

**Eastern Illinois University**  
**The Keep**

---

Faculty Research and Creative Activity

Mathematics & Computer Science

---

September 1994

# Commutators as Powers in Free Products of Groups

Leo Comerford

*Eastern Illinois University, lpcomerford@eiu.edu*

Charles C. Edmunds

*MOUNT ST. VINCENT UNIVERSITY*

Gerhard Rosenberger

*UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://thekeep.eiu.edu/math\\_fac](http://thekeep.eiu.edu/math_fac)



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Comerford, Leo; Edmunds, Charles C.; and Rosenberger, Gerhard, "Commutators as Powers in Free Products of Groups" (1994).  
*Faculty Research and Creative Activity*. 3.  
[http://thekeep.eiu.edu/math\\_fac/3](http://thekeep.eiu.edu/math_fac/3)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics & Computer Science at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Research and Creative Activity by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact [tabruns@eiu.edu](mailto:tabruns@eiu.edu).

## COMMUTATORS AS POWERS IN FREE PRODUCTS OF GROUPS

LEO P. COMERFORD, JR., CHARLES C. EDMUNDS, AND GERHARD ROSENBERGER

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

**ABSTRACT.** The ways in which a nontrivial commutator can be a proper power in a free product of groups are identified.

It is well known that in a free group, a nontrivial commutator cannot be a proper power. This seems to have been noted first by Schützenberger [2]. It is, however, possible for a nontrivial commutator to be a proper power in a free product. Our aim in this paper is to determine the ways in which this can happen.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$ , the free product of nontrivial free factors  $G_i$ . If  $V, X, Y \in G$  and  $V^m = X^{-1}Y^{-1}XY = [X, Y]$  for some  $m \geq 2$ , then either

- (1.1)  $V \in W^{-1}G_iW$  for some  $W \in G$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $V^m$  is a commutator in  $W^{-1}G_iW$ ; or
- (1.2)  $m$  is even,  $V = AB$  with  $A^2 = B^2 = 1$ , and  $V^m = [A, B(AB)^{(m-2)/2}]$ ; or
- (1.3)  $m$  is odd,  $V = AC^{-1}AC$  with  $A^2 = 1$ , and  $V^m = [A, C(AC^{-1}AC)^{(m-1)/2}]$ ; or
- (1.4)  $m = 6$ ,  $V = AB$  with  $A^2 = B^3 = 1$ , and  $V^6 = [B^{-1}ABA, B(AB)^2]$ ; or
- (1.5)  $m = 3$ ,  $V = AB$  with  $A^3 = B^3 = 1$ , and  $V^3 = [BA^{-1}, BAB]$ ; or
- (1.6)  $m = 2$ ,  $V = AB$  with  $A^2 = 1$  and  $B^{-1} = C^{-1}BC$  for some  $C \in G$ , and  $V^2 = [C^{-1}A, B]$ ; or
- (1.7)  $m = 4$ ,  $V^2 = ABC$  with  $A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = 1$ , and  $V^4 = [BA, BC]$ .

We recall that in a free product every element of finite order lies in a conjugate of a free factor. Thus we have the following consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$ , where no  $G_i$  has elements of even order. If  $V, X, Y \in G$  and  $V^m = [X, Y]$  for some  $m \geq 2$ , then either  $V \in W^{-1}G_iW$  for some  $W \in G$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $V^m$  is a commutator in  $W^{-1}G_iW$  or  $m = 3$ ,  $V = AB$  for some  $A, B \in G$  with  $A^3 = B^3 = 1$ , and  $V^3 = [BA^2, BAB]$ .

Part (1.7) of Theorem 1 is somewhat unsatisfactory in that it describes the form of  $V^2$  rather than that of  $V$ . Among the ways in which an element  $V$  of a free product may have  $V^2 = ABC$  with  $A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = 1$  is  $V = DE$

---

Received by the editors December 6, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E06; Secondary 20F12.

