

CORTISOL, TESTOSTERONE AND MOOD STATE VARIATION DURING AN OFFICIAL FEMALE FOOTBALL COMPETITION

Casanova, N.^{1,7}; Palmeira-de-Oliveira, A.^{2,3}; Pereira, A.^{4,5}; Crisóstomo, L.^{2,3}, Travassos, B.^{5,6}, Costa, A. M. ^{3,5,6}

¹ Department of Sports, Polytechnic Institute of Guarda, Guarda, Portugal

² Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal

³ CICS-UBI Health Sciences Investigation Center, University of Beira Interior

⁴ Department of Science and Technology, Polytechnic Institute of Setubal, Portugal

⁵ Research Center for Sport, Health and Human Development (CIDESD), Portugal

⁶ Department of Sport Sciences, University of Beira Interior, Portugal

⁷ Research Unit for Inland Development, Polytechnic Institute of Guarda, Portugal

No conflict of interest.

No funding.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the women who participated in this study. We also thank the Portuguese Football Federation for authorization and technical support. This work was supported by the Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation (PEst-OE/EGE/UI4056/2014).

Corresponding author

Aldo M. Costa ✉

University of Beira Interior, Department of Sport Sciences

Rua Marquês d'Ávila e Bolama

6201-001 Covilhã, Portugal

Email: amcosta@ubi.pt

Abstract

Aim: Endogenous hormones are essential on the control of physiological reactions and adaptations during sport performance. This study aims to compare the mood state and the salivary levels of cortisol and testosterone during an official female association football tournament. **Methods:** Twenty female football players (22.85 ± 4.2 yrs) from the Portuguese women's national team were included in the study. Mood, salivary cortisol and testosterone levels were examined in five moments over the championship (M1, neutral measures; M2-M5, on every match day). Saliva samples were collected before breakfast and immediately after each match. Mood was measured by the profile of mood states questionnaire (POMS); hormone levels were measure by immunoassay methods. **Results:** Iceberg Profiles of POMS were observed during all the moments of evaluation (M2-M5), showing a decrease in vigor and an increase in tension and depression in both team defeats (M2 and M5). There is no relationship between the hormones levels and the outcome of the competition, once cortisol and testosterone decrease from pre-match to post-match in both wins (M2 and M5) and defeats (M3 and M4). For testosterone the observed decrease is significantly different ($p < 0.05$) before and after all matches. **Conclusion:** Our results show a pattern in mood states behavior. Cortisol and testosterone decrease after match and throughout the tournament, independently of the match outcome. The absence of hormone fluctuations related to competition performance points out that top-level professional football players training systematically and regularly seem to be very well adapted to competition stress effect.

Key Words: Female, Sports, Soccer, Testosterone, Cortisol

1. Introduction

In top-level sports, to achieve peak performance, it is required that an athlete keeps optimal capabilities during competition. Through training, the best load is selected to provide a stimulus for sport-specific adaptation that will result in improved athletic performance ¹. However, competition encounters usually causes stressful situations that may alter, for example, the physiological and psychological athlete's state in different ways ². Competition stress can be regarded as a complex psychophysiological process ³, attributing a significant degree of indeterminacy to the final outcome, particularly in team sports.

Over the years, some biomarkers have been used to measure and understand changes in physiological and psychological athlete's state. For instance, cortisol (C) is considered a stress response biomarker ⁴ due to its catabolic, anti-inflammatory and homeostatic function that influences the electrochemical and metabolic balance ⁵. This steroid hormone has a deep physiological and psychological effect during active stress responses, motivating human behavior toward or away from desirable and undesirable outcomes, respectively ⁶. While C is the main glucocorticoid hormone, testosterone (T) is considered the primary androgen, both with different but complementary biological functions. The main role of T is the development and regulation of male secondary sex characteristics and reproductive function, to stimulate nitrogen fixation and to increase protein synthesis in a wide variety of target tissues ⁷. Despite the gender difference in circulating levels ⁸, several studies ^{9,10}, have been showing that T seem to exert similar influences on social behaviors across sexes, particularly on behavioral aspects of dominance ¹¹.

