

UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR

Ciências

Hepatocellular carcinoma therapy based on human amniotic membrane

Andreia Pereira Alves

Dissertação para obtenção do Grau de Mestre em **Bioquímica** (2[°] ciclo de estudos)

Orientador: Prof. Doutor Cláudio Jorge Maia Batista Co-orientador: Prof. Doutor Maria Filomena Botelho

Covilhã, outubro de 2016

Ao Samuel, pais

e Arminda

Agradecimentos

Este trabalho foi feito com muito esforço e trabalho árduo, que me fez crescer não só em conhecimento, mas também como mulher. Ao longo deste caminho pude contar com algumas pessoas, a quem gostaria de agradecer:

Em primeiro lugar, ao professor Doutor Cláudio Maia Jorge, orientador desta dissertação, obrigado pela disponibilidade, orientação e pela partilha de conhecimento e experiência científica, sempre patentes durante todo o desenvolvimento deste trabalho.

À professora Doutora Maria Filomena Botelho, diretora Unidade de Biofísica da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Coimbra, co-orientadora desta dissertação, obrigada pela hospitalidade, disponibilidade prestadas durante o desenvolvimento deste trabalho e especialmente pelos conhecimentos adquiridos.

À Mestre Catarina Mamede, o meu maior obrigada, pela paciência, ajuda, dedicação, carinho e amizade, ao longo destes dois anos. Obrigada pelo apoio incondicional que me ajudou a finalizar esta dissertação.

Agradeço a todos os colegas de trabalho do CICS e da Unidade de Biofísica, a criação de um ambiente de trabalho saudável, e por me oferecer apoio e carinho nos momentos mais difíceis.

Obrigado pelo apoio incondicional e incansável dos meus amigos de sempre, Rita, Filipa, Petra, Luís e Válter, gostaria de expressar a minha mais profunda gratidão, por estarem ao meu lado nos momentos mais sombrios, assim como nos momentos mais felizes e dos meus colegas e amigos de Bioquímica, que fizeram parte desta vida académica, que agora chega ao fim.

Por último, mas não menos importante, à minha família, especialmente ao meu irmão e aos meus pais, que foram sem dúvida, o maior pilar que tive nesta fase e em toda a minha vida. Samuel obrigado por todo o apoio, sei que sou a tua heroína e isso traz-me muita responsabilidade, espero deixar-te mais uma vez orgulhoso. Mãe e pai, vocês foram e são o meu maior apoio, obrigado por todas as palavras e sermões, e especialmente pela confiança depositada, que fizeram de mim o que hoje sou.

Acknowledgments

This dissertation was done intense effort and hard work, which made me grow up not only in knowledge but also as a woman. Along this path I could count on some people, whom I would like to thank:

Firstly, to my supervisor Professor Cláudio Maia Jorge, thank you for availability, guidance and sharing of scientific knowledge and experience, always patents during this Master thesis.

I would also like to show my appreciation to Professor Maria Filomena Botelho from Unit of Biophysics of Faculty of Medicine of University of Coimbra, co-supervisor of this dissertation, for the hospitality, availability provided during the development of this work and especially the acquired knowledge.

To Master Catarina Mamede, my greatest thanks for their patience, support, dedication, affection and friendship, throughout those two years. Thank you for the unconditional support that helped me complete this dissertation.

I thank to work group of CICS and of Biophysics Unit, the creating a healthy working environment, and for offering me support and kindness in the toughest moments.

Thank you for the unconditional and tireless support, to my dear friends, Rita, Filipa, Petra, Luís e Válter, I would like to express my deepest gratitude, for being at my side in the darkest times, but as well as in the happiest and to the rest of the friends and colleagues of the Biochemistry, that were part of this academic life, which now comes to an end.

Last but not least, to my family, especially to my brother and my parents, which were undoubtedly the greatest pillar that have had at this stage and in all my life. Samuel thanks for all the support, I know I'm your hero and that brings me a lot of responsibility, I hope to make you proud one more time. Mother and father, were and are my biggest support, thank you for all the words and sermons and especially for their belief, that made me who I am today.

Resumo

O carcinoma hepatocelular é dos tumores primários do fígado mais frequentemente detetado e representa um problema de saúde pública em todo o mundo, devido à sua elevada taxa de morbidade e mortalidade, que em parte se deve ao estado avançado em que é comumente diagnosticado e à elevada resistência às terapias convencionais, nomeadamente à radioterapia e à quimioterapia. Por esta razão, é muito importante encontrar novas e eficazes terapias para o carcinoma hepatocelular.

A membrana amniótica humana (hAM, do inglês, *human amniotic membrane*) foi referenciada em várias publicações como uma potencial opção terapêutica para o cancro devido às suas propriedades pro-apoptóticas, anti-angiogénicas e imunorreguladoras. As células derivadas da hAM e o seu meio condicionado já foram testados, *in vitro* e *in vivo*, em vários tipos de cancro. De forma inovadora, o nosso grupo de investigação avaliou o efeito anticancerígeno de extratos proteicos da hAM (hAMPE, do inglês, *human amniotic membrane protein extracts*) na terapia do cancro. Este extrato é obtido a partir de células epiteliais e mesenquimais, assim como da matriz extracelular. Foi demonstrado que o hAMPE pode inibir a atividade metabólica das três linhas celulares de carcinoma hepatocelular humano: Hep3B2.1 -7, HepG2 e HuH7.

Tendo em conta os resultados obtidos até agora pelo nosso grupo de investigação, este trabalho experimental teve como objetivo estudar o efeito do tratamento com o hAMPE no metabolismo da glicolítico no carcinoma hepatocelular.

Verificou-se através da técnica de ressonância magnética nuclear que o tratamento com o hAMPE aumentou o consumo de glicose nas linhas celulares Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 e HuH7, no entanto essa glicose não é convertida em lactato. O tratamento com o hAMPE induziu efeitos semelhantes nas Hep3B2.1-7 e HepG2, aumentando a expressão de lactato desidrogenase (LDH, do inglês, *lactate dehydrogenase*) e do transportador de monocarboxilato isoformas 4 (MCT4, do inglês *monocarboxylate transporter isoform 4*), não se tendo verificado alterações significativas nos níveis de lactato. No que diz respeito às células HuH7, os níveis de lactato, assim como a expressão de LDH e MCT4, diminuíram em resposta ao tratamento com o hAMPE, sugerindo que níveis reduzidos de lactato podem indicar um decréscimo na agressividade tumoral.

Este trabalho revela que o hAMPE é capaz de alterar o metabolismo glicolítico das células de carcinoma hepatocelular humano. No entanto, mais estudos são necessários de forma a esclarecer a relação entre o tratamento com o hAMPE e o metabolismo glicolítico das linhas celulares do carcinoma hepatocelular.

Palavras-chave

Placenta, Membrana amniótica humana, Carcinoma hepatocelular, Terapia, Metabolismo, Glicólise.

Resumo Alargado

O carcinoma hepatocelular é um tumor primário do fígado que representa um problema de saúde pública, pois é responsável por elevadas taxas de incidência e mortalidade. A elevada mortalidade deve-se em parte ao estado avançado em que o hepatocarcinoma é diagnosticado e à elevada resistência às terapias convencionais, nomeadamente à radioterapia e/ou à quimioterapia.

O processo de hepatocarcinogénese é multifatorial e ainda está pouco caraterizado. No entanto sabe-se que as principais alterações genéticas são ao nível dos genes que codificam a proteína 53 (p53) e da B-catenina. Para além destas alterações genéticas, as células tumorais caraterizam-se por alterações metabólicas ao nível da glicólise, apresentando uma elevada taxa de glicólise, mesmo em presença de níveis normais de oxigénio. Nos tumores em ambiente hipóxico, como o carcinoma hepatocelular, ocorre um aumento acentuado na dependência da glicólise, visto que o tumor depende quase exclusivamente da glicólise para a obtenção de energia.

Devido à falta de terapias eficazes para o tratamento do carcinoma hepatocelular, é imperativo encontrar novas terapias que permitam reduzir a mortalidade deste tumor. Neste contexto, surge a membrana amniótica humana (hAM, do inglês, *human amniotic membrane*) como possível terapia para o hepatocarcinoma.

A hAM tem sido referenciada em várias publicações como uma potencial opção para o tratamento oncológico, devido às suas propriedades anti-angiogénicas, pro-apoptóticas e imunorreguladoras. Vários derivados da hAM, como as suas células e o seu meio condicionado, foram testadas, *in vitro* e *in vivo*, em vários tipos de cancro. De forma inovadora, o nosso grupo de investigação estudou o efeito anticancerígeno de extratos proteicos da hAM (hAMPE, do inglês *human amniotic membrane protein extracts*), obtidos a partir de células epiteliais e mesenquimais, assim como da matriz extracelular.

Foi demonstrado que o hAMPE pode inibir a atividade metabólica das três linhas celulares humanas de carcinoma hepatocelular: Hep3B2.1 -7, HepG2 e HuH7. Tendo em conta os resultados obtidos até agora pelo nosso grupo de investigação e ao aumento do metabolismo glicolítico que ocorre nas células cancerosas, colocou-se a hipótese de que o tratamento com o hAMPE pode inibir a glicólise. Por este motivo, este trabalho experimental teve como objetivo estudar o efeito do tratamento com hAMPE no metabolismo glicolítico do carcinoma hepatocelular.

Verificou-se através da técnica de ressonância magnética nuclear (¹NMR, do inglês *proton nuclear magnetic resonance*) que o tratamento com o hAMPE aumentou o consumo de glicose nas três linhas celulares, mas que este aumento não se reflete na produção de lactato.

Ao nível da expressão dos transportadores de glucose (GLUT, do inglês *glucose transportes*) 1 e 3, apenas na linha Hep3B2.1-7 há um aumento da expressão do GLUT-1 que justifique o aumento do consumo de glucose, no entanto nas restantes linhas celulares não se verificaram alterações que justifiquem o aumento do consumo de glucose. Tal aumento pode dever-se ao aumento da expressão de outras isoformas de GLUT.

De forma geral, o tratamento com o hAMPE induziu efeitos semelhantes nas linhas celulares Hep3B2.1-7 e HepG2, aumentando a expressão de lactato desidrogenase (LDH, do inglês, *lactate dehydrogenase*) e do transportador de monocarboxilato isoformas 4 (MCT4, do inglês *monocarboxylate transporter isoform 4*), embora não tivessem sido registadas alterações nos níveis de lactato. Por outro lado, nas células HuH7 os níveis de lactato, assim como a expressão de LDH e MCT4, diminuíram em resposta ao tratamento com o hAMPE, sugerindo que níveis reduzidos de lactato podem indicar um decréscimo na agressividade deste tipo de tumor.

Este trabalho revelou que o hAMPE altera o perfil glicolítico das linhas celulares de carcinoma hepatocelular. No entanto, são necessários mais estudos para esclarecer a relação entre o tratamento com o hAMPE e o metabolismo glicolítico.

Abstract

The hepatocellular carcinoma is the most frequently detected primary malignant liver tumor, representing a worldwide public health problem due to its morbidity and mortality rates. The high mortality rate is in part due to the late stage at which is diagnosed and its high resistance to conventional therapies, such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy. For this reason, it is very important to find new and effective therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma.

The human amniotic membrane (hAM) has been referenced in several publications as a potential therapeutic option in cancer due to its pro-apoptotic, anti-angiogenic and immunoregulatory properties. The hAM-derived cells and their supernatant were already evaluated, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, in cancer therapy. In an innovative way, our research group evaluated the protein extracts of human amniotic membrane (hAMPE) in cancer therapy. The extracts were obtained from epithelial and mesenchymal cells, as well as from the extracellular matrix. It was demonstrated that the hAMPE may inhibit the metabolic activity of three human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines: Hep3B2.1 -7, HepG2 and HuH7.

Taking into account the results obtained so far by our research group, associated with the increased glycolytic metabolism in cancer cells, this experimental work aimed to study the effect of hAMPE treatment in the glucose metabolism of hepatocellular carcinoma.

It was verified by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹NMR) that hAMPE treatment increased glucose consumption on Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines. However, it was verified that glucose is not converted to lactate. The hAMPE treatment induced similar effects in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2, increasing the expression of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and monocarboxylate transporter isoform 4 (MCT4), but no changes in lactate levels. Regarding HuH7 cells, the levels of lactate, as well as the expression of LDH and MCT4, decreased in response to hAMPE treatment, suggesting that reduced levels of lactate may indicate a decrease in tumor aggressiveness.

This work reveals the anticancer potential of hAMPE, modulating the glycolytic profile of the human hepatocellular carcinoma cells under study. However, further studies are required in order to clarify the relationship between hAMPE treatment and the glycolytic metabolism.

Keywords

Placenta, Human amniotic membrane, Hepatocellular carcinoma, Therapy, Metabolism, Glycolysis

Index

Chapter 1 - Introduction 1
1.1. Hepatocellular carcinoma 1
1.1.1. Epidemiology and etiology1
1.1.2. Pathogenesis6
1.1.3. Diagnosis and therapy9
1.1.4 Glycolytic metabolism 11
1.2. Human amniotic membrane14
1.2.1. Structure and function14
1.2.2. Properties of human amniotic membrane
1.3. Human amniotic membrane and cancer17
Chapter 2 - Aims
Chapter 3 - Material and methods25
3.1. Collection of the human amniotic membrane25
3.2. Reception of the human amniotic membrane25
3.3. Extraction and quantification of proteins
3.4. Cell culture
3.5. Experimental design
3.6. Glucose consumption and lactate production by ¹ H NMR27
3.7. Protein quantification by Western blot
3.8. GLUT-1 and -3 expression evaluation by fluorescence
3.9. Statistical analysis
Chapter 4 - Results

4.1. Glucose consumption evaluation by ¹ H NMR3	31
4.2. Lactate production evaluation by ¹ H NMR3	32
4.3. Expression of GLUT-1 and GLUT-3 by fluorescence	33
4.3.1. Expression of GLUT-1	33
4.3.2. Expression of GLUT-3	34
4.5. Expression of LDH by Western Blot3	34
4.6. Expression of MCT4 by Western Blot 3	35
Chapter 5 - Discussion	37
Chapter 6 - Conclusions and Future Perspectives4	43
References4	45

Figure list

Figure 1. Estimated new cancer cases and deaths worldwide by sex1
Figure 2. Liver Cancer Incidence Rates by Sex and World Area, in all ages for males and females2
Figure 3. Predictions of the number of new cases (A) and of the number of cancer deaths (B) by hepatocellular carcinoma
Figure 4. The function of p538
Figure 5. WNT/B-catenin pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma
Figure 6. Schematic diagram of cancer cells glycolytic metabolism
Figure 7. The placenta structure
Figure 8 . Histologic section of hAM, obtained through hematoxylin-eosin staining
Figure 9. Experimental design27
Figure 10. Evaluation of glucose consumption by ¹ H NMR in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines.
Figure 11. Lactate production evaluation by ¹ H NMR in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, respectively
Figure 12. Lactate production in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, respectively 33
Figure 13 . GLUT-1 expression evaluation by fluorescence in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines after incubation with $1\mu g/\mu L$ of hAMPE
Figure 14 . GLUT-3 expression evaluation by fluorescence in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines after incubation with $1\mu g/\mu L$ of hAMPE
Figure 15. LDH expression in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 determined by Western Blot 35
Figure 16. MCT4 expression in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 determined by Western Blot 36

Table list

Table 1. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma by geographical area 4
Table 2. Mutations responsible for hepatocellular carcinoma development 6
Table 3. Metabolic activity of several human cancer cell lines evaluated after incubation of
hAMPE

Abbreviations

¹ H NMR	Proton nuclear magnetic resonance	
5-FU	5-fluorouracil	
AFB1	Aflatoxin B1	
APC	Adenomatous polyposis coli	
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection	
АТР	Adenosine triphosphate	
ВАХ	BCL-2 associated X protein	
BCA	Bicinchoninic acid assay	
BCL-2	B-cell lymphoma 2	
BRCA2	Breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein	
СНИС	Coimbra Hospital and University Centre	
CK1	Casein kinase	
DLC1	Deleted in liver cancer 1 protein	
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium	
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid	
EGF	Epidermal growth factor	
ECL	Enhanced chemiluminescent	
ерСАМ	Epithelial cell adhesion molecule	
FBS	Fetal bovine serum	
FZD	Frizzled receptors	
GLUT	Glucose transporter	
GSK3	Glycogen synthase kinase-3	

HAECs	Human amniotic membrane epithelial cells
hAM	Human amniotic membrane
HAMCs	Human amniotic membrane mesenchymal cells
hAMPE	Human amniotic membrane protein extract
HBV	Hepatitis B virus
HCV	Hepatitis C virus
HIF-1	Hypoxia-inducible factor 1
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
НК	Hexokinase
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
IFN-γ	Interferon gamma
IGF	Insulin-like growth
IL	Interleukin
JCRB	Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
LRP	Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein
M2-PK	Pyruvate kinase isoform M2
M-CSF	Macrophage colony-stimulating factor
MCT4	Monocarboxylate transporter 4
NAFLD	non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
NASH	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis
p53	Protein 53
PBS	Phosphate buffered solution

PE	Phycoerythrin
PEDF	Pigment epithelium-derived factor
PFK	Phosphofructokinase
PTEN	Phosphatase and tensin homolog
PVDF	Polyvinylidene difluoride
RB	Retinoblastoma
RF/PEI	Radiofrequency ablation/ Conventional percutaneous ethanol injection
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate/ polyacrylamide gel
siRNA	Small interfering RNA
SOCS-1	Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1
TACE	Transarterial chemoembolization
TCF/LEF	T-cell factor/ lymphoid enhancer-binding factor
ТGF-В	Transforming growth factor beta
TNF	Tumor necrosis factor
UV	Ultraviolet
WB	Western blot

Chapter 1 - Introduction

1.1. Hepatocellular carcinoma

1.1.1. Epidemiology and etiology

Liver tumors can be classified as primary or secondary, according to their origin (Goodman, 2007). Among the primary liver tumors, whose the origin is the liver itself, the hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common epithelial tumor and accounts for about 80% of the primary liver tumors (Befeler and Bisceglie, 2002; Gomes et al., 2013; Jemal and Ferlay, 1999; Reid, 2001).