© 1994 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/94 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

with  $D^2 = E^4 = 1$ , in which case  $V^2 = (D)(E^2)(E^{-1}DE)$ . Not every solution is of this form, as shown by  $G = \langle a, b ; a^2 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle * \langle c ; c^2 = 1 \rangle$  and  $V = acbcabc$ ; here  $V^2 = (acbca)(bcacb)(cab c)$ , a product of three elements of order two, but  $V$  is not a product of two elements of finite order. A classification of elements  $V$  satisfying the conditions of (1.7) has eluded us.

Relative to (1.6), we record the following well-known consequence of the Conjugacy Theorem for Free Products [1, Theorem IV.1.4].

**Lemma 3.** *If  $B$  is an element of a free product  $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$  and  $B^{-1} = C^{-1}BC$  for some  $C \in G$ , then either*

- (3.1)  $B \in W^{-1}G_iW$  for some  $W \in G$ ,  $i \in I$ , and there is a  $C \in W^{-1}G_iW$  such that  $B^{-1} = C^{-1}BC$  or
- (3.2)  $B = DE$  for some  $D, E \in G$  with  $D^2 = E^2 = 1$ .

Before proceeding with a proof of the theorem, we establish some notation and terminology for the free product  $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$ . Our usage is that of Lyndon and Schupp [1] unless otherwise noted. A product  $PQ$  of elements  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $G$  is *reduced* if one of  $P, Q$  is trivial or if the last letter of the normal form of  $P$  is not inverse to the first letter of the normal form of  $Q$ . The product  $PQ$  is *fully reduced* if  $P$  or  $Q$  is trivial or if the last letter of the normal form of  $P$  is from a free factor different from that of the first letter of the normal form of  $Q$ ; we sometimes denote this by writing  $P \cdot Q$ . These notions extend to products of more than two factors, with the understanding that the noncancellation conditions continue to apply after trivial factors have been deleted. Thus a product  $P_1 \cdots P_k$  is fully reduced if and only if  $|P_1 \cdots P_k| = \sum_{i=1}^k |P_i|$ , where  $||$  denotes free product length.

An element  $P$  of  $G$  is *cyclically reduced* if  $|P| \leq 1$  or the first and last letters of its normal form are not inverses and is *fully cyclically reduced* if  $|P| \leq 1$  or the first and last letters of its normal form lie in different free factors of  $G$ .

A key ingredient in our analysis will be the characterization by Wicks of the fully reduced forms of a commutator in a free product. The following is a restatement of Lemma 6 of [3].

**Lemma 4** (Wicks). *If  $U \in G = *_{i \in I} G_i$  is a commutator, either  $U \in W^{-1}G_iW$  for some  $W \in G$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $U$  is a commutator in  $W^{-1}G_iW$ , or some fully cyclically reduced conjugate of  $U$  has one of the following fully reduced forms:*

- (4.1)  $X^{-1}a_1Xa_2$  with  $X \neq 1$ ,  $a_1 \neq 1$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and  $a_1$  conjugate to  $a_2^{-1}$  in  $G_i$ ; or
- (4.2)  $X^{-1}a_1Y^{-1}a_2Xa_3Ya_4$  with  $X \neq 1$ ,  $Y \neq 1$ ,  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , and  $a_4a_3a_2a_1 = 1$ ; or
- (4.3)  $X^{-1}a_1Y^{-1}b_1Z^{-1}a_2Xb_2Ya_3Zb_3$  with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $a_3a_2a_1 = 1$ ,  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in G_j$  for some  $j \in I$  and  $b_3b_2b_1 = 1$ , and either not all of  $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3$  are in any one free factor of  $G$  or each of  $X, Y, Z$  is nontrivial.

As a final preliminary step, we examine the ways in which both an element and its inverse can occur as fully reduced subwords of a proper power in a free product.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that  $V$  is a fully cyclically reduced element of  $G = \ast_{i \in I} G_i$  with  $|V| \geq 2$ , that  $m \geq 1$ , and that, for some  $X, R, S, T \in G$ ,  $V^m = X^{-1} \cdot R = S \cdot X \cdot T$ . Then one of the following is true:

- (5.1)  $|X| \geq |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot B \cdot A$  and  $V = A \cdot B$  for some  $A, B, X_1$  with  $A^2 = B^2 = 1$ , and  $SX = V^n \cdot A$  for some  $n < m$ ; or
- (5.2)  $\frac{1}{2}|V| < |X| < |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  and  $V = X_3 \cdot X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2$  for some  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  with  $X_1^2 = X_3^2 = 1$ , and  $S = V^n \cdot X_3 \cdot X_2^{-1}$  for some  $n < m$ ; or
- (5.3)  $|X| < |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  and  $V = X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot T_1$  for some  $X_1, X_2, T_1$  with  $X_1^2 = 1$ , and  $S = V^n \cdot X_2^{-1}$  for some  $n < m$ ; or
- (5.4)  $|X| < |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  and  $V = X_2 \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot S_2 \cdot X_1$  for some  $X_1, X_2, S_2$  with  $X_2^2 = 1$ , and  $S = V^n \cdot X_2 \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot S_3$  for some  $n < m$ ; or
- (5.5)  $|X| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V| - 1$  and  $V = X^{-1} \cdot V_2 \cdot X \cdot V_3$  for some nontrivial  $V_2, V_3$  and  $S = V^n \cdot X^{-1} \cdot V_2$  for some  $n < m$ .

*Proof of Lemma 5.* If  $X$  is empty, clause (5.5) applies with  $V = V_2 \cdot V_3$  a fully reduced factorization of  $V$  such that  $S = V^n \cdot V_2$  for some  $n < m$ . We suppose, then, that  $X \neq 1$ .

If  $|X| \geq |V|$ , we factor  $V$  as  $A \cdot B$  so that  $SX = V^n \cdot A$  with  $|A| < |V|$ . It follows that  $X = X_1 \cdot B \cdot A$  for some  $X_1$ . But since  $X^{-1} = A^{-1} \cdot B^{-1} \cdot X_1^{-1}$  is an initial subword of  $V^m = (A \cdot B)^m$ ,  $A^{-1} = A$  and  $B^{-1} = B$ . This is the situation described in (5.1). We assume, henceforth, that  $|X| < |V|$ .

Let  $n$  be the largest integer such that  $|V^n| \leq |S|$ , and let  $S_1, V_1$  be such that  $S = V^n \cdot S_1$  and  $V = X^{-1} \cdot V_1$ . We cannot have  $|S_1| = |X|$  or  $|S_1| + |X| = |V|$ , for that would violate our hypotheses on the fully reduced factorizations of  $V^m$ .

Suppose that  $|S_1| < |X|$  and  $|S_1| + |X| > |V|$ . Then  $X$  factors as  $X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  with  $X^{-1} = S_1 \cdot X_1^{-1}$ ,  $V = S_1 \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2$ , and  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  nonempty. Now  $S_1 = X_3^{-1} \cdot X_2^{-1}$ , so  $V = X_3^{-1} \cdot X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2$ . But  $SX = V^{n+1} \cdot X_3$ , which implies that  $X_3^{-1} = X_3$ , and  $V = X_3^{-1} \cdot X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot V_1$ , which yields  $X_1^{-1} = X_1$ . This is the situation of (5.2), and we note that  $|V| < |S_1| + |X|$  and  $|S_1| < |X|$  imply that  $|V| < 2|X|$ .

Next suppose that  $|S_1| < |X|$  and  $|S_1| + |X| < |V|$ . Then  $X$  factors as  $X_1 \cdot X_2$  with  $S_1 = X_2^{-1}$  and  $V = S_1 \cdot X \cdot T_1$  for some  $T_1$ , so  $V = X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot T_1 = X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot V_1$ . It follows that  $X_1^{-1} = X_1$ , and we are in situation (5.3).

Now suppose that  $|S_1| > |X|$  and  $|S_1| + |X| > |V|$ . We factor  $X$  as  $X_1 \cdot X_2$  with  $V = S_1 \cdot X_1$  and factor  $S_1$  as  $X^{-1} \cdot S_3$ . Then  $V = X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot S_3 \cdot X_1$  and, since  $S \cdot X = V^{n+1} \cdot X_2$ ,  $X_2^{-1} = X_2$ ; this is (5.4).