The association between physiological and neuroendocrine stress during sports competition has been studied based on numerous variables namely the anticipatory effect of competition ¹², the effect of winning and losing experiences ^{13,14}, the effect of different pre-game motivational interventions ¹⁵ and even the opponent's psychological state ¹⁶. When the individual appraises the competition as important, controllable and depending on their effort, an active coping response pattern is more likely to develop. This pattern is characterized by T increase and sympathetic nervous system (SNS) activation, accompanied by positive mood changes. On the other hand, when the competition is considered threatening or out of control, a passive coping response pattern characterized by insufficient T, SNS activation and increase in serum C, affecting player's mood and satisfaction will

probably occur ². The importance of the competition (e.g. regional *versus* national level) seems also to be a differentiating factor in this relationship between hormonal and psychological responses to competitions in each sport ^{1,14,17}. However, as mentioned by Mazur and Booth ¹⁸, it seems relevant to assume that hormones can influence behavior but are also affected by behavior itself as well as several other environmental and biological parameters. It has been recently shown that C has a suppressing effect on T ¹⁹, by the indirect regulation of the expression of androgen receptors ¹¹. Indeed, the effects of T on dominance behavior will only be expressed when basal C levels are low ¹⁹. Based on this evidence, Lienen and Josephs ¹¹ suggested that an approach-motivated behavior (toward desirable outcomes) is a necessary condition to allow a T effect dominance type behavior, like the desire for status. This seems to be one pertinent reason for the absence, in some studies, of any T effect on human competitive behaviors ^{20,21}. In fact, the literature seem quite inconsistent, showing different hormonal patters in relation to sport competition depending on gender ^{22,23}, age ^{24,25} or prior competitive experience ²². Actually, high-level athletes seem to show some neuroendocrine habituation in the response to potentially stressful competitions, showing non-significant increments of salivary cortisol awakening response ¹². The discrepancy of results may also arise from increased mental control of the athletes in some sport fields ¹⁴. Indeed, Judo players have increased cortisol levels over the competition with no changes in testosterone levels ¹

The published data on this thematic is far from conclusive, as it is mostly conducted on males subjects. In addition, scarce data are available in the literature concerning the correspondence between psychological and neuroendocrine stress during competition among elite female athletes. It is also unclear what hormonal drift is expected over the course of one important championship, which generally consists of a number of stages over several days. Thus, the purpose of this study was to analyze the correspondence between psychological and neuroendocrine stress of elite female soccer players during a concentrated period of competition. For that, we monitor the mood state and the salivary-free T and C concentrations in women's national soccer team, over the first course (group stage) of a Women's World Cup Soccer Championship.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Subjects

Twenty female elite soccer players of the Portuguese national soccer team were recruited for this study. Athletes exhibited a mean age, body mass and height of 22.85 ± 4.2 years, 59.25 ± 4.58 kg, 170.2 ± 5.7 cm, respectively; a mean for the % of fat mass of 18.73 ± 3.07 and 48 ± 3.27 as mean value for the % of lean mass. All athletes had been competing regularly in different international teams, exhibiting, at the time of this study, a good overall performance.

Each subject had a full explanation about the protocols and signed an informed consent before starting the study. The experimental procedures were performed with ethics commission approval and in compliance with national legislation and the Code of Ethical Principles for Medical Research involving Human Subjects of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

2.2 Experimental procedures

This study was conducted during the group stage of the Women's World Cup Soccer Championship (Algarve Cup, 2012). At this stage of the competition (from February 26 until March 7, 2012), the Portuguese national team participated in four consecutive competitive matches involving the national teams of Hungary (29 of February, A_2 – loss match, 0-1), Wales (2 of March, A_3 – win match, 4-0), Ireland (5 of March A_4 – win match, 2-1) and China (7 of March A_5 – loss match, 0-1). Between competitive matches there were one or two interval days in which all the players participated in team training sessions of low volume and low intensity for recovering purposes and correction of strategic and tactical details. During the championship the players were not submitted to any type of mental training or strategies to self-regulate mood dimensions before and after each match.

The main variables measured in this study were free T and C responsiveness, performed in two or three saliva samples per match day (A_2 - A_5) and the mood state assessment questionnaire that was filled after each game. To control circadian fluctuations in hormone levels, three samples were collected during a neutral day (three days before the first match) to be used as baseline values (A_1): when getting up 30 minutes before breakfast (8 a.m.), before lunch (11 a.m.) and before dinner (18 p.m.). In A_2 , A_3 and A_4 was only possible to collect two saliva samples: before lunch (11 a.m.) and after each match (approximately at 18 p.m.). In A_5 the match occurred in the morning, thus the saliva samples were collected at getting up, before breakfast (8 a.m.) and immediately after the game (approximately at 13 p.m. for A_5).

