5	4	2	1	9	. 4	<u>0</u>	0	26	11
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, , O	0	0, 0, 0		0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	· O	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	, O ,	0	0	0
0	0	Ο	0	0	0	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, <b>,0</b>	Ö	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, <sub>0</sub> 0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
0	0	ο	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	; O	0	0,0	, <b>O</b> , ,			0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	о	0	0	Ö	Ο	0.	0	Ο.	0	0	Q	0	0	Q.		
0	0	0	ο	0	0	о	ο	0	; O	0	ο	0	0	0	0,	0	0	0			
c				70		80		1 1 4		100		1 2 1		•		209		-			
۷		( (		12		87		114		106		131		141		200					

had a larger percentage of students with no foreign language. Twenty-one per cent out of the 46% who had no foreign language in 1956 were from the high schools with less than 250 enrollment, and in 1962, 9% out of the 19% were from the small schools. The corresponding figures for the Eastern Illinois University study were 25% out of the 63% in 1956 and 10% out of the 27% in 1962. These figures indicate slightly less than half of the students with no foreign language were from the smaller schools in both years and in both studies. However, these schools contributed only 28% of the 1956 sample group, 20% of the 1962 Kansas group, 35% of the 1956 Illinois group, and 25% of the 1962 Illinois group.

At the University of Kansas, the percentage having 2 or more units of foreign language increased from 7% in 1956 to 28% in 1962. At Eastern Illinois University, the increase was from 2% to 11%. The increase was three times the original percentage for the University of Kansas study, and four and one-half times the original percentage for the Eastern Illinois University study.

Again, the largest number of students having 2 or more units were from the larger high schools. Five per cent in 1956 and 15% in 1962 were from Kansas high schools of over 250 enrollment. In the Eastern Illinois University study, all but one of the students having 2 or more units of foreign language in 1956 were from Illinois high schools larger than 250, and in 1962, all but 5 of the students were from this group of high schools. This was probably due to the broader course offerings in the larger high schools.

Tables 11 and 12, pages 35 and 36, indicate the per cent of students receiving credit in a specified foreign language. The table totals for these two tables do not correspond to the total number of students in the sample groups, as some students received credit in more than one foreign language and are, therefore, represented in more than one number in the table.

In the University of Kansas study, Latin was the most popular language in both 1956 and 1962 as indicated in Table 11. However, the percentage of students receiving units in Latin decreased from 56% in 1956 to 42% in 1962. The percentages receiving credit in each of the other foreign languages increased slightly as follows: Spanish from 32% in 1956 to 33% in 1952; French from 9% to 19%; and German from 2% to 7%.

Table 12 presents the corresponding information for the Eastern Illinois University study. Latin was the most popular language in both years in Illinois, also. The percentage receiving credit in Latin increased from 47% in 1955 to 50% in 1962. Spanish ranked second in popularity but decreased from 34% in 1955 to 27% in 1962. French was third and German fourth in both years.

Tables 13 and 14, pages 37-38 and 39, present the foreign language combinations taken by students who earned credit in 2 or more foreign languages.

Few students took more than two years of the same language in either 1956 or 1962. There was no evidence to

34

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

#### UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS STUDY (COMPILED FROM TABLES FOR MEN AND FOR WOMEN) TABLE 11

#### HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT CREDIT IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE PRESENTED BY 1,124 INCOMING FRESHMEN IN SEPTEMBER 1956 AND 1,384 IN SEPTEMBER 1962 FROM KANSAS HIGH SCHOOLS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS BY UNITS (YEARS) OF CREDIT IN EACH INDIVIDUAL LANGUAGE

				ΜΕΝ ΛΝ	D WOMEN	N,						
	Į	UNITS OF C	REDIT	IN HIGH	SCHOOT	L FOREIG	N LANGU	AGE	·			
											TOT	\L
		0	1/2	1	1 1/2	2	2 1/2	3	3 1/2	4	NO	%
	1956		3	198	1	191		3	1	0	396	56
LATIN									{			
	1962		3	2.33	5	<u>285</u>		36		27	<u>5</u> 89	42
	1956		1.	92	2.	121	0	7		1	224	32
SPANISH												
	1962		9 (	148	3	208	3	67		13	457	33
	1956		1	31	0	28	. 1	3		1	65	9
FRENCH									4			
	1962	ļ	3	80	6	123	1	39		11	266	19
	1956		2	7	0	5		0		0	14	2
GERMAN		1							{ .			
	1962		2	42	1	38		14		4	98	7
	1956	-		1	ļ						1	
GENERAL LANGUAGE		4 6										
	1962			1	1						1	
	1956	(518)			ļ							
NO LANGUAGE												· ·
	1962	(263)										
	1956	(518)	7	329	3	345	1	13		2	701	
TOTAL										-		
	1962	(263)	17	504	15	645	4	156		55	1405	

PERCENTAGES ARE CALCULATED ON THE NUMBER RECEIVING CREDIT FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGES WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE PER CENT WITH NO FOREIGN LANGUAGE Table 11

5 U

## TABLE 17HIGH SCHOOL SUBJECT CREDIT IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE PRESENTED BY THE INCOMING FRESHMENIN SEPTEMBER 1956 AND IN SEPTEMBER 1962 FROM ILLINOIS HIGH SCHOOLS TOEASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY BY UNITS OF CREDIT

	<u></u>	UNITS	OF CRE	EDIT I	N HIGH	SCHOOL	FOREIC	SN LANGUA	GE		· <u></u>			E E
			0	1/2	1	1 1/2	• 2	2 1/2	3	3 1/2	4	TOTAL	. <del>PER</del> NT %	aste
	1956		0	0	11	0	18	0	0	0	0	29	15	nu
FRENCH							<b>[</b>		{			<u> </u>		μ
	1962		0	1	37	0	63	0	8	0	2	111	18	i i i
	1956		0	0	3	Û	5	Û Û	Û	Ū	Û	Ŗ	Ą	1
GERMAN								İ.						D D
	1962		0	0	12	2	16	0	2	0	3	35	6	μ,
	1956		0	1	41	0	46	0	0	0	1	89	47	L M
LATIN														un un
	1962	;	0	1	76	1	233	0	6	0	2	309	50	μ.
	1956		0	3	25	0	35	0	1	0	0	64	34	6
SPANISH														5
	1962		0	3	37	1	118	0	5	0	2	166	27	μ.
	1956		(306)					i					(63)	्रि
NONE														
	1962		(202)					[					(27)	- - -
	1056		(206)		00		104		1		1 1	100		n d
	1950		(300)	4	00	0	104	0	1	U		190		4
TUTAL	10/0		(000)	-	1.00		100		21	•		(01		
	1962		(202)	2	162	4	420	ļ	<u> </u>		+-2-	_621_		
	1956	;	(63)	2	42		22							
PER CENT OF TOT	I'AL		/ · - ·	_					·					
	1962	A.1	(27)	1	26	<u>    2      </u>	68		3					
PERCENTAGES ARE	CALCULATED	UN THE	NUMBER	RECE	LVING (	CREDIT F	UK FOR	EIGN LAN	GUAGES	S WITH 7	LHE EI	CEPTIO	N OF	

MEN AND WOMEN

PERCENTAGES ARE CALCULATED ON THE NUMBER RECEIVING CREDIT FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGES WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE PER CENT WITH NO FOREIGN LANGUAGE

1

Table l

'N

ŝ

#### Table 13

#### University of Kansas Study

#### Appendix

#### 63

#### $T_{\Lambda BLE}$ 30

#### High School Subject Credit in Foreign Language Presented by 93 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 276 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas in Two or More Foreign Linguage Fields

· · · · · ·	1	956			1962	
	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
LATIN AND SPANISH viit of Latin and 2 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 22 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 22 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish unit of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish unit of Latin and 2 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 2 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish unit of Latin and 2 units of Spanish unit of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish units of Latin and 2 units of Spanish units of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish units of Latin and 2 units of Spanish units of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish units of Latin and 2 units of Spanish units of Latin and 2 units of Spanish units of Latin and 2 units of Spanish units of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish 4 units of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish 4 units of Latin and 1 unit of Spanish	N C) Q	12 1 12 3 5 8	20 1 18 3 11 10	1 14 16 3 6 7 2 2	1 10 14 1 8 10 1 1	1 1 24 30 4 14 17 1 2 1 2 1
Total	22	41	63	52	47	99
LATIN AND FRENCH 1 unit of Latin and 2 units of French 1 unit of Latin and 1 unit of French 1 unit of Latin and 1 unit of French 1 unit of Latin and 2 units of French 1 unit of Latin and 2 units of French 1 unit of Latin and 2 units of French 1 unit of Latin and 3 units of French 2 units of Latin and 3 unit of French 2 units of Latin and 2 units of French 2 units of Latin and 2 units of French 2 units of Latin and 3 unit of French 2 units of Latin and 3 units of French 2 units of Latin and 4 units of French 3 units of Latin and 4 units of French 3 units of Latin and 1 unit of French 3 units of Latin and 2 units of French 3 units of Latin and 2 units of French 3 units of Latin and 2 units of French 4 units of Latin and 2 units of French 4 units of Latin and 2 units of French	l	10 2 1. 8	1 10 2 1 8	5 1 4 4 1 1 1	9 19 1 7 2 10 23 2 1 1 2 2 3	14 25 1 11 2 14 29 3 1 1 3 3 3
Total	1	21	22	29	82	111
LATIN AND CERMAN 1 unit of Latin and 1 unit of German 1 unit of Latin and 2 units of German 1 unit of Latin and 3 units of German 2 units of Latin and 1 unit of German 2 units of Latin and 2 units of German 4 units of Latin and 2 units of German 4 units of Latin and 2 units of German Total	]. 2 3		1	4 4 3 5 7 1 24	3 5 1 1	7 9 3 6 8 1 34