Hepatocellular carcinoma represents a worldwide health problem (Llovet et al., 2008) due to a high morbidity and mortality (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007; Ferlay et al., 2010; Yang and Roberts, 2010). Hepatocellular carcinoma is the second cause of cancer death in the world. According to GLOBOCAN, in terms of incidence, hepatocellular carcinoma is the sixth most incident in the world (Llovet and Bruix, 2008). The high rate of mortality is mainly due to the asymptomatic nature of the disease at early stages, and consequently, the majority of hepatocellular carcinoma cases are detected in advanced stages (Ferlay et al., 2010; reviewed by Gomes et al., 2013; Jemal et al., 2011). However, the incidence varies according to age, ethnicity, gender and geographic distribution (Yang and Roberts, 2010). In relation to gender, hepatocellular carcinoma is the fifth incident cancer in male and the ninth in females. In terms of mortality, hepatocellular carcinoma is the second cause of cancer death in male and sixth in females (Torre et al. 2015), as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Estimated new cancer cases and deaths worldwide by sex. Source: GLOBOCAN 2012. Adapted from (Torre et al., 2015).

Hepatocellular carcinoma rarely surges before 40 years old, except in the case of infection by the hepatitis virus. The mean ages of hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis are between 55 and 59 years old in China and between 63 and 65 years in Europe and North America. In low-risk populations, the highest incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma is among individuals aged 75 or older (El-Serag, 2012).

As mentioned above, the incidence varies due to geographic distribution. In fact, hepatocellular carcinoma is more common in less developed countries, representing 82,9% of the cases of hepatocellular carcinoma, while that in more developed countries the incidence of hepatocellular is lower (Figure 2) (Torre et al., 2015; Yang and Roberts 2010). More than half a million cases are annually diagnosed, and in the last years, the incidence has been increasing in more developed countries, such as the United States, Canada and Europe (Llovet et al., 2008).



Figure 2. Liver Cancer Incidence Rates by Sex and World Area, in all ages for males and females. Source: GLOBOCAN 2012. Adapted from (Torre et al., 2015).

In Portugal, according to data from GLOBOCAN 2012, the incidence rate of this cancer is lower when compared with other types of cancer, accounting only 1.1%. Regarding the mortality rate, it accounts approximately 2% of cancer deaths in Portugal (GLOBOCAN, 2012). According to predictions from GLOBOCAN, 1014 new cases and over 1110 deaths by hepatocellular carcinoma will occur in 2020 (see Figure 3 A and B).



Figure 3. Predictions of the number of new cases (A) and of the number of cancer deaths (B) by hepatocellular carcinoma. Retrieved from GLOBOCAN, predictions 2020.

Etiologically, hepatocellular carcinoma may arise from gene mutations, which in turn change the metabolic pathways of the cell. Genetic mutations may be a consequence of several external factors, such as viral infection by hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV), or proliferation associated with chronic hepatitis. This proliferation caused by hepatitis infection may increase the risk of genetic alterations, such as gene duplication (Ferlay et al., 2010; Gomes et al., 2013; Jemal et al., 2011). This can explain the relationship between hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatitis since the majority of the cases occur in less developed countries. In addition, the highest incidence rates of hepatocellular carcinoma are described in regions where HBV is endemic, which are the Southeast Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (Yang and Roberts, 2010). The differences in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma are a reflex of the geographic distribution of VHB and HCV infection. Countries with a higher prevalence of infection by these viruses usually have a high incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (Yang and Roberts, 2010).

The risk of incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma is higher in male than in female, varying according to the geographic area. This fact can be explained because the male is more exposed to HBV and HCV (El-Serag, 2011; Gomes et al., 2013) and it is also more exposed to carcinogenic environments, such as tobacco and alcohol than in the female. Moreover, it was demonstrated that female liver damage can be reduced by the action of interleukin (IL) 6, which is stimulated by estrogens action. On the other hand, the male testosterone action can promote the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma through androgen receptor, which is expressed in this type of cancer (El-Serag, 2012; Yang and Roberts, 2010).

Hepatocellular carcinoma can be a consequence of a chronic liver disease, where cirrhosis is the main risk factor for tumor development. In fact, about 80% of the hepatocellular carcinoma has developed cirrhosis before the onset of the tumor (Alves et al., 2011b).

Approximately 90% of hepatocellular carcinoma cases are associated with a known risk factor (Table 1. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma by geographical area; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Adapted from (Llovet and Bruix, 2003).). The main risk factors are HBV and HCV, which are responsible for approximately 85% of hepatocellular carcinoma cases worldwide, excessive alcohol consumption and dietary exposure to aflatoxin. Worldwide, approximately 54% of cases can be attributed to HBV infection, while 31% can be attributed to HCV infection, leaving approximately 15% associated with other causes (European Association For The Study Of The Liver and European Organisation For Research And Treatment Of Cancer 2012).

	Risk factors			
Geographic area				
	HBV	HCV	Alcohol	Other
World	54 %	31 %	15 %	
Africa and Asia	70 %	20 %	10 %	10 % - Aflatoxin
Europe	10 - 15 %	60 - 70 %	20 %	10 %
North America	20 %	50 - 60 %	20 %	10 % - NAFLD

Table 1. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma by geographical area; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Adapted from (Llovet and Bruix, 2003).

Cirrhosis can trigger the onset of hepatocellular carcinoma. In fact, approximately one-third of the cirrhotic patients will develop hepatocellular carcinoma during their lifetime (Donato et al., 1997; Donato et al., 2002; Lutwick, 1979; Hyams, 1995; Ott et al., 2012). Therefore, cirrhosis is an important risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma and may be caused by chronic viral hepatitis, alcohol, inherited metabolic diseases, such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and hereditary hemochromatosis or alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (Chen et al., 2009; Ott et al., 2012). Less common causes of hepatocellular carcinoma include tobacco exposure (El-Serag and Rudolph 2007; Yu et al., 1991), obesity and diabetes (El-Serag et al., 2004). Marrero and colleagues showed a synergistic interaction between alcohol consumption, tobacco exposure, obesity and hepatocellular carcinoma incidence (Marrero et al. 2005).

HBV infection increases the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. The risk of hepatocellular carcinoma development in patients with hepatitis B differs according to ethnicity. White individuals with HBV infection tend to develop hepatocellular carcinoma at

an advanced age after a period of progressive liver cirrhosis. On the other hand, Asian and African individuals tend to develop hepatocellular carcinoma in young adulthood and middle age and might exhibit fewer signs of cirrhotic liver disease (El-Serag, 2012; Yang and Roberts 2010).

As previously mentioned, HCV infection is also a risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. HCV is a ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus that does not integrate into the host genome and host-viral protein interactions seem to play the major role in hepatocarcinogenesis by promoting fibrosis, and eventually cirrhosis, which can lead to the onset of hepatocellular carcinoma (El-Serag, 2012; Yang and Roberts, 2010).

Alcohol consumption is a well-established hepatocellular carcinoma risk factor that is strongly associated with the development of cirrhosis, which can lead to liver tumor development (Donato et al., 1997; El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007).

Food contamination with aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is also a risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, mainly in Asian and African populations. AFB1 is a mycotoxin produced by the *Aspergillus fungus*. The intermediate of AFB1 can bind to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and cause damage, inducing mutations in the TP53 gene, which changes the suppressor activity of protein 53 (p53) (Bressac et al., 1991).

NAFLD, with or without cirrhosis, may lead to hepatocellular carcinoma, but it appears that this factor may also be additive to chronic viral hepatitis. Diabetes has been proposed as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma through the development of NAFLD and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007).

In individuals homozygous for hereditary hemochromatosis, it was demonstrated that incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma increases 1.7-fold (Cauza et al. 2003). The missense mutation C282Y of the hemochromatosis gene was responsible for the majority of cases of hereditary hemochromatosis, a condition characterized by hepatic iron overload. Liver iron accumulation leads to reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation in the liver, thus causing oxidative stress. Some studies demonstrated that C282Y mutation increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma (Jin et al., 2010; Kew, 2014; Lv et al., 2016).

Tobacco exposure was associated with the development of multiple solid tumors (Stein and Colditz, 2004), including the hepatocellular carcinoma, due to several carcinogenic compounds present in tobacco that are metabolized in the liver (Staretz et al., 1997; Yu et al., 1991). Obesity was associated with increased death rates for multiple solid tumors; the greatest impact of obesity was on liver cancer incidence (Nair et al., 2002).

1.1.2. Pathogenesis

The liver is especially vulnerable to injury due to its central role in xenobiotic metabolism, including drugs and alcohol, as well as in lipid and fatty acids metabolism (Malhi et al., 2010). The hepatocarcinogenesis is a very complex biological process, in which external stimuli induce genetic changes in matures hepatocytes leading to uncontrolled proliferation and cell death (Thomas and Abbruzzese, 2005).

The hepatocellular carcinoma cells have mutations in a large number of genes, which deregulates the expression and/or activity of several proteins such as p53, β -catenin or adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) (Alves et al., 2011). The development of hepatocellular carcinoma involves several mutations in genes that encode important proteins, such as p53 and β -catenin (Inagawa et al., 2002; Torbenson et al., 2004).

Gene	Protein	References
Main mutations		
TP53	Protein 53 (p53)	(Cazals-Hatem et al., 2004; Laurent-Puig et al., 2001; Puisieux & Ozturk, 1997; Tannapfel et al., 2000)
CTNNB1	B-catenin	(de La Coste et al. 1998; Satoh et al. 2000)
APC	APC	(Csepregi et al. 2008; Katoh et al. 2006)(Satoh et al. 2000)
Others mutations		
AXIN-1	Axin-1 protein	(Puisieux and Ozturk, 1997; Satoh et al., 2000)
Retinoblastoma (RB) 1 gene	RB protein	(Anwar et al., 2012, 2014; Louandre et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 1994)
Deleted in liver cancer 1 gene (DLC-1)	DLC1	(Wong et al., 2003; Xie et al., 2015; Zimonjic and Popescu, 2012)
Phosphatase and tensin	PTEN	(Du et al. 2015)

Table 2. Mutations responsible for hepatocellular carcinoma development.

homolog (PTEN)

Insulin-like growth (IGF) factor	IGF-2	(Enguita-Germán and Fortes,
2		2014)
Breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein (BRCA2)	BRCA2	(Katagiri, Nakamura, and Miki 1996; Martins, Kedda, and Kew 1999)
Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS-1)	SOCS-1	(Nagai et al., 2001; Nagai et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2014)
SMAD family member 2 (SMAD2)	SMAD2	(Dumont et al., 2003; Kawate et al., 1999; Yakicier et al., 1999)
SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4)	SMAD4	(Dumont et al., 2003; Kawate et al., 1999; Yakicier et al., 1999)
с-тус	c-myc	(de La Coste et al., 1998; Zimonjic and Popescu, 2012)

All these mutations contribute to cancer development through the regulation involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis and angiogenesis (Fujimori et al., 1991; Tsuda et al., 1992). These genetic mutations may lead to alterations in several signaling pathways, which may favor the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, mainly through the imbalance between protooncogene and tumor suppressor genes (Shang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2009). It can be highlighted the abnormal activation of Ras/ Raf/ MAPK (Galuppo et al., 2014), PI3K/ AKT/ mTOR (Zhou and Yeo, 2011), Wnt/β-catenin (Thompson and Monga, 2007) and Hedgehog signaling pathway (Berman et al., 2003). In addition, there are many other signalling pathways involved in the onset and progression of liver cancer, such as Notch (Strazzabosco and Fabris, 2012; Villanueva et al., 2012), IGF/IGF receptor (IGFR) (Enguita-Germán and Fortes, 2014) and EGF receptor signalling pathway (Berasain and Avila, 2014).

Among the several mutations associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, numerous studies have pointed out the mutations in p53 and B-catenin as critical (Brito et al., 2012; reviewed by Gomes et al., 2013). The p53 is a tumor suppressor protein with important functions in cellular cytoplasm and nuclei, such as DNA damage repair, maintenance of the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis, and angiogenesis inhibition (Fabregat, 2009, Ferreira et al., 1999). In normal cells, p53 is found in low concentrations but its levels increase in response to a variety of environmental stimuli, including ionizing radiation and

ultraviolet (UV) light, hypoxia, as well as growth factors deprivation and DNA damage (Ferreira et al., 1999; Bressa et al., 1991). Regarding the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis, p53 is capable to activate signalling pathways that lead to apoptosis through several mechanisms, which include the downregulation of the anti-apoptotic protein B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2) and the up-regulation of the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 associated X protein (BAX) (Ferreira, Tolis, and Giaccone 1999) (Figure 4).



Figure 4. The function of p53. p53 expression increases in response to a variety of environmental stimuli in order to maintain the balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis. Adapted from (Hoffbrand et al., 2011).

However, this protein is often found mutated or with decreased expression in tumor cells of hepatocellular carcinoma (Ferreira, Tolis, and Giaccone 1999). The diminished expression of p53 in hepatocellular carcinoma leads to a decreased expression of pro-apoptotic proteins, contributing to the resistance to apoptosis (Ferreira et al., 1999; Fabregat, 2009).

B-catenin is a multitasking protein that exerts a crucial role in cell-cell adhesion. This protein is an integral structural component of cadherin-based adherence junctions, as well as the key nuclear effector of canonical Wnt signaling pathway. An imbalance in the structure and signaling properties of B-catenin can result in disease or deregulated growth, often associated with cancer and metastasis, as shown in Figure 5 (Ji et al., 2011; Valenta et al., 2012).



Figure 5. WNT/B-catenin pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. In normal liver cells ("WNT OFF" state): B-catenin is sequestered by the multiprotein "destruction complex" in the cytoplasm, which consists of APC, AXIN, glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) and casein kinase (CK1). The "destruction complex" sequentially phosphorylates B-catenin, which triggers ubiquitination and degradation in the proteasome. The genes that respond to WNT remain inactive by binding of the protein Groucho regulatory protein gene T-cell factor/ lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (TCF/LEF). In hepatocellular carcinoma cells (activated or "WNT ON" state), the protein disheveled inhibits GSK3 phosphorylation activity and the "destruction complex" disintegrates. As a result, B-catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm and translocate to the nucleus, where it interacts with TCF/LEF to transcriptionally activate downstream target genes. Investigational agents targeting various components that have been tested in hepatocellular carcinoma are indicated in red boxes. epCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; FZD, frizzled receptors; LRP, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein; SiRNA, small interfering RNA. Adapted from (Chua et al., 2014).

1.1.3. Diagnosis and therapy

The majority of hepatocellular carcinoma cases is detected in advanced stage due to the asymptomatic nature of this type of cancer (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007; Morris et al., 2012; Yang and Roberts, 2010).

Regarding the diagnosis, in a first phase, it is evaluated a well-known tumor marker, the serum α -fetoprotein combined with abdominal ultrasound (El-Serag and Rudolph, 2007). Although the serum α -fetoprotein is the main marker of the disease, it presents low specificity and it is necessary to use complementary tests to confirm the diagnosis (El-Serag

and Rudolph, 2007). The complementary diagnostic methods used are the computed tomography, the magnetic resonance imaging and the liver biopsy (Bruix and Sherman, 2011).