The morning samples were collected when the athletes were in a period of rest/recovery, at the hotel. The post-match samples were collected after each match, moving the athletes to a quiet room at the playing venue. Excepted for the baseline measures (A_1), only the data from athletes that participated in the game (A_2 - A_5) were considered for analysis (POMS scores and hormonal measures concentrations).

2.3 Free hormone assessment

Saliva was used to measure T and C responses due to its ease of compliance, low invasiveness, and ability to track the biologically active “free” hormones. Using established procedures ²⁶, saliva was collected by passive drool into sterile containers, approximately two milliliters over a timed collection period of 2 min, and these were stored at $-30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until assay. After thawing and centrifugation (2000 rpm \times 10 min), samples were analyzed in duplicate for T and C using immunoassay methods, specifically the Salimetrics® for T (testosterone, Salimetrics Europe, UK) and the Elecsys Cobas® test for C (cortisol, Roche Diagnosis GmbH), following the manufacturers' guidelines. The minimum detection limit for the T assay was $<1\text{ pg/ml}$ with intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) of $<6.4\%$. Results were calculated using a 4-parameter sigmoid minus curve fit ($R^2 = 0.9983$) as proposed by the manufacturer, including assay controls. The C assay had a detection limit of $0.308\text{ }\mu\text{g/dL}$ with inter-assay CV of $<20\%$.

2.4 Psychometric assessment

Mood was measured using the POMS questionnaire ²⁷. We use the reduced version with 42 adjectives that was translated and validated for Portuguese the population ²⁸. This Portuguese version of the POMS provide valid and reliable measures of mood states in athletes and non-athletes ²⁸. Subjects were asked to reflect on their emotion over the day, using a likert-type scale with 5 points (from zero to four). Scores were then obtained according to six factors analytically derived mood states ²⁶: Tension (Ten), Depression (Dep), Anger (Ang), Vigor (Vig), Fatigue (Fat), and Confusion (Conf). POMS Total Mood Disturbance (TMD) was also calculated using the following formula ²⁷: $\text{TMD}=(\text{Ten}+\text{Dep}+\text{Ang}+\text{Fat}+\text{Con}+100-\text{Vig})$.

These outcomes were assessed in a neutral day (A_1) and after every match day (A_2 - A_5). The questionnaire was administered in A_1 to A_5 to all players approximately at 8 p.m. by the same trained interviewer. Athletes took between 5 to 10 min to complete it.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Standard statistical methods were used for the calculation of means and standard deviations. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality and Levine's test of homogeneity of variance were performed to assess the normality of the distribution. Non parametric statistical tests were used to analyse data when one or more of the underlying parametric test assumptions were violated. Friedman followed by Wilcoxon's signed-rank test were used as appropriate to determine the location of the significant differences. The Spearman rank correlation was used to test the relationship between the POMS scores and hormones measures concentrations after each match. Statistical significance for all analyses was as accepted at $p \leq 0.05$. Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows.

3. Results

3.1 Profile of mood state

The results of the profile of mood states obtained in all assessment moments (A_1 - A_5) are presented in table 1. One can note a typical iceberg profile, with a higher score in the *Vig* (positive subscale) and a lower score in the negatives subscales in every single assessed moments. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found over the tournament (A_2 - A_4) in *TMD* and in subscales *Ten*, *Dep*, *Ang* and *Vig*. It was also found significant differences in the some POMS scores between the following matches and baseline: *TMD* (A_2 , $p=0.011$), *Ang* (A_3 , $p=0.031$; A_4 , $p=0.041$) and *Vig* (A_2 , $p=0.006$; A_5 , $p=0.021$).

Insert table 1 here (Scores of profile of mood states (POMS) in all assessment moments through the competition)

3.2 Cortisol and testosterone concentrations

The mean values for C and T concentrations at baseline and over the group stage of the championship are presented in table 2. At baseline one can note a significant decrease in the concentration of both hormones (C and T) throughout the day ($p=0.000$). On match days, C levels did not change significantly from pre-match to post-match moment ($p>0.05$), excepted in the last match of the group stage (A_5) ($p=0.010$). Over the four matches, significant differences were found in C levels before matches ($p=0.003$) but not after matches ($p=0.131$) throughout the group stage. Comparison on the values before and after each game with baseline hormone levels (between equivalent ordinary hours during the day), revealed significant differences in the concentration of C after the first match (A_2 , $p=0.004$) and before and after the last match (A_5 , $p=0.001$, $p=0.0018$, respectively).