Finally, suppose that  $|S_1| > |X|$  and  $|S_1| + |X| < |V|$ . In this case,  $S_1$  factors as  $X^{-1} \cdot V_2$  for some  $V_2$  and  $V = S_1 \cdot X \cdot V_3$  for some  $V_3$ . Then  $V = X^{-1} \cdot V_2 \cdot X \cdot V_3$ , where necessarily  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  are nonempty, and (5.5) applies.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Each of the forms specified for  $V$  (or, in (1.7),  $V^2$ ) in the conclusion of Theorem 1 is preserved if  $V$  is replaced by a conjugate of itself, so we lose no generality in assuming that  $V$  is fully cyclically reduced. If  $V \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , then Lemma 4 tells us that (1.1) holds. We suppose, then, that  $|V| \geq 2$ .

By Lemma 4, some fully cyclically reduced conjugate of  $V^m$  has the form specified in (4.1), (4.2), or (4.3). After again replacing  $V$  by a fully cyclically reduced conjugate and relabeling in (4.2) and (4.3) if necessary, we may assume that  $V^m$  has form (4.1), or form (4.2) with  $|X| \geq |Y|$ , or form (4.3) with  $|X| \geq |Y|$  and  $|X| \geq |Z|$ .

Let  $P = a_1$  and  $Q = a_2$  in form (4.1),  $P = a_1 Y^{-1} a_2$  and  $Q = a_3 Y a_4 = a_3 Y a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1}$  in form (4.2), and  $P = a_1 Y^{-1} b_1 Z^{-1} a_2$  and  $Q = b_2 Y a_3 Z b_3 = b_2 Y a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} Z b_1^{-1} b_2^{-1}$  in form (4.3). In each instance,  $V^m = X^{-1} \cdot P \cdot X \cdot Q$  and  $Q$  is conjugate to  $P^{-1}$  in  $G$ . Further,  $|P| = |Q| = 1$  in (4.1),  $|P| \leq |X| + 2$  and  $|Q| \leq |X| + 2$  in (4.2), and  $|P| \leq 2|X| + 3$  and  $|Q| \leq 2|X| + 3$  in (4.3). We proceed by cases according to which clause of the conclusion of Lemma 5 is satisfied, with  $R = PXQ$ ,  $S = X^{-1}P$ , and  $T = Q$ .

*Case (5.1).* Suppose that  $X = X_1 \cdot B \cdot A$  and  $V = A \cdot B$  for some  $X_1, A, B$  with  $A^2 = B^2 = 1$ , that  $X_1^{-1} P X_1 = (AB)^k A$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-3$ , and that  $Q = B(AB)^{m-k-3}$ .

If  $m$  is even, (1.2) is satisfied, while if  $m$  is odd,  $Q$  conjugate to  $P^{-1}$  implies that  $B$  is conjugate to  $A$  and (1.3) holds.

*Case (5.2).* Suppose that  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3$  and  $V = X_3 \cdot X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2$  for some  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  with  $X_1^2 = X_3^2 = 1$ , that  $P = X_2 X_3 X_2^{-1} (X_1 X_2 X_3 X_2^{-1})^k$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-3$ , and  $Q = X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2 (X_3 X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2)^{m-k-3}$ .

As in the previous case, (1.2) applies if  $m$  is even, and if  $m$  is odd,  $Q$  conjugate to  $P^{-1}$  implies that  $X_3$  is conjugate to  $X_1$  and (1.3) obtains.

*Case (5.3).* Suppose that  $|X| < |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  and  $V = X_2^{-1} \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot T_1$  for some  $X_1, X_2, T_1$  with  $X_1^2 = 1$ , and that  $P = X_2 T_1 X_2^{-1} (X_1 X_2 T_1 X_2^{-1})^k$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-2$ , and  $Q = T_1 (X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2 T_1)^{m-k-2}$ .