Surgical treatment as surgical resection (Roayaie et al. 2009, 2013) and liver transplantation (Takayama et al. 1998), are the most effective way to treat the patients with early stage hepatocellular carcinoma, are used also to local ablation as a treatment (Livraghi et al. 2008), although this is not as effective. When tumor mass is small, the resection or transplantation is not used, and usually, the treatment used is only ablative procedures (Galuppo et al., 2014).

Patients with intermediate stages of hepatocellular carcinoma, are considered for transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) (Bruix and Sherman, 2011), and recently, it was proposed a combination of TACE with sorafenib, a drug known as a tumor inhibitor, in the treatment of these patients in phase II (Galuppo et al., 2014).

Patients in the advanced stage the treatment options are extremely limited and the average life expectancy of the untreated patient is less than three months. At this stage, the available treatments are limited and ineffective, being the radiotherapy and chemotherapy used as treatment. Unfortunately, the treatment efficacy is limited by the radio- and chemoresistant profile of this type of cancer (Llovet and Bruix, 2003; Bruix and Sherman, 2005; Johnson, 2000). Chemotherapy is used only as a palliative treatment in order to relieve symptoms and improve the quality of life of patients. The patients are treated with doxorubicin, cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in monotherapy or in combination (Giglia et al., 2010; Hua et al., 2014; Johnson, 2000). Radiotherapy just like the chemotherapy is used in treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, but it is not particularly effective, due to the radioresistance displayed by this tumor, which may be a consequence of the heterogeneity, this tumor relative to the expression of certain genes namely TP53 gene, involved in proliferation pathways and cell death (Ma et al., 2010).

In last years, has been considerate the targeted therapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, in an advanced stage of the disease. Several studies indicate that Sorafenib significantly increases the survival time of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and has few adverse effects (Llovet and Bruix, 2008; Liu et al., 2006). This drug is an inhibitor of several tyrosine kinases, which activate signaling pathways associated to cell proliferation and angiogenesis (Liu et al., 2006).

Taking into account the absence of effective and selective therapeutic strategies for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, which is mainly detected at an advanced stage, it is particularly important to find new therapies that allow reducing the mortality rate of this type of cancer.

1.1.4 Glycolytic metabolism

In addition to genetic mutations in hepatocellular carcinoma, the most fundamental metabolic alteration in tumor cells is the high rate of glycolysis, and the consequent increase in glucose uptake, a phenomenon firstly reported by Otto Warburg (Dayan et al., 2008; Kroemer and Pouyssegur, 2008; Warburg, 1956) and the dependency on the glycolysis for adenosine triphosphate (ATP) generation, especially in hypoxic environment (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004), as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Schematic diagram of cancer cells glycolytic metabolism. After cellular glucose uptake via facilitated glucose transporters (GLUT), glucose is metabolized, originating pyruvate. The pyruvate is converted into lactate and transported to the extracellular medium by monocarboxylate transporter. Red cross evidence that pyruvate does not follow for oxidative phosphorylation, but for lactate production. Adapted from (Airley and Mobasheri, 2007).

The ''Warburg effect'' consists of an increase in glycolytic flux in cancer cells, as well as an increased activity of the enzymes involved, that is even maintained in conditions of high oxygen tension (''aerobic glycolysis'') and gives rise to an increased lactate production when compared to normal cells (Brahimi-Horn et., 2007; Oliveira et al. 2015; Warburg et al., 1924; Zhao et al., 2013). Therefore, it leads to an acidification of the environment, which promotes a favorable environment for the growth and survival of cancer cells due to the induction of angiogenesis, cell invasion and metastization (Gatenby and Gawlinski, 2003; Gatenby et al., 2006; Robey and Hay, 2009; Stern et al., 2002).

As mentioned previously, one of the changes associated with the Warburg effect is the alteration associated with the expression of several genes that may affect the cell growth of hepatocellular carcinoma. Several key enzymes in glycolysis, such as hexokinase (HK) II, phosphofructokinase (PFK) isoform 1, pyruvate kinase isoform M2 (M2-PK), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) A (Hitosugi et al., 2010; Lv et al., 2011) and glucose transporters (GLUT) are up-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (Airley and Mobasheri, 2007; Amann et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011).

Considering that ATP generation via glycolysis is far less efficient (two ATP per glucose) than through oxidative phosphorylation (36 ATP per glucose), cancer cells consume much more glucose than normal cells to sustain the ATP supply for their active metabolism and proliferation (Izyumov et al., 2004; Pinedo et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2005). For this reason, cells have to adopt a mechanism that allows them to increase glucose uptake and metabolism, and consequently, it has been consistently reported an increase in the expression of glucose transporters, mainly the isoform 1 (Amann and Hellerbrand, 2009; Amann et al., 2009). However, some studies have demonstrated that beyond the GLUT-1, other GLUT have an important role in the transport of the glucose, including GLUT-2, -3, -5 and -12 (Jadvar et al., 2009). GLUT-3 is overexpressed in cancer cells and it is associated with a poor prognosis (Baer et al., 2002), but the GLUT-1 is a better marker of poor prognosis than the GLUT-3 because the GLUT-3 was detected only in a limited number of cases (Karim et al., 2012). GLUT-2 has low affinity for glucose but is the most expressed GLUT isoform in the normal liver cells. Its expression is insulin-dependent (Mueckler 1994). It was also identified GLUT-5 as overexpressed in liver metastases (Rogers et al., 2002; Zamora-León et al., 1996). GLUT-12 has also been implicated in the enhanced glucose accumulation in some cancers, including breast (Rogers et al. 2002) and prostate cancer (Chandler et al., 2003).

It is well described that hypoxia is a strong modulator of cell energy metabolism and it is associated with human malignancies reviewed by (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004; Pelicano et al., 2006), especially in solid tumor tissues as the hepatocellular carcinoma. The availability of oxygen becomes increasingly smaller as tumor mass increases. Under such conditions, oxidative phosphorylation may not proceed normally because of insufficient oxygen, thus resulting in an increase of glycolysis. The consequences of increased glycolysis require further adaptation to environments with low glucose concentrations and high acid owing to the elevated production of lactate, which leads to acidification of tumor tissue and provides a microenvironment that promotes and selects cells with malignant behaviors. This acidification results in diffusion of H⁺ ions along concentration gradients into peritumoral normal tissue. Normal cells are unable to survive under such conditions because these cells do not have a mechanism that allows them to adapt to extracellular acidosis (e.g. p53 mutations), whereas the tumour cells continue to proliferate (Gatenby and Gawlinski, 1996; Morita et al., 1992; Raghunand et al., 2003; Ruch et al., 1990). Thus, it has been proposed that the increased glycolysis is a cellular adaptation of cancer cells to hypoxia (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004).
Hypoxia has been associated with drug resistance and reduced sensitivity to radiotherapy, due in part to the up-regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) (Semenza 1998), which activates the expression of important target genes involved in processes that promote tumor development, such as angiogenesis, glucose uptake, glycolysis, growth factor signalling, apoptosis, invasion and metastasis (Yasuda et al. 2004). However, cells with normal HIF-1 levels may display the glycolytic phenotype through of multiple cellular pathways, so that altered glucose metabolism (Osthus et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2015). The hypoxic environment and the acidification environment accelerates the cancer progression and the resistance to therapeutic strategies (Noguchi et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2012). The therapeutic resistance associated with the hypoxic environment is a common problem in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, and the inhibition of glycolysis is a potential therapeutic strategy for hepatocellular carcinoma treatment (Liu et al. 2001).

Considering that cancer cells need high glycolytic activity in order to survive and grow, it was hypothesized that inhibition of glycolysis may severely abolish ATP generation in cancer cells and thus, may preferentially kill the malignant cells (Munoz-Pinedo et al., 2003; Izyumov et al., 2004). When glycolysis is inhibited, the intact mitochondria in normal and cancer cells allow them to use alternative energy sources, such as fatty acids and amino acids to produce metabolic intermediates to the Krebs cycle (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004; Xu et al., 2005). Taking into account that cancer cells produce less ATP through the electron transport chain, due to a hypoxic environment, the hepatocellular carcinoma cells have no other alternative than glycolysis to generate ATP. Therefore, using glycolytic inhibitors, that are particularly effective against cancer cells under hypoxic conditions, may reveal an effective therapy to treat hepatocellular carcinoma (Han et al., 2013; Lincet and Icard, 2014).

Glucose analogues (Liu et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2002), inhibition of the GLUT-1 (Amann and Hellerbrand, 2009; Pelicano et al., 2006), inhibition of glycolytic enzymes, such as HK II and LDH, reviewed by (Pelicano et al., 2006), and inhibition of pentose phosphate pathway (Fantin and Leder, 2006) are some of the strategies already tested to inhibit the tumorigenic process through the glycolysis inhibition.

Due to lack of effective therapies for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, it is particularly important to find new therapies that allow reducing the mortality rate of this type of cancer. In this context, the human amniotic membrane (hAM) as a potential therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma has recently emerged (Mamede et al., 2014).

1.2. Human amniotic membrane

1.2.1. Structure and function

The placenta is a highly specialized organ that supports the normal development of the embryo/fetus through all the gestation period. This organ consists of two fetal membranes that do not fuse histologically and remain separated: an outer layer (chorion) and an inner layer (hAM or amnion). The hAM delimits the amniotic cavity, which is filled with the amniotic fluid.

The hAM is constituted by a simple epithelium, a thick basement membrane and a mesenchymal matrix that can be divided into compact layer, fibroblast layer, and intermediate (spongy) layer, as shown in Figure 7 (Niknejad et al., 2008; Mamede et al., 2012).



Figure 7. The placenta structure. The placenta is composed of two membranes: the chorion and the hAM. The hAM is constituted by three major layers: a single epithelial layer, a thick basement membrane, and an avascular mesenchyme. Adapted from (Kang et al., 2012a).

Histologically, the hAM varies from conception to maturity and several different patterns are often noted (Pollard et al., 1976). hAM is a thin and avascular membrane and their thickness can vary between 0.02 mm and 0.5 mm. The inner membranes of the placenta do not have blood vessels, nerves or muscles (Evangelista et al., 2008). The inner layer is constituted by a single homogeneous layer of cuboidal epithelial cells firmly fixed to the basement membrane, which is attached to a condensed acellular layer of mesenchymal membrane, composed of collagen type I, II and V reviewed by (Mamede et al., 2012). From a structural perspective, the amniotic membrane cells are connected to each other by numerous desmosomes (King 1982).

The epithelial layer is the innermost layer of the hAM, contacting with amniotic fluid. It is constituted by amniotic epithelial cells (hAECs) that express epidermal markers, such as EGF (Tabatabaei et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011). The hAECs have a high number of organelles and several pinocytic vesicles and a big, irregular and homogeneous nucleus. In addition, the hAECs have many microvilli on their apical surface, which may be related to the role of hAECs in intracellular and transcellular transport, as well as in active secretory function (Pollard et al., 1976).

The basement layer is the intermediate layer of the hAM, being the thickest membrane found in human tissues, and serve as a permeability barrier to amniotic macromolecules and several molecules with a structural function, such as actin or spectrin, which will allow the maintenance of the membrane integrity. This layer is constituted mainly by collagen, elastin, laminin, fibronectin and proteoglycans. Collagens of type I, III, IV, V, and VI are the most abundant in basement layer and are responsible for a mechanical response (Malak et al. 1993). Elastin is present in hAM in high concentration and is capable of forming an elastic network (Bryant-Greenwood 1998). Laminin (Wolf et al., 1991; Akashi et al., 1999), fibronectin (Clamp, 1975; Bryant-Greenwood, 1998) and proteoglycans (lozzo 2005) are responsible for the maintenance of the hAM integrity.

As shown in Figure 8, the mesenchymal layer can be divided into three different layers: compact layer, fibroblast layer, and intermediate (spongy) layer. The compact layer is the main fibrous skeleton of hAM and is rich in collagen, that provides to hAM a major tensile strength. The fibroblast layer is rich in human amniotic membrane mesenchymal cells (hAMCs), which derives from the mesodermal embryonic plate. The spongy layer is rich in proteoglycans and glycoproteins, which are responsible for the spongy appearance reviewed by (Parry and Strauss, 1998). This layer contains a non-fibrillar meshwork mainly constituted by type III collagen (Casey and MacDonald, 1996); reviewed by (Parry and Strauss, 1998).



Figure 8 . Histologic section of hAM, obtained through hematoxylin-eosin staining. hAM has three main layers: epithelial layer, basement membrane and mesenchymal layer, which can be divided into a compact layer, fibroblast layer, and spongy layer. Retrieved from (Riau et al.,2010).

The hAM has several metabolic functions during gestation, providing to the developing embryo/fetus protection against desiccation and an environment of suspension, where the embryo/fetus can grow free from pressures of the structures that surround (Sadler, 2000).

The characteristic resistance of the hAM is mainly attributed to the layer of collagen type I, II and elastin. However, the elasticity of the hAM is mainly attributed to collagen type III (Sadler, 2000). Because of the presence of these collagens, one of the important properties of hAM is its resistance to proteolytic factors (Fukuda et al., 1999).

During the pregnancy, hAM has a crucial role in the transport of water, nutrients, and oxygen between the blood vessels of mother and the amniotic liquid (Evangelista, Soncini, and Parolini 2008), and it is also responsible for the regulation of pH in the amniotic fluid (Akashi et al. 1999). This regulation is dependent of the carbonic anhydrase enzymes, which are expressed in amniotic epithelial cells (Crescimanno et al., 1993; Mühlhauser et al., 1994).

During the birth, the hAM plays an important role in the initiation and maintenance of uterine contraction, through the action of prostaglandins and enzymes integrated into the synthesis of the prostaglandins. The amniotic epithelium is the main source of prostaglandins, especially prostaglandin E2 (Okazaki et al., 1981) and also expresses prostaglandin-biosynthesis enzymes, such as phospholipase, prostaglandin synthase and cyclooxygenase (Bryant-Greenwood 1998). The expression of these enzymes is regulated by human chorionic gonadotropin (Toth et al., 1996) and IL-1B (Benedetto et al. 1990).

1.2.2. Properties of human amniotic membrane

The hAM has become a subject of study due to their attractive properties, which determine its application in several human diseases. Studies have shown that the hAM has the following properties: antimicrobial, antiviral, immunoregulatory, anti-adherent, anti-inflammatory, bacteriostatic, reepithelializing, anti-scarring, anti-angiogenic and it is nontumorigenic reviewed by (Toda et al., 2007). Also, the hAM has the ability to synthesize molecules with pro-apoptotic action (Mamede et al. 2012; Seo et al., 2008).

The antiangiogenic effect of the hAM is one of the main properties for its application in reconstructive medicine, such as ocular surface reconstruction (Kim and Tseng, 1995). The pluripotency of amnion-derived cells, associated to its low immunogenicity, gives hAM the potential of application for transplantation purposes reviewed by (Toda et al., 2007). In fact, some studies have shown a negligible immunological response after hAM transplantation (Akle et al., 1981; Kamiya et al., 2005).

Regarding the application of hAM as a potential anti-cancer therapy, the antiangiogenic, immunoregulatory, pro-apoptotic and nontumorigenic activity may largely contribute for the hAM anti-cancer potential (Seo, Kim, and Kim 2008).

The angiogenic switch process is important for tumor growth since the tumor cells have the ability to destroy the immunologic barrier for invasion and metastasis (Seo, Kim, and Kim 2008). Therefore, the hAM may be used as an antiangiogenic mediator and immunologic barrier, as well as the amniotic cell culture, which has anti-angiogenic properties and contains potent inhibitors of neovascularization (Hao et al., 2000; Shao et al., 2004). This antiangiogenic activity may be due to the antiangiogenic chemicals found principally in epithelial and mesenchymal cells of hAM, such as collagen XVIII, thrombospondin-1, IL-10, IL-1 receptor antagonist, and tissue inhibitor of metalloprotease 1, 2, 3 and 4 (Hao et al. 2000). In addition, it was found that the pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF), a potent antiangiogenic factor, is present at high levels in the basal membrane of hAM (Shao et al. 2004). In fact, the PEDF specifically inhibits endothelial cell growth, suppressing neovascularization in the cornea (Shao et al. 2004). The antiangiogenic mechanism of hAM can also be explained by the action of hAM as a physical barrier that prevents migration and vascular endothelial growth (Hao et al. 2000).

The immunoregulatory activity of the hAM inhibits the inflammatory response, namely through the epithelial cells that secrete soluble factors involved in inhibition of innate immune and adaptive immune system cells (Li et al., 2005). The hAM cells do not express human leukocyte antigen (HLA) -A, -B, -C, -DR or B2-microglobulin, and consequently, it presents low immunogenicity (Adinolfi et al. 1982). The hAM express differential no classical HLA, namely HLA-G, that is capable of inactivating lymphocytes and dendritic cells, as well as to suppress the host T cells by binding CD8 and HLA-G (Wang et al. 2006). Thus, it is thought that hAM can be used as a physical barrier against numerous factors that can initiate inflammatory processes, thereby maintaining the inflammatory cells in the infected area and thus reducing the number of inflammatory mediators involved in the tumoral response (Shimmura et al., 2001).