#### Table 13 (continued)

#### University of Kansas Study

#### TABLE 30 (continued)

#### High School Subject Credit in Foreign Language Presented by 93 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 276 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas in Two or More Foreign Language Fields

	1	956		1	962	
	Men	Women	Total	Men	Women	Total
SPANISH AND FRENCH				•		
l unit of Spanish and l unit of French l unit of Spanish and 2 units of French unit of Spanish and 3 units of French units of Spanish and 1 units of French 2 units of Spanish and 2 units of French 3 units of Spanish and 2 units of French 3 units of Spanish and 1 units of French 3 units of Spanish and 1 units of French	Ŀ	1	1	1 1 1 1	1 1 7 1	2 1 1 7 1 1
Total.	l	1	2	5	10	15
SPANISH AND GERMAN 1 unit of Spanish and 1 unit o. German 1 unit of Spanish and 2 units o. German 2 units of Spanish and $\frac{1}{2}$ unit o. German 2 units of Spanish and 1 unit o. German 2 units of Spanish and 2 units o. German	' 1 1		1 1 1	1	1 1 1 2	2 1 1 4
Total.	2	1	2 ·	<sup>`</sup> 3	5	8
GERMAN AND FRENCH 12 units of German and 4 units of French 3 units of German and 1 unit of French				1	1.	.1 1
Total				l	Э.	2
SPANISH AND GENERAL LANGUAGE 2 units of Spanish and 1 unit of General Language Total		1	1		•	
LATIN AND GENERAL LANGUAGE			1			
l unit of Latin and l unit of General Language Total					1. 1.	1 1 1
TRIPLE COMBINATION						
l <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> units French, <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> German, l Latin 1 unit French, 2 Latin, 2 Spanish 2 units French, 2 German, 1 Spanish 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> units French, 2 Latin, 2 Spanish 2 units Latin, 2 Spanish, 1 German 2 units Latin, 1 Spanish, 1 German Total					1 1 2	
GRAND TOTAL COMBINATIONS	29	64	93	118	158	276

U	NITS	MEN	WOMEN	TOTAL	
	2	1	3	4	1
LATIN AND SPANISH	3	0	2	2	1
	4	0	6	6	1
TOTAL		1	11	12	3
	2	0	0	o	1
LATIN AND FRENCH	3	0	0	00	6
	4	0	0	0	4
TOTAL		0	0	0	11
LATIN AND GERMAN	4	0	0	0	0
TOTAL		0	0	0	0
	1 1/2	0	1	1	0
SPANISH AND FRENCH	2	0	0	0	1
	3	0	0	0	2
	4	0	1	1	0
TOTAL		0	2	2	3
GERMAN AND FRENCH	3	0	0	0	0
	3 1/2	0	0	0	1
TOTAL		0	0	0	1
FRENCH, LATIN, AND SPANISH	4	0	0	0	1
		0	0	0	1

N M M M				<b>.</b>	ST WAR	1 1 1	9	4	11	•	at The	0		0 -	<b>N</b> O	m	0	-		-	-	
TOTAL	4	5	Φ	12		0	0	0	0		o	0	-	- 0	0 -	N	o	0	0	0	0	
WOMEN	n	2	Q	11		0	0	0	0	1 1 1	0	0		- 0	0	2	o	0	0	0	0	

Latin was the most common first or second language in both 1956 and 1962 in the University of Kansas study. Ninety-five per cent of the students who had credit in two or more foreign languages had Latin in 1956 and 90% in 1962. This indicates slightly greater variety in the selection of a second language in 1962.

The same change in popularity of the two combinations was indicated in the Eastern Illinois University study. Spanish and French closely followed Latin and French in popularity in 1952. Thirty-seven per cent of the students with two foreign languages presented the Spanish-French combination, and 43% presented the Latin-French combination in 1962. In 1956, no student presented the Latin-French combination and 14% presented the Spanish-French combination.

Spanish rivaled Latin in popularity in the Eastern Illinois University study. Eighty-nine per cent of the 14 students presenting credit in two foreign languages in 1956 had credit in Latin, and 100% had credit in Spanish. In 1952, 59% of the 51 students presenting credit in two or more foreign languages had credit in Latin, and 47% had credit in Spanish.

Increased interest in foreign languages paralleled the increased interest in English. Though students tended to take only two years in one foreign language, a larger number of students were taking two or more foreign languages in 1962 than in 1956.

The three types of tables relevant to the subject area of mathematics corresponded to those compiled for foreign languages.

Table 15, page 42, presents the units of credit received by Kansas students from high schools of specified enrollment. A relationship between the size of high school and the number of courses of mathematics for which the students had received credit similar to the relationship indicated for foreign languages and English existed in 1956, though the percentages for 1962 did not vary significantly with the category.

Table 16, page 43, the corresponding table from the Eastern Illinois University study did not indicate a significant variation in the percentages of students credited with four units of mathematics from category to category in either 1956 or 1962. However, all categories showed an increase in the per cent eqrning 4 units of mathematics. This increase was indicated also in the University of Kansas study. The increase in the percentage of the Kansas sample group who earned 4 or more units of mathematics was from 20% in 1956 to 32% in 1962, and the increase in the Eastern Illinois University study was from 10% to 22%. The increase for the University of Kansas was approximately half the original percentage. The increase for Eastern Illinois University was twice the original percentage.

#### TABLE 34

### Units of High School Mathematics Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School from Which Graduated

#### MEN AND WOMEN

.

			Gro	up I					Grou	ΡII					Group	9 III			1		Grow	ıp IV					Grov	ıp V					$T \in \mathcal{T}$	TAL		
1956 19 <b>6</b> 2			16 sp 19 sp	ecial ecial	*				62 ov 78 ov	er 25 er 25	i0* i0				45 150 52 150	) - 2 ) - 2	49* 49			8 7	13 70 19 70	- 14 - 14	9 <b>*</b> 9				57 b <b>el</b> 22 bel	o₩ 70 ov 70	)* )			24 <b>3</b> 250	) hi,⊅ ) hi,⊅	schoo schoo	ols* ol:#	
1956 1962		5 7	63 st 62 st	udent udent	:s <sup>¥∦</sup> :s				244 st 344 st	udent udent	.s** .s·				109 st 115 st	tuder	nts** nts			1	36 st 33 st	u <b>de.</b> n	ts <sup>*-#</sup> ts				72 stu 30 stu	lent: lent:	3 X-M 3			1,1 1,3	24 .st 194 .st	adents udents	s ** 5	
		1956			1962	2	1	19 <b>5</b>	6	1	1962	2		1956			1962			1956		1	1)62	2		195	5		19 <b>0</b> 2			1955	,		196.2	
	No.	\$	Cun %	no.	\$	Cum %	No.	\$2	Cun \$	No.	\$	Cun %	liç.	\$	೧ಿಬಾ %	No.	\$	Que \$	.₩0.	\$	Cun 🖞	но.	5	Cive: 3	No.	\$2	ດນະ ກ	lio.	¢	Cure 🗇	No.	3	Cius 🖞	Ho.	\$	Ciem 🗇
5 <sup>0</sup>	3	1%		o			0			1 1			٥			٥			1 1	1		0		-	0			c			4			1		
lati 1	0			0			С			0			0			1	1%		0			0			c			c			0			1		
1 1	41	72		02	3%		10	1.00		15	1,0%		3	3,5		7	6¢	90 <b>%</b> ,	13	135		3	2%		- 5	u\$		o			30	6		47	3%	
	1.0	- 1	d	1.	۱4,	art	1		Sec.			1	e			0			1	15	ΰ.	e			1	1,5	$S_{2}$	1	31		:	11	-3	15		
G 2	175	31%	9 <b>1</b> -5	248	19%	9 <i>6</i> %	13	30%	96¢	6ë	29%	95\$	45	41\$	97%	22	17%	93¢	41	30%	يروع	26	20K	98%	20	205	3 <del>0</del> ,%	7	23;5	97.5	354	2	925	271	20	502
1001	1.5	51	ೆಗೆ	57	1	<b>!</b>	. 7	3, <b>f</b>	66	3	<u>;</u> ;	765	¢	$C_{c}^{*}$	56	З	3,	720	9	C	570	Ģ	55	- 24	2	C!	101	c			<u>52</u>	$b_{i}^{\mathcal{A}}$	$(\gamma)$	50	۶.	751
5 3	114	20%	57%	223	29%	72%	90	375	63	109	32%	74%	46	42%	51%	29	25\$	71\$	49	3 <i>G</i> %	49%	47	35%	74%	30	42%	54%	12	40,5	73%	329	29%	572	420	suns	∵3≴
5 32	48	9\$	37%	92	12%	42%	27	11%	26%	26	∂%	43%	5	5\$	8%	12	10%	14Gp	3	2%	13%	14	11\$	38\$	2	3\$	13%	2	7%	33%	85	÷3	275	146	11%	42%
	139	25%	29%	202	27\$	30%	32	13%	15%	106	31%	35%	4	4\$	• 4%	41	36#	36%	15	11%	11%	31	23\$	28%	6	8%	10%	8	275	27\$	196	17%	20%	388	28:	32%
정날	11	Ľ\$	4%	23	3%	4\$	1		5%	7	25	4%	0			0			0			3	<b>2</b> \$	5%	•			0			12	1%	25	33	2%	4
¥ 5	11	2%		6			3	1\$		6	2%		0			0			- 0-	• •		3	26		1	1%	1%	¢			15	1%	2,5	15	1%	
5 i	0			0			0			5			0			0			0			0			0			0			0			2		
Total	563	(50%	1124)	162	(55%	1384)	244	(22%	1124)	344	(25\$	1334)	109.	(10%	1124)	115	(3%)	1384)	136	(12%	1124)	133	(10%	1384)	72	(ú.	11::-4)	3' )	(2)	324)	1124	·		1364		

\* Number of high schools in each size group in 1956 and 1962. Group I schools sent more than 10 freshmen and all are over 250 students. \*\* Grand total of freshmen from each size group.