Regarding T concentrations on match days, it was observed that T levels significantly decreased ($p<0.05$) from pre-match to post-match in all considered games. Over the four matches, no differences were found in the T levels before ($p=0.315$) or after the matches ($p=0.532$) throughout the group stage. Comparison on the values before and after each game with baseline hormone levels revealed significant differences in the concentration of T levels before and after each match ($p<0.05$), excepted after the second match (A_3 , $p=0.675$).

Insert table 2 here (Free-salivary cortisol and testosterone levels at baseline and over the group stage of the championship)

The Spearman correlation coefficient was computed to examine the relationship between the POMS subscales, salivary cortisol and testosterone concentrations reported after the match. No significant correlations were found between hormones and Mood, apart from a negative correlation ($p\leq 0.05$) between cortisol and *Conf* in the win matches (A_3 , $r=-0.567$, $p=0.023$; A_4 , $r=-0.564$, $p=0.023$) and between cortisol and *Ten* in the last match (A_5 , $r=-0.547$, $p=0.037$).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the psychological and neuroendocrine stress of women's soccer players over consecutive matches during an official international championship.

Regarding the psychological evaluation, that was assessed by the profile of mood states questionnaire (POMS), the results showed a normal non-inverted “iceberg profile”, highlighting a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in *Vig* scores after loss matches and a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in *Ang* scores after win matches. In fact, the highest *Vig* score is coincident with the best team performance over the tournament (A_3 , win match, 4-0). It should also be noted that the loss in the first match (A_2) had a substantial impact on several negative subscales and therefore on total mood compared to baseline ($p < 0.05$). This defeat in the first match of the tournament seems to have induced a much more profound effect on mood than the defeat in the last game, which led to the discontinuance of the team in the tournament. In general, these findings are consistent with Morgan’s mental health model of performance^{29,30}, suggesting that the competition outcome significantly affect the mood states of elite female soccer players, being these results concordant with other female professional soccer teams^{1,13} and also in other sports fields such as rugby⁸. Studies conducted with male athletes showed the same psychological pattern, with negative mood in the losers, while winners showed a better appraisal of team performance³¹.

Concerning to the hormonal parameters, the baseline results showed a decrease in C and T levels from the morning to the evening, in accordance with the expected diurnal variation of these hormones as function of sleep-wake cycles^{32,33}. A similar hormonal pattern for C was generally obtained over the tournament - on match days, C levels tended to decrease, particularly in the last match (A_5). These declines on salivary C levels from pre-match to post-match seem to be inconsistent with other research findings. Indeed, other studies have shown a significant C increase after female competitive matches in rugby⁸, in basketball¹⁴ and also in soccer^{13,34}. Our results also shown that pre-match and post-match C levels are independent of the game outcome. Different and contradictory results were found in tennis players as after losing matches players revealed a C level peak²³ and in basketball, where the C levels increased after the game in both winners and losers¹⁴.

Mazur’s biosocial theory^{18,35} refers that T levels tend to rise after a successful dominance confrontation and decrease after losing dominance contest. This relationship between the T level and the players’ competing for status and victory has been reported by some authors^{13,23,34}. Our findings, as those of other studies^{1,14}, do not support such association.

Finally, our study also reveals a weak correlation between hormone levels and mood states in elite female soccer players during this type of competitive stress. In fact T and C responses did not show a significant relationship with mood changes, in accordance with others studies ^{1,31} .

There are many possible explanations for some of the literature inconsistencies and the divergence of part of our results with other studies. One particular cause for such conflicting results could be related to methodological differences between studies. The time lag between the end of the game and the saliva sampling would have been sufficient to allow for steroid changes in the blood to be reflected in saliva. However, in a study with rower players, which provided saliva samples 20 min and 40 min post-competition, the C and T levels began to decline in males by 40 min post-competition, but not in females ²² . Even so, this time lag is not always properly clarified among studies.