Concerning the pro-apoptotic activity, there are some studies showing that hAM secretes some pro-apoptotic factors, such as interferon gamma (IFN- γ). It was demonstrated that hAM induces apoptosis of interferon-activated macrophages (Li et al., 2006). Moreover, the hAM may accelerate apoptosis of polymorphonuclear neutrophils and reduce inflammation (Zhou et al., 2003).

1.3. Human amniotic membrane and cancer

Considering the attractive properties of hAM, such as immunoregulatory, anti-angiogenic and pro-apoptotic activities, in 2008 Seo et al. hypothesized that the hAM can be useful in the treatment of cancer.

In 2012, it was published a review article focusing on the attractive properties of hAM in cancer treatment (Mamede et al., 2012). Overall, the low immunogenicity of hAM suggests

that hAM will not be rejected by the host immune system after transplantation. The hAM may also act as a natural physical barrier around the tumor, preventing the supply of the nutrients and oxygen to the tumor cells through the synthesis of several antiangiogenic factors, which inhibits tumor growth (Mamede et al., 2012).

The majority of studies that evaluated the application of hAM in the treatment of cancer were performed using the hAMCs. Using direct or indirect co-cultures of hAMCs with hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cancer cell lines, a decreased proliferation in cancer cells was observed in response to hAMCs treatment (Jiao et al., 2012; Khakoo et al., 2006; Magatti et al., 2012). Magatti and colleagues suggests the involvement of soluble inhibitory factors secreted by hAMCs and the cell-cell contact in the effect of hAMCs that is mediated by cell cycle arrest (Magatti et al. 2012), but another study also showed that the treatment with hAMCs inhibits the glioma grow through the activation of apoptosis by cell-cell contact (Jiao et al. 2012). However, several studies have demonstrated that hAMCs regulate tumor cell growth negatively and positively (Magatti et al. 2012). These inconsistencies may due to the heterogeneity of hAMCs (Klopp et al. 2011), yet that the tumor promotion or suppression appear to result in cell-to-cell interactions by direct contact or through secreted molecules such as growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines. For this, it is very important the study carefully the interaction between hAMCs and cancer cells.

Instead of using hAMCs there are studies using the hAECs, which are able to inhibit the tumor growth, namely breast cancer cells (Kang et al., 2012b; Niknejad and Yazdanpanah, 2014). Several studies indicated that the anti-cancer effects of hAECs were due to the cell-cell contact and soluble inhibitory factors secreted (Kang et al., 2012), or due to only soluble inhibitory factors secreted for hAECs by apoptosis induction (Niknejad and Yazdanpanah, 2014). Other studies indicate that the anti-cancer effects of hAECs were due to the cell-cell contact and secretion of soluble anti-angiogenic factors (Niknejad et al., 2013; Yazdanpanah et al., 2015). This effect of hAECs was due to the inhibition of heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90), which activates apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and inhibits angiogenesis (Niknejad et al., 2013b). HSP-90 is a strong inducer of cell cycle progression and also inhibits apoptosis in cancer cells. However, it seems that other signalling pathways could be involved in anti-cancer effects of the amniotic membrane, through amnion-derived cells that induce cytotoxicity by the secretion of cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) - α and - β , macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), IFN- γ and transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) and IL-2, -4 and -3 (Kang et al., 2012a; Kucerova et al., 2007).

Considering the several studies on the anti-cancer properties of hAM, Niknejad hypothesized that, within hAM, different cell types may employ different mechanisms to inhibit cancer cell proliferation. The hAECs cells are responsible for the induction of apoptosis and angiogenesis inhibition in tumor cells, and the hAMCs cells are responsible for apoptosis induction and cell

cycle arrest of tumor cells, may leading to cell death by different mechanisms (Niknejad and Yazdanpanah, 2014).

In spite of these inconsistencies about the hAECs and hAMCs mentioned here, the amnionderived cells have several advantages compared with the recurrent therapies for cancer and the regenerative medicine. However, further work is necessary to develop and prepare the amnion-derived cells for clinical applications.

Some studies also found that hAM-derived cells and their supernatant are able to induce apoptosis in glioma, cervical cancer and breast cancer cell lines (Jiao et al., 2012; Niknejad et al., 2014).

Taking into account, the attractive properties of the amnion-derived cells, in an innovative way, Mamede and colleagues have evaluated the protein extract of amniotic membrane (hAMPE) in cancer therapy, whose extract is obtained from epithelial and mesenchymal cells, as well as the extracellular matrix. In 2012, the effect of hAMPE in the metabolic activity was evaluated on 21 human cancer cell lines. It was demonstrated that the hAMPE can inhibit the metabolic activity of some human cancer cell lines. However, research about this cell line-dependent response to hAMPE treatment becomes indispensable, as shown in Table 3.

Cancer	Cell line	Effect of hAMPE
Prostate	PC3	Decreased 54%
	LNCaP	No effect
Breast	HCC1806	Decreased 25-50%
	MCF7	No effect
	HCC1954	Stimulated
Colon	LS1034	Stimulated
	WiDr	Decreased >50%
	C2BBe1	Stimulated
Lung	A549	No effect
	H1299	Decreased <25 %

Table 3. Metabolic activity of several human cancer cell lines evaluated after incubation of hAMPE. Adapted from (Mamede et al., 2015).

Pancreas	PANC-1	Decreased >50%
	MIA PaCa-2	Decreased 25-50%
Hepatocellular carcinoma	HuH7	Decreased 25-50%
	HepG2	Decreased >50%
	Hep3B2.1-7	Decreased >50%
Others	TFK1 (bile duct carcinoma)	Stimulated
	A375 (melanoma)	Decreased 25-50%
	MNNG/HOS (osteosarcoma)	Decreased 25-50%
	ECC-1 (endometrial)	Decreased <25 %
	HT-1376 (bladder)	Decreased 25-50%
	OE19 (esophageal)	Decreased 25-50%

Since it was proven that hAMPE is able to inhibit the metabolic activity of several human cancer cell lines, namely in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma. Our research group has studied the pathways by which the hAMPE act on hepatocellular carcinoma. It was demonstrated that hAMPE is capable of inducing cytotoxicity and cell death in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma. The hAMPE decreased the metabolic activity, protein and DNA content in a dose and time-dependent, and induced cell morphology alterations in all cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma, but the mechanism associated with cell death is dependent on the cell line, as suggested by *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. The hAMPE is able to induce apoptosis in all hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, but also induces necrosis in HepG2. The apoptosis in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines is induced via membrane receptor-mediated mechanism, while in HuH7 cell line is induced via mitochondrial pathway (Mamede et al., 2015). In addition, the results showed that hAMPE have selective cytotoxicity because does not affect a non-tumorigenic human cell line (Mamede et al., 2015).

Recently, our research group investigated the cellular targets of hAM protein extracts (hAMPE) in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines through *in vitro* studies. It was demonstrated that hAMPE is able to modify oxidative stress environment in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, as well as its cell cycle (Brito et al., 2012). The cellular and protein targets of the hAMPE were different between the hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, such as DNA, p53, p21, B-catenin and multidrug resistance proteins. Thus, it is clear that the success of this treatment depends on of a personalized therapy based on the biological and genetic characteristics of the tumor (Mamede et al., 2016).

Chapter 2 - Aims

The attractive properties of hAM, such as its antiangiogenic, proapoptotic and antiinflammatory activity, reviewed by (Mamede et al., 2012), encouraged to study the antitumor effects of hAM. Our research group demonstrated that hAMPE is capable of inducing cytotoxicity selectively, cell death, decreased the metabolic activity, protein and DNA content in a dose and time-dependent, and induced cell morphology and oxidative stress environment alterations in Hep3B2.1-7, hepG2 and HuH7 cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma, as well as its cell cycle, but the mechanism associated to death type is dependent on cell line. Since there are no known fully signaling pathways and mechanisms of action of hAMPE, it is important to explore the effect of hAMPE.

The hAMPE induce death by apoptosis in all hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines for different pathways but induce only necrosis in HepG2. In addition, some targets of hAMPE were identified, such as DNA, p53, p21, B-catenin and multidrug resistance proteins.

Taking into account the results obtained so far by our research group, associated with increased glycolytic metabolism in cancer cells, this work aims to study the effect of hAMPE treatment in the glucose metabolism of hepatocellular carcinoma. In order to achieve this goal, three different human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines were used to:

- Evaluate the glucose consumption and lactate production in response to hAMPE treatment through proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR);
- 2. Determine the expression of glucose transporters, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and monocarboxylate transporter isoform 4 (MCT4), in response to hAMPE treatment by Western blot (WB) and/or fluorescence analysis.

Chapter 3 - Material and methods

3.1. Collection of the human amniotic membrane

The hAM was obtained donors undergoing elective caesarean section without medical and social history, no history of clinical complications and negative serological tests for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), HBV, HCV and syphilis. In this study hAM from eutocic childbirths were not used to eliminate the risk of contamination by vaginal microbial population, both saprophytic and pathogenic. After obtaining informed consent, written according to the guidelines of the Ethics Committee for Health of the Coimbra Hospital and University Centre (project approved on 25 January 2013 with the CHUC-70-12, annex A and B reference).

Harvesting the hAM was performed at the Obstetrics Service by Hospital and University of Coimbra by the responsible medical team. Immediately after harvest, the hAM was conditioned in 0.9% sodium chloride in a sterile and airtight container and then transported to the laboratory.

3.2. Reception of the human amniotic membrane

Upon receipt in the laboratory, the container used to transport the hAM was opened inside a laminar flow chamber (Heraeus Holten, HBB 2448). Under aseptic conditions, the hAM was placed in Petri plates (Corning) and washed with phosphate buffered solution [PBS: 137 mM NaCl (Sigma, S7653), 2.7 mM KCl (Sigma, P9333), 10 mM Na₂HPO₄ (Sigma, S3139), 2 mM KH₂PO₄ (Sigma, P0662), pH =7.4] with 2 % antibiotic/antimycotic (10 000 U/mL penicillin, 10 mg/mL streptomycin and 25 μ g/mL amphotericin - Sigma, A5955). The hAM was washed several times with this solution until all of the blood is removed.

3.3. Extraction and quantification of proteins

In order to prepare the hAMPE resorted to the protocol described by our research group (Mamede et al., 2014), which was based on the protocol described by (Shao et al., 2004) with some modifications. The protein extraction was carried out using a mechanical procedure without the addition of detergents. Thus, the hAM was mechanically separated from chorion and cut into small pieces with the aid of forceps and a scalpel, disrupted in PBS with the aid of a small grinder (Tefal, great Compact) and placed in a centrifuge tube (Corning). After homogenisation (Heidolph Multifix M80) using a homogenizer of Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer (Thomas B307), the tissue homogenates were placed alternately in an ultrasonic bath (Eurosonic 22) and ice (1 minute each during 3 times) and then centrifuged the 14000 G for 15

minutes at room temperature 4 °C (Hettich Mikro 22R). After centrifugation, supernatants were stored at -80 °C (Thermo Scientific, HERAfreeze HFU T-Series). The entire protocol was performed on ice.

Subsequently, supernatants were filtered using a 0,45 μ m filter (Whatman / GE Healthcare 10,462,100) under sterile conditions and aseptically and was subsequently aliquoted to different centrifuge tubes. Finally, the proteins present in the extract were quantified using the NanoDrop® (ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, USA).

3.4. Cell culture

Three human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines were used in this study, namely Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7. The Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and the HuH7 cell line was purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB). The cell lines were thawed and cultured in adherent culture in accordance with the recommendations of the respective suppliers. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Sigma, D5648), pH 7.4, supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma, F7524), 1 % antibiotic/antimycotic (Sigma A5955) and 0.25 % sodium pyruvate (Gibco, 11360), and incubated at 37 °C with 5 % CO_2 .

The concentration of cell suspensions for each cell line was based on the cell count obtained by the trypan blue method, which allows assessing the cell viability by the differential calculus between the shiny appearance of the viable cells and the dead cells blue staining. Viable cells have intact membranes, which prevents the dye penetration, while the nonviable cells have a permeable membrane, allowing the entry of the dye.

Thus, to determine the concentration of each cell suspension, obtained after harvesting the cells using trypsin (Gibco 25200), 20 μ L of each cell suspension was diluted in the same volume of trypan blue (Sigma, T0776). This suspension was placed in a Neubauer chamber (Marienfeld) and the cells were counted using an inverted optical microscope ((Nikon Eclipse TS100).

3.5. Experimental design

With the purpose to evaluate the glycolic profile in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines after hAMPE treatment, 6×10^6 of each hepatocellular carcinoma cell line were cultured. After 90% of cellular confluence, the culture medium was replaced and 1 µg/µL of hAMPE was added to the cells. 600 µl of culture medium was collected at 0 h and 24 h for proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) analysis. After 24 h of stimulation, cells were trypsinized, collected and stored at - 80 °C, until protein extraction for Western Blot (WB) analysis.

On the other hand, approximately, 1×10^6 of hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines were cultured and, after 90% of cellular confluence, the culture medium was replaced and $1 \mu g/\mu L$ hAMPE was added for 24 h. GLUT-1 and -3 expressions were analyzed by fluorescence, as shown in figure 10.

The experiments were conducted with cells incubated in the absence (control) and the presence of hAMPE.



Figure 9. Experimental design.

3.6. Glucose consumption and lactate production by ¹H NMR

The ¹H NMR has taken an important role in metabolic analysis both in vivo and *in vitro* and ex vivo. This protagonist comes essentially from its non-destructive character, allowing their use in clinical studies and also the only information that provides the specific metabolic pathways. This analysis methodology of stable isotopes for NMR allows the quantification of populations of these isotopes of various metabolic intermediates most abundant such as lactate and glucose (Tavares et al., 2015; Vaz et al., 2012).

For this, ¹H NMR is particularly useful in the assessment of changes induced by hAMPE in glycolytic metabolism. ¹H NMR procedures were performed, as previously described, to evaluate the glucose consumption and lactate production.

Culture medium (600 μ L) collected at 0 h and 24 h was used for ¹H NMR analysis by means of standard methods, as described by (Rato et al. 2012). For each sample, 40 μ l of 10 mM sodium fumarate solutions at 99.9 % deuterium oxide (D₂O or ²H₂O) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Cambridge, MA, USA) was added, as an internal reference (6.50 ppm) to quantify the metabolites in solution. ¹H NMR spectra of the collected samples were acquired

at 14.1 Tesla, 25 °C, using a Varian 600 MHz spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a 3 mm indirect detection probe with z-gradient. Solvent-suppressed ¹H NMR spectra were acquired with 6 kHz sweep width, using 14 s delay for allowing total proton relaxation, 3 s water pre-saturation, 45° pulse angle, 3.5 s acquisition time, and at least 64 scans. The metabolite spin systems were assigned using the chemical shifts found in the literature and the following metabolites were determined: lactate production and glucose consumption compared comparing the control and treatment at 0 h and 24 h. The following metabolites were determined whenever present: lactate, doublet located at 1.33 ppm and H^1 - α glucose, doublet at 5.22 ppm.

The data were processed and displayed offline using the curve-fitting routine supplied with the NUTSproTM NMR spectral analysis program (Acorn, NMR Inc., Fremont, CA, USA). Glucose consumption and lactate production per cell were calculated by measuring the accumulated variation (versus time zero) at the defined time period 24 h and dividing it by the total number of cells in each flask. The concentrations obtained for the different metabolites were corrected for the removed volumes of medium.

3.7. Protein quantification by WB

WB is a widely used analytical technique used to detect specific proteins in a sample of tissue homogenate or extract. It uses gel electrophoresis to separate native proteins by the length of the polypeptide. WB procedures were performed, as previously described, to evaluate the expression of LDH and monocarboxylate transporter isoform 4 (MCT4) (Alves et al., 2011a).

Total proteins were extracted from hepatocellular cancer cells using RIPA buffer [150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet-P40 substitute, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1%, 50 mM Tris, pH 8 and 1 mM EDTA]. The protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA^m Protein Assay kit, Pierce). 20 µg of each sample protein were sonicated on ice for 2 min and denatured at 55°C for 5 minutes and were fractionated on 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate/ polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel at 120 V for 90 minutes.

The separated proteins were electrotransferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK), previously activated with methanol and BioRad electrotransfer buffer, at 750 mA for 90 minutes. Next, the membrane was blocked for 1 h in a 5% non-fat milk solution at room temperature.

Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with rabbit anti-MCT4 (1:1000, sc-50329, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and anti-LDH (1:10000, Ab52488, Abcam) primary antibodies. A mouse monoclonal antibody anti-alpha tubulin (1:5000, T9026, Sigma) was used for protein loading

control in all WB analysis. Goat anti-rabbit IgG-AP (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as secondary antibodies.

Membranes were incubated with Enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) substrate (GE Healthcare), a fluorescent substrate for alkaline phosphatase-based detection, for up to 5 minutes and detected with the Molecular Imager FX Pro plus MultiImager (Bio-Rad), according to standard methods.

Band densities were obtained according to standard methods using the Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad) and normalized by division with the respective alpha-tubulin band density. Results are presented as fold variation relative to control.

3.8. GLUT-1 and -3 expression evaluation by fluorescence

In order to evaluate the glucose transporters expression, approximately, 1×10^{6} HuH7, HepG2 and Hep3B2.1-7 cells were incubated with 1 µg /µL of hAMPE for 24 h. After this incubation period, cells were trypsinized, centrifuged (1300 xg for 5 min) and washed with PBS by centrifugation. Subsequently, cells were incubated with anti-GLUT1 conjugated with phycoerythrin (PE) fluorochrome (3 µL - R&D Systems, FAB1418P) or anti-GLUT3 (3 µL - R&D Systems, MAB1415) for 15 minutes in the dark. Next, the cells were washed with PBS by centrifugation (1300 xg for 5 minutes).

The cells stained with anti-GLUT-1 were resuspended in 200 μ L PBS. On the other hand, the cells stained with anti-GLUT-3 were incubated with anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to PE fluorochrome (1: 100 - Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-3738). After 20 minutes of incubation, the cells were washed with PBS by centrifugation (1300 xg for 5 min) and resuspended in 200 μ L of PBS. The cells were then excited using for this purpose a wavelength of 485/20 nm. For fluorescence detection, it was used an emission wavelength of 590/35 nm using a multi-detection plate reader.

3.9. Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of differences between the treatment with hAMPE and control was assessed by unpaired t-test, followed by Bonferroni post-test (GraphPad Software) and the differences between cell lines was assessed by two-way ANOVA. All experimental data are shown as mean \pm SEM (n = 5 for each condition), significant differences were considered when p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001.

Chapter 4 - Results

4.1. Glucose consumption evaluation by ¹H NMR

In order to determine whether hAMPE induced alterations of glucose consumption on Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, we resorted to the use of the ¹H NMR technique. Figure 10, represents the results obtained regarding the glucose consumption in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, after 24 hours of incubation in the presence of 1 μ g/ μ L of hAMPE. All results were normalized to the respective control, i.e., cells from each cell line not treated with hAMPE.

In figure 10, a significant increase of glucose consumption in Hep3B2.1-, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines was observed after treatment with hAMPE when compared with control. The increase of glucose was 23.11 ± 12.49 vs. 7.53 ± 3.82 pmol/cell, 13.94 ± 7.22 vs. 5.44 ± 2.37 , and 51.85 ± 10.45 vs. 22.87 ± 14.32 pmol/cell in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cancer cell lines, respectively. Besides that, it was observed significant differences between the cell lines, a significant increase of glucose consumption in HuH7 cell line after treatment with hAMPE when compared with Hep3B2.1-7 (p < 0.01) and HepG2 (p < 0.001).



Figure 10. Evaluation of glucose consumption by ¹H NMR in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n=5); * significantly different when compared with control (p <0.05); ** significantly different when compared with control (p < 0.01); **** significantly different when compared with control (p < 0.001).

4.2. Lactate production evaluation by ¹H NMR

In order to determine if hAMPE induced alterations of lactate production in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, we resorted to the use of the ¹H NMR technique. Figure 11 represents the results obtained regarding the lactate production in Hep3B2.1-, HepG2, and HuH7 cancer cell lines, after 24 hours of incubation in the presence of 1 μ g/ μ L of hAMPE. All results were normalized to the respective control, i.e., cells from each cell line not treated with hAMPE.

The treatment with hAMPE was not able to induce statistically significant changes in lactate production in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines when compared with control. However, a significant decrease ($20.62 \pm 7.61 \text{ vs.} 33.87 \pm 8.80 \text{ pmol/cell}$) in the levels of lactate in HuH7 cell line was detected. Besides that, it was observed significant differences between the cell lines, a significant increase of lactate production in HepG2 cell line after treatment with hAMPE when compared with Hep3B2.1-7 (p < 0.01) and a significant decrease of lactate production in HuH7 cell line after treatment with hAMPE when compared with Hep3B2.1-7 (p < 0.01) and a significant decrease of lactate production in HuH7 cell line after treatment with hAMPE when compared with HepG2 (p < 0.05).



Figure 11. Lactate production evaluation by ¹H NMR in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, respectively. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n=5); * significantly different when compared with control (p <0.05); ** significantly different when compared with control (p < 0.01).

On the other hand, we compared the results obtained and the results expected, considering the stoichiometry 1: 2, in the conversion of 1 molecule of glucose to two molecules of lactate. Figure 12 represents the results obtained regarding the lactate production in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cancer cell lines and the results expected to take into account the results obtained of the glucose consumption.

The treatment with hAMPE was able to induce statistically significant changes in all cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma. The lactate production was lower than expected, in Hep3B2.1-7 was 23.78 \pm 10.28 vs 46.22, in HepG2, was 4.52 \pm 1.85 vs 27.87 and in HuH7 was 20.62 \pm 7.61 vs 103.70.



Figure 12. Lactate production in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, respectively. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n=5); * significantly different when compared with results expected of lactate production (p <0.05); ** significantly different when compared with results expected of lactate production (p < 0.001).

4.3. Expression of GLUT-1 and GLUT-3 evaluation by fluorescence

In order to determine whether hAMPE induced alterations in expression of GLUT in the hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines under study, Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7, we resorted to the use of specific antibodies against the GLUT-1 and GLUT-3 and to the fluorescence technique. Figure 13 and Figure 14, which represent the expression of GLUT-1 and GLUT-3, respectively, represent the results obtained for Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, after 24 hours of incubation in the presence of 1 μ g/ μ L of hAMPE and after cell labeling with antibodies above mentioned. All results were normalized to the respective control.

4.3.1. Expression of GLUT-1

In Figure 13, we can see that the treatment with hAMPE increased 13% (p <0.05) the expression of GLUT-1 cell line Hep3B2.1-7 cells relatively to control. On the other hand, the same treatment was not able to induce statistically significant changes in expression of GLUT-1 on HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines.



Figure 13 . GLUT-1 expression evaluation by fluorescence in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines after incubation with $1\mu g/\mu L$ of hAMPE. Results are normalized to control (cells not treated with hAMPE), which was assigned a value of 1. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n=5); * significantly different when compared with control (p <0.05).

4.3.2. Expression of GLUT-3

The treatment with hAMPE decreased 14% (p <0.05) the expression of the GLUT-3 in HuH7 cell line. However, the same experimental conditions were unable to alter the expression of the same protein transporter only in HepG2 and Hep3B2.1-7 cells, as can be seen in Figure 14.



Figure 14 . GLUT-3 expression evaluation by fluorescence in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines after incubation with $1\mu g/\mu L$ of hAMPE. Results are normalized to control (cells not treated with hAMPE), which was assigned a value of 1. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n=5); * significantly different when compared with control (p <0.05).

4.5. Expression of LDH evaluation by WB

In order to determine whether hAMPE induced alterations in the expression of LDH on hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines under study, Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7, we resorted

to the use of specific antibodies against LDH by WB technique Figure 15 shows the results obtained regarding the expression of LDH for Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, after 24 hours of incubation in the presence of 1 μ g/ μ L of hAMPE and after cell labeling with antibodies above mentioned. All results were normalized to the respective control.

In Figure 15, we can see that after treatment with hAMPE, the expression of LDH increased in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines (4.87- and 1.41-fold variation relative to control, respectively). On the other hand, the same treatment decreased the expression of LDH expression in HuH7 cell line (0.61-fold variation relative to control).



Figure 15. LDH expression in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 determined by Western Blot. (A) Pooled data of independent experiments indicate protein fold variation relatively to control; (B) Representative SDS-PAGE protein bands. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 5). * Significantly different when compared with control (p < 0.05).

4.6. Expression of MCT4 evaluation by WB

In order to determine whether hAMPE induced alterations in the expression of MCT4 on the Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, we resorted to the use of specific antibodies against the MCT4 by WB technique. Figure 16 represents the results obtained regarding the expression of MCT4 for Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, after 24 hours of incubation with 1 μ g/ μ L of hAMPE. All results were normalized to the respective control.

In Figure 16, we can see that after hAMPE treatment, the expression of MCT4 increased in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines (20.41- and 1.14-fold variation relative to control, respectively). On the other hand, the same treatment decreased the expression of MCT4 in the HuH7 cell line (0.38-fold variation relative to control).



Figure 16. MCT4 expression in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2 and HuH7 determined by Western Blot. (A) Pooled data of independent experiments indicate protein fold variation relatively to control; (B) Representative SDS-PAGE protein bands. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 5). * Significantly different when compared with control (p < 0.05).

Chapter 5 - Discussion

Hepatocellular carcinoma represents a major public health problem worldwide since it is a major cause of morbidity and mortality (Llovet et al., 2008; Gomes et al., 2013). Hepatocellular carcinoma has a poor prognosis and it is associated with a high mortality rate, mainly due to the advanced stage at which most patients are diagnosed. When detected at the initial stage, the treatment options commonly used are surgical resection and liver transplantation (Llovet and Bruix, 2003; Bruix and Sherman, 2005). However, the majority of the patients with hepatocellular carcinoma are not eligible for the treatment approaches described above. In these cases, radiotherapy and chemotherapy are the most commonly used options. Unfortunately, the cancer cells commonly become radio- and chemoresistance (Johnson, 2000). Due to the lack of effective therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma, it is urgent to find novel approaches for the treatment of this malignancy in order to reduce its mortality rate.

In the last de decade. some research groups have pointed out the human amniotic membrane as a potential therapy for cancer (Kang et al., 2012; Mamede et al., 2012; Mamede et al., 2014). The majority of studies, which evaluated the application of hAM in the treatment of cancer, were performed using the amnion-derived cells, hAMCs or hAECs, or its conditioned medium.

Magatti and colleagues suggested the involvement of soluble inhibitory factors secreted by hAMCs and the cell-cell contact in the effect of hAMCs that is mediated by cell cycle arrest (Magatti et al. 2012). Another study also showed that the treatment with hAMCs inhibits the glioma growth through the activation of apoptosis by cell-cell contact (Jiao et al. 2012). However, several studies have demonstrated that hAMCs regulate tumor cell growth negatively and positively (Magatti et al. 2012). These inconsistencies may due to the heterogeneity of hAMCs (Klopp et al. 2011), yet that the tumor promotion or suppression appear to result in cell-to-cell interactions by direct contact or through secreted molecules such as growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines. For this, it is very important the study carefully the interaction between hAMCs and cancer cells. Also, several studies have demonstrated that hAMCs inhibits breast cancer cell growth (Karnoub et al., 2007;Khakoo et al., 2006).

The treatment with hAECs appears to be able to inhibit the tumor growth, namely MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (Kang et al., 2012b; Niknejad and Yazdanpanah, 2014). The anticancer effects of hAECs were due to the induction of apoptosis by cell-cell contact and secretion of soluble anti-angiogenic factors (Kang et al., 2012; Niknejad et al., 2013; Niknejad et al., 2013b; Niknejad and Yazdanpanah, 2014; Yazdanpanah et al., 2015). Taking into account the attractive properties of the amnion-derived cells, in an innovative way, our research team has decided to evaluate the effect of hAMPE in cancer therapy. Thus, we evaluated not only the amnion-derived cells but also the amnion extracellular matrix, in cancer therapy. In 2012, our team studied the effect of hAMPE in the metabolic activity of 21 human cancer cell lines. It was demonstrated that the hAMPE can inhibit the metabolic activity of some human cancer cell lines, being the treatment highly selective. The human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines evaluated, Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 are highly responsive to hAMPE treatment, since its metabolic activity was inhibited (Mamede et al., 2014).

Since it was proven that hAMPE is able to inhibit the metabolic activity in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines, our research group has been investigating the action mechanisms of hAMPE in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Until now, it was demonstrated that hAMPE is capable of inducing selective cytotoxicity and cell death, and also that the hAMPE treatment decreased the metabolic activity, protein and DNA content in a dose and time-dependent manner in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. However, the mechanisms associated with death type are dependent on each cell line, since the apoptosis was induced in all hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines by different pathways. hAMPE also induced necrosis in HepG2 cell line (Mamede et al., 2015).

Recently, our research group investigated the cellular targets of hAMPE in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines through *in vitro* studies. The cellular and protein targets of the hAMPE were different between the hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. Thus, it is clear that the success of this treatment depends on of a personalized therapy based on the biological and genetic characteristics of the tumor (Mamede et al., 2016).

Although the hAMPE seems to be helpful in the therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma, the mechanisms involved remain unknown (Mamede et al., 2015). Thus, it is imperative to clarify the mechanisms involved in the effect of hAMPE in order to contribute to the clarification of hAM role in cancer treatment.

In the last years, it has been studied the relationship between the glycolytic metabolism and cancer. It is already known that tumor cells have metabolic changes that promote the evasion, growth and survival of cancer cells. One of the main metabolic change is the Warburg effect, based on the enhanced glycolytic metabolism of tumor cells, even in the presence of low oxygen levels (Oliveira et al. 2015; Warburg and Posener, 1924; Warburg, 1956). Considering that the hepatocellular carcinoma is usually under hypoxic conditions, the effect of Warburg is further favored, resulting in an elevated rate of glycolysis (Brahimi-Horn and Pouysségur, 2004; Brahimi-Horn et al., 2007). This dependence of glycolysis provides a biochemical basis for the design of new therapeutic strategies that preferentially kill cancer

cells by the inhibition of glycolysis. Thus, the main aim of this work was to evaluate the effect of hAMPE treatment in glucose metabolism.

The glycolytic pathway starts with glucose uptake from the extracellular medium. It is well known that hepatocellular carcinoma cells present a high glucose uptake (Gatenby and Gillies, 2004). Unexpectedly, our results showed that the treatment with hAMPE increased the glucose consumption in all hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines under study.

Hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines uptake glucose from the extracellular medium through specific transporters, which are located in the plasma membrane. GLUT family is composed by 14 isoforms, which can be divided on the basis of the transport characteristics and on the basis of the sequence similarities into several families (Park and Hill, 1986; Yokota, 2000). Several studies have reported changes in the expression and activity of GLUT in cancer cells, where these changes are correlated with the high requirement of glucose that is essential to cancer cell growth and survival (Izyumov et al., 2004; Munoz-Pinedo et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2005). GLUT-1 (Amann et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2003) and GLUT-3 (Karim, Adams, and Lalor 2012) have altered expression in hepatocellular carcinoma (Baer et al., 2002; Karim, et al., 2012b). These transporters usually are considered biomarkers of tumor differentiation and prognosis in several human cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma (Baer et al., 2002; Brito et al., 2015; Jadvar et al., 2009; Karim, et al., 2012b).

Therefore, and considering that glucose consumption increased in response to hAMPE treatment, we analyzed GLUT-1 and GLUT-3 protein expression. Our results showed an increase of GLUT-1 expression in Hep3B2.1-7 cell line, but not in HepG2 and HuH7 cells. These results suggest that increased levels of glucose in Hep3B2.1-7 cells may be mediated by GLUT-1 protein. In contrast, the GLUT-3 expression decreased in HuH7 cell line, but no differences were observed in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cells. Taken together, the increased consumption of glucose by Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 in response to hAMPE treatment cannot be only explained by the increased expression of GLUT-1 or GLUT-3, suggesting that other GLUTs may be involved in glucose transport across the cell membrane.

Considering that associated to the increased glycolysis of hepatocellular carcinoma is expected a consequent elevated lactate production, in duplicate since the stoichiometry of formation of lactate is 1 glucose molecule for 2 lactate molecules. We have decided to quantify the levels of lactate in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines in response to hAMPE treatment. Our results showed no significant differences in lactate production on Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines. However, in HuH7 cell line, it was found decreased levels of lactate after hAMPE treatment. Considering that high levels of lactate provides an ideal microenvironment for tumor growth, and that lactate levels are associated with more aggressive cancer stages and metastasis (Gatenby and Gawlinski, 1996; Morita et al., 1992; Raghunand et al., 2003; Ruch et al., 1990), our results suggested that the inhibition of proliferation and apoptosis induction of HuH7 cells in response to hAMPE treatment may be due the decreased levels of lactate.

Comparing the results of the lactate production and the expected results of the lactate production, taking into account the stoichiometry 1:2 for glucose consumption versus lactate production, the lactate production was lower than expected, in Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cells line, suggesting that glucose may follow to phosphorylation pathway in mitochondrion or may be used for glycogen synthesis.