٠

1

Table 15

University of

Kansas

Study

HIGH SCHOOL		-	-69			70-	149	Ð		150-	-249	)		250 <b>49</b> 9	Ð		5009	99
UNITS	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	62	19	561	262	19	56	1962
0.0	NO • O	% 0	NO• O	% 0	NO• 2	% 3	NO • 1	% 1	NO ● 4	% 4	NO• O	% 0	NO• O	% NO•	% 0	NO•	% NO	• 2
<b>••</b> 5	0	0	0		.0	. 0	0	0	0	0	1	. 1	Ο.	00	0	Q	0	۵
1.0	0	0	. 1 .		23.		8	11	21	24	11	10	26.	25 13		4.7	33 3	0_1
1•5	0	0	0	0	O	0	1	1	1	1	0	-0	3	32	2	2	1	3
2.()	2	40	.0		23	30	26	36	37	42	37	32	50	47 43	33	42	30 6	73
2•5	0	0	0,			1	1	1	. 1	1.	. 3	3	3	.30	0_	4_	3	1
3.0	3	60	0	Q	17	22	19	26	18	20	24	21	10	9 38	29	23	16_6	12
3•5	0	0	0.	0	4	5	4	6	2	2	5	4	3	_31	1	0	0 6	5
4.0	0	0	1	50	6	8	12	17	5	6	30	26	1.1	10_33	25	18.	1338	31
4•5	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	O	0	1	1	0	0 1	1	0		<b></b>
5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	00_	0	0	0(	2
5•5	0	0	. O	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 (	)
6•1)	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0_	0	0	
6•5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0.0	<b>)</b>
7•9	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0_	0	(	2
7.5	0	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	_0 _0	)
8.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				<b>)</b>
TOTALS	5		2		77		72		89		114		106	131		141	208	3
TABLE TOTAL	1246													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>	·		
			÷															

	-69			70-		)		150-				25 <b>0</b> .	499	)		500-						
	19	62	19	956	19	62	19	56	. 19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62
	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NO •	%	NO.	%	N0.	%	NO.	%	NO •	%	NO•	%	NO•	%	NO •	%
	0	0	2	3	1	1	4	4	0	0	0	- Q.	<b>O</b>	O	5					6	Or	<b>O_</b> _
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.0	0_	0	0	Q_,		0	0	0	0		
																			•			
	1	50	23	. 30		11.	. 21	24	11	10	26	25	13_		4.7	33	30	14	25	.36	1	
	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1.	0	0	3		2	2	2	1	3 .	1	1	1		
													_					-		_	_	
	0	0	23	30	26	36	37	42	37	32	50	47	43		42	30	67		. 19	.28	88	38
									_	_	_					_		-	-	-	_	
	0	0	1	1.	. 1 .	1	1	1	. 3	. <u>3</u>		. <u> </u>	0	0	4	3_	l	0	2	3		4
	~			~ ~		~ ~		~ ~	~ (	~ .		_	20	•	0.7			20	• •			24
	0	0	17	22	19	26	18	20.	24	21	.10	9	38	_29_	23	10		29		1.6		46
,	0	0	4	5	4	6	2	2	c,	/1	.7	2	1	1	0	0	6	З	0	0	q	4
'	0	0	4		. 7	0	2	2	2	4	2				<u> </u>	V	<b></b>		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>.</b>	· _ · · · · · · · · · ·
ì	1	50	6	8	12	17	5	6	30	26	11	10	33	25	18	13	38	18	7	10	49	21
,	•	20	0	0				U		20			·		an an an an an an an an an an an an an a			·····	**************************************			
)	0	0	1	1.	0	0	0	0	1	. 1	0	_0	1	1	0	0_	0	Q	0	0	2	1
								•	-	_												
Э	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	_0_	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0
Э	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	Q	0	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0_	0_	<u>0</u>	۵	<u> </u>	0	0	_0_	0
O	Ο	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Õ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				_		_	_	_	-	_	•	-		_	-	_	-	-	_	-	~	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ο			0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	_0_
0		-		~	0			~		~	0	-	~	_	•	~	•	~	~	, 	•	~
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0					. 0 .	0		U	<b>U</b>	
									r.												•	
	2		77		72		89		114		106		1.31		141	**** *** ***	208		69		<b>23</b> 2	
	<u> </u>				,		09				100		• • •		. → .		-00					
																				2	-	

The increase in the percentage of Kansas students presenting three or more units of credit in mathematics was from 57% in 1956 to 73% in 1962. The Illinois sample group showed an increase from 29% to 52%. The Kansas increase was approximately one-fifth the original percentage, but the Eastern Illinois University increase almost doubled the original percentage.

Tables 17 and 18, pages 45 and 46, indicate the number and per cent of students who received credit in specified subjects. The rank (by number of students receiving credit in the subject) was also indicated.

In the University of Kansas study, the subjects by rank in 1956 were: algebra, geometry, trigonometry, and general mathematics. In 1962, the ranking had changed as follows: algebra, geometry, trigonometry, advanced mathematics, and general mathematics.

As shown in Table 18, the ranking in the Eastern Illinois University study was somewhat different. In 1956, the subjects in order of rank were: algebra, geometry, general mathematics, trigonometry, and advanced mathematics. In 1962, the order was: algebra, geometry, trigonometry, general mathematics, and advanced mathematics.

The traditional mathematics program, though strong in 1956, was even stronger in 1962 as shown by the heavy increase in the percentage of students presenting the more traditional trigonometry and geometry and the decrease in the percentage taking the less traditional general mathematics. Algebra,

#### TABLE 41

#### Number of 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas Who Presented Units of Credit in Various Mathematics Areas

1956 1962. 1956 1962		M ,263 h 250 h 711 n 801 n	EN nigh s nigh s nen st nen st	school school suden	ls ls ts			W 263 h 250 h 413 v 583 h	0 M H nigh s nigh s vomen vomen	EN school school stude stude	ls ls ents ents			T 263 h 250 h 1,124 1,384	O T A nigh s nigh s stud	A L school school lents lents	s s	
		1956		n students 1962 % No. Rank				<b>1</b> 956			1962			1956			1962	
	No.	Rank	ø	No.	Rank	%	No.	Rank	ø	No.	Rank	%	No.	Rank	%	No.	Rank	%
Algebra	695	1	98%	794	1	99%	j+00	1	97%	569	1	98%	1095	1	97%	1363	1	98%
Geometry	GliG	2	91%	766	2	96%	3 <sup>1</sup> +3	2	83%	525	2	90%	989	2	88%	1291	2	93%
Trigonometry	252	. 3	35%	438	3	55%	27	4	7 <b>%</b>	82	3	14%	279	<sup>.</sup> 3	25%	520	3	38%
General Mathematics	179	4	25%	81.	5	.10%	79	3	19%	54	4	9%	258	4	23%	135	5	10%
Advanced Math				128	4	16%				10	5	2%				138	4	10%

Note: Percentages were obtained by dividing the number of enrollments in algebra, etc., by the number of men, women or men and women in the incoming freshmen classes.

÷

Advanced mathematics listed in 1962 was not found on the 1956 transcripts. Such courses as calculus, analytic geometry, statistics, advanced mathematics, etc., were listed on the 1962 transcripts.

18

Table

	r	NUMBE	R OF	INCO	MING	FRESH	MEN II	N SEP	темве	R 19	56 AN	DIN	SEPTE	MBER	1962	2
		то	EASTE	RN II	LLINO	IS UN	IVERS	ITY W	HO PR	ESEN	тер и	NITS	OF CR	EDIT	IN	
						VAR	IOUS	МАТНЕ	MATIC	S AR	EAS		;		2 2	
															، ۲۰۰۰، ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹	
											·					44 1 - 14 1 - 14
			ME	IN		~					WO	MEN			т	
		1956			1962			1956			1962	<u>.</u>		195		
	NO •	RANK	PER	NO•	RANK	PER	NO •	RANK	PER	NC•	P45.3	PER	NO •	RANK	PER	NO
			CENT			CENT						CENT		C	ENT	· · · ·
ALGEBRA	252	1	86	387	1	98	181	1	92	357	;	9 <b>7</b>	433	1	9 <b>8</b>	74
GEOMETRY	170	; 2	58	348	2	89	116	2	59	305	-	89	286	2	59	65
TRIGONOMETRY	61	4	21	140	3	36	5	4	3	39	3	1 1	66	4	14	17
GENERAL MATH	85	3	29	37	4	9	20	3	10	27	4	7	107	3	22	6
ADVANCED MATH	5	5	2	24	5	6	0	5	0	12	5	3	17	5	3	3
																1

.