Another reason for some of the inconsistencies between our results and the literature could be related both to the importance of the competition and different levels of athlete's experience. None of the aforementioned studies included elite players or the assessment of psychological and hormonal levels over a competitive period with the characteristics of the world cup. Female elite soccer players considered on this study are subject to systematic training on a high-performance level, in addition to the competing demands of home clubs and national team they represent. Thus, our results may be explained, at least in part, by the increased ability of these athlete's to cope with competitive stress ³⁶ , having a better control over mental challenges and stress during competition. The decrease in C levels towards the competition may arise from the fact that these elite female players are managing the challenge of competition. Effectively, C levels don't interfere with conciliatory behavior between individuals who were opponents a few moments earlier and teammates who were challenged in the heat of the competition ³⁴ .

The different time course of T response from males to females also contributes to explain different research finding between genders across the literature ²² . Other reasons for different biobehavioral stress responses between genders may be related to evolutionary and social factors. According to Taylor et al. ³⁷ (also enunciated by Sedghrooni et al. ¹⁴ , women were mostly protective during our evolution as species, so they tend to form female-female social bonds in a tend-to-be-friend response to stress. On the other hand, men were responsible for the protection, and thus they tend to react to stress as "fight-or-flight". According to this theory, the friendlier the match is, the weaker T response on women is.

Notwithstanding the relevance of the present results, this study has some limitations. Firstly, it was not possible to measure salivary C and T levels when getting up (8 a.m.), during the first three matches (A₂-A₄) and before lunch (11 a.m.), during the last match (A₅). Looking at the waking C response in all matches may have shown differences in relation to anticipatory stress. Secondly, no information about the phase of menstrual cycle of each athlete was available which could have been helpful in explaining the impact on mood and hormone measures. Thirdly, the interpretation of our results is based on a single baseline measure, taken days before competition. It would have been valuable to have other measures before the competition preparation phase.

Despite these limitations, this study also has significant merits. Accessing elite athletes over consecutive matches during the most relevant international competition (World Cup) is quite rare. Thus, as far as we know this is the first study that reports the monitoring of the mood state and the salivary-free T and C concentrations in such conditions. To assure comparable results to other studies we used consistent standard methodology to measure mood and hormonal kinetics, prior and immediately following the game.

Future studies should measure the POMS scores before and after each game in order to assess the expected mood changes during the course of a competitive event, known as mood unbalancing effects model³⁸. Furthermore, future research should link the C and T measures to match time and running distance during the game to better understand the psychological and neuroendocrine stress response according to different competition demands.

Conclusions

In summary, this study has shown a typical iceberg profile of mood states over four consecutive matches during the group stage of the Women's World Cup Soccer Championship: *Vig* subscale tend to decrease after loss matches and *Ang* scores decrease after win matches. The C levels didn't change significantly over the first three matches, regardless of the competition outcome. However, the higher level of importance of the last match, beyond the defeat itself, seems to have determined a significant decrease in C from pre to post-competition. On the other hand T levels decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$) after all considered competitions encounters. The relationship between hormone levels and mood states was inconsistent during the tournament. Thus it may be reasonable to assume

that in female elite players the competition stress despite being able to affect mood depending on the match outcome, only causes significant hormonal effects in competitions of manifest importance.

5. References

1. Filaire E, Bernain X, Sagnol M, Lac G. Preliminary results on mood state, salivary testosterone: cortisol ratio and team performance in a professional soccer team. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2001; 86: 179-184.
2. Salvador A, Costa R. Coping with competition: Neuroendocrine responses and cognitive variables. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2009; 33: 160-170.
3. Pfister HP, Muir, JL. Prenatal exposure to predictable and unpredictable novelty stress and oxytocin affects offspring development and behavior in rats. *Int J Neurosc* 1992; 62: 227-241.
4. Gleeson M. Biochemical and Immunological Markers of Overtraining. *J Sci Med Sport* 2002; 2: 31-41.
5. Filaire E, Alix D, Ferrand C, Verger M. Psychophysiological stress in tennis players during the first match of a tournament. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2009; 34: 150-157.
6. Foster JD e Trimm RF. On being eager and uninhibited: Narcissism and approach-avoidance motivations. *Per Soc Psychol Bull* 2008; 34: 1004-1017.
7. Chichinadze K, Chichinadze N. Stress - induced increase of testosterone: contributions of social status and sympathetic reactivity. *Physiol Behav* 2008; 94: 595-603.
8. Bateup HS, Booth A, Shirtcliff EA, Granger DA. Testosterone, cortisol, and women's competition. *Evol Hum Behav* 2002; 23: 181-192.
9. Mehta PH, Jones AC, Josephs RA. The social endocrinology of dominance: basal testosterone predicts cortisol changes and behavior following victory and defeat. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2008; 94: 1078-92.
10. Zyphur MJ, Narayanan J, Koh G, Koh D. Testosterone-status mismatch lowers collective efficacy in groups: evidence from a slope-as-predictor multilevel structural equation model. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process* 2009; 110: 70-79.
11. Liening SH, Josephs RA. It is not just about testosterone: physiological mediators and moderators of testosterone's behavioral effects. *Soc Personal Psychol* 2010; 4/11: 982-994.
12. Strahler K, Ehrlenspiel F, Heenec M, Branda R. Competitive anxiety and cortisol awakening response in the week leading up to a competition. *Psychol Sport and Exerc* 2010; 11: 148-154.
13. Oliveira T, Gouveia MJ, Oliveira RF. Testosterone responsiveness to winning and losing experiences in female soccer players. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2009; 34: 1056-1064.
14. Sedghroohi G, Ravasi AA, Gaieni AA, Fayazmilani R. The effect of win or loss on serum testosterone and cortisol hormones in female Basketball players. *World J Sport Sci* 2011; 5(4):