We first notice that since  $|P| \leq 2|X| + 3 \leq 2|V| + 1$  and  $|Q| \leq 2|X| + 3 \leq 2|V| + 1$ , we have  $m \leq 6$ . Now  $Q$  is conjugate to  $P^{-1}$ , so  $P$  and  $Q$  must have fully cyclically reduced conjugates of the same length. It is not hard to see that this implies that either  $k = m - k - 2$  or  $T_1^2 = 1$ . If  $T_1^2 = 1$ , we find as in previous cases that (1.2) applies if  $m$  is even and that (1.3) applies if  $m$  is odd. We suppose, then, that  $T_1^2 \neq 1$  and  $k = m - k - 2$ . The possibilities to consider are that  $m = 2$  and  $k = 0$ ,  $m = 4$  and  $k = 1$ , and  $m = 6$  and  $k = 2$ .

If  $m = 2$  and  $k = 0$ ,  $T_1$  is conjugate to  $T_1^{-1}$  and (1.6) holds.

If  $m = 4$  and  $k = 1$ ,  $Q = T_1 X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2 T_1$  and  $P = X_2 T_1 X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2 T_1 X_2^{-1}$ , a conjugate of  $Q$ . Now  $T_1^2 \neq 1$ , so  $Q$  is not in a conjugate of a free factor of  $G$ , but since  $Q$  is conjugate to  $P^{-1}$ ,  $Q$  is conjugate to  $Q^{-1}$ . By Lemma 3, then,  $Q = DE$  for some  $D, E$  with  $D^2 = E^2 = 1$ . But then  $V^2 = X_2^{-1} X_1 X_2 DE$ , and (1.7) applies.

Suppose, then, that  $m = 6$  and  $k = 2$ . We must have  $|X| = |V| - 1$  and  $|P| = |Q| = 2|V| + 1$ , so  $X_2$  is empty and  $T_1$  has length one. Let us write  $X_1 = C^{-1} \cdot a \cdot C$  with  $C \in G$  and  $a \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $a^2 = 1$  and  $T_1 = b \in G_j$  for some  $j \in I$  with  $b^2 \neq 1$ . We then have  $P = Q = b \cdot C^{-1} \cdot a \cdot C \cdot b \cdot C^{-1} \cdot a \cdot C \cdot b$ , so  $b^2 \cdot C^{-1} \cdot a \cdot C \cdot b \cdot C^{-1} \cdot a \cdot C$  is a fully cyclically reduced conjugate of  $P$  which, like  $P$ , is conjugate to its inverse. There must then be a factorization  $C_1 \cdot C_2$  of  $C$  such that one of the following

holds:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1} \\ = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 \\ = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-2}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1} \\ = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 \\ = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2. \end{aligned}$$

If (1) is true, a length comparison on the fully reduced products on the two sides shows that

$$C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1} = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2$$

and

$$C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1} = bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2.$$

The left sides of these two equations begin with the same normal form letter, so looking at the right sides we get  $b^2 = b$ , a contradiction. Similarly, (2) yields

$$C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2$$

and

$$C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 = bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2,$$

from which we get the contradiction  $b^2 = b$  if  $C_2$  is nonempty or the equation  $b^{-1} = b^2$  if  $C_2$  is empty. This last possibility corresponds to (1.4). If (3) holds, we get

$$C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1} = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2$$

and

$$C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1} = bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2.$$

As in (1), we derive the contradiction  $b^2 = b$ . Finally, if (4) is true,

$$C_2b^{-2}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 = b^2C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2$$

and

$$C_2b^{-1}C_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1 = bC_2^{-1}C_1^{-1}aC_1C_2.$$

This yields the contradictions  $b^{-1} = b$  if  $C_2$  is empty and  $b^2 = b$  if  $C_2$  is nonempty.

*Case (5.4).* Suppose that  $|X| < |V|$ ,  $X = X_1 \cdot X_2$  and  $V = X_2 \cdot X_1^{-1} \cdot S_2 \cdot X_1$  for some  $X_1, X_2, S_2$  with  $X_2^2 = 1$ , that  $P = S_2(X_1X_2X_1^{-1}S_2)^k$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-2$ , and  $Q = X_1^{-1}S_2X_1(X_2X_1^{-1}S_2X_1)^{m-k-2}$ .

Replacing  $V$  by its fully cyclically reduced conjugate  $X_1 X_2 X_1^{-1} S_2$  and changing notation reduces this to Case (5.3).