KINATIC FRESH	MEN IN	SEPTEMBER	1956	AND	IN	SEPTEMBER	1962
---------------	--------	-----------	------	-----	----	-----------	------

N INTINOIS UNIVERSITY WHO PRESENTED UNITS OF CREDIT IN

VARIOUS MATHEMATICS AREAS

							WO	MEN			тс	TAL		
	1962		1956				1962			195 <b>6</b>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1962	-
÷ ۲	DANK_	PER	NO.	PER	NO.	RANK	PER	NO•	RANK	PER	NO• I	RANK	PER	
	C	ENT					(	CENT		C	ENT		Ċ	CENT
											· .			
因?	i	28	181	1	92	357	!	97	433	· 1	98	744	- 1	98
유럽	C.	89	116	2	59	305	2	89	286	2	.5 <b>9</b>	653	2	86
:7	7	36	5	4	3	39	3	11	66	4	14	179	3	24
37	ć‡	9	20	3	10	27	4	7	107	3	22	64	4	9
24	L.	6	0	5	0	12	5	3	17	5	3	36	5	6

geometry, and advanced mathematics--all traditional mathematics-showed increases in both studies.

Trigonometry, which showed the greatest increase in the University of Kansas study, increased from 35% of the sample group presenting credit in it in 1956 to 55% in 1962. Advanced mathematics was second with an increase from 0% to 16%.

In the Eastern Illinois University study, geometry increased the most with an increase from 59% in 1956 to 86% in 1962. Trigonometry was second with an increase from 14% to 24%.

Tables 19a, 19b, and 20, pages 48, 49, and 50, indicate the combinations in which students had received credit. Table 19a includes the 1956 Kansas data, Table 19b includes the 1962 Kansas data, and Table 20 includes the Illinois data.

The popularity of the traditional algebra, geometry, and trigonometry was shown in these tables, also. Two per cent of the 1956 group of the University of Kansas study had taken only general mathematics, and less than 1% of the 1962 group presented credit in general mathematics only. The Eastern Illinois University study indicated a decrease from 13% who presented credit in only general mathematics in 1956 to 1% in 1962.

In both studies, algebra-geometry was the most common combination. The algebra-geometry-trigonometry combination was second.

#### TABLE 40a

#### High School Subject Credit in Mathematics Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas in September 1956 by Units of Credit and Mathematics Combinations

ſ <u></u>	ı——		<u>_</u>								r		}
		. Un	its o	of Hig	h Sch	1001	ather	atics	-		Tot	al	ļ
	0	1	112	2	2 <u>1</u>	3	3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	łŧ	<u>1</u> 1 <u>2</u>	5	No.	52	
Algebra and Geometry Algebra, Geometry and Trigonometry Algebra, Geometry and General Mathematics Algebra, Only Algebra and General Mathematics Algebra, Geometry, Trigonometry and Gen. Math General Mathematics Only Geometry and General Mathematics Algebra and Trigonometry Geometry Only No Mathematics of any kind	4	62 16 2	3	307 8 32 2 4 1	29 1 10	184 33 105 6	16 57 11 1	2 150 34 10	4 2 6	15	543 245 162 70 41 32 18 5 2 4	229, 229, 149, 69, 49, 29, 29,	Appendix
Total	4	80	8	354	41	329	85	1 <b>9</b> 6	12	15	1124		
Percentages		7%	1%	32%	4%	29%	8%	17%	1%	1%			

÷

4

MEN AND WOMEN

# University of Kansas Study

Table

19a

		0		1	1	2	2	3	-			<b>4</b> .			
			1/2		1/2		1/2	·	1/2		1/2				
ALGEBOA AND GEOMETRY	1956	0	0	1	2	116	10	46	14	3	0	0	<b>9</b>	, <b>O</b>	
······	1962	0	0	0	81	235	18	<u>100</u>	20	2	0	्०	<u> </u>	° 0	
												in an an an an an an an an an an an an an			
AT BE MARE SERVE TRY AND	1956	0	0	0	0	2	2	1 8	10	33	0	0	0	<u> </u>	
TRIGONOMETRY	1962	0	0	0	0	0	3	43	23	99	2	2	0	0	
ALLISCA . GERMEITRY . AND	1956	0	0	0	0	0	2	ò	3	8	1	0	0	0	
SENFRAL MATH	1962	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	1	11	0	0	0	0	
ALCERRA ONLY	1956	0	0	54	1	1	0	Ċ	0	0	0	0	0	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1962	0	1	62	2	9	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	
ALGEBRA, GEOMETRY,	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	4	1	0	0	0	
TRIG., AND CENERAL MATH	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ç	0	1	0	0	0	0	
ALGEBRA AND	1956	0	0	1	3	94	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	
GENERAL MATH	1962	0	0	0	2	6	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>
	;														v <sup>i</sup> .
BENERAL MATH ONLY	1956	0	3	57	1	0	0	1	O	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	<b>.</b>
	1962	0	0	9	1	1	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	<u>*0</u>	
											•				
GEOMETRY AND	1956	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GENERAL MATH	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u></u>	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	
		•											دي. پېرونو د ي. م	<b>%</b>	
ALGEBRA AND	1956	0	0	1	7	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TRIGONOMETRY	1962	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	
	i.														
TOTAL	1956	0	3	114	14	183	21	74	28	48	2	0	0	<u> </u>	
	1962	0	1	71	87	25 <b>2</b>	22	168	44	113	2		0	0	_
PER CENT	1956		1	23	3	38	4	• 55	e	10		-			
						22	2	<b>n</b> n		15					

	1	1	ĉ	2	* *	3	4	4	5	5	6	TOTAL	PER
8		1/2		1/2	2	1/2		1/2					
	1	ے 81	116 235	10 18	416 100	14 20	3	0	0 0 .	0	0	192 456	39 60
5	0	0	2	2	12	10	33	0	0	0	0	62	13
2	0	0	0	3	43	23	99	2	2	0	Ö	168	23
			-										
þ	0	0	0	2	n	ુ	8	1	0	0	0-	23	5
2	<u> </u>	0	0	1	21	1	11	0	0	0	0	34	
											v g		
6	54	1	1	0	਼	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	11
1	62	2	9	0	<u></u>	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	74	10
C)	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	6	1
<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
									:	<i>ı</i> ,			, <b>F</b> S
0	1	3	94	3	.)	C	0	0	0	0	0	54	11
C .	<u> </u>	2	6	<sup>(</sup> )			0	0.	0	0	0		1
								7					
3	67	1	Ĵ	0	]	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	13-
0		1	1	0		C	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<b>~</b> 0	11	<u> </u>
()	С)	С	2	O	0	C	Ō	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	Û	0	0	<u></u>	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	÷ 0	0
									*				
Ċ,	!	7	19	ů.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	6
C)	}	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
								1					
3	114	14	183	21	74	<u>.</u>	48	2	0	0	0	487	
1	71	87	252	22	168	4 G	113	2	I	0	0	758	
1	23	3	38	4	15	6	10						
	Ģ	11	33	3	2.2	6	15						

The percentage of students receiving credit in combinations of three or more subjects increased in both studies. The University of Kansas study showed an increase from 39% in 1956 to 48% in 1962. The Eastern Illinois University study indicated an increase from 19% to 27%. The Kansas increase was approximately one-fourth the original percentage, and the Illinois increase was approximately one-half the original percentage.

As in the two fields of English and foreign languages, mathematics was receiving more emphasis in 1962.

#### Science

Tables 21 and 22, pages 52 and 53, indicate that there was no significant difference between the categories in the percentage receiving three or four units of credit in science in either year. George B. Smith stated that this indicated that small schools had supplemented their science offerings.

The over-all increase in science indicated in these tables reflects the same significant increases which characterized the other three areas--English, foreign languages, and mathematics. The increase in the percentage of students receiving 3 or more units of credit in science in the University of Eansas study was from 39% in 1956 to 57% in 1962. The increase for 4 or more units of credit was from 11% to 23%. The corresponding figures for 3 or more units in the Eastern Illinois University study were 34% to 46% and for 4 or more units, 7% to 17%. The increase in