276-282.

- 15 Crewther BT, Cook CJ. Effects of different post-match recovery interventions on subsequent athlete hormonal state and game performance. *Physiol Behav* 2012; 106: 471-475.
16. Van der Meij L, Almela M, Buunk AP, Fawcett TW, Salvador A. Men with elevated testosterone levels show more affiliative behaviours during interaction with women. *Proc Biol Sci* 2012; 279: 202-208.
17. Salvador A. Coping with competitive situations in humans. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2005; 29:195-205.
- 18 Mazur A, Booth A. Testosterone and dominance in men. *Behav Brain Sci* 1998; 21: 353-397.
- 19 Mehta PH, Josephs RA. Testosterone and cortisol jointly regulate dominance: evidence for a dual-hormone hypothesis. *Horm Behav* 2010; 58: 898-906.
- 20 Maner JK, Miller SL, Schmidt NB, Eckel LA. Submitting to defeat: social anxiety, dominance threat, and decrements in testosterone. *Psychol Sci* 2008; 19(8): 764-768.
- 21 Schultheiss OC, Wirth MM, Torges CM, Pang JS. Effects of implicit power motivation on men's and women's implicit learning and testosterone changes after victory or defeat. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2005; 88(1): 174-188.
- 22 Kivlighan KT, Granger DA, Booth A. Gender differences in Testosterone and cortisol response to competition. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2005; 30: 58-71.
- 23 Jiménez M, Aguilar M, Alvero-Cruz JR. Effects of victory and defeat on testosterone and cortisol response to competition: Evidence for response patterns in men and women. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2012; 37: 1577-1581.
- 24 Salvador A, Suay F, González-Bono E, Serrano MA. Anticipatory cortisol, testosterone and psychological responses to judo competition in young men. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2003; 28: 364-375.
- 25 Filaire E, Ferreira JP, Oliveira M, Massart A. Diurnal patterns of salivary secretion in female adolescent tennis players after 16 weeks of training. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 2013; 38(7):1122-32.
- 26 Granger DA, Schwartz EB, Booth A, Curran M, Zakaria D. Assessing dehydroepiandrosterone in saliva: A simple radioimmunoassay for use in studies of children, adolescents and adults. *Psychoneuroendocrino* 1999; 24:567-579.
- 27 Mc Nair DM, Lorr R, Droppleman LF. EDITS manual for the profile of mood states, San Diego CA: Educational and Industrial Testing Service 1971.
- 28 Viana MF, Almeida PL, Santos RC. Adaptação portuguesa da versão portuguesa do Perfil de Estados de humor. *Análise Psicológica* 2001; 1 (XIX): 77-92.
- 29 Morgan WP. Affective beneficence of vigorous physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1985; 17: 94-100.
- 30 Morgan WP, Costill DL, Flynn MG, Raglin JS, O'Connor PJ. et al. Mood disturbance following increased training in swimmers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1988; 20: 408-414.
- 31 Gonzalez-Bono E, Salvador A, Serrano MA, Ricarte J. Testosterone, Cortisol, and Mood in a Sports Team Competition. *Horm Behav* 1999; 35: 55-62.