Case (5.5). Suppose that  $|X| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V| - 1$ ,  $V = X^{-1} \cdot V_2 \cdot X \cdot V_3$  for some  $V_2, V_3$ , that  $P = V_2(XV_3X^{-1}V_2)^k$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , and that  $Q = V_3(X^{-1}V_2XV_3)^{m-k-1}$ .

Since  $|P| \leq 2|X| + 3 \leq |V| + 1$  and  $|Q| \leq 2|X| + 3 \leq |V| + 1$ , we have  $m \leq 3$ . We first consider the case that  $m = 2$ . If  $k = 0$ ,  $Q = V_3X^{-1}V_2XV_3$  conjugate to  $P^{-1} = V_2^{-1}$  implies that  $V_3^2 = 1$  and  $V_2$  is conjugate to  $V_2^{-1}$ ; (1.6) applies. If  $k = 1$ ,  $P = V_2XV_3X^{-1}V_2$  is conjugate to  $Q^{-1} = V_3^{-1}$ , so  $V_2^2 = 1$ ,  $V_3$  is conjugate to  $V_3^{-1}$ , and again (1.6) applies.

Now suppose that  $m = 3$ . In this event, we must have  $|X| = \frac{1}{2}|V| - 1$  and  $|P| = |Q| = |V| + 1$ , so  $|V_2| = |V_3| = 1$ . Let us write  $V_2 = a \in G_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $V_3 = b \in G_j$  for some  $j \in I$ . Then since  $Q = b(X^{-1}aXb)^{2-k}$  is conjugate to  $P^{-1} = a^{-1}(Xb^{-1}X^{-1}a^{-1})^k$ , either  $a^2 = b^2 = 1$  and  $a$  is conjugate to  $b$ , as described in (1.3), or  $a^2 \neq 1$ ,  $b^2 \neq 1$ ,  $k = 1$ , and there is a factorization  $X_1 \cdot X_2$  of  $X$  such that one of the following holds:

$$(5) \quad X_2 b^{-1} X_2^{-1} X_1^{-1} a^{-2} X_1 = b^2 X_2^{-1} X_1^{-1} a X_1 X_2,$$

$$(6) \quad X_1^{-1} a^{-2} X_1 X_2 b^{-1} X_2^{-1} = b^2 X_2^{-1} X_1^{-1} a X_1 X_2.$$

If (5) is true, either  $X_2$  is empty and  $a^3 = b^3 = 1$  as in (1.5) or  $X_2$  is nonempty and  $X_2 b^{-1} = b^2 X_2^{-1}$ , so that  $X_2 = b^2 X_3$  and  $X_2^{-1} = X_3^{-1} b^{-1}$  for some  $X_3$ , producing the contradiction  $b^2 = b$ . If (6) is true,  $X_2^2 = 1$  and

$$X_1^{-1} a^{-2} X_1 X_2 b^{-1} = b^2 X_2^{-1} X_1^{-1} a X_1.$$

If  $X_1$  is nonempty,  $X_1 = X_4 b^{-1}$  and  $X_1^{-1} = b^2 X_4^{-1}$  for some  $X_4$ , whence  $b^{-1} = b^{-2}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $X_1$  is empty, and  $a^{-2} X_2 b^{-1} = b^2 X_2^{-1} a$  implies that  $b^{-1} = a$  and  $X_2 = X_2^{-1}$ . Thus  $V = XaXa^{-1}$  with  $X^2 = 1$ , and (1.3) applies.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1977.
2. Marcel Paul Schützenberger, *Sur l'équation  $a^{2+n} = b^{2+m}c^{2+p}$  dans un groupe libre*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **248** (1959), 2435–2436.
3. Malcolm J. Wicks, *Commutators in free products*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1962), 433–444.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS 61920  
E-mail address: cflpc@eiu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOUNT ST. VINCENT UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA B3M 2J6  
E-mail address: cedmunds@linden.msvu.ca

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, 4600 DORTMUND 50, GERMANY  
E-mail address: UMA004%DDOHRZ11.BITNET@vm.gmd.de