#### TABLE 44

#### Units of High School Science Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School from Which Graduated

#### MEN AND WOMEN

				Gro	upI					Grou	p II					Group	9 III					Grou	p IV	,				Gro	up V					TOT	LVJ		
19 19	56 62			16 sp 19 sp	ecial ecial	.* L				62 ov 78 ov	er 23 er 25	ie* i⊃				45 150 52 150	) - 2 ) - 2	49* 49			8 7	83 70 19 70	- 14 - 14	9* 9				57 bel 22 bel	ov 70 ov 70	o* 0			263 250	; high ) high	schoo schoo	,1s* ⊃ls	
ر: ود	62		1	() 21 ()2 22	:20:.* aae;.:	.∋¥¥ 23			5	911 - <u>1</u> 944 - 51	rlent ulent	:*** 0				107 si 115 si	vulor Vulor	<u>t</u> a** 13			3	136 ct 133 ct	uder,	ts <b>**</b>				71 otn 30 otu	đena Jort	,** 			1.1		19-1- 19:1-	.**	
			195	5	<u> </u>	196	<u>.</u>		1956	5		1952			1956	5		1963	2		1956	5		1952	3	L	195	s		1962	2	1	1956	;		1960	:]
		To.	3	Can 🗇	in.	ħ	Get (	<u>.</u>	_0	Our, 1	Цар. Пор.	5.	Our ji	ം.	5	Curi 🌫	No.	<b>9</b> ,	Oura S	.:ə.	Ņ	Cian S	No.	4	Cun ۶		5	Cum 🕉	::0	5	Class 9	1:0.	<i>~</i> ,	Cas ,-	1:5.	2	Cas .
	0	10	25		8	15		3			3	1%		2	2,		2	2p		2	1%		2	2\$		0			0			17	25		15	1%	
	之	4	1%	98%	0			0			0			0			0			2	1%	99%	0			0			0			6	1%	96%	0		
2	1	110	20,5	98.j.	102	13%	93%	46	19%	90;	37	nģ	9 <i>7:</i> -	24	85.?	995	8	75	93%	17	13%	97\$	6	5%	98%	5	7\$		3	10%		202	185	98%	156	11\$	93%
clen	$1^{1}_{2}$	55	10%	78¢	13	2,	860	4	2,	80,	· 9.	37	<b>8</b> 8%	ı	1%	76%	0			3	2%	85%	0			0			0			63	6\$	80%	27	2%	<b>6</b> 8%
of S	2	149	26,5	63%	223	29%	83,5	76	31\$	78\$	<b>6</b> 1	243	8 <i>6</i> 7	38	35%	75,3	25	22%	91 <u>5</u>	45	33%	82°	25	1%	94%	39	55%	93%	5	17\$	908	347	31%	74\$	359	2G\$	85%
() 1	2 <sup>1</sup> /2	33	6,	42%	13	2,2	54%	6	2%	47%	32	3,5	62%	3	37	40%	2	20	70\$	6	4%	4%	0			1	1%	39%	0			149	4\$	44%	·32	26	60\$
(Yee	3	123	23%	36%	214	23, 452	52,5	83	34%	45,5	119	35%	50%	26	245	33%	36	31%	69%	43	32%	1,5%	55	41%	15%	21.	2)/e	38,5	15	50%	73%	301	275	3 <i>9</i> %	439	32,	57%
1to	32	8	1%	13%	21	3%	235	3	រជ	11%	13	1,5	24%	1	15	14%	1		375	4	3%	13%	6	5%	34%	1	1%	8\$	0			17	zþ	12%	42	3%	25
с т	4	65	12%	12,	148	197	21%	21	9%	50	66	19,5	20,5	13	12%	13%	37	32%	30%	14	10%	10%	39	23p	29%	5	7\$	T#	Ż	23%	23\$	118	п≱	11%	297	213	23%
choo	4 <u>3</u> .	1			2			2	1%	1%	1			0			2	26		0			0			0			0			3		,	5		
ා දේද	5	0			7	1%		0			2			ı	2%	15	2	20		0			0			٥			0			1			n	1%	
11	5월	0			1	•		0			0			0			0			0			0			0		· .	. 0			0			1		ļ
	ó	0			0			0			1	•		0			0			٥			0			٥			0			0			1		}
To	:a1	563	(50%	112:4)	762	(55%	1334)	244	(22%	1124)	344	(25%)	384)	109	(10%)	1124)	115	(8%	1304)	136	(12%	1121+)	133	(10%	1384)	72	(6%	J124)	30	(2%	1384)	1124			1354		

\* Number of high schools in each size group in 1956 and 1962. Group I schools sent more than 10 freshmen and all are over 250 students. \*\* Grand total of freshmen from each group.

.

.

,

University

of

Kansas

Study

Kansus Studies in Education

5 С

HIGH SCHOOL			-69			70	149	)		100		,							
UNITS	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	962	19	956	19	62	19	156	
	NO •	%	NO •	%	N0.	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO.	%	NO .	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO
0.0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	3	2
0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	1
1 • 0	0	0	1	50	8	10	5	7	9	10	7	6	28	26	15	11	34	24	33
1.5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	. 1	1	0	0	6	4	6
2.0	3	60	0	0	33	43	20	28	34	38	30	26	41	39	45	34	43	30	69
2.5	О	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5		
3.0	1	20	1	50	26	34	26	36	36	40	39	34	28	26	46	35	30	21	56
3.5	О	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	. 1	1	1	1	0	0	
4.0	1	20	0	0	6	8	18	25	5	6	<b>3</b> 5	31	5	5	22	17	15	11	30
4.5	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
5.0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5.5	0	0	; O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
<b>€</b> • ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
6.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	c
<b>7</b> •0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
7.5	0	O	0	°0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
8.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
TOTALS	5		2		<b>ד</b> ר		72		89		114		106		131		141		201
TABLE TOTAL	1246												-						

TABLE TOTAL 1246

	-69			70-	-149	)		150-	249	)		250-	499	)		500-	999			10	000		
6	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	56	19	62	19	956	19	62	19	956	19	62	19	56	19	62	
%	NO •	%	NO.	%	NO •	%	NO •	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	
0	0	0	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	3	2	1	4	6	3	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	
0	1	50	8	10	5	7	9	10	7	6	28	26	15	11	34	24	33	16	28	41	52	23	
0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	<b>1</b>	.1	0	0	6	4	6	3	2	3	3	1	
60	0	0	33	43	20	28	34	38	30	26	41	39	45	34	43	30	69	33	17	25	86	37	
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	4	7	3	3	4	5	2	
20	1	50	26	34	26	36	36	40	39	34	28	26	46	35	30	21	56	27	9	13	56	24	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	1	
20	0	0	6	8	18	25	5	6	35	31	5	5	22	17	15	11	30	14	4	6	21	9	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· <u>· · · · ·</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	' O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.0	ò	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2		77		72		89		114		106		131		141		208		<b>6</b> 9		231		

the University of Kansas study was approximately one-half the original percentage, and the increase in the Eastern Illinois University study was about one-third the original percentage.

÷

Tables 23 and 24, pages 55 and 56, indicate the number and per cent of students receiving credit in specific science subjects.

The percentage of students presenting credit in general science increased slightly--from 56% in 1956 to 59% in 1962 in the University of Kansas study. In the Eastern Illinois University study the percentage decreased from 82% to 67%.

The greatest increase in the University of Kansas study was in chemistry. The percentage receiving credit in it increased from 55% in 1956 to 73% in 1962. The second largest increase was in the percentage of students receiving credit in biology. The increase was from 71% in 1956 to 86% in 1962.

The subject showing the greatest increase in the Eastern Illinois University study was biology which showed an increase in percentage of students receiving credit from 71% of the 1956 sample group to 86% in the 1962 group. Chemistry was second in increase with a change from 37% to 59%. The increase in chemistry was 2% for each 7% in the University of Kansas study. In the Eastern Illinois University study, the increase was 3% for each 5%.

The general ranking of subjects by popularity in 1955 differed in the two studies. The University of Kansas study indicated the following ranking: biology, general science,

٠

Number of 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas Who Presented Units of Credit in Various Science Areas

	М	EN				VOMEN					TOTAL					ļ
1956 1962	263 high scho 250 high scho	ols			•	263 high schoo 250 high schoo	ls ls				263 high scho 250 high scho	ols				
1956 1962	71.1 men stude 801 men stude	ents ents				413 women stud 583 women stud	ents lents	,			1,124 student 1,384 student	.s .s				Kan
		197	5	196	2		195	6	196	2		295	16	195	2	sils
	Curae	tio.	Ģ	Ц÷.	ζ.	Course	No.	¢.	No.	¢,	Course	Iv.	4	100	d,	Stu
	Biology	1;69	65%	670	846	Biolegy	331	80p	524	90%	¥ioîog∕	800	71\$	.1194	865	die
	Physics	li55	6 <u>4</u> %	549	687	General Science	202	1695	286	49%	General Science	623	56%	812	5 <i>5%</i>	s in
	Chemistry	434	61%	639	80%	Chemistry	.181	444	368	63¢	Chemistry	615	55%	1007	73\$	E.
	General Science	426	60%	526	667	Physics	45	11%	118	20%	Physics	500	45%	667	48%	luci
	Physiology	27	好	2		Pnysiology	31.	<b>8</b> %	3		Physiology	58	5%	5		atio
	Botany	11	2p	0		Botany	10	2%	_0		Botany	21.	2%	0		2
	Zoology	J_		0		Astronomy	l		0		Astronom	1		0		
	Astronomy	0		0		Geology	1		0		Geology	1		0		
	Geology	0		: 0		Zcology	0		0		Zoology	1		0		
	Senior Science	0		1		Senior Science	or Science 0		0		Senior Science	0		1		
	No Science	8,	1,5	۲	1%	No Science	9	25	8	ı¢	No Science	17	2%	15	1\$	

University

of

Kansas

Study

92

22

**ა** ა

MEN   WOMEN   TOTAL     1956   1962   1956   1962   1956   1962     NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PERCENT   th
MEN   WOMEN   TOTAL     1956   1962   1956   1952   1956   1962     NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT
MEN   WOMEN   TOTAL     1956   1962   1956   1962   1956   1962   1956   1962     NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO
MEN   WOMEN   TOTAL     1956   1962   1956   1962   1956   1962   1956   1962     NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO
1956 1962 1956 1952 1956 1962 1956 1962   No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT No. PER CENT
NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT   NO. PER CENT<
BIOLOGY 207 71 328 83 139 71 327 89 346 91 655 86   PHYSICS 93 32 186 47 12 6 39 11 105 22 225 36   CHEMISTRY 120 41 273 70 62 32 176 49 182 37 449 59   GENERAL SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 67   PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
BIOLOGY 207 71 328 83 139 71 327 89 346 91 655 80   PHYSICS 93 32 186 47 12 6 39 11 105 22 225 30   CHEMISTRY 120 41 273 70 62 32 176 49 182 37 449 59   GENERAL SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 67   PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
PHYSICS 93 32 186 47 12 6 39 11 105 22 225 30   CHEMISTRY 120 41 273 70 62 32 176 49 182 37 449 59   GENERAL SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 67   PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
CHEMISTRY 120 41 273' 70 62 32 176 49 182 37 449 59   GENERAL SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 67   PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
GENERAL SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 67 PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
SCIENCE 246 85 279 71 151 77 232 63 397 82 511 61   PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
PHYSIOLOGY 6 3 4 1 8 4 13 4 17 3 17 2
OTHER 2 1 12 3 1 1 2 1 3 1 14 2

	V	ARIOUS	SCIENCE	AREAS	3				
							. ,	•	•
			· ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>
					WOMEN		то	TAL	
1	962		1956		1962		1956	. 1	962
NO. F	PER CENT	NO.	PER CENT	N0.	PER CENT	NO •	PERCENT	NO. F	PER CENT
328	83	139	71	327	89	346	<b>9</b> 1	655	86
186	47	12	6	39	1 1	105	12	225	30
273	<b>7</b> 0	62	32	176	49 <sup>j</sup>	182	37	449	59
279	71	151	77	232	63	397	82	511	67
4	1	8	4	13	4	17	3	17	2
12	3	1	1	2	1	• 3	1	14	2
					· ·				
····			· ·						

chemistry, physics, and physiology. The Eastern Illinois University study ranking was as follows: general science, biology, chemistry, physics, and physiology.