Lactate production from substrates derived from glucose metabolism occurs via LDH, which catalyzes the conversion of pyruvate to lactate, in a reversible way (Cattaneo et al., 1985). LDH is crucial for cell growth, particularly in tumors with enhanced glycolytic activity (Shim et al. 1997). Some studies demonstrated that this enzyme is overexpressed in tumor cells (Faloppi et al., 2016; Giatromanolaki et al., 2006; Kolev et al., 2008; Koukourakis et al., 2003; Yuan et al., 2014). Thus, the effect of hAMPE in LDH expression was evaluated in cancer cell lines.

Our results showed a significant decrease in LDH expression in HuH7 cell line after hAMPE treatment, which is in accordance with the decreased levels of lactate. On the other hand, a significant increase of LDH expression was found in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines. Apparently, this result is unexpected because no changes were observed in lactate production in response to hAMPE treatment.

It is well described that monocarboxylate transporters plays an important role in the hyperglycolytic profile of solid tumors, allowing the maintenance of the high glycolytic rates by performing lactate efflux, and pH regulation by the co-transport with protons (Pinheiro et al. 2012). The primarily lactate transporter expressed in cells with high glycolytic capacity is the MCT4 (Dimmer et al., 2000). Increased levels of MCT4 protein have been associated with tumor progression and with a poor prognosis of disease (Hao et al., 2010; Pértega-Gomes et al., 2011). MCT4 plays an important role in hepatocellular carcinoma proliferation (Alves et al., 2014), migration, and invasion (Gao et al. 2015; Ohno et al. 2014). Thus, it was evaluated the effect of hAMPE in MCT4 expression. Our results showed a significant increase in MCT4 expression on Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cells lines. However, in HuH7 cell line, a decreased expression of MCT4 was observed, which is in agreement with the expression of LDH and lactate levels.

Considering that glucose is not converted to lactate and that the hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines were cultured under normal oxygen conditions, it is liable to speculate that glucose may follow to phosphorylation pathway in the mitochondrion. Another possibility is that glucose may be used for glycogen synthesis. Nevertheless, the results obtained during this experimental work suggest that hAMPE induces metabolic changes in all hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. However, the effect of hAMPE varies between the cell lines studied. The

Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cells have a different basal p53 expression, and consequently the 'aggressiveness' of the tumor, which is one of the most important differences between these cell lines (Brito et al., 2015; Gomes et al., 2015). The expression of p53 is null in Hep3B2.1-7, while the expression is higher in HuH7 cells (mutated form) than in HepG2 (Brito et al., 2016). Several studies showed that cells expressing p53 in high concentrations are less aggressive and the most radiosensitive, which is consistent with previously published reports on p53 protein expression in response to radiotherapy (Begg et al., 2011; Cuddihy and Bristow, 2004; Gomes et al. 2015; Gudkov and Komarova, 2003). This indicates that HepG2 cell lines, with a normal expression of p53, are most sensitive to treatment, in contrast with Hep3B2.1-7 that not express p53. In the case of HuH7 cell line, that overexpression the mutated p53, is unable to improve the responsiveness to DNA-damaging agents and is extremely resistant to therapies (Gillet et al. 2000). As demonstrated in previous studies of our research team, a HuH7 cell line has highly resistant to conventional therapies and is therefore considered the most aggressive cell line under study (Mamede et al., 2015, 2016).

Our results demonstrated that the Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines have a similar behavior, but HuH7 cells have a different behavior, as demonstrated in this study and also by our research group in previous studies (Mamede et al., 2015, 2016).

Overall, the increased consumption of glucose by Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2 cell lines in response to hAMPE treatment does not imply an increase of lactate production. However, there is a significant increase of LDH and MCT4 protein expression. In fact, it was previously demonstrated that hAMPE treatment induced an increase in ROS levels in these cell lines (Mamede et al., 2016), which can induce an increase of LDH and MCT4 protein expression (Baenke et al., 2015; Casciari et al., 1992; Ullah et al., 2006).

Regarding the HuH7 cell line, there is an increase in glucose consumption, but the lactate levels decrease. These results are in agreement with the decreased expression of LDH and MCT4. This results suggest that hAMPE is more effective in more aggressive cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma, reducing the tumor aggressiveness in this type of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Although more studies are required, our results demonstrate that hAMPE may change the glycolytic profile of the human hepatocellular carcinoma cells under study.

Chapter 6 - Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Through this experimental work, which aim was to evaluate the metabolic changes induced by hAMPE in three human cell lines of hepatocellular carcinoma, it was possible to conclude that hAMPE treatment increased glucose consumption on Hep3B2.1-7, HepG2, and HuH7 cell lines. However, glucose is not converted to lactate, suggesting that glucose may follow to phosphorylation pathway in mitochondrion or may be used for glycogen synthesis. In the future, it is important to evaluate the glycolysis status, as well as the synthesis of glycogen in response to hAMPE treatment.

The hAMPE treatment induced similar effects in Hep3B2.1-7 and HepG2, increasing the expression of LDH and MCT4 but no changes in lactate levels.

Regarding HuH7 cells, the levels of lactate, as well as the expression of LDH and MCT4, decrease in response to hAMPE treatment, suggesting that reduced levels of lactate may indicate a decrease in tumor aggressiveness.

Further studies are required in order to clarify the relationship between hAMPE treatment and the glycolytic metabolism.

References

Adebamowo, C. A. et al. 2005. "Dietary Flavonols and Flavonol-Rich Foods Intake and the Risk of Breast Cancer." *International journal of cancer* 114(4): 628-33.

Adinolfi, M. et al. 1982. "Expression of HLA Antigens, Beta 2-Microglobulin and Enzymes by Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells." *Nature* 295(5847): 325-27.

Airley, R. E. and Mobasheri, A. 2007. "Hypoxic Regulation of Glucose Transport, Anaerobic Metabolism and Angiogenesis in Cancer: Novel Pathways and Targets for Anticancer Therapeutics." *Chemotherapy* 53(4): 233-56.

Akashi, T. et al. 1999. "Synthesis of Basement Membrane by Gastrointestinal Cancer Cell Lines." *The Journal of pathology* 187(2): 223-28.

Akle, C. A. et al. 1981. "Immunogenicity of Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells after Transplantation into Volunteers." *Lancet (London, England)* 2(8254): 1003-5.

Albers, M. J. et al. 2008. "Hyperpolarized 13C Lactate, Pyruvate, and Alanine: Noninvasive Biomarkers for Prostate Cancer Detection and Grading." *Cancer research* 68(20): 8607-15.

Al-Khalili, L., Cartee, G. D. and Krook, A. 2003. "RNA Interference-Mediated Reduction in GLUT1 Inhibits Serum-Induced Glucose Transport in Primary Human Skeletal Muscle Cells." *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 307(1): 127-32.

Alves, M. G. et al. 2011. "Anti-Apoptotic Protection Afforded by Cardioplegic Celsior and Histidine Buffer Solutions to Hearts Subjected to Ischemia and Ischemia/reperfusion." *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 112(12): 3872-81.

Alves, RCP. et al. 2011. "Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Review of Targeted Molecular Drugs." *Annals of hepatology* 10(1): 21-27.

Alves, V. A. et al. 2014. "Characterization of Monocarboxylate Transporter Activity in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *World journal of gastroenterology* 20(33): 11780-87.

Amann, T. and Hellerbrand, C. 2009. "GLUT1 as a Therapeutic Target in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Expert opinion on therapeutic targets* 13(12): 1411-27.

Amann, T. et al. 2009. "GLUT1 Expression Is Increased in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Promotes Tumorigenesis." *The American journal of pathology* 174(4): 1544-52.

Anwar, S. L. et al. 2012. "Loss of Imprinting and Allelic Switching at the DLK1-MEG3 Locus in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *PloS one* 7(11): e49462.

Anwar, S. L. et al. 2014. "Deregulation of RB1 Expression by Loss of Imprinting in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *The Journal of pathology* 233(4): 392-401.

Baenke, F. et al. 2015. "Functional Screening Identifies MCT4 as a Key Regulator of Breast Cancer Cell Metabolism and Survival." *The Journal of Pathology* 237(2): 152-65.

Baer, S. et al. 2002. "Glut3 Expression in Biopsy Specimens of Laryngeal Carcinoma Is Associated with Poor Survival." *The Laryngoscope* 112(2): 393-96.

Befeler, A. S. and Di Bisceglie, A. M. 2002. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Diagnosis and Treatment." *Gastroenterology* 122(6): 1609-19.

Begg, A. C., F. A. Stewart, and C. Vens. 2011. "Strategies to Improve Radiotherapy with Targeted Drugs." Nature reviews. Cancer 11(4): 239-53.

Benedetto, M. T. et al. 1990. "Oxytocin Receptor in Human Fetal Membranes at Term and during Labor." *Journal of steroid biochemistry* 35(2): 205-8.

Berasain, C. and Avila M. 2014. "The EGFR Signalling System in the Liver: From Hepatoprotection to Hepatocarcinogenesis." *Journal of Gastroenterology* 49(1): 9-23.

Berman, D. M. et al. 2003. "Widespread Requirement for Hedgehog Ligand Stimulation in Growth of Digestive Tract Tumours." *Nature* 425(October): 846-51.

Brahimi-Horn, M. C., Johanna, C. and Pouysségur, J. 2007. "Hypoxia and Cancer." *Journal of Molecular Medicine* 85(12): 1301-7.

Brahimi-Horn, M. C. and Pouysségur, J. 2004. "The Hypoxia-Inducible Factor and Tumor Progression Along the Angiogenic Pathway." *International Review of Cytology* 242: 157-213.

Bressa, B. et al. 1991. "Selective G to T Mutations of p53 Gene in Hepatocellular Carcinoma from Southern Africa." *Nature* 350: 429-31.

Brito, A. F. et al. 2012. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Chemotherapy: The Role of p53." *Chemotherapy* 58(5): 381-86.

Brito, A. F. et al. 2015. "Fluorine-18 Fluorodeoxyglucose Uptake in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Correlation with Glucose Transporters and p53 Expression." *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hepatology* 5(3): 183-89.

Brito, A. F. et al. 2016. "New Approach for Treatment of Primary Liver Tumors: The Role of Quercetin." *Nutrition and cancer* 68(2): 250-66.

Brown, J. 1962. "Effects of 2-Deoxyglucose on Carbohydrate Metablism: Review of the Literature and Studies in the Rat." *Metabolism: clinical and experimental* 11: 1098-1112.

Bruix, J. and Sherman, M. 2005. "Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Hepatology* (*Baltimore*, *Md*.) 42(5): 1208-36.

Bruix, J. and Sherman, M. 2011. "Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Update." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 53(3): 1020-22.

Bryant-Greenwood, GD. 1998. "The Extracellular Matrix of the Human Fetal Membranes: Structure and Function." *Placenta* 19: 1-11.

Casciari, J. J., Sotirchos, S. V. and Sutherland, R M. 1992. "Variations in Tumor Cell Growth Rates and Metabolism with Oxygen Concentration, Glucose Concentration, and Extracellular pH." *Journal of cellular physiology* 151(2): 386-94.

Casey, M. L. and MacDonald, P. C. 1996. "Interstitial Collagen Synthesis and Processing in Human Amnion: A Property of the Mesenchymal Cells." *Biology of reproduction* 55(6): 1253-60.

Cattaneo, A. et al. 1985. "Nuclear Localization of a Lactic Dehydrogenase with Single-Stranded DNA-Binding Properties." *Experimental Cell Research* 161(1): 130-40.

Cauza, E. et al. 2003. "Mutations of the HFE Gene in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *The American journal of gastroenterology* 98(2): 442-47.

Cazals-Hatem, D. et al. 2004. "Clinical and Molecular Analysis of Combined Hepatocellular-Cholangiocarcinomas." *Journal of Hepatology* 41(2): 292-98.

Chandler, J. D. et al. 2003. "Expression and Localization of GLUT1 and GLUT12 in Prostate Carcinoma." *Cancer* 97(8): 2035-42.

Chen, C-J., Yang, H. and Iloeje, U. H. 2009. "Hepatitis B Virus DNA Levels and Outcomes in Chronic Hepatitis B." *Hepatology* 49(5 Suppl): S72-84.

Chua, M-S., Ma, L., Wei, W. and So, S. 2014. "WNT/B-Catenin Pathway Activation in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Clinical Perspective." *Gastrointestinal Cancer: Targets and Therapy* Volume 4: 49.

Cooper, R. et al. 2003. "Glucose Transporter-1 (GLUT-1): A Potential Marker of Prognosis in Rectal Carcinoma?" *British journal of cancer* 89(5): 870-76.

Crescimanno, C. et al. 1993. "Immunocytochemical expression patterns of Carbonic Anhydrase Isoenzymes in Human Placenta, Cord and Membranes." *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 53(9): 1689-99.

Csepregi, A. et al. 2008. "APC Promoter Methylation and Protein Expression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology* 134(5): 579-89.

Cuddihy, A. R., and R. G. Bristow. 2004. "The p53 Protein Family and Radiation Sensitivity: Yes or No?" *Cancer metastasis reviews* 23(3-4): 237-57.

Dayan, F. et al. 2008. "A Dialogue between the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor and the Tumor Microenvironment." *Cancer microenvironment : official journal of the International Cancer Microenvironment Society* 1(1): 53-68.

Deininger, M. W. et al . 1997. "The Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor CGP57148B Selectively Inhibits the Growth of BCR-ABL-Positive Cells." *Blood* 90(9): 3691-98.

Dilip, A. et al. 2013. "Mitochondria-Targeted Antioxidant and Glycolysis Inhibition: Synergistic Therapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Anti-cancer drugs* 24(9): 881-88.

Dimmer, K. S. et al. 2000. "The Low-Affinity Monocarboxylate Transporter MCT4 Is Adapted to the Export of Lactate in Highly Glycolytic Cells." *The Biochemical journal* 350 Pt 1: 219-27.

Donato, F. et al. 1997. "Hepatitis B and C Virus Infection, Alcohol Drinking, and Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Case-Control Study in Italy. Brescia HCC Study." *Hepatology* (*Baltimore*, *Md*.) 26(3): 579-84.

Donato, F. et al. 2002. "Alcohol and Hepatocellular Carcinoma: The Effect of Lifetime Intake and Hepatitis Virus Infections in Men and Women." *American journal of epidemiology* 155(4): 323-31.

Dong, T. et al. 2015. "Pyruvate Kinase M2 Affects Liver Cancer Cell Behavior through up-Regulation of HIF-1α and Bcl-xL in Culture." *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 69: 277-84.

Du, Y. et al. 2015. "Phosphatase and Tensin Homologue Genetic Polymorphisms and Their Interactions with Viral Mutations on the Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Chinese medical journal* 128(8): 1005-13.

Dumont, E. et al. 2003. "Evidence for a Role of Smad3 and Smad2 in Stabilization of the Tumor-Derived Mutant Smad2.Q407R." *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278(27): 24881-87.

El-Serag, H. B. 2011. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *The New England journal of medicine* 365(12): 1118-27.
El-Serag, H. B. 2012. "Epidemiology of Viral Hepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Gastroenterology* 142(6): 1264-1273.e1.

El-Serag, H. B., and Rudolph, K. L. 2007. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Epidemiology and Molecular Carcinogenesis." *Gastroenterology* 132(7): 2557-76.

El-Serag, H. B., Tran, T. and Everhart, J. E. 2004. "Diabetes Increases the Risk of Chronic Liver Disease and Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Gastroenterology* 126(2): 460-68.

Enguita-Germán, M. and Fortes, P. 2014. "Targeting the Insulin-like Growth Factor Pathway in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *World journal of hepatology* 6(10): 716-37.

European Association For The Study Of The Liver, and European Organisation For Research And Treatment Of Cancer. 2012. "EASL-EORTC Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Journal of hepatology* 56(4): 908-43.

Evangelista, M., Soncini, M. and Parolini, O. 2008. "Placenta-Derived Stem Cells: New Hope for Cell Therapy?" *Cytotechnology* 58(1): 33-42.

Evans, A. et al. 2008. "Glut-1 as a Therapeutic Target: Increased Chemoresistance and HIF-1-Independent Link with Cell Turnover Is Revealed through COMPARE Analysis and Metabolomic Studies." *Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology* 61(3): 377-93.

Fabregat, I. 2009. "Dysregulation of Apoptosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells." World Journal of Gastroenterology 15(5): 513-20.

Faloppi, L. et al. 2016. "Lactate Dehydrogenase in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Something Old, Something New." *BioMed research international* 2016: 7196280.

Fantin, V. R., St-Pierre, J. and Leder, P. 2006. "Attenuation of LDH-A Expression Uncovers a Link between Glycolysis, Mitochondrial Physiology, and Tumor Maintenance." *Cancer Cell* 9(6): 425-34.