32. Dabbs JM. Salivary testosterone measurements:reability across hours, days and weeks. *Physiol Behav* 1990; 48(1): 83-86.
33. Vau Cauter E. Diurnal and ultradian rhythms in human endocrine function: a mininereview. *Horm Res* 1990; 34: 45-53.
34. Edwards D. Competition and Testosterone. *Horm Behav* 2006; 50: 681-683.
35. Mazur A. A biosocial model of status in face-to-face primate groups. *Soc Forces* 1985; 64: 377-402.
36. Moreira A, Assati F, de Oliveira Lima Arsati YB, da Silva DA, de Araújo VC. Salivary cortisol in top-level professional soccer players. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2009; 106: 25-30.
37. Taylor SE, Klein LC, Lewis BP, Gruenewald TL, Gurung RA, Updegraff JA. Biobehavioral responses to stress in Females: Tend-and-befriend, not Fight-or-flight. *Psychol Rev* 2000; 107: 411-429.
38. Brehm W. Äquilibration und Disäquilibration der der Stimmung bei sportlichen Aktivitäten - Ergebnisse aus neuen Studien aus dem Bereich der Individuals sportaten (equilibration and disequilibration effects during sport activities - results from current studies in individual sports. In H. Ilg (Hrsg) 1997; 202-208.

Table 1. Scores of profile of mood states (POMS) in all assessment moments through the competition.

	POMS Scores Subscales					p-value (Over A2-A5)
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	
	(Baseline)	(Loss match)	(Win match)	(Win match)	(Loss match)	
	(n=20)	(n=16)	(n=17)	(n=16)	(n=16)	
TMD	94.50 (±8.4)	103.7 (±9.0)*	92.6 (±6.6)	94.6 (±7.9) +	94.1 (±6.6)	0.002
Ten	3.6 (±2.9)	4.7 (±2.1)	3.4 (±2.4)	3.6 (±3.4) +	3.0 (±1.7)	0.029
Dep	0.4 (±1.3)	1.3 (±1.4)	0.1 (±0.3)	0.06 (±0.2) +	0.1 (±0.4)	0.003
Ang	0.8 (±1.5)	2.0 (±2.4)	0.1 (±0.3)*	0.1 (±0.3)*+	0.5 (±0.7)	0.000
Vig	16.4 (±2.7)	12.12 (±3.1)*	16.6 (±2.9)	15.3 (±2.4) +	14.7 (±2.9)*	0.003
Fat	2.7 (±2.4)	3.5 (±3.3)	2.1 (±3.0)	2.9 (±4.5)	2.1 (±2.2)	0.462
Conf	3.2 (±1.7)	4.1 (±1.9)	3.4 (±1.5)	3.2 (±1.5)	3.0 (±1.2)	0.277

Data are expressed as the mean value (±SD); * $p < 0.05$ compared to POMS scores at baseline. + $p < 0.05$ compared POMS scores between moment A2 with A4

Table 2. Free-salivary cortisol and testosterone levels at baseline and over the group stage of the championship.

	M ₁ (Baseline)			M ₂ (Loss match)		M ₃ (Win match)		M ₄ (Win match)		M ₅ (Loss match)	
	8h00	11h00	18h00	11h00	18h00	11h00	18h00	11h00	18h00	8h00	13h00
	(n=20)			(n=16)		(n=17)		(n=16)		(n=16)	
Cortisol ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{dl}^{-1}$)	0.73 (±0.23)	0.39 (±0.09)	0.35 (±0.27)	0.48 (±0.15)	0.46* (±0.12)	0.44 (±0.12)	0.39 (±0.14)	0.44 (±0.15)	0.44 (±0.15)	0.83* (±0.20)	0.61* (±0.22)
p-valores	0.000			.495		0.074		0.670		0.010*	
Testosterona ($\text{pg}\cdot\text{ml}^{-1}$)	172.4 (±63.0)	108.0 (±33.0)	78.25 (±40.8)	63.0* (±29.4)	50.3* (±24.9)	78.1* (±29.1)	57.2 (±21.1)	67.6* (±34.5)	48.8* (±22.1)	63.5* (±28.7)	45.6* (±19.5)
p-valores	0.000			0.038		0.044		0.011*		0.013*	

Results are presented as the means ± standard deviation (SD) * $p < 0.05$ compared to baseline at equivalent ordinary hours during the day.