The ranking of subjects for 1962 in the University of Kansas study was: biology, chemistry, physics, general science, and physiology. In the Eastern Illinois University study, the 1962 ranking was: biology, general science, chemistry, physics, and physiology.

There was no table of science combinations taken by students available for the total sample group of the University of Kansas study, but the following information was derived from the tables of science combinations for men and the table for women.

In 1956, general science and biology was the most popular combination. Biology alone ranked next. The combination which was second in popularity was physicschemistry-general science.

Table 25, page 58, indicates that in the Eastern Illinois University study, general science and biology was also the most popular combination in Illinois in 1956. General science-biology-chemistry was the most popular three-course combination.

In 1962, the combination elected by the largest percentage of the Kansas group was general science, biology, and chemistry. Biology-chemistry and biology-chemistryphysics were close together in second place.

			UNI	TS OF	CRED	IT IN	MIGN	SCHU	<b>u</b>	• ··· • • ···					<u> </u>
			0		1	1	2	2	3	(*)	4	4	5	5	6
				1/2		1/2		1/2		172		1/2		1/2	
	GENERAL SCIENCE/BIOLOGY	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	35	0	0	0	0
	CHEMISTRY, AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	3	:14	0	0	0	0
	FIGLOGY . CHEMISTRY	1956	0	0	O	0	0	0		ē	0	0	0	0	0
	AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	0	1	40	2	4	0	1	0	0
	GENERAL SCIENCE.	1956	0	0	0	0	0	1	80	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BIOLOGY, AND CHEMISTRY	1962	0	0	0	0	4	0	26	1	4	0	0	0	0
	DENERAL SCIENCE.	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
	THEMISTRY. AND PHYSICS	1962	Ũ	С	0	0	0	0	20	0	1	0	0	0	0
	GENERAL SCIENCE AND	1956	0	0	0	2	97	З	1	5	0	0	0	0	0
	BIOLOGY	1962	0	0	0	10	71	2	64	0	0	0	0	0	0
	COLOGY AND CHEMISTRY	1956	0	0	0	1	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	0	0	3	44	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HENERAL SCIENCE.	1956	С	0	0	0	0	4	<u> </u>	1	0	0	0	्र	0
	BIOLOGY, AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	6	79	r	<u>_</u>	Э	0	0	0	0	0
	LOLOGY ONLY	1956	C	0	32	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	0	125	0	6	C	2	С	0	0	0	0	0
	CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS	1956	0	0	0	Ö	8	0	0	Э	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	0	0	3	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	VICTOGY AND PHYSICS	1956	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
		1962	0	0	0	3	1	Ċ.	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
	THAL BOITNCE AND	1956	0	0	0	4	25	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0
	CHEMISTRY	1962	0	0	1	12	26	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
	HARRING ONLU	1956	0	Õ	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	0	3	0	0	0	<u> </u>	C	0	0	0	0	0
	TEMEDAL SCIENCE AND	1956	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	23	0	<u>n</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0
	THE COLIENCE	1956	15	С	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q
-	CHETRY ONLY	1956	0	0	3	0	0	0	े	0	е	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	STARLAL SCIENCE ONLY	1956 '	0	1	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1962	0	1	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0
	CHEMISTRY.	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u></u>	0	0	0	0	0	0
	AND PHYSIOLOGY	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	•	1	0	0	0	O
		<u> </u>													
	T 2 - T A I	1054	15	<u>,</u>	104		190				35				<del></del>
	I U I AL	1900	10	1	104	) جرج	100	a a	4 4 75	• •	00 125	0	1	0	õ
		1902			100	.37	203	0		ە د	120				
	PFR CENT	1956	3		21	2	37	2	<u>~</u> 7		7				
	OF GRAND TOTAL	1962	<u>-</u>		22	<u> </u>	37	<u> </u>	16	1	16				
	OF GRAND TOTAL	∎ 200 <i>€</i>	*		4 4. <del></del>	~/		•		•	- 0		20	17 (18 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19 and 19	ga. A second
		UNI	TS OF	CRED	DIT IN	HIGH	SCHU	JL 5-	ما ب الا <sup>ر</sup> مار الا						
---------------------------------------	--------	-----	-------	------	----------	------	----------	----------	-------------------------------	-----	----------	--------	----------	------------	---------
		0	1/2	1	1 1/2	2	2 172	ξ.1	3 172	4	4 1/2	5	5 1/2	6	τότα
GENERAL SCIENCE/HIOLOGY	1956	0	0	0	0	0	О	0	1	35	0	0	0	0	3
CHEMISTRY, AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	С	3	114	0	0	0	0	11
BIOLOGY, CHEMISTRY	1956	0	0	0	0	0	, O	Э	С	0	0	0	0	0	
AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	0	1	40	2	4	0	1	0	Ö	5
GENERAL SCIENCE.	1956	0	0	0	0	0	1	80	U U	0	0	0	0	0	8
BIOLOGY, AND CHEMISTRY	1962	0	0	0	0	4	0	26	1	4	0	0	0	0	З
GENERAL SCIENCE,	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHEMISTRY, AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	1	0	0	0	· • • • •	2
GENERAL SCIENCE AND	1956	0	0	0	2	97	3	1	õ	0	0	0	0	0	10
BIOLOGY	1962	0	0	0	10	71	2	4	C	0	0	0	0	0	ε
BIOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY	1956	0	0	0	1	18	0	2	Ĉ	С	0	0	0	0	2
	1962	0	0	0	3	44	2	ę.	Ç.	0	0	0	0	0	5
GENERAL SCIENCE.	1956	0	0	0	0	0	4	23	:	0	0	0	Q	0	2
BIOLOGY, AND PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	6	79	3	£	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
BIOLOGY ONLY	1956	0	0	32	0	1	1	<u>с</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
•	1962	0	0	125	0	6	C		О	0	0	0	0	0	. 13
CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS	1956	0	0	0	0	8	0	Ó	С.	0	0	0	0	0	
	1962	0	0	0	З	28	0	1	0	С	0	0	Ο.	0	· 3
BIOLOGY AND PHYSICS	1956	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1962	0	0	0	3	1	0	:	4	0	0	0	0	0	
GENERAL SCIENCE AND	1956	0	0	0	4	25	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	0	2
CHEMISTRY	1962	0	0	1	12	26	0	4		1	0	0	0	0	4
PHYSICS ONLU	1956 ;	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	ō	
	1962	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	Ó	
GENERAL SCIENCE AND	1956	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	С	0	0	ō	0	2
PHYSICS	1962	0	0	0	0	23	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	О	0	0	0	2
NO SCIENCE	1956	15	0	0	0	0	С	0	Ō	0	0	0	- 0	0	1
	1962	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	਼	0	0	0	0	0	
CHEMISTRY ONLY	1956	0	0	3	Ō	0	0	0	0	e	0	o	0	0	
	1962	0;	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_ 🔍	
GENERAL SCIENCE ONLY	1956	0.	1	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	. 0	6
	1962	0	1	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	<u>.</u> 0	3
BIOLOGY, CHEMISTRY,	1956	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PHYSICS, AND PHYSIOLOGY	1962	0	0	0	0	0	0	f ,	!	1	0	0	0	0	
ΤΟΤΑΙ	1956	15	1	104	7	180		1 2	с.	34	0	: 0	0	<u>-</u>	<u></u>
	1962	9	1	165	37	283	, A	110	• •	125	0	1	õ	õ	75
	1054									· ·					
PER CENT	1956			21	2	37	2			7					
OF GRAND TOTAL	1962	1		22	5	37	1	15	1	16					