Ferlay, J. et al. 2010. "Estimates of Worldwide Burden of Cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008." *International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer* 127(12): 2893-2917.

Ferreira, C. G., Tolis, C. and Giaccone, G. 1999. "P53 and Chemosensitivity." *Annals of Oncology* 10(9): 1011-21.

Fiume, L. et al. 2011. "Inhibition of Lactic Dehydrogenase as a Way to Increase the Anti-Proliferative Effect of Multi-Targeted Kinase Inhibitors." *Pharmacological Research* 63(4): 328-34. Fujimori, M. et al. 1991. "Allelotype Study of Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Cancer research*.

Fukuda, K. et al. 1999. "Differential Distribution of Subchains of the Basement Membrane Components Type IV Collagen and Laminin among the Amniotic Membrane, Cornea, and Conjunctiva." *Cornea* 18(1): 73-79.

Galuppo, R. et al. 2014. "Molecular Therapies in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: What Can We Target?" *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 59(8): 1688-97.

Gao, H-J. et al. 2015. "Monocarboxylate Transporter 4 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Is Associated with Cell Proliferation and Migration." *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology* 141(7): 1151-62.

Gatenby, R. A. and Gawlinski, E. T. 1996. "A Reaction-Diffusion Model of Cancer Invasion." *Cancer research* 56(24): 5745-53.

Gatenby, R. A. et al. 2006. "Acid-Mediated Tumor Invasion: A Multidisciplinary Study." *Cancer* research 66(10): 5216-23.

Gatenby, R. A. and Gillies, R. J. 2004. "Why Do Cancers Have High Aerobic Glycolysis?" *Nature Reviews Cancer* 4(11): 891-99.

Gatenby, R. A. and Gawlinski, E.T. 2003. "The Glycolytic Phenotype in Carcinogenesis and Tumor Invasion: Insights through Mathematical Models." *Cancer research* 63(14): 3847-54.

Giatromanolaki, A. et al. 2006. "Lactate Dehydrogenase 5 (LDH-5) Expression in Endometrial Cancer Relates to the Activated VEGF/VEGFR2(KDR) Pathway and Prognosis." *Gynecologic Oncology* 103(3): 912-18.

Giglia, J.L. et al. 2010. "Systemic Therapy for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Past, Present, and Future." *Cancer control* 17(2): 120-29.

Gillet, R et al. 2000. "The Consequence of p53 Overexpression for Liver Tumor Development and the Response of Transformed Murine Hepatocytes to Genotoxic Agents." Oncogene 19(31): 3498-3507.

Gomes, M.A., Priolli, D.G. and Tralhao, J.G. 2013. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Epidemiology, Biology, diagnosis, and Therapies." *Revista da Associação* ... 59(9): 514-24.

Gomes, A.R. et al. 2015. "Influence of P53 on the Radiotherapy Response of Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Clinical and molecular hepatology* 21: 257-67.

Goodman, Z. D. 2007. "Neoplasms of the Liver." Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc 20 Suppl 1(July 2006): S49-60.

Gottschalk, S. et al. 2004. "Imatinib (STI571) -Mediated Changes in Glucose Metabolism in Human Leukemia BCR-ABL-Positive Cells Imatinib (STI571) -Mediated Changes in Glucose Metabolism in." 10: 6661-68.

Gudkov, Andrei V, and Elena A Komarova. 2003. "The Role of p53 in Determining Sensitivity to Radiotherapy." *Nature reviews. Cancer* 3(2): 117-29.

Han, T. et al. 2013. "How Does Cancer Cell Metabolism Affect Tumor Migration and Invasion?" *Cell Adhesion and Migration* 7(5): 395-403.

Hao, J. et al. 2010. "Co-Expression of CD147 (EMMPRIN), CD44v3-10, MDR1 and Monocarboxylate Transporters Is Associated with Prostate Cancer Drug Resistance and Progression." *British journal of cancer* 103(7): 1008-18.

Hao, Y. et al. 2000. "Identification of Antiangiogenic and Antiinflammatory Proteins in Human Amniotic Membrane." *Cornea* 19(3): 348-52.

Hitosugi, T. et al. 2010. "NIH Public Access." Growth (Lakeland) 2(97): 1-16.

Hoffbrand, A. V., Moss, P. A. H. and Pettit, J. E. 2011. *Essential Haematology*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Hua, H. W. et al. 2014. "Re-Sensitization of 5-FU Resistance by SPARC through Negative Regulation of Glucose Metabolism in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Tumor Biology* 36(1): 303-13.

Hyams, K. C. 1995. "Risks of Chronicity Following Acute Hepatitis B Virus Infection: A Review." *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 20(4): 992-1000.

Inagawa, S. et al. 2002. "Expression and Prognostic Roles of Beta-Catenin in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Correlation with Tumor Progression and Postoperative Survival." *Clinical cancer research* : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 8(2): 450-56.

Iozzo, R. V. 2005. "Basement Membrane Proteoglycans: From Cellar to Ceiling." *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 6(8): 646-56.

Izyumov, D. S. et al. 2004. "'Wages of Fear': Transient Threefold Decrease in Intracellular ATP Level Imposes Apoptosis." *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* 1658(1-2): 141-47.

Jadvar, H., Alavi, A. and Gambhir, S. S. 2009. "18F-FDG Uptake in Lung, Breast, and Colon Cancers: Molecular Biology Correlates and Disease Characterization." *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 50(11): 1820-27.

Jemal, A. et al. 2011. "Global Cancer Statistics." *CA*: *a cancer journal for clinicians* 61(2): 69-90.

Jemal, A., Bray, F. and Ferlay, J. 1999. "Global Cancer Statistics: 2011." *CA Cancer J Clin* 49(2): 1,33-64.

Ji, J., Yamashita, T. and Wang, X. W. 2011. "Wnt/beta-Catenin Signaling Activates microRNA-181 Expression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Cell & bioscience* 1(1): 4.

Jiao, H. et al. 2012. "Human Amniotic Membrane Derived-Mesenchymal Stem Cells Induce C6 Glioma Apoptosis in Vivo through the Bcl-2/caspase Pathways." *Molecular biology reports* 39(1): 467-73.

Jin, F., Qu, L-S. and Shen, X-Z.. 2010. "Association between C282Y and H63D Mutations of the HFE Gene with Hepatocellular Carcinoma in European Populations: A Meta-Analysis." *Journal of experimental & clinical cancer research : CR* 29(1): 18.

Johnson, P. J. 2000. "Systemic Chemotherapy of Liver Tumors." Seminars in surgical oncology 19(2): 116-24.

Josep M. L. et al. 2008. "Molecular Targeted Therapies in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 48(4): 1312-27.

Kamiya, K. et al. 2005. "Topical Application of Culture Supernatant from Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells Suppresses Inflammatory Reactions in Cornea." *Experimental eye research* 80(5): 671-79.

Kang, N-H. et al. 2012. "Human Amniotic Membrane-Derived Epithelial Stem Cells Display Anticancer Activity in BALB/c Female Nude Mice Bearing Disseminated Breast Cancer Xenografts." International journal of oncology 40(6): 2022-28.

Kang, N-H. et al. 2012. "Potential Antitumor Therapeutic Strategies of Human Amniotic Membrane and Amniotic Fluid-Derived Stem Cells." *Cancer gene therapy* 19(8): 517-22.

Karim, S., Adams, D. H. and Lalor, P. F. 2012. "Hepatic Expression and Cellular Distribution of the Glucose Transporter Family." *World journal of gastroenterology* 18(46): 6771-81.

Karnoub, A. E. et al. 2007. "Mesenchymal Stem Cells within Tumour Stroma Promote Breast Cancer Metastasis." *Nature* 449(7162): 557-63.

Katagiri, T., Nakamura, Y. and Miki, Y. 1996. "Mutations in the BRCA2 Gene in Hepatocellular Carcinomas." *Cancer research* 56(20): 4575-77.

Katoh, H. et al. 2006. "Genetic Inactivation of the APC Gene Contributes to the Malignant Progression of Sporadic Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Case Report." *Genes, chromosomes & cancer* 45(11): 1050-57.

Kawate, S. et al. 1999. "Mutation Analysis of Transforming Growth Factor Beta Type II Receptor, Smad2, and Smad4 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *International journal of oncology* 14(1): 127-31.

Kew, M. C. 2014. "Hepatic Iron Overload and Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Liver cancer* 3(1): 31-40.

Khakoo, A. Y. et al. 2006. "Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Exert Potent Antitumorigenic Effects in a Model of Kaposi's Sarcoma." *The Journal of experimental medicine* 203(5): 1235-47.

Kim, J. C. and Tseng, S. C. 1995. "The Effects on Inhibition of Corneal Neovascularization after Human Amniotic Membrane Transplantation in Severely Damaged Rabbit Corneas." *Korean journal of ophthalmology : KJO* 9(1): 32-46.

Kim, Y-H. et al. 2008. "Quercetin Augments TRAIL-Induced Apoptotic Death: Involvement of the ERK Signal Transduction Pathway." *Biochemical pharmacology* 75(10): 1946-58.

King, B F. 1982. "Cell Surface Specializations and Intercellular Junctions in Human Amniotic Epithelium: An Electron Microscopic and Freeze-Fracture Study." *The Anatomical record* 203(1): 73-82.

Klopp, A. H et al. 2011. "Concise Review: Dissecting a Discrepancy in the Literature: Do Mesenchymal Stem Cells Support or Suppress Tumor Growth?" *Stem cells (Dayton, Ohio)* 29(1): 11-19.

Kolev, Y. et al. 2008. "Lactate Dehydrogenase-5 (LDH-5) Expression in Human Gastric Cancer: Association with Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF-1alpha) Pathway, Angiogenic Factors Production and Poor Prognosis." *Annals of surgical oncology* 15(8): 2336-44.

Koukourakis, M. I. et al. 2003. "Lactate Dehydrogenase-5 (LDH-5) Overexpression in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Tissues Is Linked to Tumour Hypoxia, Angiogenic Factor Production and Poor Prognosis." *British journal of cancer* 89(5): 877-85. h

Kucerova, L. et al. 2007. "Adipose Tissue-Derived Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Mediated Prodrug Cancer Gene Therapy." *Cancer research* 67(13): 6304-13.

de La Coste, A. et al. 1998. "Somatic Mutations of the Beta-Catenin Gene Are Frequent in Mouse and Human Hepatocellular Carcinomas." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95(15): 8847-51.

Lantos, P. L., Park, D. C. and Hill, D. 1986. "Development of Nitrosourea - Induced Brain Tumours - With a Special Note on Changes Occurring during Latency" 24(2): 121-27.

Laurent-Puig, P. et al. 2001. "Genetic Alterations Associated with Hepatocellular Carcinomas Define Distinct Pathways of Hepatocarcinogenesis." *Gastroenterology* 120(7): 1763-73.

Lee, S. H. et al. 2015. "Flavonoids of Korean Citrus Aurantium L. Induce Apoptosis via Intrinsic Pathway in Human Hepatoblastoma HepG2 Cells." *Phytotherapy research* : *PTR* 29(12): 1940-49.

Li, H. et al. 2005. "Immunosuppressive Factors Secreted by Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells." *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 46(3): 900-907.

Li, W. et al. 2006. "Amniotic Membrane Induces Apoptosis of Interferon-Gamma Activated Macrophages in Vitro." *Experimental eye research* 82(2): 282-92.

Lincet, H. and Icard, P. 2014. "How Do Glycolytic Enzymes Favour Cancer Cell Proliferation by Nonmetabolic Functions?" *Oncogene* 0(August): 1-9.

Liu, H. et al. 2001. "Hypersensitization of Tumor Cells to Glycolytic Inhibitors." *Biochemistry* 40(18): 5542-47.

Liu, H. et al. 2002. "Hypoxia Increases Tumor Cell Sensitivity to Glycolytic Inhibitors: A Strategy for Solid Tumor Therapy (Model C)." *Biochemical Pharmacology* 64(12): 1745-51.

Liu, L. et al. 2006. "Sorafenib Blocks the RAF/MEK/ERK Pathway, Inhibits Tumor Angiogenesis, and Induces Tumor Cell Apoptosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Model PLC/PRF/5." *Cancer Research* 66(24): 11851-58.

Livraghi, T. et al. 2008. "Sustained Complete Response and Complications Rates after Radiofrequency Ablation of Very Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Cirrhosis: Is Resection Still the Treatment of Choice?" *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 47(1): 82-89.

Llovet, J. M. et al. 2008. "Design and Endpoints of Clinical Trials in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *JNCI Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 100(10): 698-711.

Llovet, J. M., and Bruix, J. 2008. "Molecular Targeted Therapies in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Hepatology* 48(4): 1312-27.

Llovet, J. M, and Bruix, J. 2003. "Systematic Review of Randomized Trials for Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Chemoembolization Improves Survival." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 37(2): 429-42.

Louandre, C. et al. 2015. "The Retinoblastoma (Rb) Protein Regulates Ferroptosis Induced by Sorafenib in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells." *Cancer letters* 356(2 Pt B): 971-77.

Lutwick, L. I. 1979. "Relation between Aflatoxin, Hepatitis-B Virus, and Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Lancet (London, England)* 1(8119): 755-57.

Lv, L. et al. 2011. "Acetylation Targets the M2 Isoform of Pyruvate Kinase for Degradation through Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy and Promotes Tumor Growth." *Molecular Cell* 42(6): 719-30.

Lv, Y-F. et al. 2016. "The Risk of New-Onset Cancer Associated with HFE C282Y and H63D Mutations: Evidence from 87,028 Participants." *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine* 20(7): 1219-33.

Ma, S. et al. 2010. "Approach to Radiation Therapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Cancer Treatment Reviews* 36(2): 157-63.

Magatti, M. et al. 2012. "Amniotic Membrane-Derived Cells Inhibit Proliferation of Cancer Cell Lines by Inducing Cell Cycle Arrest." *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine* 16(9): 2208-18.

Maher, J. C., Krishan, A. and Lampidis. T. J. 2004. "Greater Cell Cycle Inhibition and Cytotoxicity Induced by 2-Deoxy-D-Glucose in Tumor Cells Treated under Hypoxic vs Aerobic Conditions." *Cancer Chemotherapy and Pharmacology* 53(2): 116-22.

Malak, T. M. et al. 1993. "Confocal Immunofluorescence Localization of Collagen Types I, III, IV, V and VI and Their Ultrastructural Organization in Term Human Fetal Membranes." *Placenta* 14: 385-406.

Malhi, H., Guicciardi, M. E. and Gores, G. J. 2010. "Hepatocyte Death: A Clear and Present Danger." *Physiological reviews* 90(3): 1165-94.

Mamede, A. C. et al. 2015. "Selective Cytotoxicity and Cell Death Induced by Human Amniotic Membrane in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Medical Oncology* 32(12): 257.

Mamede, A. C. et al. 2016. "Oxidative Stress, DNA, Cell Cycle/Cell Cycle Associated Proteins and Multidrug Resistance Proteins: Targets of Human Amniotic Membrane in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Pathology & Oncology Research*. Mamede, A. C et al. 2012. "Amniotic Membrane: From Structure and Functions to Clinical Applications." *Cell and tissue research* 349(2): 447-58.

Mamede, A. C et al. 2014. "Effect of Amniotic Membrane Proteins in Human Cancer Cell Lines: An Exploratory Study." *The journal of membrane biology* 247(4): 357-60.

Marrero, J. A. et al. 2005. "Alcohol, Tobacco and Obesity Are Synergistic Risk Factors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Journal of Hepatology* 42(2): 218-24.

Martin, H. J., Kornmann, F. and Fuhrmann, G. F. 2003. "The Inhibitory Effects of Flavonoids and Antiestrogens on the Glut1 Glucose Transporter in Human Erythrocytes." *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 146(3): 225-35.

Martins, C., Kedda, M. A. and Kew, M. C. 1999. "Characterization of Six Tumor Suppressor Genes and Microsatellite Instability in Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Southern African Blacks." *World journal of gastroenterology* 5(6): 470-76.

Moreno-Sanchez, R. et al. 2007. "Energy Metabolism in Tumor Cells." *FEBS Journal* 274(6): 1393-1418.

Morita, T. et al. 1992. "Clastogenicity of Low pH to Various Cultured Mammalian Cells." *Mutation research* 268(2): 297-305.

Morris, S. M. et al. 2012. "Transforming Growth Factor-Beta Signaling Promotes Hepatocarcinogenesis Induced by p53 Loss." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 55(1): 121-31.

Mueckler, M. 1994. "Facilitative Glucose Transporters." *European journal of biochemistry / FEBS* 219(3): 713-25.

Mühlhauser, J. et al. 1994. "Immunohistochemistry of Carbonic Anhydrase in Human Placenta and Fetal Membranes." *Histochemistry* 101(2): 91-98.