- **1** 

$\sim \sim$			<u> </u>	1100						<u> </u>					
Þ		1	1	2	2	3	З	4	4	5	5	6	TOTAL	PER	
	1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2		1/2		`	CEN	Г
b -	0	0	0	0	Û	С	1	35	0	0	0	Ō	36	7	
p	0	0	0	0	0	С	Ē	114	0	0	0.	0	117	15	
D	О	0	O	0	0	3	С	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	
p _	0	0	0	0	1	49	2	4	0	1	0	0	57	8	
D	0	0	0	0	1	80	C	0	0	0	0	0	81	17	
0	0	0	0	4	0	26	1	4	0	0	Q	0	35	5	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1 🖓	C	0	0	ō		<u>o</u>	19	4	
<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	1	0	0	0	O	21	3	
0	0	0	2	97	3	1	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	103	21	
C	0	0	10	71	2	4	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	87	11	_
0	0	0	1	18	0	1	С	C	0	0	0	. 0	20	4	
C.	0	0	3	44	2	8		0	0	0	0	0	57	8	
C	0	0	0	0	4	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	- 28	6	
0	0	0	6	79	3	<u> </u>	O	0	0	0	0	0	93	12	
О	0	32	O	1	1	.)	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	34	7	
<u>(</u> )	0	125	0	6	Ċ		<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	131	17	
0	0	C	Ú	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	- 2	
O	0	0	3	28	0	1	0	С	0	0	0.	0	32	4	
0	0	С	Ç	10	0	0	0	0	0	0-		-0-	10	-2-	
Çi .	<u>೧</u>	0	3	1	Ċ.	:	4	0	0	0	0	0	_≻ <b>9</b>	1	-
Ċ.	O.	0	4	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	29	6	
Q	0	1	12	26	0			1	0	0	0	<b>O</b>	44	6	14 A.
Ċ)	Ũ	3	С	0	0	0	С	,O	0	0	0	0	3	1	
0	O	3	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,	3	0	
Ó	Ō.	0	0	21	0	<u></u>	C	0	0	0	0	0	21	4	
() -	0	0	0	23	0	َن	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	23	3	
£:,	0	0	0	Ó	С	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	-3	
9	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	
0	Ō	3	0	0	0	0	0	С	0	0	0	0	3	1	
<u>()</u>	<u> </u>	วิ	С	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	5	1	
Cr T	1	66	O	0	0	0	0	0	• 0	0	0	0	- 67	14	
O .	1	31	0	1	0	C .	0	0	0	0		0	33	4	
()	0	0	0	()	0	0	0	,O	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Q	O	0	0	0	0	3	1	. 1	0	0	0	0	3	0	
<u>с</u>		104	~7	180	0	17212		15	0	~~~				<u> </u>	
a a	1	165	1	283	9 0	1.7.	• •	່ 30 105	0	,	. 0	0	760	<u> </u>	· · · ·
/	1	100	۱ د.	203	Ċ	• • *	م ن	140	0	ł	0	0		1.1	1.5 Mar 1.5
3		21	2	37	2	27		7						· · ·	
1		22	5	37	1	16	1	16		·				<del>بر مدیر برد.</del> در ایر د	
		_	-		_										1. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

The 1962 part of the Eastern Illinois University study indicated that physics was included in all combinations which ranked high in popularity. The general science-biologychemistry-physics combination was the most popular. All courses but general science and physiology showed an increase.

The trend in science seems to be toward the traditional four-year sequence in Illinois. A definite trend toward taking more science was indicated in both studies.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study was a comparison of "Let's Look at the Record Again!"1 and "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of 1955 Freshmen and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University."2

The purpose of the study was to investigate the possibility of a non-local trend toward one of the three "roads" of Mathewson in the secondary school curriculum of the "nited States. The sampling used in the two studies which were compared was limited so caution must be used in attributing any indicated trend to the nation as a whole.

#### English

A definite increase in the units of English and related courses presented by incoming freshmen between the years of 1955 and 1952 was indicated. The increase was approximately the same at both universities. An almost equal decrease in the number of students presenting units in English alone in both studies seemed to reflect a tendency in high schools to assign titles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>George B. Smith, "Let's Look at the Becord Again!--The High School Freparation of 1,124 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1956 Compared with the High School Preparation of 1,394 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1962" (Lawrence, Kansas, 1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Donn Hammer, "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of 1956 Freshmen and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University" (Charleston, Illinois, 1954).

other than English to some of the courses offered in that department. There was a slight increase in the number of students with more than four units of English only, but it was not as great as the increase--approximately the same at both institutions--in the number of students having more than four units of English and related subjects.

The percentage of students with four or more units of English combinations increased in both studies in relation to the size of high school. This would seem to indicate that the larger schools had more course offerings.

In all categories, regardless of the size of the high school, increased interest in English was indicated.

## Foreign Languages

Increased interest in foreign languages was indicated by the sharp decrease, which was proportionately the same in both studies, in the percentage of students having no foreign language as well as by an over-all increase in students having two or more units in it. The increase in the percentage of students with two or more units in foreign language was greater in the Eastern Illinois University study.

The same relationship between the percentage of students with more foreign language and the size of high school existed as that found with English. This, again, would indicate that the larger schools probably offered a wider selection of courses.

Only a small percentage of students in either study received credit for more than two years of one foreign

language. The trend was more toward taking two or more foreign languages rather than toward a longer period with one language.

Latin, the traditional language, was shown to retain its popularity at both institutions. Spanish was a close rival.

#### Mathematics

The percentage of students taking three or more courses in mathematics increased significantly at both universities though the Eastern Illinois University increase was proportionately greater. There was an increase, also, in the percentage of students with four or more units in mathematics. The proportionate increase at Eastern Illinois University was twice the original percentage, and the increase at the University of Kansas was half the original percentage.

No definite relationship between the percentage of students with three or more units and the size of high school was indicated in either study in 1962, though the University of Kansas study indicated a relationship in 1956.

Trigonometry, geometry, and the other traditional mathematics courses gained in popularity, and the less traditional general mathematics declined in popularity in both studies.

In mathematics as well as in English and foreign languages, a decided increase in interest was indicated.

#### Science

An increase in the percentage of students with three or more and four or more units in science was shown. The proportionate increases were about the same at both universities. Physics showed the greatest increase. Both studies indicated a decrease in the percentage of students presenting credit in physiology and general science.

No relationship with the size of high school was indicated in either year or in either study.

The trend was definitely toward taking more courses in science. A slight trend toward the traditional four-year sequence of general-science-biology-chemistry-physics was indicated in both studies.

### Recommendations

With the increased emphasis upon the four traditional subject areas of English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science, a trend toward the academic-intellectual "road" described by Mathewson (discussed in Chapter I) would seem to be indicated. This would be supported by the fact that the specific subjects that showed the greatest increases in percentage of students receiving credit are the more traditional subjects--trigonometry, geometry, and physics. The less traditional subjects of general mathematics and general science showed a decrease in popularity.

To determine whether the trend toward the traditional curriculum can be attributed to the nation as a whole, more

studies are needed. The writer recommends that:

1) studies be conducted in other geographic areas of the United States.

2) studies involving high school graduates who did not enter college be conducted and used for a comparison of the trends in college preparatory and in general curricula.

3) studies be conducted to determine trends in subject areas other than the four traditional ones of English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science.

4) studies of 1964 freshmen be conducted in order to determine whether the trend is still the same and its relative strength.

This study is but one answer to George B. Smith's expressed hope that "other studies of a comparable nature may soon be completed in other states,"<sup>1</sup> but it is not a complete answer. More and varied studies, as indicated previously, are needed before the full value of this study and of the two studies compared in this one may be gained.

lGeorge B. Smith, "Let's Look at the Record Again!--The High School Preparation of 1,124 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1956 Compared with the High School Preparation of 1,384 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1962" (Lawrence, Kansas, 1963), p. 31.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bartky, John A. SOCIAL ISSUES IN PUBLIC EDUCATION. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1963.
- Brameld, Theodore. PATTERNS OF EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY. Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York: World Book Co., 1950.
- Conant, James B. THE AMERICAN HIGH SCHOOL TODAY. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1959.

. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EDUCATION IN THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL YEARS. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational Testing Service, 1960.

- EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY BULLETIN--1962 CATALOG. Charleston, Illinois: Eastern Illinois University, 1962.
- Hammer, Donn. "A Comparison of the High School Preparation of 1956 Freshmen and 1962 Freshmen at Eastern Illinois University." Charleston, Illinois, 1964. (Typewritten.)
- Hatch, Raymond and Stefflre, Buford. ADMINISTRATION OF GUIDANCE SERVICES. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1958.
- Mathewson, Robert Hendry. A STRATEGY FOR AMERICAN EDUCATION. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1957.
- Molnar, Thomas. THE FUTURE OF EDUCATION. New York City: Fleet Publishing Co., 1961.
- Rickover, H. G. AMERICAN EDUCATION--A NATIONAL FAILURE. New York City: E. P. Dutton and Co., Inc., 1963.
- Smith, George B. "Let's Look at the Record Again!--The High School Preparation of 1,124 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1956 Compared with the High School Preparation of 1,384 University of Kansas Freshmen in September 1962" ("Kansas Studies in Education," Vol. 14, No. 1). Lawrence, Kansas: University of Kansas Publications, September, 1963.
- Thayer, V. T. THE ROLE OF THE SCHOOL IN AMERICAN SOCIETY. New York: Dodd, Mead, and Co., 1960.
- Titus, Harold H. LIVING ISSUES IN PHILOSOPHY. New York: American Book Co., 1946.

APPENDIX

## SUMMARY TABLE A

Comparison of Units (Years) of High School Subject Credit Presented by 1,124 Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and 1,384 in . September 1962 from Kansas High Schools to The University of Kansas by Size of High School

									•						
	Size	Over	250 studen	ts	15	0 - 249 stu	dents	. 70	- 149 stude	ents	Below 70	students	G		
	Sex	MEN	WOMEN	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	TOTAL	MERK	NOMEN	TOTAL	MEN WOMEN	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	TOTAL
	Year	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1950 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962 1956 196	2 1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962	1956 1962
	Julenia	1.03 500	000 N70	907 1106	44 <b>4</b> 4	قم قر	109 115	બ્રેમ 82	42 51	136 133	53 20 19 1	0 72 30	711 .801	413 583	1124 1334
English Combinations	4 or more 5 or more	68% 93% 19% 23%	81% 96% 30% 36%	73% 94% 24% 29%	59% 95% 14% 39%	81 <b>%</b> 96% 33% 31%	68% 96% 21% 36%	52 <b>5 855</b> 4 <b>5 175</b>	60% 94% 10% 29%	545 895 66 225	40% 80% 100% 100 8% 5% 10	14 14 16 15 17 15	63% 92% 16% 24%	7816 9616 2715 3515	69% 94% 20% 28%
Foreign Languages	None 1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	43% 16% 56% 83% 35% 63% 4% 25% .4% 10%	19% 9% 81% 91% 55% 76% 18% 44% 7% 21%	34% 13% 65% 86% 43% 69% 10% 33% 3% 15%	775 385 235 625 95 295 35	56% 14% 44% 84% 16% 53% 20% 4%	69% 28% 31% 71% 12% 39% 10% 2%	835 555 175 455 65 185 15 15	765 375 245 575 25 25 25 4 25 4 25 4 25 4 25 4 25 4 25	815 505 197 505 57 215 35 15	835 705 795 60 175 235 215 40 85 155 115 1	* 82\$ 67\$ * 18\$ 30\$ * 8\$ 13\$	555 235 455 765 275 545 35 215 .45 85	315 135 695 865 445 695 145 385 5 175	465 195 545 805 335 605 75 285 25 125
Mathematics	2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	96% 97% 77% 86% 36% 46%	67 <b>\$</b> 94 <b>\$</b> 30 <b>\$</b> 54 <b>\$</b> 6 <b>\$</b> 12 <b>\$</b>	93 <b>1</b> 96 <b>1</b> 597 737 247 321	100% 92% 70% 80% 3% 47%	93% 94% 21% 59% 20%	9 <b>1%</b> 93% 51% 71% 4% 36%	84 \$ 100\$ 56\$ 83\$ 15\$ 40\$	88% 94% 33% 59% 2% 8%	85% 98% 49% 74% 11% 28%	93\$ 200\$ 74\$ 90 66\$ 85\$ 21\$ 50 11\$ 40\$ 5\$	885 97 545 735 - 105 275	944 974 735 855 295 455	875 945 295 555 55 125	92 <b>1 961</b> 571 731 201 321
Sciences	2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	80% 91% 50% 69% 16% 30%	57% 75% 20% 33% 3% 8%	71% 84% 39% 54% 11% 21%	835 955 535 825 185 535	635 865 145 495 75 125	75 <b>%</b> 91% 38% 68% 13% 36%	85% 96% 50% 83% 14% 36%	765 905 335 635 25 165	825 945 455 755 105 295	94% 90% 89% 90 49% 75% 5% 60 9% 30% 10	* 935 905 305 735 * 75 23	825 925 505 725 155 325	615 775 205 385 35 95	74% 86% 39% 57% 11% 23%

• Four or more units (years) of high school credit. •• English combinations include English solely or English plus Debate, Speech, Dramatics and/or Journalism.

## Table 26

## SUMMARY TABLE

## Comparison of Units (Years) of High School Subject Credit Presented by Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and September 1962 from Illinois High Schools to Eastern Illinois University by Size of High School

	Size Over 1000 students								500-999 students							250-499 students					
	Sex	MEN	1	WOMEN		TO	<b>LAT</b>	MI	IN	WOMEN		TOTAL		MEN		WOMEN		TOTAL			
	Year	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962		
	Students	50	101	19	131	69	232	82	108	59	100	41	208	61	75	45	56	106	131		
English Combinations	3 or more 4 or more 5 or more	100% 44% 4%	100% 94% <u>4%</u>	100% 74% 27%	100% 93% 25%	100% 52% <u>10%</u>	100% 92% <u>18%</u>	98% 73% 9%	100% 96% 21%	100% 92% 19%	100% 96% 29%	99% 82% 14%	100% 96% 25%	100% 83% 5%	100% 93% 16%	99% 84% 22%	99% 94% 23%	100% 83% 13%	100% 94% <u>19%</u>		
Foreign Languages	None 1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	78% 32% 12% 2% 0%	28% 61% 51% 13% 6%	26% 73% 47% 10% 0%	9% 90% 79% 30% 15%	64% 34% 21% 1% 0%	17% 82% 67% 23% 1 <u>1%</u>	65% 34% 16% 1% 0%	35% 64% 48% 6% 2%	46% 54% 40% 6% 3%	12%= 88% 80% 9% 7%	57% 41% 26% 3% <u>1%</u>	24% 75% 62% 6 <sup>%</sup> 4%	69% 42% 21% 0% 0%	32% 57% 49% 4% 4%	44% 55% 35% 2% 0%	16% 83% 68% 18% 4%	58% 41% 27% 1% 0%	25% 74% 56% 10% 4%		
Mathematics	1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	92% 60% 32% 14%	100% 96% 67% <u>38%</u>	100% 48% 11% 0%	100% 93% 40% 9%	94% 57% 26% 10%	100% 94% 52% 22%	95% 63% 28% 21%	100% 89% 69% 31%	98% 61% 17% 2%	98% 78% 31% 5%	96% 62% 29% 1 <b>3</b> %	97% 82% 50% 18%	100% 71% 35% 18%	98% 91% 58% 34%	98% 74% 6% 0%	100% 84% 52% 14%	99% 72% 22% 10%	99% 89% 56% 26%		
Science	1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	92% 56% 26% 8%	97% 84% 46% 24%	96% 32% 5% 0%	99% 67% 27% 7%	93% 49% 20% 5%	97% 73% 34% 17%	96% 77% 46% 6%	97% 85% 59% 46%	91% 50% 11% 5%	99% 75% 27% 7%	94% 66% 32% 6%	97% 78% <del>=</del> 42% 31%	99% 61% 38% <u>8%</u>	98% 91% 62% 14%	99% 86% 24% 0%	100% 82% 39% 6%	99% 72% 32% 6%	99% 88% 53% <u>9%</u>		

Table 27

## SUMMARY TABLE (continued)

## Comparison of Units (Years) of High School Subject Credit Presented by Incoming Freshmen in September 1956 and September 1962 from Illinois High Schools to Eastern Illinois University by Size of High School

	Size		19	50 <b>-</b> 249	) stu	lents				70-149	) stu	dents			L	ess t	han 69	) stu	dents
	Sex	MEN		WOMEN I TOTAL			ME	N	WOMEN		TOTAL		MEN		WOMEN		TOTAL		
	Year	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962
	Students	51	69	38	45	89	114	43	39	34	33	77	72	4	1	1	1	5	2
English Combinations	3 or more 4 or more 5 or more	100% 90% 4%	99% 92% 10%	100% 95% 0%	99% 95% 6%	100% 92% 2%	100% 94% 9%	100% 79% 9%	10 <b>0%</b> 98% 5%	100% 85% 0%	100% 100% 6%	100% 82% 0%	100% 99% 6%	100% 100% 0%	100% 100% 0%	100% 100°/ 0%	100% 100% 0%	100% 100% 0%	100% 100% 0%
Foreign L <b>a</b> nguages	None 1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	82% 26% 10% 0% 0%	55% 34% 26% 1% 0%	55% 45% 24% 3% 0%	16% 84% 57% 4% 2%	71% 29% 16% 1% 0%	39% 59% 39% 3% 1%	79% 12% 2% 0%	46% 59% 43% 5% 0%	65% 36% 15% 0% 0%	42% 57% 36% 0% 0%	73% 26% 8% 0% 0%	44% 56% 41% 3% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 100% 0% 0% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	80% 20% 0% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Mathematics	1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	98% 74% 36% 10%	99% 96% 67% 39%	92% 65% 18% 0%	100% 80% 36% 13%	96% 71% 28% 6%	99% 89% 54% 29%	97% 74% 46% 11%	100% 87% 69% 28%	97% 59% 24% 6%	97% 85% 24% 3%	96% 66% 35% 8%	98% 86% 49% 17%	100% 100% 75% 0%	100% 100% 100% 100%	0% 100°/ 0% 0%	100% 5 0% 0% 0%	100% 100% 60% 0%	100% 50% 50% 50%
Science	1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	100% 92% 55% <u>6%</u>	96% 90% 76% 46%	95% 79% 37% <u>5%</u>	100% 94% 47% 	97% 86% 47% <u>6%</u>	98% 92% 65% 31%	98% 91% 61% 14%	97% 92% 76% 38%	97% 77% 18% 	96% 87% 42% <u>9%</u>	98% 85% 42% 8%	97% 90% 61% 25%	100% 100% 50% 25%	100% 100% 100% 0%	100% 100% 0% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0%	100% 100% 40% 20%	100% 50% 50% 0%

Table 27 (continued)

# SUMMARY TABLE (continued)

				GRAN	ID TOTA	L	
		ME	N	WOM	IEN 🛛	TOT	AL
		1956	1962	1956	1962	1956	1962
English Combinations	3 or more 4 or more 5 or more	98% 74% 6%	100% 95% 14%	100% 88% 13%	100% 100% 21%	99% 80% 9%	100% 95% 18%
Foreign Languages	None 1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	74% 25% 13% 1% 0%	37% 61% 44% 6% 3%	49% 52% 32% 3% 1%	15% 84% 71% 16% 8%	64% 35% 21% 2% 0%	26% 72% 57% 11% 5%
Mathematics	l or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	92% 67% 37% 15%	100% 92% 66% 35%	96% 63% 14% 2%	99% 85% 37% 9%	96% 66% 28% 10%	98% 88% 52% 22%
Science	1 or more 2 or more 3 or more 4 or more	96% 76% 45% 11%	97% 62% 36% 27%	95% 62% 20% 2%	7 2% 5 0% 3 3% 6%	96% 74% 35% 8%	85% 54% 21% 17%
1	•	{				1	۱ ۲
TOTAL STUDEN	rs	291	393	196	366	487	759

Table 27 (continued)

**7**0

Ĺ