Munoz-Pinedo, C. et al. 2003. "Inhibition of Glucose Metabolism Sensitizes Tumor Cells to Death Receptor-Triggered Apoptosis through Enhancement of Death-Inducing Signaling Complex Formation and Apical Procaspase-8 Processing." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278(15): 12759-68.

Nagai, H. et al. 2001. "Inactivation of SSI-1, a JAK/STAT Inhibitor, in Human Hepatocellular Carcinomas, as Revealed by Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis." *Journal of hepatology* 34(3): 416-21.

Nagai, H. et al. 2002. "Combined Hypermethylation and Chromosome Loss Associated with Inactivation of SSI-1/SOCS-1/JAB Gene in Human Hepatocellular Carcinomas." *Cancer letters* 186(1): 59-65.

Nair, S. et al. 2002. "Is Obesity an Independent Risk Factor for Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Cirrhosis?" *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 36(1): 150-55.

Niknejad, H. et al. 2013. "Side Dependent Effects of the Human Amnion on Angiogenesis." *Placenta* 34(4): 340-45.

Niknejad, H. et al. 2008. "Properties of the Amniotic Membrane for Potential Use in Tissue Engineering." *European cells & materials* 15: 88-99.

Niknejad, H. et al. 2013. "Inhibition of HSP90 Could Be Possible Mechanism for Anti-Cancer Property of Amniotic Membrane." *Medical hypotheses* 81(5): 862-65.

Niknejad, Hassan et al. 2014. "Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells Induce Apoptosis of Cancer Cells: A New Anti-Tumor Therapeutic Strategy." *Cytotherapy* 16(1): 33-40.

Niknejad, H. and Yazdanpanah, G. 2014. "Anticancer Effects of Human Amniotic Membrane and Its Epithelial Cells." *Medical Hypotheses* 82(4): 488-89.

Noguchi, Y. et al. 2000. "Expression of Facilitative Glucose Transporter 1 mRNA in Colon Cancer Was Not Regulated by K-Ras." *Cancer letters* 154(2): 137-42.

Nomura, M. et al. 2008. "Inhibitory Mechanisms of Flavonoids on Insulin-Stimulated Glucose Uptake in MC3T3-G2/PA6 Adipose Cells." *Biological & pharmaceutical bulletin* 31(7): 1403-9.

Ohno, A. et al. 2014. "Aberrant Expression of Monocarboxylate Transporter 4 in Tumour Cells Predicts an Unfavourable Outcome in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Liver international*: official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 34(6): 942-52.

Okazaki, T. et al. 1981. "Initiation of Human Parturition. XII. Biosynthesis and Metabolism of Prostaglandins in Human Fetal Membranes and Uterine Decidua." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 139(4): 373-81.

Oliveira, Pedro F. et al. 2015. "The Warburg Effect Revisited-Lesson from the Sertoli Cell." Medicinal Research Reviews 35(1): 126-51.

Osthus, R. C. et al. 2000. "Deregulation of Glucose Transporter 1 and Glycolytic Gene Expression by c-Myc." *The Journal of biological chemistry* 275(29): 21797-800.

Ott, J. J. et al. 2012. "Global Epidemiology of Hepatitis B Virus Infection: New Estimates of Age-Specific HBsAg Seroprevalence and Endemicity." *Vaccine* 30(12): 2212-19.

Park, J. B. 1999. "Flavonoids Are Potential Inhibitors of Glucose Uptake in U937 Cells." *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 260(2): 568-74.

Parry, S. and Strauss, J. 1998. "Premature Rupture of the Fetal Membranes." *Mechanisms Of Disease*: 663-70.

Pelicano, H. et al. 2006. "Glycolysis Inhibition for Anticancer Treatment." *Oncogene* 25(34): 4633-46.

Pértega-Gomes, N. et al. 2011. "Monocarboxylate Transporter 4 (MCT4) and CD147 Overexpression Is Associated with Poor Prognosis in Prostate Cancer." *BMC cancer* 11: 312.

Pinheiro, C. et al. 2012. "Role of Monocarboxylate Transporters in Human Cancers: State of the Art." *Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes* 44(1): 127-39.

Pollard, S. M., Aye, N. N. and Symonds, E. M. 1976. "Scanning Electron Microscope Appearances of Normal Human Amnion and Umbilical Cord at Term." *British journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 83(6): 470-77.

Potts, J. and Campbell, I. 1996. "Structure and Function of Glycoproteins." *Matrix Biology* 15: 313-20.

Puisieux, A. and Ozturk, M. 1997. "TP53 and Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Pathologie-biologie* 45(10): 864-70.

Raghunand, N., Gatenby, R. A. and Gillies, R. J. 2003. "Microenvironmental and Cellular Consequences of Altered Blood Flow in Tumours." *The British Journal of Radiology* 76(suppl_1): S11-22.

Rato, L. et al. 2012. "Metabolic Modulation Induced by Oestradiol and DHT in Immature Rat Sertoli Cells Cultured in Vitro." *Bioscience reports* 32(1): 61-69.

Reid, A. E. 2001. "Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis." Gastroenterology 121(3): 710-23.

Roayaie, S. et al. 2009. "A System of Classifying Microvascular Invasion to Predict Outcome after Resection in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Gastroenterology* 137(3): 850-55.

Roayaie, S. et al. 2013. "Resection of Hepatocellular Cancer ≤2 Cm: Results from Two Western Centers." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 57(4): 1426-35.

Robey, R. B. and Hay, N. 2009. "Is Akt the "Warburg Kinase"?-Akt-Energy Metabolism Interactions and Oncogenesis." *Seminars in cancer biology* 19(1): 25-31.

Rogers, Suzanne et al. 2002. "Identification of a Novel Glucose Transporter-like Protein-GLUT-12." *American journal of physiology. Endocrinology and metabolism* 282(3): E733-8.

Ruch, R. J. et al. 1990. "Modification of Gap Junctional Intercellular Communication by Changes in Extracellular pH in Syrian Hamster Embryo Cells." *Carcinogenesis* 11(6): 909-13.

Russo, M. et al. 2012. "The Flavonoid Quercetin in Disease Prevention and Therapy: Facts and Fancies." *Biochemical pharmacology* 83(1): 6-15.

Sadler T. W. 2000. "Langmans Medical Embryology." Slock, London (1).

Satoh, S. et al. 2000. "AXIN1 Mutations in Hepatocellular Carcinomas, and Growth Suppression in Cancer Cells by Virus-Mediated Transfer of AXIN1." *Nature genetics* 24(3): 245-50.

Schwickert, G. et al. 1995. "Correlation of High Lactate Levels in Human Cervical Cancer with Incidence of Metastasis." *Cancer research* 55(21): 4757-59.

Semenza, G. L. 1998. "Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1: Master Regulator of O2 Homeostasis." *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* 8(5): 588-94.

Seo, J. H., Kim, Y. S. and Kim, J. S. 2008. "Properties of the Amniotic Membrane May Be Applicable in Cancer Therapy." *Medical Hypotheses* 70(4): 812-14.

Seufi, A. et al. 2009. "Preventive Effect of the Flavonoid, Quercetin, on Hepatic Cancer in Rats via Oxidant/antioxidant Activity: Molecular and Histological Evidences." *Journal of experimental & clinical cancer research* : *CR* 28: 80.

Shang, R. et al. 2015. "RRAD Inhibits Aerobic Glycolysis, Invasion, and Migration and Is Associated with Poor Prognosis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Tumour biology : the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine*.

Shao, C. et al. 2004. "Suppression of Corneal Neovascularization by PEDF Release from Human Amniotic Membranes." *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 45(6): 1758-62.

Shim, H. et al. 1997. "C-Myc Transactivation of LDH-A: Implications for Tumor Metabolism and Growth." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 94(13): 6658-63.

Shimanishi, M. et al. 2013. "Silencing of GLUT-1 Inhibits Sensitization of Oral Cancer Cells to Cisplatin during Hypoxia." *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine* 42(5): 382-88.

Shimmura, S. et al. 2001. "Antiinflammatory Effects of Amniotic Membrane Transplantation in Ocular Surface Disorders." *Cornea* 20(4): 408-13.

Staretz, M. E. et al. 1997. "Comparative Metabolism of the Tobacco-Related Carcinogens Benzo[a]pyrene, 4-(Methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-Pyridyl)-1-Butanone, 4-(Methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-

Pyridyl)-1-Butanol, and N'- Nitrosonornicotine in Human Hepatic Microsomes." Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals 25(2): 154-62.

Stein, C. J. and Colditz, G. A. 2004. "Modifiable Risk Factors for Cancer." *British journal of cancer* 90(2): 299-303.

Stern, R. et al. 2002. "Lactate Stimulates Fibroblast Expression of Hyaluronan and CD44: The Warburg Effect Revisited." *Experimental cell research* 276(1): 24-31.

Strazzabosco, M. and Fabris, L. 2012. "Notch Signaling in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Guilty in Association!" *Gastroenterology* 4: 1-4.

Tabatabaei, M. et al. 2014. "Isolation and Partial Characterization of Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells: The Effect of Trypsin." *Avicenna journal of medical biotechnology* 6(1): 10-20.

Takayama, T. et al. 1998. "Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma as an Entity with a High Rate of Surgical Cure." *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 28(5): 1241-46.

Tannapfel, A. et al. 2000. "Mutations of p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene, Apoptosis, and Proliferation in Intrahepatic Cholangiocellular Carcinoma of the Liver." *Digestive diseases and sciences* 45(2): 317-24.

Tavares, L. C. et al. 2015. "Metabolic Evaluations of Cancer Metabolism by NMR-Based Stable Isotope Tracer Methodologies." *European journal of clinical investigation* 45 Suppl 1: 37-43.

Teahan, O. et al. 2011. "Metabolic Signatures of Malignant Progression in Prostate Epithelial Cells." *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology* 43(7): 1002-9.

Thompson, M. D. and Monga, S. P. S. 2007. "WNT/B-Catenin Signaling in Liver Health and Disease." *Hepatology* 45(5): 1298-1305.

Toda, A. et al. 2007. "The Potential of Amniotic Membrane/amnion-Derived Cells for Regeneration of Various Tissues." *Journal of pharmacological sciences* 105(3): 215-28.

Torbenson, M. D. et al. 2004. "Concurrent Evaluation of p53, B-Catenin, and a-Fetoprotein Expression in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 122(3): 377-82.

Torre, L. A. et al. 2015. "Global Cancer Statistics, 2012." *CA*: *a cancer journal of clinicians*. 65(2): 87-108.

Toth, P. et al. 1996. "Expression of Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG)/luteinizing Hormone Receptors and Regulation of the Cyclooxygenase-1 Gene by Exogenous hCG in Human Fetal Membranes." *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 81(3): 1283-88.

Tsuda, H. et al. 1992. "Different Pattern of Chromosomal Allele Loss in Multiple Hepatocellular Carcinomas as Evidence of Their Multifocal Origin." *Cancer research*.

Ullah, M. S., Davies, A. J. and Halestrap, A. P. 2006. "The Plasma Membrane Lactate Transporter MCT4, but Not MCT1, Is up-Regulated by Hypoxia through a HIF-1alpha-Dependent Mechanism." *The Journal of biological chemistry* 281(14): 9030-37.

Valenta, T., Hausmann, G. and Basler, K. 2012. "The Many Faces and Functions of B-Catenin." *The EMBO Journal* 31(12): 2714-36.

Vaz, C. V. et al. 2012. "Androgen-Responsive and Nonresponsive Prostate Cancer Cells Present a Distinct Glycolytic Metabolism Profile." *International journal of biochemistry and cell biology* 44(11): 2077-84.

Villanueva, A. et al. 2012. "Notch Signaling Is Activated in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Induces Tumor Formation in Mice." *Gastroenterology* 143(6): 1660-1669.e7.

Wang, J., Peng, L. and Lu, G-X. 2011. "[Stem Cell Characteristics and Islet Differentiation Potential of Human Amniotic Epithelial Cells]." *Nan fang yi ke da xue xue bao = Journal of Southern Medical University* 31(9): 1484-87.

Wang, J. et al. 2013. "Inhibition of Transketolase by Oxythiamine Altered Dynamics of Protein Signals in Pancreatic Cancer Cells." *Experimental hematology & oncology* 2: 18.

Wang, M. et al. 2006. "Immunogenicity and Antigenicity of Allogeneic Amniotic Epithelial Transplants Grafted to the Cornea, Conjunctiva, and Anterior Chamber." *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 47(4): 1522-32.

Warburg, O., Posener, K. and Negolein, E. 1924. "Ueber Den Stoffwechsel Der Tumoren (The Metabolism of Tumor Cells)." *Biochem Zeitschr* 152: 129-69.

Warburg, O. 1956. "On the Origin of Cancer Cells." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 123(3191): 309-14.

Wolf, H. J., Schmidt, W. and Drenckhahn, D. 1991. "Immunocytochemical Analysis of the Cytoskeleton of the Human Amniotic Epithelium." *Cell and tissue research* 266(2): 385-89.

Wong, C-M. et al. 2003. "Genetic and Epigenetic Alterations of DLC-1 Gene in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Cancer research* 63(22): 7646-51.

Xia, L. et al. 2012. "The TNF- α /ROS/HIF-1-Induced Upregulation of FoxMI Expression Promotes HCC Proliferation and Resistance to Apoptosis." *Carcinogenesis* 33(11): 2250-59.

Xie, C-R. et al. 2015. "Significance of Genetic Variants in DLC1 and Their Association with Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Molecular medicine reports* 12(3): 4203-9.

Xu, R-H., Pelicano, H. and Zhou, Y. 2005. "Inhibition of Glycolysis in Cancer Cells : A Novel Strategy to Overcome Drug Resistance Associated with Mitochondrial Respiratory Defect and Hypoxia Inhibition of Glycolysis in Cancer Cells : A Novel Strategy to Overcome Drug Resistance Associated with Mi." (2): 613-21.

Yakicier, M. C. et al. 1999. "Smad2 and Smad4 Gene Mutations in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Oncogene* 18(34): 4879-83.

Yang, J.D. and Roberts, L. R. 2010. "Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Global View." *Nature* reviews: gastroenterology & hepatology 7(8): 448-58.

Yasuda, S. et al. 2004. "Hexokinase II and VEGF Expression in Liver Tumors: Correlation with Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 α and Its Significance." *Journal of Hepatology* 40(1): 117-23.

Yazdanpanah, G. et al. 2015. "The Effects of Cryopreservation on Angiogenesis Modulation Activity of Human Amniotic Membrane." *Cryobiology* 71(3): 413-18.

Yokota, J. 2000. "Tumor Progression and Metastasis." Carcinogenesis 21(3): 497-503.

Young, C. D. et al. 2011. "Modulation of Glucose Transporter 1 (GLUT1) Expression Levels Alters Mouse Mammary Tumor Cell Growth in Vitro and in Vivo." *PLoS ONE* 6(8).

Yu, M. C. et al. 1991. "Nonviral Risk Factors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma in a Low-Risk Population, the Non-Asians of Los Angeles County, California." *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 83(24): 1820-26.

Yuan, C. et al. 2014. "Overexpression of Metabolic Markers PKM2 and LDH5 Correlates with Aggressive Clinicopathological Features and Adverse Patient Prognosis in Tongue Cancer." *Histopathology* 65(5): 595-605.

Zamora-León, S. P. et al. 1996. "Expression of the Fructose Transporter GLUT5 in Human Breast Cancer." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93(5): 1847-52.

Zhang, X. et al. 1994. "Deletions of Chromosome 13q, Mutations in Retinoblastoma 1, and Retinoblastoma Protein State in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Cancer research*

Zhang, X. et al. 2014. "An Integrated Analysis of SOCS1 down-Regulation in HBV Infection-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Journal of viral hepatitis* 21(4): 264-71.

Zhao, M. et al. 2009. "Dual Knockdown of N-Ras and Epiregulin Synergistically Suppressed the Growth of Human Hepatoma Cells." *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 387(2): 239-44.

Zhao, Y., Butler, E. B. and Tan, M. 2013. "Targeting Cellular Metabolism to Improve Cancer Therapeutics." *Cell death & disease* 4(3): e532.

Zhou, Q. and Yeo, W. 2011. "Targeting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR Pathway in Hepatocellular Carcinoma." *Future Oncol* 7(10): 1149-67.

Zhou, S., Chen, J. and Feng, J. 2003. "The Effects of Amniotic Membrane on Polymorphonuclear Cells." *Chinese medical journal* 116(5): 788-90.

Zimonjic, D. B. and Popescu, N. C. 2012. "Role of DLC1 Tumor Suppressor Gene and MYC Oncogene in Pathogenesis of Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Potential Prospects for Combined Targeted Therapeutics (Review" *International journal of oncology* 41(2): 393-406.